```
0001
 1
                     NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
 7
 8
                             VOLUME I
 9
10
11
                     Inupiat Heritage Center
12
                        Utqiagvik, Alaska
13
                         October 13, 2022
14
                            9:02 a.m.
15
16
17
18
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
19
20 Gordon Brower, Chairman
21
    Brower Frantz
22
    Esther Hugo
23
    Martha Itta
24
    Steve Oomittuk
25
26
27
28
29
    Regional Council Coordinator, Leigh Honig
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
   Recorded and transcribed by:
39
40
41
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
42
    329 F Street, Suite 222
43
    Anchorage, AK 99501
44
    907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0002	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Utqiagvik, Alaska - 10/13/2022)
4	40
5	(On record)
6 7	CHAIDMAN DROWER. Cood morning
8	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, everybody. I serve as the Chair for the Regional
9	Subsistence Advisory Council. And I'm glad we got some
10	of our technical difficulties squared away and we
11	apologize for the inconvenience, we're a little bit
12	late already. And with that before we start I'm going
13	to just see about getting our invocation in order. And
14	anybody want to volunteer to do invocation, if not I'll
15	go ahead and do the invocation.
16	
17	MR. WILLIAMS: (Invocation)
18	TN INTOON A
19 20	IN UNISON: Amen.
21	CHAIRMAN BROWER: And we've beer
22	reminded please press your mic when we're going to
23	speak and one person will have to press their mic.
24	When you're done turn your red light off and then the
25	next person can turn theirs on. So some kind of
26	technical difficulties going on.
27	
28 29	All right. We'll go ahead and call to
30	order our meeting and it is 9:02 a.m. We're about 32 minutes late this morning. And I'm going to ask the
31	Madame Coordinator to go ahead and do our roll call to
32	establish a quorum.
33	
34	Madame Coordinator.
35	
36	MS. HONIG: Wonderful. Thank you. So
	we will start from the top.
38	
39	Gordon Brower.
40 41	CHAIDMAN DROWED. Hoxo
42	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Here.
43	MS. HONIG: Wanda Kippi, were you able
44	to call in?
45	00 0011 1
46	(No comments)
47	
48	CHAIRMAN BROWER: We'll acknowledge her
49	if she calls in. There's some indications I think
50	

```
0003
 1
     she'll be calling in.
 2
 3
                     MS. HONIG: Okay. Steve Oomittuk, were
 4
     you able to call in?
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think Steve also
     was -- couldn't make it and would be dialing in. We'll
 9
10
     acknowledge him when he dials in.
11
12
                     MS. HONIG: Billy Patkotak.
13
14
                     (No comments)
15
                     MS. HONIG: We'll move on to Edward
16
17
    Rexford, were you able to call in?
18
19
                     (No comments)
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        There was some note
     that Edward wouldn't be able to make it, but would dial
22
23
     in. And we'll also acknowledge him when he dials in.
24
25
                     MS. HONIG: Martha Itta.
26
27
                     MS. ITTA: I'm here.
28
29
                     MS. HONIG: Esther Hugo.
30
31
                    MS. HUGO: Here.
32
33
                    MS. HONIG: Brower Frantz.
34
35
                    MR. FRANTZ: Here.
36
37
                     MS. HONIG: And Peter Williams.
38
39
                     MR. WILLIAMS: Here.
40
                     MS. HONIG: Okay. Mr. Chair, that is
41
     four members present on a nine Council seat so we have
42
43
     not met quorum yet.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        One, two, three,
46
     four, five.
47
48
                     MS. HONIG:
                                  I retract that statement,
49
    we have made quorum. Math in the morning.
```

```
0004
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      Yes, math in the
 2
    morning is almost like third grade.
 4
                    (Laughter)
 5
 6
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: One number off. All
 7
    right. We've established quorum this morning and I'm
    going to ask participants here -- well, let's start
    online. Those that are represented online if you could
 9
10
    introduce yourselves, please.
11
12
                    MS. PATTON: Good morning, everyone.
13
    This is Eva Patton. Missing being there with all of
14
    you Council members and everyone
                                          in the room.
15
    Wonderful that you're gathered together. And I am at
    the National Park Service now at the Regional Office
16
17
    Subsistence Program.
18
19
                    Good morning.
20
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
21
                                       Good morning, Eva.
22
    You almost sounded like you were in a tunnel or
23
    something, but we were able to hear you.
24
25
                    Anybody else online.
26
27
                    MR. TOOYAK: Yeah, good morning if you
28
                    This is Andrew Tooyak.
    can hear me.
                                            I'm with the
29
    Wilderness Society.
30
31
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                     All right.
                                                  Who was
32
    that again, I didn't quite get that.
33
34
                    MR. TOOYAK: Andrew Tooyak.
35
36
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Andrew.
37
38
                    MS. JOCHUM: Good morning. This is Kim
39
    Jochum with the National Park Service Regional Office
    Subsistence Program and InterAgency Staff Committee
40
41
    member.
42
43
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning. If you
44
    can repeat your name. All those that are going to be
    on their mics, can you -- if you can speak up unless
45
46
    I'm -- unless it's only me.
47
48
                    MS. JOCHUM:
                                 Okay. I hope this is
49
    better. Good morning.
```

0005	
1	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Because I generally
2	have hearing problems
3	
4	MS. JOCHUM: Can you hear me better?
5	
6	CHAIRMAN BROWER:nowadays.
7	
8	MS. JOCHUM: Yeah. Okay. I'll try
9	again. Good morning. My name's Kim Jochum. I'm
10	like Eva Patton I'm with the National Park Service, the
11 12	Regional Subsistence Program and an InterAgency Staff Committee member.
13	Committee member.
14	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. Good
15	morning.
16	morning.
17	MR. ALDRICH: Good morning, Mr.
18	Chairman, members of the Council. I'm Wildlife
19	Biologist Casey Aldrich, National Park Service.
20	Diologica adag midilan, madianai rain sarvisa.
21	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, National
22	Park.
23	
24	MR. HANSEN: Good morning. This is
25	Alex Hansen, Alaska Department of Fish and Game,
26	Kotzebue. I'm the Caribou Biologist for the Western
27	Arctic Herd.
28	
29	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. Good
30	morning.
31	
32	MS. COLD: Good morning, Mr. Chair.
33	This is Helen Cold with the Alaska Department of Fish
34	and Game, Division of Subsistence in the Fairbanks
35	office.
36	CULTINAN PROUTER C. 1
37	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
38 39	MR. BURCH: Good morning. This is Mark
40	Burch with the Department of Fish and Game in Palmer.
41	butch with the Department of Fish and Game in Faimer.
42	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
43	Cimilitativ bilowalit. Good morning.
44	MS. DREW: Good morning. This is Katie
45	Drew with the BLM Arctic District Office.
46	Dion with one bin mitotic bitter village.
47	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, BLM.
48	,
49	MS. KLEIN: Good morning. This is Jill
50	

0006 Klein with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Regional Subsistence Coordinator out of the Anchorage 2 3 office. 4 5 Good morning. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Fish 8 and Wildlife Service. 9 10 Good morning. This is MS. OKADA: 11 Marcy Okada with the National Park Service, Gates of 12 the Arctic National Park and Preserve. 13 14 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Marcy. 15 Good morning. 16 MR. GRAHAM: This is Cory Graham with the Office of Subsistence Management. 17 18 19 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Morning, Cory. Thank 20 you. I think we'll transition over into physically present if you can come and introduce yourself at the 21 22 mic and who you're representing. 23 24 Thank you. 25 26 MR. CHEN: Aloha, Mr. Chairman and 27 Council members. My name is Glenn Chen, I'm the Subsistence Branch Chief for the Bureau of Indian 28 29 Affairs. 30 31 CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native). Aloha. 32 33 MS. HEYER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman 34 and Council members. My name is Karen Heyer and I am the Fisheries Biologist working in the northern region. 36 37 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Morning, Karen. 38 39 MS. WESSELS: Good morning, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. My name is Katya Wessels and 40 41 I'm Council Coordination Division Supervisor with 42 Office of Subsistence Management. 43 44 Thank you. 45 46 CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native) 47 48 MR. HAWKALUK: Good morning, 49 Chairman and members of the Council. My name's Nathan

```
0007
    Hawkaluk, I'm the Acting Refuge Manager for the Arctic
    National Wildlife Refuge.
 2
 3
 4
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good.
 5
 6
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                      (In Native)
                                                       Good
 7
               My name is Carmen Daggett, I'm the Area
    Biologist for Fish and Game up here in Utgiagvik.
 9
10
                    Good morning.
11
12
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       (In Native), Carmen.
13
    (In Native).
14
15
                    MS. MIKOW:
                                 Good morning. My name is
16
    Beth Mikow and I'm the Anthropologist for the BLM
17
    Arctic District Office.
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Beth.
20
21
                    MR. SCANLON:
                                     Good morning.
22
    Brendan Scanlon from the Alaska Department of Fish and
23
    Game. I'm the Northwest and North Slope Area Fisheries
24
    Biologist out of Fairbanks.
25
26
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Brendan.
27
28
                    MR. VICKERS:
                                   Good morning, Mr. Chair,
29
    members of the Council, everyone else here and on the
30
    phone.
              My
                   name is Brent Vickers.
                                                 I am the
31
    Anthropology Division Supervisor at OSM and today and
32
    tomorrow I'll be representing the leadership team for
33
    OSM.
34
35
                    Thank you very much and great to meet
36
    you.
37
38
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Was that
39
    Brent?
40
41
                    MR. VICKERS: Yes, sir.
42
43
                    DR. VOORHEES:
                                    Good morning.
44
    Voorhees, Anthropologist with the Office of Subsistence
45
    Management. It's great to see you all in person.
46
47
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: You too, Hannah. (In
48
    Native).
```

MS. HOLMAN: Good morning, this is Kendra Holman, a Wildlife Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native) And then our recorder.

REPORTER: Lynn, I'm the recorder.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. All right. Any others online that haven't formally introduced themselves this morning before we move down the agenda?

MARK DOWDLE: Mr. Chair, members of the Council, this is Mark Dowdle with the National Park Service. I'm Superintendent of Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. Good morning. And I'm going to go ahead and hand the mic over to Leigh, do a little bit of housekeeping.

MS. HONIG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And again welcome for everyone to come to the fall meeting of the North Slope Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council meeting. For those that are attending the meeting in person please make sure to sign in at the front table. There is a sign in sheet for each day of the meeting and we'd appreciate it if you would sign in each day that you are here. It helps greatly with correct spelling of names.

This is a regulatory meeting and the Council will be discussing and deliberating on fish proposals and closures. There will be an opportunity for public comment during that proposal period. You can see the steps of the proposal presentation procedure on page 32 of your meeting book. If you would like to address the Council during the meeting for folks in the room please fill out a white testifier form on the table and hand it to me. This helps keep track of anyone who'd like to speak regarding a specific agenda item. For those of you that are on the phone who would like to speak start by saying Mr. Chair and wait to be recognized by the Chair before speaking.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted).

MS. HONIG: For all participants on the phone please remember to mute your phone when you are not speaking. If you do not have a mute button on your phone you can press star, six and that will mute your phone. If you would like to speak....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted).

MS. HONIG:unmute your phone by again pressing star, six.

If you are unable to stay with us throughout the meeting and hang on until the public comment opportunity is open for the particular proposal you'd like to address you may consider submitting a written comment instead. You can do that by emailing your comment to subsistence at fws.gov. You need to indicate your name, affiliation and what proposal or closure your comment addresses. Written comments will be accepted until the start of the presentation of each proposal or closure review analysis. Those will be sent to myself and Staff and we will share those with the Council.

I'd also like to remind folks that there will be a time for tribal and public comments on non-agenda items. The Chair will announce this each morning and that will be an opportunity for those present as well as those participating on the phone to speak on non-agenda items. We would ask you to hold any comments on proposals or agenda items until such time as they come up before the Council so that the Council will hear all pertinent information at the time they are working on that item. However the Chair can make exceptions.

All right. Thank you, Mr. Chair, for allowing me to give those introductions.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Leigh. With that I'm going to do our introductions by village. I'll start with Nuiqsut.

Martha.

MS. ITTA: Good morning, everyone.

Martha Itta of Nuiqsut. I -- this is I believe my second term of serving on this Board meeting and I'm

honored to still be here. 2023 is when my seat will be up and I'm undecided if I'm still going to turn in my application.

3 4 5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

2

So I work now as the (indiscernible) Specialist for Nuiqsut, trying to work on education and history culture for our village, trying to keep that alive doing sewing classes for our village. I worked as an administrator over 10 years, I now work with the Borough so I'm -- you know, it's a change for me. So I'm really honored to be here on the Board and I hope to come back. So it's a decision I have to make and I'm really honored to work with the Board and your guys' villages. I love hearing about what happens in your guys' villages, it helps me understand the differences between our villages.

16 17 18

Thank you and welcome, everyone.

19 20

21

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native). Thank you, Martha. And my bad, I need to keep turning my mic off.

222324

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

We'll go to $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ across the table to Mr.

25 Frantz.26

MR. FRANTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. name is Brower Frantz, I'm from Utgiagvik/Barrow, Alaska. This is my first term, actual first in person here. So it's a great thing to be here. Born and raised in Barrow. I work as the North Slope Borough's Search and Rescue Coordinator at the moment. I used to be involved with the Fish and Game Management Committee so working along with the Regional Advisory Council here I think will be a good addition. I also used to work with North Slope Borough Land Management so I do know quite a bit about the Borough side of things and Prudhoe Bay. And also I grew up traveling the whole North Slope so snowmachining, boating, just grew up in a subsistence lifestyle here. The only two locations I haven't snowmachined to yet is Kaktovik and Point Hope. So I do have an extensive subsistence knowledge up here of traversing the land. So I look forward to utilizing, you know, all of my knowledge to the best extent that I can to work with the Regional Advisory Council here.

46 47 48

Thank you.

49

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native), Brower Frantz. We'll go across the table to Mr. Williams of Anaktuvuk.

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

2

MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning. want to say thank you for coming to the meeting here despite the weather here. And pray for our people that they be safe. And I've been on this Board for the last Raymond Bunyak is the one the couple of terms. encouraged me to get back into this cause. I know it's our tradition way of surviving. It doesn't matter where we're at, where we are, we could live out of a store anyway too so that's what he said, you know. And we still got to fight for our, you know, surviving. That's what's it all about. When I -- I get to you know, elaborate details there about this and that, we're here for a reason, that's why we're here and I just wanted to say what Harry Brower said at a meeting one time thatif we all get in a kayak and one paddle -one person paddle we ain't going to get nowhere, but if all of us work together we get somewhere, he said. We've got to work as one line of individual he always tell me and I always use that knowledge and we should keep that as a reference.

242526

272829

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native), Earl. With that we'll go across the table to Esther Hugo of Anaktuvuk Pass.

31 32 33

30

(In Native)

34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MS. HUGO: Good morning. My name is Esther Hugo and I'm from Anaktuvuk Pass, born and raised there. And now I'm glad to be back, I was with the Council for a couple years, then I had to step down for a little bit. Like my niece, Martha, I know I just got back to the Council, but for now I got so many hats on me that I have to decide this coming whole year what I'm going to be doing. But I'm just happy that I'm here to come to the meeting because it concerns a lot of our subsistence and our way of life and survival. We are poor where we're at in the Brooks Range. It's been always interesting and very hard times because today as we speak our caribou haven't migrated or come by the village. These are hard times for our people because that's the main diet and we survive with the

0012
1 caribou since time.
2
3 So

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So we are hurting, but we had a couple of charters going up to Nuigsut, our neighbors, our (in Native). We had four hunters go up there and they got 21 caribou with the residents there helping them out with four-wheelers orgive -- taking them ridesto the --And we were all happy when the to the caribou. charter came. We fed the community and we started distributing the meat. But the first day we start doing that we decided we're going to feed the community, whoever's hungry to come and they did show up. And I tell you we were eating at the table, at the VCR Grace, we never made one noise, we haven't said a word for a good three, four, five minutes because we are eating what we sure always wanted to eat, that's our survival. And then somebody said god, it's so quiet here. We all jump up, we got startled because we're more into eating this fat or this fat rib, you know, and we just started laughing. But most of all our elders were there too and it was a happy time, we were so full I didn't get a chance to eat until the next day and I had supper at 6:00 and never got hungry. That was fulfilling.

242526

27

But I'm happy to be here and trying to work on the issues, concerns on our caribou, our survival, our only survival since time.

28 29 30

Thank you so much.

31 32

33

34

35

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native). Thank you, Esther. Always starting a good day with how the community is dealing with the resources that our lands are blessed with. And sometimes not always meeting their needs.

36 37 38

With that I'm going to see if Kaktovik has chimed in. Eddie, are you on.

39 40 41

(No comments)

42 43

44

CHAIRMAN BROWER: We'll move over -- I didn't hear Eddie chime in or not. We'll move over to Point Hope. Steve Oomittuk, are you on?

45 46 47

(No comments)

48 49

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And we'll move over

0013 to Atqasuk. Wanda Kippi, are you on? 2 3 (No comments) 4 5 CHAIRMAN BROWER: And we'll move over 6 to Wainwright. Billy Patkotak, are you on? 7 8 (No comments) 9 10 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, Madame 11 Coordinator, we'll continue to acknowledge those 12 join us later on. We do have a quorum 13 14 With that, with our introductions 15 complete and I'd need a motion on number 5 for review 16 and adoption of agenda. 17 18 MS. ITTA: Mr. Chair, I make a motion 19 to approve the agenda. 20 21 CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion on 22 the floor from Nuiqsut, Martha Itta on approving the --23 in adopting the agenda. 24 25 MS. HUGO: Second. 26 27 CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a second. 28 We're under discussion. I believe we have some agenda 29 item additions with supplemental material that came in 30 through the mail that wasn't distributed in the initial 31 And I'd like to entertain that the mail out. 32 supplemental agenda be formally -- be part of the 33 And they did come in separately from the agenda. 34 packet. I'm going to ask Madame Coordinator here to go 35 ahead and read the additionals that were not in the 36 original agenda. 37 38 Madame Coordinator. 39 40 MS. HONIG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 41 the following items have been added to the agenda since 42 the publication of the meeting materials booklet and 43 the additions are. So under agenda item number 10, old business, Office of Subsistence Management Staff will 44 be presenting special actions on WSA 21-01. That's one 45 46 addition. 47

And then under agenda item number 11

under new business, Brendan Scanlon will be presenting

48

49

0014 1 project update before the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program report. So if it makes it easier we can name that one 11B. 4 5 And under item 12, agency reports, the 6 National Park Service will be proving a personnel 7 update. 8 9 And then one addition that is not on 10 the printed agenda, I should also mention that on our 11 website there is the updated agenda, U.S. Fish and 12 Wildlife Staff of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, 13 Nathan Hawkaluk, will be presenting a presentation. 14 15 And then for BLM we have a list of 16 Elizabeth Mikow who will be providing the Arctic 17 District Office update. 18 19 And then three reports have been added 20 under Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 21 Hansen will be providing the Western Arctic Caribou 22 Herd update and then we have Carmen Daggett who will be 23 doing the unit 26A update and Jason Caikoski may be 24 able to call in and provide the unit 26B and C update. 25 26 So kind of new information, Mr. Chair, we have had a request to move the fisheries proposals 27 28 and closure review discussion to the first day of the 29 when we'll have Office of Subsistence meeting 30 Management Staff, Cory Graham, available for questions 31 on the phone. 32 33 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Madame Coordinator, 34 the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program or..... 35 36 MS. HONIG: Uh-huh. 37 38 CHAIRMAN BROWER:is that the one 39 that you're mentioning that's 11C, if we made the Brendan Scanlon B.... 40 41 42 MS. HONIG: Uh-huh. 43 44 CHAIRMAN BROWER:then we would be moving the old B which is now C, if we make those 45 if we move the Fisheries Resource 46 changes, but

Monitoring Program up on the scale.....

MS. HONIG: Uh-huh.

47

48 49

```
0015
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....then we wouldn't
    have to change the lettering scheme, it could go back
    to original, but we would need to add it to somewhere
     on the first day, I mean, where would you propose that
 5
    we add the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program,
 6
    you're saying it has to be on the first day?
 7
 8
                    MS. HONIG:
                                 That would -- that would
    work best I think for the Council because Cory Graham,
 9
10
    our Fisheries Analyst, would be available to answer
11
    questions.
                 However it appears that -- I mean, we do
12
    have Hannah Voorhees available as well.
                                                  They are
13
    crossover proposals for your area.
14
15
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                         I'm suggesting a
16
    place, like is old business a good place for it or new
17
    business.
18
19
                    MS. HONIG:
                                If you could repeat that,
20
    Mr. Chair.
21
22
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       I'm trying to find a
     spot for it that would reflect it to be on the first
23
     day. Would that be -- where would you propose that it
24
25
    be moved if it has to be on the first day. Are we --
26
     after like 9, public and tribal comments on non-agenda
27
     items, after that or somewhere under old business?
28
29
                    MS. HONIG: Yes, Mr. Chair.
                                                I think it
30
    would be appropriate to put that after agenda
31
     number 9, after the public and tribal comments.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       So we would make it
34
         We would move 11C which is -- if we were using the
35
     original book it would be B.....
36
37
                    MS. HONIG: Uh-huh.
38
39
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       ....2024 Fisheries
40
    Resources Monitoring Program to a new 9A.
41
42
                    MS. HONIG: Okay.
43
44
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       What's the wish of
     the Council on the proposed modification and additions
45
46
     to the agenda.....
47
48
                    MS. HONIG: I'm sorry.
```

```
0016
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....that have been
 2
    read.
 3
 4
                    MS. HONIG: Mr. Chair, there was one
 5
    more item. I apologize. There was a request to move
    Alex Hansen's presentation on the Western
 7
    Caribou Herd to a time today as well so he can go out
    into the field and perform survey work on that herd
 9
    tomorrow.
10
11
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      All right.
12
    I'll see what's the witness of the Council to move the
13
    Western Arctic Caribou Herd update somewhere on today
14
    as well, maybe 9B, if we're going to have a 9A and 9B.
15
16
                    MS. ITTA: Mr. Chair.
17
18
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead,
19
    Martha from Nuigsut.
20
21
                    MS. ITTA: I do agree since it's not on
22
    the -- non-agenda item to put it under 9A and B for the
23
    two items.
24
25
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Is that a motion?
26
27
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Second.
28
29
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Before we finalize on
30
    the discussion here we'll go to Leigh again. Go ahead.
31
32
                    MS. HONIG: I'm sorry. Thank you, Mr.
33
    Chair. I had misunderstood. So Brendan Scanlon's
34
    presentation goes along with the fisheries proposals
35
    and closure reviews. I thought it went with the FRMP.
              you're able to hear Brendan Scanlon's
36
         if
37
                  before we
                               get into
    presentations
                                           the fisheries
38
    proposals and closure reviews.
39
40
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Is that the caribou
41
    stuff?
42
43
                    MS. HONIG:
                                 No, not the caribou.
    Karen, would you like to -- can I -- through the Chair.
44
45
46
                    MS. HEYER:
                                 Mr. Chair and Council
47
    members. Just for clarity on your agenda if you go to
48
    number 11 the fisheries proposals and closure reviews,
49
    number A, is what's requested to be moved because Cory
```

Graham is on the phone and he has worked with Hannah Voorhees on those and he can't be on the phone tomorrow. Number B, the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program could go tomorrow because that is a combination of myself, Hannah Voorhees, Brendan Scanlon and USGS are all going to talk on that. We will all be here tomorrow so that can stay where it is on the agenda. So I just wanted to clarify it's A and not B that we're requesting to be moved today.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Karen, that makes it a lot more clear.

MS. HEYER: Okay.

And I do apologize CHAIRMAN BROWER: and, you know, this is a lot of changes and some of us are fresh here and even I had, you know, in our telephone conversation to try to make sense of what the changes are coming for the agenda, it would be prudent to -- to try to get the agenda so we don't have to do this from the floor because that -- it's kind of confusing a little bit. But I think it's cleared up. It's not the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program that they're asking to move up, it's the fisheries proposals and closure reviews. That's -- I see a thumbs up on that. So that would be moved over to 9A and then the caribou, Western Arctic Caribou update would be proposed to be 9B at that point.

And having cleared that up we still have our motion from Martha, that it was -- that was the case and Earl was about to second that.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. And Earl is still nodding yes in those changes to the agenda. All those in favor of modifying the agenda with all that's been read into the record by Leigh and with the additions -- with the supplemental material for WSA 21-01, caribou in unit 23 and 21A that includes moose and the addition of ADF&G, Brendan Scanlon and the other personnel update from BLM, Arctic update was supplemental 3 and the Western Arctic Caribou update, Alex Hansen, supplemental 4, unit 26A, I think that's Carmen Daggett, tab 5, with all those changes signify by saying aye.

```
0018
 1
                    IN UNISON: Ave.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed
 4
    same nay.
 5
 6
                     (No opposing votes)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        Hearing none, the
 9
     agenda has been revised. Back on the main motion to
10
     adopt the -- there's a motion on the floor to adopt the
11
     revised agenda. Do I hear a second.
12
13
                     MR. FRANTZ: Second.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's been seconded by
    Brower Franz to adopt the agenda as revised.
16
    discussion, any further discussion on the matter.
17
18
19
                     (No comments)
20
21
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Question called for.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       The question's been
24
     called for, it's Brower.
25
26
                     MR. FRANTZ: I believe that was on the
27
    phone, we may have a new call in.
28
29
                     MR. OOMITTUK: This is Steve here.
30
31
                     REPORTER: Steve.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hey, Steve Oomittuk.
34
    All right.
35
36
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                      Yeah, good morning.
37
    I've been listening, I didn't want to interrupt.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: No, you did the right
40
    thing. We were wanting to make sure we acknowledge all
41
    the Council members when they call in.
                                              So you are
42
    officially recognized and.....
43
44
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       .....and making our
47
    quorum a little stronger this morning. (In Native), my
48
    good friend.
49
```

```
0019
 1
                     Question's been called for to adopt the
 2
    revised agenda. Signify by saying aye.
 3
 4
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed say
 7
    nay.
 8
 9
                     (No opposing votes)
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                          We
                                             now have an
12
     agenda. With that we'll go to item 6, review and
13
     approve previous minutes. So we'll need a motion for
14
     that. And the minutes that are under discussion are
15
    March 8 and 9, 2022.
16
17
                                Mr. Chair, I make a motion
                     MS. ITTA:
18
     to adopt the meeting minutes of March 8 and 9 of 2022.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        There's a motion on
21
     the floor to adopt meeting minutes for March 8 and 9,
22
     2022 from Nuiqsut, Martha Itta.
23
24
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Second that motion.
25
     This is Steve.
26
27
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's been seconded by
28
    Steve Oomittuk of Point Hope. Any discussion.
29
30
                     MS. ITTA: Yes, Mr. Chair.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
                                                     Martha
33
     from Nuigsut.
34
35
                     MS. ITTA:
                                   I know I attended this
36
     meeting, but I \operatorname{\mathsf{--}} it shows that I did not give a report
37
     and I believe I gave a report the last meeting.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       All right.
40
    our coordinator at our last meeting, was that Eva?
41
42
                     MS. WESSELS: That was Eva.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        Yeah.
                                               Maybe --
45
            Eva online earlier.
                                    And, Eva, there's
     heard
     discrepancy in the minutes where Martha was present and
46
47
    did provide a report as shesuggested. Eva, is there --
48
     maybe you have some response for that. I know you're
49
     doing a new job nowadays, but I think you were our
```

0020 coordinator back then. 2 3 MS. PATTON: Hello. Good morning, Mr. 4 Chair, members of the Council. This is Eva. Yes, and so if there -- if you find any errors or discrepancies 5 the minutes then please do let your current 6 7 coordinator know and she will be able to make those amendments and changes as you see needed in the minutes 9 so that we have them accurate and correct. 10 11 So thank you for catching that. 12 13 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. 14 Yeah, Madame Coordinator, please note that and it's --15 maybe -- it would be important to make sure we capture all the Council member's comments during their 16 reporting and stuff like that. 17 18 19 MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair. 20 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Earl, go ahead, 21 22 Anaktuvuk. 23 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, Peter Williams. 25 Anyway the last time when we were on this meeting she 26 discussed it at about, you know, there was tough on 27 fish and caribous and that's what the tension was. 28 29 Thank you. 30 31 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Peter. I 32 keep calling you Earl. I always want to call you Earl. 33 34 MR. WILLIAMS: You can call them both. 35 36 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. But thank 37 you for that. Any other discussions on the minutes. 38 39 (No comments) 40 41 MR. OOMITTUK: Question called for. 42 43 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. 44 question's been called for. All those in favor of adopting the minutes with the exception of Martha's 45 46 report which will need to be remedied signify by saying 47 aye. 48 49 IN UNISON: Aye.

0021 1 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed say 2 nay. 3 4 (No opposing votes) 5 6 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, ayes 7 The minutes are adopted to be corrected. 8 9 Thank you. 10 11 MS. HONIG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 12 13 CHAIRMAN BROWER: We'll go to item 7 14 and we'll do Council member reports. And we'll go to 15 Point Hope and, Steve, you have the floor for Point Hope's report. 16 17 18 MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. 19 morning, everybody. Good to hear you. You know. Point 20 Hope has been a pretty good year for caribou. They've 21 been around practically all year, you know, even though 22 the population is low. It seem like they catching 23 caribou throughout the fall, summer, spring, I mean, 24 just a little ways out of town. 25 26 We had some muskox in town. They had 27 to scare a muskox out of town because it had porcupine quills, you know, that was -- we thought about putting 28 29 it to sleep, but they just chased it out of town. 30 31 Just a lot of seals, a lot of (in 32 whales, just been a very good year for Native) 33 everything. 34 You know, the weather's been kind of 35 36 unpredictable, we don't have the normal winds that we 37 normally get at a certain time of the year. It's like the winds are actually changing and that's what brings 38 39 the certain -- like the ducks and the geese, you know. North winds the geese are flying and south winds the 40 ducks are flying, you know. 41 It seems like we didn't 42 get very much north winds this year at certain times of 43 the year like the winds are shifting. We didn't see 44 like ducks. 45 46 that everything's Other than 47 pretty good. We got a bunch of snow. Last year we 48 didn't get snow until November, almost the end of

November, but other than that we had a few accidents,

49

some people out hunting, but the animals have been in abundance this year and that's helped. We're very grateful for that.

Thank you. I think it's been a very good year for, you know, our food source, but it's been very hard for the community this year, seems like a lot of deaths. And we're still moving forward and enjoying our way of life and accepting all the foods that come to us. The belugas, you know, were coming through this fall also. Seems like everything's a month late. The ice left early, but everything is like a month late, you know, the normal time with animals (in Native). You know, the winds -- it's not the same as it normally is, we see a big change the last few years.

Thank you. Everybody enjoy and get to finally have this North Slope Subsistence Advisory Council meeting.

Thank you. Good morning, everybody.

(In Native)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native), Steve. (In Native). It's very good to hear from Point Hope.

With that we'll go to Mr. Frantz from Utqiagvik. And each time we give a Council member's report and just to see what's out there in our neck of our (in Native).

Mr. Frantz.

MR. FRANTZ: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Brower Frantz from Utqiagvik. So we've had a relatively good year as far as our subsistence hunts. I do have a lot to report here. Fishing has been great this year, there's been a lot of whitefish. There were some that were kind of above normal size, not recordbreaking, but there have been a few reported, you know, 28ish inch, I think the biggest I've seen was 32. So there was -- there are years to where some of the whitefish are larger than others. So it was good to see a report of larger whitefish around which means, you know, they have a greater quantity of (in Native) later on.

Belugas have been around, they've been

plentiful and, you know, people have caught them here in town. So there here is an abundance of that resource here throughout the summer. Not too many people go out and catch them, but when they do it is distributed to pretty much everybody that likes to barter. So that's a really good addition to our resource here.

Caribou have been plentiful around town. I know that, you know, according to the numbers they're kind of going down a bit, but hanging in there, but they have been plentiful around Barrow. I have no heard too many complaints of disease or parasite, but there have been some that were brought in with a few different issues with them. But overall from what was caught versus what was brought in I think was a relatively good year that led to a lot of happy people in the community. It did seem like there was a lot of fat on a good amount of the caribou this year so it looked like they were pretty healthy.

There's been a lot of gray whales playing around town, scratching along the beach, jumping around and it seemed like they were a little closer than normal in some cases.

Whaling has been great, a lot of whaling or a lot of whales have been caught this year and they were caught in relatively close proximity to town which also goes along with I think the gray whales being closer to town. But and also they were smaller in size versus what has been caught over the last few years which is a good thing for the community. It doesn't take nearly as long to cut them up and also, you know, it's great for the elders because they don't have to work so hard on trying to eat the whale. So I think they've all been less than 30 feet so that was —I think those are good numbers for Barrow especially when you catch them in great quantities.

 It's been a good -- a great year for walrus. There was a pretty good walrus hunting season that started as soon as the ice went out and it seemed like everybody made use of that resource that was available to us this year and we're grateful for that. So a lot of walrus that have been distributed through the community and it's been great.

Bearded seals. They've been really

good, we caught a couple this year. So bearded seals have been abundant this year. I didn't see any real issues with bearded seals. I know in previous years they -- we have seen them with parasites and with different disease that ended up in some of them not being taken home, but it seemed like this year it didn't have that type of affect on us.

Moose. There has been a greater number of moose seen around the Barrow area, some have wandered north and occasionally you see a couple of them every five or 10 years, but it seems like there was at least three of them that wandered in and Barrow, some around Nunivak, some around Peard Bay, some of them were taken and it seems like they were more north in general.

Muskox. Muskox we have seen over the last few years, an abundance of muskox along the Ikpikpuk River. This year I didn't see them, but I can hear them within a few miles of us and making the crashing noises from their challenges there. But last year we did see a few more in the same vicinity so it kind of goes to say I think Ikpikpuk has at least a stable few muskox that hang around.

Foxes have been plentiful this year. There are hundreds of foxes that are in and around Barrow right now and also owls are plentiful this year too. There was at least 10 of them that we saw in town without leaving the outskirts of town. So must be a good lemming year or at least maybe last year was, but plenty of fox around.

 The other thing that stood out to me this year was porpoise. Over the last two years we've been seeing an abundance of porpoise around here. So we have seen them, I haven't heard of any taken, but we have seen porpoise around town while we were out going for bearded seal and walrus. So porpoise has definitely been, you know, at least two consecutive years that I've seen them personally.

So overall it's been a great season for hunting. I think -- well, I guess I didn't touch on waterfowl. We had a pretty good season this year even though it was kind of late thaw. I believe they pushed back the 30 day closure so we did work along with the wildlife folks here to assist with that at least, you

know, in talks with them. But everything looked good on the waterfowl side.

So I think overall we had a good year and lots of food to go around.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native), Mr. Frantz. Pretty good report. And we'll move over to Anaktuvuk.

Earl.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Yeah, it's good to be back and since last year we've been having like -- we're just struggling for, you know, our Native food, but, you know, we just move on. And we've been going out on sheep hunting. A few guys got sheep, but they don't say much about it because it's our way of life that, you know, they keep it to themselves. You know, the elders used to say don't brag about it, but that animal might hear you bragging and then it'll come back and see you again. That's always bothered.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted).

MR. WILLIAMS: But Ben Betreehe he had a moose -- muskox permit, but he didn't get one. He said he didn't see nothing around the village. That's sad when we've been having a hard time with caribou.

 When I went home to my hometown I talked with elder about caribous and he would tell me that caribou always migrate different routes every so often for the lichen to regrow again. They just don't go the same pattern all the time, they always go different routes. Maybe they go the same way, but different route. But they don't follow the same pattern all the time, every five years or so they come back this way. That's what he told me anyway. And I heard that caribous were around between Arctic Village and Nuiqsut area in the mountains. And I asked him how come they're not moving and he said well, in the falltime when it starts freezing it gets icy and ice packed, you know, and bad for their hooves and stuff.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted).

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

So they stay in the MR. WILLIAMS: mountains where it's snow, not icy, that's why they stay, where it's safe. And one more thing he said is that, I don't know, the caribou sense weather, you know, maybe that typhoon they knew it was going to happen. The quails too, they said they know it's going to happen, they move along. That's what he told me and I just wanted to use that as an example that, you know, due to warm climate change a lot of animals are popping up in the Anaktuvuk area too, you know, like lynx. You don't see no lynx around there because they were all under my house. Wolves coming around, that can be bad. Ben Betreehe ran into a black wolf in the village. Hunting geese, didn't hear much about hunting geese. That's one thing I wouldn't mind trying that at home instead of the young guys.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

Last year, I want to tell you a story about these young boys, I bought some gas for them and some shells, that's Dino's boys. Jagger, he was only about 14. My son flat told me one time he said encourage your kids, buy them something, even a gun or something, not these \$10 (indiscernible), but they'll live by it and look at it all the time, they'll be encouraged to keep -- go hunting. That's what I did to those kids. Yeah, hey, go help those old people out, you know, I'll give you gas and shells. Eyes just popped up, they said yeah, you know, yeah, right there. And they've been out hunting and they've been sharing some caribou with us elders last year, you know. But this year it's quiet and just got to pray for one another to keep ourself healthy.

I just wanted to share this with you all. Thank you very much and everything. Hope our hunting seasons get back in $-\!\!-$ on the road.

39 40 41

Thank you very much.

42 43

44

45

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Peter. Yeah, I just wanted to -- you know, it's a good opportunity to provide some feedback or also questions. So I just wanted to add on your....

46 47 48

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted).

2

4

MS. WESSELS: Yeah. Whoever is on the phone and doesn't have their phones mute, please mute your phone. We can hear you rustling around and walking around. Please move your phone -- I mean, mute your phone.

5 6 7

Thank you.

8

10

11

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Those online remember to mute and we'll get Carmen here in a minute before I make an observation real quick. You talked a little bit about when the rivers freeze....

12 13 14

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28 29 30

CHAIRMAN BROWER:and when he -because I'm always reminded of when my dad was alive, he was -- you know, he was a pretty good expert in my own opinion, he was a reindeer herder from 1920s, '30s and '40s, did a lot of reindeer husbandry up here in the Arctic. And always looking out for caribou. was the reverse, they were afraid of caribou because they had to protect the reindeer because the reindeer's going to follow them. The reindeer will follow the caribou, they will take off with them if you're not careful. And but we've been exposed to those stories for many years. One of the things I remember is that and this is why he selected Chip 9 as -- it's -- the traditional name is (in Native), it's from the (in Native) area. He select his Native allotment there. It's because of the rivers, they confluence. And the caribou become sketchy and afraid to cross ice and they will converge and follow that.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

31

32

And one other thing I heard him talk about when ConocoPhillips was drilling out by (in Native), by the Monument. And there was an ice road, a real ice road, all the way to Prudhoe from Barrow, you know, not a snow trail, a really -- a real ice road. One of the concerns he had was that the caribou will look at that and be afraid to cross that, they will travel alongside of it for a long ways and then Sometimes it works to your advantage and crossover. sometimes it doesn't. And I was thinking about the trail that goes from Gailbreath to Anaktuvuk because the caribou that might normally go north and hang around there might follow that (in Native) and they would follow that coming from the east.

And that's just listening to the elders that see how these animals react to their own environment on top of a manmade environment like an ice road look like a river sometimes if you look, an icy river.

(In Native) and that's just my own things I've been exposed to.

And, Carmen, I think you had a question for Mr. Williams.

MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair to member Williams. My name is Carmen Daggett for the And I was interested in the same thing that Gordon brought up actually about the river observation. So we've seen a few years that the Teshekpuk Herd gets stalled at the Colville River this time of year. And currently when I recently I think yesterday looked at the map of where all the collars are, they're again lined up along the Colville River. And so I would be interested to know what the river conditions are like right now in your area. I don't know if anybody has been around rivers in that part of the country at all, but I would be interested to know if there's pan ice or if ice is real thin yet down there, any observations there from anyone, even Martha at Nuigsut, I would be interested to know.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Earl, (in Native) was asking about the ice in the rivers.

 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, it was -- it's still running, but kind of really slippery like icy, just not really freezing up, just like they call it (indiscernible) not much though, it's just a little snow, but not much snow either. On the lake they're kind of froze, but they're not -- you know, pretty thin yet due to the weather change here, it's just really drastic.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Earl. I hope that was what you were fishing for.

MS. DAGGETT: I guess I was thinking or have been considering for a while looking at a research project to take a look at when rivers freeze and if there does seem to be a solid correlation about migration timing related to rivers freezing. And I

have heard other elders talk about panic and I've read about it in the literature some too. And so I know it's been a big issue for Anaktuvuk Pass on getting caribou to Anaktuvuk Pass. And so I would be really interested to try to understand the drivers behind fall migration better and perhaps this is part of that equation. So that's why I was asking those questions.

Thank you, Mr. Chair and members.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. With that I'll just check if Kaktovik, if you've come in yet, Eddie Rexford, are you online.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, we'll go to Nuigsut, Martha Itta.

MS. ITTA: Yes, good morning, everyone. Where to start first. Okay. Since we're on the topic of the caribou, I'm really honored to, you know, my community -- for my community to help out our neighbor, Anaktuvuk Pass on caribou. They -- we do have an abundance of caribou coming through like it was a good herd passing through, like when we let the first herds go through there was a good big herd of caribou that went through. And the migration, there's a lot of concern within the migration route, they're following their usual migration routes, they're coming from different ways now, coming in to Nuigsut like from the south to the east. And yet they do get stuck on the Colville River or GMT because or the roads, the traffic that we have. A lot of the caribou do not crossover on their migration route going toward Fish Creek.

And there have been some sick caribou that we've been catching still. Residents had been turning them over to Fish and Wildlife, but have not gotten answers on results on those that we sent out to be tested.

But pretty much our caribou is -- the migration is off. They're not crossing the roads on the west side and that's where we usually, you know, catch the caribou under restriction, we can't shoot around the pipeline so we have to watch them, we monitor them to, you know, to cross roads and to get to

an area where we can shoot them. Many times the only one that we catch that crosses the road are sick. There are caribou that can barely walk, they -- they're tired. We were monitoring caribou just not too long ago, this last couple of months waiting for them to cross to the west side and they were moving slow. Some of them couldn't even walk, they would stop like right on the middle of the road and get their strength and then keep walking. So the migration and traffic are still concerns, restrictions are still deep concerns from the community.

Going on to our fish our -- we usually get a lot of fish right down at the river in the village, downriver -- going downriver toward the ocean. We usually have a lot of abundance of fish, but this last year or two it's been really slow with our (in Native) and our sheefish (ph). It's a lot slower, we're not getting as many. But when you go upriver like toward (in Native) and set your net there's a lot of fish there. And which we have to travel farther as opposed to just setting our nets right down there in town. So that issue that we're having with our fish is there's not as many in town going downriver, but more going upriver.

We do our -- we still are seeing sick fish and still waiting on results from Fish and Wildlife on those that were sent in. Still some are missing their organs such as their livers and stuff so we do catch fish with missing organs.

The walrus and the seals, hunting has been good. Our community's been really blessed with walrus and seals. We haven't heard any -- really any concerns right there.

Moose. We're getting more hunters getting more moose. So that was really good the last year or two everybody's been having moose and enjoying moose.

With our geese, our (in Native), we have been -- there was a few geese caught that had -- that seemed sick, that had foam coming out of their mouths. So we have been catching geese that are sick and with stuff coming out of their mouth. I don't know if anybody sent those into Fish and Wildlife, I haven't heard of anybody turning those in.

Muskox. I'm very grateful that they opened up muskox in our region. They have been multiplying really fast, we're getting more and more muskox in our village, even right in town, right across And I know there was concerns about the the river. muskox harassing the caribou, bothering the caribou. So our community caught -- I know there was a couple of hunters that caught a muskox, got their permits and they're really enjoying it, they're really enjoying having muskox and being able to hunt them is something new to our generation, our younger generation, so they're really thankful when honored to be, you know, to be able to hunt those and share it with the community because many hasn't tried it yet.

So for the squirrels, I'd like to talk about this is the first -- I don't know if this is the first time I'm bringing this up, but they had done seismic all around Nuiqsut, did a whole seismic work right in our village, within the three mile jurisdiction. Right -- even right on our rivers they were doing seismic and we haven't seen any squirrels in years. This year I saw one squirrel and that's the first squirrel I've seen in years. We don't have any more (indiscernible) coming out and we're thinking that it's due to the seismic activity that's happened in our village.

And also our berries with the seismic work that happened, going all over our (in Native), we're not finding our berries where we usually find them. We have to go -- travel upriver or downriver to find berries when we used to just pick them right in our backyard. But due to the seismic work that had damaged our tundra we're not seeing the berries there anymore, we have to travel by boat upriver to go get berries.

I don't know, the bears is the number 1 thing that -- the bears this last year, two, three years, they're coming closer, they're coming into town now right before hibernation. We've been having bear encounters. They never used to come into town before, but now they're right into town. We had -- almost had a bear attack right -- my neighbor, my aunt's house, I live right across her house and there's a grizzly and her two cubs right after whaling season came into town in the middle of the night. It was pitch dark and my aunt's a smoker so she goes outside to smoke and, you

know, it's pitch black and she hears something, what is that noise. And she goes in, turns on her light, comes back out and the bear is just charging right at her on her porch, you know, it was almost getting to her. She had enough time to run in and lock her door and the neighbors came out shooting a gun, you know, and my neighbor was screaming and hollering, two of my neighbors and we're like oh, dear, he's at it again, And we were thinking it was a domestic you know. dispute or something because it sounded like he was really intoxicated and, you know, just having one of those nights. And we're like really scared, hiding, oh, my god, he's at it again, everybody's locking their doors and it happened that he was trying to scare the bear off from his yard. And then the gun shooting, 15 shots, we thought he was shooting, oh, no, he's going crazy and it happened to be a bear on their yard charging at him. And then, you know, there's a video of it that went viral of that incident.

So we're having grizzly bear problems right now. It's terrorizing our community, it really traumatized our community when those bears came into town. They scare them off and they keep coming back, they just keep coming back and our community is, you know, asking a lot of questions on, you know, they need to put them down, why aren't they putting them down, but everybody knows that you cannot shoot a mother and a cub. So, you know, they're just — they hired a bear watcher, 12 hours a day, so that helps a lot, but they just keep coming back and there's questions within our community whether they can put them down without getting into trouble because they're harassing our community.

Okay. Let's see.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Martha.

MS. ITTA: Yes.

 $$\operatorname{CHAIRMAN}$$ BROWER: There's Carmen I think wants to respond on something.

MS. ITTA: Okay.

MS. DAGGETT: You can finish if you want and then I've got a bunch of stuff I want to ask you.

5

6

7

9

10

MS. ITTA: Oh, okay. The weather is changed a lot, has changed a lot and has been a factor in many of our issues regarding subsistence. Usually it's freezing right now, but right now there's still a lot of water, it's raining/snowing in our village so it'll freeze and then it'll melt, freeze again. Usually they're setting nets at this time, it's frozen, but there's still a lot of comment, water in the -- on the sides of the river so it's not fully frozen. We -- just the weather is unpredictable and, you know, we kind of quit listening to the weather guy.

11 12 13

(Laughter)

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

MS. ITTA: So the weather's really unpredictable. So with that I think -- I don't know if this is the place to be bringing restrictions and stipulations. I know the Bureau of Land Management attends these meetings, but there is a lot of concern regarding project developments and such as the Willow. I know it's past that public process, but, you know, with the Department of Interior and Washington, D.C. the leadership coming folks, here to meet the leadership of our community which is our corporation president and I don't know if our mayor was invited, but our community believes that, you know, that isn't-that is not listening to the community, it's listening to one person who is the president of our corporation and not going to our community and talking to our community themselves, looking at them in their faces and getting their words and their comments and concerns as opposed to going to one person, two people, whoever was invited from our community as opposed to meeting 500 people face to face. There's a lot of concern about that process because they're left out on these decisions, these major decisions that are being said and done out of our village and we have to deal with it once they -- once it's said and done and they come That's a concern that a lot of, you know, our community members been speaking out. And I just wanted to mention that.

41 42 43

I think that's all I have for now.

44 Thank you.

45 46

47

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Martha. Carmen. I think she has some responses to some -- I'm thinking it's law enforcement.

MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair to member Itta. I actually have several questions and comments. That was a very thorough report and very detailed. So thank you very much for taking your time to think about that and give a really good presentation.

The first thing that I remember in your list was that caught my attention was the caribou and talking about the caribou. You said that you sent some sick caribou into Fish and Wildlife Service?

MS. ITTA: Yes, there was a few hunters that had sent samples out to Fish and Wildlife.

MS. DAGGETT: Okay. So there is also another option, if you wish you can certainly submit samples through Fish and Game as well. And when we get samples like sick caribou we send them veterinarian in Fairbanks, she does the necropsy and then we get a report from her. And I share that information when I get the caribou with the North Slope Borough Wildlife Department as well. So you have a couple of avenues you can work with there. And I just wanted to let you know that there's some options there that hunters can utilize if they wish. It's your choice entirely.

Muskox. So there's definitely a variety of muskox hunts that are going to be available beyond what is currently available this year. And I will be in Nuigsut for that career fair that ConocoPhillips is putting on and there will be tier two hunt applications available during that time period and I will be happy to help people fill them out. So if people are interested in applying for the State hunts that are available in your area, I'm happy to help during that time period and would love to help people in any way that they need there.

All right. Bears. So I've had a lot of conversations with the North Slope Borough Wildlife Department and with individuals in Nuigsut about the bear situation. There are definitely a couple of options for dealing with those bears. Defense of life and property is definitely an option and in the situation that you were talking about with individuals being charged, that is definitely a situation where someone could legally take a bear even though it's a

0035 sow with cubs. 2 3 MS. ITTA: Uh-huh. 4 5 MS. DAGGETT: So hunters need to know 6 that. I've told every hunter that I've talked to that 7 that's true and the North Slope Borough Wildlife Department has been conveying that message as well. 9 10 There's another option too. And I've 11 talked with Public Safety some about this option. There's a permit that can be applied for to deal with 12 the whole issue and it's a public safety related 13 14 And I talked with the police department in permit. 15 Nuigsut about perhaps them applying for that permit to be able to take those bears. I talked with North Slope 16 Borough Wildlife Department regarding that issue and 17 18 asked them if they would be interested in applying for 19 that permit as well and they said they hadn't been 20 hearing anything from Nuigsut lately. So they didn't --21 if it continues to be an issue let's get that permit applied for, let's deal with the bears. 22 23 24 MS. ITTA: Okay. I have a question, 25 maybe a couple. When you're talking about permit and, 26 you know, our community -- bears harassing our 27 community and, you know, if somebody has to shoot them, but they didn't get a permit will they get in 28 29 trouble.... 30 31 MS. DAGGETT: So.... 32 33 MS. ITTA:or how does that -- how 34 would this work, like would everybody have to apply for 35 a bear permit? 36 37 MS. DAGGETT: So first of all people --38 it depends on the scenario. So there was one 39 individual that contacted me from Nuigsut who recently 40 shot a bear. That bear didn't have cubs so it was 41 perfectly legal for them to hunt it without having a 42 permit. 43 44 MS. ITTA: Okay. 45 46 MS. DAGGETT: They..... 47 48

MS.

49

50

doesn't....

ITTA:

Just as long

```
0036
                   MS. DAGGETT: ....should have a
 1
 2
    hunting license....
 3
                    MS. ITTA: Okay.
 4
 5
 6
                   MS. DAGGETT: .....but you can actually
 7
          take
                   it under your regular hunting
 8
    regulations....
 9
10
                    MS. ITTA: Okay.
11
12
                    MS. DAGGETT: ....because there's a
13
    year round season for bears.....
14
15
                    MS. ITTA: Yeah. Okay.
16
17
                    MS. DAGGETT: ....on the North
18
    Slope....
19
20
                    MS. ITTA: Okay.
21
                    MS. DAGGETT: ....at least in 26A. So
22
23
    it's the sow with cubs that's the problem.....
24
25
                    MS. ITTA: Okay. Yeah.
26
                    MS. DAGGETT: ....in that.....
27
28
29
                    MS. ITTA: Yeah. Yeah.
30
31
                    MS. DAGGETT: ....because you're not
    allowed to legally take a sow with cubs except under
32
    the defense of life and property regulation.
33
34
35
                    MS. ITTA: Yeah.
36
37
                    MS. DAGGETT: It's still legal to shoot
38
    an animal under those regulations....
39
40
                    MS. ITTA: Okay.
41
42
                    MS. DAGGETT: .....it just is a bit
43
    more paperwork.
44
45
                    MS. ITTA: Okay.
46
47
                    MS. DAGGETT: And the individual won't
48
    be allowed to keep the animal. So that's kind of the
49
    difference between those and kind of the same thing
50
```

```
0037
    with the public safety permit.....
 2
 3
                    MS. ITTA: Yes.
 4
 5
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                  .....it would allow you
 6
     to take the sow with cubs -- would allow an individual
 7
     to take the sow with cubs and it would be basically
 8
     planned ahead of time....
 9
10
                    MS. ITTA: Okay.
11
12
                    MS. DAGGETT: .....and done that way.
13
    But defense of life and property, if I'm in danger I
14
    need to take this animal right now.
15
16
                    MS. ITTA: Yeah, that was the main.....
17
18
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                   That's always legal or
19
    that's legal, but it takes a little bit more paperwork
20
    to process.
21
22
                    MS. ITTA:
                              Okay.
23
24
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                    And then there's the
25
    regular hunting season which people are allowed to take
26
     animals as long as it's not a sow with cubs. Does that
27
    make sense?
28
29
                    MS. ITTA: They don't -- yeah, it does.
30
     Thank you for that.
                           I don't think they go -- they
31
     don't get bears in Nuigsut very much, it's just they
32
     put them down when they're harassing the community. We
33
     don't really eat bear there.
34
35
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                    Yeah, I'm aware of at
36
     least a couple of individuals that shoot bears annually
37
     in Nuigsut and they seal them with me under their
38
     regular hunting license.
                              So but that being said,
39
     understand people have different preferences for what
40
     they want to take and what they want to eat and that's
41
     all fine.
42
43
                    MS. ITTA:
                                 Thank you.
                                                That's the
44
     question I needed answered because that was, you know,
     the really big question in Nuiqsut, why aren't they
45
46
    putting them down and, you know, those hunters are like
47
     you can't shoot them.....
48
49
                    MS. DAGGETT: Yeah.
```

```
0038
 1
                    MS. ITTA:
                               .....you know, but now I --
 2
    now I have an answer for them.
 4
                    Thank you.
 5
 6
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                  Yeah. And feel free if
 7
     there's ever any more questions regarding that I'm
     available at anytime to answer those questions. And if
     you guys are interested in pursuing that permit you
 9
10
     should let me know because I can help you get.....
11
12
                    MS. ITTA: Thank you.
13
14
                    MS. DAGGETT: .....that established.
15
     already drafted a version of it and gave it to the
16
    Wildlife Department, but.....
17
18
                    MS. ITTA: Okay.
19
20
                    MS. DAGGETT: .....I'm not sure if they
21
    pursued that. So.....
22
23
                    MS. ITTA: Thank you.
24
25
                    MS. DAGGETT: Thanks.
26
27
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Carmen.
28
    And, Martha, I would think that -- just want to know if
29
    you're done with your report.
30
31
                    MS. ITTA: Yes. I think that's all I
32
    have for now, Mr. Chair.
33
34
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       (In Native).
35
    go to Anaktuvuk to Esther Hugo. You have the floor.
36
37
                    MS. HUGO:
                                Thank you, Mr. Chair.
38
     just want to note that we are waiting for our caribou
39
     to come and we got -- when they do come like while I'm
    here or on the way home, we'll be catching the cows
40
41
    because the bulls will be rutted.
                                         And as I spoke
42
    earlier about the charter to Nuiqsut, yes, it cost the
    city arm and leg, but we had put funds aside last year
43
     just in case we get into this problem again with the
44
                  And it happened so right now we're
45
    migration.
46
    patiently waiting for the cows to come, but I think
47
    they're taking the west -- western site, camera site,
48
    west of us. So hopefully they'll come around this next
```

month, December, the new year. That's just hopeful,

49

1 really hopeful.

 There's been a lot of bear sighting, even right to the airport there. It was one of the -- I guess one of the mamma cub shooed it -- shoo it away, you know, and this cub came. I think they shot it because he keep coming around and there's a lot of kids in the rivers or trying to go fishing. So we had to put that bear down. The bears were -- abundance of bears.

I missed out on blueberries and (in Native) picking because I had to escort my cousin to Kaktovik, she's got oxygen and she's a year older and she had asked me to escort her. So I missed out on picking blueberries and (in Native). I got a little bit, but not enough. And with the short summer, you know, it's amazing that we do pick berries with the short summer. But it's always good to go out there, enjoy the day and just pick berries.

And there's been -- I see sightings of weasels just before I came. They are -- they're hungry too, looking for a little scrap meat, maybe tutu fat or tutu meat. I've been seeing sightings of weasels and rabbits. They're still hanging around, changing colors and they're not as much as before, the rabbits. They should be down in the trees because we never have sightings of rabbits when we were growing up.

You know, there's 98 households at home and we got 21 caribou. So you times 21 times four of them legs, the front and the back hindquarters, 21 times four. But then it wouldn't even feed the whole family because we were short 26 households as we speak. So I'm trying to see how we can fix that because they feel left out and the rest of the -- well, we let the seniors and gave the seniors most of the portion of caribou, that little fat that we got. And then I got to get home and think about these 26 families that didn't even get a bite. So hopefully the cows come pretty soon. They're looking out, everyday they're looking the outlook where we see if they're coming from the north.

And there's been a lot of sightings of wolves, big, black and there's a big, black wolf we need to catch. I know they got the gray one right where the migration starts north of us. And the bears

they're there too, they're hungry. And we know that, we see it through the predators that's coming to the village. They're just as hungry as we are just for that piece of meat of tutu.

And there's some people caught sheep just recently. I had a portion and, man, I just kept that for a day or two wondering should I save it or should I eat it or share it. That was hard, but and they got a moose and they shared that and I think Earl had got a lot of moose meat, I know that.

(Laughter)

MS. HUGO: Because a lot of us, you know, we were offered chip blocks and I don't think most of us got any, but I'm glad he got a whole bunch.

 And, I mean, to me personally or to some of my (in Native) we know there's moose, but we'll eat it only if we're starving and we have nothing to eat. That's how we feel, I mean, some of us feel at home. Yes, it's good to eat moose, the big buck, yeah. The bull the size of the caribou (indiscernible) marrow bone. But it's just -- we're just thankful that they're able to go out there and catch moose or sheep when we really need that just for the old folks, I guess they're the ones that we look to because we know we can see in them that they're really craving for tutu or some kind of meat.

And it's just one of the concern and issues that we been dealing with for years and nothing been resolved. Been hollering, been pointing fingers, but this issue will not go away. And it hasn't, nothing's been resolved, we've been talking about this issue for so long it even got to where we blame a lot of our sport hunters. They're the people if somebody out there can listen to us, they probably say oh, it's the same old thing, she's just talking about it, but we know because we're caribou people, we seen it, we heard it from our old folks. That's a tough issue because caribou got four legs and the whole NPR-A out there, outside of our mountains, the whole -- from Point Hope all the way to Barter Island. And, you know, you add up the numbers on the caribou there's at least more than half a million -- I mean, the numbers went down, but close to half a million. And not one darn caribou came this -- right now. Last year they did and it was

a sight, we were so happy, but not this year. It's just one of those issues and concerns that we'll always continue to have because we have no choice but to survive with the caribou.

4 5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

And it hurts a lot because we got a lot of rules and regulations. But, you know, my -- our people survive, they've been surviving since time. And to me I'm getting older and I'm just wondering whether my grandkids, my (in Native), I got 10 (in Native), brand new one just a couple months ago. Just wondering about them, whether they'll have the same issues as we have been since pipeline. I know there's supposed to be a change in life, but it hurts me because tutu is the way of life for us to survive. I'm sorry I get sensitive over this because I just can picture our people and they're not with me, but they're behind me. And the loss of our old folks, a handful of them now. But we'll listen to them, we'll get their knowledge and their understanding. Otherwise we'll -- we got hope. We've been doing this for so long even though nothing's changed.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

We even had proposals twice since I've been on to the Board of Game. We testified in front of these guys, the Board of Game. And that was hurtful part. If they just can understand and hear what we say I guess it would work better, but they don't. I'm just upset a lot of times. But I learned to -- we learned to survive, we'll go on like my father and my mom did, my (in Native) and my (in Native) did. That's how come we're not meat people, we're caribou people, we're different, we're just one of those in the wild Brooks Range from east to west, north to -- so just thankful we have relatives from Nuiqsut that come and share. We even got some fresh (in Native), muktuk, a whole bunch They were pretty happy just to get some (in Native) fresh. The younger generation really craved that, (in Native) and the muktuk, they really love that more than I do I think.

40 41 42

But thank you all. Sorry again. I do get emotional. I'm sorry.

43 44 45

46

47

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native), Esther. (In Native). And I really appreciate all of that and, you know, I learn, I learn too. And I'm kind of getting old myself, you know, and I am always learning. And it's important. And thank you for your report.

Brower, I think has questions for Esther.

2 3 4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

MR. FRANTZ: Well, I don't know if -- I guess moreover a comment on it. I don't know if this is the right time to do it or like a possible scenario of the issue. I've been listening to this issue for years as, you know, part of the Planning Department, Fish and Game Management Committee and this is the same exact issue that comes up every meeting, every year for years, since I've been with the Borough, 2015, 2014. And it's repetitive and it seems like it's still there so I guess throughout the years, you know. Now I know the boundaries of the State hunts versus, you know, working with wildlife, the migratory routes and the tagging so I was wondering if there has ever been a study or at least some research thrown into the migration pattern versus the State's boundaries where they allow sport hunters, the locations of where the sport hunters are, you know, I used to work compliance so I know exactly where the airplanes land to drop off their folks which is right at Gunsight Mountain and they block off the valley there for the migration going south. So I wonder if there's ever been any type of research to correlate the migration pattern and maybe some of the collars and the timing that they have all of the sport hunters and there's literally hundreds, maybe even thousands of them that they go up the Haul Road with almost no Stateenforcement there. So it's -you know, I'm just wondering if there has ever been or can there be some type of investigation into this matter with those pieces, with the migration route, where the State is allowed to put sport hunters in the State lands, where the hunters are actually going because they're supposed to provide their GPS locations and if there is a deflection there.

36 37 38

So just my comments. Thanks.

39 40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Brower. Those are important, you know, regardless if it's the right timing or not I think they should be expressed. Those are -- they're -- it's a long -- maybe as long as I've been on the Subsistence Board and that's -- I think I started 1998 or '96 or somewhere around there on this particular Board. And those have always been -- we even had a dedicated session as the Western Arctic Caribou Herd started to show a serious decline we convened in Anaktuvuk to talk about State standard, why

there was an ANS, amount necessary for subsistence, versus how they determine those kind of things and whether or not they're allowed to mix Teshekpuk and Western Arctic Caribou Herd in the same grouping to make a larger ANS out of the whole situation. There's been a lot of things as I roll through my own rolodex in my mind how we've been trying to figure including I think in the late 1990s or early 2000s we advocated through -- with the ADF&G and others to develop a control use area north of Anaktuvuk. I think that's still on the books today, but they might need to be -- I always think there's a better way to do something when something is not -- just because it looks good on paper doesn't mean it's working, you know.

Only when we -- you know, I can only recall some of the other stuff like the North Slope Borough Assembly when development was being proposed for Alpine and its satellites, they determined that there was this impact based on when you deplete a resource from the area while there is a liberal management scheme that's being imposed because the population is such that it's -- the management is liberal, meaning the caribou are not insufficient to supply all the resources for the entire State. But the North Slope Borough Assembly determined that you can deplete those resources from an area, even its abundance. And I can -- I had to help write these things and recommendations to the Assembly, I know that for a fact and that's how the subsistence mitigation was created by the Borough for Nuigsut was because of the subsistence resources, because of pipelines being prominent, oil field security starting to be more prominent and the ability to hunt liberally on lands that normally had subsistence resources were severely restricted. And that in effect depleted the ability to hunt those lands as if they were still liberal in that management scheme.

So there's lots of ways to think about how we -- and I think we should never stop to try to find the right way even if we have to make some changes and things like that because I always thought -- you know, there's these things called the preponderance of the evidence that exists to do some of these things is important. And I still think north of Anaktuvuk that because the liberal management scheme within the State allowing for Fairbanks, allowing for Anchorage, Juneau, everybody in their right mind to go just north of

Anaktuvuk to get personal use while the area of influence that's identified by a community exists, which area of influence in the Borough's comprehensive plan which is mandated by State statutes, it's required by North Slope Borough law and they create these comprehensive plans for every community. In them lies some of the resources that the Federal agencies, the State agencies and by the way Alaska statutes require the State agencies to conform to the laws of the North Slope Borough Planning/Zoning Authority. When they create these things they're not figment of some imagination, they're law and that should be recognized by other forms of government.

So an area of influence is an area immediately outside the village district, immediately outside of the city limits that is used to provide traditional and subsistence uses that support the community. Now what do you do when you put a lot of different hunters, guides, personal use in front of an area of influence that is adopted by ordinance, made into law by the North Slope Borough which the State is required by Alaska statutes and I can get those citations and plaster them up here and say hey, State, you should be looking at some of these things here, to prevent a hardship because the Federal law requires a rural preference. When the local government says that you can deplete a subsistence resource even though it's liberally managed by deflection or putting other competing uses in its wake, in front of the area of influence needed to support a village.

Well, maybe it's time for OSM to now provide a subsistence mitigation program much like the North Slope Borough does for Nuiqsut. And those are important things to think about. And there are many ways to think about what mitigation efforts that may need to be brought about because of all of these conflicting uses, even in liberal management. I'm not saying the current caribou climate management is liberal, I think it's pretty near preservation, conservation teetering right there because of the population decline.

Anyway I -- you get me going on some of these things and I'll go off on a tangent because I really believe it's important to listen to our communities. They're the ones trying to put food on the table, they're trying to make clothing and they're

-- they have -- you know, the demographics in our communities. When a community has 50 jobs and you have 400, 500 people in that community, you're going to see subsidizing families from those that are making a living with resources to help other families, say we'll provide you gas and do this and go hunt for the community. That is not -- they're not just saying that for the sake of saying that, they're trying to put food on the table. Very important things to think about.

And our berries. Those are important, important, vital resources. We don't -- we can't grow corn, we can't grow other things, we can't farm, we depend on nature to allow for a variety of different foods. And they're -- when these things start to become broken nutritional issues start to be more prominent.

With that I'm going to yield to Martha. I think, Martha, you raised your hand on the same topic, but.....

MS. ITTA: Yes. Mr. Chair, thank you. Going back to Mr. Frantz' comments on if there are any studies about on the migration route and State jurisdiction. I just wanted to express my experience with working with the Federal, State, the Borough government all together in regards to all these projects, you know, that went up in Nuiqsut for oil and gas industry. That has been an issue we've been voicing for years and years, putting them into public comment. And the one thing that we've always run into was conflict. Because we do have Steven Brown and Associates doing studies for Nuigsut on our caribou just for Nuiqsut, but then it should expand and there's the North Slope Borough Fish and Wildlife doing studies and then there's the State doing studies. So we have all these agencies doing these studies on our caribou, fish and all our animals for their projects that they It's all in their permits, their want permitted. stipulations, their requirement to do so to get done before any permits are issued.

So there's a lot of conflict that we run into, it's there, but I think the way it would work and the way it would resolve is having one contractor do the whole nine yards, village to village, on the whole migration, working with the State, but also for and all the villages and, you know, get the answers

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

right there. I think that would be the best way to do instead of having conflicts with -- so many conflicts with all these agencies trying to do the same thing, you know, bringing all these concerns like air traffic. I mean, they're trying to do studies right now in Nuigsut when they're trying to catch the caribou before they rut and not disturbing them. They've been voicing these concerns years and years during these Like Esther said they're not being public processes. heard, they're not being listened to and that -- you know, that's really important to know because it can be resolved, it's just nobody's stepping up and every -all these agencies are pointing fingers at each other and then telling us it's our job because we're the -we're the knowledge. But when we try to give you guys the knowledge it doesn't go anywhere, it goes the opposite direction of what we say.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

So that's another -- that's an issue that we've always had to deal with is conflict. It can be done and I've requested that time and time again along with our fish because right now the Borough, the State and the industry have control of all that, all the studies, the report, they handle all that, not the We give our two cents in, we give it all, village. everything that we have to try to protect our way of life and animals. Now they're slowly depleting, they're going away and it really hurts us and nobody's listening. Nobody's listening. And all the agencies are responsible, the Borough Planning Department who permits -- who does the final permitting after public process, after listening to the community, but then it always ends up on the other side, on the industry side, the State, the Federal so they can get their money. But then they leave our people out and that's a really big concern. So conflict is a really big issue and trying to resolve our issues within these agencies. We know who they are because we have to live with them, we have to deal with them. It's always good to put a face to names, to agencies, because we depend on -- our lives depend on those people to keep us safe and to do it right.

42 43 44

45

46

So I just wanted to make that statement, we deal with a lot of conflict. It's time to start resolving our issues. There's a way, there's always a way.

47 48 49

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Martha. And thank you again, Esther. (In Native)

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I'm just saying thank you for making us more passionate about these issues, it's very important.

Carmen -- yeah, I'll go ahead and recognize Carmen. We're still on Esther's report.

MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair to members Hugo, Frantz and Itta. I -- your question, Brower, your very specific question about timing, hunters, locations, that is certainly something we can look into and there's an open period right now for submitting research proposals through the Fish and Game region currently. And so I can work on something like that. It's helpful to have specific questions like the one that you pointed out. And I think that there's this overarching issue of trying to get caribou to Anaktuvuk Pass before the rut, before October 10 I think is the right date, correct me if I'm wrong?

(No comments)

MS. DAGGETT: Okay. And so I think it's important to look at a variety of different questions associated with that problem and try to pursue each one. And it might take some time to try to suss out exactly what is causing all the issues, but I think we can take them one at a time. And so I can work with you, Brower, and others that want to be involved and try to get a research proposal submitted to take a look at that issue.

Thank you for your words. Thank you for your knowledge. And we'll be in touch.

MR. FRANTZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Thank you, Carmen. And we appreciate, you know, bending the ear enough to do something more than what we've already been trying to do.

With that I'm going to see if Kaktovik has chimed in yet. Eddie Rexford, we're still on the

Council member reports and if you're on, Eddie Rexford, it's a good time to do your report.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Maybe we'll get to hear Eddie later on. We'll see if Atqasuk, Wanda Kippi, if you're on.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And we'll go to (in Native), Wainwright, Billy Patkotak, are you on.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. We'll move on down to the Chair's report. And I appreciate all the Council members providing their detail reports. It's always important to hear from all the communities, I think it's important for land managers, regulatory bodies and sometimes as a whole to be able to see what issues are within our communities and maybe the ability to craft regulation proposals that might do something about it.

And like everybody else, you know, my house was blessed with caribou here in Utqiagvik and my son was hunting and he does a lot of my hunting nowadays and I say yeah, they're your boats now, son, and go out there and do stuff. I'm hurting today so I'm glad our younger people love to go out there and use the lands in the same way we've used them for many years. So and caribou were close to Barrow in late July, a lot of caribou around Barrow. It was a big blessing otherwise I would be hunting in Ikpikpuk and trying to find a way to bring all those resources back to Barrow. And my cabin is, you know, 75 and 80 miles southeast of here. And it's always a arm and a leg to go up that way.

And it was important to hear about all of our resources. Some of my friends that live on the Chip River says oh, man, we see muskox up there, but the guys that had the permits issued to them weren't around to get those ones or try to get one of those. And I think the current — the only hunt that I know of for muskox is 155 West right now if I'm not mistaken. And maybe I'll go ahead and hear from Carmen and — at

least the ones on the Federal regs.

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, thank you for your question regarding muskox regulation. So the Federal hunting regulations, you're correct. going to be another State hunt opening that -- the eastern side. There's a -- Jason Caikoski's going to talk about it because it's kind of his proposal, but there's some information on the table and I believe in your packets about a tier two hunt that is opening east of 153 longitude so it includes Nuigsut and west of the Haul Road. So that's kind of the east/west boundaries for your reference. And then the State tier two hunt is going to be opening as well. The application period starts November 1 for that tier two hunt for the State entity to your -- the Federal proposal that went through. So I think they kept the 156 boundary though unfortunately when they put it into regulation so that's going to be a little confusing until we can get that amended. But so there is going to be technically three different hunts on the books for muskox in 26A that will be huntable next fall. Applicable this fall for the tier two hunt for the State side just so that we're all on the same page.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Carmen. We normally just think about the Federal regs because that's where I -- I'm on Federal land. In any event, Carmen, thank you for that update.

And I'm just happy to hear all of the reports from all of the Council members on the areas.

And I didn't get to go fall fishing this year. I did last year and that was -- even though it was very difficult and I know there's some concerns about late freeze up and issues around rivers and things like that that may be -- should be a study in my view about -- I don't want to say global climate change, but I think there are enough changes to where our catch is -- I would normally keep my catch in a warehouse at my camp and for many years, it seems like maybe at least eight years my catch would freeze, thaw, freeze, thaw. And a big portion of them would become rancid, they would spoil. It's not they're not edible at that point and there are certain, you know, elders that I know that love to eat (in Native) and could be

made into those types of fish which is very green and you would have to close your nose and eat it.

2 3 4

(Laughter)

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BROWER: But my mom loved it. My mom loved to eat (in Native) fish. And to some degree I really like that stuff too. But it's important to be able to preserve your catch and keep it fresh which is generally how the general public likes it especially when you're fishing for -- in the prime with the eggs in them, the whitefish. And there's only a certain period of time and more often now the river where you catch these are full of slush, you can't put your net in them and you're going to other tributaries and that's what I did last year. The main Ikpikpuk Chip flowing, you try to put a net in that you're going to lose your net in 15 minutes. Then I go to the -- if the river's high enough I go to a tributary and fish that and get as much there and it's more calm and you're able to put nets under the ice. And last year I think all of my catch went to various different villages from Anaktuvuk to Point Lay and to Atgasuk, they were distributed widely on the Slope.

242526

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

And then I think a very important thing about the Inuit culture is the sharing. And, you know, we're -- if you were not here in I think it was 2018 had icy sea up here. And I always like to look at the Inuit culture because of it's population. In 2018 Greenland, Alaska, Canada and Russian Inuit population all together was 169,000 total Inuit compared to other demographics you have millions and millions caucasians. millions of, you know, African Americans and all that. And I would think that we would be among the minorities of the minorities of the minorities in So we're almost an extinct people, but we that case. are here only because we share. Our food went to elders, our food went to those that couldn't get it and And our whaling culture is we shared our resources. the biggest sharing program ever devised. I encourage all of the OSM, ADF&G, Fish and Wildlife Service, look at the culture of its massive sharing program, you will start to understand why we need our food. If we didn't do none of these things and everybody was out for themselves we'd only probably be 3,000 people. We have to take care of each other. That's an important -it's I think one of the most important observations of the Inuit culture is just we had to share by necessity,

we couldn't leave no one behind. Even though I'm a whaling captain on (in Native) day I become the same as everybody else, I get a share just like everybody else, the same amount, we become equal on that day.

Well, that's my report because I -- I'm going to go into a lot more lecturing if I just keep rambling on that. If there aren't any questions on the Chair's report or any other questions on any of the other reports that have been given forever hold your peace or get on the mic right now.

So Brower Frantz.

MR. FRANTZ: Yeah, I forgot one little addition to my report there. Shorebirds, the (in Native) and just the abundance of -- well, used to be abundance, there used to be an abundance of shorebirds that we would see flocking around the beaches, we don't see that anymore, not at all. I mean, we might see a couple, but there used to be thousands and thousands of the little sandpipers and (in Native), but we don't see that anymore. I just wanted to add that. So there I knew I was missing something.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Mr. Frantz. That's an important observation as some of those types are resources.

Before I go to you, Earl, just on that (in Native), my older brothers and their friends would always go (in Native) shooting station, they'd come home with -- and back in our day we had to walk over there and we didn't always have vehicles to go there, they'd come home with rope tied with their 10 ducks on the front and 10 ducks in the back and they're coming home with ducks. And then me and my brother like Lewis and Bael because we were littler and we couldn't shoot the shotgun yet, we'd use slingshots. And also (in Native). If -- our folks showed how to make a little trap and you'd lay down in the sand when the -- they come in your trap you pull it and you get them, (in And we would do those and I would go home Native). like the big boys with a little string with about 13 little (in Native) in the front, 13 little (in Native) on the other side, maybe 10 years old, eight years old and I'd say, mom, can you make me soup. And she would

make the best (in Native) sandpiper soups ever, they were fantastic. Same thing with the squirrels. I grew up eating squirrels in Ikpikpuk all the time. They're fantastic. If you've never had squirrel it's — they're fantastic. All these little critters, we eat them too. And then like Mr. Frantz expressed our beach in the fall would be millions, there's so many you just make a little (in Native), you don't even need to throw rocks, you just — oh, you've got five of them. Yeah. And you would take them home all the time. We can't do those anymore, we don't see that kind of abundance in those types of little shorebirds up here.

Anyway thank you. Earl.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. On monitoring. When I went to a meeting one time at Arctic Village in '96 for border -- borderline meeting about caribous. Anyway they brought up this monitor that in caribou go all the way up to Fort Pearson area, go all the way to Kavik, come back. Now that we have Facebook I was wondering if we could find a way to have -- probably have to go to State to get like, you know the monitoring caribous, you know, like that they usually have -- used to have GPS about western migration. They used to use that for, you know, the Maniilaq area. When we were on their group that's when I remember that hunter, now that we have Facebook I was wondering if they could put it on Facebook about, you know, that when these caribou migrate that way we would like know where they're at, you know, coming to Anaktuvuk or something instead of just sitting there day dreaming about when or where, you know. Something might be helpful, but I was just wondering about that, you know.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank you, Earl. And I'm not the authority on something like that and it might be an issue maybe with the caribou management if you put it on Facebook to see. I think you're talking about all the animation related to caribou collaring and things like that?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And I think it's important to bring it here....

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah.

5

6

7

9

10

11

CHAIRMAN BROWER:and put it up on the screen to see, you know, what the historical and the current trends of migration issues, you can see them practically real time from a certain month to a certain date and, but putting it out in the public arena like that, it'll serve you, but it's going to serve the guides, but it's going to serve Fairbanks, Anchorage and Juneau as well to say oh, they're right here, let's all go here. I mean, there's some certain maybe ethical issues around that itself and maybe Carmen was raising her hand a little bit about what issues would develop out of something like that.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

But I think it's important that we request that type of animation so Nuigsut and Anaktuvuk understanding of what certain get a better migration paths exist because they're not all the same, they're not all the same herd, there's a distinct migration path of the Western Arctic Herd, the biggest out of (in Native) and it's important to recognize the differences about migrations of different herds. And Central Arctic Herd is -- has a different, Porcupine has a different, Teshekpuk, had a very different movement and then Western Arctic Herd has that historical major movement. And they're very important to understand those, it can help you when you understand because they're -- we can't group all of those different herds as one as they have a very distinct movement pattern and it's good to recognize that. And I think the biologist has shown us. And I think we should request that on our next meeting maybe, that animation should come back and continue to educate the Council on those kind of movements.

33 34 35

Carmen.

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair to member Williams. So Mr. Chair's comments about availability of that information in a public forum like Facebook, he's absolutely right that that availability would be widespread in that venue. And I believe at one point in the history of collecting that collar data that at some point it was more widely available and there was a lot of issues with it and a lot of complaints from local residents about the availability of that information to everyone. And so I think that has been done and I think it is not being done that way now because of the results of that history. So I think there are definitely ways to share that information

with this group and I'm happy to work with you on that, but, you know, public forum there are a lot of considerations to be made and Gordon brought up most of those really good points so I'm not going to reiterate them. So I'm just going to leave it at that.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, that completes my own report. And if there aren't any other questions and I always appreciate, you know, hearing from others. If there aren't any further questions on the reports, item 7, we'll go to item 8, service awards. And we'll start with -- let's see, Wanda is.....

MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay.

MS. WESSELS: I'm sorry. For the record Katya Wessels. I need to address one issue first before we move to awards. And, you know, I say thank you for the transcripts that we have. So while you were presenting your community reports to the Council members I reviewed the transcript from the last meeting. And it appears that, member Itta, you did not give a report last time because you were dealing with a lot of issues in your community at that time with the gas leak.

MS. ITTA: Oh, I do remember.

MS. WESSELS: And you were like in and out of the meeting. So somehow it happened that you did not present a report. So I would like to ask this Council to rescind your motion on adopting the minutes with the added. If you rescind that motion then you can have another motion just to adopt the minutes the way they are.

MS. ITTA: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Martha.

 $\,$ MS. ITTA: Yeah, I do recall that the last meeting I had a family emergency and I had to step out of the meeting.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I remember now.

```
0055
 1
                    MS. ITTA:
                               Now I recall. Yeah, so I
 2
    had to step out of the meeting and I did not do my
    report.
 4
 5
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: And thank you.
 6
 7
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                  You said your community
 8
    was on high alert because of the gas leak.....
 9
10
                    MS. ITTA: Yeah.
11
12
                    MS. WESSELS: ....and you needed to
13
    evacuate.
14
15
                    MS. ITTA: Yeah. Yes.
16
17
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Yeah, having said
18
    that on item 6, review and approve previous minutes for
19
    that March 8 and 9. And let me see how we clarify
20
    that. Do we just state for the record that there has
21
    been a clarifying statement regarding the minutes
22
    regarding an emergency situation that Martha had to
23
    deal with and because we adopted the minutes with the
24
    exception of the report for Nuiqsut under Martha.
25
26
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                  You just do a motion to
27
    rescind so that means that will rescind your previous
28
    motion, it will be null.....
29
30
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Uh-huh.
31
32
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                    .....and then have
33
    another motion to adopt the minutes the way they're
34
    written.
35
36
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay.
37
38
                    MS. WESSELS: So you just need to have
39
    two motions, motion to rescind and motion to adopt the
40
    minute.
41
42
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       All right.
43
    what's the wish of the Council on item 6 on the meeting
44
    minutes now that we've got some clarifying statements
45
    that they were.....
46
47
                    MS. ITTA:
                              Mr. Chair, I make a motion
48
    to rescind the approval of the meeting minutes.
```

```
0056
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       There's a motion on
 2
    the floor to rescind the March 8 and 9, 2022 with the
    exception of a Nuigsut report. There's a motion on the
    floor to rescind that -- those minutes.
 4
 5
 6
                    MR. FRANTZ: Seconded.
 7
 8
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's been seconded by
 9
    Brower Frantz. Any discussion.
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
14
15
                    MS. HUGO: Question.
16
17
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: The question's been
18
    called for.
                  All those in favor of rescinding the
19
     approval of March 8 and 9 with the exception of
20
    Nuiqsut's report signify by saying aye.
21
22
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
23
24
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Earl, are you going
25
    to say aye.
26
27
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Aye.
28
29
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Steve, are you still
30
    on.
31
32
                    (No comments)
33
34
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                     Steve, are you still
35
    on.
36
37
                     (No comments)
38
39
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. All those
40
    opposed say nay.
41
42
                     (No opposing votes)
43
44
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Hearing none, the
45
    meeting minutes for March 8 and 9 with the exception of
46
    Nuigsut's report on 2022 have been rescinded.
47
     need to hear a motion that would accept the minutes as
48
     is.
49
```

```
0057
                                   Mr. Chair, I'd like to
 1
                    MR. FRANTZ:
 2
    make a motion to accept the minutes as they're written.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       There's a motion on
 5
    the floor to adopt the March 8 through 9 minutes, 2022.
 6
    Motion by Brower Frantz of Utgiagvik.
 7
 8
                    MS. HUGO: Second.
 9
10
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Seconded by Esther of
11
    Anaktuvuk. Any discussion.
12
13
                     (No comments)
14
15
                    MS. ITTA: Question.
16
17
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Question called for.
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      The question's been
20
                    All those in favor of approving the
    called for.
    minutes for March 8 and 9, 2022 as written signify by
21
22
    saying aye.
23
24
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
25
26
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed say
27
    nay.
28
29
                     (No opposing votes)
30
31
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        Hearing none, the
32
                   The minutes of March 8 and 9, 2022 have
    ayes have it.
33
    been adopted.
34
35
                    Thank you.
36
37
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                  Thank you, Mr. Chair.
38
39
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: We'll now go down to
     item 8, service awards. First on the list is Wanda
40
41
    Kippi. Wanda, are you on.
42
43
                     (No comments)
44
45
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: And we'll acknowledge
46
    Wanda when she joins and we'll go down to Steve
47
    Oomittuk. Steve, are you on.
48
49
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Yes.
```

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And we are -- we are recognizing your service, you've been with the Board for five years, the Regional Subsistence Advisory Council now for five years with a five year service award. And I think it's important that as our Council members participate it is a volunteer service to -- and I think it's a honorable service to look at our needs in our communities in terms of fish and wildlife, the regulatory climate that we exist in and recommend changes for the betterment of subsistence in our -- within the North Slope region and our communities.

And really appreciate you, Steve Oomittuk, and I'm going to ask to give you a few minutes and then I'm going to have Madame Coordinator, Leigh, help me with the service award. So I'm going to give you the floor for a few minutes there, Steve. You're receiving a five year service award for region 10 Regional Subsistence Advisory Council.

Steve.

MR. OOMITTUK: Well, thank you very much. I really appreciate it. I've, you know, been a subsistence all my life and, you know, just, you know, because people come to me when they have concerns and it's good to be on this Subsistence Advisory Council and, you know, bring out the concerns that we have. It's a way of life that, you know, we grew up with and want to ensure that continues to the next generation and any concerns that are out there or problems we see, you know, just living our way of life. And people up north, you know, we've seen a lot of changes throughout times, but, you know, I really appreciate being on this Subsistence Advisory Council.

And I thank you, thank you very much for this appreciation. (In Native)

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, Steve. Thank you very much. Maybe I'll extend to any of the other Council members if they wanted to add anything for Steve's service before I had it over to Leigh.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: With that, Leigh.

MS. ITTA: Yes.....

0059 1 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Martha. 2 3 MS. ITTA:Mr. Chair. I'd just 4 like to thank Steve for his service for his community, learned a lot from him, learning the differences within 5 6 our villages. Good to work with you, Steve, and 7 congratulations on your five year award. 8 9 MR. OOMITTUK: (In Native). Thank you. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. 12 else before we hand it over to the coordinator. 13 14 (No comments) 15 16 CHAIRMAN BROWER: With that, Leigh. 17 18 MS. HONIG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And 19 yes, we'd like to recognize you for your five years of service to the Council. Being part of this Council is an important role for -- to advocate for subsistence 20 21 22 hunting, trapping and fishing issues on Federal public 23 Your knowledge about the resources and 24 subsistence uses make you an invaluable member to the Council and the work you do is a vital link between 25 26 Federal policy and the impact on local Federally-27 qualified subsistence users from your region. want to thank you and show out utmost most appreciation 28 29 for the time you've served on the Council and your 30 continuation of serving on the Council. 31 32 And we do have a framed certificate for 33 you, Mr. Oomittuk, that I will send your way. And so 34 once again thank you for volunteering your time on this 35 Council, your knowledge is a valuable resource. 36 37 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 38 39 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Leigh. All right. Congratulations, Steve. And it's always a 40 41 pleasure working with you, I've learned a lot. I --42 I'm always listening to you and your talks about the 43 oceans, the land, the fish and the needs of the 44 community. And you put your community ahead all the 45 time. 46 47 Thank you so much. 48 49 With that Wanda is not online right now

and once we acknowledge Wanda I think it might be more appropriate when she's present to acknowledge her five year service award as well.

All right. With that this is still --we'll go down to item 9, public and tribal comments on non-agenda items will be available each morning. So if there are any tribal comments and public comments that are not related to any item we welcome those and kind of miss Roy Nageak would be one of those that would come in on non-agenda items and speak on his behalf.

MS. HUGO: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Esther.

MS. HUGO: (Indiscernible - away from microphone)....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. With that, if there are no public and tribal comments on non-agenda items we'll go to item A which is the -- is it the fisheries proposals and closure review and that would be Hannah Voorhees of OSM.

You have the floor, Hannah.

DR. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. For your consideration today I have one proposal and three closure reviews pertaining to small systems, small fisheries in Western Interior and Eastern Interior regions. These are crossover proposals for you and you may act to make a recommendation or you may act to defer to the home regions as you wish.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So you'll bring those proposals, each one, and we can either defer them to that region or we could support them, that kind of thing?

DR. VOORHEES: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay.

DR. VOORHEES: For the record my name is Hannah Voorhees, I'm an anthropologist with OSM. I will now present Fisheries Proposal 23-01 which can be found on page 33 of your Council book. I would like to

note also that Cory Graham, Fisheries Biologist for OSM is also on the line.

Proposal FP23-01 was submitted by the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council and requests the Federal Subsistence Board rescind the closure to the harvest of non-salmon fish in the Jim River drainage by Federally-qualified subsistence users and modify regulations to allow rod and reel gear only and an Arctic grayling harvest and possession limit of 10 per day which is five more than the default limit.

Jim River drainage is located in the northern portion of the Western Interior region. This proposal is coming before your Council because residents of the Yukon northern area which includes the North Slope have a customary and traditional use determination for freshwater species other than salmon in the Yukon River drainage.

The proponent for this proposal states that the proposal would continue subsistence uses by allowing harvest of non-salmon fish by Federally-qualified subsistence users in an area that is currently closed. The Council believes there is verifiable traditional use of non-salmon fish in this drainage and a limited harvest by rod and reel should be allowed. The proponent adds that if subsistence users are going to travel for Arctic grayling the harvest limit should be increased to justify time and expense. Allowing for a reasonable harvest of Arctic grayling would reestablish a subsistence priority use of fish. Limiting harvest to rod and reel gear only would ensure continued viability of fish in the area.

While the Council also believes there is verifiable traditional use of salmon in this drainage it does not believe the salmon runs can support any harvest at this time and the closure should be rescinded for non-salmon fish only.

In terms of a brief regulatory history the Jim River drainage is closed to subsistence fishing under both Federal and State regulation, however sport fishing is allowed under State regulation. The Federal closure was reviewed during the 2021 to 2023 fisheries regulatory cycle and at that time the Western Interior Council recommended eliminating the closure to the harvest of all fish and modifying regulations to

allow rod and reel only as well as an Arctic grayling harvest and possession limit of 10 per day. However during the Board's fisheries regulatory meeting the Board determined that any action beyond simply eliminating or maintaining the closure would not allow appropriate notice and opportunity for public comment. Therefore the Board voted to maintain the closure with the expectation that a proposal would be submitted by the Council to eliminate the closure and that's the proposal that's coming before you today.

In terms of where geographically we're focusing, approximately three miles of Jim River exists within the Kanuti Refuge boundaries managed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The remainder of the Jim River drainage is in general domain lands managed by BLM. I believe it falls entirely within unit 24.

In terms of biological background chinook, chum and coho salmon spawn and rear in the Jim River, but aerial surveys indicate low numbers in the drainage. The predominant non-salmon fishes in the drainage include Arctic grayling, burbot, humpback and round whitefish, longnose sucker and northern pike. Stock assessments of Arctic grayling were conducted in 1995 and 1997 in a 13 mile stretch of Jim River near the Dalton Highway. In 1995 there was an estimated density of approximately 387 fish per mile and in 1997 that density was 914 fish per mile.

Next I'll cover cultural knowledge and traditional practices by communities using the closure area. And please note that this is the same information that will also apply to the next two closure reviews so I'll not -- I won't repeat it there.

Wiseman and Coldfoot are the communities most likely to subsistence fish in this area if the closure is rescinded due to their proximity to the drainage. Wiseman and Coldfoot are very small communities located on the Dalton Highway. Division of Subsistence conducted its only subsistence survey of the two communities in the 2011 calendar year. During that survey year all Wiseman households used fish although in small quantities. Four of the five households fish for non-salmon species resulting in an estimated 13 pounds of non-salmon fish per person or 5 percent of Wiseman's total wild food harvest in

waste -- I mean, in weight. Excuse me. The three most significant non-salmon harvest in terms of weights were Arctic grayling, longnose sucker and burbot. For Coldfoot no residents of the community fish for either salmon or non-salmon fish and no use of non-salmon fish was documented for Coldfoot during the study period.

Subsistence fishing is prohibited in the Jim River under State and Federal regulations so there's no legal subsistence harvest in the system. Harvest is allowed under State sport fishing regulation. Arctic grayling make up the majority of sport fish harvest along the Dalton Highway corridor. During the period 2019 to 2018 an average of 122 Arctic grayling were harvested from the Jim River.

If proposal FP23-01 is adopted non-salmon fish could be taken by rod and reel only. Subsistence rod and reel harvest would match State sport fishing harvest and possession limits except for Arctic grayling which under this proposal would have a harvest and possession limit of 10 per day. The current sport fish harvest and possession limit is five per day for Arctic grayling. Subsistence fishing for salmon would remain closed under Federal regulations in the Jim River.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to support FP23-01. If the system is opened to rod and reel only State sport fish harvest and possession limits would apply. Increasing harvest and possession limits of Arctic grayling would provide a subsistence priority for Federally-qualified subsistence users. This proposal would increase harvest opportunity for Federally-qualified subsistence users and provide a subsistence priority as mandated by ANILCA. Maintaining the closure to salmon will protect small populations within the drainage.

Thank you. That concludes my presentation. And I'm available for any questions.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Thank you. Looking at the map, you know, I -- thank you, Brower. Got to remember to press the button. Just looking at the map it's, you know, way outside of our region, just looks like south of Wiseman and Coldfoot in the Jim River. And was there any particular reason that it would grace our Council here, was there a C&T

0064 portion of that that lies within region 10 on that 2 river? 3 4 DR. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 5 Yes, that's correct. So residents of the Yukon northern area which includes North Slope, have a 6 7 customary and traditional use determination for freshwater fish in a Yukon River drainage. 8 9 10 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. And that 11 would be the reason why it's here. 12 13 DR. VOORHEES: Correct. 14 15 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. I might want 16 to go fish there if I'm going that way. I..... 17 18 MS. ITTA: Me too. 19 20 21

CHAIRMAN BROWER:look at it, some of it has whitefish and grayling and I love whitefish. 22 Was there any questions.

MS. ITTA: Yeah, I do have a question, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Martha.

So reading and hearing this MS. ITTA: proposal so right now they're not allowed fishing on the Dalton to my understanding. My community always wondered if we can like pull over and go fishing in those areas, but we never really tried because we didn't know if we were allowed to. So that's a, you know, question that I have because we'd love to go. We travel that road all the time, all year round. So that would be really good for us to be able to do.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Martha. And C&T, customary and traditional use, in these areas and we have that seems to tell me that at some point some of our ancestors were fishing over there is why we have C&T in that area or by trade or some other.

MS. ITTA: Oh, I forgot one question. I know we have to get like fishing permits and stuff, for our area if we get our fishing permit in our village would -- I mean, if this opens would that be good to use for that -- those areas?

49 50

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

0065 1 DR. VOORHEES: Through the Chair. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hannah. 4 5 DR. VOORHEES: First I just wanted to 6 note that currently there is sport fishing opportunity 7 although specific regulation apply to the Dalton corridor and someone on the State side could speak more 9 to that, but is anyone on who would like to address 10 Martha Itta's question about what permitting she would 11 need to use this system? 12 13 MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chair. 14 15 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, who am 16 speaking with? 17 18 MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cory 19 Graham with OSM. There would be..... 20 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead. 21 22 23there would be no MR. GRAHAM: permit required to subsistence fish if you're a 24 25 Federally-qualified subsistence user. 26 Very good. 27 CHAIRMAN BROWER: good. So we'll go through the protocol and let me know 28 29 if I'm -- I think we have to go through the protocol. 30 31 MR. WILLIAMS: 32 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Earl. 33 34 35 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, Mr. Chair. 36 Williams, Anaktuvuk. I've been getting a lot of 37 messages from Jack Reakoff, you know, and I said 38 instead of bugging me about some kind of paperwork he's 39 up, I don't know, he's from Wiseman or 40 something, but he's been sending me a lot of messages 41 about some fish and game stuff. And I don't know what 42 the procedure is, but I told him he should talk to the 43 North Slope RAC, you know, and get a understanding because, you know, I just want to jump to 44 the vote and say, you know, this and that to him 45 because I don't know what side he's on, you know. And 46 47 that was kind of a conflict with me there for a while. 48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, Earl, this is 50

Gordon. I talk to Jack Reakoff here and there.....
MR. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWER:to me he may have what -- you know, information that, you know, we might have C&T in the area and we should be of concern of his concerns because he's knowledgeable in that area, he lives in that Wiseman And if we have C&T and we align area right there. ourselves with our neighboring Western Interior Council like what he's the Chair of, I think it's Western or Eastern, one of those, and he's -- I think he sometimes looks for consistency in our -- in the concerns related to those uses over there. So and Anaktuvuk is very close on that side so his concerns might -- should be And I think that's to me reflecting your concerns. what he's trying to gain is, you know, we should work together, if there's a sheep problem here that you are available and C&T to work together with Jack Reakoff in that area because he may have better firsthand knowledge that hey, they're hunting too much over there, they're going to kill off all the sheep or something. And I think he's observing things like that that that's how come we supported the sheep proposal to restrict the sheep on that area by the Dalton right there.

26272829

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

I hope that helps.

MR. WILLIAM: No, the reason why I brought this up is I don't want to jump a boundary here is what I'm saying. It's -- but I speak to him in person around Fairbanks when I run into him and he brings up a lot of issues up about, you know, what you're saying. I understand what you mean, but, you know, I just don't want to jump the gun and say, you know, they might say I'm jumping the boundary line and that I'm speaking on the other side, you know, I don't want that imposed on me. So I'm just making a better understanding that I told Jack that he should speak with the North Borough Game -- Fish and Game, you know, that way you could better consult because, you know, I'm not -- I'm not here to, you know, stir up the pot or whatever they call it, stirring up the pot or nothing, but what I'm saying is just that if there's some proposal he should bring it up to you all. That's what I'm just saying, you know, that because I don't want to be in -- in between person there.

0067 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Thank you.
		CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Peter. things and generally my experience is In from Jack too and those are important
		With that we'll go to report on Board Any tribal representatives that want to of FP Fisheries Proposal 23-01. did es on there?
		(No comments)
	consultation wit	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Going down, any th ANCSA corporations on FP23-01?
19 20		(No comments)
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	say, you know, something like t	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Normally you could we didn't get any of those yet or that.
		Hannah.
	were no comment	DR. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. me if I'm wrong, but I believe there as submitted during consultations with a corporation on this proposal or the are reviews.
	comments. ADF&C	CHAIRMAN BROWER: And item 3, agency G comments.
	Area Biologist Management Area	MR. SCANLON: Mr. Chair, members of the Brendan Scanlon, North Slope/Northwest. The Fishery Biologist for the Yukona, Lisa Stuby, is on the line and any questions so I'll defer to her.
		Thank you.
	Any Federal comm	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Brendan. ments.
46 47		(No comments)
48 49 50		CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any tribal comments.

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair.

3 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Earl.

 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, when I was at the last tribal meeting I asked -- they were asking about how can we get tribal back involved into subsistence, you know, like we need to have a member representative from the tribe, you know, just like around the hometown area they have a tribal member to be on the Board and stuff like that. I was just wondering if they -- or is it just separate, you know, like -- you know, like rural and tribe. They was asking a question about that.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I'm a little confused here maybe, Peter. Is it on the makeup of this Council, like to have a tribal member?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, I'm a tribal -- I'm a member of my tribal village council, but I'm -- as a member anyway what I'm saying is that they were asking if they're just kind of -- you know, they need to have a tribe member at the Borough and stuff, you know. I was wondering if that's feasible, you know, or....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Peter. I think what we're kind of looking for right now is for the Staff analysis had talked about under Fisheries Proposal 23-01, to rescind the Jim River nonsalmon closure and institute grayling -grayling harvest limits. And there's just a list of protocol that we go through before we weigh in. So I'm just looking for the agency comments that may include ADF&G, Federal and tribal. So if you're representing the tribe you could -- and you're a tribal member have at it. You could -- if you're the president of (in Native) or something like that or a tribal member you're able to comment on this proposal because we have C&T on the Jim River.

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead.

MR. WILLIAMS: Anyway, you know, why I said that is because I told Miles on the North Slope Borough Subsistence, you know, it don't hurt to ask, he

0069 just told me just bring it up and just, you know, I just wanted to find out more to clarify this. 4 Thank you. 5 6 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. And I 7 think that might be something that OSM maybe write down and -- about his comments about tribal representatives on the Board. You know, I'm a tribe member, Native 9 10 Village of Barrow tribe member. So I could speak on 11 tribal behalf. In fact, I'm going to start working for 12 the tribe in two weeks. So in other event. 13 14 With that we'll go to Advisory Group 15 comments, other Regional Advisory Councils. 16 17 DR. VOORHEES: For the record this is 18 Hannah Voorhees with OSM. Eastern Interior Regional 19 Advisory Council did act on this proposal during their 20 October 5th to 6th meeting and were in support. 21 Council noted that there's no biological concern 22 related to this proposal and it will benefit the 23 subsistence needs of people in the area. 24 25 Thank you. 26 27 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Hannah. 28 Fish and Game Advisory Committees. 29 30 (No comments) 31 32 CHAIRMAN BROWER: I don't see any comments from the Fish and Game Advisory Committees, 33 34 could be the North Slope Borough, Fish and Game 35 Advisory or could be ADF&G or other. 36 37 Go ahead there, Carmen. 38 39 MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I 40 don't believe that this was discussed during the most 41 recent meeting and I don't think they've met to discuss 42 it yet. So I don't think it's been talked about with 43 the North Slope Advisory Committee. 44 45 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Carmen. 46 Subsistence Resource Commissions. 47

(No comments)

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, summary of written public comments.

DR. VOORHEES: For the record Hannah Voorhees, OSM. There were no written public comments on this proposal.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Hannah. Public testimony, anybody want to give any public testimony on the Fishery Proposal 23-01 to rescind the Jim River non-salmon closure and institute Arctic grayling harvest limits. Public testimony is open.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Hearing none, Regional Council recommendation. And we would need a motion to adopt.

 DR. VOORHEES: Through the Chair. If I may interject briefly. The options -- oh, I'm sorry. That's correct. We'll be moving on to closure reviews after this and this was originally a closure review, but as it's written yes, that's correct. My apologies.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: What's the wish of the Council.

MR. OOMITTUK: So moved, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: There is a motion on the floor from Steve Oomittuk to adopt Fishery Proposal 23-01, rescinding Jim River non-salmon closure, institute Arctic grayling harvest limitation. there's some language underneath when we make a motion discuss justification. And this is recommendation consistent with established fish and wildlife management principles, is the recommendation supported by substantial evidence such as biological traditional ecological knowledge, and will recommendation be beneficial or detrimental subsistence needs and uses. If a closure is involved is the closure necessary for conservation of healthy fish and wildlife populations or is closure necessary to ensure continued subsistence uses. Discuss what other relevant factors are mentioned in OSM Staff analysis.

I think there's a motion on the floor

```
0071
    to adopt.
 2
 3
                    Yeah, go ahead.
 4
 5
                    REPORTER:
                                Test, test. Test, test.
 6
    Can everybody hear?
 7
 8
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: I could hear, but I'm
 9
    present.
10
11
                    MS. WESSELS: Yes, I'm sorry.
12
    Katya. I just would like to ask all the participants
13
    when they talk to try to speak
                                           louder because
14
    participants on the phone cannot hear you.
15
    that's not in the nature of everyone to speak louder,
16
    but please try.
17
18
                    Thank you.
19
20
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you again. And
21
    me going deaf I always need to speak loud anyway.
22
23
                    And so I think it's in
24
    interest because this is not involving a closure, it is
25
    involving to rescind the Jim River non-salmon closure
26
    to institute grayling, it's to opening the Jim River,
27
    the ability to subsist on grayling species over there.
    So it's the recommendation will be beneficial to
28
29
    subsistence
                 needs
                          and
                               uses
                                     according
                                                to
30
    justification.
31
32
                    So there's a motion to adopt Fishery
33
    Proposal 23-01 which we have C&T in the area for.
34
35
                    MR. FRANTZ: Second.
36
37
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's been seconded by
38
    Brower Frantz. Any discussion.
39
40
                    MS. HUGO: Question
41
42
                    MS. ITTA:
                                  Mr.
                                        Chair, call
                                                     for
43
    question.
44
45
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      The question's been
46
                  All those in favor of adopting Fishery
    called for.
47
    Proposal 23-01 to rescind the Jim River non-salmon
48
    closure and institute Arctic grayling harvest limit
49
    signify saying aye.
```

0072 1 IN UNISON: Aye. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed say 4 nay. 5 6 (No opposing votes) 7 8 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, the 9 ayes have it to rescind the closure and to institute 10 the Arctic grayling harvest limit on the Jim River. 11 12 Hannah, are there any other proposals. 13

14

15

16

DR. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Hannah Voorhees, OSM, for the record. Next for your consideration is FCR23-02. This can be found on page 52 of your meeting book.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

FCR23-02 is a standard review of Federal subsistence fishery closure to the harvest of all fish in the Kanuti River drainage. It is the Board's policy that Federal public lands and waters be reopened when closures are no longer necessary. The purpose of this closure review is to determine if the closure is still warranted.

25 26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

The closure area which is located in the Western Interior region crosses the Dalton Highway and is located on general domain land managed by the Bureau of Land Management. Again this closure review is coming before you because residents of the Yukon northern area which includes the North Slope have C&T for fish other than salmon in the Yukon River drainage. And again you have the option to make a recommendation or defer. I do want to note that on the next three closure reviews the options would be retain status quo, rescind or modify the closure or defer.

37 38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

Under Federal regulations subsistence harvest of all fish is prohibited in the Kanuti River closure area. The Federal Subsistence Board adopted this closure from State regulations at the beginning of the Federal program and it has not been reviewed or This is the same situation with the modified since. next two closure reviews as well. Under State regulations the Kanuti River is also closed to subsistence fishing, but sport fishing is allowed under State regulations. According to local knowledge and the Anadromous Waters Catalog chinook and summer chum

5

6

salmon are present in the Kanuti River downstream of the closure area. However aerial surveys indicate few salmon inhabit the Kanuti River including the closure area. Non-salmon fish species such as Arctic grayling, burbot, round whitefish, northern pike and longnose sucker have been observed in the Kanuti River, but information on them in the closure area is limited.

7 8 9

10

11

12

Wiseman and Coldfoot are the communities most likely to subsistence fish in the area if the closure is rescinded due to their close proximity to the drainage. And I refer you back to my previous presentation for that information.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

If the closure is rescinded Federal subsistence regulations for the Yukon northern area would apply. Federal subsistence fishing schedules, openings, closings and methods would be the same as those issued by State emergency order unless superseded by a Federal special action. Harvest of salmon would be allowed and salmon could be taken by gillnet, beach seine, dipnet, fish wheel or rod and reel. Non-salmon fish could be taken with any gear listed at the top of page 54 of your Council book. Subsistence rod and reel harvest limits would match State sport fishing harvest and possession limits. Harvest would be unrestricted for all other legal gear types.

272829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to rescind the closure. Currently a portion of the Kanuti is closed to the harvest of all fish by Federally-qualified subsistence users, but open to sport fishing under State regulations. Rescinding the closure would establish a Federal subsistence priority in the area. However allowing unrestricted harvest for gear types other than rod and reel in an easily road accessible system may lead to overharvest and local depletion of stocks. While populations mav be protected by limiting subsistence to harvest with rod and reel only and modifying harvest limits, these modifications are not possible through the closure review process and would require a fisheries proposal to be submitted. Until a proposal can be submitted the Federal In-Season Manager may use their delegated authority to restrict gear types and harvest limits for up to 60 days to protect populations in the closure area. Actions exceeding 60 days would require a temporary special action be implemented by the Board.

And that concludes my presentation and Cory and I are available for questions.

4

Thank you.

5 6

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Hannah. So you keep referring to all three of these and I went through 23-01 up to 23-05 and I look at the map, they're all pretty much generally in the same areas, like Bonanza Creek, some other fishing for all in the Delta River and they seem to all suggest to -- by OSM to rescind the -- to rescind the closure. So it seems to me that we can group these three proposals to save time and as recommended by OSM to rescind the closure. And because every one of those I look at the section, all recommendation three of them recommending by OSM to rescind the closure.

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Chair. I will note that the FCR23-05, the Delta River closure, is not -- that's in a slightly different area in Eastern Interior, but the current Kanuti River closure that I just presented on and the next Closure Review, FCR23-03, Bonanza Creek, like Jim River which you previously considered, all three of those are in a concentrated area on the Dalton Highway corridor. And so another option you may want to consider is taking up

That's correct, Mr.

DR. VOORHEES:

you have interest in hearing the slightly different 30 details of Delta River, that -- I'd be happy to do that 31 as well.

32 33

34

35

CHAIRMAN BROWER: In effect we have -they're here because there's some sort of C&T to the North Slope region in these rivers and that's why they're present here.

Kanuti and Bonanza at the same time and the next -- if

36 37 38

39

All right. So with that any other questions from the Council members on this to Hannah on the closures.

40 41 42

MR. FRANTZ: Mr. Chair.

43 44

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Brower.

45 46

47

48

Yeah, I have kind of a MR. FRANTZ: question slash comment that it seems like the subsistence side was closed, but yet still sport hunting was somewhat allowed it looked like on the

State side. So it to me I look at it and it's just more of a comment, but the subsistence side was taken away before, you know, even thinking about taking the sport side away. I think that's kind of backwards as far as what we do here on the Slope, you know, that — the subsistence side should have been looked at for keeping first and taking away the others, at least that's what I'm reading here if I'm reading it correctly. It was done backwards to where you should think about the subsistence users before, you know, anybody else comes in and at least let them keep their side versus somebody else that might not be from the area still being allowed to hunt and fish in the area. Just my comments.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Mr. Frantz. I think those are good observations. And can you speak to that a little bit, Hannah, why is sport hunting available on those little creeks and no subsistence. And it seems to beg a bigger question here and I'm -- sometimes I always feel at odds with how the State does it regulatory responsibility because the State does not recognize a subsistence -- a rural subsistence priority at all. And they can't as a -that's why there was an impasse, when was it, 1991 or '92 and why the Regional Council was created because of that impasse in those days of just kind of trying to think about how we came to be at that point where the Federal had to take over management of fish and game on Federal land because of that impasse.

MS. ITTA: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Martha.

MS. ITTA: I also have a question. Recently the State of Alaska just recognized all the Federally recognized tribes and I'm wondering how that being done and said how that would come into play with the State working with the Federal and the tribes on these issues, you know, given that they're different boundaries, but now the State now recognizes the Federal tribes. Are these regulations and rules going to change now that they're working together and the tribes and the Federal government is recognized under the State?

DR. VOORHEES: Thank you for that question, Council member Itta. I can say that the

Federal regulations are not poised to change due to that development, however I would like to reach out on the phone lines and the room and see if anybody would like to speak to the State side for that important question.

(No comments)

DR. VOORHEES: Hearing none, I can go ahead and answer your previous question, Mr. Chair, when you're ready.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead.

DR. VOORHEES: So the fact that there has been a sport fishery in these systems, but not a subsistence fishery under the Federal regulations is as you've noted exactly correct, it's kind of a product of history of the State regulations being adopted into the Federal system in 1992 and that closure just never coming up for review until the current time however. And so under ANILCA obviously there is a subsistence priority and this -- the action recommended by OSM is an attempt to correct this inequity and make sure that when there's a sport fishery open the subsistence regulations are at least mirroring that and hopefully providing a subsistence priority eventually. However I cannot speak to the State side as why they've maintained a subsistence closure while having a sport fishery.

Thank you.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. I think those are good discussions and so we're going to go ahead and go down the list of other items here and I'm proposing that we bundle -- is it 02 and 03, are those the two, Bonanza Creek....

DR. VOORHEES: Kanuti and Bonanza.

DR. VOORHEES: Through the Chair. Hannah Voorhees, OSM. I do not believe there were any tribal consultations to report on for either of these closure reviews.

```
0077
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Same thing with the
 2
    ANCSA corporation?
 3
 4
                    DR. VOORHEES: Correct.
 5
 6
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. We'll go
 7
    down the line, item 3, ADF&G comments.
 8
 9
                     (No comments)
10
11
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any Federal comments.
12
13
                     (No comments)
14
15
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any tribal comments.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                         Item 4, Advisory
20
     Group comments, other Regional Advisory Councils.
21
22
                    DR. VOORHEES:
                                     Hannah Voorhees, OSM.
23
     In regards to FCR23-02, Kanuti River, Eastern Interior
24
     RAC again considered this at their recent meeting and
25
     the recommendation was to modify, to rescind to non-
26
     salmon species only. The Council was concerned about
27
    Yukon salmon stocks and additional harvest of those
28
     stocks.
29
30
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you.
31
    Game Advisory comments, Advisory Committee comments.
32
33
                    DR. VOORHEES: Mr. Chair.....
34
35
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hannah.
36
37
                    DR. VOORHEES: ....if I may.
     FCR23-02, Bonanza Creek, the Eastern Interior RAC acted
38
39
     on this closure again at their recent meeting and they
40
     had the exact same comments and justification.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        Thank you, Hannah.
43
     Subsistence Resource Commissions.
44
45
                     (No comments)
46
47
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Summary of written
48
    public comments.
49
```

```
0078
 1
                    (No comments)
 2
 3
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, floor's
 4
    open to public testimony.
 5
 6
                    (No comments)
 7
 8
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                     What's the wish of
          Council, we're on item 7, Regional Council
 9
10
    recommendation. We can defer it to the Eastern or
11
    Western Interior if we want to and they seem to have
12
    some recommendations to only non-salmon species sounds
13
    like.
14
15
                    Hannah.
16
17
                    DR. VOORHEES: I'm sorry, Mr. Chair. I
18
    just wanted to note that there were no written public
19
    comments on either of these proposal -- closure
20
    reviews.
21
22
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Thank you.
23
    you. So we're at a point where we can act on this by
    motion or we can defer it to the Western Interior
24
25
    Council if we want and leave it at that or we can act
26
    as a Advisory Council because we have C&T in the area
    for these creeks and I'm suggesting that we act on both
    of them for expediency of time, 02 and 03. Fisheries
28
29
    Proposal 23-02 and 23-03. What's the wish of the
30
    Council.
31
32
                    MR. FRANTZ:
                                 Mr. Chair, I'd like to
    make a motion to rescind I guess both, is that what
33
34
    you're saying, both of the 02 and 03, FCR23-02 and
35
    FCR23-03. So motion to rescind both of them for the
36
    expediency.
37
38
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      There's a motion on
39
    the floor to rescind the closures....
40
41
                    MS. ITTA: Mr. Chair, I'll second.
42
43
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....02 and 03.
44
    Martha.
45
46
                    MS. ITTA: I second the motion.
47
48
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      There's a second on
49
    the motion. And just for a justification I think that
```

```
it will benefit subsistence, it wouldn't be a detriment
    to subsistence needs, in fact this would be a benefit
    to the subsistence users and maybe to right a wrong
    that's been in existence for quite some time because
    there's already sport fishing already occurring in
 5
    these areas under the State regs. And that would be
 6
 7
     our justification to rescind the closures in 23-02 and
     03. So any further discussion.
 8
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                    MS. HUGO: Question.
13
14
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       The question's been
15
     called for. All those in favor to rescind the closure
     on FP23-02 and FP23-01 or 03, signify by saying aye.
16
17
18
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
19
20
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Steve, you on.
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       All right. Maybe I
25
     just didn't hear Steve.
26
27
                    MS. HUGO: I did.
28
29
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. He said aye.
30
    All those opposed say nay.
31
32
                     (No opposing votes)
33
34
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        The ayes have it.
35
    The Council has recommended to rescind those two.
36
    we'll go -- it's 12:30, I don't know about lunch or do
37
     you guys want to take care of this last proposal. It's
38
     the last one, right?
39
40
                    DR. VOORHEES: Sure.
41
42
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       What's the wish of
43
     the Council, lunch or do you want to take care of the
44
     last proposal. If you guys want to (in Native) we can
     stop for a little bit and have lunch or take a break or
45
46
     we can finish the last proposal. It's -- I'm going to
47
     just make it your decision.
48
49
                    MS. HUGO:
                                  I think we should just
50
```

finish the last proposal, we're almost -- I mean, and then we can go to lunch.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Hannah, you have the floor. We'll go ahead and go through the last proposal.

DR. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Hannah Voorhees, OSM. And I just wanted to do a sound check to make sure. I've been told that maybe I just was hard to hear or maybe all of us. Are we doing better now?

MS. WESSELS: I got another note that they couldn't hear you, Hannah.

DR. VOORHEES: Okay.

 $\,$ MS. WESSELS: So sorry, maybe -- can you try to position your mouth right over the mic, but not too close because then we get an echo.

DR. VOORHEES: All right. Well, I apologize if you feel that I'm yelling at you. And also I have, you know, a full write up of this proposal, but I understand that you may want to treat it similarly to the last two so I may abbreviate some of this and try to make it short.

So FCR23-05 begins on page 88 of your book. It's a standard review of a Federal subsistence fishery closure to the harvest of all fish in the Delta River. The situation is similar to the two previous closure reviews. Under Federal regulations subsistence harvest of all fish is prohibited in the Delta River. This was rolled over from State regulations and has not been reviewed since and is also closed under -- to State subsistence, but open to sport fishing.

The Delta River begins at the outlet of lower Tangle Lake in the Alaska Range, about 60 miles south/southwest of Delta Junction. The upper portion of the river is part of the National Wild and Scenic River System administered by BLM and the lower section is also on BLM land, but this is general domain land. This closure review is coming before your Council because you do have C&T.

In terms of species in this area chum

and coho salmon spawn in the lower section of the Delta River, but salmon do not inhabit the closure area. The non-salmon fish community is comprised of Arctic grayling, burbot, round whitefish, longnose sucker, lake trout and Dolly varden. Arctic grayling is the predominant non-salmon fish and limited information exists for the other species. The density of Arctic grayling in this system is among the highest ever documented in Alaska. And research examining the seasonal movements and locations of Arctic grayling indicate that the study area provides year round habitat for this large population.

Big Delta and Delta Junction are the communities most likely to subsistence fish in the Delta River if the closure is rescinded. They're in proximity to the drainage, but unfortunately there are no readily available data on fishing by residents of these communities in the Delta River.

Sport -- so there's sport fishing only and sport fish harvest estimates for the Delta River are provided by the Alaska sport fishing survey. Estimates for the Delta River below the Tangle Lakes are available for 1996 to 2006 and for Arctic grayling the median estimated sport fish harvest was 298 fish per year and ranged between about 159 fish to 770 fish per year. And sport fish harvest estimates are not available after that date.

 If this closure is rescinded Federal subsistence regulations for the Yukon northern area would apply. Non-salmon fish could be taken with any gear listed on page 89 of your Council book. Subsistence rod and reel harvest limits would match State sport fishing harvest and possession limits and harvest would be unrestricted for all other legal gear types.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to rescind the closure. The justification is identical with that provided for the previous two closure reviews.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Does that conclude Staff analysis?

DR. VOORHEES: Yes, it does. Please let me know if you have any questions.

```
0082
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any questions to
 2
    Hannah.
 3
 4
                    (No comments)
 5
 6
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Hearing none, was
 7
    there any tribal consultation in the proposal.
 8
 9
                    DR. VOORHEES: There were no tribal or
10
    ANCSA consultations to report.
11
12
                                       Thank you.
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                                     ADF&G
13
    comments.
14
15
                    MR.
                         SCANLON:
                                           Chair, Brendan
                                     Mr.
16
    Scanlon again, Northwest
                                and North Slope
17
    Biologist.
                Brandy Baker who is the upper Tanana area
    Fisheries Biologist who can speak to this better than I
18
19
    is on the line right now.
20
21
                    Thank you.
22
23
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: What was his name?
24
25
                    MR. SCANLON: It's a she, it's Brandy
26
    Baker.
27
28
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Brandy?
29
30
                    MR. SCANLON: Yes.
31
32
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Brandy, do you got
33
    any ADF&G comments for this since you might be the most
34
    in the know?
35
36
                    MS. BAKER:
                                Yes, Mr. Chair, this is
37
    Brandy Baker with the Alaska Department of Fish and
38
    Game, Division of Sport Fish in the Delta Junction
39
    field office.
                     I'm the Assistant Area Management
40
    Biologist for the Tanana River drainage which the Delta
41
    River encompasses.
                        We would recommend that the sport
42
    fishing bag and possession limit would follow if this
43
    closure was to be rescinded only because the area as
44
    described is small as far as where the location of
    subsistence fishing could occur because the Delta River
45
46
    also encompasses some military training areas for
47
    Donley training area which is closed to recreational
48
    access and just public access in general in a certain
```

section due to the impact area for training.

49

0083 1 So the other comment I have is that this is spoke to as the Delta River, but the Delta 2 River also is encompassed in the Tangle Lakes and with BLM Federal land being around the Tangle Lake system I 5 am unclear and maybe someone can clarify that the Tangle Lakes would or would not be included in this 6 7 rescinded closure. At the Eastern Interior RAC there was also confusion as to whether or not Ahtna lands, 9 the Copper -- upper Copper Center residents would be 10 qualified to fish in the section as well as it states 11 only the Delta residents. 12 13 That's all I have. 14 15 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. 16 if you could clarify if the lake is included and if the 17 Ahtna Tribe folks are included as well. 18 19 DR. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 20 This closure review is specific to the Delta River 21 itself only. Cory, you can correct me if needed. 22 23 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. 24 one of the questions and I think there was one more. 25 26 DR. VOORHEES: Could you please repeat 27 that question. Oh, I.... 28 29 MS. BAKER: I'm sorry. It was a little 30 hard to hear. I believe that was Hannah that spoke and 31 I couldn't understand if it was for or not the Ahtna 32

residents.

DR. VOORHEES: I'm sorry, I don't understand the -- that -- I don't understand the way the question's phrased. If the question is whether this would include the Tangle Lakes the answer is no.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think the second question....

MS. BAKER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BROWER:is the residency in that area, if it includes Ahtna tribal members, is that -- maybe you can clarify that part, is it Brandan?

48 MR. SCANLON: Brandy.

49 50

33 34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41 42

43 44

45

46

0084 1 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Brandy. 2 3 MS. BAKER: Okay. Could you repeat the 4 question, please. 5 6 CHAIRMAN BROWER: It was posed by you. 7 It was a question posed by you about who can -- if it's rescinded and open for subsistence you mentioned if it 9 -- something about the Ahtna Tribe, would that include 10 them. 11 12 DR. VOORHEES: Thank you. 13 14 MS. BAKER: Okay. Yes, I believe my 15 question was that the Ahtna tribal lands would have encompassed the Denali Highway area which is within the 16 17 Tangle Lakes River drainage which is the headwaters of 18 the Delta Wild and Scenic River. And this proposal 19 rescinding just the Delta River, if tribal or rural 20 residents of Copper Center, Glenn Allen, Paxton, would 21 qualify since the Delta River goes through the Tangle 22 Lakes, drops off the falls and then become what on the 23 map says Delta River which now we are referring to, 24 would they have traditional and customary 25 determinations within that portion. 26 27 DR. VOORHEES: Thank you for clarifying 28 that question. No, they would not. That is a boundary 29 of customary and traditional use determination areas 30 and they would not have C&T. 31 32 MS. BAKER: Okay. Thank you. 33 34 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. We'll go 35 down the list. I think we are now at Federal comments. 36 37 (No comments) 38 39 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, any 40 tribal comments. 41 42 (No comments) 43 44 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Other Regional 45 Advisory Councils. Hannah. 46 47 DR. VOORHEES: Hannah Voorhees, OSM. 48 The Eastern Interior Council took up this closure 49 review at their recent meeting, it is in their area.

0085 And they decided to defer a recommendation. They first wanted to hear from people who will be most directly affected such as the Delta AC. The Council was also 4 concerned about lack of monitoring and potential 5 overharvest. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. 8 Game Advisory Committee comments. 9 10 MS. BAKER: Hi. Yes, this is Brandy 11 Baker at Fish and Game again. I just wanted to let you know that the Delta Fish and Game Advisory Committee is 12 13 meeting on October 19th and they have this proposal on 14 their agenda to discuss. 15 16 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. 17 informative on that. Subsistence Resource Commissions. 18 19 (No comments) 20 21 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Summary of written 22 public comments. 23 24 DR. VOORHEES: Hannah Voorhees, OSM. 25 There were no written public comments. 26 27 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Mic is open to public 28 testimony. 29 30 (No comments) 31 32 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Regional 33 Council recommendation and discussion of justification. 34 I'm kind of inclined to want to defer this like the 35 Eastern Interior. There are action items being proposed by some ACs in their region over there that it 36 37 might be important to hear the Advisory Groups in that 38 particular region that are most affected. This is 39 quite a ways out of our area, but apparently we have C&T in that area. So I think it -- I think we really 40 should defer to those most impacted in that area and 41 42 defer this in the same way that Eastern Interior has. So what's the wish of the Council. We need to make a 44 motion, some type of motion, you could defer it or we can elect to rescind it. 45 46 MS. ITTA: Mr. Chair. 47

CHAIRMAN BROWER:

Yeah, go ahead,

48 49

```
0086
 1
   Martha.
 2
 3
                    MS. ITTA: I make a motion to defer to
 4
    the Interior Council's.
 5
 6
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       There's a motion on
 7
    the floor from Nuigsut, Martha Itta, to defer FCR23-05,
    the review of closure to subsistence fishing for all
 8
    fishing in the Delta River and to defer to the Eastern
 9
10
    Interior Council where those folks are most impacted by
11
    the proposal.
12
13
                    MR. FRANTZ: Seconded.
14
15
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's been seconded by
16
   Brower Frantz from Utqiagvik. Any further discussion.
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Question.
21
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
22
                                          Question's
23
    called for. All those in favor of deferring FCR23-05,
24
    review closure of subsistence fishing for all fish in
25
    the Delta River, signify by saying aye.
26
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
27
28
29
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed say
30
    nay.j
31
32
                     (No opposing votes)
33
34
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      Hearing none, North
35
           Regional Subsistence Advisory Council has
36
    deferred this to the most impacted Regional Council.
37
38
                    Thank you.
39
40
                    With that it is lunchtime and what's
41
    the recommendation for lunch. Do we want to take 30
42
    minutes, one hour, have lunch here or.....
43
44
                    MR. WILLIAMS: One hour.
45
46
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: One hour. All right.
47
    So we'll be back at 1:45.
48
49
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Okay. Enjoy lunch.
```

1 CHAIRMAN BROWER: So let's take a break 2 and we'll see you in one hour. 3 4 (Off record) 5 6 (On record) 7 8 CHAIRMAN BROWER:Council. And I believe we left off on 9 -- I think we finished 9A with 9 10 all the fishery proposals and went through all of 11 those. So we're now at in our revised agenda 9B and I 12 think that's the Western Arctic Caribou Herd update. 13 And who was the person, Hansen. 14 15 MS. HONIG: Mr. Chair. Yes, Alex 16 Hansen from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 17 I'm not sure if he's online. 18 19 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Alex 20 Hansen, you up. 21 22 MR. HANSEN: Yeah, this is Alex, Alaska 23 Department of Fish and Game. How you doing, through 24 the Chair. 25 26 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. You have 27 the floor for the Western Arctic Caribou Herd Working 28 Group. 29 30 MR. HANSEN: Can you hear me okay? 31 32 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. 33 34 MR. HANSEN: All right. I'm going to 35 pull up my presentation here, but I believe if you're following along it should be on supplement -- the 36 37 supplemental tab four. And I appreciate you folks adjusting things around so that I could present today, 38 39 trying to get out and so some stuff tomorrow and the 40 weather's been challenging. 41 42 All right. One second. All right. So 43 if you found that presentation it starts on the top W-44 A-H or WAH, Western Arctic Caribou Herd overview. And in the text there, we'll just go through a few things. 45 to talk about 46 abundance, additional We're going 47 biological data, management, harvest reporting and then 48 we'll summarize that. So get started here. The first 49 slide will be of the text will be WAH abundance.

0087

quick report and kind of a synopsis of recent population estimate. We're currently counting the census that we shot on the 9th and 10th of July this year. We're getting really close on that, hope to have an estimate out in a week or two. The last count was 2021, last summer, and that was 188,000. And that was kind of a big deal because that went down below the IM objective of 200,000 and the previous estimates from 2019 was 244,000.

> So if we jump to the next slide this is the abundance of the herd over time. So going back into the early '70s. This is kind of the time frame in Department was collecting which the population estimates. And you can see that peaked around 2003. And a period of decline from that point in time until about 2016, we saw a little bit of a rise in 2017, 2019 and then we went down again last year. So that is what -- kind of the long term trend. We've been in a period of decline for a number of years now, over a dozen years, and just based on where we're at right now I don't think the population's going to be coming up this year as compared to last year. So I'll get that reported as soon as we get that count finalized.

On our next slide, calving. Our parturition surveys for this past three years, 2022 through -- or 2020 through '22 was 66 percent which was a little bit down from our long term average of 70 percent. This is a survey where we go out to the calving grounds and look at collared individuals and see how many of those collared cows have calves. It's down a little bit, not startling, but we're keeping an eye on that.

And the next survey we'll talk about is recruitment. So this is something we do in the spring, this is April sometimes going into May time frame. And we're looking at the number of calves that have made it through the winter basically. And so that number is a representation of the number of short yearlings or calves as compared to adults, to a hundred adults. So kind of we're maintaining this long term average of about 17 calves to a hundred adults which is pretty good, you know, no major concerns. In those—a few years earlier '15, '16, '17, a little bit higher and but it's dipped down a little bit, but seems to be okay at the moment. So this is something we do every year, it's a long term data set that we have been

maintaining for a very long time.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

All right. Adult survival is the next slide. And this is where, you know, kind of our biggest concern is right now as we look at this longer period of decline as we've been coming down and down continually, our adult female survival on average is about 72 percent lately. And this last year, collar year 2020, these kind of lag behind because we're, you know, calculating that after the fact, but in the past three collar years it's been below average and our average -- long term average is 81 percent. causes us some concern of course because the cow survival is certainly tied very closely to herd production, right. I will report that currently, we're not finished with this latest collar year, I've kind of had to change things around a bit and recalculating because we had been catching on the Kobuk River in September/October and we were starting our collar year in October, but now we've switched our methods with later fall migrations to do spring captures, net gunning from helicopters and so our collar year's been now adjusted to begin 1st of May. So I've got to tinker with that a little bit more, but I will -- I mean, I'm happy to report this last collar year the survival has been up a little bit. We'll wait and see, you know, what that brings and what that really tell us, but apparently it looks a little bit better this last year, but we're coming out of three years of pretty low survival.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

And bull to cow ratio is our next slide there. I'm prepping now for a fall comp or composition So what we're looking for there is the composition of the herd, the percentage of bulls as compared to the cows and calves. So in this herd we try to maintain at least a minimum of 30 bulls to a hundred cows. I did this survey last year and it was 47 bulls to a hundred cows which indicates that we have some room for additional bull harvest and seem to be doing okay with, you know, fairly high. Prior to that the last one I did was 2017, we took a break in there, but that was 54 bulls to a hundred cows. So that tells us that we do have some potential room for continued bull harvest, but also when we put that together with our decreased cow survival it's, you know, may be time to start thinking about ways we could cut back on cow harvest. And that's certainly part of a larger discussion that we'll have to talk about with RACs and

ACs moving forward as we get the final number in. But that is something to talk about.

And we try to model our -- I'm on to We do our the next slide, cause of mortality here. to understand the cause of mortality, it's honestly quite challenging because we're not on the scene for every mortality event for instance. If folks are harvesting them and they turn in a collar then we can understand that, but we -- what we do is when we get a detection of a mortality event on a collared individual the -- as quick as we can which isn't always very quick based on weather and helicopter availability and everything else, we get out into the field and we take a look at the scene we'll call it, but the mortality site. And we try to understand what was the cause of mortality, whether it was predation, sometimes we can tease out whether or not that was a brown bear or a wolf for instance and then try to figure out what was going on. So if you can kind of look at that, it kind of changes over time, but fairly high predation on this herd which, you know, is to be expected. we'll continue to keep an eye on that and try to tease out a little bit more information as possible.

So the next slide I have is -- this is kind of an amalgam or, you know, kind of putting together some of this information. So some of the things we look at for a population trend of course is the recruitment that I mentioned and then of course the biggest driver is cow mortality or conversely survival so as more cows survive they of course can produce more calves. And if the calves survive they can of course become adults and produce calves themselves. So currently when we put those together in kind of that declining year that band to the right, if you have a color copy of this it's in the red, but you can see those bars going down which is kind of those declining years.

And then over to the next one to the right, we're kind of, you know, mixed signals up and down, but currently in a downward trend. So that's where we're at, coming down a little bit.

And if you're -- if you track the WACH Working Group the meeting will be coming up here in December and the management level that is set by that Working Group is -- kind of comes out of our population

estimate of course and our cow survival and our calf recruitment. So this is the latest one from last year's, it's in the preservative declining which that population falls within that window of 130 to 200,000. And our harvest, you know, kind of recommending a harvest rate of 6,000 to 10,000 animals within this herd.

And some of the prescriptions in that —— at that management level if we drop down to the second one there where it says preservative could include, these are kind of recommendations, no harvest of calves or limit harvest of cows by residents to permit hunts or village quotas and potentially limit subsistence harvest to bulls as I mentioned to maintain 30 bulls to a hundred cows or harvest restricted to residents only according to State and Federal law, closure of some Federal public lands may be necessary. So some of this stuff has already taken place and, you know, certainly some stuff we can talk about moving forward.

On the next slide, management tier, I'll briefly mention that as you're aware the ANS is combined with the Western Arctic and Teshekpuk of 8,000 to 12,000. You know, currently we feel like we're probably still meeting that ANS. The trouble that we run into with the Western Arctic Herd is our harvest reporting or understanding of harvest locally is pretty poor. I estimate we probably get harvest report of about 10 percent of the total harvest and so we're struggling with that and trying to work with folks to use, you know, the tool that we've been given by the Board of Game, the RC907 permit to get better reporting. And that gets, you know, really important right now as we're getting closer -- you know, as our population is coming down we need to understand harvest that we don't harvest better so ourselves into additional problems, that we don't suppress the herd growth for instance. So our estimated harvestable surplus at least on the last estimate was 11,300 and that's bulls and cows combined. So like I said I -like we're close to that, but we don't have really good harvest data so we're not exactly sure.

So as I mentioned some of the challenges that we're facing are participation in these permits, 907 and 800 is a little bit too low, actually quite a bit too low to provide us a clear understanding

6 7

9

of harvest. And in the past we had used a model and looking at availability of harvest and basing it off of population of communities and it just is, you know, kind of -- kind of realized it was to coarse, you know, to give us some ideas, a better understanding of the long term, but it -- when we started to look at the mechanics of that, you know, we had to see a change on the order of about 60 percent of harvest from one year to the next to affect any changes in that model. So at the current time abandoned that model and looking for better ways to understand harvest.

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

2526

27

28

29

And just kind of speaking about that model here, it'll give you kind of an example of what we get, but and it gives us a long term understanding that that harvest is kind of between 12 and 14, 16,000 animals annually. And that certainly changes based on availability as, you know, some of your member reports have stated, you know, Point Hope had good access and plenty of animals, Anaktuvuk Pass not so much yet. So, you know, the harvest obviously changes based on where those animals choose to go. If you can see the little pieces on top of those in mine it's red, on top of the gray bars, but that is nonresident or non-local harvest. So that is a very small portion of the total And we don't have final numbers for this harvest. year, but with the Federal land closure the harvest is probably going to be about a third of what it has been in the past few years is my guess. So it's really been reduced quite a big.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

And I'm going to beat on this a little bit more here, but harvest reporting as I mentioned is As you can see this next slide, super important. harvest reporting. You know, that data gap in the middle is what we need. We need someway to take that 10 percent and come a little bit higher and a little bit closer to reality of what the harvest is so that we can understand that moving forward. If we happen to find ourselves, you know, in a real critical situation with the population coming down and, you know, cuts are necessary then we need to understand what harvest is necessary for those communities out there. And, you know, by getting those reports to us then we can understand that better so that everybody is represented well.

46 47 48

49

50

 $$\operatorname{And}\ I$$ -- as I mentioned this next one is annual variation in winter range. And I want to

6 7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

point this out because it's always a hot topic, right. The caribou do different things every year. understand that they have very strong fidelity to the calving grounds so June, end of May, first part of June, they're up there on the calving grounds at Utukok Uplands and that's where they're calving, the maternal cows, right. But after that, you know, when they come off of there the predictability of where they're going to go gets less and less. Pretty much know they're going to go to the Lisburne Peninsula each year, but after that when they go into the -- kind of go into the mountains then they can just kind of scatter every which way to the North Slope, you know, into the mountains off to the east or come down to the Noatak Preserve. And this is a depiction here, this variation in winter range, of where the Western Arctic Herd or the bulk of the collars winter each year.

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

As you can see there's certainly some change over time if you look at the red bar far to the left like 1993, you know, 75 percent of the animals were in the Nulato Hills. Now that was kind of the period where they wintered in the Nulato Hills. If we look over to the right, you know, '14, '15, that time frame, 2014, 2015, they spent a lot of the time or most of the collars wintered on the Seward Peninsula though we can see there's variation over time. important thing that I want to note about this is as they're selecting these winter range sites that is going to influence their travel path on the fall migration. So if they're not now going to the Seward Peninsula to winter for instance and they're wintering in the central Brooks Range, you know, folks in different areas that are accustomed to catching them on the Kobuk River are not going to have that opportunity. So certainly see changes over time with caribou migrations. I think that has always been the case and probably always will be the case. And trying to understand, you know, the drivers behind that is challenging, certainly can be range driven, you know, as winter range is depleted they have to find different places to winter, warmer falls, we're kind of seeing of that where they're just staying in the mountains if they're not pushed out with, you know, heavy fall snowstorms. A lot of drivers there for migratory changes.

46 47 48

And kind of to summarize things, you know, we're keeping a very close eye on things because

we're near the critical thresholds. As I mentioned we're below IM objective, short yearling recruitment is decent, our calving is a little bit lower than we have seen in the past, but we'll continue to monitor that. And the biggest concern right now is adult cow survival. Our most recent, you know, understanding of that is it looks pretty good, moving forward in this collar year, but that's followed up or that was preceded by a bunch of low survival years. And if I have to beat on this one more time, but to understand that harvestable surplus and what's actually available for harvest, we really need better harvest data.

All right. So just wrap -- wrapping all that up there, I would just to say that I appreciate your time and the opportunity to switch the schedule around here on you, but I'm happy to field any questions if anybody has anything they'd like to ask me or comment I'm here for you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Thank you. Any questions on the Western Arctic Caribou Herd update and the management overview.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Seems like we're starting to approach some trends of continuing decline and is there a point that your management level will change or are you guys in the process of considering the management objective from liberal to conservative to preservative?

MR. HANSEN: Yeah, that's a good question, Mr. Chair. So, you know, I guess the honest answer is what I do is I get together the information, I present this to the Working Group and it's up to those folks, those members of the Working Group to decide what management level to go with. Currently I suspect and I don't -- you know, just based on biological information they will probably maintain the preservative declining, but I'm not -- I can't speak for the Working Group and what they'll go with. So the next step down would be critical. And so that would -you know, as we look at that table it would be a population below 130,000 in a declining state, right. So my expectation is at least for this year moving forward we're probably not changing much if any. still feel like we're change -- we're kind of in that

declining area within that population estimate.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And just to follow-up and on the ANS and the harvestable surplus, are both of those factoring Teshekpuk Herd into the Western Arctic Herd for both of those categories, the amount necessary for subsistence and the harvestable surplus?

MR. HANSEN: Sorry, I didn't catch all your question. You're asking about the ANS and the combination of Western Arctic and Teshekpuk?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I'm -- there's some of the language in here where it takes into account Teshekpuk Herd in the overall harvestable surplus and the amount necessary for subsistence, the ANS. Just wondering on both of those categories if it's taking into account the resident herd on the Slope or are those -- it just seems like that you are doing that.

MR. HANSEN: Yeah, I hope understanding your question, but yeah, just a quick clarification. You know, the resident herd up there on the Slope, primarily Teshekpuk animals, but it depends, you know, on timing. We've got some Western Arctic animals heading out of Point Lay and Wainwright now so there's still quite a few of those animals on the Slope, but those -- the ANS is combined for those two herds and, you know, that kind of comes into putting together the population estimates of both herds and I know Carmen's working on a population estimate for the Teshekpuk and I'm sure she'll talk about that later, but we do take both of those herd populations into account when we look at potential harvest availability or ANS.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other questions for ADF&G folks on the Western Arctic Caribou Herd.

 $\mbox{41}$ $\mbox{MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair, this is Steve}$ $\mbox{42}$ for the record.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Steve.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, you know, Point Hope area and Kotzebue area were always concerned about the population of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd

6 7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

declining for the last 10 years. And yet, you know, when we propose a closure to nonresidents or sport hunters we -- it always get declined by the Federal Subsistence or Advisory Council, you know, even though we're concerned about the population. But last year we had a -- they had a late count because they didn't give us the numbers of the herd until late and it was a huge decline at 188,000, you know. And whether it's 10,000 less or 10,000 more, but, you know, for years now we've been asking that they do a closure for nonresidents and sport hunters, but still it gets -- you get over rigid and over concerned about their needs. You're trying to get the numbers to come back up but, you know, at the highest population of 500,000 at one time, I mean, that was just 188,000 that's more than half. Do they know why the population is dropping?

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

MR. HANSEN: Yeah. Thank you, Oomittuk. Yeah, to your final question, you know, why is the population declining, that's really the question we've been asking ourself and, you know, it's not an easy question to answer. You know, the simple answer is that adult female survival is down. What's driving that, lots of things, you know, weather, predation, who knows what else. We're trying to understand that, but it's -- I guess I don't have a quick and short answer for you as to what ultimately is causing, you know, the population to decline. It's fairly well understood that, you know, caribou populations are cyclic, they come up and they go down and we're kind of in a declining period right now, it bounced in 2017, 2018 there for a little bit and then we came down again. Potentially we'll see it kind of spike up again, but who knows, right.

343536

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

And kind of speaking to your comments about the closure, you know, as we were discussing this the past couple of years the Federal land closure did occur under WSA 21-01 of what, over 8 million acres in the Noatak Preserve in unit 23. So a good portion of the nonresident, non-local hunters were cutout this year. One interesting observation that I saw is, you know, is caribou when they came off the summer range or kind of over there in your country for a minute, they typically would move into kind of the Noatak Preserve and we didn't see a whole lot of that this year, they kind of went up on the North Slope. And so very strangely, you know, that portion of land that were closed didn't get that much caribou traffic. So even

though there were no hunters there the caribou weren't there in mass like that had been the previous few years or falls. So very interesting to see what will come of this closure and if it'll change anything. Currently we're just barely this week starting to see some caribou come down through Ambler, cross the Kobuk River and it's starting to flow ice pans right now today. So still late migrations coming out of there and most of the Western Arctic Herd is still on the North Slope as we speak with a few in the mountains, but a lot still up north.

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. Yeah, we noticed that a lot of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd is staying up north a lot longer than within our area (indiscernible - distortion) caribou year round there. It's usually most of the time we're having to go 50, 60 miles to get any. But lately they're just -- they've been hanging around.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ HANSEN: Yeah, they spent a lot of time on the peninsula this fall. It was very interesting.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah. So all right.

Thank you.

MR. HANSEN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Any other

31 questions.

MR. TOOYAK: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yes, go ahead.

MR. TOOYAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman, can you hear me okay.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. Who is it?

MR. TOOYAK: Yeah, hi. My name is Andrew Tooyak. I'm with the Wilderness Society, I'm acquainted with Steve Oomittuk. I also am a Point Hope resident, born and raised. I'd like to pose this question to ADF&G about the population. I understand that in the '70s there was a great controversy about the number of bowhead whales population in decline and that may or may not have come from scientific studies.

And all this population decline that we're hearing now are from scientific studies. Have you had any resident or person challenge that data because the anecdotal information about bowhead whales during that time is they are not in decline. And it took a longtime for — to understand that yeah, actually bowhead whale population was fairly stable, this — it could just be the case as well. If you're hearing any of this kind of anecdotal talk from subsistence hunters saying that there are more caribou than you're actually saying.

10 11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

9

6 7

> MR. HANSEN: No, that's a observation and I guess I don't under -- I don't have the history of the bowhead whales, but I certainly would recognize that we've certainly made mistakes in the past and, you know, certainly haven't -- you know, we've operated on the best scientific knowledge available, correct, but as we move forward in time I would say that this Western -- the census at least the way we do it through the photo census, is one of the most accurate ways to count big game species in the world. We're operating within a time frame when all of these animals -- largely all of these animals come together in a small area. Well, it's a large area, but small in comparison to their annual range, right. And we have marked individuals, we try to maintain at least a hundred collars out there. And while we're out there doing the survey we're making sure that we know where all of those collars are and they're coming together in big groups. The biggest group we had these year was nearly 100,000 animals. And then we fly over them when they come together, we make sure they have edges on all of the groups, we photograph everything and count every single individual through a computer program. one we have to count them with the mouse, have to click on them.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

So as far as the accuracy that has definitely improved over time. If we go back into, you know, the '70s and '60s and, you know, way back before this technology, you know, certainly their methods weren't as good as this. Over time we've made a giant leap in technology just since 2017 was the first year we transitioned away from black and white photos to using digital photos so we're able to detect at least better detection on calves and we're getting better coverage and can shoot photographs in less ideal light conditions. So I would say that I'm pretty darn sure that these photographs and these counts are very

```
0099
```

accurate. And I'm more than willing to let anybody come take a look at the photographs and see what they think of the process. I'm happy to carve out some time for anybody that wants to come by the office to do that. And if they have any questions I'm happy to field those as well.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. Any other questions.

MS. ITTA: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Martha from Nuigsut.

MS. ITTA: Yes, Mr. Chair. Thank you. On the cause of the mortality percentage you have predator unknown and hunter. Can you explain the unknown percentage of the mortality?

MR. HANSEN: I'm sorry, I'm not getting the question there....

 $$\operatorname{MS}.$$ ITTA: Under the cause of mortality.....

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HANSEN:a little bit too far away from the mic perhaps.

MS. ITTA: Under the cause of mortality on the WAH adult mortality percentage you have predator unknown and hunter. Can you explain why there is an unknown in there?

MR. HANSEN: Oh, yeah, I can absolutely explain why there's an unknown. As I mentioned when we go out and look at these collars we're trying to understand what the mortality of -- cause of mortality was. If we can clearly understand that it was a brown bear, let's say, you know, we see sign on a brown bear maybe cached it, you know, dug up some tundra then we can mark it as brown bear predation. But sometimes, you know when we get out to a mortality site or a kill site we find a collar and maybe a pile of hair and we can't find any other evidence or maybe it's in a creek something and it's buried under the gravel and there's nothing left to tell us. So some of those things are really what kind of falls into that unknown category, we can't put a actual cause on it.

But thanks for asking that clarifying question.

MS. ITTA: Thank you. What about — this is in regards to the studies that you guys do and the concern of our sick caribou with green meat and pus. I'm wondering why those aren't in the report, do you guys — knowing that you guys do these studies you guys have no idea like how many — how many are sick or the causes of the concerns by our community, I mean, unknown is — that's looking at your graph is almost more than the hunters', you know, mortality rate. So I'm wondering, you know, what's the cause of the unknown. I know it's different — I mean, there's — you have the predators, I know that's a big concern, but the unknown portion, that really concerns me.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Carmen. I'm going to just add a little bit to that. I think it was about early 2000, maybe late 1990s and we had some locals that reported maybe 2,000 dead caribou at one area. (In Native)....

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HANSEN: I'm sorry, was that another question, I heard a bunch of static and some things like that.

CHAIRMAN BROWER:and they were very many. And we got to see some of that. And some of the elders talk about that it was very cold.....

MR. HANSEN: Are we still on the phone.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER:(in Native), the temperature was, you know, minus 40 for a long period of time. Before that there had been some unusual weather incidents where it got warm and actually some rain during that time even though it's in the cold month. And then a steep cold immediately following that.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HANSEN: Mr. Chair, this is Alex Hansen, Fish and Game. (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) of the meeting.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And if you know caribou (in Native) eat....

MR. HANSEN: Are there more questions for me to answer there.

CHAIRMAN BROWER:they'll also (in Native), their hooves and their -- along their legs that when they're digging they will -- they actually get sick too from getting cuts. What it is they've been trying to dig through ice, ice encrusted snow along with the very, very cold weather and that's something we observed back then. And we actually made those reports around Ikpikpuk that there is that many there, looked like somebody went up there with a machine gun and shoot them all up, there was that many dead ones up there.

Anyway some of those are kind of --could be, you know, attributable to unknown kind of things, we don't -- that's what the elders say most likely scenario of what happened based on their own observations. And that -- I would just help with that little bit.

 MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair to member Itta regarding your concerns about the unknowns. So let me try to -- maybe I can help you see the picture a little bit more. So when you go out to investigate a collar for a caribou that you know is dead there might be an extended period of time between when the animal actually died and when you get to actually look.....

MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, is the meeting still connected?

MS. DAGGETT:at the area where the animal died. And you're basically doing crime scene investigation at that point, right, you -- you're looking for any bones that are there and how the bones were broken or if there's any left. You use what we call luminal on the collar and see if there's blood spatters on the collar to see if the animal died a violent death or maybe it just starved to death and there wouldn't be any blood on the collar. Luminal would tell you that. So you kind of have to piece together all of these clues to try to figure out how this animal died. And it's a bit of an art and a bit of a science at the same time. And you don't always have time on your side because that collar's been sitting there for a long time, you lose more and more

evidence as that time goes on. So the likelihood that you get to unknown if that time is longer is greater, right.

So does that help, does that make sense more how you would get to that point where you would look at a collar and go I'm not exactly sure if a wolf ate that because I don't have the bones to look at anymore or if it was buried maybe a bear got it, you know, like those are kind of some of the things you would look for. So.....

MS. ITTA: Yeah, I -- thank you for your -- for your comments. So that brings me to, you know, the unknown, not knowing if it's predator and, I kind of would question the you know, or a hunter. graph and the results of your report. And, you know, I just wanted to thank you for clarifying that and I just wanted to see if anything was actually being done to those dead caribous that you guys encounter, you know, you guys aren't just oh, there's another dead one here, putting it, you know, another number up or down. I'm just wanting to know if something is being done about it and we're getting the answers that we need, that way we have a better understanding. I know there's a lot of predators and many ways for the caribou to go, I understand that, the whole scientific, I just want to make sure something's being done about it, voicing our concerns all the way to the Federal government, to Washington D.C. and, you know, not getting answers back, I just want to make sure something is being done about that.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Martha.

MS. DAGGETT: Can I....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Carmen.

MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair. Just one more piece. So you brought up your concerns about the pus and seeing green caribou meat and those sorts of things and those sorts of concerns. We try to communicate with the North Slope Borough Wildlife Department as much as possible. And I talk with them regularly. There are times where we don't get information back from them either or we don't hear from

them about different things that happen. So it's difficult for us to tell what's going on if we don't hear back all the time from them.

MS. ITTA: Okay.

MS. DAGGETT: We try really hard to have open communication and open doors. I try personally very hard to have communication with them on a regular basis. I don't -- I don't know why things are the way they are there which is part of the reason why I offered to if you want samples sent through Fish and Game we can do that and we can have communication that way as best as I can guarantee. And that's all I can do about that.

MS. ITTA: Thank you for your response

though.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native)

 $\,$ MS. ITTA: That is -- Mr. Chair, that is an issue that we've been having is not getting any response.

Thank you for your comment.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native), Martha. Always insightful and keep the OSM and ADF&G and the Feds on their toes, you know. It's important for us to know, you know, because we eat these animals and what's ailing them and what is -- what is actually a -- the unknown portion is -- you know, we all try to pitch in because there could be so many variables involved in the unknown.

With that, any other questions for the Western Arctic Caribou Herd update.

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair.

 $\label{eq:chairman} \mbox{CHAIRMAN BROWER:} \quad \mbox{Mr. Williams from } \mbox{AKP.}$

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, back in '90s I remember when we were on working group on Western, I think you were on it at that time, I'm not sure. Anyway somewhere between Point Hope and Point Lay somewhere or Noatak they found 10 caribous were sick

0104 one time. I don't know, if they went hungry, starving 1 they said, but we never heard nothing about that, you know, I was just wondering about that, you know, did they ever find out about that. They found some pus on 5 them and stuff like that. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. Earl, your 8 memory is -- serves you very well.... 9 10 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. 11 12 CHAIRMAN BROWER:in the '90s. 13 14 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, but that.... 15 16 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. 17 18 MR. WILLIAMS:you know, that's 19 kind of serious right now. They didn't bring nothing out about that before because they said they starved, 20 21 but, you know, never heard nothing about it after that. 22 23 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. I mean, yeah, 24 those are important things and those are things that we 25 observe, you know, we observe these things. 26 those -- a lot of tutus that died and they basically 27 starve and then froze. 28 29 MR. WILLIAMS: That's right. 30 31 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. Anyway it's 32 their habitat sometimes does that. It just -- that's 33 just how it is. We live in a very extreme climate and 34 I'm glad we're all surviving it. But very good 35 observation there, Mr. Williams. 36 37 Any other questions to the Western 38 Arctic Working Group update on caribou. 39 40 (No comments) 41 42 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Thank you 43 very much for the update and we know you are very busy 44 and your little airplane probably going to fly around 45 to go take more pictures of them and we appreciate all 46 the work you do..... 47

MR. HANSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

48

1 CHAIRMAN BROWER:to bring this kind of information and very, very useful. 2 I would like to see that the ADF&G caribou animation be represented, maybe an updated one and I like to watch the 5 trend in the migrations. And they're very important from -- well, you can watch the Central Herd, the 6 7 Western Herd, the Teshekpuk Herd, Porcupine Herd and the amount of caribou resources that grace the Arctic 9 up here at one time, a very spectacular thing I think. 10 And we should continue to see those and so that we 11 understand, you know, for Esther and Earl and Martha 12 and Brower and for those that might be new to the 13 Council, you know, because I don't plan on serving 14 forever, you know, but it seems like I've been here 15 forever. But they're important details and they really corroborate some of our own traditional knowledge we've 16 17 been exposed to like, you know, at some point, you 18 know, there should be some study about some elders that 19 had talked about caribous colliding together, different 20 herds. And then when they go back to where they're 21 coming from sometimes a whole bunch of them go with a 22 different herd and they might take 10,000 with them. 23 And then you'll see a corresponding shift in herd size. 24 And that's a traditional observation that has happened 25 before. So it's probably why they calculate Teshekpuk 26 and Western Arctic ANS together because they often 27 collide.

28 29

So with that any other questions.

30 31

(No comments)

32 33

34

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Thank you for the Western Arctic Caribou update. And that brings us to.....

35 36 37

MR. HANSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

38 39

CHAIRMAN BROWER:old business. And we'll go down to item A, 805(c) report summary. And that'll be our Madam Coordinator.

41 42

40

Leigh.

43 44 45

46

47

48

MS. HONIG: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. For the record my name is Leigh Honig, Council Coordinator with OSM. And I'm going to present you with a brief summary of the Federal Subsistence Board's 2022 805(c) report to the

Council. So the material for this agenda item can be found on page 19 of your meeting materials booklet and this is not an action item.

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2

So to begin a brief summary of section 805(c), this is of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, provides that the Board generally defers to the recommendations of the Council regarding take unless one, the recommendation is not supported by substantial evidence; two, the recommendation violates recognized principles of fish and wildlife management; or three, adopting the recommendation would detrimental to the satisfaction of subsistence needs. When a Council's recommendation is not adopted the Board is required to provide the reasons and facts for their decision to the Council. And these are provided in the annual 805(c) report.

16 17

18

19

As you can see from the 805(c) cover 20 letter this year the Board acted on 59 proposals and 16 21 closure reviews for the 2022 to '24 wildlife regulatory 22 cycle. The Board agreed with the recommendations of 23 the Regional Advisory Councils in whole 24 modifications on 50 of 59 of the proposals. The Board 25 accepted the recommendations of the Regional Advisory 26 Councils on 15 of 16 wildlife closure reviews, voting 27 to maintain status quo on 14 of them.

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

So specifically in regard to your region, the Board's actions aligned with Council recommendations on 10 of the 12 proposals. The two proposals that the Board's action differed from the Council's recommendations were on Wildlife Proposal 22-45 which was harvest regulations for Alaska hare in units 18, 22 and 23. The Council had recommended changing the bag limit to 15 per season and also to And the Board adopted regulations extend the season. to shorten the season August 1 to May 31 and to modify the definition of hare.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

Wildlife 22-47 sought to allow the taking of calf caribou in unit 22. The Council recommended to support this proposal with modification to allow harvest of orphan calves. And the Board did not adopt this proposal. And the justification for the Board's actions is located in 805(c) enclosure on page 22.

47 48 49

And if the Council would like I can

summarize the proposals where the Board's actions were consistent with the Council recommendation or I can end there, whatever you prefer, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any questions on the 805(c) report and it was just a summary that was being read. Do you -- what's the wish of the Council, would you want to hear more or are we good. It's not an action item, just a summary.

(In Native) is it good?

MR. FRANTZ: I think it's good.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Thank you, Madame Coordinator, for that. And we'll go to old business, item B, FY'21 annual report replies and it's also a summary, Madame Coordinator, and you can find that on page 24.

Leigh.

MS. HONIG: All right. Thank you once again, Mr. Chair. And for the record Leigh Honig and I'm going to present you with a brief summary of the --a brief summary of the Federal Subsistence Board FY'21 annual report reply to the Council. And you'll find the Board's response and enclosure on page 24 of your meeting book. And once again this is not an action item.

So the Board appreciates your efforts to communicate through your annual report to the Board issues outside of the regulatory process that affects subsistence users in your region. The identified four topic of concern for the Board to be aware of and the points of concern were topic one, was 26C unit muskox and monitoring efforts and transboundary management with Canada. The acknowledge the complexity of cross-boundary management and the Board noted that the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge does not currently have a set population goal and does not currently monitor muskox populations. Refuge Staff have anecdotally observed 45 to 50 muskox....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted).

MS. HONIG:for years and Refuge Staff have proposed to engage with their Yukon colleagues to obtain recent population survey and historical harvest data.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted).

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. Go ahead and continue. We'll remind you please mute your phone when you're not recognized.

Thank you.

Leigh.

MS. HONIG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So Refuge Staff have proposed to engage with their Yukon colleagues to obtain recent population survey and historical harvest data. The Board hopes this will lead to future collaboration.

So topic two that the Council brought before the Board was the ongoing concerns about contaminants in subsistence fish within the NPR-A. The Board provided a report on monitoring polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in sediments of the Colville River and subsistence fishes important to the community of Nuigsut. And this is found on page 30 of your meeting booklet. The proposed project is to address the concerns of community members and is planning to conduct a monitoring effort to evaluate potential levels in the PAH concentrations in sediments and fish tissues within the area of the NPR-A and to assess if the PAH levels are associated with fish infected with saprolengnia. Might have messed that name up. BLM is applying for funding to do a joint study with North Slope Borough Staff and Nuigsut community members.

Moving on to topic three was the recognition and support for community harvest and sharing patterns. So the Board recognizes the importance of community harvest and sharing of subsistence foods. The Board wanted to highlight that two wildlife proposals were adopted that provided more flexibility for those that participate in community harvest and food sharing networks. Wildlife Proposal 22-01 gave members of the communities with community harvest systems more flexibility to engage in the food

sharing network of choice or to choose not to participate in the community harvest system. This would allow those that opted out of the community harvest system to maintain individual harvest limits and the ability to participate in traditional harvest and food sharing networks of their choosing.

The Board also adopted WP 22-02 which allows more subsistence users to utilize the designated hunters. The Board encourages future proposals to further incorporate traditional food sharing practices into regulation.

And finally topic four was the request that the Board further consideration the continuation of subsistence uses and that substantial evidence include local and traditional knowledge when taking action on proposals. And the Board replied that they understood the value of TEK and understands the frustrations of it not being fully incorporated into the process. The Board is starting to improve this area and used the example of Board action on WSA 21-01A which was a closure that was supported based on local testimony, TEK and survey data of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd. The Board notes that further progress can still be made and the fully staffed Anthropology Division within OSM will contribute toward meeting this goal of further incorporating the two knowledge systems together. Furthermore when the Board relies on TEK in its decision-making, the Board also intends acknowledge this use on the record.

The Board thanks the Council for well representing the North Slope region's users' concerns through your annual report.

And that concludes this summary. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Madame Coordinator. And again this is not an action item and just a summary. Any questions on the annual report replies.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none we'll go to item C of old business, special actions. OSM Kendra Holman and WSA 21-01 and caribou and moose I believe in

0110 1 unit 23 and 26A.

2

4

5

6 7

9

DR. VOORHEES: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. This is Hannah Voorhees, Anthropologist with OSM. This is an update and reminder about temporary special action request WSA 21-01 which requested that the Federal Subsistence Board close Federal public lands in units 23 and 26A to caribou and moose hunting by non-Federally-qualified users from August 1st to September 30th. This is an informational update only, not an action item.

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

2526

27

28

This March the Board voted to approve this request with modification. As a result some Federal public lands in unit 23 and a small portion of 26A are closed to caribou hunting by non-Federally-qualified users from August 1st to September 30th for two regulatory years. This is the closure that Alex Hansen was referring to earlier in his update. In addition all Federal public lands in unit 23 are closed to moose hunting by non-Federallyqualified users from August 1st to September 30th for two regulatory years. For caribou the closure area is Noatak National Preserve including the Nigu River portion of the Preserve in unit 26A and BLM managed lands between Noatak and Kobuk Rivers in unit 23. The area's closed to hunting by non-Federally-qualified users from August 1st to September 30th during the 2022 to 2023 and 2023 to 2024 regulatory years.

29 30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

The Board found this modification to be compromise that provides for the reasonable continuation of subsistence uses and the conservation of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd while precluding unnecessary restrictions on non-Federally-qualified users. The partial closure targets the area of highest user conflicts and minimizes potential disruptions to caribou migration. The Board approved these requests with modification $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ the effectiveness of the closures in conserving these populations and providing for the continuation of subsistence as well as to reduce the administrative burden of processing special actions. Conditions are not expected to change in 2023.

44 45 46

Thank you. That concludes my update and I am happy to answer any questions.

47 48 49

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Hannah.

0111 Any questions on those temporary action special actions for closing from August to September, it's like a month, right? 4 5 DR. VOORHEES: Two months. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Two months. 8 said it affected a portion of unit 26A and can you elaborate a little bit more on that? 9 10 11 DR. VOORHEES: Sure. So this is a very 12 small portion of 26A. It's the portion of 26A that National Preserve 13 within Noatak and more 14 specifically including the Nigu River portion of the 15 Preserve. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BROWER: So the upper reaches 18 of the NPR-A area I would think maybe in unit 26A on 19 Federal land? 20 21 DR. VOORHEES: This closure is limited 22 23

to just the portion of 26A in Noatak National Preserve. It doesn't so any further north than that so no portion of the NPR-A is included.

24

25 26

27

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. Any further questions on that update.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: If not, thank you, So we'll go down to WSA 22-01, change to Federal muskox permit system in game management unit 22 and 23 from Federal registration permit to Federal drawing permit and OSM update.

MS. HOLMAN: Hello, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. My name is Kendra Holman and I'm a Wildlife Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. I will be presenting a summary of the results for Temporary Wildlife Special Action 22-01. This is an informational item only and no action is This update is coming before you because required. residents of unit 23 east and north of the Buckland River drainage have a customary and traditional use determination for muskox in unit 23 remainder. includes Point Hope.

> Temporary Special Action WSA 22-01

1 submitted by the National Park Service and the Bureau of Land Management requested the Federal Subsistence Board change the Federal muskox permitting system in unit 22 and 23 from a registration to a drawing permit for the 2022 through 2024 regulatory cycles. This is a housekeeping request as these permits 6 have been 7 distributed via draw permits since 1998. proponents have also requested to standardize the language in the delegation of authority letter and to 9 10 change the In-Season Manager from the Western Arctic 11 National Park Lands Superintendent to the Anchorage 12 Field Office Manager for the Federal muskox hunt in the 13 southwestern portion of unit 23. The Federal In-Season 14 Managers consider a draw permit hunt to be the best 15 management strategy for conservation -- for conserving 16 natural and healthy populations while still allowing for subsistence use by Federally-qualified subsistence 17 18 users. The Federal Subsistence Board adopted this 19 temporary special action during their April, 2022 20 meeting.

21 22

Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. I'll be happy to address any questions.

232425

26

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any questions to Kendra on WSA 22-01 to change the Federal muskox permit system to a Federal drawing permit.

272829

(No comments)

30 31

32

33 34

35

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I do have a question. Let's just say a drawing was held and just random, you know, it could be somewhere else, and the person that got the permit under a drawing system didn't have the resources to go out and get that. Can that person have a designated hunter help him?

36 37 38

39

40

41

MS. HOLMAN: Mr. Chair, that may be something I'd have to look into. I'm not sure if the designated hunter would cover that or not. That's not something I have myself looked into unless there's somebody else on the line that can answer that.

42 43 44

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Hannah}}$$ may have some information for you here.

45 46 47

DR. VOORHEES: Thank you. I wanted to jump in. That's a very good question. I believe they could, but I'll verify that during this meeting and get

49 50

back to you.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, you know, maybe one of my aunts or one of my sister-in-law couldn't go do it and I'd say I'll go do it for you, I know where there's one, you know, in the right place that's within the law and get it for him or something. That way their permit wouldn't expire or -- because it seems like they expire and you go to go for a drawing the next year again or something like that. But seems to me that for those that don't have the resources that we should be able to help them out to land that animal.

MR. FRANTZ: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Brower, Mr. Frantz.

MR. FRANTZ: Yeah, I've got another question that pertains. So on this same note so if it's in 23, but they have -- you know, they're within the area so let's say somebody entered the drawing that was kind of from the area, but let's say another village away that got put in there, but they don't live in the immediate location and -- but they received a permit. How would that work?

MS. HOLMAN: So through the Chair. These specific ones come down to -- within the regulations. I believe these ones come down to the customary and traditional use so I don't believe you can receive that permit for these specific ones and these specific hunts that we're addressing within this special action. I can double check the regulations and make sure but that $\operatorname{--}$ what I remember is the portion of this hunt that fell under unit 23 that is covered by this Regional Advisory Council had a customary and traditional use determination and that was how they were able to do these hunts an be in these drawings. There is a separate hunt that was established by the Board, adopted by the Board, this last April that is But as far as these ones that's my for 26A. understanding and I can verify that for you.

MR. FRANTZ: Thank you. No, that's actually the one I was kind of relating to was how would you go about that one, like a permit was handed to somebody that wasn't immediately living in 26A so

0114 1 just trying to centralize, you know, for other hunts a more effective way to go forth with the -- you know, the selection just to, I don't know, make it easier I 4 guess for the areas that are actually there within the 5 unit. 6 7 DR. VOORHEES: Through the Chair. 8 Kendra's.... 9 10 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead there, 11 Hannah. 12 13 DR. VOORHEES: Thank you. 14 15 CHAIRMAN BROWER: I just got caught up 16 in all of the how we could do things. 17 18 DR. VOORHEES: I just wanted to note 19 that Kendra's correct. So these would be permits that 20 you'd need to have a C&T for to be eligible for. 21 theoretically it -- geographically that would already quite bounded, but if there was still an issue 22 23 with being distant from the hunt area a designated 24 hunter would be an avenue in which to address that. 25 26 CHAIRMAN BROWER: From the way I'm 27 gauging you have some information that you need to --28 that might be helpful from the book. 29 30 DR. VOORHEES: The information I'm 31 getting is just supporting what we've told you. 32 33 Thanks. 34 35 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. Any other 36 questions on the WSA 22-01 on the change -- the Federal 37 muskox permit system in game management unit 22 and 23 from a Federal registration permit to a Federal drawing 38 39 permit and on the update primarily affecting Point Hope 40 from our region. 41 42 (No comments) 43 44 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, thank 45 I suspect that you're going to do the you, Kendra. 46 update on WSA 22-02, dall sheep in unit 24A and 26B. 47 48 MS. HOLMAN: Yes, Mr. Chair.

record again my name is Kendra Holman and I'm a

49

Wildlife Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management and I will be presenting a summary of the results for Temporary Wildlife Special Action WSA 22-02. This is an informational item only and no action is required.

Temporary Special Action submitted by the Western Interior Regional Advisory Committee requested the Federal Subsistence Board close Federal public lands to the harvest of dall sheep in units 24A and 26B for the 2022 through '23 and the 2023 through '24 seasons. Population metrics, harvest pressure and unpredictable weather events have led to a conservation concern with a dismal outlook for ram abundance along the Dalton Highway corridor. portions of the Brooks Range sheep population are doing very well the easily accessible section of the Dalton Highway corridor appears to be faltering and the most recent surveys indicate few if any legal rams available Therefore the Federal Subsistence Board for harvest. adopted this temporary special action with modification to simplify regulatory language during their July, 2022 meeting.

Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. I'll be happy to address any questions.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any questions to Kendra on this one and I suspect this is one of those that we collaborated with Jack Reakoff a little bit, I think that was the proponent and the concerns related to sheep on the Dalton Highway unit 24A and 26B and that we can really support it -- I think we supported that.

MS. HOLMAN: That's my recollection, Mr. Chair, as well is that it was presented in the winter meeting brief as we were still in the process of writing the analysis.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. Any questions on WSA 22-02.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And thank you, Kendra. And we'll go to item 11, new business. And I believe we did the fisheries proposals already and now we're going to go to the crossover proposals. Well, it

```
0116
    seems like we did these already, we moved those up. So
    I would think we are down to FCR23-05, is that -- or
    did we do that one. That's the Delta River.
 4
 5
                    MS. HUGO: Mr. Chair.
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead,
8
    Esther.
9
10
                    MS. HUGO: Can we take a break.
11
12
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a request to
13
    take a break. How long you guys want to be off.
14
15
                    MR. FRANTZ: All day.
16
17
                    (Laughter)
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                         Oh, by the way
20
    there's another group coming in right after we're done
21
    so we want to be done as early as we can today.
    place is reserved by another group as well that's going
22
23
    to meet. So by 5:00 o'clock or 5:30 so we're going to
24
    speak super fast and clear.
25
26
                    (Laughter)
27
28
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: But let's take a five
29
   minute break. Is that good?
30
31
                    MS. HUGO: Yes.
32
33
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. We're off for
34
   five minutes.
35
36
                    (Off record)
37
38
                    (On record)
39
40
                                         Thank you
                    CHAIRMAN
                             BROWER:
    reminding me. We'll end our break and go back into
41
42
   session here. And we're under new business, we'll go
    to item C -- C or B, one of those, because we switched
    around letters earlier. So it's the 2024 Fisheries
44
45
    Resource Monitoring Program. And I'll yield over to
46
    Karen.
47
48
                    Is that where we're at now?
49
```

MS. HEYER: Yes, Mr. Chairman and Council members. For the record my name is Karen Heyer and I' a Fisheries Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management and I work in the northern region. And I'll let Hannah introduce herself for the record.

(No comments)

MS. HEYER: Mr. Chairman and Council members, again for the record my name is Karen Heyer, I'm a Fisheries Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management and I work in the northern area and I'm going to talk to you today about the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. And I'm going to let Hannah introduce herself for the record.

DR. VOORHEES: Hannah Voorhees, Anthropologist with OSM.

MS. HEYER: So, Mr. Chairman and Council members, if you will turn to page 100 in your book we're going to talk today about the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and we're going to develop the PINs for the next call for proposals. But before we do that I just wanted to go over the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and remind everyone what it is and how it works and introduce it for our new members too.

But within the Office of Subsistence Management there's a program that allocates funding to projects. And these are research and monitoring projects that help collect information that Federal Subsistence Managers use for Federal subsistence management. And this program runs on a two year cycle and every two years we have a notice of funding and we put out a call for proposals and the project investigators create proposals and submit them. And the projects can be submitted by Alaska Natives, Alaska Native rural organizations, universities and government agencies and private contractors.

So anyway on page -- at the bottom of page 100 is the timeline of the process. And the process starts with development of the priority information needs and those are the information needs that the Council develops to guide the whole process. And we meet here and you let us know where you think the priorities are for research in your region. And

1 then you will give us your priorities with the North Slope and those priorities will be combined with Northwest Arctic and Seward Peninsula to make up the whole region, the regional priorities. And then once we establish those we'll put that together and in 5 December we'll do a call for proposals which we now call a notice of funding opportunity or NOFO. we're basically advertising to the investigators that we are soliciting for proposals and then we list the 10 PINs there and we -- and those PINs help guide the 11 project officers because they let them know what we 12 consider important and what we're looking for proposals 13

So that will happen and then once that closes we'll get proposals for all of Alaska and those proposals will come into the Office of Subsistence Management and they'll be reviewed by a Technical Review Committee. And that Committee will establish that they're technically sound and the investigators can do the work and they will score the proposals.

20 21 22

14

15

16 17

18

19

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Karen, I got a quick

23 24 25 question.

MS. HEYER: Yeah, absolutely.

26 27

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And I think it's important for us to -- so our train of thought is right there while you're going through this stuff.

29 30 31

28

MS. HEYER: Uh-huh.

32 33

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Who is the Technical Review Committee comprised of?

34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

MS. HEYER: Thanks, Gordon, that's a It provides -- it's comprised of great question. technical experts from all the Federal agencies that are a part of the Office of Subsistence Management and then we have the Alaska Department of Fish and Game that sits on it too. And they provide us with a Fisheries Biologist from commercial fisheries and from subsistence and then I think there's also some -usually the Chief Fisheries Scientist sits on it too. And they all just provide -- they all just review the proposals for technical soundness.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank you. just, you know, we try to make priorities over the past

6 7

9

at least two decades that I've been here and it's very hard to get funding for the issues and concerns that kind of been reoccurring. Maybe it's wordsmithing is how we say things, maybe that's something we need to work on together is how does this concern get addressed when the words in the proposal can't be supported, but maybe there's a different way of saying it that doesn't put it aside and say we can't deal with that, you know, that's a development related issue or something like that rather than a subsistence related issue. Anyway.....

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

MS. HEYER: Mr. Chairman, I appreciate that because you're exactly right. And there are there are two challenges. Because the FRMP funds projects are for management on Federal lands so it has to have the Federal nexus. And then you're entirely right that we don't fund contaminant studies and we don't fund habitat studies and we don't fund anything that has to do with hatcheries. So it has to fall within those parameters. And then in addition to that then you have to get an investigator who's interested in doing the work. And if you can't get those two to align then we don't get any projects things submitted. And then -- and so if there's no projects to submit there's no projects to evaluate. Then once the projects are submitted then they're evaluated so you not only have to get the projects submitted, but it has to be a good project so that the TRC will approve it as technically sound. So it is a challenge. I'll go over this a little bit later, but there were no -- in the 2022 call for proposals we didn't get any successful proposals in this subregion of the North Slope, of the northern region. We did get -- we do have projects in Northwest Arctic and we do have them on the Seward Pen, but we don't have any right now, any new projects on the North Slope that started this summer. So I do agree with you, it is a challenge.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

And so those have to come together and then once we have those projects kind of in the queue then we'll bring them back out to you. We have the internal, we have the ISC which is the Staff for the Board and they look at that and they make recommendation. And then we bring them back out to the Councils and then the Councils make their recommendation. But this doesn't happen until between September and November of 2023. So that's almost a year away that we come back with -- we hope to

come back with successful proposals for you. And then from there it goes to our Federal Board and then it's funded. So this is a long process and we're just starting the process right now for the 2024 projects. And so I just wanted to give you kind of the process before we launch into our discussion.

Does anybody have any questions on that?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. one more and on the process too. And by the way if you Council members have some more need to understand because sometimes we're going to get very long winded on a concern of an issue related to a subsistence fish and that we're going to blow in the wind for an extended period of time and you could really say we can't address that and maybe there's another agency that should be put on notice by your group, say this is -- this is this issue here, it's habitat related maybe, but it's affecting the edibility of the resource itself. And you might need to describe better to us what is more likely to get funded about our subsistence resources in fish and maybe there's a way to wordsmith those and craft a way that something actually might be studied that might encounter the issue. Eventually encounter what our concern is, but it might be through a different vehicle of how we say it.

MS. HEYER: Mr. Chairman and Council members. Yeah, I -- a couple things come to mind when you say that. So sometimes it is something like habitat which we don't fund, but they're interested in say a population and there's a bit of the project we would fund. Sometimes we pair with organizations and so part of the funding will come from us and part will come from a different organization. And people will bring in matching -- we call it matching funds, but it'll be funds from a couple different organizations to come to a conclusion that's bigger than just one project. And that's been successful in many regions.

The other thing I think I'd recommend as you move forward is making your PINs general enough that they capture the idea, but -- or specific enough that they capture the idea, but general enough that you can maybe get a wide variety of investigators interested in it because that is num -- no matter how many PINs you list if we can't get investigators

interested in it we can't get projects done. So I think having maybe a wide variety of PINs and making them somewhat general might help.

 $\label{eq:curious} \mbox{I'm just curious if Hannah has any input for us.}$

DR. VOORHEES: Thanks, Karen. I would agree. I think as we were developing the drafts PINs this summer we honed in on the specifics of local concern and all that context is important and should be on the record and available for potential investigators to see. But we may as we're going through these draft PINs we may want to think about just paring them down and making them a bit more general. That would be one recommendation.

MS. HEYER: And I'd just like to follow-up with what Hannah said because I think she had a very important point there. Even if we make the PINs general it's -- your discussions are invaluable to us. And when investigators call me and they ask me about ideas I always refer to what I hear at the RAC and I'll say well, I haven't really heard that as a point of interest with the RAC, but go to the transcripts and look. And that's one of the really wonderful thing about our transcripts is people can go and they can look and they can read what you've said here. So if you want to be very specific in your discussion I think that's a value to the investigators because I really -that's the first place I refer scientists when they call me is to the transcripts so that they can see exactly what you said and I don't have to paraphrase it.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank you. And I'm -- I know I think we've been very specific in the past, but the ability for the Technical Review Team to grant the resources to actually do something are two different things it seems to me, that's how come I said who all's on the Technical Review Team here. Shouldn't there be a tribe in there or something, you know, to help to look at the needed studies up here.

MS. HEYER: I'm looking around to try to figure out who's best to answer that. So the Technical Review Committee is not FACA, it's not a FACA group and so we have to confine it to State and Federal and government -- I think government entities because

of that. We used to have a different makeup of the Technical Review Committee and unfortunately I don't have the real specific history there. And I'm not sure, Katya, do you know the specific history?

(No comments)

MS. HEYER: I can get it for you, Gordon, but we did have to restrict it to technical specialists from the State and Federal governments, but and that's when we started putting a real emphasis on the priority information needs because that's when we can go out to you and you can tell us what is most important as far at least where the research in, that's really where we go back to you and we expect you to represent the local people and tell us really what the important areas of research are.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank you. seems like there's some parameters in there that just can't be overcome on who is going to be on there. Seems like a political subdivision like the North Slope it's Wildlife Department Borough, with scientists that are in there that have worked directly with the Internal Whaling Commissions throughout the world, are not capable of helping steer a technical study that needs to be important to the North Slope up here. Seems like we have some scientists up here that can probably be instrumental in helping, you know, steer some of that kind of technical review need on the Slope. Just my observation.

What was your name again, I can't.....

MS. WESSELS: Katya. Katya.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Katya?

MS. WESSELS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Katya.

MS. WESSELS: Thank you. I just wanted to explain a little bit more detail about what Karen was saying. She used the word FACA. FACA is the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Like your Council is a FACA committee, it was chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act. That's why we can have you as advisors to the Federal Subsistence Board because your

Council was chartered under FACA. And if the TRC would have been a FACA committee then, you know, we could have had members that are not employees of the Federal agencies represented on it. But there's like a complication in that because all the FACA committees need to go through presidential scrutiny and, you know, jump all kinds of other levels.

So that's why they're not doing it, but that's why Karen was saying that we come to the Council and asking for your input on the priority information needs because that's what helps the Technical Review Committee to make the decisions on the proposed projects. And that's kind of what we work around, we want your feedback, but wewon't be able to establish a technical Review Committee that is at the same time also a FACA committee where we can have other representatives.

So sorry for my long winded explanation, but I'm just -- I just hope that, you know, have a full understanding.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. And welcome to the club of long winded answers.

(Laughter)

DR. VOORHEES: Mr. Chair, may I add

something?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Hannah.

 DR. VOORHEES: I wanted to just add that actually when we have received projects for the North Slope region they've been very successful in their review by the TRC and funding. We just didn't receive any projects for the North Slope region last year, but when we do there's a pretty good success rate. I went back and looked at the numbers recently.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I can remember a lot of different, you know, studies, Dolly varden abundance and distribution, those kind of things that get -- but when we're starting to have things that we consume, that might like the fish mold issues and things like that, it just seems there has to be some --

```
1 somebody to pay attention to that. And it's a subsistence resource and -- anyway.

3 
4 MR. VICKERS: Mr. Chair, may I speak?

5 
6 CHAIRMAN BROWER: What's your name
```

7 again?

8

MR. VICKERS: My name is Brent Vickers. I'm the Division -- Anthropology Division Supervisor and in that capacity I'm also the co-chair for the TRC.

11 12 13

10

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Brent, you have the floor.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

MR. VICKERS: Both Hannah and Karen and others have done a -- and Katya have done a great job of filling you in on a lot of things that was going through my head so I'll just contribute a little bit Building on what Hannah just said about the proposals coming in or that unfortunately there were no proposals for the northern region last year. The -all the funding that goes through is done within the region, it's not ranked Statewide to all the proposals that come in for the different regions. The funding is actually divided by region. And so proposals that come in for the northern region would have a very good chance of receiving funding. So really it goes back to what Karen was saying earlier, it's a two step thing. The first step is developing those PINs and getting something that's very easy to read, very -- and can attract potential investigators. And that attracting the -- getting the investigators is really the next big step. And if there are organizations that -- such as those that you've mentioned that have the capacity to do some of this research to maybe we could all try to find them and work with them in developing proposals that address your PINs because I do feel that proposals that came in would have a very good chance of getting funding as long as the TRC feels that they meet sort of a baseline threshold in the evaluation. As long as they can get past that baseline threshold there's a So I think if there are pretty good chance. organizations that you know that might be interested that would be a very big step in the next direction of getting those investigators.

46 47 48

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Brent. So I'm going to go ahead and go back to Karen and go ahead and proceed with your quick infos and some of the priority information needs which is the PINS, right, and you're wanting to elaborate a little bit more on process for new Council members and things like that. So I'll turn it back to you.

MS. HEYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Council members. So I just wanted to remind everybody this is a two year process. So we have -- we develop priority information needs in 2020 and then again in for -- excuse me. We develop them for 2020 and then again for 2022. And so every two years we have new projects that we're putting in the water so to speak.

So I don't have anything else to add about the process at the moment and I'd like to turn the floor over to Brendan and he's going to talk about a project that was started in 2018. And when we give funding it's for up to four years. So he has finished up his 2018 project now and then we had a new set of projects that went in the water in 2022 and that's they cycle that we didn't have any submittals for this area. And now we're going to be moving forward to 2024. So I just wanted to clarify that because we just talked about how there were no projects and there were no projects in 2022, but there were some in 2020. And so I'm going to turn the floor over to Brendan and let him talk about his project. And then we have a couple investigators that are on the line that want to talk a little bit about something that they saw while they were out doing research up here. So just let the Council know a little bit about what they're seeing and then we'll circle back around and work on developing the priority information need that we want to use to quide those investigators for 2024.

So now I've thrown a lot of numbers and a lot of things at you so I just want to take a moment and see if there are any other questions before I let Brendan do his presentation.

MS. ITTA: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Martha.

MS. ITTA: I do have questions in regards to your guys' program, but I'd like to hear

0126 what Brendan has to say first before I ask my question because I do have a few questions and concerns. 3 4 MS. HEYER: Absolutely. 5 6 MS. ITTA: And I just wanted to ask if 7 Bureau of Land Management is on the line or here? 8 9 MS. MIKOW: I'm here and..... 10 11 MS. ITTA: Oh, okay. 12 13 MS. MIKOW:I believe Katie Drew 14 is on the line. 15 16 MS. ITTA: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 17 18 MS. HEYER: So absolutely. And we can 19 follow-up, after the presentations we can continue our 20 discussion. 21 MS. ITTA: Okay. 22 23 24 Mr. Chair, if you could MR. SCANLON: 25 give me just a second, I'm going to turn the chair 26 around so I can speak to the microphone and see the 27 screen at the same time. 28 29 Thank you. 30 31 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. 32 33 MR. SCANLON: Thank you. For the 34 record, Mr. Chair, my name is Brendan Scanlon, I am the 35 Northwest and North Slope Area Fisheries Biologist for 36 the Department of Fish and Game based out of Fairbanks. 37 And I spend most of my summers in the Unalakleet, Nome 38 and Kotzebue area so I don't get up here as often as 39 I'd like to. But I'm glad to be here today and I would like to describe the final results from FRMP an funded 40 41 project on grayling in the Colville River. And at the 42 end I have a couple potential projects ideas that you 43 might want to consider for PINs and I'll also answer 44 any general fish questions that I can. 45

So first I'd like to talk about this

project we started in 2019, has to do with the seasonal

habitats and migrations of Arctic grayling in the lower

Colville River related to the Nuigsut subsistence area.

49 50

46

47

And this directly addressed the 2016 priority information need on grayling abundance, distribution and movement in that area.

4 5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

2

Okay. Arctic grayling are important Colville River for subsistence in the drainage particularly for the people of Nuiqsut. And I didn't realize how much grayling are actually harvested until I looked into it a little bit. We don't have very much recent information, but between Nuiqsut and Anaktuvuk Pass it's about 7,000 fish a year. That's pretty old information and I'm glad to see that the Subsistence Division is starting a new household subsistence survey for Nuiqsut this year. And we really don't know anything about the grayling in the Colville River, you know, the river's 350 miles long, but we don't have any information. And in a lot of these North Slope Rivers in the wintertime liquid water becomes less available and in some of these rivers and stretches they will freeze to the bottom and these fish like Dolly varden and grayling and whitefish are concentrated in just a few spots in some places. So like I said this project designed to directly address the priority information need on grayling.

242526

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

So oftentimes when we begin a project on a species in an area where we have no information we like to start out using radio telemetry as kind of a first step. And what we can do with this is after we tag some fish and track them for a while we can start to learn what the important spawning and rearing and overwintering habitats are. And it's always a good first step for designing more detailed projects on such things as estimating abundance in a certain index area or doing some habitat work.

35 36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

So our objectives were to describe the seasonal movements and locations of grayling that inhabit the lower Colville River between the Killik River which is about a hundred miles upstream of Nuiqsut. That's about as far as we would expect any grayling from Nuiqsut to swim. And it goes to Nuiqsut between August, 2019 to 2021. So we had 150 radio tags, we put them out in fish that are 15 inches are bigger for -- we chose that size because that's the size we believe that the fish are sexually mature and have spawned at least once. Also grayling aren't very big and we want to use the bigger fish for these tags. And we track these fish from aircraft throughout the

period of the project. And with that we were able to describe some pretty interesting seasonal movements.

So here's the study area. So we designed it so we would work out of Nuiqsut in the winter with the subsistence fishes there to put out radio tags and then in the summer we would base that at Umiat and fly to a lot of these small tributaries you see to put up other tags as well. So we wanted to see where the Nuiqsut fish went in the summer to spawn and feed and how far downriver some of these fish in these upriver tribs will go and whether or not they were vulnerable to the subsistence harvest.

 And if you've never been to Umiat this is what it looks like. There's the Umiat International Airport, it's on the Colville River about 60 miles upstream of Nuigsut.

So here's the timeline of this project. Our first step was to go to Nuigsut and do some outreach. We did a presentation at the school and we talked to local Council members and actually got a really reliable local hire to help us later when we went back to put the tags out. In the summer of 2019 we put out 109 radio tags and we spread them out over these eight drainages. They're not as easily -sprinkled that evenly, it's mostly go to do with we tagged them in about accordance of how many we saw. So this is roughly based on relative abundance. We didn't see very many in the Taluga and the Kutchik, but we saw a whole bunch in the Anaktuvuk. So we had seven tags left and I was up counting dollies from the air at Happy Valley so we went over to Killik where we had not put any tags and put out a few there. Then we went back to Nuiqsut in November, we put out 52 tags through the ice and after that we began our periodic tracking flights.

So here's what that tag distribution looked like. That orange dot at the top is where we put out the 52 tags through the ice during the winter fishery. The yellow dots are where we put the radio tags in the summertime.

So we're pretty proficient at using hook and line to catch species like grayling, Dolly varden, northern pike, sheefish for radio telemetry. There's been a lot of research done on the affects of

5

6

7

catch and release mortality and these studies have evaluated different gear types, how long the fish is out of the water, whether it's bleeding or not, where the hook was placed. So we felt confident that we would have a really high survival of grayling, radio tagged grayling, that were caught with hook and line. And also keeps us from slinging boats around and dragging nets and catching unintended species.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

So here's what our little mobile surgery station looks like, You have these two collapsible totes, one of them has freshwater for a recovery tub, the other one has freshwater and basically a synthetic clove oil ethanol mixture called Aquiesse. It's a -- anesthetizes the fish after about five minutes they -- they're still breathing, they're tipping over their side a little bit and they're pretty easy to work on. We put them up on a cradle and get the length measurements. All the -- all the instruments are sterilized in Novalscent.

20 21 22

23

24

2526

27

28 29

30

31

32

Here's what the surgery -- typical surgery looks like. This is called a shielded needle technique. So the groove director which is in the surgeon's right hand will catch the needle so it doesn't stab any organs, the needle will go to the very top, we'll stick the antenna inside the needle and pull the tag in through the top. And once we're done we give it some sutures and seal it up with vetbond which is essentially animal grade superglue. And there you can see the antenna of this fish after surgery, coming out the back. And then after we determine it's going to live we'll release it.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

So we did all our tracking by airplane, we did not put up tracking stations or use helicopters. So you can put two HN antennas on the wing struts, grayling don't typically leave the river so it's pretty easy to look for them compared to furry animals. And this table describes -- the take home is that the weak link in these radar tags is battery size and these are small fish with small tags and what we're able to do with these tags is have them turn on and off. have them turned off for long stretches of time when we don't expect they will move at all, like for example Those are how many days it's turned off the 161 days. between middle of October to end of March. Because we assume that that fish is just hunkered down in an overwintering hole, there's no reason to have the tag

going off, we can't get up there and track it in the winter anyway. So that lets us extend the life of these tags.

4 5

6

7

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

So we did a total of 12 tracking flights. I don't want to put all those maps up here, but this kind of summarizes what we learned. orange dots are the fish that were tagged in the Nuigsut and almost of them initially went the Itkillik River which I didn't expect. The Itkillik is not that big, but it seems to be a very important spot for fish that are vulnerable to harvest in subsistence. spread out quite a bit for the summer and there's a gradual movement downstream in October as the water levels drop and the onset of winter comes. They didn't move down as far as I thought they would, some fish stayed up high in the drainage, the Colville's big so there's probably some liquid water up there, but there wasn't a ton of mixing of upstream fish down to Nuigsut and most fish like I said went to the Itkillik River.

202122

23

24

25

26

27

28 29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

So the general upstream and downstream movement with the seasons kind of coincides with what we see with other grayling populations that we do telemetry on. It wasn't as dramatic here I think because this river is so big and it's -- there's a lot of deep water. They didn't -- they weren't obligated to move all the way downstream like they would say the Sag. And a couple fish move over a hundred kilometers so that's 67 miles or so from Nuiqsut. So the Itkillik River seems to be a very important source for the fish that are caught in the subsistence fishery. And just based on the catch rates which were pretty high, the size composition of the fish we saw in the subsistence fishery and those we tagged up river and the relatively low harvest compared to the size of the drainage. We feel right now that the Colville River Arctic grayling population is healthy, current harvests sustainable. We didn't see any mold on any grayling at anytime.

So that's what I have for this project. I'd be glad to take any questions and then I can move on to other research ideas.

44 45 46

Thanks.

47 48 49

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Thanks.

0131 1 Is it Brendan? 2 3 MR. SCANLON: Yes. 4 5 CHAIRMAN BROWER: 6 like the words and the -- all the words used to secure 7 funding for that. Now we should do that to broad whitefish and do that same thing over in the Colville River because it includes health and distribution and 9 10 it seems like if you make it broad enough you could 11 secure the funding. It -- I do know they some similar 12 study like that on the Ikpikpuk and tagged a lot of

16 17 18

in and out.

13

14

15

So any questions for Brendan on that grayling study?

broad whitefish I think out of the Teshekpuk Lake and

some other areas $\$ and really confirm a lot $\$ of things $\$ I

learned about whitefish growing up and where they come

Interesting and

19 20 21

(No comments)

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think those kind are important studies, you know, and there should be one about broad whitefish on the Sagavanirktok River, the Sag River. And just to identify those resources there that were once heavily used for subsistence before, you know, the pipeline. And I do remember working in the '80s in Prudhoe Bay and me and my friends would go fishing on the Sag River and trying to catch grayling. We get a lot of grayling, but I swear there is a substantial run of spawning whitefish in the Sag River. And because we would catch them and snag them there were so many of them we'd snag them while we're getting grayling. So I think it's an important subsistence resource and distribution of abundance, studies like that, and the health in that area would be important as well. Just my own observations.

38 39 40

Any questions to Brendan on the grayling study.

41 42 43

MS. ITTA: Yes, Mr. Chair.

44 45

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Martha.

46 47

MS. ITTA: Okay. This is a question not only pertaining to grayling, but I had some fishermen and hunters ask me if there had been any

49 50

studies onour lakes and our ponds for fish. There's -because they've been dealing with sick fish and, you 2 know, they get -- I don't know if the word is anxiety or they get concerned about their fish, you know, 5 there's many reports of that. And their concern is, you know, with all the development going on in our 6 7 community surrounding us and contamination going into our rivers if there had been any studies on our lakes 8 9 for fish. I know our elders used to set their nets in 10 the lakes and it has been a very long time since I've 11 heard anybody setting nets or going fishing in the 12 lakes. And that is the question by our fishermen and 13 our hunters is if they're feasible to be able to set 14 nets and fish there or if we're going to, you know, be 15 able to get fish from any of our lakes and our ponds. 16 They feel strongly, you know, with development our 17 fishing and our animals are slowly depleting and going 18 more westward, you know, there's a lot of concern by 19 our community that's not being addressed. I'm going to 20 go back to your program and I have -- and I'm going to 21 have a question for Bureau of Land Management. OCM is 22 under the State of Alaska; is that correct or 23 under....

2425

MR. SCANLON: I'm sorry, do you mean

OSM?

262728

MS. ITTA: OSM, I'm sorry.

29 30

MR. SCANLON: Oh, that's all right. Federal, that's Fish and Wildlife.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

MS. ITTA: Under the -- okay. So talking about funding and things being done, we shouldn't even be having this conversation, you know, talking about protecting our animals, our fisheries for We shouldn't be trying to find subsistence use. funding for this work to be done. Under the permitting protected we're under process that by these stipulations and these rules that you have to abide by before getting permits. It's the job of the Bureau of Land Management to ensure that their not impacting our way of life, our subsistence, the whole nine yards, our health. These should already be answered, this should already be looked into before any permits are given out. We're -- how are permits being approved when we're still seeking answers in regards to our subsistence, in regards to our impact, we only get subsistence impact funding, but that is only for the

community of Nuiqsut, it has nothing to do with -nothing to do with the studies, you know, it's just for
like community, they get it once a year for subsistence
impact. They don't look at social, they don't look at
health, there's no mitigation for that. So I'm
wondering how these projects are being permitted when
you have no answers to ensure that our way of life, our
animals and our fish are being -- not being harmed
because we're seeing it differently.

Thank you.

MR. SCANLON: For the record this is.....

MS. DREW: Hi, Martha, this is Katie Drew with the Bureau of Land Management. Can you hear me?

MS. ITTA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, BLM.

MS. DREW: Yeah. Well, I really wanted to say -- well, I wanted to say thank you for the question and voicing your concern, you know, about the sick fish and the moldly fish that you're seeing in the Colville. And I just wanted to let you know that we starting a study this year that looks at are contamination levels specifically the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and to see if levels of PAHs in different whitefishes, the broad whitefish, humpback whitefish, least cisco and arctic cisco are related potentially to the sick fish that you're catching. And so the focus -- the focus of this study right now is in the Colville, in the Fish Creek watershed, in areas that are by kind of the oil and gas development areas. But if there's specific fishing areas and lakes on -- that are of concern that, you know, fisherman would like to discuss with us, we're more than happy to incorporate and look at fish in those sites as well.

And we did secure funding for this study. It wasn't through OSM because I believe that OSM does not, you know, providing funding for contaminant studies specifically, but we were able to secure external funding from another source for that.

O134

1 CHAIRMAN BROWER: And what was your
2 name again from BLM?
3
4 MS. DREW: Yeah, this is Katie Drew.
5
6 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Drew, I didn't get
7 the first name.
8

9 MS. HONIG: Katie.

12

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

11 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Katie.

13 MS. DREW: Yeah, Katie.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. I think it's important to hear these and I think some of the concerns that we've expressed through this Council about mold and I don't know -- I guess mold is a contaminant and the fish, should be -- should be part of that, not just the hydrocarbon and other types of stuff that you just mentioned. Try and think about those fish that are reoccurring with mold and some of the scientists say that that's a temperature related or oxygen related issue that might plague in the Yukon River or some other place. And but we're starting to see that the last, you know, eight or 10 years, about the Colville River specifically in and around the community. Here's a potential observation, you know, in the Ikpikpuk River where we fish, at least the Barrow area fish, where there's an abundance of whitefish. I have yet to see the fish mold impact in that area. It's the same fish, broad whitefish, big, heavy spawning area in the Ikpikpuk. It seems to me that that's a healthy delta, it's a healthy tributary and should be used for baseline information. And compare that with what's going on in the Colville River where you might have, I don't know, 1,000 wells that's drilled every direction underneath in what you call science have known for a long time because industry is built in things called the thaw bulb, a area around these lakes and rivers where there's a bulb of thaw and sometimes they refer to it as thaw stable to where it's about 40 miles of Trans Alaska Pipeline buried in the thaw stablebulb. If you go take a look at it in the -even in the wintertime you will almost see a linear forest on that thaw bulb where the pipeline is in there. It's warm. Now think about maybe a thousand wellheads going spider webbing underneath this thaw bulb in the delta and producing oil at 150 degree

fahrenheit, it seems to me it's like having a little bunsen burner all the time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week in this thaw bulb and maybe changing the temperature for two or three degrees and it seems to me there should be a study of may -- when the scientists say well, fish mold is a temperature related problem. And maybe there's a bunsen burner affect of all this spider web of wellheads producing in this thaw bulb. And it's hot oil coming up from the depth of the earth to be produced. I hope, you know, there's -- you said you can add to your study, but think about that.

There's no current stems of wells producing in the Ikpikpuk and we don't have the fish mold there, but it's a like delta. It's very much alike and there's -- the fisheries in the Ikpikpuk are not impacted and we don't have the -- we don't have the fish mold. I know that and a couple of us here fish that area and it's a pretty healthy fishery.

Just thought I'd throw that in the mix since she said well, maybe we can be able to add because BLM is securing other forms of funding to look at contaminants. Well, I think fish mold is a contaminant and but how is it happening, you know, in that area when it's temperature related.

Thank you.

MS. DREW: Thank you. Yeah. No, thank you for that. We really appreciate, you know, any comments and insights, you know, that anyone has. And at anytime, you know, kind of as we get this study up and running I would be more than happy to meet with folks In Nuiqsut or elsewhere to talk over the details and just make sure that we're sampling in the right area and monitoring the right things. So I really appreciate that.

Thank you.

MR. SCANLON: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Brendan.

MR. SCANLON: Thank you. Brendan Scanlon again, Fish and Game. Kind of a lot to unpack here. But the saprolengnia that you're seeing on the broad whitefish is a water mold, it's not really a

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

It actually in most cases it's an contaminant. important component to organic decomposition. But also the North Slope Borough Wildlife Department had at a nine year ongoing study looking at the saprolengnia. In fact I read a paper on the airplane up here in a journal that was published and members of the North Slope Borough Wildlife Department were involved in this study where they actually took cultures from the broad whitefish in the Colville Delta, they took cultures of the mold and they took it to a lab and they grew some spores and they also grew some from mold collected in British Columbia and they did different manipulations where they fed these things and then they also changed the temperature of the water they were in, changed the salinity that they were in to see what was driving growth. And temperature was not -if I remember right, I have a copy of it here, I can leave a copy for everybody, temperature was not as big a driver as they expected, lack of salinity is what really accelerated the growth, the more time they spent in freshwater the more they seen the faster the growth was of these spores. And I really can't speak to their research anymore than that, but I wish Todd would come to these meetings, I know he lives in town and he's really the expert on this stuff. That -- the work is being done and it's unfortunate that the results are not being shared as much as they should be as so I apologize for that.

32 33 And, Martha, I was going to -- I wanted to make sure I was on the same page, you're talking about permits, were you talking about permits for people to do fish research or permits for oil and gas companies to do more exploration?

343536

37

38 39

40

MS. ITTA: I'm talking about oil and gas companies, yeah. That's part of the process is them showing the community that we're safe, our food is safe, we're secured and they're supposed to bring those before, you know, getting permitted. And the question about the fish in the lake?

41 42 43

44

45 46

47

48

MR. SCANLON: Thanks. I don't know much about the lakes up there, I do know that a lot of them are very shallow and seasonally fish move in and out, they're really productive for summertime feeding, but since most of them are very shallow they'll move out into the rivers to spend the winter because the lakes will get anoxic or they'll freeze at the bottom.

So I think while they're caught in the lakes they're actually -- they probably spawn overwinter in the rivers. I know there's been some radio telemetry done on whitefish near Teshekpuk and also by Wainwright on how they move in and out also with burbot as well. But looking at the map behind you, I see a million lakes up there, I'd really like to know which ones you're talking about. I can't help you specifically with the lakes you're speaking of now, but if someone hasn't already done the research on it or if they have and there's some reporting information on it, I can find it and make it available to you.

MS. ITTA: I'm talking about all the lakes and the ponds because of the big ongoing concern of oil and gas development and the growing and the contamination that we're seeing. Our -- you know, we're looking at the future of our subsistence, you know, if the fish are slowly depleting in our rivers we want to be able to find a place where we'll continue fish and catching fish to eat for our future of our kids and our future generation because of the growing concerns of oil and gas development that is not going to stop.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Martha. Any other questions on the grayling study from Brendan.

(No comments)

MR. SCANLON: Okay. I was -- thank you, Mr. Chair. I was going to mention a couple other potential fish research projects idea, but unfortunately neither one of them involve whitefish. I feel a little sheepish about that, but if you'd like to listen I'd be glad to share them with you.

Okay. This came onto my radar recently. As far as I know Anaktuvuk Pass and Kaktovik are the only villages north of the Alaska Range that regularly harvest lake trout for subsistence. And there's been some research done recently down in Lake Clark National Park on the Alaska Peninsula that has shown that large, old predatory fish like lake trout

can bioaccumulate mercury at unsafe levels for human consumption. And mercury is a pretty nasty toxin, it can cause all kinds of problems with children and pregnant women and cognitive ability and cases of cancer. And so after speaking to the researchers who did the work down in Lake Clark I talked to them a little bit and mentioned how to the village of Kaktovik and the village of Anaktuvuk lake trout is an important component of their freshwater fish harvest. So I just wanted to throw this out here about Peters and Schrader's Lake lake trout.

So we don't have a lot of current information, but it -- what we do from household surveys suggests that Kaktovik's harvest of 250 to 400 pounds a year of lake trout for Peters and Schrader's lake mostly through the wintertime. Lake trout are -they're like the elephants of the freshwater fish world. They can live to be very old, they can't handle very much change in habitat, they don't spawn in the north until they're maybe 10, 12 years old. They only spawn every two, three years or so. But they can live to be very old and very big. So the Environmental Protection Agency determined that far and away the consumption of fish is the most significant source of humans injecting mercury. And a lot of lake species like lake trout are predators carry much higher levels than smaller, younger fish who are not. So for example round whitefish might have one-tenth the concentration of mercury that a large lake trout might. mentioned several lakes in southwest Alaska have shown that lake trout had shown levels of mercury in the tissues that were above human consumption standards. And Peters and Schrader's Lake being where it is and the size of it and the remote -- as remote as it is, it probably has some of the biggest and oldest lake trout in Alaska which would make them some of the biggest and oldest freshwater fish in the State.

Okay. This is a little bit how mercury works. Mercury is a -- can be a -- can be (indiscernible) by burning fossil fuels, especially coal, but it's also naturally occurring not just from active volcanos, but from melting permafrost can release naturally occurring mercury in the landscape. And it biomagnifies as it goes up. So just looking at this diagram here from algae up to bugs, up to small fish, up to big fish, the big fish take all the mercury that the -- everything below it had and because they

live so long they keep building it up. Like I said big lake trout can have 10 times the concentration of mercury as say a cisco or a burbot. There's all kinds of negative health affects, I don't need to go into those, but as I mentioned these four lakes in Lake Clark National Park had tissue samples from lake trout that aren't as big or as old as they are in the arctic that were above human benchmark for consumption.

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28 29

30

6

7

So these four graphs are from the four lakes where these lake trout were sampled. different colored dots are -- represent lake trout The red dots are shallow caught in different areas. water fish, the light blue dots are more pelagic so out in the middle kind of below the surface and the dark blue dots are the biggest, oldest fish that are found in the profundal zone so in the really deep water. That -- it's hard to see, but that smaller dashed line on the lower end of these graphs is what's considered safe for birds to regularly consume and a lot -- the dashed line above that is what EPA has determined is safe for humans to consume. Now these fish aren't going to poison us, but they're just in place where -in fact in Alaska in the Kuskokwim River drainage they found high levels of mercury in burbot and northern pike in the river near historical mining areas and they've issued a consumption alert for pregnant women and children to limit their consumption to a certain amount. In the Arctic we don't have any really baseline information on presence of mercury or the presence of leach mercury from melted areas.

31 32 33

34

35

So we're pretty good doing lake trout work. We did a lake trout project in Chandler Lakes just a few years ago. We did a two event mark recapture project.....

36 37 38

(Teleconference interference - all circuits are busy).

39 40 41

REPORTER: Hold on, we've lost the conference.

42 43 44

45

46

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And the recording is going on and I'm going to ask Madame Coordinator if there are any action items, I don't know, we don't have Steve if that takes our quorum away.

47 48 49

MS. HONIG: Yes, Mr. Chair, without

```
0140
    Steve on the line that would take our quorum away. And
    so there are a few more action items like this
    Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, the annual
 4
    report to the Board.
 5
 6
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      Yeah, if we cannot
 7
    reestablish the phone we lose Steve and then we lose
    the ability to act on the Fisheries Monitoring
 8
 9
    proposals.
10
11
                    MS. WESSELS: Oh, I'm sorry, we have a
12
    quorum. There are -- we have two vacant seats, right,
13
    on the Council?
14
15
                    MS. HONIG: Yes. I apologize, I don't
16
    know why I cannot count, Mr. Chairman.
17
18
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
19
20
                    MS. HONIG: So we still
                                                  have a
21
    quorum....
22
23
                    MS. WESSELS: Yes.
24
25
                    MS. HONIG: .....without Steve. So we
26
    can still move forward on action items. I apologize.
27
28
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Okay.
                                                And to my
29
    understanding Steve had to head to the hospital?
30
31
                    MS. HONIG: Uh-huh.
32
33
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: So he's not going to
34
    be able to join us. He said he might try to call from
35
    the hospital tomorrow. So he's a diehard.....
36
37
                    MS. HONIG: Yeah.
38
39
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      ....representative.
40
    So we'll pray that he's doing okay.
41
42
                    Let's go ahead and proceed.
43
44
                    MR. SCANLON:
                                   Thank you, Mr. Chair.
45
    I'll -- this is Brendan again with Fish and Game. I'll
46
    just wrap up this lake trout idea then I just got a
47
    couple more slides.
48
49
                    So if this is something the Council
50
```

believes is important enough to go on as a priority information need, like I said we're really going to do lake trout work, we did a big project at Chandler just a few years ago, Connected Lakes. They went really well, we got a good abundance estimate of about 6,500 adult fish in the Connected Lakes. We also collected some otoliths and found that one fish was 52 and there was a whole bunch of 20 to 35 year old fish. So based on the results of that project we feel that the fishery is healthy and the fishery is the same. So we know how to do lake trout stuff.

If this project were to go forward we'd like to do something similar to Chandler and introduce and mercury bioaccumulation part to it. So we would collect -- not just get estimate of abundance and size composition, but also collect samples of the water and prey that these lake trout would eat to get a baseline mercury content. Then we would take muscle tissue from up to 30 lake trout. We would take samples from several different tissues, but typically contaminants accumulate most in the livers. And the advisories that they have on the Kuskokwim for pike and burbot for consumption, they suggest that the pregnant women and children don't eat the livers at all and limit their consumption of the rest of the fish. While we're there we can go ahead and map the bottom profile of both lakes, I think one lake has already been done. really if there's anything else to this project you think is important or not yeah, please let me know.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Brendan.

 Brower.

MR. FRANTZ: So just along the accumulation lines, have they done any looks into whether or not there was an amount of mercury accumulating in the eggs?

MR. SCANLON: That is a good question because some people do eat eggs, don't they. I don't know the answer to that. But we can make that part of the study for sure. And depending on the results of this project I think it's probably prudent for us to loop back around and go back to Chandler since —because the folks of Anaktuvuk eat a lot of fish out of there. It doesn't have to be a part of the FRMP, we might just do that by collecting samples from fishers. But like I said Anaktuvuk and Kaktovik are the only two

places I know that regularly catches lake trout for subsistence.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: On the research ideas, any suggestions from AKP or on -- this is -- sounds almost like a proposal here to, you know, event mark recapture project to estimate abundance similar to Chandler Lake, 2018. Yeah. And was those other lakes that you were sharing with us in their comparison, was there -- was that one of the reasons....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted).

CHAIRMAN BROWER:that maybe a proposal like this should be entertained up here in the Arctic too because of what you're finding down in other parts of the State?

MR. SCANLON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. That's right. And there's not a real subsistence fishery targeting lake trout in the Lake Clark area. Of course they get millions of sockeyes and kings and chums, but because the lake trout are, you know, kind of the sentinel species or the biggest and oldest and if mercury accumulation was going to be a problem it would definitely show up there. And those fish aren't as big or as old as the fish are up in the Arctic. So the bigger, the older, if mercury bioaccumulation's a problem the worst it's going to be with the bigger fish. So yes.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. I would support something like that this -- as a PINs, you know, priority information needs, you know, to include maybe the eggs because, man, I love to eat fish eggs, you know, those are fantastic stuff. And a lot of my fishing revolves around thinking about I'm going to go get the eggs, you know, that's one of our big at least for me is trying to get really fresh fish too. We don't even cook them, we just consume them raw, you know, frozen. And it might be important at least in the broad whitefish that we go after. So....

MR. SCANLON: Is that what they call

civics?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: What was that?

MR. SCANLON: Is that what they call civics, that's what they call them in Noatak.

MS. HUGO: (In Native)

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Oh, (in Native). Yeah. Yes. Yeah. Yeah. Yeah, (in Native). I have pictures of them from my last fishing here and I was in heaven at my cabin surrounded by fish. But I do worry about, you know, your -- in these, but I think these are -- you're primarily targeting predatory fish and I don't know exactly what broad whitefish, I don't know if it's a predatory fish, but it might -- you know, they eat certain different things, I know they eat snails and stuff. So.....

MR. SCANLON: Sure. And I think with going straight to lake trout if we find that mercury bioaccumulation is not a problem with lake trout then I think it's safe to say that fish below them on the trophic level are probably safe to eat because they would -- it would manifest itself the most in predatory, large fish like lake trout.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. I know where there's a lake close to my cabin that is a secret spot and it has big trout too, probably like where -- probably like Anaktuvuk has. But it's ways up Ikpikpuk area. Yeah. So we all have our secrets.

(Laughter)

MR. SCANLON: Mr. Chair, I just have a couple more slides on one more topic if you don't mind.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Brendan.

MR. SCANLON: Thank you. Karen Heyer wanted me to include some Dolly varden information because this is still going on. I know a lot of you have seen my presentations on doing the aerial surveys of the char up in the streams in ANWR and the -- some of the problems we've seen. So just quickly this is what it looks like when we do these surveys. On a good day it's a really nice, clean count, there's not much else there, the water's clear and low. We know it's important to the people of Kaktovik primarily, but also some other villages on the North Slope. So this is a

picture, this is actually from the Wulik River I did a few years ago, there's about 3,500 fish here. And Dolly varden in the fall are really a great fish to do aerial surveys on. The aerial surveys are quick and easy and when they're clean like this you can get a lot of good information with just a little bit of effort and money.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

5

6

However this has been going on and I'm sure a lot of you are familiar with this on the North Slope. We first noticed this in 2016 on the Ivishak River which dumps into the Sag River at the top. water laden with iron and manganese. connectivity is high which makes sense because of a lot of metals in it. Also the pH is lower than normal which means it's more acidic than the fish would like, not a bunch, but enough to probably make it irritating. And what's interesting is that these did not come from an apparent thaw slump or any kind of big flood or any kind of (indiscernible) development, it just seemed to be bubbling out of the ground and the hillsides. it's a spring that apparently has got so full that it just -- you know, just kind of burst. And when it comes out of the ground it's really kind of blue at first. And I think it's got to do with the lack of oxygen in the water while it's underground. As soon as it gets oxygenated it changes color. But it's pretty dramatic and it's not going away and it appears that the last time I was up there doing the survey every dribble of water between Ivishak and the HuluHulu draining north had some water like this somewhere. And it's also being seen now on the Noatak tribs, I saw it this summer in a place called Nakolik and also the Wulik River which is really important to the people in There's a lot of Dolly varden that Kivalina. overwinter there. So it seems to be a whole kind of Brooks Range kind of phenomenon.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

Karen was going to talk about another project that fish and wildlife would like to start on. It has to do with a fish kill they saw on the Shaviovik River, but they were going to tackle some other rivers as well and try to get at some of this water quality stuff.

44 45 46

Karen, I had on here to play that video clip, do you think there's time for that or....

47 48 49

MS. HEYER: Yeah.

```
0145
 1
                    MR. SCANLON:
                                  Okay. If you'll just
    give me one second I have a short video clip.
 2
 4
                    CHAIRMAN
                               BROWER:
                                           You
                                                 said
     Shaviovik River, is that the river adjacent to the Sag
 5
 6
    River going to the east?
 7
 8
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Could you repeat
 9
     the question.
10
11
                    MR. SCANLON: Shaviovik.
12
13
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Sorry, I'm not
14
    familiar with that, I'd have to look at a map.
15
16
                    MS. VON BIELA: Hey, sorry to interrupt
17
    this is Vanessa von Biela (indiscernible - simultaneous
18
    speech) .....
19
20
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: If you say it's right
21
    I think it's the Shaviovik River.
22
23
                    MS. VON BIELA: ....it looks like we
24
    were reconnected and I think you might be talking about
25
    the subject that I was here to discuss with you guys.
26
27
                    MS. HEYER: Was that Vanessa?
28
29
                    MR. SCANLON: I'm just going to show
30
    some....
31
32
                    REPORTER:
                                 I'm sorry, what was your
33
    name?
34
35
                    MS. VON BIELA:
                                       Hi, I'm Vanessa von
36
    Biela with the USGS in Anchorage.
37
38
                    MS. HEYER: Hi, Vanessa. This is Karen
39
    Heyer with OSM. We're going to finish up with Brendan
     and then you're up next, okay?
40
41
42
                    MS. VON BIELA: Oh, okay. I'm sorry to
43
    interrupt then.
44
45
                    MS. HEYER: No worries.
46
47
                    MR. SCANLON: Thanks, Vanessa. Anyway
48
     I just wanted to show this clip again.
                                              This is from
49
     2016 on the Ivishak River.
                                  The dirty trib coming in
50
```

1 from the east is the Sagavanirktok River, that is -there's some Dolly varden that spawn there, but anyway 2 this confluence is the Ivishak and the Sagavanirktok is kind of the top end of where Dolly varden spend the We know this from a lot of radio tagging and 5 winter. long term aerial surveys. And it's pretty prevalent 6 7 all the way down. And what -- the Water Resource Center at the University of Alaska went up there in 8 9 January, punched a hole in the ice and they saw that 10 the water was clear, but the rocks were still stained. 11 It's kind of hard to tell here, but this little black 12 box on the bottom of the screen are fish that are 13 trying to get out of the way of it. There again on the 14 right that the black patch is some more fish. What I'm 15 really concerned about is spawning success will go way 16 down and the ability of eggs to hatch and little fish 17 to find things to eat is going to go way down because 18 stuff can grow on the rocks, eggs can get suffocated 19 and covered by all this silt. And we -- I was 20 cautioned against attributing this to climate change because we don't measure that kind of information, 21 there's a bunch of fish right there, but there's a 22 23 couple spots particularly on the Canning River where 24 there's a thaw slump and right below that this kind of 25 orange turbid water starts. And we just do a Go Pro in 26 the water and tie it to a rock for just a little bit. 27 So this is still a problem and what we think might 28 happen is that because there's still lots of big, old 29 fish in the population that fishing along the coast 30 will still be good for a while and then just may go 31 close to zero because spawning success is going to go 32 way down.

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

On the Colville River -- the Anaktuvuk River has a very large overwintering population of Dolly varden there and the water seems to be crystal clear. In the Anaktuvuk we also saw -- I saw more salmon than I've seen in a while, we saw a group of about 50 chums at the mouth of the Taluga and we got a couple big cohos while we were putting out the grayling radio tags.

41 42 43

44

45

46

But anyway I just wanted to get this back on everybody's radar that the orange colored water's not going away and this next project I think will get at some of this information and provide some more answers.

47 48 49

Thanks.

```
0147
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      Yeah, we can watch
 2
    this and be relaxed for a long time.
 4
                    (Laughter)
 5
 6
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's almost like it's
 7
     therapy.
 8
 9
                    (Laughter)
10
11
                    MS. HEYER:
                               Mr. Chairman and Council
12
    members, I -- USGS is on the line and they wanted to
13
    talk a little bit about something that they've seen
14
    when they've been out sampling.
                                        And they have a
15
    presentation too that is quite short, but I'd like to
                     But I'm wondering do -- does anybody
16
    get it going.
17
    have any more questions for Brendan before I run and
18
    get their presentation?
19
20
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah.
                                              I think it
21
    wouldn't hurt if it's under the same.....
22
23
                    MS. HEALY:
                               Yeah, it is.
                                              And I just
24
    wanted Brendan to show you what they had seen when they
25
    were out doing aerial surveys because it ties back into
26
    this water quality and fish survival. So I'm going to
27
    let them do their presentation because they've been
    waiting on the line and then from there we can decide
28
29
    if we want to discuss the PINs tonight or we want to do
30
    that tomorrow morning.
31
32
                    So anyway I see them back there so I'm
33
    going make sure we get that going.
34
35
                    And then, Vanessa, I'm going to get the
36
     presentation up and then I'm going to give you the
37
    floor, okay?
38
39
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                         One question for
40
    Brendan....
41
42
                    MS. VON BIELA: Thank you so much.
43
44
                               BROWER:
                    CHAIRMAN
                                             ....in
45
     turbidity, two rivers colliding, where one has a lot of
46
     turbidity looks like and the fish avoiding that. Was
47
     there any mortality associated with the fish in that
```

49 50 very turbid portions?

MR. SCANLON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We didn't see any dead fish, but we did see that -- we saw the char where we wouldn't normally see them, like every little clear dribble was just packed. I mean, they were obviously exhibiting behavior trying to get away from it the best they can. I don't know if there was fish actually in the plume because we couldn't see down in there, but they -- where they overwinter is completely influenced by that turbid water. to start right there where the confluence is and goes down to a river called the Achuka. And the whole stretch of river was cloudy like that. So hopefully learned to move upriver out of it to overwinter, you know, nature finds a way sometimes, but yeah, we didn't see any dead fish during our time there.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: And just an observation. Sometimes I think Ikpikpuk River is like that where a lot of silt and debris is coming down all the time because some of the little tributaries like (in Native), they're crystal clear. When they come in contact with the Chip Ikpikpuk you could see the difference is cloudiness in just the sediment moving all the time unless you go way up to the head water where it's more rocky then it changes.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ HEALY: Mr. Chairman and Council members, I am going to give the floor to Vanessa and she's online and she can introduce herself.

And, Vanessa, I have the slide show up and you can just tell me when you want me to move through it. I have the first slide up now.

Okay. I'm going to turn the floor over to Vanessa.

MS. VON BIELA: Thank you so much. Thank you to the Chair and the Committee. I'm Vanessa von Biela, I'm a Research Fish Biologist from the USGS in Anchorage. I work closely with Randy Brown from Wish and Wildlife Service and today I am presenting with Tom Glass, he's a Wildlife Biologist with the University of Montana and the Wildlife Conservation Society. Tom and the Wildlife Conservation Society brought this recent fish mortality event they saw just his past winter to the attention of Randy Brown and myself. So I just wanted to sort of introduce the

event that from a Fish Biologist perspective and, you know, put a frame on it why we think it's so important. And then I'll turn it over to Tom who is actually the one of the ground and he's got the slide show that you'll be seeing and a short video that will actually show you the fish kill event.

you guys know much of the So as freshwater on the North Slope gets locked up into ice as winter progresses. This squeezes fish into smaller amounts of remaining habitat and because of this fish biologists have often viewed the amount of good winter habitat as an overall cap on the abundance of North And it sounds like Brendan talked quite Slope fishes. a bit about this just over the last half an hour or hour. So that was a really good introduction to this. We've often thought of the liquid water that's associated with the springs in the Brooks Range as being very valuable winter fish habitat especially for the Dolly vardens that are using these areas for spawning and juvenile rearing. So the observations Tom has, you know, raises important questions about these springs and maybe we have a understanding that there's nuances in the habitat they provide with perhaps some of the habitat is good and some of it is poor quality and we've just been using too broad of a stroke thinking it's all very good habitat.

So we wanted to just bring this information to the attention of the RAC first to keep you generally informed and then also for two specific reasons. We're definitely interested to know if any of the members have seen events like this in the past, other winter fish die-offs like this one Tom will describe. And then of course the second is because of its relevance as you determine priority information needs for the Fishery Resource Monitoring Program if you see a need to understand these winter fish habitat situations.

And with that I'll turn it over to Tom and $\mathsf{he's}$ got some pictures of the event we investigated.

MR. GLASS: Great. Thank you, Vanessa. As Vanessa mentioned by name is Tom Glass, I'm currently with the University of Montana, but formerly I was at the University of Alaska Fairbanks, the Life Conservation Society coordinating wolverine research

project on the North Slope for the last six or seven years here.

And so what I'm going to talk about today as Vanessa mentioned is an observation that we made while we were doing field work in the Kavik River area, southeast of Prudhoe Bay through this spring in March and April with wolverines. And we had collared five wolverines in the area there and one of those wolverines -- if you could go ahead and move onto the next slide. Some of those wolverines spent a lot of time at a site on the Shaviovik River that turned out to be a spring site although we didn't know it at the time, so these are -- this is a map of his movements and so we spent nearly 250 hours total between April 8th and May 17th there. So this is characteristic of what I would see, what I would expect to....

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN BROWER: Give us a moment here to get on the slide.}$

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ GLASS:spending time with a carcass.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Give us a minute to get on the slide here.

MR. GLASS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And you can call the river what's it called, Shaviovik not Shav.....

MR. GLASS: Shaviovik. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. We're on the right page now.} \\$

MR. GLASS: Thank you. So this is — this is just a map of — sort of a close up map of the site. This is focused — it's sort of centered on the spring site itself and the yellow lines show the wolverine movements coming and going. So this is just to illustrate that the wolverine was spending a lot of time there. And then we also saw evidence of other of other animals, ravens, red and arctic fox and otters present at this site. You can go ahead and go on to the next slide, please.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: We're on the next

1 slide.

MR. GLASS: When we got to the site we didn't find a carcass, this is what we found there. You can see the wolverine tracks moving across the center of the frame in the hoarfrost on the surface of the ice. This is a channel in the river, in this braided section of river near the spring site and as you can see there's some varied layers of ice thickness there, some slightly thinner and likely newer ice surrounded by slightly older ice. You can go ahead and go on to the next slide.

And ultimately what we found there was 56 fish, most of the ones that we could identify were graylings, adult Arctic grayling frozen into the surface of the ice and distributed along a section of the channel that was around 200 meters long. And so these are just a few photos of examples of what this looked like. They were frozen under just a few meters of ice into that top layer and the wolverine had by the time we got there the wolverine had excavated most of them, but a few of the fish were still whole and frozen into the ice in those -- as you can see in those top photos there.

And I didn't know what to think when we first found this site and I didn't quite understand what had happened here and how 56 adult fish had ended up on the surface of the ice. So we came back -- you can go ahead and go to the next slide, please. And again, I (indiscernible - distortion) twice.

We came back with this small auger and we started drilling holes to understand what was going on under the ice there and ultimately what we figured out was that there was an isolated pool of water. You know, we eventually figured out that it was a spring fed pool under the ice so it's completely covered in ice. The ice on top of the pool was at least 30 to 35 centimeters thick in all places. The pool was about 65 meters long and three or four meters wide. long and narrow following the channel of the river. And very shallow so very little water under the ice. And what we left, we drilled a hole large enough to lower a camera in and you can go ahead and go to the next slide and this is a video of what we saw when we lowered this camera down through the ice. So you can go ahead and play the video, please.

0152 1 (Video plays)

2

4

5

6 7

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

So I shared this footage MR. GLASS: with Vanessa and Randy Brown and several other fisheries biologists and we can confidently identify Arctic grayling and Dolly varden here, I would estimate at least a hundred and possibly several hundred fish dead in this pool. Our best guess is that the pool had become covered in ice over the course of the winter, it became hypoxic and the fish died of lack of oxygen. And then those fish that we found on the surface of the ice likely got pushed onto the surface as the pool pressurized and during an overflow of excess water, water carried onto the surface of the ice. And you could see at -- maybe you can see at the end of that video as well that there were still a few live grayling, adult grayling, swimming around in the pool.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

this observation So as Vanessa mentioned raises some questions for everybody about what determines the quality of overwintering habitat for fish on the Slope and particularly the frequency of events like this and the conditions that lead to events to like this as opposed to feeding -- high quality overwintering habitat that permits survival. And so that spawned discussions in our group and the interest in pursing these questions further in the coming years too. And you can go ahead and go to the next slide and I'm happy to take any questions and I would also be very interested hearing any similar observations that anybody might have.

32 33 34

Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman and the members of the Council for your time.

35 36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you very much. And, you know, the area is -- you know, a lot of people, industry folks, call it Shaviovik, traditional name, please, is Shaviovik. And the name also means where the land sweats. There are (in Native) in those areas which means natural warm springs. And the land sweats. In about 1997 I went to this area and I was a manager and I took some new inspectors out because there was a seismic exploration going on in that area, in the (in Native) called Jacob's Ladder Project. And when I went there and I've already heard some traditional information from people that were born right there, like Herbert Aquivalok and

6 7 Jenny Aquivalok, they were -- they have a Native allotment in that area. They've since passed on as elders, but I have been -- happened to listen to their stories because I worked a long time, 30 years in Planning. And I don't work there anymore right now, but that -- but they described the area as always geologically active. And tendencies for fish to get entrapped, even for the spring to overtop the river and make temporary pools in between and then they trap fish in there and then they freeze.

10 11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

9

When I happened to visit that area in -- around 1998, 1999, it looked like you were on the There were like six foot tall, at least maybe moon. six or seven water volcanos and water coming out the top. And it just looked like a different landscape, but some of the land is that way and some of the overwintering habitat that the fish might be trying to go from one deep spot to another gets -- and they might get fooled because the -- it's riddled with warm springs. That's why the name for it is (in Native). And it means where the land sweats. Just like (in Native) at the -- at the -- down from the Colville River. Traditional name claimed or (in Native) is where the land shakes, the land shakes. And so some name places are areas described by traditional users of what happens on the land. So and there are other incidences I know of around Atqasuk where a lot of fish died and they were in a smaller overwintering habitat, but it was too cold that year and that even though it was a deeper pool they ran out of oxygen. Then when spring break up comes a bunch of dead fish would come ashore and -- from the spring break up event. So we see that from time to time and, you know, some of these lands are geologically active. I've been around long enough to listen to some elders that actually lived at these places while they were still alive. And Herbert and Jenny were probably in their 80s when I was talking to them and been -- maybe I was in my 40s back then.

39 40 41

42

Anyway just my own observations. So if you say it right, Shaviovik, it means where the land sweats.

43 44 45

46

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ VON BIELA: Thank you for that. This is Vanessa, can we practice that for a second? Saviopik.

47 48 49

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead.

0154

1 MS. VON BIELA: Saviopik.
2
3 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Sha, you could say
4 shah, Shaviovik.
5
6 MS. VON BIELA: Shaviovik.
7

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

MS. VON BIELA: Okay. Thank you. And thank you for those observations and that knowledge. It sounds like this is a different sort of area. So I guess to understand your point of view, do you feel like this is -- because this area so different, does it make you not worry about some of the other springs or for the overwinter habitat for the fish, either you think -- would you want to see biologists measure the oxygen in some different places that get encased in ice over the winter or do you think this is a relatively small concern because it probably doesn't happen in very many places?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Native).

212223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, this is the Chair. It doesn't strike me as a new event because are talking about this for a long, long time. It's -- we know that, but it would be a fantastic study. I mean, it would be a good study which is corroborate what traditional knowledge has already known, what we already heard about it. But what is the earth doing. You will see some of these -- a lot of scientists call these things off ice, off ice incidents. And even Anaktuvuk River has incidents of off ice. And it's just a continued accumulation of ice spurred on by spring, natural springs in just geologically active areas. So and I -- it's alarming to see fish that way, but even Ikpikpuk has spouts of those when the fish are over -- every deep spot is a overwintering and rearing pond on the Ikpikpuk. If -- after the spawn you can go there in these deep spots and drill a hole and put a camera down, you will see fish fry being born and becoming part of the new population of spawning fish later on down the line. And sometimes they're suspectable to very cold weather events. And that's how come there's some Borough rules and regulations about protecting overwintering habitat, do not remove the snow from them for fear that you'll freeze them further down. And snow is an insulating quality that also helps the overwintering habitat not freeze all the way down.

Any other observations or anything to add. That was fantastic. I knew someday somebody was going to be surprised to see it. And I've heard it from elders and I've seen it in person. And sometimes it different. I happened to see when it looked like you were on the moon where there was actual ice volcanos right there. And they were like six feet tall. I might have pictures somewhere of them.

MS. VON BIELA: This is Vanessa again. Yes, I was able to go to the Canning River and I'm sorry I don't know the traditional name, in the winter of 2019. And I was quite amazed by being on an off ice even when you're way down in the delta court to the ocean just how much ice is kind of forming through the year. We had hoped to drill through the ice to look for fish habitat and instead we really couldn't get through the amount of off ice there was there.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Really appreciate this -- the video and the -- for me, you know, (in Native) right now is a traditional use area from our recent past. And same thing with the Sag (in Native), you know, those rivers were very much used in An things are a little bit different the past. nowadays and we focus on where we're primarily not nomadic too much. We still like to go to these places, but we fish and hunt our areas that we know are going to be productive and don't have to go very far. I'm not saying it's not important, there might need to be a study on the abundance and distribution of those fish that were in that mortality event right there, what other rivers does this feed and provide the abundance of resources to other tributaries or other deltas. And that would probably be my concern. But the event itself, it's in our history.

MS. ITTA: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Martha.

MS. ITTA: If there's nothing else,

 I....

MS. VON BIELA: Yeah, this is Vanessa. I could just follow-up on that.

48 MS. ITTA:I have a (indiscernible 49 - simultaneous speech).....

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

MS. VON BIELA: I didn't have plans to look further beyond in this one watershed. Our thought was maybe we should look at some of these other springs where these pools form downstream and get encased in ice and look at overwinter dissolved oxygens so that we know if there are places like this that maybe attract fish overwinter because they smell like spring, but then they end up getting encased in ice because they're not close enough to the spring for that ice to stay melted over that place. And so they're close enough that they get liquid water, but far enough away that they don't stay open and then you could end up with this dissolved oxygen problem. So we were thinking about places that were -- where we thought might be a little like this, so a pool that formed downstream of a spring in a variety of watersheds including like the Marsh Fork further to the east.

21

22 23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I think we hear the concern, but it's -- what you're talking about is pretty much widespread along a lot of rivers. when I'm fishing I wait for the river to come down so that the turbidity subsides and then I can put nets under the ice and I don't get debris, I get fish. And some of those holes are going to be deep water holes, overwintering habitats are going to be susceptible to freezing and dissolved oxygen levels such as doesn't support the fish over the winter. And then you'll have the die-off. It is something that happens. I just know that. And it's nature, nature is that way and it's a good place to hunt wolverines, you know, because I -- if they're not digging in my warehouse and trying to tear my door off they'll go to that instead.

MS. VON BIELA: Well, thank you, I understand you guys need to give up your room at 5:30 so I will say goodbye now and thank you for this conversation.

38 39 40

37

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And thank you....

41 42 43

44

45

MR. GLASS: Yeah, this is Tom. Thank you as well and thank you for teaching the correct pronunciation of Shaviovik if I did that right. I'll keep trying. So thank you for your time, everybody, I appreciate it.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. Shaviovik. I know that means where it sweats, the land

```
0157
 1 sweats.
 2
 3
                    With that I propose that we recess
 4
   until tomorrow morning as this place will be used by
 5
    somebody else. Do we take our materials with us at
 6
    this point?
 7
 8
                    MS. HONIG: Yes, please.
 9
10
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                           We're advising
11
    everybody to take your materials as another party will
    come in to use the multipurpose room.
12
13
14
                    And those of you that want a ride to
15
    the hotel, I can give you guys a ride if you want a
16
    ride.
17
18
                    MS. HONIG: Thank you.
19
20
                    MS. WESSELS: And we're meeting
21
    tomorrow at 8:30 a.m.
22
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. I'll pick up
23
24
    Earl and I'll pick up Esther.
25
26
                    (Off record)
27
28
                 (PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0158		
1		CERTIFICATE
2		
3	UNITED STATES OF	AMERICA)
4)ss.
5	STATE OF ALASKA)
6	_	
7		A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
8		and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
9	Reporters, LLC, d	lo hereby certify:
10 11	mii 7 m + h o	foresting pages numbered through
12		foregoing pages numbered through
13	contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL	
14		I taken electronically on the 13th day
15	of October;	I taken electionically on the 13th day
16	or occoper,	
17	т	THAT the transcript is a true and
18		t requested to be transcribed and
19		cribed by under my direction and
20		at to the best of our knowledge and
21	ability;	,
22	_	
23	T	HAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
24	party interested	in any way in this action.
25		
26		PATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 7th
27	day of November 2	022.
28		
29		
30	_	
31 32		Jalena A. Hile
33		Notary Public, State of Alaska My Commission Expires: 09/16/26
34	171	Ty Commission Expires. 09/10/20
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		