NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	N/A
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$14,116,000
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund	

#### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Maintenance Action Team			
Project Number: DOI #N001 Unit/Facility Name: Multiple			
Region/Area/District: Multiple	Congressional District: Multiple	State: Multiple	

### **Project Justification**

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Project Description:** Utilizing the Legacy Restoration Fund, the NPS's Historic Preservation Training Center (HPTC) and Historic Architecture, & Engineering Center (HACE) will stand up a pilot program during FY21 consisting of Preservation Maintenance Action Teams (MATs) to complete rehabilitation and preservation projects on historic assets. These assets make up 25 percent of the NPS facility portfolio.

The MAT will perform the following types of projects: preservation and stabilization of fortification masonry scarp walls; rehabilitation of masonry comfort station exteriors; battlefield monument care and maintenance; specialized repair and painting of windows in several structures at various parks; replacing roofs in-kind (ranging from wood shingle to slate); and rehabilitating culverts, trails and trail bridges, cultural landscapes and their historic features. The maintenance work will improve the condition of the asset by extending the life of the critical systems which may include components of the exterior envelope, superstructure, or interior features— ultimately preserving the cultural resource and its contents.

Staff training and hands-on education will provide NPS personnel with skillsets that will last decades. Training and capacity in the traditional trades, appropriate materials selection, and treatment approaches will help parks reduce life cycle costs—especially since many assets with deteriorated conditions are the result of prior use of incompatible materials, lack of trained staff, attrition of skilled craftspeople, budget shortfalls, or a failure to prioritize preservation of the resource.

Upon project completion, the facilities and critical systems should remain within their life cycle and should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 15-20 years.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.2 Leverage Funding / Pursue Partnering Opportunities
- 2.3 Reduce Annual Operating Costs

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

- Using the specialized cohort of preservation professionals within the agency will further leverage resources, both human and cultural.
- These assets include locations that may be listed on the National Register (or be National Register Eligible) or on the List of Classified Structures and identified in the enabling legislation of the park unit. The locations identified have one or more critical systems that are beyond their typical life cycle and require repairs, rehabilitation, stabilization, or reconstruction to return them to a manageable condition that can be maintained through preventive and regular cyclic maintenance.

- MAT projects will create operational savings and reduce the total cost of ownership. Once projects are complete, the historic asset's exterior envelope will be intact and protected and will, in turn, protect and extend the life of the interior finishes, features, and furnishings.
- The execution of the treatments will protect the structure, retain its historic fabric, character defining features, improve the visitor experience while complying with the Secretary of Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties; the Architectural Barriers Act; and any other applicable laws, standards, policies and guidelines.

<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA)</u>: Failure to act may endanger sensitive critical resources which could cause deterioration beyond the point of repairability. Many of these resources are irreplaceable.

Ranking	<u>g Categories:</u>			
FCI/AP	I (40%)	FCI <u>N/A</u>	API <u>N/A</u>	Score = 0.00
SB	(20%)			Score = 0.00
IS	(20%)			Score = 0.00
CFA	(20%)			Score = 0.00
Combin	ed ranking factors	= (.40  x API/I)	FCI score) + $(.20 \times SB \text{ score}) + (.20 \times $	20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)

Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Analysis Required: No Total Project Score: N/A

Project Costs and Status				
Capital Improvement Work: \$ 2,823,000	% 30 <u>20</u> 00	Project Funding History (entire project) Appropriated to Date: Formulated in FY 21 Budget: Future Funding to Complete Project: Total:	: \$ 0 \$14,116,000 <u>\$ TBD</u> \$14,116,000	
<u>Class of Estimate:</u> N/A Estimate Escalated to FY: N/A		Planning and Design Funds: \$s Planning Funds Received: N/A Design Funds Received: N/A		
Dates: Construction Award/Start: N/A Project Complete: FY21/Q4		Project Data SheetDOI APrepared/Last Updated:Yes01/15/21	pproved:	

### Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: N/A	Projected: N/A	Net Change: N/A		
The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational,				

preventative, and recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	68.70
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$3,392,071
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration F	und

**Project Identification** 

Project Number: DOI #N003, PMIS #237096A		Unit/Facility Name: Cuyahoga Valley National Park		
Region/Area/District: Great Lakes		Congressional Dist	rict: OH13, OH14	State: OH
		<b>Project Justification</b>		
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-B	efore:
35291700	25558	0	0.90	
35300200	241630	23	0.77	
35300200	242701	0	0.76	
35300200	25688	0	0.90	
35300200	94984	0	0.92	
35300200	25826	0	0.95	
35300700	94979	0	0.95	
35800400	248867	0	1.00	
35800400	249152	0	0.97	
35800400	248586	0	0.59	
35800500	86397	0	0.81	

**Project Description:** This project will address public hazards, reduce excess assets of the park and operations and maintenance (O&M) liability by removing 39 non-historic deteriorated structures on 11 properties and restoring the sites to natural conditions.

None of the properties to be demolished are eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under any criteria. No significant archaeological resources are present in the areas of disturbance.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.3 Reduce Annual Operating Costs
- 2.4 Remove, Replace, or Dispose of Assets
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues

## Investment Strategy (IS):

- Removal of the structures will result in significant reduction in operational costs of responding to incidents of criminal activity and the subsequent need to address unsecured structures. NPS Law Enforcement Rangers will no longer need to spend time monitoring these structures. Maintenance staff members will no longer be required to mow around the buildings or to maintain physical barriers.
- One-time demolition and restoration costs will improve fiscal efficiency by allowing focused investment on other, higher priority assets.
- Demolition of these structures eliminates roughly \$8.8 million of deferred maintenance.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Deteriorated unsafe structures at Cuyahoga Valley National Park present a hazard. NPS Law Enforcement Rangers have made arrests for vandalism, theft of government property, drug possession, and other offenses. Recently, two structures were destroyed by fire in a series of suspected arson cases that are under investigation. These deteriorated properties continue to present a safety risk to people entering the buildings.

Ranking Categories:FCI/API $(40\%)$ FCI $\underline{0.8}$ SB $(20\%)$ IS $(20\%)$ IS $(20\%)$ CFA $(20\%)$ Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API})$			Score = Score = Score = Score = Score + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x IS s	= 0.25 = 19.68 = 8.77	
	Project Costs and Status				
Total: \$	\$ 0 3,392,071 3,392,071	<b>%</b> 0 <u>100</u> 100	Project Funding Histo Appropriated to Date: Formulated in FY21 Bu Future Funding to Com Total:	s udget: \$ pplete Project: \$ \$	389,730 3,392,071 0 3,781,801
Class of Estimate: Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21Planning and Design Funds: \$s Other Fund Sources (prior years)Planning Funds Received FY18: Design Funds Received FY19:\$ 211,162 178,568					
Dates: Sch'd	Actual	Project l	Data Sheet	<b>DOI</b> Approve	<u>d:</u>
Construction Award/Start: FY21/Q3		Prepared/	Last Updated: 1/21	Yes	
Project Complete: FY22/Q2					
A	nnual Opera	ations & Ma	aintenance Costs \$		
Current: \$75,000	Projected	: \$0	Net Change: -\$75,	000	

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	53.22		
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$18,616,663		
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration F	und		
Project Identification				

Project Title: Rehabilitate Historic Main Parade Ground Barracks Building, Parking Areas, and Pathways for Visitor and Tenant Use

#309903	Unit/Facility Name: Fort Vancouver Natio	nal Historic Site	
Region/Area/District: Columbia – Pacific Northwest	Congressional District: WA03	State: WA	
Project Instification			

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35100000	116701	62	1.00
40750300	234918	79	1.00
40710800	236301	70	0.15
40660100	236408	72	0.52

## **Project Description:**

This project will completely rehabilitate the three-story, 33,000 square foot large barracks in the east portion of the historic Vancouver Barracks. When complete, the NPS will lease the facility to an external party, generating rental income. Significant upgrades and rehabilitation work is required to meet current codes. Work includes repairs and rehabilitation of the exterior envelope, heating, cooling, lighting, fire protection alarms and sprinklers. An elevator will be added and interior finishes will be addressed. The rehabilitation will incorporate sustainability and energy efficiency principles while preserving the historic fabric and character defining features. This Barracks Building is one of four iconic large barracks buildings built in 1907 that face Fort Vancouver's Main Parade Ground. These are large and commanding structures with colonnaded fenestrations that present the grandeur of early 20th century US Army posts.

Site work will include rehabilitating associated campus parking lots to provide tenant and visitor parking, constructing pedestrian circulation routes to meet accessibility codes, improving pedestrian circulation and restoring the cultural landscape. The rehabilitation will include the parking areas north of McClellan Road, east of Fort Vancouver Way. Work includes regrading, base preparation, asphalt, striping, signage, storm drainage, site lighting and concrete sidewalks. Landscaping and lighting will be compatible with the historic cultural landscape. Rehabilitation provides the parking needs for tenants and visitors for the overall campus adaptive reuse of historic structures and specifically accommodate accessible parking and routes.

## Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.2 Leverage Funding / Pursue Partnering Opportunities
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

- Historic leasing provides adaptive reuse of the buildings and generates rental revenue to maintain the structure and site. This approach has been successfully used to lease three other buildings at the park: one large barracks building (#987) to the US Forest Service (USFS) for the Headquarters office of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest, building (#404) to the USFS for dispatch, and building (#728) to the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA).
- The anticipated \$500,000 per year rental revenue will contribute to the NPS requirements to perform component renewal activities and NPS requirements in the lease. The lease agreement transfers all other operations and maintenance responsibilities to the tenant to maintain the structure in good condition.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Without this project, the strategy for improved visitor access and adaptive reuse of historic buildings will be compromised, including the potential to earn revenue by leasing to an external party. Deferred maintenance costs will continue to

		y requirements (ABA) and current design standards, and		
eliminate poor circulation, parking safety and pede	estrian hazards			
Ranking Categories:		20.5		
× ,	I <u>70.75</u>	Score = $30.5$		
SB (20%)		Score = 8.5		
IS (20%)		Score = 14.0		
CFA (20%)		Score = 0.2		
Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API/FCI score})$				
Capital Asset PlanningExhibit 300 Analysis RVE Study: Scheduled <a href="#FY21/Q2">FY21/Q2</a> Completed: <a href="#FY21/Q2">FY21/Q2</a>		Total Project Score: 53.22		
Pr	roject Costs a	nd Status		
Project Cost Estimate(this PDS):		Project Funding History (entire project):		
Deferred Maintenance Work : \$17,127,330		Appropriated to Date: \$ 1,160,664		
Capital Improvement Work: \$ 1,489,333		Formulated in FY 21 Budget: \$ 18,616,663		
Total: \$18,616,663	3 100	Future Funding to Complete Project: \$ 0		
		Total: \$ 19,777,327		
Class of Estimate: C		Planning and Design Funds: \$s		
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21		Legacy Restoration Fund		
		Planning Funds Received in FY21:* \$ 115,000		
		Design Funds Received in FY21:* \$ 0		
		Other Fund Sources (prior years)		
		Planning Funds Received FY17: \$ 331,775		
		Design Funds Received FY18,19: \$ 828,889		
		* These amounts for planning and design are included in		
		the total formulated to the FY 2021 budget on this		
		project data sheet.		
Dates: Sch'd Actual		Data Sheet         DOI Approved:		
Construction FY21/Q3 _/	Prepared	Last Updated: Yes		
Award/Start:		1/15/21		
Project Complete: FY22/Q4				
		aintenance Costs \$		
	ed: \$156,000	Net Change: \$54,000		
recurring maintenance activities. After assets are b unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenanc scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. A	prought up to a se needed due At this time, th	eled requirements for operational, preventative, and state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for e NPS does not have specific figures and supporting		
analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the	impact of mod	ernization work included in projects.		

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE			Total Project Score/Rank	ing:			
	PARK SERVICE t Data Sheet		Planned Funding FY: 202	\$2,127,868			
Tojee	i Data Sheet		Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund				
		Project	Identification				
Project Title: Rehabilitate N004	Two Former Military P	arking Aı	reas for Visitor Use and Ter	nant Parki	ng (Consolidated with		
Project Number: DOI #N0	05, PMIS #309903	Unit/I	Facility Name: Fort Vancou	ver Natio	nal Historic Site		
Region/Area/District: Colu Northwest	egion/Area/District: Columbia – Pacific Iorthwest		Congressional District: WA03		State: WA		
		Project	Justification				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Bet	fore:		
40750300	234918	79		1.00			
40710800	236301	70		0.15			
40660100	236408	72		0.52			
<i>This project was removed</i> <i>Building to reduce redund</i>			ct N004-Rehabilitate Histo. rk.	ric Main I	Parade Ground Barracks		
Project Description: This project will rehabilita	te two parking areas an	d restore	the cultural landscape in the	e Vancou	ver Barracks at Fort		

Vancouver National Historic Site. The rehabilitation will include the parking area north of McClellan Road (McClellan lot) and south of Building 748 (Crossroads lot) to provide tenant and visitor parking, including accessible parking stalls and integral accessible routes. Work includes regrading, base preparation, asphalt, striping, signage, storm drainage, site lighting and concrete sidewalks/edge treatment in order to accommodate parking and associated pedestrian paths. Landscaping and lighting will be compatible with the historic cultural landscape. Rehabilitation would provide the parking needs for tenants and visitors for the overall campus adaptive reuse of historic structures and specifically accommodate accessible parking and routes to the large Barracks buildings and Buildings 748, 746, 722, 721, 704.

Scope of Benefits (SB): N/A

Investment Strategy (IS): N/A

Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA): N/A

Ranking Categories: N/A

Capital Asset Planning N/A Total Project Score: N/A **Project Costs and Status** Project Cost Estimate(this PDS): **Project Funding History** (entire project): S % Deferred Maintenance Work : \$ Appropriated to Date: \$ Capital Improvement Work: \$ Formulated in FY 21 Budget: \$ Total: \$ Future Funding to Complete Project: \$ \$ Total: Class of Estimate: Planning and Design Funds: \$s Estimate Escalated to FY:

			Lag	acy Restoration Fund		
			0	uning Funds Received in FY21:	<b>*</b> ¢	0
			Des	ign Funds Received in FY21:*	\$	0
			Oth	er Fund Sources (prior years)		
			Plar	ning Funds Received FY17:	\$	0
			Des	ign Funds Received FY18,19:	\$	0
				-		
			* Tl	nese amounts for planning and	lesign a	re included in
			the	total formulated to the FY 2021	budget	on this
				ect data sheet.	U	
Dates:	Sch'd	Actual	Project Data	Sheet DOI A	pprove	d:
Construction	/	/	Prepared/Last			
Award/Start:			-	3/21		
Project Complete:	/					
		Annual Oper	ations & Mainter	nance Costs \$		
Current: \$		Projected	l: \$	Net Change: \$		

		7	Total Project Score/Rankin	σ.	66.90
	PARK SERVICE		Planned Funding FY: 2021		\$15,901,149
Project	Data Sheet		Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund		
		Project Ide		estoration i un	
Project Title: Correct Roof	and Building Failures			ζ.	
Project Number: DOI #N0	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	-	ility Name: Grand Teton N		
Region/Area/District: Upp			sional District: WYAL		e: WY
region men District opp		Project Ju		State	
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Before:	
35100000	30144	60		0.71	
<b>Project Description:</b> The p for the Park Headquarters C Headquarters provides the a includes facilities critical to shops and fire and emergence provides centralized dispatch and Teton County Emergence can safely continue to perfort. The roof leaks for approxim point when heavy snow is of personnel and functions, as a statement of the count	omplex, including Tete dministrative facility for the health and safety of cy response station. Th h command & control cy Services. The contin rm these park functions ately four weeks durin n the roof and tempera	on Interagence or more than if park visitor e building als for the park, nued degradar s. g the winter of tures rise abo	by Dispatch Center. At more 50 percent of the park's errors and employees, including so houses the Teton Interage the surrounding national for tion of the building must be due to ice damming and move freezing. When the roo	re than 70,000 mployees. The g the park's m gency Dispate prests, the Nat e addressed to eltwater. The f is leaking, th	square feet, the Park e building haintenance h Center, which ional Wildlife Refuge ensure that park staff leaks can occur at any
<ul> <li>Investment Strategy (IS):</li> <li>This project will a and protect the far good and will red costly deterioration</li> <li>The project protect Recovery and Rei</li> <li>Regular scheduled</li> </ul>	correct and preserve th cility from the element uce the deferred maint on and subsequent repa- cts a prior investment, investment Act period. d maintenance will ren ad snow clearing will b to Act (CFA): Failure vill damage and deterior and safety (mold and st	e Park Headq s for next 30 enance backlistics in the futu including cor nain unchang e reduced or to complete orate interior ructural degr	ed, however corrective and eliminated. this project will allow the surfaces, finishes, equipme adation) will continue to ir	nprove the con- et will prevent acilities during d emergency n leaks and infil ent, electronic acrease over ti	ndition from fair to more extensive and the American naintenance due to tration issues to s, and workspaces. me. Threats to
Ranking Categories: FCI/API (40%)	FCI <u>0.71</u> A	PI <u>60.00</u>		re = 40.00 re = 1.08 re = 17.65	

	Proj	ect Co	sts and Sta	tus				
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b> (this PDS):	\$	%	Project	Funding History (enti	re project	t):		
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$15,617,614	98	8 Appropriated to Date: \$ 985,0					
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 283,535	2	Formula	ted in FY 21 Budget:	\$	15,901,149		
Total:	\$15,901,149	100	e					
			Total:		\$	16,886,232		
<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Legacy Re Planning I Design Fu Other Fur Planning I	and Design Funds: \$5 estoration Fund Funds Received in FY2 nds Received in FY21 and Sources (prior years Funds Received FY19: nds Received FY19:	- 21:* \$ :* \$	6 0 431,939		
				nounts for planning an ulated to the FY 2021				
Dates: Sch'd	Actual		Project D	ata Sheet	DOI A	pproved:		
Construction Award/Start:FY21/Project Complete:FY23/	·		Prepared/1	Last Updated: 01/20	Yes			
	Annual Opera	ations	& Mainten	ance Costs \$				
Current: \$262,000	Projected:	: \$262,	000	Net Change: \$0				
The annual O&M requirement repress recurring maintenance activities. After unscheduled emergency and correctiv scheduled maintenance is not expected analysis for O&M requirement change	r assets are bro e maintenance r d to change. At t	ught up needed this tin	p to a state due to dete ne, the NPS	of good repair there w rioration, but the annu does not have specific	ill likely b Ial O&M figures an	pe a reduction in requirement for		

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	88.90
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$8,211,934
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration F	und

 Project Identification

 Project Title: Stabilize Cliff at San Fernando Bastion

 Project Number: DOI #N008, PMIS #287011
 Unit/Facility Name: San Juan National Historic Site

 Region/Area/District: Columbia – Pacific Northwest
 Congressional District: PRAL
 State: PR

 Project Justification
 Project Justification

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40800000	242500	87	0.03

**Project Description:** This project will stabilize the cliff at San Fernando Bastion, which forms part of the foundation and support for the Castillo's esplanade. It corrects safety issues with falling rocks above a popular urban recreational trail. Sections of the cliff face were stabilized in the 1990s, but untreated sections continue to deteriorate requiring park personnel to temporarily close the trail. This project will address untreated sections building on the work that was completed in prior years.

The west shore of Castillo San Felipe Del Morro is badly exposed to gravitational erosion caused by wind, constant rain, water salinity, and wave action. In 2012, repeated episodes of torrential rain caused a rockslide at San Fernando Bastion, which forms part of the foundation and support for the Castillo's esplanade. Loose debris, including large boulders, catapulted down the slope to land beside the Paseo del Morro National Recreational Trail directly below. Fortunately, no injuries or fatalities occurred, as the slide happened at night.

## Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
  - 3.2 Protect Employees / Improve Retention

# Investment Strategy (IS):

- The project protects the \$500,000 concrete and stainless-steel fence at the top of the cliff. The fence is used to protect the approximately 1.5 million annual visitors to the park.
- The project also protects the Historic San Fernando Bastian from erosion. The San Fernando Bastian has a current replacement value of \$306 million.
- The project will preserve a principle recreational activity and protection of a primary park resource.
- Regular scheduled maintenance will remain unchanged, however corrective maintenance, such as debris removal due to landslides, is expected to be reduced.

<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA)</u>: The completion of this project is urgent for restoring and protecting historic, cultural, and natural resources and addressing critical issues of public safety. If rockslides continue, the trail will have to be permanently closed to protect the public from falling boulders. Unfortunately, the trail cannot be completely secured. Even with the entrance gate closed, access is still possible through the rocks along the trailside, creating an ongoing serious public safety hazard. Closure will have a dramatic negative affect on annual park visitation. The Paseo del Morro, below the cliff, receives around 140,000 recreational visits per year. Tumbling rocks and material represent a safety hazard. The probability of another major damage event is high and the severity could include death and serious injuries.

Failure to complete this project would also have major direct impacts to cultural resources. Dating from the 1650s, the San Fernando Bastion is a primary park cultural resource, included in the enabling legislation and integral to the World Heritage Site. The vulnerability of this resource is high due to frequent tropical conditions such as rain, wind, and sea-surf impacts. Some areas of the wall have already collapsed, represent an irreparable loss of historical resources. The bastion also serves as part of the foundation of Castillo San Felipe del Morro, an iconic cultural resource of Puerto Rico.

Ranking Categor	<u>ies:</u>	
FCI/API (40%)	FCI <u>0.03</u> API <u>87</u> .	300 Score = 32.00
SB (20%)		Score = 20.00

IS (20%) CFA (20%) Combined ranking factors = (.40 x APL	/FCI score) -	+ (.20 x S	Score = 20.00 Score = 16.90 B score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA scor	e)	
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Analysis Required: No VE Study: Scheduled <u>5/16</u> Complete	d: <u>5/16</u>		Total Project Score: 88.90		
	Proj	ject Cost	s and Status		
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ \$ 8,211,934 <u>\$ 0</u> \$ 211 034	100 0	<b>Project Funding History</b> (entire project): Appropriated to Date: Formulated in FY21 Budget: Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$ \$ \$	157,147 8,211,934 0
1 otal:	\$ 8,211,934	100	Total:	\$	8,369,081
Class of Estimate: A Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Planning and Design Funds: \$s         Legacy Restoration Fund         Planning Funds Received in FY21:*         Design Funds Received in FY21:*         Other Fund Sources (prior years)         Planning Funds Received FY15:         Design Funds Received FY15:         * These amounts for planning and design are         the total formulated to the FY 2021 budget of         data sheet.	on th	
Dates:Sch'dConstruction Award/Start:FY21Q3Project Complete:FY23Q1	Actual /	Prepare	Data Sheet     DOI Approvention       d/Last Updated:     01/21	<u>ed:</u>	
			Maintenance Costs \$		
recurring maintenance activities. After a unscheduled emergency and corrective n	ssets are bro naintenance o change. At	andard m ought up t needed d this time,	odeled requirements for operational, prevent to a state of good repair there will likely be a ue to deterioration, but the annual O&M requ the NPS does not have specific figures and su	redu irem	ction in ent for

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	62.60			
	Planned FY Funding: 2021	\$3,516,000			
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration F	und			
Project Identification					

i roject identification				
Project Title: Remove Obsolete Structures and Restore Areas to Native Condition				
Project Number: DOI #N009, PMIS #207152 Unit/Facility Name: Shenandoah National Park				
Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian	Congressional District: VA05,VA07	State: VA		

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
35100000	29342	0	0.85	
35240100	00002182	0	0.48	
35300400	3657	0	0.93	
35500500	57697	0	0.94	
40660100	104468	0	0.01	
40660100	104470	0	0.00	
40660100	104469	0	0.03	
40660100	104467	0	0.02	
40660100	104311	48	0.00	
40660100	104465	0	0.02	
40750100	00002194	17	1.00	
40750200	32485	0	0.90	
40760100	32486	0	0.00	
40760100	00002052	0	0.00	

**<u>Project Description</u>**: This project will dispose of unneeded assets and associated features. The project will reduce operations and maintenance liability. All areas will be restored to natural conditions.

Assets include: Big Meadows Employee Apartments (2,540 square feet); Big Meadows Offices (2,313 square feet); Loft Mountain Picnic Area including comfort station (372 square feet), parking areas, access road, and picnic sites; and H-loop (road & campsites) in Loft Mountain Campground.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.3 Reduce Annual Operating Costs
- 2.4 Remove, Replace, or Dispose of Assets
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues

**Investment Strategy (IS):** The removal of these structures and facilities will reduce the number assets the park needs to maintain and removes over 5,000 square feet of unneeded or deteriorating building space. Demolition of these structures eliminates roughly \$3.0 million of deferred maintenance.

<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA)</u>: Until demolished, these vacant and unused facilities represent a safety and security risk, requiring maintenance staffs to ensure the facilities are closed and locked, and requiring law enforcement to deter trespassers.

Ranking	Categories:						
FCI/API	(40%)	FCI <u>0.73</u>	API <u>4.64</u>	Score = 38.21			
SB	(20%)			Score = 0.22			
IS	(20%)			Score = 18.57			
CFA	(20%)			Score = 5.60			
Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API/FCI score}) + (.20 \text{ x SB score}) + (.20 \text{ x IS score}) + (.20 \text{ x CFA score})$							

Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 VE Study: Scheduled: <u>11/20</u> Compl		red: Yes	Total Project Score: 6	2.60
	Pro	ject Costs a	and Status	
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):	\$	%	<b>Project Funding History</b>	(entire project):
Deferred Maintenance Work:	\$ 663,698	19	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 364,492
Capital Improvement Work:	\$2,852,302	81	Appropriated to Date (De	emo FY20): \$ 669,522
Total:	\$3,516,000	100	Formulated in FY21 Bud	get: \$3,516,000
			Future Funding to Compl	ete Project: \$ 0
			Total:	\$4,550,014
Class of Estimate: B Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Planning and Design Fund Legacy Restoration Fund Planning Funds Received in Design Funds Received in F Other Fund Sources (prior y Planning Funds Received F Design Funds Received FY *These amounts for plannin the total formulated to the F	FY21:*       \$       0         FY21:*       \$       0         vears)       Y13, 15, 18: \$       358,100         15:       \$       6,392         g and design are included in
		•	data sheet.	
Dates:Sch'dConstruction Award/Start:FY21QProject Complete:FY21Q	·		Data Sheet I/Last Updated: 01/21	<u>DOI Approved:</u> Yes
	Annual Oper	ations & N	Iaintenance Costs \$	
Current: \$87,000	Projected	1: \$0	Net Change: -\$87,00	0

NATIONAL DADIZ SEDVICE	Total Project Score/Ranking:	83.40			
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$11,823,600			
Floject Data Sheet	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration	Fund			
Project Identification					

	1 Toject Identification						
	Project Title: Critical Repair and Replacement of 70KV Transmission Line From Parkline to Hwy 140 Powerhouse						
	Project Number: DOI #N010, PMIS #271651	Unit/Facility Name: Yosemite National Park					
Region/Area/District: Pacific West Congressional District: CA04 State: CA							
	Project Justification						

Froject Justification						
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:			
40711200	10661	100	0.28			

## **Project Description:**

This project will address critical failing electrical infrastructure including high voltage transmission lines that serve multiple areas. It will replace or repair a transmission line and the supporting metal structures, which were originally constructed in the mid-1930s. Condition assessments of towers, insulators, and conductors has been completed. This project will construct repairs and replace components of the system to address deficiencies.

Currently, the commercial power company could turn power off at any time due to the known hazardous conditions of this dilapidated 90-year old transmission line.

## Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.2 Leverage Funding / Pursue Partnering Opportunities
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 3.2 Protect Employees / Improve Retention
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

# Investment Strategy (IS):

To complete this project, the NPS will issue a sole-source award to Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E). PG&E is already completing similar repairs outside the park boundary.

This project will substantially increase commercial power reliability. Bringing the line to updated Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) standards will allow the NPS to reinitiate discussions with the commercial power company to take ownership of the transmission line, therefore being responsible for its maintenance and reliability.

Additionally, this project will decrease the spending of NPS contracting funds associated with repairs. The system components have a typical life-span of 50-years. With limited maintenance, the existing original components have functioned for over 80 years.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

The failure of the electrical line would result in loss of power to all of Yosemite Valley, the Wawona Tunnel, and the Turtleback communications hub for an undetermined amount of time. Among causing other issues, the power failure would render the primary communications hub inoperable for the park's emergency communications system. The cost to mobilize and set up generators would exceed \$1 million.

Ranking (	C <b>ategories:</b>						
FCI/API	(40%)	FCI <u>0.28</u>	API <u>100.00</u>	Score = 40.00			
SB	(20%)			Score = 17.83			
IS	(20%)			Score = 20.00			
CFA	(20%)			Score = 5.57			
Combined	Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API/FCI score}) + (.20 \text{ x SB score}) + (.20 \text{ x IS score}) + (.20 \text{ x CFA score})$						
	set Planning I	Total Project Score: 83.40					
VE Study:	Scheduled 4	<u>4/2019</u> Completed <u>4</u>	1/2019				

Project Costs and Status							
Project Cost Estimate(this PDS	5):	\$	%	<b>Project Funding History</b> (entire project):			
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$	11,823,600	100	Appropriated to Date:		533,790	
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	0	0	Formulated in FY21 Budge	et: \$	11,823,600	
Total:	\$	11,823,600	100	Future Funding to Complet	e Project: \$		
				Total:	\$	12,357,390	
Class of Estimate: B				Planning and Design Funds	s: \$s		
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/20	)			Legacy Restoration Fund			
				Planning Funds Received in	FY21:* \$	0	
				Design Funds Received in F	Y21:* \$	0	
				Other Fund Sources (prior y	ears)		
				Planning Funds Received:	\$	311,365	
				Design Funds Received:	\$	222,425	
				*These amounts for planning the total formulated to the FY data sheet.			
Dates: S	ch'd	Actual	Project D	ata Sheet	<b>DOI Approv</b>	ed:	
Construction Award/Start: F	Y21/Q	1 /	Prepared/	Last Updated: 01/20	Yes		
Project Complete: F	Y22/Q	1	_	_			
		Annual Oper	ations & N	Iaintenance Costs \$			
Current: \$2,565,000		Projected	l: \$2,565,00	0 Net Change: \$0			
The annual O&M requirement r	eprese	nts industry st	andard mod	leled requirements for operation	onal, preventa	tive, and	
recurring maintenance activities							
unscheduled emergency and cor							
scheduled maintenance is not ex							
analysis for O&M requirement of							

		-	Total Project Score/Rank	ing:	69.80			
	PARK SERVICE Data Sheet	I	Planned Funding FY: 2021		\$35,314,000 (change of +\$5,047,000 from FY 2021 list)			
			funding Source: Legacy	Restoration	Fund - Transportation			
	Project Identification							
Project Title: Replace Laure								
Project Number: DOI #N01			ility Name: Blue Ridge					
Region/Area/District: South		0	sional District: NC05	S	State: NC			
			stification					
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Befor	re:			
0	250766	100		N/A				
40760500	4563	100		1.0				
Laurel Fork Bridge is a 5-sp and was built in 1939. As of has approximately four year wind speeds and closing the Scope of Benefits (SB):	f 2020, Eastern Federal rs of service life remaini	Lands Hig ng. Per EF	hway Division (EFLHD) LHD recommendations,	) estimates th the park has	hat the existing bridge s been monitoring the			
<ul> <li>1.3 Expand Recreati</li> <li>1.4 Remediate Poore</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or Elimi</li> <li>3.1 Address Safety I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1.1 Restore &amp; Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities</li> <li>1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access</li> <li>1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance</li> <li>3.1 Address Safety Issues</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>Investment Strategy (IS):         <ul> <li>This project replaces critical visitor infrastructure that is failing and corrects public safety issues.</li> <li>Project execution will be Design-Bid-Build and managed by the FHWA</li> <li>Bridge replacement will be completed in coordination with Blue Ridge Parkway Reconstruction (NC) project N012.</li> <li>The replacement of the existing steel bridge with new concrete box girder bridge will reduce the need for regular bridge painting.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):         <ul> <li>Failure to act will result in an inoperable mainline road with an estimated Average Daily Traffic count of 2,300 vehicles. If</li> </ul> </li> </ul>								
the bridge failed while in operation, the incident could result in severe injury and fatalities.Ranking Categories: FCI/API (40%)FCI 1.0API 100.00Score = 34.83SB (20%)Score = 15.10IS (20%)Score = 18.64CFA (20%)Score = 1.23Combined ranking factors = (.40 x API/FCI score) + (.20 x SB score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)Capital Asset PlanningExhibit 300 Analysis Required: YesTotal Project Score: 69.80								
	Pr	oject Cost	s and Status					
Project Cost Estimate(this Deferred Maintenance Work Capital Improvement Work Total:	PDS): \$ k : \$ 30,723,180	9 8 1	<ul> <li>Project Funding</li> <li>Appropriated to D</li> <li>Formulated in FY</li> </ul>	ate: 21 Budget:	tire project): \$ 65,910 \$ 35,314,000 \$ 0 <b>\$ 35,379,910</b>			

Class of Estimate: C				Plan	ning and	Design Fu	nds: \$s		
Estimate Escalated to FY:10/2	21		ļ	Legacy Restoration Fund					
			P		ning Funds			* \$	0
			ľ		gn Funds				1 298 000
			ľ	Dest	511 1 unus	100001.00		• •	1,290,000
				Othe	er Fund Sot	urces (prio	or years)		
			ľ	Plan	ning Funds	s Received	FY19:	\$	21,970
			ľ		gn Funds			\$	43,940
			ŗ		B		•	*	
			ľ	* Th	ese amoun	its for nlan	ning and d	lecior	n are included in
			ļ			1	0	0	
			ŗ		otal formul		e F Y 2021	buag	get on this
			!	1 3	ect data she	eet.			
Dates:	Sch'd	Actual	Project	Data	Sheet		DOI A	pprov	ved:
Construction Award/Start:	FY22/Q1	/	Prepared	d/Last	Updated:	01/21	Yes		
Project Complete:	FY24/Q4				1				
	An	nual Opera	tions & M	ainten	ance Cost	s \$			
Current: \$58,000 Projected: \$58,000				Net Change: \$0					
The annual O&M requiremen	<i>it represents</i>	industry sta	ndard mode	eled re	auirements	s for opera	tional, pre	evente	ative, and
recurring maintenance activit									
unschadulad amarganay and	Б						2		

unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

Total Project Scor	·e/Ranking·	82.40				
9		\$123,500,000				
	Ridge Parkway					
NC11	cos, nero,	State: NC				
Project Justification						
API:	FCI-Bef	ore:				
93	0.55					
93	0.84					
93	1.00					
93	0.67					
93	1.00					
93	0.68					
77	1.00					
93	0.79					
93	0.87					
93	0.85					
100	0.55					
93	0.56					
	Planned Funding Funding Source: I           Project Identification           tion (NC)           A           Unit/Facility Name: Blue           Congressional District: N NC11           Project Justification           API:           93      <	A         Unit/Facility Name: Blue Ridge Parkway           Congressional District: NC05, NC10, NC11           Project Justification           API:         FCI-Bef           93         0.55           93         0.84           93         0.67           93         0.67           93         0.67           93         0.67           93         0.67           93         0.68           77         1.00           93         0.79           93         0.79           93         0.87           93         0.79           93         0.79           93         0.85           100         0.55           93         0.56           93         0.56           93         0.56           93         0.55           93         0.55           93         0.55           93         0.55           93         0.55           93         0.55           93         0.55           93         0.55           93         0.55           93 <td< td=""></td<>				

40660100	48264	93	0.68	
40660100	48256	93	0.25	
40660100	48243	93	0.39	
40660100	48237	93	0.55	
40760100	47930	77	0.70	
40760100	226393	100	0.40	
40760100	47937	75	1.00	
40760100	47900	100	0.99	
40760100	226394	100	0.51	
40760200	87159	75	0.63	

### **Project Description:**

This project will reconstruct and rehabilitate a portion of mainline Parkway within North Carolina, primarily sections 2B-2H as well as the associated overlooks and parking areas within the project area. The mainline motor-road and the associated driving experience are critical to maintaining park purpose, significance, and fundamental resources and values. Road safety audits (RSA) performed in 2012, 2017, and 2018 indicate that roadway edge rutting presents safety challenges along many sections of the Parkway. Reconstruction work will include:

- 1. Heavy 3R (resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation).
- 2. Light 3R (edge erosion rehabilitation, pavement marking, crack sealing, light pavement patching).
- 3. Signage and pavement markings improvements for sight and distance aimed at enhancing safety based on Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices standard .
- 4. Installation of newly developed technique utilizing geogrid pavers to mitigate rutting and edge erosion.
- 5. Shoulder stabilization with aggregate topsoil and turf establishment.
- 6. Stone curb removal and resetting.
- 7. Asphalt sidewalk reconstruction at overlook parking areas.
- 8. Guardrail and stone guard wall repair and reconstruction.
- 9. Drainage work including inspecting and evaluating culverts, headwalls, inlets, ditches, and outfalls for needed cleaning, reconditioning and replacement.

## Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

**Investment Strategy (IS):** The section of roadway currently requires constant localized maintenance and repairs to address common failures (pot-holes, uneven surfaces, crack seal repairs, edge rutting). The completion of this project will reduce this need for corrective maintenance and repairs.

<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA)</u>: Continuing to defer this critical maintenance will have an adverse effect on visitor experience. Continued deterioration due to deferred maintenance will increase the severity of potholes and uneven surfaces, driving up repair expenses that increase over time. Edge rutting will continue to pose risks to natural and cultural resources.

Failure to complete this project will see further deterioration of the pavement condition and associated roadway features, loss of services, and continued risks to public or employee health and safety.

Ranking	Categories:			
FCI/API	(40%)	FCI <u>0.46</u>	API <u>91.12</u>	Score = 38.79
SB	(20%)			Score = 20.00
IS	(20%)			Score = 20.00
CFA	(20%)			Score = 3.61
Combined	ranking factors =	(.40 x API/FCI	score) + (.20 x SB	score) + $(.20 \text{ x IS score})$ + $(.20 \text{ x CFA score})$
Capital Ass	set Planning Ex	hibit 300 Analy	Total Project Score: 82.40	
VE Study:	Scheduled 9/21	Completed		

		Proj	ject Costs aı	nd Status		
Project Cost Estimate(this PDS): Deferred Maintenance Work : Capital Improvement Work: Total:	\$ \$ \$	123,500,00	<b>\$ %</b> 0 100 0 <u>0</u>	Project Funding Hi Appropriated to Date Formulated in FY 21 Future Funding to Co Project:	: Budget: omplete	\$ 0 \$ 123,500,000 \$ 0
Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21				Total: <b>Planning and Design</b> Legacy Restoration Fig         Planning Funds Receive         Design Funds Receive         * These amounts for p         included in the total for         this project data sheet.	Funds: §s and ved in FY21: ved in FY21 ved in FY21 ved in FY21	:* \$ 9,500,000 lesign are
Dates:Sch'dConstructionFY22Award/Start:FY24Project Complete:FY24	/Q1	<b>Actual</b> /		r <mark>ata Sheet</mark> Last Updated: 01/21	<u>DOI App</u> <u>Yes</u>	roved:
	A	-		intenance Costs \$		
Current: \$1,229,000			: \$1,229,000			
The annual O&M requirement represent recurring maintenance activities. Aj unscheduled emergency and correct scheduled maintenance is not expec- analysis for O&M requirement char	fter d tive i ted t	assets are bro maintenance i to change. At	ought up to a needed due t this time, the	state of good repair there o deterioration, but the an NPS does not have specij	will likely be nual O&M re fic figures and	a reduction in equirement for

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	91.30
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$25,384,993
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration I	Fund

		Project Identification				
Project Title: Restore Do	Project Title: Restore Dorchester Heights Monument and Hardscapes					
Project Number: DOI #N013; PMIS #254798A Unit/Facility Name: Boston National Historical Park						
Region/Area/District: No Appalachian	rth Atlantic -	Congressional District: MA08	al District: MA08 State: MA			
	Project Justification					
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:			
35800800	60517	80	0.13			

4075030060520870.99Project Description:<br/>This project will repair and restore the commemorative tower and the surrounding deteriorated<br/>hardscapes at historic Dorchester Heights. Work on the tower will include foundation, superstructure, exterior enclosure,<br/>roofing, interior construction, stairs, heating/cooling systems, electrical, plumbing and site improvements. Hardscape repairs<br/>will provide access to and around the Park including all sidewalks, stairs, ramps, footers, retaining walls, drainage and<br/>handrails. New ramps will be installed to meet NPS accessibility guidelines and to accommodate NPS vehicles and<br/>equipment. Retaining walls will be anchored into the subgrade using micropiles. Appropriate subgrade drainage will be

Dorchester Heights is the site of fortifications erected in March 1776 which resulted in British troops evacuating Boston. Project work will preserve the iconic presence of the Dorchester Heights Monument, one of the key sites in Boston associated with the American Revolution. This project will ensure that the site is in good condition to support commemorative activities associated with the upcoming 250th anniversary of the American Revolution in 2026.

installed to manage stormwater. The existing lights will be refurbished and retrofitted with new energy efficient fixtures.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.4 Remove, Replace, or Dispose of Assets
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

- Reroofing, structural upgrades, masonry restoration and a new passive ventilation system will decrease the frequency of leaks, bird intrusion, masonry deterioration and ongoing stabilization measures due to danger from falling elements from the upper levels of the tower.
- Replacement of concrete walls, walkways and stairs, new micropile structural support and new stormwater drainage system will eliminate need for repairs and emergency closure of walks and stairways. A widened vehicle ramp will provide better access to site for maintenance vehicles and equipment. Low mow grass on steepest slope on the site will reduce frequency of mowing.

## **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

The project is necessary to prevent serious and potentially irreversible damage. The surrounding hardscapes are deteriorating and presenting unsafe conditions for park visitors. Failure to act will result in accelerated steel and masonry deterioration, increased maintenance costs and mounting safety risks. It will also prevent public use of the park as originally intended and compromise current programs.

Existing conditions at the memorial tower and surrounding park are urgent. These include heaved projecting stones; active water infiltration in multiple locations through open caulk and mortar joints; broken solder seams, and insufficient flashing details; high humidity levels inside the tower; active and continuing deterioration of steel structural elements inside the tower. For the hardscape areas, the movement or the soils, poor drainage and subsequent failure of the walls, stairs and sidewalks have resulted in closure of numerous areas for visitor safety. Conditions will continue to worsen over time and ultimately lead to critical failure and loss of this primary park resource.

Ranking Categories:FCI/API $(40\%)$ FCI $\underline{0.25}$ API $\underline{83.50}$ Score = 40.00SB $(20\%)$ Score = 20.00IS $(20\%)$ Score = 20.00CFA $(20\%)$ Score = 11.30Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API/FCI score}) + (.20 \text{ x SB score}) + (.20 \text{ x IS score}) + (.20 \text{ x CFA score})$ Total Project Score: 91.30						
VE Study: Scheduled <u>Multiple</u> Completed: <u>Hardscape: 9/19</u>    <u>Monument: 8/20</u>					<u> </u>	
		Projec	ct Costs a	and Sta	tus	
Project Cost Estimate(this PDS):       Project Cost Estimate(this PDS):       S       Project Funding History (entire project:         Project Cost Estimate(this PDS):       \$       <						
Dates: Construction Award/Start:	<b>Sch'd</b> FY21/Q4	Actual			<u>a Sheet</u> st Updated: 01/21	<u>DOI Approved:</u> Yes
Project Complete:	FY23/Q3		1		1	
	An	nual Operati	ions & N	lainten	ance Costs \$	
Current: \$295,000		Projected: \$	\$295,000		Net Change: \$0	
The annual O&M requiremen recurring maintenance activit unscheduled emergency and c scheduled maintenance is not	ties. After ass corrective ma	sets are broug aintenance ne	ght up to eded due	a state o to dete	of good repair there rioration, but the and	will likely be a reduction in nual O&M requirement for

scneauled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supp analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	Total Project Score/Ranking:	90.40			
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$15,686,461			
Troject Data Sheet	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund				
Proi	ect Identification				

1 roject fuchtmeation					
Project Title: Repair Failing Dam #5 Left Abutment	t				
Project Number: DOI #N014;PMIS #287511A	Unit/Facility Name: Chesapeake and Ohio Park	Canal National Historical			
Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian	Congressional District: MD06	State: MD			
	Project Justification				

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40181800	9002	92	0.20

**Project Description:** Repair the failing left abutment of Potomac River Dam #5 to prevent possible loss of life, a sudden release of water, the loss of a historic structure and loss of recreation use of the impounded reservoir. The goal of this project is to provide a sustainable and stable structure that will be resilient to flooding well into the future.

Dam #5 is located on the Potomac River about 65 miles northwest of Washington, D.C. and approximately 5 miles west of Williamsport, MD. The dam is a run-of-the-river gravity structure constructed of mortared masonry. The dam provides more than six miles of recreation boating waters and provides water for hydroelectric power generation facility operated by a private utility company licensed under the Federal Energy Regulation Commission.

The abutment is showing signs of water seepage through the face which is causing sinkholes and water flowing out the downstream face. Large cracks on the river face of the abutment extend from the top of the wall to below the water line. Mortar is missing from the rocks in the wall at water level and many stones could be removed by hand. In addition, the entire wall of the abutment is leaning 9 inches toward the river. During major flooding events, the river flows over the abutment causing severe erosion and loss of historic masonry capstones.

Currently, the wall does not meet modern engineering safety factor requirements for global stability. The proposed repairs will rehabilitate the masonry structure, stabilize the wall, minimize the probability of failure and reduce the risk of loss for possible loss of life, cultural, natural, and recreational resources that are influenced by this substantial engineered feature. The structure's stability will be improved to meet current engineering standards in accordance with Director's Order Number 40, Dam Safety and Security Program while being preserved for the current and future generations.

## Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

# Investment Strategy (IS):

- The NPS does not receive revenue from the hydroelectric utility. The hydroelectric utility provides funding for annual maintenance/repairs. This project will not increase revenue for the utility.
- Should the abutment fail during a flood or other severe natural event, the estimated cleanup and reconstruction costs will significantly exceed the repair costs.

<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA)</u>: Dam #5 is classified by the NPS as a Significant Hazard Dam, meaning that dam failure would result in major losses to natural/cultural resources and/or impacts to park visitation. There is also a potential threat to public safety. Each of the specific chain of events that could lead to the failure (failure modes) of the abutment are estimated to have a high and increasing probability. Combining this with the high cost of repairs and possible damages down stream poses a high risk to NPS. Engineering analysis of the left abutment have included measures to address the highest risk (i.e. probability times the adverse impact) potential failure modes. The assessments recommended expedited action to minimize risk of failure.

The left abutment to Dam 5 is in a poor state and it is likely that it will fail or be severely damaged when the next significant flood occurs. Historical flooding high enough to overtop the abutments occurs on a 18 year average for the Potomac River. The last major flood was 23 years ago. Potential impacts in the event of failure of the left abutment of the dam would

include loss of the dam and the 4,900 acre- feet (1.6 billion gallons) of water held in the reservoir behind it. The reservoir provides substantial recreational opportunities for hundreds of thousands visitors each year to one of the two deep water sections along the Upper Potomac River. Additionally, in the event of failure, the cultural resource implications for the loss of the 146-year old masonry dam structure itself and other downstream resources is also anticipated. Loss of the structure would also have an adverse impact on visitation and towpath continuity.

<b>Ranking Categories:</b>								
FCI/API (40%)	FCI <u>0.20</u>	API <u>9</u> 2	API <u>92.00</u> Score = $40.00$					
SB (20%)			Score = 20.00					
IS (20%)			Score = 20.00					
CFA (20%)					Score =	10.40		
Combined ranking factors =	(.40 x API/F	CI score) +	- (.20 x SB	score)	+ (.20 x IS score) + (	.20 x CFA score	)	
Capital Asset Planning Exhi	bit 300 Anal	vsis Requir	ed: Yes	ſ	<b>Total Project Score:</b>	90.40		
VE Study: Scheduled 3/202						20000		
Project Costs and Status								
Project Cost Estimate(this PD	<b>NC</b> ).	roj \$	<u>ect Costs :</u> %		ect Funding Histor	(antina praiaat)		
Deferred Maintenance Work :	s). \$	<b>15,042,31</b>			ropriated to Date:	<u>y (entire project)</u>	. 0	
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	644,14						
Total:	\$	15,686,46		6				
i oturi.	Ψ	15,000,10	1 100	Total:         \$ 15,686,461				
Class of Estimate: C					ing and Design Fur	nds: \$s	- ) ) -	
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/2	21				ry Restoration Fund	i		
					ing Funds Received	in <b>FY21</b> :* \$	250,000	
				Desig	n Funds Received in	<b>FY21</b> :* \$ 1,	140,000	
				* The	se amounts for plann	ing and design a	re included in	
				the to	tal formulated to the	FY 2021 budget	on this project	
				data s	heet.			
Dates:	Sch'd	Actual	Project			DOI Approv	<u>ed:</u>	
	FY21/Q4	_/	Prepared	l/Last U	pdated: 01/21	Yes		
Project Complete:	FY24/Q4							
	An			lainten	ance Costs \$			
Current: \$159,000		2	\$159,000		Net Change: \$0			
The annual O&M requirement								
recurring maintenance activiti								
unscheduled emergency and c								
scheduled maintenance is not	expected to c	change. At i	this time, th	he NPS	does not have specifi	ic figures and sup	oporting	

analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	75.70		
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$21,518,248		
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund - Transporta			

Project Identification	l
------------------------	---

Project Title: Delawar	e Water Gap Loop Road	Project Identifica		
	#N015, PMIS #310424	Unit/Facility Na	me: Delaware Water Gap	National Recreation Area
Region/Area/District:	North Atlantic -	Congressional I	District: PA10	State: PA
Appalachian		Project Justificat	ion	
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Be	efore
40760100	31280	77	0.72	
Route 209. US Route	This project will address do 209 is the primary north-so nistrative access to major vi	outh arterial route on	the Pennsylvania side of	the park, providing
reinforcement. Work pavement binder and US Route 209 has an This project will mee		ulvert replacement, j ansitions, reconstruc	placement of new aggrega tion of shoulders, and line	
Scope of Benefits (SI	-			
	Protect High Visitation / Pu			
	ecreation Opportunities and	Public Access		
	Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Mainter			
<ul> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>3.1 Address Sa</li> </ul>		nance		
	ployees / Improve Retentio	n		
	Infrastructure	011		
• The 14 miles of eliminating the roadway.	(15): overlay project is anticipated of pavement will be brought e frequent patching and othe ill improve protection of cr	t to good condition. I er repairs that are cu	Park corrective repair cost rrently required due to the	ts will be reduced by condition of the existing
			_	
strips in the centerline unsafe to motorists an		ent management and ents are necessary to	d improvement, the road v provide safe driving con	vill become increasingly
Ranking Categorie		DI 77.00		00
FCI/API (40%)	FCI <u>0.72</u> A	PI <u>77.00</u>	Score = $40$	
SB (20%)			Score = $15$	
IS (20%)			Score = $20$	
CFA (20%)			Score = 0.0	00
	/ · · · · · · · ·	<b>.</b> .		
Combined ranking fa Capital Asset Planni	actors = (.40 x API/FCI sco ng Exhibit 300 Analysi		e) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 Total Project Score: 75	

VE Study: Scheduled <u>11/20</u>	Com	pleted: <u>11/2</u>	0					
		Proj	ect Costs ai	nd Sta	tus			
<b>Ductor</b> to at Estimate (this DDC	1).				ject Funding Histor	<u>ry (</u> entire proj	ect):	
Project Cost Estimate(this PDS	s):	\$	%	Ap	propriated to Date:		\$	355,752
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$	21,518,248	100	For	mulated in FY 21 Bu	udget:	\$ 2	21,518,248
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	0	0	Fut	ure Funding to Com	plete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	21,518,248	100	To	al:		\$ 2	21,874,000
Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21				Lega Plan Desi Othe Plan Desi * Th the t	ning and Design Fund ucy Restoration Fund ning Funds Received gn Funds Received r Fund Sources (prion ning Funds Received gn Funds Received F ese amounts for plan total formulated to the eset data sheet.	in FY21:* 5 in FY21:* 5 or years) I FY20: 5 FY20: 5 ning and design	\$ 1,89 5 11 \$ 23 gn are	8,584 7,168 included in
Dates: S	ch'd	Actual	Project I	ata S	heet	DOI App	roved:	
	Y21/Q4	_/	Prepared/	Last U	Jpdated: 1/21	Yes		
Project Complete: F	Y23/Q4							
	An			ainten	ance Costs \$			
Current: \$426,000		Projected:	\$426,000		Net Change: \$0			
The annual O&M requirement recurring maintenance activities unscheduled emergency and cor- scheduled maintenance is not ex- analysis for O&M requirement c	After as rective m pected to	sets are brou aintenance n change. At t	ight up to a beeded due t his time, the	state o dete e NPS	of good repair there rioration, but the and does not have specifi	will likely be a nual O&M rea ic figures and	a redu quirem	ction in tent for

NATIONAL DADIZ CEDVICE	Total Project Score/Ranking:	70.10			
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$19,835,019			
i roject Data Sheet	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund				
Draiget Identification					

Project Identification							
Project Title: Rehabilitate Marina Bulkheads at Flamingo							
Project Number: DOI	#N016, PMIS #242522	Unit/Facility Name: Everglades	National Park				
Region/Area/District:	South Atlantic - Gulf	Congressional District: FL26	State: FL				
	Project Justification						
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:				
40130400	73182	100	0.54				
40130400	75401	100	0.76				
40130400	80131	75	0.78				
40130400	99652	100	0.46				

**Project Description:** This project will abandon existing tie rod and deadman/anchor systems on four bulkheads in Flamingo and provide new bituminous-coated tie rods and concrete anchors above the tidal zone. Existing concrete seawall caps will be replaced, and approximately 10 percent of existing concrete piles will be repaired or replaced as needed upon further inspection. The entire historic Flamingo Visitor Center bulkhead is so deteriorated that it requires abandonment, and a new seawall constructed water-side.

Bulkheads to be repaired include: Whitewater Bay Marina, Florida Bay Marina , the Flamingo Visitor Center Bulkhead, and the Flamingo Maintenance Basin Marina.

The existing bulkheads and boat launch ramps were constructed in 1954. Visible sections of existing seawall caps and pilings are cracking and spalling due to rusting and expanding reinforcing steel. Existing steel tie rods are installed within the tidal zone, causing them to be wetted and exposed to air during each tidal cycle, increasing corrosion. Pavement cracking along the perimeter of some bulkheads also indicates possible failure of the tie rod systems, which keep the bulkheads vertical. Another bulkhead elsewhere in the park (Everglades City), constructed in the same era, suffered a tie-rod failure in July 2005, requiring emergency installation of new tie rods, anchors, and seawall cap to preclude total loss of the seawall.

## Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

## Investment Strategy (IS):

- Should these bulkheads fail during a hurricane or other severe natural event, the estimated cleanup and reconstruction costs will significantly exceed the repair costs. This project will reduce life-safety risk to visitors and staff.
- Work will restore the bulkhead integrity and strengthen other hydraulic structures, providing protection for nearby historic structures, docks, boat ramps, underground utilities and other facilities for 30 years.
- Repaired bulkheads will provide continued safe access to an average of more than 600,000 visitors per year. Safe access will also continue for governmental and institutional researchers, concession operations, backcountry maintenance and law enforcement operations, including search and rescue operations.

<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):</u> Without this project, the existing bulkheads may be subject to catastrophic failure, causing them to fall into the water. Such a failure would also cause damage to adjoined boat docks. Buildings and other facilities near the bulkheads, including the historically significant Flamingo Visitor Center and Ranger Station, the Flamingo Marina Store, and the Flamingo Gas Station fuel tank system would become subject to severe structural damage without the integrity of the adjacent bulkheads. Hurricanes and the proximity of the bulkheads to the open waters of Florida Bay cause them to be especially vulnerable to storm damage.

SB         (20%)           IS         (20%)           CFA         (20%)	CI <u>0.64</u> x API/F			Score = 26.65 Score = 20.00 Score = 20.00 Score = 3.45 score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)	
Capital Asset PlanningExhibitVE Study: Scheduled 3/2021Co				Total Project Score: 70.10	
		Proj	ect Costs a	Ind Status	
Project Cost Estimate(this PDS): Deferred Maintenance Work : <u>Capital Improvement Work:</u> Total: <u>Class of Estimate:</u> C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21	\$ \$ \$	\$ 18,365,767 <u>1,469,251</u> 19,835,019	<b>%</b> 93 <u>7</u> 100	Project Funding History (entire project):         Appropriated to Date:       \$         Formulated in FY 21 Budget:       \$       19,835,0         Future Funding to Complete Project:       \$       19,835,0         Total:       \$       19,835,0         Planning and Design Funds:       \$       19,835,0         Planning funds Received in FY21:*       \$       414,000         Design Funds Received in FY21:*       \$       2,346,000         * These amounts for planning and design are include the total formulated to the FY 2021 budget on this project data sheet.       \$	0 019
	21/Q4 24/Q3	Actual	Prepared	Data Sheet     DOI Approved:       1/Last Updated:1/21     Yes	
Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$       Current: \$108,000     Projected: \$108,000     Net Change: \$0					
maintenance activities. After assets ar and corrective maintenance needed du	e brough 1e to dete have spe	stry standara t up to a state erioration, bu	modeled request modeled request model of good rep	Net Change: \$0 equirements for operational, preventative, and recurring pair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergence 0 & M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected ting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact	ed to

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	82.20			
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$28,287,497			
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund				
Project Identification					

roject identification							
Project Title: Replace Shoreline Stabilization Structures at Sandy Hook and Jacob Riis							
Project Number: DOI #	#N017, PMIS #312440	Unit/Facility Name: Gateway	v National Re	creation Area			
Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian		Congressional District: NJ06, NY05		State: NJ, NY			
Project Justification							
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Be	fore:			
40130400	246722	88	0.98				
40130400	245351	80	0.31				
<b>Project Description:</b> This project will replace or repair two seawalls protecting multiple historic buildings, two major roads, two multi-purpose paths, two parking lots, a ferry dock, and a lift station.							

The work will enhance resiliency to storms and protect assets. In New Jersey's Sandy Hook Unit, the project will replace the Chapel Bulkhead, including deteriorated storm inlets and sidewalk. These critical features protect vital assets in the park adaptive use leasing program, and supporting infrastructure. In New York's Jamaica Bay Unit, the project will repair the Beach Channel Drive Seawall, drainage, and adjacent trail. The replacement of the tongue and groove sheathing on the backside of the seawall and replacement of foundation will prevent washouts. The seawalls at Sandy Hook are primary park assets and protect critical Sandy Hook infrastructure and historic structures within a National Landmark District. Replacement of these seawalls will provide protection for 40 years.

The Riis Park Seawall at Jamaica Bay is a primary park asset and protects critical infrastructure including a major city thoroughfare and a 9,000 car parking lot adjacent to a heavily used park beach site. Rehabilitation of this seawall will extend the life of the seawall by a minimum of 25 years.

## Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.2 Leverage Funding/Pursue Partnering Opportunities
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues

## **Investment Strategy (IS):**

• The project addresses deferred maintenance on major infrastructure that protects assets from storm and high tidal damage. The infrastructure does not typically require regular maintenance. Therefore, the repair of these structures will not increase or decrease maintenance operations cost.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure of any of the seawalls would subject all assets in the vicinity to storm surge and tidal water damage.

<b>Ranking</b>	Categories:			
FCI/API	(40%)	FCI <u>0.48</u>	API <u>84.00</u>	Score = 40.00
SB	(20%)			Score = 20.00
IS	(20%)			Score = 20.00
CFA	(20%)			Score = 2.20
Combined	l ranking facto	rs = (.40  x API/FCI)	score) + $(.20 \text{ x SB})$	score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)

Capital Asset Planning       Exhibit 300 Analysis Required: Yes       Total Project Score: 82.20         VE Study:       Scheduled_9/21_Completed       9/21_Completed						
	Pro	ject Costs a	and Status			
<b><u>Project Cost Estimate</u></b> (this PDS):	\$	%	<b><u>Project Funding Histor</u></b> Appropriated to Date:	<u>v (</u> entire project) \$	): 0	
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$28,287,497	100	Formulated in FY21 Bud	0	28,287,497	
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 0	0	Future Funding to Compl		0	
Total:	\$28,287,497	100	Total:	\$	28,287,497	
Class of Estimate:       C         Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21       Project         Dates:       Sch'd       Actual       Project			Planning and Design Funds: \$sLegacy Restoration FundPlanning Funds Received in FY21:*\$ 6,219,237Design Funds Received in FY21:*\$ 2,006,205*These amounts for planning and design are included in the total formulated to the FY21 budget on this project data sheet.et Data SheetDOI Approved:			
Construction Award/Start: FY21 Project Complete: FY22	`	Prepareo	l/Last Updated: 1/21	Yes		
	Annual Oper	ations & N	Iaintenance Costs \$			
Current: \$22,000	Projected	l: \$22,000	Net Change: \$0			
The annual O&M requirement repre recurring maintenance activities. Aft unscheduled emergency and correct scheduled maintenance is not expect analysis for O&M requirement chan	er assets are bro ve maintenance ed to change. At	ought up to needed due this time, ti	a state of good repair there to deterioration, but the and he NPS does not have specifi	will likely be a r nual O&M requi ic figures and su	eduction in irement for	

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet			Total Project Score/Ranking:			
			Planned Funding FY: 2021 \$8,781,055			
	J		Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund			
	<b>D</b> 111 <b>D</b> 1111 (14	Project Identific				
ř.	tate Presidio Building 643					
	#N018, PMIS #215452		Name: Golden Gate National Recrea			
Region/Area/District:	California – Great Basin	Ŭ	District: CA12 State:	CA		
		Project Justifica				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:			
35600100	110750	55	1.00			
maintenance operation	s and address safety issue	s such as structural	dio Building 643 to provide space f upgrades and hazardous material ab	atement.		
structural upgrades; re and fire protection sys provide space for offic	pair/replace the roof, wind tems. The project will resu	lows, and doors; and ult in a code-compli echanics shops; secu	; abate hazardous materials; install s d provide upgraded mechanical, elec ant, accessible and sustainable facili ired storage of NPS equipment and ns.	ctrical, plumbing ity. This will		
	-	8				
<ul> <li>Scope of Benefits (SB</li> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> </ul>	DA Accessibility					
	Poorest FCI Facilities					
	Eliminate Deferred Mainte	enance				
	unding / Pursue Partnering					
• 3.1 Address Sa		0 11				
	ployees / Improve Retenti	on				
4.1 Modernize						
<ul> <li>previous NPS i</li> <li>The park's Sou operational ine under the jurise PE-1907 will b</li> </ul>	investments in Presidio Bu othern District facility staff fficiencies, and reduce the diction of the Presidio Tru	ailding 102, and \$2. f are currently locate e NPS' footprint. Of ast and will be transf of the Farallones Na	.1 million reimbursement from the F 3 million in park leasing revenue ed in six Presidio buildings. This pr 5 the six buildings, buildings PE-122 ferred back to the Presidio Trust upor tional Marine Sanctuary, and the rer 88).	oject will resolve 7 and PE-1233 are n project completion		
	te this project means that I		43 will have to be vacated. The build or light storage (current use).	ling is actively		
The park Facility are not rehabilitate equipment. Maint	Management-South Distri ed, resulting in increased r	ct operations will co naintenance costs a a permanent locatio	on tinue to be located in multiple sm nd inefficient operations from scatte on with adequate, code-compliant, a	red personnel and		
Presidio Trust and	l the Gulf of Farallones Na		7, PE-1233, and PE-1907, deferring tuary for these structures.	the plans of the		
Ranking Categories FCI/API (40%)		API <u>55.00</u>	Score = 38.40			
SB (20%)	1 C1 <u>1.00</u> P	11 1 <u>JJ.00</u>	Score = $9.80$			
IS (20%)			Score = $20.00$			
CFA (20%)			Score = 4.01			

Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Ar			Total Project Score: 72.21			
VE Study: Scheduled <u>5/2017</u>	Completed 1					
	Pro	ject Costs a	and Status			
Project Cost Estimate(this PDS):	\$	%	Project Funding History (entire project):			
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 8,227,875         94         Appropriated to Date:         \$ 980,647					
Capital Improvement Work:	503,179	6	Appropriated to Date (ONPS FY19): 3,719,066			
Total:	8,781,055	100	Formulated in FY 21 Budget: \$ 8,781,055			
			Future Funding to Complete Project: \$			
			Total: \$ 13,480,768			
Class of Estimate: B Planning and Design Funds: \$s						
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21 <i>Legacy Restoration Fund</i>						
Planning Funds Received in FY21:* \$						
Design Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> :* \$ 0						
			Other Fund Sources (prior years)			
			Planning Funds Received FY15: \$ 624,894			
			Design Funds Received FY20: \$ 355,753			
			*These amounts for planning and design are included in			
			the total formulated to the FY21 budget on this project			
			data sheet.			
Dates: Sch'd	Actual	Project	Data Sheet DOI Approved:			
Construction Award/Start: FY21/Q4	1 /	Preparec	d/Last Updated: 1/21 Yes			
Project Complete: FY23/Q <sup>2</sup>	1	_				
	Annual Oper	ations & N	Iaintenance Costs \$			
Current: \$96,000 Projected: \$96,000 Net Change: \$0			Net Change: \$0			
The annual O&M requirement represent	ts industry st	andard mod	deled requirements for operational, preventative, and			
recurring maintenance activities. After	assets are bro	ought up to	a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in			
			to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for			
scheduled maintenance is not expected	to change. At	this time, th	he NPS does not have specific figures and supporting			
analysis for O&M requirement changes	due to the in	pact of mo	dernization work included in projects.			

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR DEFERRED MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE				l Project Score/Ranking:		23.20	
	Project Data Sheet			ned Funding FY: 2021		\$20,223,010	
Toject	Data Sheet		Fundin	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund			
-		U U	Identifica				
Project Title: Rehabilitate	and Preserve Historic Po	owerhous	se Buildin	g For Future Use			
Project Number: DOI #N0	19, PMIS #293891A			ame: Grand Canyo	n National I	Park	
Region/Area/District: Low	er Colorado Basin	Cong	ressional	District: AZ01	S	tate: AZ	
		Project	Justifica	tion			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:			FCI-Before	2:	
35500300	34578	75			1.0		
40710300	99678	77			0.28		
Investment Strategy (IS): The Powerhouse Building is building to the Grand Canyo investment	rest FCI Facilities inate Deferred Mainten listed on the National Ro n Village National Histo	ance egister of	Historic I	Places as a national h	historic land	mark and is a contributing	
Consequences of Failure Failure to address the defic accelerated deterioration o	eiencies associated with				ling will res	ult in the continued and	
Ranking Categories:FCI/API(40%)SB(20%)IS(20%)CFA(20%)Combined ranking factor		e) + (.20	x SB scor	Sec Sec Sec	bre = 12.91 bre = 2.93 bre = 7.00 bre = 0.36 $bre + (.20 \times 0)$	CFA score)	
Capital Asset Planning VE Study: Scheduled _FY2	•	luired: Y Complete		<u>Total Project Sc</u>	23.20		
	Р	roject C	osts and	Status			
Project Cost Estimate(thi Deferred Maintenance Wo Capital Improvement Wor	rk: \$17,594,01	1	<b>%</b> 87 13 100	<u>Project Funding</u> Appropriated to Formulated in F Budget:	Date:	entire project): \$ \$ 20,223,010	

Total:				Future Funding to Complete Project: Total:	\$ \$	20,22	23,010
Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/2	21		P	egacy Restoration Fund lanning Funds Received in FY esign Funds Received in FY2		\$ 1,73 \$ 1,44	
			O P D *'	<i>ther Fund Sources (prior year</i> lanning Funds Received: resign Funds Received: These amounts for planning an the total formulated to the Fy roject data sheet.	rs) nd desig	\$ \$ gn are in	0 0 cluded
Dates: Construction Award/Start: Project Complete:	<b>Sch'd</b> <u>FY22/Q4</u> FY24/Q3	<b>Actual</b> /		Data Sheet I/Last Updated: 03/21	<u>DOI</u> YES	Approv	ed:
Current: \$ 2.256.310	<b>1</b>	I Operations		ance Costs \$			

The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE			Total Project Score/Rank	85.30	
	Data Sheet		Planned Funding FY: 2021 \$33,66		
TOJEC	Data Sheet		Funding Source: Legacy	Restoration Fu	und - Transportation
	]	Project Id	entification		
Project Title: Foothills Part		-			
Project Number: DOI #N02			cility Name: Great Smoky		
Region/Area/District: Sout	h Atlantic - Gulf	Congre	ssional District: TN02	Sta	ate: TN
		Project J	ustification		
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Before:	
40760100	231708	88		0.39	
40760100	57694	88		0.21	
reclamation or a complete r The road rehabilitation will reconstruction and repointi will include removing and overlaying or reconstructin replacing regulatory and N meet federal accessibility g <u>Scope of Benefits (SB):</u> • 1.1 Restore & Prote	include pullouts and pa ng of stone masonry bri resetting stone curb, rep g paved waterways, stat PS signs, and constructi	arking area dge parapo lacing/rep pilizing an ng ramps	as, replacing steel backed et walls and the walls alon airing of the drainage stru d reseeding the shoulder, with curb cuts to provide a	timber guardra g Look Rock ctures, stabiliz installing pavo	ail, and repair, Overlook.Other work zing roadside ditches, ement markings,
<ul> <li>3.1 Address Safety</li> <li>Investment Strategy (IS):</li> <li>A full depth rehability investments, include</li> </ul>	rest FCI Facilities inate Deferred Maintena Issues itation of the roadway v ing \$15 million from the	vill extend e State of '	the life of the road 20-30 Tennessee and \$10 million the U.S. Department of T	n Transportati	on Investment
Consequences of FailureThe paved surface is experpotholes. Deteriorating roacontribute to unsafe drivingthe hazards and improve saRanking Categories:FCI/API (40%)SB (20%)IS (20%)CFA (20%)	iencing wear along the e dway conditions, in add g conditions for Park vis fety for park visitors and FCI <u>0.29</u> AP	ition to ex itors and e d employe I <u>88.00</u>	treme weather conditions, employees. The work prop ses. Sc Sc Sc Sc	such as snow posed in this p ore = $40.00$ ore = $20.00$ ore = $20.00$ ore = $5.30$	r, ice, and fog, roject would reduce
Combined ranking factors <u>Capital Asset Planning</u> E:	chibit 300 Analysis Req	<i>,</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · ·	<i>,</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	A score)
VE Study: Scheduled <u>4/2</u>	Completed				
	P	roject Cos	sts and Status		
Project Cost Estimate(thi Deferred Maintenance Wor Capital Improvement Worl Total:	k: \$ 33,660,000	0 0	Project Funding Hi Appropriated to Date Formulated in FY 21 Future Funding to C Total:	e: Budget:	\$ 0 \$ 33,660,000

Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Design Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> :* \$ 2,550,000		510,000 2,550,000			
				* These amounts for planning and design are included in the total formulated to the FY 2021 budget on this project data sheet.				
Dates:	Sch'd	Actual	Projec	ct Data S	heet	DOI Ap	prove	ed:
Construction Award/Start:	FY21/Q4	/	Prepare	ed/Last U	pdated: 1/21	Yes		
Project Complete:	FY23/Q3		_		-			
	An	nual Oper	ations & ]	Mainten	ance Costs \$			
Current: \$255,000		Projected	: \$255,00	0	Net Change: \$0			
Current: \$255,000Projected: \$255,000Net Change: \$0The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.								

	Total Project Score/Ranking:	54.20
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$28,485,400
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund - Transportation	
Proje	ect Identification	
Project Title: Repair and Improve the Moose - Wilson R	Road	
Project Number: DO #N021, PMIS #312456 Ut	nit/Facility Name: Grand Teton National Par	k

Region/Area/District: Upper Colorado Basin		Congressional District: WYAL		State: WY
		Project Justification		
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Be	fore:
40660100	115399	32	0.90	
40660100	36067	46	1.00	
40710300	16036	30	1.00	
40710900	93630	42	0.87	
40751000	95001	29	0.08	
40751100	00001639	56	0.20	
40760200	35920	60	0.84	
40760200	4330	80	0.75	

Project Description: This project involves two phases to improve the safety and visitor experience along the Moose-Wilson Road. The Moose-Wilson Corridor serves as the primary access route to several key recreational destinations. The narrow, winding road provides access to the south end of Grand Teton National Park and a rustic, slow-driving experience for visitors looking for exceptional scenery and wildlife viewing opportunities. This project will address deferred maintenance issues and add capacity to provide high-quality visitor opportunities while protecting park resources. The project will include character defining elements to preserve the slow speed and numerous opportunities for wildlife and scenery viewing.

Phase I incudes rehabilitation and expansion of Granite Entrance Station, construction of a new, safer pathway connection at the south end, paving of the gravel roadway section, repair of the existing paved segments between Granite Entrance and the Laurance Rockefeller Preserve, repair and improvement of two trailheads and associated parking and improved visitor information signs/systems.

Phase II includes repair of the Death Canyon access road, repair/reconfiguration/improvement of the Death Canyon trailhead parking, repair of the Death Canyon Junction trailhead parking area, re-alignment of the north section of the roadway, improvements to the new intersection and bicycle transition at the north end, and final landscape/reclamation efforts.

The road's narrow and winding character coupled with its alignment between a steep hillside, wetlands, and thick cover creates inherent risks for motor vehicles and pedestrians utilizing the roadway. These segments of roadway were never constructed to any design standard, suffering significant frost heaving and drainage issues-all of which contribute to the poor condition of the roadway. The drainage issues continually degrade the roadway and require constant maintenance to minimize the heaves and potholes. Maintenance is becoming inefficient because the subgrade material impacts the upper surface of the roadway. Repair will include improving drainage structures and removal of poor subgrade.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

Investment Strategy (IS): The project will improve the safety and visitor experience by bringing the road back into good condition. The project's realignment of the road's north end will improve fee collection of Moose Wilson Corridor.

The project will extend the life of the road by another 20 to 30 years and will reduce the park's corrective repair costs.

'washboard' driving surfaces. As a result, driving visit potholes and potential damage to their vehicle. This c between other drivers, road conditions, wildlife, pede	itors of an be a strians ly, there	on the unpaved section causes extensive potholes and often swerve or cross into the opposite lane in order to avoid a substantial safety risk, as drivers must balance their attention s and bicyclists. The current road conditions present even more are no established pullouts or room for vehicles to get off the estrians move about in the roadway to view wildlife.					
Ranking Categories:FCI/API $(40\%)$ FCI $\underline{0.547}$ API $\underline{4}$ SB $(20\%)$ IS $(20\%)$ CFA $(20\%)$ Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API/FCI score}) + 1000 \text{ score}$	- (.20 x						
<u>Capital Asset Planning</u> Exhibit 300 Analysis Require VE Study: Scheduled <u>5/19</u> Completed <u>5/19</u>	Capital Asset PlanningExhibit 300 Analysis Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 5/19Total Project Score: 54.20						
Proj	ect Co	osts and Status					
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):\$Deferred Maintenance Work :\$16,475,129Capital Improvement Work:\$12,010,272Total:\$28,485,400Class of Estimate: CEstimate Escalated to FY: 10/21	% 58 42 100	Appropriated to Date:\$ 381,399Formulated in FY 21 Budget:\$ 28,485,400					
		Planning Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> :* \$ 633,000 Design Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> :* \$ 3,585,000 *These amounts for planning and design are included in the total formulated to the FY21 budget on this project data sheet.					
Dates:Sch'dActualConstruction Award/Start:FY21/Q1/Project Complete:FY24/Q4/		pared/Last Updated: 01/21 DOI Approved: Yes					
Annual Opera	ations a	s & Maintenance Costs \$					
Current: \$798,000 Projected	: \$798,	3,000 Net Change: \$0					
recurring maintenance activities. After assets are bro unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance	ught up needed this tim	d modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in d due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for me, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting of modernization work included in projects.					

NATIC	NAL PARK SERVICE		Total Project Score/Ranking	g:	82.30	
	Project Data Sheet		Planned Funding FY: 2021		\$6,978,974	
			Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund			
		ů.	Identification			
<i>v</i> 1	e the Colter Bay Main Wast			101		
9	I #N022, PMIS #248595		Facility Name: Grand Teton Na		11717	
Region/Area/District	: Upper Colorado Basin		ressional District: WYAL	State	e: WY	
		API:	Justification	CL Defense		
DOI Asset Code 35500200	FRPP Unique Id# 236743	88		CI-Before:		
35500200	60563	88		0.00 0.96		
40710900	4184	88		0.96 0.46		
capacity for approxim The existing 6-inch of The system serves of	mately 25,000 gallons. The cast iron pipe was installed i ver 2.1 million visitors annu	project wil in the 1960 ally. The	includes the construction of a 1 l also replace the pipe from the s and has reached the end of it project also protects water reso ding leaks, spills, and clean-up	e lift station to ts serviceable ources by redu	o the sewage lagoon. life. ucing the risk of raw	
Scope of Benefits (S			ecreation are safe from contam			
2.1 Reduce o     4.1 Moderniz     Investment Strategy require resources to o     completion, the facil	r Eliminate Deferred Mainte e Infrastructure <u>y (IS):</u> The new lift station v clean up. Each overflow eve ities and critical systems sho	will signific ent can cost ould remain	cantly reduce the risks of overf up to \$50,000 in direct clean- within their life cycle and sho	up costs. Upo	on project	
2.1 Reduce o     4.1 Moderniz  Investment Strategy require resources to a completion, the facil rehabilitation or repla	r Eliminate Deferred Mainte re Infrastructure ((IS): The new lift station v clean up. Each overflow eve ities and critical systems sho acement for the next 15 to 2	will signific ent can cost ould remain 0 years.	up to \$50,000 in direct clean- a within their life cycle and sho	up costs. Upc ould not requi	on project ire major	
2.1 Reduce o     4.1 Moderniz     4.1 Moderniz     Investment Strategy require resources to o     completion, the facil rehabilitation or repla     Consequences of Fa experience failures s worsened by two hig will continue to drain contaminate Jackson	r Eliminate Deferred Mainte te Infrastructure <b>y (IS):</b> The new lift station we clean up. Each overflow eve ities and critical systems sho acement for the next 15 to 2 <b>ilure to Act (CFA):</b> The sy oon. The original cast iron p h points in the line where co n park maintenance resource Lake, the park's most-used	will signific ent can cost ould remain 0 years. ystem is ago pipe will co prosive hy es. They may boat ramp	up to \$50,000 in direct clean-	up costs. Upc ould not requine n of the mater k of breaking. es. Overflows et costs. Shou he cleanup eff	on project ire major tals, is likely to . This risk is at the pump station .ld a spill fort. Closures will	
2.1 Reduce o     4.1 Moderniz     4.1 Moderniz     Investment Strategy require resources to a     completion, the facil rehabilitation or repla     Consequences of Fa     experience failures so     worsened by two hig     will continue to drain     contaminate Jackson     negatively impact the     FCI/API (40%)     SB (20%)     IS (20%)     CFA (20%)	r Eliminate Deferred Mainte te Infrastructure <b>v (IS):</b> The new lift station velocities and critical systems sho accement for the next 15 to 2 <b>tilure to Act (CFA):</b> The sy poon. The original cast iron p h points in the line where con n park maintenance resource Lake, the park's most-used e visitor experience, and cor <b>es:</b> FCI <u>0.46</u> A	will signific ent can cost ould remain 0 years. estem is ago pipe will co prosive hy es. They ma boat ramp ntamination	ing and, based on the condition number of the second secon	tup costs. Upto ould not require n of the mater k of breaking. es. Overflows et costs. Shou he cleanup eff k and harms t e = 39.41 e = 20.00 e = 20.00 e = 2.89	on project ire major rials, is likely to . This risk is at the pump station ld a spill fort. Closures will the environment.	
2.1 Reduce o     4.1 Moderniz     4.1 Moderniz     1.1 Moderniz     1	r Eliminate Deferred Mainte te Infrastructure <b>v (IS):</b> The new lift station velocities and critical systems sho accement for the next 15 to 2 <b>tilure to Act (CFA):</b> The sy poon. The original cast iron p h points in the line where con n park maintenance resource Lake, the park's most-used e visitor experience, and cor <b>es:</b> FCI <u>0.46</u> A	will signific ent can cost ould remain 0 years. vstem is agi oipe will co prosive hy es. They ma boat ramp ntamination API <u>88.00</u> pre) + (.20	ing and, based on the condition number of the state of th	tup costs. Upto ould not require n of the mater k of breaking. es. Overflows et costs. Shou he cleanup eff k and harms t e = 39.41 e = 20.00 e = 20.00 e = 2.89 + (.20 x CFA	on project ire major rials, is likely to . This risk is at the pump station ld a spill fort. Closures will the environment.	
2.1 Reduce o     4.1 Moderniz     4.1 Moderniz     Investment Strategy require resources to a     completion, the facil rehabilitation or repla     Consequences of Fa     experience failures so     worsened by two hig     will continue to drain     contaminate Jackson     negatively impact the     FCI/API (40%)     SB (20%)     IS (20%)     CFA (20%)     Combined ranking     Capital Asset Plann	r Eliminate Deferred Mainte te Infrastructure <b>y (IS):</b> The new lift station velean up. Each overflow even ities and critical systems sho acement for the next 15 to 2 <b>iture to Act (CFA):</b> The sy poon. The original cast iron p h points in the line where con n park maintenance resource Lake, the park's most-used e visitor experience, and cor <b>es:</b> FCI <u>0.46</u> A factors = (.40 x API/FCI scotting Exhibit 300 Analysis R- aled <u>4/2021</u> Completed	will signific ent can cost ould remain 0 years. vstem is agi oipe will co prosive hy es. They may boat ramp ntamination API <u>88.00</u> ore) + (.20 equired: N	ing and, based on the condition number of the state of th	tup costs. Upto ould not require n of the mater k of breaking. es. Overflows et costs. Shou he cleanup eff k and harms t e = 39.41 e = 20.00 e = 20.00 e = 2.89 + (.20 x CFA	on project ire major rials, is likely to . This risk is at the pump station ld a spill fort. Closures will the environment.	
2.1 Reduce o     4.1 Moderniz     1.1 Moderniz     1	r Eliminate Deferred Mainte te Infrastructure <b>v (IS):</b> The new lift station velocities and critical systems sho acement for the next 15 to 2 <b>ilure to Act (CFA):</b> The sy poon. The original cast iron p h points in the line where con n park maintenance resource Lake, the park's most-used e visitor experience, and cor <b>es:</b> FCI <u>0.46</u> A factors = (.40 x API/FCI scotting Exhibit 300 Analysis R- aled <u>4/2021</u> Completed <b>ite</b> (this PDS): ce Work : \$ 6,236,0	will signific ent can cost ould remain 0 years. ystem is agi pipe will co prosive hy ex. They may boat ramp ntamination API <u>88.00</u> ore) + (.20 equired: N <b>Project C</b> <b>\$</b> % 056 89 018 11	ing and, based on the condition ntinue corroding and has a risk drogen sulfide gas accumulate ay also have significant indirect would be closed throughout th of the lake puts visitors at risk Score Score Score x SB score) + (.20 x IS score) o <u>Total Project Score:</u> 82.3 osts and Status <u>Project Funding Histor</u> Appropriated to Date: Formulated in FY 21_Bu	tup costs. Upto ould not require n of the mater k of breaking. es. Overflows et costs. Shou he cleanup eff k and harms t e = 39.41 e = 20.00 e = 20.00 e = 2.89 + (.20  x CFA) 30 <b>ry</b> (entire projudget:	on project ire major rials, is likely to . This risk is at the pump station ld a spill fort. Closures will the environment.	

Class of Estimate: C			ng and Design Funds	s: \$ <u>s</u>		
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21		Legacy Restoration Fund				100.000
			g Funds Received in		\$	100,000
			Funds Received in <b>F</b>	Y21:*	\$	810,000
		Other F	und Sources (prior y	ears)		
		Plannin	g Funds Received FY	20:	\$	236,813
				0:	\$	0
			amounts for plannin formulated to the FY set.			
Dates: Sch'd Act	tual <u>Proj</u>	ect Data S	heet	DOI Ar	)prov	ed:
Construction Award/Start: FY21/Q4 /	Prepa	ared/Last U	Jpdated: 01/21	Yes		
Project Complete: FY23/Q1 /	<b>1</b>		1			
	_					
Annual	Operations &	& Mainter	ance Costs \$			
Current: \$67,000 Pro	ojected: \$67,00	00	Net Change: \$0			
The annual O&M requirement represents indu recurring maintenance activities. After assets a unscheduled emergency and corrective mainten scheduled maintenance is not expected to chan analysis for O&M requirement changes due to	to a state due to dete e, the NPS	of good repair there rioration, but the and does not have specifi	will likely i nual O&M c figures a	be a ro requi	eduction in rement for	

Projec		Project Identification	021	91.40 \$207,800,000 on Fund - Transportation
, <u>,</u>	0	vay North Section Rehabilitation	1	( 'ID I
Project Number: DOI #N0 Region/Area/District: Nor Appalachian		Unit/Facility Name: George Washington Memory         Congressional District: VA08		State: VA
		Project Justification		
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Be	fore:
40760100	26831	90	0.44	
40760100	104215	90	0.52	
40760500	27027	90	0.21	
40760500	27021	90	0.24	
40760500	27020	90	0.19	
40760500	27018	90	0.40	
40760500	27017	90	1.00	
40760500	27025	67	0.77	
40760500	27022	90	0.17	
40760500	27024	90	1.00	
40760500	27023	90	0.25	
40760500	27026	90	0.15	
40760500	27019	90	0.39	

**Project Description:** This project will comprehensively rehabilitate and repair a 7.6-mile section of the George Washington Memorial Parkway (GWMP) from Spout Run to Interstate 495 (I-495)/Capital Beltway. Completion of the GWMP North Section Rehabilitation Project will address serious deterioration of the roadway and drainage system, complete structural bridge repairs, implement safety countermeasures, and improve travel time reliability. Rehabilitating the north section of the Parkway is needed to help preserve the historic Parkway for future generations, prevent emergency sinkhole events, enhance maintenance/enforcement operations, address erosion and safety concerns at drainage outfalls, and facilitate safe driving conditions.

Annual average daily traffic on this section of the Parkway is 71,000 daily, which translates to approximately 26 million users annually. The GWMP is part of the National Highway System and is a designated evacuation route for the nation's capital. The Parkway is located in a rapidly growing area of northern Virginia.

The work will correct roadway issues, enhancing safety and comfort. Improved road surfaces will also reduce vehicle wear and tear.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.2 Leverage Funding / Pursue Partnering Opportunities
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

- Regular scheduled maintenance will remain unchanged, however corrective and emergency maintenance is expected to decrease. As an example . the cost to repair a large sinkhole in 2019 was \$1.6 million and resulted in a single lane closure for five months.
- Corrective actions to improve drainage issues will significantly reduce the risk of future sinkholes and other impacts.

## **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

The rehabilitation of the north section of the Parkway is necessary to preserve the historic road for future generations,

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
improve the visitor experience, enhance maintenance/enfor	cement operations, address erosion and safety concerns at					
drainage outfalls, and facilitate safe driving conditions.						
The GWMP North Section Rehabilitation Project will also						
	lice data indicates that between 2008 and 2012, there were 686					
crashes reported along this section of the Parkway, including						
translates to 0.6 fatalities, 34.6 injuries, and 111.4 property	damage crashes annually.					
Ranking Categories:						
FCI/API (40%) FCI <u>0.42</u> API <u>88.23</u>	Score = 37.92					
SB (20%) Score = $20.00$						
IS (20%)	Score = 20.00					
CFA (20%)	Score = 13.48					
Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API/FCI score}) + (.20 \text{ x Score})$	B  score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)					
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Analysis Required: Ye	Total Project Score: 91.40					
VE Study: Scheduled: <u>1/21</u> Completed:						
Project Cost						
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS): \$ %	Project Funding History (entire project):					
Deferred Maintenance Work: \$ 185,627,456 89	Appropriated to Date: \$ 0					
Capital Improvement Work: \$ 22,172,544 11	Formulated in FY 21 Budget: \$ 207,800,000					
Total: \$ 207,800,000 100	Future Funding to Complete Project: \$ 0					
	Total: \$ 207,800,000					
Class of Estimate: C	Planning and Design Funds: \$s					
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21	Legacy Restoration Fund					
	Planning Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> :* \$ 5,435,000					
	Design Funds Received in FY21:* \$ 15,000,000					
	* These amounts for planning and design are included in					
	the total formulated to the FY 2021 budget on this project					
	data sheet.					
	Data Sheet     DOI Approved:       d/l act Undeted     01/21					
	d/Last Updated: 01/21 Yes					
Project Complete: FY26/Q1	Maintananas Casta S					
	Maintenance Costs \$					
Current: \$998,000 Projected: \$998,00						
The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard n						
recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up						
	unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for					
scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of n	the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting					

		Total Proj	ect Score/Ranking:	63.4		
	AL PARK SERVICE	Planned F	unding FY: 2021	\$22,019,000		
Pro	oject Data Sheet	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund				
		Project Identificatio	n			
Project Title: Rehabil	itate Mission Dependent HV	AC Systems and Imp	lement Energy Conserv	vation Measures		
Project Number: DOI 308821, 308822	#N024, PMIS #s 253054,			al Historical Park, Edgar 18 Kosciuszko National		
Region/Area/District: Appalachian	North Atlantic -	Congressional Dis	trict: PA01	State: PA		
		Project Justification	n			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Be	efore:		
35100000	83001	50	0.18			
35100000	83002	50	0.40			
35100000	26014	83	0.22			
35240100	26139	55	0.08			
35290100	26045	71	0.50			
35290100	25964	100	0.11			
35290100	25975	92	0.18			
35290100	25965	93	0.25			
35290100	25962	100	0.23			
35290100	25960	100	0.16			
35290100	83063	48	0.28			
35290100	83062	46	0.17			
35290100	51131	90	0.04			
35290100	25996	72	0.23			
35290100	25963	100	0.33			
35290100	25993	72	0.35			
35290100	26153	76	0.51			
35290300	86320	61	1.00			
35290300	26212	61	1.00			
35291000	26015	69	1.00			
35600100	26065	61	0.88			
40711000	82561	90	0.38			
40711200	26020	92	0.48			
35290100	26221	93	0.08			
35290100	26237	100	0.18			

**Project Description:** This project will replace failed and inefficient heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems at multiple assets across three parks, converting steam to natural gas heating at seven locations and providing HVAC upgrades at six locations. This will cut down on long term O&M costs as the park will convert from heating by hot water to natural gas.

This project improves several assets important to the commemoration of the 250th anniversary of our nation's founding, including Independence Hall, Congress Hall, Thaddeus Kosciuszko House, and Edgar Allan Poe House.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance

• 2.3 Reduce Annual Operating Co	sts				
4.1 Modernize Infrastructure					
This project will provide approxim	mate yearly utility cost sa	23 percent and energy cost by 51 percent. vings in the amount of \$750,000. 70s will be replaced, reducing the park's deferred			
<b>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):</b>					
heating, cooling and ventilation systems.	This includes temporary	ties will continue to be served by failed and inefficient rental HVAC units at the Free Quaker Meeting House e operational savings from reduced utility bills.			
Ranking Categories:FCI/API(40%)FCI 0.31	API <u>77.0</u>	Score = 35.5			
SB (20%)		Score = 6.6			
IS (20%) CFA (20%)		Score = $15.2$ Score = $6.2$			
	/FCI score) + (.20 x SB sc	score $- 0.2$ score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)			
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Ana VE Study: Scheduled 1/2022 Complete		<u>Total Project Score:</u> 63.4			
	Project Costs an	d Status			
Project Cost Estimate(this PDS):	\$%	Project Funding History (entire project):			
	2,618,000 57	Appropriated to Date: \$			
	9,401,000 43	Formulated in FY 21 Budget: \$ 22,019,00			
Total: \$ 2	22,019,000 100	Future Funding to Complete Project:\$Total:\$22,019,00			
Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21		Planning and Design Funds: \$s       \$ 22,019,00         Planning Funds Received in FY21:*       \$ 358,000         Design Funds Received in FY21:*       \$ 1,790,000			
*These amounts for planning and design are included in the total formulated to the FY21 budget on this project data sheet.					
Dates:Sch'dConstruction Award/Start:FY21/Q4Project Complete:FY23/Q1	Actual Project Da	ata Sheet     DOI Approved:       Last Updated: 1/21     Yes			
A	nnual Operations & Ma	intenance Costs \$			
Current: \$1,969,000	Projected: \$1,219,000	Net Change: -\$750,000			

		Total Pr	oject Score/Ranking:	66.40			
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet			Planned Funding FY: 2021 \$5,179,000				
TTUJ	ett Data Sheet	Funding	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund				
Project Identification							
Project Title: Relocate Callville Bay Water Intake Barge to Ensure Safe Drinking Water for Visitors & Concessioners							
Project Number: DOI #	N025, PMIS #254108		me: Lake Mead National Rec	reation Area			
Region/Area/District: L	ower Colorado Basin		,	tate: NV			
	-	Project Justificat					
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Befor	e:			
40710300	17990	77	0.17				
intake barge in order to transmittal lines; constr	improve access to drinkin acting a moored breakwat	g water for visitors. er; relocating an ele	I, this project will relocate the This will involve extending e ctrical transformer; replacing oving the existing service road	existing raw water an existing standby			
Relocation of the Callvi also reduce electric pow sustaining the park's fir	ver consumption by virtue	of updated and mor for the Callville Ba	er intermediate and costly bar e efficient components and ec y developed area. Project enha services.	uipment, as well as			
of 1,075 feet. The Augu the Lake Mead surface ensure the intake barge	st 2018 Bureau of Reclam elevation will reduce below would not become landloo intake barge is critical to	nation Operation Pla w 1,075 feet in the 1 cked, which would 1	y can provide drinking water to in for Colorado River System near future. Completion of this nake relocation difficult and to ability to continue providing	Reservoirs forecasts that s project by then would nuch more expensive.			
<ul> <li>1.3 Expand Rect</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or E</li> <li>2.2 Leverage Fu</li> <li>3.1 Address Safe</li> <li>4.1 Modernize In</li> </ul> Investment Strategy (I moving the intake barge at a cost ranging from \$ of Reclamations ability	otect High Visitation / Pu reation Opportunities and E liminate Deferred Mainter nding / Pursue Partnering ety Issues <u>nfrastructure</u> S): Relocating the Callvil . Each time the lake level 200,000 to \$400,000. Con to generate power at Hoov	Public Access nance Opportunities le Bay water treatm drops approximatel npletion of this proj- ver Dam at low wate	ent plant intake barge will sav y 10-20 feet the park needs to ect is a "one time" move that a er levels and will align with Se	move the intake barge aligns with the Bureau outhern Nevada Water			
reducing the cost of trea completion of this proje and relocation will beco	ting the water. Corrective ct. If water levels drop be me more expensive.	and emergency ma clow 1,075 feet, the	l improve water quality makin intenance repairs will be redu- barge will become landlocked	ced following I in its current location,			
<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):</u> Failure to complete this project will make the barge unable to serve as a reliable and sustainable supply of drinking water for visitors, the concessioner, and park employees at Callville Bay once the lake level drops below an elevation of 1,075 feet above sea level. The park would also be unable to sustain fire suppression capabilities for the surrounding visitor use areas. As the lake level falls, water quality will degrade. Failure to adequately maintain public water systems may result in significant fines (up to \$25,000 per day per violation) or closure of the water system. If the lake elevation continues to drop, the Callville Bay intake barge would become landlocked, resulting in increased relocation cost.							
Ranking Categories:FCI/API(40%)SB(20%)	FCI <u>0.17</u> AI	PI <u>77.00</u>	Score = 40.00 Score = 11.45				

IS (20%) CFA (20%)			Score = $14.95$ Score = $0.00$		
	CI score) +	- (.20 x SI	3  score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)		
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Analy VE Study: Scheduled: 12/20 Completed		ed: No	Total Project Score: 66.40		
Project Costs and Status					
Project Cost Estimate(this PDS): Deferred Maintenance Work : \$ 3,-	<b>\$</b> 448,557	<b>%</b> 67	Project Funding History(entire project):Appropriated to Date:\$Formulated in FY21 Budget:\$	150,782 5,179,000	
	730,443	33	Future Funding to Complete Project: \$	125,000	
	179,000	100	Total: \$	5,454,782	
Class of Estimate: A Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Planning and Design Funds: \$s         Legacy Restoration Fund         Planning Funds Received in FY21:*         Design Funds Received in FY21:* <i>Other Fund Sources (prior years)</i> Planning Funds Received:         S         Design Funds Received:         \$         Design Funds Received:         \$         Planning Funds Received:         \$         Posign Funds Received FY20, 21:         \$         *These amounts for planning and design are indicated to the FY21 budget on this data sheet.		
Dates:Sch'dConstruction Award/Start:FY21Q4Project Complete:FY23Q1	Actual		Data SheetDOI Approved:ed/Last Updated:01/21Yes		
Ann	ual Opera	ations & I	Maintenance Costs \$		
Current: \$223,000	Projected:	\$223,000	) Net Change: \$0		
recurring maintenance activities. After asse unscheduled emergency and corrective main	ts are brown tenance r hange. At t	ught up to needed du this time,	odeled requirements for operational, preventative o a state of good repair there will likely be a redu e to deterioration, but the annual O&M requiren the NPS does not have specific figures and suppo odernization work included in projects.	ction in tent for	

ΝΑΤΙΩΝ	AL PARK SERVICE	Total Proje	ect Score/Ranking:	55.00
	ject Data Sheet	Planned Fu	inding FY: 2021	\$4,326,361
FIG	oject Data Sheet	Funding So	ource: Legacy Restoratio	n Fund
		Project Identification	n	
Project Title: Demolis	h Lake Mead Lodge Resort	Complex and Restore	Area to Native Conditio	n
Project Number: DOI	#N026, PMIS #252139A	Unit/Facility Name	e: Lake Mead National R	ecreation Area
Region/Area/District:	Lower Colorado Basin	Congressional Dist	trict: NV03	State: NV
		Project Justification	1	
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Bef	fore:
0	254446	55	0.00	
0	254445	55	0.00	
35291700	84458	30	0.93	
35291700	84460	30	0.94	
35291700	225698	30	0.94	
35291700	84459	30	0.94	
40660100	111478	35	0.91	
40710300	17910	77	0.18	
40710900	17912	88	0.39	
40750300	225697	35	0.92	
40760100	42187	55	0.23	

**Project Description:** This project will demolish four buildings and all associated site features, including sidewalks, park areas, roads, and non-native plantings. The structures are an abandoned concession asset. The project includes demolition of the lodge and other surrounding resort structures, as well as removal of demolition debris. The project will restore the natural scenic features of the park and remove potentially hazardous abandoned structures.

In addition to eliminating deferred maintenance, demolition and removal of these facilities and associated landscape features will mitigate hazards and improve safety compliance. The historical development plan of usage indicated the structures were past their useful life and called for demolition.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.3 Reduce Annual Operating Costs
- 2.4 Remove, Replace, or Dispose of Assets
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

- Completion of this project removes unstable non-mission-critical assets and eliminates an attractive nuisance. The project will reduce ongoing operational and maintenance costs associated with law enforcement having to periodically clear the buildings and facility maintenance staff having to re-secure the buildings to prevent unauthorized entry. Restoration of the landscape using native desert vegetation will not increase operational and maintenance costs as the landscape will not have any long-term irrigation or vegetation management needs.
- Demolition of these structures eliminates roughly \$7.9 million of deferred maintenance.

#### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

A failure to act will result in the buildings remaining a burden and safety concern for maintenance and law enforcement staff. Hazardous materials will remain onsite.

<b>Ranking</b>	<u>Categories:</u>					
FCI/API	(40%)	FCI <u>0.45</u>	API <u>47.27</u>	Score = 38.30		
SB	(20%)			Score = 1.27		
IS	(20%)			Score = 10.20		
CFA	(20%)			Score = 5.23		
Combined ranking factors = (.40 x API/FCI score) + (.20 x SB score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)						

Capital Asset PlanningExhibit 300 Analysis Required: No VE Study: Scheduled: 11/2020 Completed: 11/2020Total Project Score: 55.00							
Project Costs and Status							
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b> (this PDS):			Project Funding History (entire project	ct):			
Toject Cost Estimate (uns 1 D3).	\$	%	Appropriated to Date:	\$	0		
Deferred Maintenance Work:	\$ 302,845	7	Formulated in FY 21 Budget:	\$	4,326,361		
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 4,023,516	93	Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0		
Total:	\$ 4,326,361	100	Total:	\$	4,326,361		
Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Planning and Design Funds: \$sLegacy Restoration FundPlanning Funds Received in FY21:*Design Funds Received in FY21:** These amounts for planning and destoral formulated to the FY 2021 budge sheet.	0			
Dates:Sch'dConstruction Award/Start:FY210Project Complete:FY220	Q4 _/		ct Data SheetDOIared/Last Updated:01/21Yes	Approved	<u>.</u>		
	Annual Oper	ations &	& Maintenance Costs \$				
Current: \$676,000	Projected	1: \$0	Net Change: -\$676,000				

NATIONAL DADIZ SEDVICE	Total Project Score/Ranking:	78.90			
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$8,653,026			
Floject Data Sheet	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fu	ind			
Project Identification					

Project Title: Replace Mammoth Cave Hotel Roof						
Project Number: DOI #N0	27, PMIS #217837	Unit/Facility Name: Mammoth Cave National Park				
Region/Area/District: Nort Appalachian	h Atlantic -	Congres	Congressional District: KY02		State: KY	
Appalacillali		Project Ju	stification			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Bef	ore:	
35291800	49238	88		0.74		
<b>Project Description:</b> This			Cave Hotel roof protecti		going operation of the	
concession contract and in hallways will be reconfigu- the building and ensure that	nproving the visitor exper red. This project includes t it is environmentally an	the repair sthe repair d structur	e flat roof will be replace rs, replacements and upgr ally sustainable for the ne	d with a p ades need ext 40 yea	bitched roof and the interior led to protect the shell of rs.	
The Mammoth Cave Hotel, located at Mammoth Cave National Park, was constructed in 1965 and provides year-round accommodations and access to the Mammoth Cave National Park Visitor Center. Over the past few years, leaks in the flat roof have become frequent, resulting in damage to the hotel's interior. The flashing and roofing materials are over 25 years old and general weathering has made roofing materials brittle and prone to cracks that cause the leaks into the building.						
<ul> <li>Scope of Benefits (SB):</li> <li>1.1 Restore &amp; Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities</li> <li>1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility</li> <li>1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance</li> <li>2.2 Leverage Funding / Pursue Partnering Opportunities</li> <li>4.1 Modernize Infrastructure</li> </ul>						
Investment Strategy (IS): This project protects the ongoing operation of the concession contract. Replacing the current Mammoth Cave Hotel roof with a new, sloped roof will protect the building as a whole, correct existing drainage and debris issues, eliminate potentially damaging leaks and lessen the likelihood of moisture-related, costly building issues, such as mold growth and damage to electrical wiring. The leaks reduce the quality of facility operations, negatively affect the hotel's appearance, and inconvenience park visitors. Approximately 200,000 park visitors (40 percent of all park visitors) make use of the hotel, particularly cave visitors before or after their cave tour. Regular scheduled maintenance will remain unchanged, however corrective and emergency maintenance is expected to be reduced following rehabilitation of the roof.						
Consequences of Failure continue to deteriorate. Fa for both the park and the p	ilure of the Mammoth Ca				noth Cave Hotel will s of investment and revenue,	
Ranking Categories:           FCI/API         (40%)         FCI 0.74         API 88.00         Score = 40.00           SB         (20%)         Score = 18.84         Score = 20.00           CFA         (20%)         Score = 0.06         Score = 0.06           Combined ranking factors = (.40 x API/FCI score) + (.20 x SB score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)         Score = 0.06						
Capital Asset Planning E			<u>Total Project Scor</u>	<u>e:</u> 78.90		
VE Study: Scheduled <u>10/20/20</u> Completed <u>10/20/20</u>						
			ts and Status			
Project Cost Estimate(thi		%	Project Funding Histo	ory (entir		
Deferred Maintenance Wo		79 21	Appropriated to Date:	. 1	\$ 604,260 \$ (52,02)	
Capital Improvement Worl Total:	<u>x:</u> \$ 1,825,384 \$ 8,653,026	<u>21</u> 100	Formulated in FY21 B Future Funding to Con		\$ 8,653,026 ject: \$ 0	
	,,		Total:		\$ 9,257,286	

Class of Estimate: C				<u>Planning</u>	and Design Funds	\$ <u>\$</u>		
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/	/18			Legacy R	estoration Fund			
				Planning	Funds Received in F	Y21:*	\$	0
				0	unds Received in <b>FY</b>		\$	50,000
				Other Fu	nd Sources (prior ye	ars)		
				Planning	Funds Received FY	18:	\$	52,093
				Design F	unds Received FY18	8:	\$	552,167
				*These a	mounts for planning	and design a	are inclu	ided in the
				total form	nulated to the FY $202$	21 budget or	n this pr	oject data
				sheet.		-	-	5
Dates:	Sch'd	Actual	Proj	ect Data S	heet	DOI Apr	proved:	
Construction Award/Start:	FY21/Q3	/	Prepa	ared/Last U	pdated: 01/21	Yes		
Project Complete:	FY22/Q4		1 1					
Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$								
Current: \$74,000 Projected: \$74,000 Net Change: \$0								
The annual O&M requirement	The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and							
recurring maintenance activit	-					-		
unschodulad amanganan and						2		

unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

ΝΑΤΙΟΝ	AL DADIZ CEDVICE	Total Project Score	re/Ranking:	75.60
	NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet		Planned Funding FY: 2021	
III	oject Data Sheet	Funding Source:	Legacy Restoration	on Fund
		Project Identification		
Project Title: Rehabili	tate Ohanapecosh Campgro	ound and Replace Sewer Colle	ection System	
Project Number: DOI	#N028, PMIS #312439	Unit/Facility Name: Mou	nt Rainier Natior	al Park
Region/Area/District: Columbia – Pacific Northwest		Congressional District: WA08		State: WA
		Project Justification		
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Be	fore:
35240100	19779	55	0.88	
35240100	19770	77	0.47	
35290700	19685	63	0.57	
40710900	21076	80	0.77	
40750100	21119	71	0.45	
40750700	100792	88	0.69	
40760100	103486	63	0.13	

**Project Description:** This project will rehabilitate the Ohanapecosh campground and sewer collection lines, and rehabilitate and modernize the visitor service facility, where campground guests check in, to better serve nearly 100,000 annual visitors. The project will involve extensive work at the campsites, campgrounds, and to the sewer collection system.

Work on the campgrounds includes improving site drainage, re-grading and delineating campsites, realigning parking pads, repairing or replacing damaged fire grates and picnic tables, installation of bear-proof cabinets, and correction of safety hazards. The project will also convert five existing sites to meet Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards (ABAAS) requirements, converting two water stations with ABAAS fixtures, and remodeling one comfort station to meet ABAAS guidelines. Walkways and stairways will be repaired, and replacement campsites will be constructed to replace those lost due to floods and other resource impacts.

Work on the sewer lines includes treating the campground portion of the collection system with a cure-in-place lining, replacing manholes or coating manholes with a polyurea lining, as necessary, and disconnecting the old non-compliant A Loop septic from the collection system.

Work in the campground visitor service facility includes upgrading exhibits and building components, including ABAAS compliance and energy efficiency.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.4 Remove, Replace, or Dispose of Assets
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

- Regular scheduled maintenance will remain unchanged, however corrective and emergency maintenance due to defects in wastewater collection system is expected to be reduced.
- Maintenance is critical in preventing the campsites from deteriorating to the point where they would pose a significant hazard to the visiting public. Additionally, natural features around the campsites (such as trees, and streams) need to be protected to preserve the health of these natural areas. The work will protect the significant investment the National Park Service has in this campground.
- This investment protects an important visitor access point for the park. There are approximately 40,000-45,000 visitors per year who spend the night in this 199-campsite campground. The campground's visitor service facility, located adjacent to the campground, services nearly 100,000 visitors with recreation and safety information, exhibits, restrooms and a partner-run sales area.

<ul> <li>of the system as it is already has created problems t Failure to replace the collection system pipe in a tin services and park operations.</li> <li>The Campground will continue to suffer natural res delineation. Needed ABAAS requirements will not remain creating an unsatisfactory experience for vis</li> </ul>	has severely degraded. The issues associated with the condition hat if not dealt with will become more frequent and more severe. nely fashion could result in significant disruption in visitor ource damage with the lack of vegetation and campsite be met. Deferred maintenance of the campground and road will sitors. Campsites lost to flooding will not be replaced. t and culturally inaccurate information will not be replaced. Score = $36.85$ Score = $18.25$ Score = $20.00$ Score = $0.50$ x SB score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)			
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Analysis Required: N	o Total Project Score: 75.60			
VE Study: Scheduled <u>3/2021</u> Completed				
Project C	osts and Status			
Project Cost Estimate%Deferred Maintenance Work:\$ 2,665,754Capital Improvement Work:\$ 220,246Total:\$ 2,886,000	Appropriated to Date:\$0Formulated in FY21 Budget:\$2,886,000			
Class of Estimate: C       Legacy Restoration Fund         Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21       Planning Funds Received in FY21:* \$ 190,000         Design Funds Received in FY21:* \$ 211,000       *These amounts for planning and design are included in the total formulated to the FY21 budget on this project data sheet.				
Construction Award/Start:FY22/Q1 _/_PreProject Complete:FY24/Q1	oject Data Sheet epared/Last Updated: 01/21DOI Approved: Yes			
	s & Maintenance Costs \$			
Current: \$311,000 Projected: \$311				
	up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in d due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for me, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting			

NATIONAL BARK CERVICE	Total Project Score/Ranking:	72.60			
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$27,740,000			
Tojeet Data Sheet	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration F	und - Transportation			

		Project Ident	ification		
Project Title: Rehabilitate S	Stevens Canyon Rd MP	5-14			
Project Number: DOI #N02	29, PMIS #238992	Unit/Facilit	ty Name: Mount Raini	er Nationa	al Park
Region/Area/District: Colu Northwest	mbia – Pacific	Congressional District: WA08 State: WA			
		Project Justi	fication		
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Bef	ore:
40760100	20224	90		0.22	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project will repair and rehabilitate a portion of the Stevens Canyon Road, which serves as the sole east-west access across the park. This will be the final phase of rehabilitation with two five-mile segments previously completed. The roadway provides access to multiple high use visitation areas and attractions during the peak visitor season of June through October, with annual visitation to destinations such as Paradise exceeding 750,000. Structural and design deficiencies in the roadway are accelerating deterioration. The deficiencies include drainage problems, surface slumps, soft spots, pavement warping and cracking, narrow shoulders, deteriorating and ineffective historic stone masonry retaining and guard walls, and overly-steep, unprotected side slopes adjacent to the roadway. Project work will include removal and/or stabilization of roadway base, sub-base, shoulder and pavement surface, repair/replacement/repoint a portion of the historic stone masonry retaining/guard walls and stone veneering of existing exposed concrete guard walls, placement of reinforced rockery retaining walls to stabilize failing roadway fill sections,					
<ul> <li>drainage improvements, general slope stabilization/erosion repair, signage/striping, and revegetation.</li> <li>Scope of Benefits (SB): <ul> <li>1.1 Restore &amp; Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities</li> <li>1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance</li> <li>3.1 Address Safety Issues</li> <li>4.1 Modernize Infrastructure</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
• Extending the longe associated with a m	mplete rehabilitation of evity of the existing strue ajor reconstruction proje	cture is param ect. Mount Ra	nount to avoiding costly	y delays a ation park	nd access problems for the general population
· · ·	itan areas, and rehabilita				
• Rehabilitation of th	e roadway lengthens the	life span of t	he road for an estimate	ed 20-30 y	ears.
<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):</u> Failure to correct structural and design deficiencies will result in increased accidents as roadway deterioration escalates. Possible future catastrophic failure of this roadway (catastrophic failures concurred in 1991 and in 1997 near Bench Lake which caused extended one-lane closures) would incur significant expense, and increased threats to health and safety as traffic increased on other park roads. Closure would also have serious economic impacts to the park concessioner and gateway community businesses, as well as greatly inconveniencing the public and governing agencies. <b>Ranking Categories:</b>					
FCI/API(40%)SB(20%)IS(20%)CFA(20%)Combined ranking factors	s = (.40 x API/FCI score	, ``	Sca Sca score) + (.20 x IS scor	,	7 3
Capital Asset Planning Ex VF Study: Scheduled 9/			<b>Total Project Score</b>	<u>:</u> /2.60	

	Pro	ject Costs :	and Status		
Project Cost Estimate(this PDS):	\$	%	Project Funding History (entin	e project)	
Deferred Maintenance Work:	5 27,740,000	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$	208,336
Capital Improvement Work:	S 0	0	Formulated in FY21 Budget:	\$	27,740,000
Total:	5 27,740,000	100	Future Funding to Complete Pro	oject: \$	0
			Total:	\$	27,948,336
Class of Estimate: A			Planning and Design Funds: \$s		
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Legacy Restoration Fund		
			Planning Funds Received in FY	21: \$	0
			Design Funds Received in FY2	1: \$	0
			Other Fund Sources (prior year	(c)	
			Planning Funds Received <b>FY18</b>		83,021
			Design Funds Received FY18	. ¢ \$	125,315
				Ŷ	120,010
			*These amounts for planning and	design are	e included in
			the total formulated to the FY21		
			data sheet.	U	1 5
Dates: Sch'd	Actual	Project	Data Sheet DO	I Approve	ed:
Construction FY21/Q4	_/	Prepare	d/Last Updated: 01/21 Yes		
Award/Start:					
Project Complete: FY24/Q1					
	Annual Oper	ations & N	Iaintenance Costs \$		
Current: \$344,000 Projected: \$344,000 Net Change: \$0					
<i>The annual O&amp;M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and</i>					
recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in					
unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for					
scheduled maintenance is not expected	to change. At t	his time, th	e NPS does not have specific figure	s and supp	orting analysis
for O&M requirement changes due to t	he impact of m	odernizatio	n work included in projects.		

NATIONAL BADIZ SEDVICE	Total Project Score/Ranking:	77.80
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$2,090,000
Floject Data Sheet	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration F	und

#### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Pedestrian/Bicycle Path from Inlet Bridge to Virginia Ave NW (Kennedy Center Trail Reconstruction)

Project Number: DOI #N030, PMIS #215438	Unit/Facility Name: National Mall and Me	morial Parks	
Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian	Congressional District: DCAL	State: DC	
Project Justification			

	1 roject oustilication				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:		
40750300	14182	80	0.28		

**Project Description:** This project will overlay asphalt for the bike and pedestrian path from Inlet Bridge to Memorial Bridge along Ohio Drive, with sites in West Potomac Park. The work will improve the visitor experience for park visitors—particularly the hundreds of thousands of visitors who attend the Spring Cherry Blossom festival. This project will improve access to the West Potomac Park for bicyclists, persons with disabilities, and people with strollers.

As part of the project, the maintained landscape around the Belvedere will be modified and the existing paved Rock Creek Park Multi-Use Trail re-aligned across an area that was formerly a roadway. This portion of the project will resurface and widen the trail which will involve select removal and replacement of trees. In addition, existing asphalt pavers and concrete banding will be replaced with a continuous asphalt surface. Repairs will be completed on cracking and transverse cracks.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.2 Leverage Funding / Pursue Partnering Opportunities
- 2.4 Remove, Replace, or Dispose of Assets
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

### Investment Strategy (IS):

• This project is one component of a larger project that leverages Title 23 (transportation) funding to repave Potomac Parkway, construct a new pedestrian tunnel along the trail, and make accessibility and safety improvements to at-grade trail crossings.

<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA)</u>: Current walkway conditions include large segments of uplifted and eroded surface making it difficult for safe visitor travel. Changes in elevation along the path make it inaccessible to visitors with disabilities. Large cracks and vertical elevation changes at expansion joints cause tripping hazards and could lead to tort claims. A more comprehensive rehabilitation would ultimately be required in the future.

Ranking	Categories:			
FCI/API	(40%)	FCI <u>0.28</u>	API <u>80.00</u>	Score = 32.00
SB	(20%)			Score = 20.00
IS	(20%)			Score = 20.00
CFA	(20%)			Score = 5.80
Combined	l ranking fact	ors = (.40  x API/FCI)	score) + $(.20 \text{ x SH})$	(.20  x IS score) + (.20  x CFA score)
		Exhibit 300 Analysi 1/21 Completed: 1/2		Total Project Score: 77.80

Project Costs and Status						
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):		\$	%	Project Funding History (	entire project):	
Deferred Maintenance Work:	\$	1,871,386	90	Appropriated to Date:	1 5 /	\$ 105,000
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	218,614	10	Formulated in FY21 Bud	get:	\$ 2,090,000
Total:	\$	2,090,000	100	Future Funding to Comp	lete Project:	\$ 0
				Total:		\$2,195,000
<b><u>Class of Estimate:</u></b> A Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21				Planning and Design Fur Legacy Restoration Fund Planning Funds Received in Design Funds Received in	in <b>FY21</b> :* \$	0
				Design Funds Received in		0
Other Fund Sources (prior years)Planning Funds Received:\$0Design Funds Received:\$105,000				0 105,000		
* These amounts for planning and design are included in the total formulated to the FY 2021 budget on this project data sheet.						
Dates: Sch'	d	Actual	Project	Data Sheet	DOI Approve	ed:
Construction Award/Start: FY2 Project Complete: FY22	~	_/		/Last Updated: 1/21	Yes	
	A	nnual Oper	ations & M	aintenance Costs \$		
Current: \$27,300		Projected	: \$27,300	Net Change: \$0		
The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.						

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	98.00
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$3,772,866
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration F	und
Project Identification		

F

		Project Identin			
Project Title: Complete Jef		T			
Project Number: DOI #N0		Unit/Facility	Name: National Mal	l and Memorial	l Parks
Region/Area/District: North Atlantic -		Congressional District: DCAL State: DC		e: DC	
Appalachian		÷		2.000	
		Project Justific	cation		
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Before:	
40780300	20959	100		0.02	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project will complete restoration of the Jefferson Memorial exterior. Work will include cleansing of exterior surfaces to remove accumulated biofilm from the stylobate steps, front entry steps and the upper terrace wall. Work will also require that select masonry repairs be made to damaged and weathered stone, including crack repairs, spall repairs, patching, repointing of mortar joints, and replacement of sealant joints.					
• 1.4 Remediate Poor	inate Deferred Maintena		28		
<ul> <li>Regular scheduled maintenance will remain unchanged, however staff time will be reduced as maintaining temporary barricades and cleaning up falling debris will no longer be necessary.</li> <li>The project leverages an ongoing construction project by utilizing the skilled labor, equipment, scaffolding, and demonstrated methods to efficiently and successfully complete this work.</li> <li><u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):</u> The Jefferson Memorial, constructed between 1939 and 1943, is one of the most famous cultural resources in the National Park system. The memorial was individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1981 and is also listed as a contributing structure on the East and West Potomac Parks National Historic District since 1999. This project is required to prevent further deterioration of an iconic historical resource.</li> </ul>					
Ranking Categories:           FCI/API         (40%)         FCI $\underline{0.02}$ API $\underline{100.00}$ Score = 40.00           SB         (20%)         Score = 20.00         Score = 20.00           IS         (20%)         Score = 20.00         Score = 18.00           CFA         (20%)         Score = 18.00         Score = 18.00           Combined ranking factors = (.40 x API/FCI score) + (.20 x SB score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)         Score = 18.00					
Capital Asset Planning E VE Study: Scheduled:			<u>Total Project So</u>	<u>core:</u> 98.00	
	Pr	roject Costs an	d Status		
Project Cost Estimate (th Deferred Maintenance Wo Capital Improvement Worl Total:	rk: \$ 3,772,866	5 100 0 0	Project Funding Appropriated to Formulated in F Future Funding t	Date: Y21 Budget:	\$ 0 \$3,772,866

Total:

\$3,772,866

Class of Estimate: A Estimate Escalated to FY: 10	/21			<i>Lega</i> Plan	nning and Design F acy Restoration Fun ming Funds Received ign Funds Received	<i>d</i> ed in <b>FY21</b> :*	\$ \$	0 0
				in th	ese amounts for plar ne total formulated to ect data sheet.			
Dates:	Sch'd	Actual	Project Da	ata Sh	neet	DOI Appr	oved:	
Construction Award/Start:	FY21Q1	_/	Prepared/L	ast Uj	pdated: 01/21	Yes		
Project Complete:	FY21Q4							
	Ar	ınual Oper	ations & Mai	intena	ance Costs \$			
Current: \$5,032,000		Projected	: \$5,032,000		Net Change: \$0			
The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.								

### NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet

 Total Project Score/Ranking:

 Planned Funding FY: 2021

\$4,326,993 (change of +\$366,777 from FY 2021 list)

62.81

Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Historic Belmont Paul House			
Project Number: DOI #N032, PMIS #310286	Unit/Facility Name: National Mall and M	emorial Parks	
Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian	Congressional District: DCAL	State: DC	
Project Justification			

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35290100	246299	70	1.0
	-		

<u>**Project Funding Adjustment Justification:**</u> Due to the high costs of materials and the volatility of the construction industry, contract bids exceeded the estimate. Additional funds were necessary to award the contract in FY 2021.

**Project Description:** Work includes the following exterior improvements: remove and replace roof including minor structural repairs; painting and restoration of all windows, trim, jambs, sills, dormer windows, wooden doors, frames, sill boards, panels, and adjacent pilasters; installation of new storm drain; restoration of brick pavers; and replacement of chilled water lines. Interior improvements include: structural repairs to strengthen both the unstable wooden floors and the staircase to the third floor for building code compliance; painting of all interior walls, ceilings, and trim; installation of a new complete sprinkler system in the library; installation of new electrical connections, light fixtures, conduits and conductors, and new electrical panels; and, installation of a new chiller and heating and cooling system. The rehabilitation will be completed in a single construction phase.

The library within the historic house does not comply with fire and life safety codes and requires a new fire suppression system to meet current safety standards. The existing wooden floors on the first and second floors require structural repairs and strengthening in order to handle the live loads of increasing visitation. The house has experienced several leaks from the exterior due to rotting frames surrounding the historic windows and doors. Water damage is also evident on various walls and ceilings throughout the building and these areas must be addressed to protect and preserve the interior finishes and structural elements. The electrical and lighting systems are unable to meet modern demands. Outside the home, the existing brick pavers will be restored and replaced as necessary to provide an acceptable and safe walking surface for visitors. New storm drain piping will be installed to promote drainage on the historic grounds.

As the profile and visitation to Belmont-Paul continues to increase, these conditions must be corrected to keep visitors and employees safe and to provide an exceptional visitor experience.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 3.2 Protect Employees / Improve Retention

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

The National Monument has received philanthropic funding and Centennial match dollars; LRF funding will leverage this pervious partner funding to complete rehabilitation work.

Rehabilitation of the Belmont-Paul Women's Equality National Monument will reduce the existing deferred maintenance backlog. Chronic repairs, due to water damage caused by rotting wooden window frames, doors and wall panels, will also be reduced if not eliminated entirely. Once the building is made safer and more comfortable to visitors, increased visitation to the house can occur without the risk of jeopardizing their safety and welfare.

electrical systems will not be able to pro valuable cultural resources collection wi temperature. The visible leaks and water envelope elements (windows, doors, and issues will remain, limiting the use of th associated with such a significant structur <b>Ranking Categories:</b> FCI/API (40%) FCI <u>1.0</u> SB (20%) IS (20%) CFA (20%)	vide the optir Il also be jeop damaged are I roof) will co e structure by tre in the histo API <u>70</u>	nal temperatur pardized and le as in walls and ntinue to caus staff and the pry of our nation 0.00	dressed. The existing heating and cooling s res required for increased visitation. The n eft vulnerable to wide swings in humidity d ceilings due to longstanding issues with e visual structural damage if not addressed general public. Clearly, this should not be on. Score = 32.00 Score = 12.39 Score = 16.25 Score = 2.17 ore) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)	nuseum's and the exterior d. Life safety the condition
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 An VE Study: Scheduled: <u>1/21</u> Complete		red: No	Total Project Score: 62.81	
VE Study. Scheduled. <u>1721</u> Complete		ect Costs and	Status	
Capital Improvement Work: \$	\$ 3,158,705 1,168,288 <b>4,326,993</b>	% 73 27 100	Project Funding History (entire project Appropriated to Date:         Appropriated to Date:         Phase 1 (Cent. Challenge FY17,18):         Formulated in FY21 Budget:         Future Funding to Complete Project:         Total:         Planning and Design Funds: \$s         Legacy Restoration Fund         Planning Funds Received in FY21:*         Design Funds Received in FY21:*         Other Fund Sources (prior years)         Planning Funds Received         Design Funds Received         *These amounts for planning and design	\$ 456,86 \$1,088,30 \$4,326,99 \$ <b>\$5,872,15</b> \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0
Dates:Sch'dConstruction Award/Start:FY21Q4Project Complete:FY23Q1	Actual	-	in the total formulated to the FY21 budg project data sheet. <b>ta Sheet</b> ast Updated: 1/21 <b>DOI Approve</b> Yes	get on this
			ntenance Costs \$	
Current: \$54,000	Projected:	\$54,000	Net Change: \$0	

recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

			Total Project Score/Ra	anking:	75.20		
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet			Planned Funding FY: 2021		\$31,976,000		
Pro	oject Data Sheet		Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund				
		Project I	dentification	•			
Project Title: Rehabili	tate Headquarters East Wate	er System	and Moraine Park Cam	pground Elec	ctrical Distribution		
Project Number: DOI	#N033, PMIS #239689A	Unit/F	acility Name: Rocky M	ountain Nati	onal Park		
Region/Area/District:	Upper Colorado Basin	Congr	essional District: CO02		State: CO		
		Project	Justification				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Be	fore:		
35100000	31360	55		0.29			
35240100	31357	67		0.00			
35240100	31356	67		0.91			
35240100	31355	67		0.07			
35240100	31354	67		0.53			
35240100	31352	67		0.00			
35240100	31358	67		0.22			
35240200	37083	67		0.99			
35240200	37085	67		0.99			
35240200	235359	67		0.99			
35240200	37082	67		0.99			
35290800	37072	55		1.00			
40660100	105264	77		0.07			
40710300	38667	100		1.00			
40710900	61135	77		0.95			
40711200	49319	65		0.02			
40720100	95901	40		0.21			
40750100	31353	67		0.17			
40760100	103617	77		0.51			

**Project Description:** This project will rehabilitate the Headquarters (HQ) East water system which includes the Moraine Park Campground (MPCG) water distribution systems and wastewater system. The project will address sewer pipes, manholes, the well, water treatment system, and water tanks serving the campground, Beaver Meadows Visitor Center, Park HQ East, and High Drive.

This project will also replace the MPCG above-ground primary electrical distribution lines; address drainage issues at campsites; improve accessibility at campsites, comfort stations and vault toilets; rehabilitate a ranger station and entrance kiosk; add food lockers to campsites; add electric hookups to approximately 25 percent of campsites; add traffic calming improvements to roadways; add a third host site; add parking to the admin loop; and relocate campsites away from wetlands.

Much of the existing water distribution system and water storage tanks were installed in 1965 and are well past the typical service life of 30 years. The waterlines and components of the Moraine Park Water System are in very poor condition, primarily due to age and deferred maintenance. Several portions of the system are not buried to the appropriate depth and are subject to freezing, requiring monitoring to prevent problems. Currently, the water system is drained in the fall and recharged in the late spring. During seasonal start-up, leaks and breaks are common. It is not uncommon for a leak to take days to isolate and find, and additional time to repair.

The majority of the existing primary power supply was also installed in 1965 and is well past the components' typical service life. Relocating the primary power underground will ensure the system is not susceptible to damage due to wind, snow or falling branches or trees. Also, underground lines will result in increased safety due to prevention of electrical hazards and forest fire. Poor drainage along roadways and within campsites has resulted in stranded vehicles, damaged natural resources, and significant staff time to address issues after major storm events.

Scope of Benefits (SB):						
1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities						
1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility						
1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access						
• 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities						
• 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance						
• 3.1 Address Safety Issues						
• 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure						
Investment Strategy (IS):						
	onitoring of the water system due to previous notices of stem will be compliant with the National Fire Protection					
Association (NFPA) 1142 standard.	seni win be compliant with the reational the rotection					
	ged, however the need for emergency repairs and clean-up related					
to broken water mains and electrical infrastructure i						
	e found throughout the water system. This material buildup					
	hallenges in meeting water quality standards. Repairs to the					
existing galvanized steel and cast-iron distribution a						
	less risk of freezing, which may extend the season of the					
campground. A longer season combined with amen	ty improvements would bring in higher revenue.					
Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):						
	safety issues, and code violations will ultimately result in the					
	ed area of Moraine Park and Park HQ. As a result, there would and safety due to significantly reduced services. Storage for fire					
flows would also be diminished or eliminated. Revenue fro						
In August of 2014, the park received a notice of exceedance						
	ns, and as a result is currently undergoing enhanced quarterly					
monitoring.						
Ranking Categories:						
FCI/API (40%) FCI <u>0.83</u> API <u>67.53</u>	Score = 38.08					
SB (20%)	Score = 17.00					
IS (20%) CFA (20%)	Score = 20.00					
CFA (20%) Combined ranking factors = (.40 x API/FCI score) + (.20	Score = $0.12$ x SB score) + (20 x IS score) + (20 x CEA score)					
$= (.40 \times A1 )/(.20)$						
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Analysis Required: Y	es <u>Total Project Score:</u> 75.20					
VE Study: Scheduled: <u>5/21</u> Completed						
Project Costs and Status						
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS): \$ %						
Deferred Maintenance Work: \$22,058,714 69						
Capital Improvement Work: \$ 9,917,286 31						
Total: \$31,976,000 100						
Class of Estimator C	Total: \$ 31,976,000					
Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21	<u>Planning and Design Funds: \$s</u> Legacy Restoration Fund					
Estimate Estalated to F1. 10/21	Planning Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> :* \$ 1,142,000					
	Design Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> . \$ 1,142,000 Design Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> .* \$ 3,883,000					
	*These amounts for planning and design are included in the					
	total formulated to the FY 2021 budget on this project data					
	sheet.					
Dates: Sch'd Actual Pr	oject Data Sheet DOI Approved:					
	pared/Last Updated: 1/21 Yes					
Project Complete: FY24Q4	· ·					

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$282,000	Projected: \$282,000	Net Change: \$0				
The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and						
recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in						
unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for						
scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting						
analysis for O&M requirement changes du	ue to the impact of modernizat	tion work included in projects.				

NATIONAL DADIZ CEDVICE	Total Project Score/Ranking:	89.40
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$6,628,705
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration	Fund

**Project Identification** 

Project Title: Rehabilita	ate Battlefield Interpretive	Experience				
Project Number: DOI #N034, PMIS #257238		Unit/Facility Name: Saratoga National Historical Park				
Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian		Congressional District: NY21		State: NY		
		Project Justification				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Be	efore:		
40750300	80421	87	0.14			
40750700	230647	87	0.28			
40750300	80419	87	0.27			
40750300	80409	87	0.34			
40750300	80431	87	0.13			
40750300	80426	87	0.21			
40750300	80434	87	0.21			
40750300	80432	87	0.17			
40750300	80417	87	0.15			
40750300	80425	87	0.29			
40750300	80424	87	0.27			
40750700	230647	87	0.28			
40750700	230643	87	0.62			
40750700	230652	87	0.43			
40750700	230744	87	0.50			
40750700	230627	87	0.22			
40750700	230514	87	0.58			
40750700	230614	87	0.30			
40750700	230645	87	0.30			
40750700	230693	87	0.65			
40750700	230743	87	0.28			

**Project Description:** This project would update and rehabilitate worn interpretive waysides and all routes, parking and walkways to provide universal accessibility at all ten Tour Stops along the Saratoga Battlefield Tour Road. The Tour Road and the self-guided tour is the park's primary visitor experience. This project will update the worn interpretive waysides along the tour road and complement them with new field exhibits utilizing Universal Design. The project will also result in improved physical accessibility, making all routes to the waysides and site amenities accessible as well.

The Tour Road experience is more than 50 years-old and the 60 interpretive waysides at the 10 stops along the self-guided route are obsolete and well beyond their intended design life. Some of the wayside exhibits have completely deteriorated and have been removed due to concerns for visitor safety. Parking and walkways were not constructed to meet current Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards (ABAAS) and heaving and cracking concrete poses tripping hazards and unsafe walking conditions.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

### Investment Strategy (IS):

- The existing waysides are painted metal signs that must be stripped and repainted meticulously by hand every five years. The waysides also have audio components that are aging and often fail, requiring corrective maintenance. The waysides are set on stone and mortar bases, which require expensive repointing and harbor stinging insects which must be mitigated on a regular basis. Modern waysides and bases will eliminate most of these corrective maintenance expenses.
- The project will also demonstrate the effectiveness of constructing limited infrastructure to provide cost-efficient, accessible visitor services.

#### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

The three main consequences of not completing this work are a failure to meet ABAAS requirements, missed interpretive opportunities, and the continued safety risks associated with the walkways. The safety and ABAAS shortcomings open the park up to potential lawsuits from visitors and advocacy groups. The failure in interpretation is a failure of the park's core mission: to accurately tell the story of the battles of Saratoga. The waysides are often hard to read, inaccurate, and or are not engaging. The tripping hazard associated with the walkways have resulted in two visitor injuries in the last two years. Failure to correct these deficiencies will likely result in more injuries in the future. The update of the interpretive wayside system will bring the park safely into compliance ahead of the 250<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the American Revolution in 2026.

Ranking Categories:FCI/API $(40\%)$ FCI 0.2SB $(20\%)$ IS $(20\%)$ IS $(20\%)$ CFA $(20\%)$ Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x AP})$			Score = 32.00 Score = 20.00 Score = 20.00 Score = 17.40 score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA scor	e)		
Capital Asset PlanningExhibit 300 AnVE Study:Scheduled: 10/16	Total Project Score: 89.40					
	Proj	ject Costs a	nd Status			
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):\$%Deferred Maintenance Work:\$ 5,302,96480Capital Improvement Work:\$ 1,325,74120Total:\$ 6,628,705100Total:\$ 6,628,705Image: Second Control of Co						
Dates:Sch'dConstruction Award/Start:FY21Q3Project Complete:FY22Q3	Actual	Prepared/	data sheet.Data Sheet/Last Updated:01/15/21	wed:		
A Current: \$25,000	Projected		aintenance Costs \$ Net Change: -\$13,500			

		Tota	Project Score/Ranking:	59.60	
	PARK SERVICE		Planned Funding FY: 2021		
Projec	t Data Sheet		ing Source: Legacy Restoration	\$997,300 on Fund	
	]	Project Identif	· · · ·		
Project Title: Lodgepole (					
Project Number: DOI #N(		1	Name: Sequoia and Kings Ca	anyon National Park	
Region/Area/District: Cal	ifornia – Great Basin	Congression	al District: CA21	State: CA	
		Project Justifi	cation	·	
<b>DOI Asset Code</b>	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Be	fore:	
40710300	67595	77	0.13		
40710300	67596	77	0.06		
40760100	73866	64	0.44		
40760100	73881	63	0.11		
crossings and/or noted has		ork will include	grinding and repaving applica	ations for areas with pipe	
2.1 Reduce or Elir     2.4 Remove, Repla      Investment Strategy (IS)     Replace out of out	of-date components with	ance	components and technology a	at the water treatment facility	
Improve water del visitor center, con 8 comfort stations.	tions limiting potential g ivery for wildland and str cessions market and food , a nature center, and 40 p	ructural fire pro services facilit	lity for fines or complete shut tection of federal and concess y, concession maintenance fac esident buildings—all in a hig	ioner assets, including a cility, 214 campground sites,	
• In the event of a w protection.	ations of the water system vildland fire, significant g	overnment and	ue, with potential for fines or a concessionaire infrastructure ving and snow removal opera	would have poor fire	
Ranking Categories:FCI/API(40%)SB(20%)IS(20%)CFA(20%)Combined ranking factor		$I \frac{70.25}{2}$	Score = 29.0 Score = 10.4 Score = 20.0 Score = 0.18 core) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20	42 00 8	
Capital Asset Planning E VE Study: Scheduled <u>: 2</u> /		ired: No	Total Project Score: 59.	60	

	Pro	ject Costs and	Status	
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS): Deferred Maintenance Work: Capital Improvement Work: Total:	100% 	Project Funding History (entire project Appropriated to Date: Formulated in FY21 Budget: Future Funding to Complete Project: Total:	t): \$ 67,752 \$ 997,300 <u>\$ 0</u> <b>\$1,065,052</b>	
Class of Estimate: B Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Planning and Design Funds: \$s Legacy Restoration Fund Planning Funds Received in FY21:* Design Funds Received in FY21:* Other Fund Sources (prior years) Planning Funds Received FY18-19	\$ 22,000 \$123,000 \$ 45,168
			Design Funds Received <b>FY19</b> : *These amounts for planning and design in the total formulated to the FY21 budg project data sheet.	
Dates:Sch'dConstruction Award/Start:FY21Q3Project Complete:FY23Q1	Actual	Project Data Prepared/La	Sheet         DOI Approved           1st Updated: 1/21         Yes	<u>1:</u>
A	nnual Oper	ations & Mair	ntenance Costs \$	
Current: \$424,000	Projected	1: \$424,000	Net Change: \$0	
The annual O&M requirement represents recurring maintenance activities. After as. unscheduled emergency and corrective ma scheduled maintenance is not expected to for O&M requirement changes due to the	sets are brou aintenance n change. At th	ight up to a sta eeded due to de his time, the NI	te of good repair there will likely be a red eterioration, but the annual O&M require PS does not have specific figures and supp	uction in ment for

	AL PARK SERVICE jject Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Rar Planned Funding FY: 2	021	85.70 \$26,250,000
110		y Restorati	on Fund - Transportation	
		Project Identification		
		es of Skyline Drive and 19 overloo		
Project Number: DOI		Unit/Facility Name: Shenandoa		Park
Region/Area/District:	North Atlantic -	Congressional District: VA07, 7	VA10,	State: VA
Appalachian		VA05		
		Project Justification		•
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-B	efore:
40660100	49221	70	0.09	
40660100	49290	70	0.02	
40660100	49268	70	0.01	
40660100	49253	70	0.08	
40660100	49276	70	0.09	
40660100	49259	70	0.03	
40660100	49215	70	0.13	
40660100	49269	70	0.02	
40660100	49273	70	0.03	
40660100	49245	70	0.07	
40660100	49213	70	0.02	
40660100	43841	70	0.05	
40660100	49272	70	0.03	
40660100	49267	70	0.03	
40660100	49256	70	0.03	
40660100	49248	70	0.03	
40660100	49230	70	0.03	
40660100	49250	70	0.03	
40660100	49237	70	0.02	
40760100	00002354	100	0.14	
40760100	00002108	100	0.26	
40760100	00001896	100	0.05	

**Project Description:** This project will rehabilitate a large segment of Skyline Drive including 19 overlooks. The project will address deferred maintenance and include preservation treatments to Skyline Drive. Skyline Drive is a National Historic Landmark and a Nationally designated Scenic Byway. It is a destination to more than 1.4 million visitors a year to see some of the most scenic vistas in the eastern United States

Work will include surface treatments of crack sealing, chip sealing, or thin overlay of hot mix asphalt, and 2-inch mill and overlay treatment. Partial and full depth patches of existing pavement will address distressed pavement areas prior to surface treatments. All pavement will receive new pavement markings and road shoulder stabilization.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues

**Investment Strategy (IS):** Completion of this project would reduce the deferred maintenance of Skyline Drive by overlaying the existing pavement with new asphalt. Skyline Drive is the park's most important asset with an asset priority index (API) of 100. New pavement will provide safe travel along Skyline Drive for automobiles as well as bicycles. The life expectancy of the 54 miles of will be extended by 10 to 12 years by reducing future more expensive repair costs.

<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA)</u> : Without th and operations and maintenance costs will increase. condition, the pavement is in need of repair. Without future repairs will be more expensive requiring more	Although the st t the planned we	ructural integrity of the pork, the structural integr	road is generally in good ity will start to be impacted and
Ranking Categories:FCI/API $(40\%)$ FCI $0.15$ API $2$ SB $(20\%)$ IS $(20\%)$ CFA $(20\%)$ Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API/FCI score})$	74.09 + (.20 x SB sco	Score = Score = Score = Score = re) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x IS sco	20.00 20.00 5.70
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Analysis Requi VE Study: Scheduled: <u>01/21</u> Completed:	ired: Yes	<u>Total Project Score:</u>	<u>:</u> 85.70
Pro	ject Costs and	Status	
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):\$Deferred Maintenance Work:\$ 26,250,00Capital Improvement Work:\$ 0Total:\$26,250,000Class of Estimate:BEstimate Escalated to FY: 10/21	% 100 0 <b>100</b>	Appropriated to Date Formulated in FY21 Future Funding to Co Total: Planning and Design Legacy Restoration For Planning Funds Receive Other Fund Sources () Planning Funds Receive Design Funds Receive *These amounts for planning funds	Budget:       \$26,250,000         omplete Project:       \$0         \$27,134,057         Funds: \$s         und         ved in FY21:*       \$330,000         bed in FY21:*       \$990,000         brior years)       \$305,983
Dates:Sch'dActualConstruction Award/Start:FY21Q3/_Project Complete:FY23Q4	1	st Updated: 01/21	<u>DOI Approved:</u> Yes
		tenance Costs \$	
Current: \$1,666,000       Projected         The annual O&M requirement represents industry streeurring maintenance activities. After assets are brownscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the interaction.	ought up to a sta needed due to a t this time, the N	ate of good repair there deterioration, but the an IPS does not have specij	will likely be a reduction in mual O&M requirement for fic figures and supporting

scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and s analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	97.10				
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$23,848,000				
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund					
Project Identification						
ct Title: Rehabilitate Terrenlein and Related Levels at Fort Wood						

Project Title: Rehabilita	ate Terreplein an	d Related Leve	els at Fort	Wood			
Project Number: DOI #				ty Name: Statue of Lit	perty Natio	onal Monum	ent
Region/Area/District: N	North Atlantic -	0	Congressional District: NY10 State: NY				
Appalachian		Ľ	ongressio	State. N1			
		Pro	ject Just	fication			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unic	que Id# A	API:		FCI-Be	fore:	
35290100	59910	1	00		0.36		
Project Description: To vertical surfaces of the fort constructed on the will enhance visitor accore removal and replacement prevent leaks and water	historic Fort Wo island in 1807. T cess, replacing the ent of pavers and	od, which serv his project will e walking surfa waterproofing	es as the l l protect t ace to imp on the ex	base for the Statue of I the foundations of the S prove both drainage an terior levels of Fort W	Liberty. Fo Statue of I d accessib	ort Wood is a Liberty and it pility. Work	massive stone is pedestal, and includes
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve AI</li> <li>1.3 Expand Rec</li> <li>1.4 Remediate I</li> </ul>	rotect High Visit DA Accessibility creation Opportur Poorest FCI Facil Eliminate Deferre	nities and Publi lities	c Access	ties			
Investment Strategy (							
<ul> <li>Work protects p exterior areas ad marine environn</li> <li>After project co</li> </ul>	ddressed through ment will address	in the Statue of this project are s current safety cilities and syste	e heavily and drair	visited by more than foused by visitors. Instal age issues and preven essed by this project sh	ling durab t future de	ole materials eterioration.	that last in the
Consequences of Failu walking surface will re- Failure to act will also accelerated deterioratio	<b>Ire to Act (CFA)</b> main in disrepair, allow deterioration, the structure's	): Without this , unable to propon to continue a	perly shed	l water and presenting ng, increasing the scop	accessibil	lity challenge	es for visitors.
Ranking Categories:FCI/API(40%)SB(20%)IS(20%)CFA(20%)Combined ranking factorCapital Asset Plannin	FCI <u>0.3</u> ctors = (.40 x API <u>g</u> Exhibit 300 An	I/FCI score) + ( nalysis Require	(.20 x SB d: Yes	Sc Sc score) + (.20 x IS scor		00 00 10	)
VE Study: Schedule	d: <u>12/20</u> C	Completed: <u>12/2</u>		<u>Total Project Score</u> and Status	<u>:</u> 97.10		
Project Cost Estimate	(this DDC).	<u> </u>	<u>et costs a</u> %	Project Funding F	listory (~	ntire project)	
Deferred Maintenance Capital Improvement V	Work: \$	23,132,560	97 97 3	Appropriated to D Formulated in FY	ate:	,	\$ 487,451 \$23,848,000
		,			-		
Total:		23,848,000	100	Future Funding to <b>Total:</b>	-		\$25,848,000 \$0 <b>\$24,335,451</b>

Class of Estimate: B Estimate Escalated to FY:10/21				Planning and Design Funds: \$s Legacy Restoration Fund				
					ing Funds Received i		\$	250,000
				-	n Funds Received in		\$	1,844,000
					Fund Sources (prior		¢	0.107
					ing Funds Received		\$	8,187
				Desig	n Funds Received F	¥ 19:	\$	479,264
					se amounts for planni tal formulated to the heet.		0	
Dates:	Sch'd	Actual	Project	: Data S	Sheet	DOI App	oroved	:
Construction Award/Start:	FY21Q4	_/	Prepareo	l/Last U	Jpdated: 1/21	Yes		
Project Complete:	FY23Q4							
	Aı	nual Oper	ations & N	lainten	ance Costs \$			
Current: \$343,000		Projected	: \$343,000	) Net Change: \$0				
The annual O&M requirement recurring maintenance activit unscheduled emergency and scheduled maintenance is not analysis for O&M requiremet	ties. After as corrective m t expected to	sets are bro aintenance change. At	ought up to needed due this time, th	a state to dete he NPS	of good repair there rioration, but the and does not have specifi	will likely be wal O&M r c figures an	e a redi equirei	uction in ment for

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	69.99		
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$20,008,000		
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund			

Project Identification					
Project Title: Rehabilitate Main Immigration Building Exterior Components					
Project Number: DOI #N038, PMIS #312431	Unit/Facility Name: Statue of Liberty Nati	onal Monument			
Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian	Congressional District: NJ08, NY10	State: NJ,NY			
Project Justification					

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35290100	60011	100	0.04

**Project Description:** This project will rehabilitate exterior components of the Main Immigration Building on Ellis Island, including exterior window finishes, exterior re-pointing, replace deteriorated clerestory windows, and replace failing skylights.

This project will completely replace all eight non-historic 1980s era clerestory windows that illuminate the Great Hall of the Main Immigration Building. The existing hardware will be removed and salvaged, if compatible with the new window systems.

This project eliminates health, safety, and liability risks by replacing the deteriorated window assemblies with new, safe window assemblies that are more wind and water resistant. This project helps maintain the visitor experience on Ellis Island. The successful completion of this project will significantly reduce ongoing maintenance of the windows, saving staff time.

This project will also replace the leaking skylight system and with a new, water-tight assembly. In addition to preventing water infiltration, the new system will include block ultraviolet light and provide appropriate thermal characteristics to ensure visitors comfort and protect museum resources.

This project will also repoint the Exterior of the Main Immigration Building, including upper parts of the towers and the Clerestory. The park will treat granite surfaces to restore the building's weather barrier and to ensure structural integrity of the stone veneer. Continued deterioration of the building exterior may lead to spalling of brick material and stone components due to freeze-thaw, causing potential hazards of falling debris.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.4 Remove, Replace, or Dispose of Assets

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

- The Main Immigration Building is visited by approximately 2 million visitors annually. In addition to being the primary cultural resource and visitor attraction on Ellis Island, it also supports an existing concession operation.
- While regular scheduled maintenance will remain unchanged, unscheduled emergency maintenance costs will be reduced as the facility condition is being improved.

<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA)</u>: The consequences of failure include loss of historic fabric, catastrophic failure of monumental window systems (collapse), and continued damage due to water infiltration.

<b>Ranking Categories:</b>			
FCI/API (40%)	FCI <u>0.04</u>	API <u>100.00</u>	Score = 40.00
SB (20%)			Score = 17.49
IS (20%)			Score = 12.44
CFA (20%)			Score = 0.06
Combined ranking factors	= (.40  x API/FC)	(score) + (.20  x SH)	3  score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)
Capital Asset Planning Ex	hibit 300 Analysi	is Required: Yes	Total Project Score: 69.99
VE Study: Scheduled: 1/2	1_Completed		

	Pro	ject Costs a	and Sta	itus			
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b> (this PDS):	\$	%	Pro	ject Funding Histo	ry (entire proj	ect):	
	\$19,446,032	97		propriated to Date:		,	\$0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 561,968	3	For	nulated in FY21 Bu	dget:	\$2	0,008,000
Total:	\$20,008,000	100	Futu	re Funding to Com	olete Project:		\$0
			Tota	al:		\$2	0,008,000
Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Legae Plann Desig Other Plann Desig *Thes	ning and Design Fu cy Restoration Fund ing Funds Received ing Funds Received ing Funds Received ing Funds Received gn Funds Received: se amounts for plann tal formulated to the sheet.	in FY21:* n FY21:* or years) : ning and desig		
Dates: Sch'd FY21Q4 FY23Q		Project Preparec		Sheet Jpdated: 1/21	DOI App Yes	rovec	<u>l:</u>
	Annual Oper	ations & N	lainten	ance Costs \$			
Current: \$1,845,000	Projected	l: \$1,845,00	0	Net Change: \$0			
The annual O&M requirement represe recurring maintenance activities. After	nts industry st	andard mod	leled re	equirements for oper			

recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE			tal Project Score/Ranking:	40.30
			unned Funding FY: 2021	\$21,140,000
Pro	oject Data Sheet	Fu	nding Source: Legacy Restoration Fun	ıd
		Project Iden	tification	
Project Title: Rehabili	itate and Reconfigure th	he Historic Laurel I	Dormitory at Old Faithful	
Project Number: DOI	#N040, PMIS #312116	6 Unit/Facili	ty Name: Yellowstone National Park	
Region/Area/District:	Upper Colorado Basin	Congressio	onal District: WYAL State	e: WY
		Project Just	ification	
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id	# API:	FCI-Before:	
35310000	11736	65	1.00	
deterioration. These d current accessibility st The current layout doo In addition to the facil revealed that the build temperatures, the press of deferred maintenan	eficiencies include stru tandards. The mechani es not meet the park's c lity concerns, an analys ling was constructed in ence of geothermal gas ice, code compliance, a	ctural, mechanical, ical, electrical, plun current housing nee is on the ground ter an active geotherm ses, hydrothermally nd environmental is	lesign lives and are showing signs of a and health/life safety issues as well as abing and fire suppression systems are ds. mperature and geothermal gases aroun al site, as evidenced by the significant altered ground, and hot spring deposit ssues associated with the existing build oric Laurel Dormitory will provide 20	a lack of meeting in poor condition. d the building ly elevated ground s. Given the amount ling, a complete
• 1.4 Remediate	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities			
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> <li>1.4 Remediate</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> </ul>	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma	aintenance		
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> <li>1.4 Remediate</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>3.1 Address Sa</li> </ul>	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma afety Issues			
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> <li>1.4 Remediate</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>3.1 Address Sa</li> <li>3.2 Protect Em</li> </ul>	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma afety Issues poloyees / Improve Ret	ention	and/or repairing all building articles	votomo includino di
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> <li>1.4 Remediate</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>3.1 Address Sa</li> <li>3.2 Protect Em</li> </ul> Investment Strategy foundation, roof, mech Refurbishing the system setting factor and will condition.	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma afety Issues poloyees / Improve Rete (IS): The rehabilitation hanical, electrical, plun ems for these housing u result in an increase in lure to Act (CFA): Fai	ention n includes replacing nbing, and fire supp nits will move the n rental income. All	g and/or repairing all building critical s pression system to current codes and li- condition rating from poor to good. Co rental income will be used to maintair tinue to subject residents to deteriorati	fe safety standards. ondition is a rent in the units in good
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> <li>1.4 Remediate</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>3.1 Address Sa</li> <li>3.2 Protect Em</li> </ul> Investment Strategy foundation, roof, mecl Refurbishing the system setting factor and will condition. Consequences of Fail	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma afety Issues ployees / Improve Rete (IS): The rehabilitation hanical, electrical, plun ems for these housing u result in an increase in lure to Act (CFA): Fai ystems.	ention n includes replacing nbing, and fire supp nits will move the n rental income. All	ression system to current codes and li- condition rating from poor to good. Co rental income will be used to maintair	fe safety standards. ondition is a rent in the units in good
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> <li>1.4 Remediate</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>3.1 Address Sa</li> <li>3.2 Protect Em</li> </ul> Investment Strategy foundation, roof, mech Refurbishing the system setting factor and will condition. Consequences of Fai failing or unreliable system FCI/API (40%)	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma afety Issues ployees / Improve Rete (IS): The rehabilitation hanical, electrical, plun ems for these housing u result in an increase in lure to Act (CFA): Fai ystems.	ention n includes replacing nbing, and fire supp nits will move the n rental income. All	ression system to current codes and lic condition rating from poor to good. Co rental income will be used to maintain tinue to subject residents to deteriorati Score = 12.00	fe safety standards. ondition is a rent in the units in good
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> <li>1.4 Remediate</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>3.1 Address Sa</li> <li>3.2 Protect Em</li> </ul> Investment Strategy foundation, roof, mech Refurbishing the system setting factor and will condition. Consequences of Fai failing or unreliable system FCI/API (40%) SB (20%)	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma afety Issues poloyees / Improve Rete (IS): The rehabilitation hanical, electrical, plun ems for these housing u result in an increase in lure to Act (CFA): Fai ystems.	ention n includes replacing nbing, and fire supp units will move the rental income. All ilure to act will con	spression system to current codes and lin condition rating from poor to good. Co rental income will be used to maintain tinue to subject residents to deteriorati Score = 12.00 Score = 8.81	fe safety standards. ondition is a rent in the units in good
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> <li>1.4 Remediate</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>3.1 Address Sa</li> <li>3.2 Protect Em</li> </ul> Investment Strategy foundation, roof, mech Refurbishing the system setting factor and will condition. Consequences of Fai failing or unreliable system FCI/API (40%) SB (20%) IS (20%)	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma afety Issues poloyees / Improve Rete (IS): The rehabilitation hanical, electrical, plun ems for these housing u result in an increase in lure to Act (CFA): Fai ystems.	ention n includes replacing nbing, and fire supp units will move the rental income. All ilure to act will con	ression system to current codes and lit condition rating from poor to good. Co rental income will be used to maintain tinue to subject residents to deteriorati Score = 12.00 Score = 8.81 Score = 13.38	fe safety standards. ondition is a rent in the units in good
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> <li>1.4 Remediate</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>3.1 Address Sa</li> <li>3.2 Protect Em</li> </ul> Investment Strategy foundation, roof, mech Refurbishing the systemed setting factor and will condition. Consequences of Fai failing or unreliable systemed for the systemed setting factor and will condition. Example 2 (20%) SB (20%) IS (20%) CFA (20%)	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma afety Issues poloyees / Improve Retu (IS): The rehabilitation hanical, electrical, plun ems for these housing u result in an increase in lure to Act (CFA): Fai ystems. S: FCI <u>1.0</u>	ention n includes replacing nbing, and fire supp inits will move the o n rental income. All ilure to act will con API <u>65.00</u>	The second seco	fe safety standards. ondition is a rent in the units in good ng conditions and
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> <li>1.4 Remediate</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>3.1 Address Sa</li> <li>3.2 Protect Em</li> </ul> Investment Strategy foundation, roof, mech Refurbishing the system setting factor and will condition. Consequences of Fai failing or unreliable system FCI/API (40%) SB (20%) IS (20%) CFA (20%) Combined ranking failing failing failing factor.	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma afety Issues ployees / Improve Rete (IS): The rehabilitation hanical, electrical, plun ems for these housing u result in an increase in Iure to Act (CFA): Fai ystems. S: FCI <u>1.0</u> actors = (.40 x API/FCI	ention n includes replacing nbing, and fire supp inits will move the o rental income. All ilure to act will con API <u>65.00</u> [ score) + (.20 x SB	The second seco	fe safety standards. ondition is a rent in the units in good ng conditions and
1.2 Improve A     1.4 Remediate     2.1 Reduce or     3.1 Address Sa     3.2 Protect Em     Investment Strategy foundation, roof, mecl Refurbishing the syste setting factor and will condition.     Consequences of Fai failing or unreliable sy     Ranking Categories     FCI/API (40%)     SB (20%)     IS (20%)     CFA (20%)     Combined ranking fa     Capital Asset Planni	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma afety Issues poloyees / Improve Retu (IS): The rehabilitation hanical, electrical, plun ems for these housing u result in an increase in lure to Act (CFA): Fai ystems. S: FCI <u>1.0</u>	ention n includes replacing nbing, and fire supp units will move the o rental income. All ilure to act will con API <u>65.00</u> <u>I score) + (.20 x SB</u> is Required: Yes	The second seco	fe safety standards. ondition is a rent in the units in good ng conditions and
<ul> <li>1.2 Improve A</li> <li>1.4 Remediate</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>3.1 Address Sa</li> <li>3.2 Protect Em</li> </ul> Investment Strategy foundation, roof, mecl Refurbishing the systed setting factor and will condition. Consequences of Fai failing or unreliable system FCI/API (40%) SB (20%) IS (20%) CFA (20%) Combined ranking failing factor Capital Asset Planni	DA Accessibility Poorest FCI Facilities Eliminate Deferred Ma afety Issues ployees / Improve Retain (IS): The rehabilitation hanical, electrical, plune ems for these housing u result in an increase in lure to Act (CFA): Fai ystems. S: FCI 1.0 actors = (.40 x API/FCI ng Exhibit 300 Analysi	ention n includes replacing nbing, and fire supp units will move the o rental income. All ilure to act will con API <u>65.00</u> <u>I score) + (.20 x SB</u> is Required: Yes	Total Project Score: 40.30	fe safety standards. ondition is a rent in the units in good ng conditions and

Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$	9,100,000	43	Appropriated to Date:	\$	0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	12,040,000	57	Formulated in FY 21 Budget:	\$	21,140,000
Total:	\$	21,140,000	100	Future Funding to Complete I	Project: \$	0
				Total:	\$	21,140,000
Class of Estimate: C				Planning and Design Funds:	\$s	
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/2	21			Legacy Restoration Fund		
				Planning Funds Received in FY	<b>Y21:*</b> \$	755,000
				Design Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> :* \$ 2,567,000		
			*These amounts for planning and design are included in			
			the total formulated to the FY21 budget on this project			
				data sheet.	C	1 0
Dates:	Sch'd	Actual	Project I	Data SheetD	OI Approve	d:
Construction Award/Start:	FY21/Q	94 /	Prepared/Last Updated: 01/21 Yes			
Project Complete:	FY24/Q	21	*	-		
		<b>Annual Oper</b>	ations & M	aintenance Costs \$		
Current: \$108,000 Projected: \$108,000			) Net Change: \$0			
•	•	2		eled requirements for operational state of good repair there will li		

recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

NATIO			Total Project Score/Rank	ing:	58.90		
	NAL PARK SERVICE oject Data Sheet		Planned Funding FY: 202	21	\$22,331,400		
11	ojeci Data Sheet		Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund				
I			Identification				
Project Title: Rehabil	itate Exteriors of Historic F	ort Yello	wstone Buildings				
Project Number: DOI	#N041, PMIS #307127	Unit/	Facility Name: Yellowstone	National	Park		
Region/Area/District:	Upper Colorado Basin	Cong	ressional District: WYAL		State: WY		
	**		Justification		•		
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Be	fore:		
35300200	6033	83		0.67			
35300200	6062	75		0.47			
35300200	3851	83		0.16			
35300200	6058	75		0.57			
35300200	6031	83		1.00			
35300200	5958	83		1.00			
35300200	6056	75		0.42			
35300200	6064	75		0.47			
35300300	5954	83		1.00			
35300300	6022	83		0.66			
35300300	00002815	82		0.19			
35300300	6023	83		0.64			
35300300	5956	83		0.45			
35300300	5947	83		1.00			
35300300	6024	83		0.81			
35300300	5944	83		1.00			
35300300	5961	83		0.81			

**Project Description:** This project will address the deterioration of the Fort Yellowstone Upper Mammoth Historic Housing exteriors. Work includes replacing roof systems including underlayment, flashing, drip edges, roof finishes (metal, wood shingle, tile), cornices, fascia, trim gutters, and downspouts. The project will repair failed foundations; repair and refinish windows; install new storm windows; repair or replace front and rear porches to include steps and railing; repair or replace front and rear entry sidewalks; repair or rebuild chimneys including the replacement of chimney caps and the installation of guy supports; repair of damaged siding and trim; removal of lead paint; and repainting of exterior finishes. All work will comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 3.2 Protect Employees / Improve Retention

**Investment Strategy (IS):** Refurbishing the exteriors of the housing units will move the condition rating from poor to good. Condition is a rent setting factor and will result in an increase in rental income. All rental income will be used to maintain the units in good condition.

While regular scheduled maintenance will remain unchanged, repairing the deteriorating exterior components of these historical structures will reduce the need for emergency and corrective repairs. The park currently corrects issues with the buildings' shells as they arise.

<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA)</u>: Failure to address the serious deficiencies associated with these historic structures will result in the continued, and accelerated, deterioration of the housing units, requiring more frequent and costly repairs and increasing the permanent loss of historic fabric. Ultimately, there is the potential to have a failure to the

health and life safety concern to residents           Ranking Categories:           FCI/API         (40%)         FCI 0.81           SB         (20%)         IS         (20%)           CFA         (20%)         CFA         (20%)	API <u>72.58</u>	Score = 35.87 Score = 5.85 Score = 17.15 Score = 0.03 0 x SB score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Ana VE Study: Scheduled <u>2/2021</u> Comp		Yes <u>Total Project Score:</u> 58.90
	Project (	Costs and Status
Capital Improvement Work: \$	\$ 8,535,062 3,796,338 2,331,400	%       Project Funding History (entire project):         83       Appropriated to Date:       \$       0         17       Formulated in FY 21 Budget:       \$       22,331,400         100       Future Funding to Complete Project:       \$       0         Total:       \$       22,331,400         Planning and Design Funds:       \$       22,331,400         Legacy Restoration Fund       \$       22,331,400
		Planning Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> :* \$ 798,000 Design Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> :* \$ 2,712,000 *These amounts for planning and design are included in the total formulated to the FY21 budget on this project data sheet.
Dates:Sch'dConstruction Award/Start:FY21/Q4Project Complete:FY23/Q4		roject Data SheetDOI Approved:repared/Last Updated: 01/21Yes
An	nual Operation	ns & Maintenance Costs \$
Current: \$134,000	Projected: \$13	34,000 Net Change: \$ 0
recurring maintenance activities. After as unscheduled emergency and corrective m scheduled maintenance is not expected to	sets are brought aintenance neede change. At this t	rd modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and t up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in led due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting t of modernization work included in projects.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE			tal Project Score/Ranking:	83.00
			nned Funding FY: 2021	\$50,170,000
P	Project Data Sheet		nding Source: Legacy Restoration Fu	
Project			tification	
Project Title: Rehabi	litate (3R) the Grand Loop 1		Dld Faithful to West Thumb Segment	
	#N042, PMIS #312447A		ty Name: Yellowstone National Park	
2	:: Upper Colorado Basin			te: WY
Region/ Red District	. Opper Colorado Basin	Project Just		
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
40760100	4387	100	1.00	
10700100	1507	100	1.00	
considerable roadway replaced and improve The Old Faithful to W West Thumb) of the G he NPS has maintaine	y damage. Guardrails, culve ed to bring these transportat est Thumb segment (beginn grand Loop Road was recons ed the roadway with a cycle	erts, and other d ion infrastructu ing near Biscui structed to a 30 of chip seals. F	base and sub-base in areas where fro rainage structures that require rehabil re elements into states of good repair. It Basin and extending approximately foot width in phases beginning in 19 owever, the pavement has continued a, further contributing to the deteriora	itation will also be two miles south of 87. Since that time, to deteriorate due to
Scope of Benefits (S 1.1 Restore & 2.1 Reduce or 3.1 Address S	z Protect High Visitation / P r Eliminate Deferred Mainte	Public Use Facil	ities	
While regular	vill extend the life of this roa	ll remain uncha	0 years. nged, a reduction in corrective mainte	enance for pothole
			t in safer and more comfortable drivi stination in Yellowstone National Pa	
Ranking CategorieFCI/API(40%)SB(20%)IS(20%)CFA(20%)Combined ranking	FCI <u>1.0</u> A	API <u>100.00</u> pre) + (.20 x SE	Score = 40.00 Score = 20.00 Score = 20.00 Score = 3.00 score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CF	A score)
Capital Asset Plann	ing Exhibit 300 Analysis Ro	equired: Yes	Total Project Score: 83.00	

Project Costs and Status						
Project Cost Estimate(this PD	Project Funding History (entire proj	ect):				
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$	45,899,118	91	Appropriated to Date:	\$	162,125
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	4,270,882	9	Formulated in FY21 Budget:	\$	50,170,000
Total:	\$	50,170,000	100	Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
				Total:	\$	50,332,125
<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21				Planning and Design Funds: \$s Legacy Restoration Fund Planning Funds Received in FY21:*	\$	1,170,000
				Design Funds Received in FY21:*	\$	1,000,000
				Other Fund Sources (prior years)		
				Planning Funds Received FY17:	\$	88,432
			Design Funds Received FY17:	\$	73,693	
*These amounts for planning and design are included to the FY21 budget on this plant data sheet.						
Construction Award/Start:	S <b>ch'd</b> FY22/Q FY24/Q				orove	<u>d:</u>
		<b>Annual Oper</b>	ations & N	Iaintenance Costs \$		
Current: \$287,000		Projected	l: \$287,000	Net Change: \$0		
The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for						
scheduled maintenance is not e	xpecte	d to change. A	t this time,	the NPS does not have specific figures an dernization work included in projects.	•	•

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	
Project Data Sheet	

Total Project Score/Ranking:	58.30
Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$37,225,000 (change of +\$9,325,000 from FY 2021 list)
	1 55

Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund - Transportation

#### Project Identification

Project Justification					
Region/Area/District: Upper Colorado Basin	Congressional District: WYAL	State: WY			
Project Number: DOI #N043, PMIS #225353	Unit/Facility Name: Yellowstone National	Park			
Project Title: Replace the Lewis River Bridge					

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40760100	4388	100	0.08
40760500	45309	88	1.0

**Project Description:** The Lewis River Bridge is a continuous steel multi-beam bridge located 10 miles north of the south entrance of Yellowstone National Park. The 604 linear foot (L.F.) Lewis River Bridge was constructed in 1960. There is widespread deterioration of the deck concrete that has progressed to a point where replacement of the deck is the optimal alternative. In addition, the abutments and wingwalls exhibit widespread cracking, delamination and spalling.

Other problems include debris packed in the expansion joints and an accumulation of gravel in the shoulders, minor collision damage to the railings, bearings at full tilt at the north abutment, moderate accumulation of drift in the channel, and missing object markers at the bridge corners.

The project scope also includes the roadway approach sections on both sides of the bridge and modernization to widen the bridge.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Due to component deterioration and high scour potential, partial rehabilitation would be a temporary and costly solution. Total replacement is expected to extend the asset lifecycle an additional 40-50 years.

## **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

This structure has been deemed to be "Scour Critical", meaning the bridge foundations were determined to be unstable for calculated scour conditions at this bridge site. Minor to moderate scour was noted along all the piers. If measures are not taken to reduce the scour potential of the structure, scour will likely continue to progress and may eventually lead to instability of the structure. This project will result in safer conditions for the public and employees traveling to and from the south entrance of the park.

<b>Ranking</b>	Categories:			
FCI/API	(40%)	FCI <u>0.28</u>	API <u>94.00</u>	Score = 31.40
SB	(20%)			Score = 14.54
IS	(20%)			Score = 11.69
CFA	(20%)			Score = 0.67
Combined	ranking factors	s = (.40  x API/FCI)	score) + $(.20 \times SI)$	B  score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)
		chibit 300 Analysis /2018 Complet	•	Total Project Score: 58.30

Project Costs and Status					
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b> (this PDS):	\$	%	<b>Project Funding Histor</b>	<u>v (</u> entire project)	:
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$24,382,375	65.5	Appropriated to Date:	\$	373,546
Capital Improvement Work:	\$12,842,625	34.5	Formulated in FY21 Bud	lget: \$	37,225,000
Total:	\$37,225,000	100	Future Funding to Comp	lete Project: \$	
			Total:	\$	37,598,546
<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/2021			Planning and Design Fur Legacy Restoration Fund Planning Funds Received in Other Fund Sources (prior Planning Funds Received T Design Funds Received F *These amounts for planning the total formulated to the data sheet.	in FY21:* \$ FY21:* \$ <i>r years)</i> FY16: \$ Y16: \$ ing and design ar	
Dates: Sch'o	l Actual	Project	Data Sheet	DOI Approv	red:
Construction Award/Start: FY22	·	Preparec	l/Last Updated: 01/21	Yes	
Project Complete: FY24	`````				
	Annual Oper	ations & N	Iaintenance Costs \$		
Current: \$375,000	Projected	: \$375,000	Net Change: \$ 0		
The annual O&M requirement repre recurring maintenance activities. Af- unscheduled emergency and correct scheduled maintenance is not expect analysis for O&M requirement chan	ter assets are bro ive maintenance ed to change. At	ought up to needed due this time, th	a state of good repair there to deterioration, but the and he NPS does not have specifi	will likely be a re nual O&M requi ic figures and su	eduction in rement for

			D	40.07
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet			Project Score/Ranking:	48.80
			Planned Funding FY: 2021\$3,708,Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund	
				ion runa
Ductant Titles D 1. 1. 1	itata tha Duid-law 1 Court	Project Identifi		le Vigitore
			r Distribution System for Par	
	#N045, PMIS #228664		Name: Yosemite National Pa	
Region/Area/District:	California – Great Basin	U U	ll District: CA04	State: CA
		Project Justific		<u> </u>
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#		FCI-B	efore:
40710300	6325	46	0.91	
existing groundwater Bridalveil Creek Carr	treatment vault and chloring pround since 1959. This	ination system, and project will addres	n and galvanized steel water the existing storage tank tha s deferred maintenance and ecessary quantity of safe wat	t has been in operation at the maintain regulatory
camp It's the only ea a major trailhead loca	stablished campground on ited at this campground w es 2,000 gallons of water	the Glacier Point r hich serves many p	sts of 108 campsites, two gro oad and is typically open for opular backpacking and day- aily maximum production of	90 days per season. There i hikes.The Bridalveil Creek
larger above ground v California State Wate	vater tank that is designed	to meet code requi	ntly not code compliant and v rements and the regulatory r vater storage to meet the pear	equirements of the
<ul><li> 1.1 Restore &amp;</li><li> 2.1 Reduce or</li></ul>	Protect High Visitation / Eliminate Deferred Main	tenance	s	
<ul> <li>1.1 Restore &amp;</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>2.4 Remove, F</li> <li>4.1 Modernized</li> </ul> Investment Strategy Fund project #N048).	Protect High Visitation / Eliminate Deferred Main Replace, or Dispose of Ass Infrastructure (IS): This project will be The water lines run under	tenance sets coordinated with C r the campground re	ilacier Point Road closure (N bads. The traffic control requ	ired for replacement of
<ul> <li>1.1 Restore &amp;</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>2.4 Remove, F</li> <li>4.1 Modernized</li> </ul> Investment Strategy Fund project #N048). these lines, and loss of costly construction we campground will be compared.	Protect High Visitation / Eliminate Deferred Main Replace, or Dispose of Asse Infrastructure (IS): This project will be The water lines run under f water to the comfort stat ork-arounds to keep it ope	tenance sets coordinated with C r the campground re- cion during the proj- en. As Glacier Point Point road construct	ilacier Point Road closure (N	ired for replacement of closing the campground or e campground, the
<ul> <li>1.1 Restore &amp;</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>2.4 Remove, F</li> <li>4.1 Modernized</li> </ul> Investment Strategy Fund project #N048). these lines, and loss of costly construction we campground will be costason will eliminate New water meters will water conservation meters will water conservation meters will water conservation meters with water conservation meters will be conservation.	Protect High Visitation / Eliminate Deferred Main Replace, or Dispose of Asse Infrastructure (IS): This project will be The water lines run under f water to the comfort stat ork-arounds to keep it ope losed during the Glacier F an additional closure of the Il allow staff to monitor us	tenance sets coordinated with C r the campground re- cion during the proj- en. As Glacier Point Point road construct he campground. sage, quickly identi alarly scheduled ma	Blacier Point Road closure (N bads. The traffic control requ ect, would necessitates either road is the only access to th ion. Coordinating the two pr fy any water losses in the sys intenance is expected to rem	tired for replacement of closing the campground or e campground, the ojects within the same stem, and determine when
<ul> <li>1.1 Restore &amp;</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>2.4 Remove, F</li> <li>4.1 Modernized</li> </ul> Investment Strategy Fund project #N048). these lines, and loss of costly construction we campground will be a season will eliminate. New water meters will water conservation meters will water conse	Protect High Visitation / Eliminate Deferred Main Replace, or Dispose of Asse Infrastructure (IS): This project will be The water lines run under f water to the comfort stat ork-arounds to keep it ope losed during the Glacier F an additional closure of th Il allow staff to monitor us easures are required. Regu mergency work on the agi	tenance sets coordinated with C r the campground re- tion during the proj- en. As Glacier Point Point road construct he campground. sage, quickly identi- ilarly scheduled ma- ing system will be r re to act will result health risk and for	Blacier Point Road closure (N bads. The traffic control requ ect, would necessitates either road is the only access to th ion. Coordinating the two pr fy any water losses in the sys intenance is expected to rem	tired for replacement of closing the campground or e campground, the ojects within the same stem, and determine when tain unchanged, however
<ul> <li>1.1 Restore &amp;</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>2.4 Remove, F</li> <li>4.1 Modernized</li> </ul> <b>Investment Strategy</b> Fund project #N048). these lines, and loss of costly construction we campground will be a season will eliminate. New water meters will water conservation meters will be a served water wa	Protect High Visitation / Eliminate Deferred Main Replace, or Dispose of Ass Infrastructure (IS): This project will be The water lines run under f water to the comfort stat ork-arounds to keep it ope losed during the Glacier F an additional closure of th Il allow staff to monitor us easures are required. Regumergency work on the agi Iure to Act (CFA): Failury system could pose a public oviding potable water. Wit rease.	tenance sets coordinated with C r the campground re- tion during the proj- en. As Glacier Point Point road construct he campground. sage, quickly identi- ilarly scheduled ma- ing system will be r re to act will result health risk and for	ilacier Point Road closure (Noads. The traffic control requect, would necessitates either road is the only access to the ion. Coordinating the two profy any water losses in the systimenance is expected to remeduced.	tired for replacement of closing the campground or e campground, the ojects within the same stem, and determine when hain unchanged, however n of the campground water own or prevent the emergency work on the .00 .02 .00
<ul> <li>1.1 Restore &amp;</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>2.4 Remove, F</li> <li>4.1 Modernized</li> </ul> Investment Strategy Fund project #N048). these lines, and loss of costly construction we campground will be costly construction we campground will be costly unplanned or enditive New water meters will water conservation m costly unplanned or enditive Consequences of Fairs system such that the se campground from protaging system will increased and from protaging system will increase and from protaging system will be addrease	Protect High Visitation / Eliminate Deferred Main Replace, or Dispose of Ass Infrastructure (IS): This project will be The water lines run under f water to the comfort stat ork-arounds to keep it ope losed during the Glacier F an additional closure of th Il allow staff to monitor us easures are required. Regu mergency work on the agi <b>lure to Act (CFA):</b> Failur ystem could pose a public oviding potable water. Wit rease. S: FCI <u>0.91</u>	tenance sets coordinated with C r the campground re- cion during the proj- cn. As Glacier Point Point road construct he campground. sage, quickly identi alarly scheduled ma ing system will be r re to act will result health risk and for hout action, the am	Alacier Point Road closure (Notes that the set of the s	tired for replacement of closing the campground or e campground, the ojects within the same stem, and determine when hain unchanged, however n of the campground water own or prevent the emergency work on the .00 .02 .00 .78
<ul> <li>2.1 Reduce or</li> <li>2.4 Remove, F</li> <li>4.1 Modernized</li> </ul> <b>Investment Strategy</b> Fund project #N048). these lines, and loss of costly construction we campground will be of season will eliminate. New water meters will water conservation meters will unplanned or endots of Fair system such that the seampground from procaging system will incompare the following system system system system will incompare the following system will incompare the following system will incompare the following system will incompare the following system	Protect High Visitation / Eliminate Deferred Main Replace, or Dispose of Ass Infrastructure (IS): This project will be The water lines run under f water to the comfort stat ork-arounds to keep it ope losed during the Glacier F an additional closure of th Il allow staff to monitor us easures are required. Regu mergency work on the agi <b>lure to Act (CFA):</b> Failur ystem could pose a public oviding potable water. Wit rease. S: FCI <u>0.91</u>	tenance sets coordinated with C r the campground ra- cion during the proj- en. As Glacier Point Point road construct he campground. sage, quickly identi- ularly scheduled ma- ing system will be r re to act will result the health risk and for hout action, the am API <u>46.00</u> core) + (.20 x SB sc	Alacier Point Road closure (N bads. The traffic control requ ect, would necessitates either road is the only access to th ion. Coordinating the two pr fy any water losses in the sys- intenance is expected to rem educed. in the continued deterioration ce the campground to shut do ount of costly unplanned or of Score = 12 Score = 3.0 Score = 13	tired for replacement of closing the campground or e campground, the ojects within the same stem, and determine when tain unchanged, however n of the campground water own or prevent the emergency work on the .00 .2 .00 .78 0 x CFA score)

VE Study: Scheduled <u>3/20</u>	Completed:	3/20				
Project Costs and Status						
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):       \$       %       Project Funding History (entire project):						
Deferred Maintenance Work: \$	3,704,698	99+	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 626,010		
Capital Improvement Work: \$	3,710	>1	Formulated in FY21 Budget:	\$3,708,408		
Total: \$	3,708,408	100	Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$ 0		
			Total:	\$4,334,418		
<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C			Planning and Design Funds: \$s			
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Legacy Restoration Fund			
			Planning Funds Received in <b>FY21</b> :*	\$ 0		
			Design Funds Received in FY21:*	\$ 300,000		
Other Fund Sources (prior years)						
			Planning Funds Received FY19, 20: \$ 341,			
			Design Funds Received FY20: \$ 284,550			
			*These amounts for planning and des	ign are included		
			in the total formulated to the FY21 bu	dget on this		
			project data sheet.			
Dates: Sch'd	Actual	Project Da	ta Sheet DOI Appro	oved:		
Construction Award/Start: FY21Q4		Prepared/La	st Updated: 1/21 <u>Yes</u>			
Project Complete: FY22Q4						
A	Annual Oper	ations & Main	tenance Costs \$			
Current: \$13,000 Projected: \$13,000		Net Change: \$0				
The annual O&M requirement represen	ts industry sta	andard modeled	d requirements for operational, prevent	ative, and		
recurring maintenance activities. After a						
unscheduled emergency and corrective						
scheduled maintenance is not expected i				upporting		
analysis for O&M requirement changes	due to the im	pact of modern	ization work included in projects.			

		г	Total Project Score/R	anking.	62.51
	AL PARK SERVICE		Planned Funding FY:		\$26,177,634
Pro	oject Data Sheet		Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund		
		Project Id	dentification		ond
Proiect Title: Rehabili	tate the Tuolumne Meadows			sitor Experience	
	#N046, PMIS #229677		acility Name: Yosemit		
<u>ě</u>	California – Great Basin		essional District: CA04		tate: CA
			ustification	~	
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Befor	e:
40710300	6314	85		0.44	
0710900	6700	85		0.30	
0750100	6598	46		0.32	
0750800	7094	25		0.52	
0760100	10907	57		0.36	
	new restrooms. The portior roject will be replaced in this		initary sewer system th	at were not repla	aced as part of the 1995
<ul><li>1.2 Improve A</li><li>1.3 Expand Re</li></ul>	Protect High Visitation / Pu DA Accessibility creation Opportunities and I Eliminate Deferred Mainten	Public Acc			
reduce corrective main maintenance is expected or emergency work on	(IS): Drainage and alignment attenance costs in the campging ed to remain unchanged, how the aging systems and infra n-compliance with area plan	round by ro wever proj istructure.	educing rutting, scouri ect completion will de The improvements wil	ng, and erosion. crease the volum l limit the park's	Regular scheduled ne of costly unplanned s vulnerability to legal
visitor experience. In p	ure to Act (CFA): Further		on of the campground	facilities will ne	
force the campground affect the visitor exper	to shut down or prevent the		ater and sewer system	could result in a	public health risk and

Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API/FCI score}) + (.20 \text{ x SB score}) + (.20 \text{ x IS score}) + (.20 \text{ x CFA score})$					
Capital Asset Planning         Exhibit 300 Analysis Required: Yes           VE Study:         Scheduled:         6/20   Completed: 6/20	Total Project Score: 62.51				

Project Costs and Status						
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS)	\$	%	Project Funding Histo	ry (entire proje	ct):	
Deferred Maintenance Work:	\$22,633,663	86	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 1,262,359		
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 3,543,971	14	Formulated in FY21 Bu	dget:	\$26,177,634	
Total:	\$26,177,634	100	Future Funding to Com	plete Project:	\$ 0	
					\$27,439,993	
<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21	Planning and Design Funds: \$sLegacy Restoration FundPlanning Funds Received in FY21:*\$ 285,000Design Funds Received in FY21:*\$ 1,985,000					
Other Fund Sources (prior years)Planning Funds Received FY19, 20\$ 1,146,006Design Funds Received FY20:\$ 116,353						
			*These amounts for plant the total formulated to the data sheet.			
Dates: Sch	'd Actual	<b>Project</b>	Data Sheet	DOI Appro	oved:	
Construction Award/Start: FY2	21Q4 _/	Prepared/	Last Updated: 01/21	Yes		
Project Complete: FY2	25Q1					
	Annual Oper	ations & Ma	aintenance Costs \$			
Current: \$321,000	Projected	: \$321,000	Net Change: \$0			
Current: \$321,000Projected: \$321,000Net Change: \$0The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.						

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet Project I	Total Project Score/Ranking:	50.79		
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$9,800,000		
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fu	und		
	oject Identification			
ject Title: Rehabilitate the Crane Flat Campground to Enhance the Visitor Experience				

Project Number: DOI #N0	47, PMIS #312448	Unit/Facility Name: Yosemite Na	ational Park	
Region/Area/District: Cali	fornia – Great Basin	Congressional District: CA04	State: CA	
		Project Justification		
DOI A much Culls	EDDD II I.I.	A DT.	ECI D.C.	

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40750100	6590	34	0.83
40760100	103287	34	0.63
40760100	103237	34	1.00
40760100	103294	34	1.00
40760100	103283	34	0.59
40760100	103292	34	0.58

**Project Description:** This project will rehabilitate the Crane Flat campground loops A, B, C, D, and E roads and 166 campsites to improve drainage, accommodate needed culverts, and reconstruct the roadway. Originally constructed in 1962, campsites, roads and pullout areas are past their service life and deteriorated. The project will improve vehicle turn-in alignment and prevent off-road access, construct raised tent pads and improve campsite definition, better accommodate larger recreational vehicles, and replace campsite signing. It will also improve site grading, improve walks, repair erosion damage, and revegetate bare areas to protect the down-gradient riparian areas. The rehabilitation will also improve eight campsites to meet all federal accessibility requirements and provide for accessible paths to the existing comfort stations.

These high-priority improvements will directly benefit the more than 100,000 annual visitors to the Crane Flat campground by increasing accessibility and improving the condition of deteriorated visitor facilities. The project will reduce the labor and materials costs currently spent on patching and repairing deteriorated surface materials, reduce the deferred maintenance backlog, maintain regulatory compliance, and help mitigate the impact of the campground on the natural area and native vegetation.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

Proj

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.4 Remove, Replace, or Disposing of Assets
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

# Investment Strategy (IS):

Drainage and alignment improvements will reduce corrective maintenance costs on the campground by reducing rutting, scouring, and erosion in unwanted areas of the campground, and will protect current investments from damage. While regular scheduled maintenance will remain unchanged, the amount of costly unplanned or emergency work on the aging road system will be reduced.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to act will allow existing facilities to continue deteriorating, negatively impacting the visitor experience. Additionally, the campground will have difficulty accommodating visitors camping with RVs, due to its outdated design and layout. Further, the existing facilities lack accessibility upgrades, limiting recreational access for persons with disabilities.

Ranking Categories:					
FCI/API (40%) FCI <u>0.7</u>	9 API <u>34.0</u>	0	Score =	24 59	
SB (20%)	<u>/</u> ///////////////////////////////////	0	Score =		
IS (20%)			Score =		
CFA (20%)			Score =		
Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API})$	/FCI score) + ( 2	20 x SB s		-	e)
	<i>,</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		· · · · ·		0)
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Ana		No	Total Project Score: 50.	79	
VE Study: Scheduled: 7/2020 Complet	ed: <u>7/2020</u>				
	Project	Costs a	nd Status		
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):	\$	%	<b>Project Funding Histo</b>	ry (entire proje	ct):
	7,132,785	73	Appropriated to Date:		\$ 468,020
	2,667,215	27	Formulated in FY21 Bu	dget:	\$ 9,800,000
Total: \$	9,800,000	100	Future Funding to Com	olete Project:	\$ 0
			Total:		\$10,268,020
Class of Estimate: B Planning and Design Funds: \$s					
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Legacy Restoration Fund		
			Planning Funds Received	in <b>FY21</b> :*	\$ 350,000
			Design Funds Received in FY21:* \$ 1,190,000		
			Other Fund Sources (prices)	or vears)	
			Planning Funds Received		\$ 137,663
			Design Funds Received <b>F</b>		\$ 330,357
			*These amounts for plan		-
			the total formulated to the		
			data sheet.	c i i zi buuget	on this project
Dates: Sch'd	Actual	Project 1	Data Sheet	DOI Appro	ved
Construction Award/Start: FY21Q4			Last Updated: 01/21	Yes	
Project Complete: FY25Q1		i opui ou/	Lust opullou. 01/21	100	
* *	nnual Operatio	ons & Ma	aintenance Costs \$		
Current: \$72,000	Projected: \$7		Net Change: \$0		
The annual O&M requirement represent.	ý			tional prevent	ative. and
recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for					
scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting					
					appoints
analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.					

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	66.51
	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$40,521,000
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration F	und - Transportation
		1

**Project Identification** 

Project Number: DOI #N048, PMIS #235876		Unit/Facility Name: Yosemite N	National Park
Region/Area/District:	California – Great Basin	Congressional District: CA19	State: CA
		Project Justification	
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
0	252129	57	0.00
0	252128	57	0.00
35240200	10957	54	0.83
40660100	11566	75	1.00
40660100	11565	65	1.00
40760100	10815	88	0.62

**Project Description:** This project will rehabilitate aging and deteriorated pavement, failed drainage structures, failed pullouts, and parking areas on Glacier Point Road between Badger Pass and Glacier Point. This is one of the five major roads in Yosemite National Park with an average daily traffic volume of 1,180 vehicles. This road provides the only vehicle access to the visitor facilities at Glacier Point and Washburn Point, the Bridalveil Campground, the communications complex at Sentinel Dome, and major trailheads accessing the south-central portion of the 1,169 square-mile park. It also includes three of the highest accident road segments in the park, and one of the highest accident intersections.

The project will formalize selected pullouts to improve safety and accessibility and remove others where there is insufficient stopping sight distance or where adverse impacts to park resources are occurring. As needed, road sections will be widened, repaved, and rehabilitated. The project will also rehabilitate the Sentinel Dome Trailhead parking area, the Washburn Point Parking area, and the Glacier Point Parking Area. Curbing will be installed west of Washburn Point to eliminate roadside parking where there is insufficient shoulder width and parked vehicles protrude into travel lanes. Existing paved ditches and curbing will be rehabilitated. Unpaved ditches will be paved where there is scour, or where needed to provide sufficient ditch width to accommodate natural run-off from rain and snowmelt. Poor subgrade soils will be excavated and replaced with stable material that meets the bearing capacity for new pavement structure. Additional treatments will be applied to cut slopes to prevent erosion and slides.

The project will be coordinated with Bridalveil Campground water project (NPS Legacy Restoration Fund Project #N045).

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.2 Leverage Funding / Pursue Partnering Opportunities
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 3.2 Protect Employees / Improve Retention
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

**Investment Strategy (IS):** While regularly scheduled maintenance will remain unchanged, this project will reduce corrective maintenance costs by stabilizing slide areas, repairing failed subgrades, and replacing failed drainage structures. The current disrepair of the road is causing continual patching, pothole repairs and crack sealing. The project will also reduce the number of tort claims the park receives each year due to vehicle damage and help to prevent further damage to NPS operational vehicles. Following rehabilitation to NPS standards, the roadway will allow for a maximum average daily

Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA) areas. The roadway's patchwork of corre drivers' comfort and safety. Without this	ective repairs	is contributing	to an uneven driving su	urface, which is do	etrimental to
Ranking Categories:FCI/API $(40\%)$ FCI 0.6SB $(20\%)$ ISIS $(20\%)$ CFACombined ranking factors = $(.40 \times API)$ Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 AnVE Study:Scheduled $7/18$	/FCI score) -	+ (.20 x SB scored: Yes	Score = Score = Score = Score = re) + (.20 x IS score) + ( <u>Total Project Score</u> :	16.89 20.00 0.01 (.20 x CFA score)	)
	1	 ject Costs and	 Status		
Capital Improvement Work: \$	\$ 40,396,385 <u>124,615</u> <b>40,521,000</b>	% 99+ <1 100	Project Funding His Appropriated to Data Formulated in FY21 Future Funding to Co Total:Planning and Design Legacy Restoration Fu Planning Funds Receive Other Fund Sources (p Planning Funds Receive Design Funds Receive *These amounts for pl in the total formulated project data sheet.	:: Budget: omplete Project: Funds: \$s ind ved in FY21:* od in FY21:* orior years) ved FY18: anning and design	\$ 1,561,764 \$40,521,000 \$ 0 <b>\$42,082,764</b> \$ 190,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 780,882 \$ 780,882 \$ 780,882 \$ nare included
Dates:Sch'dConstruction Award/Start:FY21Q4Project Complete:FY23Q4	Actual	-	st Updated: 01/21	<b>DOI Approve</b> Yes	ed:
			tenance Costs \$		
Current: \$344,000 The annual O&M requirement represent recurring maintenance activities. After a	s industry st				

scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

	Total Projec	t Score/Ranking:	74.90		
	NAL PARK SERVICE oject Data Sheet	Planned Fun	ding FY: 2021	\$17,147,220	
11	oject Data Sheet	Funding Sou	irce: Legacy Restorat	ion Fund - Transportation	
		<b>Project Identification</b>			
Project Title: Rehabili	tate Final 9.3 miles of the G	oing-to-the-Sun Road &	& Replace Bridge Ove	er McDonald Creek	
Project Number: DOI	#N049, PMIS #308104	Unit/Facility Name:	Init/Facility Name: Glacier National Park		
Region/Area/District:	Missouri Basin	Congressional Distr	ressional District: MTAL State: MT		
		<b>Project Justification</b>			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-B	efore:	
0	251966	85	N/A		
40760100	6763	100	0.04		
40760500	38025	76	0.05		

# **Project Description:**

This project will accomplish two major rehabilitations. The first rehabilitation involves a portion of the Going-to-the-Sun Road from the foot of Lake McDonald to the intersection with the North Lake McDonald Road. The Going-to-the-Sun Road is a critical transportation asset for Glacier National Park as the only roadway that provides an east-west link across the park, traversing the Continental Divide. It is the primary roadway that park visitors use to access and enjoy the park's scenic views. This project will include the following improvements: geometry, curve widening, super-elevation on the horizontal alignment for transition zones, and addressing limited distances between curves. Pavement friction will be improved and traffic control devices will be enhanced. Also, fiber optic cable and conduit will be extended from outside of the park to serve Apgar Village and park headquarters to support connection to future fiber optic service installed by the utility provider.

The second rehabilitation is to replace the bridge over Upper McDonald Creek that services several visitor access points, a ranger station, and landowner residences. This project will demolish the existing bridge and replace it with a 270-foot long clear span, highway rated bridge. Demolition eliminates a seriously under-rated historic glulam bridge. The glulam girders have been compromised in the past by longitudinal cracking, which was repaired in the 2006/2007 winter. Due to this cracking; however, the bridge is significantly below highway ratings (currently at 12 tons) and is unable to carry necessary loads. The new bridge will be 27-feet wide, single lane in keeping with the historic character of the current bridge and have viewing sidewalks on both sides of the bridge.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

# Investment Strategy (IS):

- The project will extend the life of the road by another 20-30 years and the bridge replacement will provide a 50-70 year life.
- As a National Historic Landmark, this roadway is of the highest priority for preservation and investment. This project will promote the long-term preservation of this national treasure by completing the full road rehabilitation begun in 2006. With this project, the pavement condition rating would increase to 100.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to act will result in unsafe visitor access along the Going-To-the-Sun road, a designated National Historic Landmark. In addition, this project will complete the roadway improvements following a Line Item Construction utility project located in this section of the road.

Upper McDonald Creek bridge provides access to the Lake McDonald District ranger station, a stock barn, major trailheads, and a number of landowners. Current load rating of bridge prevents access of emergency vehicles and construction equipment putting people and structures at risk as experienced during recent wildland fires. The new bridge will be rated for highway loads and capable to carry all types of vehicles.

# **Ranking Categories:**

FCI/API (40%) FCI <u>0.0</u>	4 API 8	37.00	Score = 36.60				
SB (20%)			Score = 18.28				
IS (20%)			Score = 20.00				
CFA (20%)			Score = 0.02				
	/FCI score)	+ (.20 x S	B  score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)				
<b>,</b>	,						
Capital Asset Planning: Exhibit 300 A VE Study: Scheduled: <u>12/20</u> Complete		ired: No	<u>Total Project Score:</u> 74.90				
	Pro	ject Cost	s and Status				
		,	Project Funding History (entire project):				
Project Cost Estimate(this PDS):	\$	%	Appropriated to Date: \$ 0				
Deferred Maintenance Work: \$	16,661,763	97	Formulated in FY 21 Budget: \$ 17,147,220				
Capital Improvement Work: \$	485,457	3	Future Funding to Complete Project: \$ 0				
Total: \$	17,147,220	100	Total: \$ 17,147,220				
Class of Estimate: B	Class of Estimate: B Planning and Design Funds: \$s						
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			Legacy Restoration Fund				
			Planning Funds Received in FY21:* \$ 75,000				
			Design Funds Received in FY21:* \$ 400,000				
			* These amounts for planning and design are included in				
			the total formulated to the FY 2021 budget on this project				
			data sheet.				
Dates: Sch'd	Actual		ct Data Sheet DOI Approved:				
Construction Award/Start: FY22/Q2	_/	Prepai	red/Last Updated: 1/21 Yes				
Project Complete: FY23/Q3							
Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$							
Current: \$485,000 Projected: \$485,000 Net Change: \$0							
The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and							
recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in							
unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for							
scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting							
analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.							

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	38.1	
	Planned Funding FY 2021 \$19,267,		
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration F	und	

		Project Identification	
Project Title: Replace	Concessioner Housing Unit		
<i>v</i> 1	#N050, PMIS #266667A		Glacier Bay National Park & Preserve
Region/Area/District:	Alaska	Congressional Dist	rict: AKAL State: AK
		Project Justification	
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
0	254413	33	0.00
0	254418	40	0.00
35300700	84205	33	0.95
35310000	84218	33	0.95
35310000	84216	33	0.95
35600100	42644	62	1.09

**Project Description:** This project will demolish and replace three apartment buildings that are currently used for concessioner housing. It will also demolish and replace a non-historic, multi-use concessioner building situated in the Glacier Bay Lodge Historic District. The project also includes replacement of buried fuel and propane lines that are at risk of leakage.

The existing concessions housing facilities, containing a total of 32 rooms, are undersized for their typical staffing of around 60 employees. As a result, the concessioner has been housing some staff in nearby Lodge units that would normally be rented to guests. The concessions apartments are in such poor condition that the structures were slated for demolition and replacement in 2005. Instead, a number of superficial repairs have been made to keep the buildings operational—though they have continued to accrue deferred maintenance. The existing apartments do not meet life, safety, fire, and accessibility codes. The new dorm buildings will have a larger capacity, accommodating concessioner employees who are currently housed in Lodge guest quarters, allowing the concessioner to rent the Lodge units to guests.

The concessioner's multi-use building interferes with the Glacier Bay Historic Lodge and blocks visitor access. The building does not meet current safety, fire or accessibility codes. The replacement building will be placed at the site of the demolished concessioner apartment buildings and will serve to support the functions of the concessioner housing and administrative area.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.4 Remove, Replace, or Dispose of Assets
- 3.2 Protect Employees / Improve Retention

# Investment Strategy (IS):

- Demolition of the structures will eliminate \$4.7 million in deferred maintenance.
- Replacement structures will meet current code requirements, and incorporate energy efficiencies.
- The project will improve the viability of the concession contract by increasing the number of rentable Lodge guest rooms.

<u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):</u> No action would mean the concessioner would continue to house their employees in housing that does not meet safety, structural fire, or accessibility standards. Additionally, the existing buildings are all poorly insulated and are inefficiently heated. Lodge guest rooms would also continue to be used for concession employee housing, reducing opportunity for concession revenue.

Existing dorms have no accessible rooms, no sprinklers, and no networked smoke detectors. Existing service building has documented safety and health violations including to storage load limitations, wiring concerns throughout, no accessible route to second floor, and lack of proper egress routes.

Ranking	Categories:			
FCI/API	(40%)	FCI <u>0.78</u>	API <u>39</u>	Score = 32.22
SB	(20%)			Score = 0.00

IS (20%)				Score = 5.7			
CFA (20%)	Score = 0.02						
Combined ranking factors = (.40 x API/FCI score) + (.20 x SB score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)							
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit		/	1	Total Project Score: 38.1			
VE Study: Scheduled: 7/21 Cor			L				
-	-	Proj	act Costs (	and Status			
		110j		Project Funding History (entire project):			
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b> (this PDS):		9	<b>6 %</b>	Appropriated to Date: \$ 0			
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$	(		Formulated in FY 21 Budget: \$ 19,267,710			
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	19,267,710		Future Funding to Complete Project: \$ 0			
Total:	\$	19,267,710		Total: \$ 19,267,710			
Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21		Actual	Planning and Design Funds: \$'s         Legacy Restoration Fund         Planning Funds Received in FY21:*       \$ 724,350         Design Funds Received in FY21:*       \$ 1,448,700         * These amounts for planning and design are included in the total formulated to the FY 2021 budget on this project data sheet.       DOI Approved:         Data Sheet       DOI Approved:				
Construction Award/Start: FY2 Project Complete: FY2	1/Q4 4/01	/	Preparec	d/Last Updated: 1/21 Yes			
110jeet complete. 112	<u>`</u>	nual Opers	ations & N	faintenance Costs \$			
Current: \$275,082	Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$Current: \$275,082Projected: \$269,545Net Change: \$5,537						
The annual O&M requirement repr		industry sta	indard mod	deled requirements for operational, preventative, and l realize operational savings due to energy efficiencies			

# NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet

Total Project Score/Ranking:	85.30			
Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$38,325,000			
Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund - Transportation				

Project Identification

Project Title: South Unit S	cenic Loops Slide Rep	pair				
Project Number: DOI #N0.	•		Unit/Facility Name: Theodore Roosevelt National Park			
Region/Area/District: Miss	ouri Basin		nal District: NDAL	State: ND		
		Project Justi	fication			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Before:		
40760100	49027	88		0.20		
The road is located from E	ast River Road interse	ection to Old Ea	st Entrance Station pul	n Theodore Roosevelt National Park. l off. This project will evaluate and d asphalt resurfacing along this		
e		· · · · ·		strian) since the summer of 2019 remain inaccessible until repaired.		
	of the South Unit's vis	sitors traveled th		Before the road was closed, he experience in the park. Total		
<ul> <li>1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access</li> <li>1.4 Remediate Poorest FCI Facilities</li> <li>2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance</li> <li>2.4 Remove, Replace, or Dispose of Assets</li> <li>3.1 Address Safety Issues</li> <li>4.1 Modernize Infrastructure</li> </ul> Investment Strategy (IS): Repairing this segment of failed roadway will restore park visitors' access to many significant trails, pullouts, and viewsheds that are unique and explain the nature of the badlands topography. This comprehensive						
project is a more efficient solution than addressing the issue in smaller phased repairs. <u>Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):</u> Without action, multiple segments of roadway will remain closed to visitors. The project addresses these multiple segments of the roadway that have failed or are showing symptoms of imminent failure. Unless corrected, the roadway segments that are showing signs of imminent failure will continue to have drainage issues and will still require future rehabilitation of the base course in order to achieve long term roadway stabilization. The project will also improve emergency response to the east side of the park. The current closure adds an additional 30-45 minutes onto emergency medical and fire response time.						
Ranking Categories:FCI/API $(40\%)$ FCI $\underline{0.20}$ API $\underline{88.00}$ Score = 40.00SB $(20\%)$ Score = 20.00IS $(20\%)$ Score = 20.00CFA $(20\%)$ Score = 5.30Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API/FCI score}) + (.20 \text{ x SB score}) + (.20 \text{ x IS score}) + (.20 \text{ x CFA score})$						
Capital Asset Planning VE Study: Scheduled: 03		Required: Yes	Total Project Score:	<u>:</u> 85.30		

Project Costs and Status					
Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):	\$	%	<b>Project Funding History</b>	(entire project):	
Deferred Maintenance Work:	\$38,325,000	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 750,000	
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 0	0	Formulated in FY21 Budg	get: \$38,325,000	
Total:	\$38,325,000	100	Future Funding to Comple	ete Project: \$ 0	
			Total:	\$39,075,000	
<b><u>Class of Estimate:</u></b> B Estimate Escalated to FY: 10/21			<b>Planning and Design Fund</b> Legacy Restoration Fund Planning Funds Received in Design Funds Received in I	n <b>FY21</b> :* \$ 450,000 F <b>Y21</b> :* \$ 3,000,000	
			Other Fund Sources (prior years)Planning Funds Received:\$ 0Design Funds Received:\$ 750,000		
			*These amounts for planning the total formulated to the F data sheet.	ng and design are included in FY21 budget on this project	
Dates: Sch	'd Actual	Project	Data Sheet	DOI Approved:	
Construction Award/Start: FY2	.2Q2 /	Prepareo	l/Last Updated: 1/21	Yes	
Project Complete: FY2	.3Q3				
	Annual Oper	ations & N	Iaintenance Costs \$		
Current: \$456,000	Projected	: \$456,000	Net Change: \$0		
The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.					

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR DEFERRED MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

			Total Project Score/Rank	king:	75.30
	AL PARK SERVICE oject Data Sheet		Planned Funding FY: 20	21	\$26,872,216
			Funding Source: Legacy	Restoratio	on Fund
		Project	Identification		
Project Title: Replace	Maintenance Facilities at Me	cFarland	Hill Headquarters		
Project Number: DOI	#N052, PMIS #151309A	Unit/	Facility Name: Acadia Nati	onal Park	
Region/Area/District:	North Atlantic -	Cana	ressional District: ME02		State: ME
Appalachian		Cong	ressional District: ME02		State: ME
		Project	Justification	-	
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:		FCI-Be	fore:
35100000	240946	30		0.60	
35100000	243884	30		0.66	
35100000	240959	30		0.37	
35100000	240958	30		0.67	
35410500	243891	7		0.71	
35410500	243888	7		0.71	
35410500	243892	7		0.71	
35410500	243885	7		0.25	
35410500	243894	7		0.25	
35410500	243887	7		0.71	
35410500	243898	7		0.55	
35410500	243893	7		0.71	
35410500	59957	50		0.94	
35410500	243890	7		0.71	
35410500	243886	7		0.71	
35410500	243889	7		0.71	
35410500	59947	50		0.55	
35600100	59951	50		0.56	
35600100	95959	69		0.00	
35600100	59960	50		0.83	
35600100	59941	50		0.87	
40660100	243472	48		0.90	
40710900	62392	71		0.86	
40711100	101992	42		0.00	
40750100	101997	23		0.24	
40750300	59889	15		0.03	
40760100	103248	48		1.00	

# **Project Description:**

This project will construct a new maintenance operations complex and demolish more than 20,000 square feet of unsafe park structures. Maintenance shops and equipment support spaces, restrooms, offices, workspaces, and community areas will be right-sized to meet required safety setbacks, safety zones around power tools, and have adequate ventilation.

The current maintenance structures are structurally unsound, undersized, and inadequate and do not meet accessibility, fire, egress, and code compliance requirements. The structures are not sufficient to perform the necessary level of daily effort to support the park's current visitation in a safe and code compliant environment. Additionally, the potable water at the current maintenance facilities are unsafe due to petroleum fuel contaminants.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.2 Improve ADA Accessibility
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 2.3 Reduce Annual Operating Costs
- 2.4 Remove, Replace, or Dispose of Assets
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 3.2 Protect Employees / Improve Retention
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

A corrective investment in the current facilities would exceed the cost of replacement. Engineering assessments of the current facilities raised concerns about structural failures, which could result in worker injuries. Other concerns include failing critical systems and various accessibility limitations. Demolition of the numerous structures will effectively cancel over \$4.0 million of deferred maintenance. The replacement facilities will improve workplace efficiencies, decrease heating and cooling costs, decrease fuel consumption, protect equipment investments from the elements, and improve accessibility.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

The existing facilities already impact operational efficiencies. Due to facility conditions, significant failure of one or more facilities—potentially harming employees or damaging equipment—is a current operational concern. Valuable work time is spent chasing non-public facing problems like sewage failures, roof leaks, wiring faults, furnace quirks, and false fuel alarms Replacing the old facilities will reduce unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance and other time that staff could spend in the field maintaining visitor facing facilities.

FCI/API	(40%)	FCI <u>0.36</u>	API <u>28.26</u>	Score = 29.39
SB	(20%)			Score = 5.91
IS	(20%)			Score = 20.00
CFA	(20%)			Score = 20.00

) Analysis Required	Total Project Score: 75.30			
Completed				
Projec	ct Costs and	Status		
\$	%		re proj \$	ect): 901,312
\$ 1,055,127 \$25,817,089	4 96	Formulated in FY <u>21</u> Budget:	\$	26,872,216
\$26,872,216	100	Future Funding to Complete Project: Total:	\$ \$	0 27,773,528
	Completed Project \$ \$ \$ 1,055,127 \$25,817,089	Project Costs and           \$ %           \$ 1,055,127         4           \$ 25,817,089         96	CompletedProject Costs and StatusProject Costs and Status\$ %Project Funding History (enti Appropriated to Date: Formulated in FY 21\$ 1,055,1274\$ 25,817,08996Budget: Future Funding to Complete\$ 26,872,216100Project:	CompletedProject Costs and StatusProject Costs and Status\$ %Project Funding History (entire proj Appropriated to Date:\$ 1,055,1274\$ 25,817,08996\$ 26,872,216100Project:

Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to F				Legacy Restoration Fu Planning Funds Receive Design Funds Receive	ved in FY21:*		1,733,401 1,444,501
				Other Fund Sources (p Planning Funds Receive Design Funds Receive *These amounts for pl in the total formulated	ved: d: anning and desi	-	
				project data sheet.		8	
Dates: Construction Award/Start: Project Complete:	<b>Sch'd</b> 04/22 04/23	<b>Actual</b> /	Actual <u>Project Data</u> _/ <u>Sheet</u> Prepared/Last 01/21 Updated:		<u>DOI Approv</u> YES	ved:	
<u> </u>	1	Annual Oper	ations & Maint	enance Costs \$	-		
Current: \$ 218,417		Projected	l: \$ 218,417	Net Change: \$ 0			

The annual O&M requirement represents industry standard modeled requirements for operational, preventative, and recurring maintenance activities. After assets are brought up to a state of good repair there will likely be a reduction in unscheduled emergency and corrective maintenance needed due to deterioration, but the annual O&M requirement for scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

NATIONAL DADIZ CEDVICE	Total Project Score/Ranking:	91.60		
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Planned Funding FY: 2021	\$9,965,000		
r loject Data Sheet	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund			
Project Identification				

		I roject identification				
Project Title: Stabilize Yo	rk River Shoreline					
Project Number: DOI # N	054, PMIS #316317A	Unit/Facility Name: Colonial National Historical Park				
Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian		Congressional District: VA02		State: VA		
Project Justification						
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Be	fore:		
40130400 116000		80	1.0			
		abilize portions of the York Riv horeline loss threaten the stabil		2		

eroding over the last 80 years. Further erosion and shoreline loss threaten the stability and alignment of Colonial Parkway and park archaeological sites. The project includes a combination of shoreline stabilization structures and marsh protection in two reaches of the York River. The work will include adding rock to increase the revetment height, installing new rock sills and breakwaters, and enhancing or adding wetland and marsh habitat. In addition, work will include installing new sheet piling and steep slope stabilization.

In 2006, the Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS) provided the park with a shoreline assessment and management recommendations. The analysis was based on the key criteria of geomorphology, energy/wave action, wind action, and the impact of past significant storms and hurricanes. The permanent solution outlined in this project will enhance existing revetments and breakwaters to accommodate sea level rise and withstand future storms according to FEMA +1 standards.

Upon completion of this project over three miles of York River Shoreline will be protected from further sloughing and loss, thus ensuring the continued stability and usability of the Colonial Parkway.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

- 1.1 Restore & Protect High Visitation / Public Use Facilities
- 1.3 Expand Recreation Opportunities and Public Access
- 2.1 Reduce or Eliminate Deferred Maintenance
- 3.1 Address Safety Issues
- 4.1 Modernize Infrastructure

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

• Another section of the shoreline is currently being restored, using other funding. This project will fund additional reaches protecting over three miles of York River Shoreline from further sloughing and loss.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to act will allow continued degradation, due to sea level rise and storm-induced erosion with the potential loss of the existing parkway, archaeological sites, and wetlands.

Ranking	<b>Categories:</b>					
FCI/API	(40%)	FCI <u>0.82</u>	API <u>80.00</u>	Score = 40.00		
SB	(20%)			Score = 20.00		
IS	(20%)			Score = 20.00		
CFA	(20%)			Score = 11.60		
Combined ranking factors = $(.40 \text{ x API/FCI score}) + (.20 \text{ x SB score}) + (.20 \text{ x IS score}) + (.20 \text{ x CFA score})$						
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Analysis Required: No Total Project Score: 91.60						
VE Study	: Scheduled <u>1</u>	<u>1/19</u> Completed <u>11/</u>	/19			

		Pro	ject Costs a	nd Status		
				Project Funding History (entire pro	ject):	
Project Cost Estimate(this F	DS):	\$	%	Appropriated to Date:	\$	737,072
Deferred Maintenance Work	: \$	7,315,929	73	Formulated in FY21 Budget:	\$	9,965,000
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	2,649,071	27	27 Future Funding to Complete Project: \$		\$(
Total:	\$	9,965,000	100	Total: \$ 10,702,07		10,702,072
Class of Estimate: B				Planning and Design Funds: \$s		
Estimate Escalated to FY: 10.	/21			Legacy Restoration Fund		
			Planning Funds Received in FY21:*	\$	0	
				Design Funds Received in FY21:*	\$	0
			Other Fund Sources (prior years)			
				Planning Funds Received FY19:		737,072
				Design Funds Received: \$		0
				*These amounts for planning and design are included in the total formulated to the FY21 budget on this project		
				data sheet.		1 5
Dates:	Sch'd	Actual	Project	Data Sheet DOI Appr	oved	:
Construction Award/Start:	FY22/Q1	/	Prepared/	Last Updated: 1/21 Yes		-
Project Complete:	FY23/Q3		_	_		
	A	ınual Oper	ations & Ma	aintenance Costs \$		
Current: \$9,000		Projected	: \$9,000	Net Change: \$0		
recurring maintenance activi	ties. After as	ssets are bro	ought up to a	eled requirements for operational, preven state of good repair there will likely be to deterioration, but the annual O&M re	a redi	uction in

scheduled maintenance is not expected to change. At this time, the NPS does not have specific figures and supporting analysis for O&M requirement changes due to the impact of modernization work included in projects.

## NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet

Total Project Score/Ranking: 74.61 / 20 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$40,456,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Replace Wastewater Plant at South Rim Village Project Number: GAOA ID #N055; NPS PMIS #257282 Unit/Facility Name: Grand Canyon National Park Region/Area/District: Lower Colorado Basin Congressional District: AZ01 State: AZ

Project Justification					
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:		
0	255012	77.00	0.00		
35500400	34560	88.00	0.50		
40710900	72089	78.00	0.38		

# **Project Description:**

This project will replace the South Rim Wastewater Treatment Plant (plant). The existing plant, constructed in the 1970s, services all visitor, resident staff housing, lodging, and support facilities at the Village South Rim of the Grand Canyon.

Maintenance/repair work will be reduced by replacing the control and lab building, headworks, aeration basins, solids digesters, dewatering system, pumps, tertiary treatment, generator, piping systems, and SCADA system. The project will also include upgrading the new control building with modern code compliant HVAC and electrical systems, adding fire alarms and fire suppression systems throughout the facility, construction of an equalization basin, adding a receiving and processing system for vault toilet waste.

The existing plant equipment and processes are outmoded, inefficient, and overloaded. The facility struggles treating current flows and loads to consistently meet Arizona State Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) permitted effluent water quality standards. Copper and ammonia levels are repeatedly exceeded during high flow periods.

There are health, safety and environmental concerns due to the lack of fire suppression systems and the existing basins and piping throughout the facility are corroded and continually leak. The continued increase in visitation and the conversion of low-flow fixtures over the last few years has increased wastewater concentration levels, placing additional strain on the current treatment processes, and increasing the bio-solid production. The current bio-solid de-watering and disposal process is time intensive and expensive, due to undersized and inefficient drying bed infrastructure.

In 2018, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality issued a Consent Order for this facility related to bio-solid disposal. The park has made significant operational adjustments that would be relieved with the new facility.

#### **Scope of Benefits (SB):**

The Wastewater Treatment Plant treats the wastewater generated by visitors to the South Rim of the Grand Canyon. The wastewater treatment protects the natural environment on the South Rim. The WWTP maintains a healthy environment for visitors and prevents disease.

## **Investment Strategy (IS):**

This project will address \$35M in maintenance/repair work. The modernized wastewater equipment will ensure the plant can efficiently process the peak season wastewater demands. The park currently supports the operation of this facility through cost recovery from parties using the utilities and will continue to recover costs for the new facility.

After project completion the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for approximately 25-40 years.

#### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Replacing the existing facility will eliminate repeated violations of the Arizona State Wastewater Permit and prevent future consent orders. Failure of the wastewater treatment plant could lead to a violation of their discharge permit, which would require the Wastewater Treatment Plant to shut down, closing visitation to the South Rim.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.37
API Rating:	n/a	81.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	39.70
SB Score:	(20%)	11.30
IS Score:	(20%)	19.56
CFA Score:	(20%)	4.05
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	74.61

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 11/2021

#### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	<b>Dollars in thousands</b>		Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	34,929	86
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	5,528	14
Total:	\$	40,456	100

#### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in thousands	
Funded to Date:	\$	7,543
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	40,456
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	47,999

Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 4,114
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 3,429
Planning Funds Received:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received:	\$ 0

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q2
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2025/Q1
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

## Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$146,000 Projected: \$144,000 Net Change: -\$2,000

# NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet

Total Project Score/Ranking: 90.90 / 27 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$7,624,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Schoodic Point Water and Wastewater Systems Project Number: GAOA ID #N056; NPS PMIS #312255 Unit/Facility Name: Acadia National Park Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian Congressional District: ME02 State: ME

Project Justification			
<b>DOI Asset Code</b>	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40710300	81105	65.00	0.22
40710900	81106	88.00	0.68

# **Project Description:**

This project will rehabilitate the potable water and the wastewater systems in Acadia's Schoodic District, which were constructed circa 1970s, modified at various times in the years following, and are aged beyond their useful lives. Over 250,000 visitors use facilities in this remote site each year. Improved water and wastewater treatment systems will decrease the likelihood of environmental contamination and compromised health and safety of visitors and employees.

The project addresses ongoing maintenance/repair work by upgrading the systems for continued service. Work includes renovation of deteriorated lift stations with new pumps, mechanical components, and controls; repair of the supervisory control and data acquisitions (SCADA) system; inspection and replacement of wastewater collection lines; well house reconstruction, and improvements to minimize freezing potential.

#### **Scope of Benefits (SB):**

Rehabilitation of these critical utility systems will allow for continuation of recreational opportunities for visitors at numerous developed areas. Facilities will remain open and visitor safety-related potable water and wastewater services will be improved.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Regular scheduled maintenance will remain unchanged after these facility improvements are completed. However, rehabilitation of aged and deteriorating water distribution and wastewater collection components will reduce future corrective and emergency maintenance repairs associated with those activities. Unscheduled maintenance due to system freezes and control failures will be significantly reduced, protecting public areas from wastewater leaks and allowing the park to redirect its staff to address deficiencies at other high priority facilities.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 30-40 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to proceed with this project will result in sections of the water system that continue to freeze during normal winter conditions, hampering fire protection capabilities and potable water availability. To combat freezing, the existing system must continually operate the well pump, wasting electrical energy and thousands of gallons of

water. Failure to address wastewater system needs may result in equipment failure, causing a significant health risk to visitors and employees from sanitary sewage overflows in numerous public use areas.

Water and wastewater utility systems at Schoodic will continue to require manual operation, dependent on constant on-site oversight by system operators. Without a SCADA system, discovering and responding to system issues after regular working hours will be challenging.

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.39
API Rating:	n/a	76.50
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	40.00
SB Score:	(20%)	10.90
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	20.00
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	90.90

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 09/2021

#### **Project Costs and Status**

# **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars	s in thousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	6,409	84
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	1,215	16
Total:	\$	7,624	100

# **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	<b>Dollars in thousands</b>	
Funded to Date:	\$	1,421
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	7,624
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	9,045
Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1		

#### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 775
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 646
Planning Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 0

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2023/Q3
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$202,000 Projected: \$202,000 Net Change: \$0

## NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet

Total Project Score/Ranking: 72.70 / 04 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$9,327,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Replace Mammoth Wastewater Collection System Project Number: GAOA ID #N059, NPS PMIS #311631 Unit/Facility Name: Yellowstone National Park Region/Area/District: Upper Colorado Basin Congressional District: WYAL State: WY

Project Justification				
DOI Asset Code FRPP Unique Id# API: FCI-Before:				
40710900	4268	88.00	1.00	

#### **Project Description:**

This project will rehabilitate the sewer main that collects and conveys wastewater from Mammoth Hot Springs in Yellowstone National Park to the wastewater treatment plant in Gardiner, Montana. The deteriorated condition of the sewer main results in significant plant maintenance costs and led the Gardiner Park County Water and Sewer District to bring a lawsuit against the National Park Service (NPS). In response, the NPS has replaced or rehabilitated the sections of pipe associated with the highest levels of infiltration.

A significant portion of this wastewater line is located directly under the park's North Entrance Road, which experiences heavy traffic during high-visitation months. Trenchless replacement methods will be used where possible to minimize disruption to traffic and damage and repairs to asphalt. Using "Cured-in-Place Pipe" (CIPP) to line existing pipe sections will make use of the infrastructure that is already underground while achieving the end goal of providing infrastructure that will last long into the future. Using pipe-bursting where possible will result in the installation of completely new sections of pipe but involve less excavation than traditional trenching. Both of these methods can achieve similar results as but cost substantially less than direct trenching.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

Completing the rehabilitation of the Mammoth to Gardiner sewer line will reduce groundwater infiltration, some of which is thermally influenced and contains arsenic, and reduce sludge contamination at the Gardiner wastewater treatment plant. The sludge will no longer be classified as hazardous waste and can be disposed of in a normal manner. Utilizing trenchless methods of pipe lining will ensure minimal disruption to visitors along the roadway as well as minimizing resource impacts.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Using trenchless methods will significantly reduce the cost of the project because excavation of trenches would impact road surfaces and other surface assets, which would need to be repaired. Rehabilitating and maintaining the existing sewer line also avoids the cost of having to construct and operate a separate wastewater treatment plant at Mammoth Hot Springs.

Following project completion, the line will require less corrective maintenance to address leaks and clogs. The newly installed pipe lining has an expected life cycle of 50 years and will prevent groundwater infiltration, thereby reducing the arsenic levels in the sludge at the Gardiner wastewater plant. Current arsenic levels in the sludge are high enough to be classified as hazardous waste, which drives up disposal costs.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 50 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

If this project is not accomplished, substantial groundwater infiltration will continue and is likely to increase over time as the sewer line and manholes continue to deteriorate. Costs for disposal of arsenic contaminated sludge (hazardous waste) may be passed on to the NPS and may even become a limiting factor of how much sewage, if any, the NPS can send to the Gardiner plant.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	1.00
API Rating:	n/a	88.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	40.00
SB Score:	(20%)	11.69
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	1.01
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	72.70

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 12/2021

### **Project Costs and Status**

# **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in tho		Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	9,327	100
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	0	0
Total:	\$	9,327	100

# **<u>Project Funding History (entire project):</u>**

History	Dollars in 1	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	1,738
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	9,327
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	11,065

# <u>Class of Estimate:</u> C

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 948
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 790
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2024/Q1
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 02/2022 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$646,000 Projected: \$646,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 55.0 / 34 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$21,963,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Demolish Outdated Infrastructure to Enhance Scenic Features and Visitor Experience Project Number: GAOA ID #N061, NPS PMIS #284991 Unit/Facility Name: Lake Mead National Recreation Area Region/Area/District: Lower Colorado Basin Congressional District: NV04, NV03 State: NV

Project Justification			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35240100	85372	7.00	0.90
35240100	39200	34.00	0.92
35240200	35548	25.00	0.85
35240200	102284	12.00	0.93
35240200	36980	25.00	0.86
35240200	102352	12.00	0.90
35240200	102347	12.00	0.90
35240200	102310	12.00	0.92
35240200	36979	25.00	0.81
35240200	236125	12.00	0.93
35240200	35543	65.00	0.86
35240200	102287	12.00	0.90
35240200	102353	12.00	0.90
35291700	85295	37.00	0.80
35291800	36098	12.00	0.87
35300200	36101	20.00	1.00
35300200	36102	13.00	1.00
35410500	36099	7.00	0.94
35410500	85374	7.00	0.85
35410500	85373	7.00	0.90
35410500	85375	7.00	0.87
35500200	39199	23.00	0.96
35500500	36153	52.00	0.86
35800500	39208	7.00	0.96
40130400	17969	41.00	1.00
40130400	43813	88.00	0.01
40130400	17987	58.00	1.00
40130400	17555	58.00	1.00
40130400	17934	58.00	1.00
40130400	17926	88.00	0.01
40130400	43812	88.00	0.01
40130400	43811	55.00	0.07
40130400	43814	58.00	0.98
40130400	18065	58.00	1.00

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40660100	42768	15.00	1.00
40660100	111476	15.00	0.80
40660100	42769	15.00	1.00
40710300	18074	27.00	0.94
40710300	17910	77.00	0.18
40710900	17672	88.00	0.12
40710900	17992	88.00	0.17
40710900	17912	88.00	0.39
40710900	17542	88.00	0.16
40710900	17594	88.00	0.18
40710900	18076	12.00	0.87
40710900	17974	88.00	0.18
40750100	17675	54.00	1.00
40760100	42186	53.00	0.85
40760100	43003	7.00	0.18
40760100	111471	15.00	0.82
40760100	111477	15.00	0.81
40760100	42255	12.00	0.89
40760200	42993	33.00	1.00

# **Project Description:**

This project restores the park's scenic features by removing unneeded, abandoned, and potentially dangerous structures and supporting infrastructure, and returns the sites to their natural conditions, enhancing the visitor experience.

Facilities will be decommissioned at Boulder Beach, Echo Bay, and Overton Beach. Demolition associated with water and wastewater systems include water tanks, a treatment plant and associated storage tanks, a treatment building, a wastewater system and lagoons, multiple mobile restrooms and dump stations, and comfort stations. The project will also demolish the Echo Bay Motel, concession facilities, a ranger station, housing units, a campground, a launch ramp, and all associated roads and parking areas, and utilities. Hazardous materials abatement will be conducted as necessary at all project locations.

The sites will be regraded and contoured to blend into the surrounding topography to facilitate proper storm water runoff and minimize erosion. All areas will be returned to their original condition with placement of native topsoil and desert plants harvested from within the park

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

The park has long sought to remove these deteriorating structures. Demolishing the structures will eliminate \$64 million of backlogged maintenance/repair work. In addition, a total of 8 acres will be restored to its natural condition to support the native flora and fauna, and natural stormwater runoff patterns will be reestablished to prevent erosion. The project will remove this "attractive nuisance" which eliminates the risk of vandalism, improving safety conditions for visitors and park staff, including mitigation of hazardous materials. The restored landscape will be safe and available for the public to enjoy.

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

This demolition will remove 36,000 square feet at the Echo Bay motel and 36,000 square feet at the Overton Beach complex from the park's Real Property Inventory—assets that would otherwise require some level of oversight and management to ensure they remain secure and maintained to a minimum level of safety. This project will also help reduce the frequency at which law enforcement have to respond to nuisance and vandalism calls. The park will be able to divert these resources to other, higher priority services and functions.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to address these issues will result in these sites becoming more of a burden and safety concern for maintenance and law enforcement staff. Life safety, health and code violations already create an unsafe and unhealthy environment for employees and visitors.

The abandoned buildings will continue to blight the surrounding area, with hazardous materials continuing to remain on-site. Ongoing deterioration of the buildings diminishes the natural beauty of all areas and will continue to divert park resources.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.29
API Rating:	n/a	35.13
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	29.83
SB Score:	(20%)	1.56
IS Score:	(20%)	14.68
CFA Score:	(20%)	8.93
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	55.0

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Completed 10/17

#### **Project Costs and Status**

# **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in th	ousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	5,279	24
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	16,684	76
Total:	\$	21,963	100

#### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	931
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	21,963
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	22,894

# Class of Estimate: C+

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

#### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 186
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 745
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2023/Q4
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$3,072,000 Projected: \$0 Net Change: -\$3,072,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 83.20 / 11 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$32,834,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund - Transportation

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Sections of Blue Ridge Parkway in Virginia GAOA ID #N062; NPS PMIS #256595 Unit/Facility Name: Blue Ridge Parkway Region/Area/District: South Atlantic - Gulf Congressional District: VA06, VA05 State: VA

#### **Project Justification**

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40660100	47742	93.00	0.33
40660100	47814	93.00	0.61
40660100	47816	93.00	0.45
40660100	87420	93.00	0.48
40660100	47740	93.00	0.32
40660100	47810	93.00	0.61
40660100	47813	93.00	0.61
40660100	47812	93.00	0.61
40760100	47775	90.00	0.35
40760100	226389	100.00	0.26
40760100	47549	100.00	0.78
40760100	47550	100.00	0.42
40760100	226391	100.00	0.26

# **Project Description:**

This project will rehabilitate and resurface the Blue Ridge Parkway sections 1L and 1M in Virginia. The primary objective of this project is to improve the condition and extend the life of the Blue Ridge Parkway mainline including slope stabilization along road segments in Virginia. Rehabilitation work would be comprised of resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation, as well as edge erosion rehabilitation, pavement marking, crack sealing, and light pavement patching. The project also includes signage and pavement markings improvements for sight and distance aimed at enhancing safety (MUTCD standard), installation of geogrid pavers to mitigate shoulder rutting and pavement edge erosion, shoulder stabilization with aggregate topsoil and turf establishment, stone curb removal and resetting, asphalt sidewalk reconstruction at overlook parking areas, guardrail and stone guardwall repair and reconstruction, and inspecting and evaluating culverts, headwalls, inlets, ditches, and outfalls for needed cleaning, reconditioning and replacement.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

This project will reconstruct failing features associated with two parkway segments in Virginia. The poor road conditions along the project segments contribute to an increased possibility for crashes and vehicle damage. Rehabilitating the mainline roadway and associated overlooks and parking area features will allow for continued safe enjoyment of the park's primary visitor recreational feature. The parkway receives approximately 15 million visitors per year. These parkway segments are high priority assets.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

This project will address approximately \$33 million of maintenance/repair work on several mission critical assets. The current average pavement condition rating (PCR) for the project area is rated as fair and will continue to deteriorate. With the completion of this project by 2024, the PCR will be rated as excellent. Following project completion, the NPS will initiate properly scheduled pavement management regimes (e.g., periodic preventative maintenance) to maintain the condition of the road and extend its life.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 25-40 years.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to complete this project will result in further deterioration of the pavement condition and associated roadway features. This will lead to loss of services, continued and increased risk to public and employee health and safety from road accidents, continued damage to public and private property, as well as increased damage to roadside natural resources.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.26
API Rating:	n/a	94.92
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	39.22
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	3.98
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	83.20

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 12/2021

#### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in thousa	ıds	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	32,834	100
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	0	0
Total:	\$	32,834	100

#### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	6,899
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund - (this PDS):	\$	32,834
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	39,733

# <u>Class of Estimate:</u> C

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 3,429
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 3,470
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2023/Q1
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2024/Q2
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

### Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$913,000 Projected: \$913,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 69.00 / 10 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$25,410,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund - Transportation

#### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Park Roads and Road Structures Project Number: GAOA ID #N063; NPS PMIS #317512 Unit/Facility Name: Great Smoky Mountains National Park Region/Area/District: South Atlantic - Gulf Congressional District: TN01, NC11 State: NC, TN

Project Justification			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40660100	60625	70.00	0.63
40660100	60627	70.00	0.70
40660100	59874	81.00	0.93
40660100	103530	70.00	0.08
40660100	60622	70.00	0.63
40660100	103536	73.00	0.49
40660100	60626	70.00	0.70
40660100	103538	62.00	0.08
40660100	103534	73.00	0.93
40660100	103532	73.00	0.08
40760100	58125	88.00	0.69
40760100	57821	71.00	0.50
40760100	57758	64.00	0.31
40760100	55726	100.00	0.18
40760100	57754	77.00	0.21
40760100	64185	88.00	0.08
40760100	57688	70.00	0.62
40760500	114547	100.00	0.19
40760500	60868	88.00	0.06
40760500	60800	100.00	0.02
40760500	62004	70.00	0.10

# **Project Description:**

This project will implement pavement preservation treatments and install pavement markings on Heintooga Ridge Road and Balsam Mountain Campground Road. Lakeview Drive East will also be repaved.

The Noland Creek Bridge will have its deck replaced and its bearings cleaned and painted. Work will also repair erosion at abutments, replace expansion joints, and repoint stone masonry wingwalls.

A portion of Newfound Gap Road will also be rehabilitated. The work includes repairing and rehabilitating guard walls, removing and resetting stone curb, replacing and repairing drainage structures. Drainage will be improved by stabilizing and reestablishing roadside turf ditches, and by overlaying and reconstructing asphalt and stone paved ditches. Additional work includes stabilizing and reseeding road shoulders, installing pavement markings, and replacing road signs.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

With annual visitation just over 12 million, many of the park visitors are navigating unfamiliar roads. Visitor experiences are enhanced by safe, well-marked, and smooth roads and bridges. Roads with good conditions mean that popular destinations are easier to access. Stabilization of road shoulders and edge of pavement will help reduce accidents and damage to roadside vegetation.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 25-40 years.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

While the project addresses significant backlogged maintenance, it also substantially reduces corrective maintenance by eliminating potholes, cracks, and dips from settling pavement. Completing this project now will arrest further degradation of the infrastructure and reduce the need for more expensive road rehabilitation and bridge rehabilitation or replacement in the future. This project will bring the road and road features into good condition.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Not implementing this work leaves these transportation assets on a "run to failure" course that will ultimately result in more expensive project investments in the future. Uneven road surfaces due to deteriorating pavement mean that visitors will continue to face safety risks of potholes, unstable shoulders, and poor roadway drainage.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.22
API Rating:	n/a	77.52
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	22.71
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	6.29
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	69.00

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 10/2021

# **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in	thousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	25,410	100
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	0	0
Total:	\$	25,410	100

# **<u>Project Funding History (entire project):</u>**

History	<b>Dollars in thousands</b>		sands
Funded to Date:	\$		4,095
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund (this PDS):	\$		25,410
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$		0
Total:	\$		29,505
Class of Estimate: B			
Estimate Escalated to FY 22/Q1			
Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):			
LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$	2,520	
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$	1,575	
Planning Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$	0	
Design Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$	0	
Major Milestones			
Construction Award/Start			
• Scheduled: FY 2023/Q1			
Actual: N/A			
Project Complete			
Scheduled: FY 2024/Q3			
<ul> <li>Actual: N/A</li> </ul>			
• Actual: N/A			
Project Data Sheet			
Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021			
DOI Approved: Yes			
11			

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$497,000 Projected: \$497,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 72.90 / 36 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$61,246,000 (change of -\$44,435,000 from the FY 2022 President's Budget) Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund - Transportation

#### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Sections of the Natchez Trace Parkway Project Number: GAOA ID #N064, NPS PMIS #254233 Unit/Facility Name: Natchez Trace Parkway Region/Area/District: Mississippi Basin Congressional District: MS02, MS01 State: MS

Project Justification			
<b>DOI Asset Code</b>	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40660100	67705	75	1.43
40660100	114924	75	5.09
40660100	67713	75	4.76
40660100	80260	75	2.41
40660100	80252	75	1.26
40660100	67712	75	6.13
40660100	80259	75	3.04
40660100	80255	75	3.23
40660100	67717	75	5.25
40660100	67711	75	10.96
40660100	80256	75	3.36
40660100	80253	75	1.52
40660100	67715	75	4.08
40660100	67709	75	4.05
40660100	80257	75	3.34
40660100	80254	75	5.35
40660100	67719	75	2.92
40660100	67707	55	6.91
40760100	67681	88	0.59
40760100	238509	75	1.23
40760100	80247	63	0.94
40760100	80245	88	0.87
40760100	80244	88	1.09
40760100	67701	63	0.86
40760100	67691	75	0.76
40760100	80240	100	0.65
40760100	67678	88	0.28
40760100	67668	100	0.50
40760100	80246	71	0.27
40760100	67695	63	1.22
40760100	104072	88	1.42
40760100	67694	75	0.70
40760100	67673	88	0.28
40760100	104073	88	1.43
40760100	104048	88	0.89
40760100	80243	88	1.23

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40760100	67697	63	0.82
40760500	76103	100	0.15
40760500	76147	100	0.05
40760500	76137	100	0.07
40760500	76129	100	0.10
40760500	76125	100	0.16
40760500	76112	100	0.04
40760500	76109	100	0.04
40760500	76099	100	0.25
40760500	76096	100	0.25
40760500	76146	100	0.10
40760500	76144	100	0.09
40760500	76131	100	0.06
40760500	76130	100	0.21
40760500	76127	100	0.34
40760500	76124	100	0.04
40760500	76101	100	0.09
40760500	76149	100	0.02
40760500	76139	100	0.14
40760500	76126	100	0.29
40760500	76123	100	0.17
40760500	76122	100	0.25
40760500	76120	100	0.11
40760500	76117	100	0.06
40760500	76113	100	0.17
40760500	76105	100	0.04
40760500	76095	100	0.17
40760500	76142	100	0.17
40760500	76119	100	0.07
40760500	76108	100	0.03
40760500	76106	100	0.02
40760500	76104	100	0.11
40760500	76098	100	0.25
40760500	76148	100	0.04
40760500	76135	100	0.22
40760500	76111	100	0.17
40760500	76107	100	0.12
40760500	76097	100	0.32
40760500	76136	100	0.32
40760500	76133	77	0.09
40760500	76121	100	0.08
40760500	76145	100	0.09
40760500	76102	100	0.06
40760500	76102	100	0.05
40760500	76094	100	0.03
40760500	76093	100	0.25
40760500	76141	100	0.25
	76140	100	0.08
40760500			
40760500	76134	100	0.21
40760500	76132	100	0.07
40760500	76118	100	0.10 0.07
40760500	76115	100	10.07

### **Project Change Justification:**

Project scope was reduced to address project cost changes and accommodate addition of contingency set-aside in FY 2022. The scope to complete this project is under consideration for future LRF funding. This current phase and a future phase will improve approximately 50 miles of the Natchez Trace Parkway. In addition, and subject to determination on an FY 2021 Nationally Significant Federal Lands and Tribal Projects (NSFLTP) grant application, approximately 30 miles of similar scope of roadway could be rehabilitated in addition to the scope described in this project. If the NPS receives the NSFLTP grant from the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT), the additional road work will be accomplished in a separate phase.

# **Project Description:**

This project will improve approximately 50 miles of the Natchez Trace Parkway, including multiple public access routes and parking lots. The work includes rehabilitating the pavement structure by milling deteriorated pavement and making base and subgrade improvements as needed. Work also includes placement of new asphalt base and surface courses; sealing existing bridge joints; installing audible pavement markings and safety edges to reduce the number of accidents; repairing culverts; repairing bridges as recommended in Bridge Inspection Reports; and making accessibility improvements to parking areas (including ramps, striping, etc.) to ensure the facilities meet Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

The parkway receives approximately 6 million recreational visitors per year, and as many as 14 million visitors total. This section represents roughly one fifth of the total parkway length. In addition to the more stable and manageable road and bridge surfaces, safety of the mainline parkway will be increased for both motorists and bicyclists with the incorporation of audible pavement markings and an asphalt safety edge. A safety edge is an angled edge of pavement which provides a more manageable transition from the shoulder to pavement to prevent overcorrection. Additional work will ensure that visitor parking facilities will meet accessibility standards.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Repairing significant maintenance/repair work of the parkway will improve the condition of the parkway. Planned operations and maintenance activities will remain constant, however, improved conditions resulting from the project will allow for operations and maintenance to be focused on preventative maintenance rather than corrective and unscheduled maintenance.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 25-40 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Without this work, the condition of the pavement will continue to deteriorate, creating rough and uneven driving surface and substantial edge rutting. Fatalities have increased on the parkway in recent years, from around 6 in 2005 to 11 in 2017; many resulted from lane departures, which may have been prevented by audible safety edges. Unless this project is completed, the roadway will continue to deteriorate, driving up the costs for future repairs, and leaving drivers without basic safety and accessibility improvements.

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.44
API Rating:	n/a	90.42
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	33.08
SB Score:	(20%)	19.82
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.00
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	72.90

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

# **Project Costs and Status**

# **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in	thousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	61,246	100
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	0	0
Total:	\$	61,246	100

# **Project Funding History (entire project):**

	<b>Dollars in thousands</b>	
	\$	10,746
	\$	61,246
	\$	44,435
ope :	\$	43,400
	\$	159,827
\$	5,746	
	5,000	
\$	0	
\$	0	
	-	\$ \$ ope : \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$2,899,000 Projected: \$2,899,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 60.10 / 03 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$45,200,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund - Transportation

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Sections of the East Rim Drive Project Number: GAOA ID #N065, PMIS ID #241696 Unit/Facility Name: Crater Lake National Park Region/Area/District: California – Great Basin Congressional District: OR02 State: OR

#### **Project Justification DOI Asset Code** FRPP Unique Id# API: FCI-Before: 40660100 75513 58.00 0.49 40660100 75512 58.00 1.00 40660100 75501 56.00 0.01 40660100 75514 58.00 1.00 40660100 75499 58.00 0.00 40660100 75497 58.00 0.00 40660100 75511 58.00 0.04 40660100 75503 50.00 0.19 40660100 75507 58.00 0.16 40660100 75508 58.00 0.21 40660100 75506 58.00 0.04 40660100 75504 67.00 0.03 40660100 75509 58.00 0.06 75498 40660100 58.00 0.00 40660100 75515 50.00 0.10 40760100 75125 52.00 0.07 40760100 74788 81.00 0.23

# **Project Description:**

This project will improve approximately 19 miles of roadway on East Rim Drive with a combination of pavement overlays and full depth pavement rehabilitation. Guard wall repair will be completed on several historic rock walls that have been damaged by rockfall. This project will also rehabilitate a portion of road pavement on the Cloudcap Spur Road. All associated parking areas along the East Rim Drive will be rehabilitated and will include appropriate accessibility-compliant slopes, markings, curb cuts, accessible walkways, and overlooks that comply with Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

East Rim Road extends along the southern, eastern, and northern rim of the Crater Lake caldera. This project will eliminate all backlog maintenance related to this road's features and will provide greater visitor access to this side of the park. This project will also serve to stabilize a significant historic resource and allow visitors to experience a smooth and stable historic roadway alignment by rehabilitating the narrow, wavy, pot-holed, and rockfall damaged pavement currently associated with the existing route. It will also apply modern safety standards for sight lines, curvature, and elevation changes, that will be balanced with the need to preserve the historic integrity of the roadway. Rehabilitation of the road will ensure a consistent travel width and a more stable shoulder.

This section of Rim Road, designed by the Bureau of Public Roads, retains the greatest historic integrity of all remaining park road features. East Rim also reveals the National Park Service's roadside landscape design intent of the period, a unique design feature of Crater Lake that will be preserved for the enjoyment of future generations.

Improving the facilities in this less-visited area of the park is key to the park's strategy to create quality visitor experiences that will allow them to disperse use from areas of the park that receive heavier visitor use. East Rim is currently one of the less visited areas of the park, where visitors can enjoy natural quiet and dark skies.

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

The total cost of facility ownership will be reduced significantly when the roadway is repaired using modern engineering techniques and standards. Planned operations and maintenance activities will remain constant, however, improved conditions resulting from the project will allow for operations and maintenance to be focused on preventative maintenance rather than corrective and unscheduled maintenance. Repair of the road will also serve to better protect the lake and the park's natural and cultural resources. The improved roadway grades will divert stormwater from entering Crater Lake's pristine and famously clear water. Drainage features will be repaired to prevent further erosion issues that are prevalent throughout East Rim Drive as a result of the highly erosive soils. Visitors, concessions operations, and Commercial Use Permits will have safer and more reliable access to the road throughout the open season.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 20-30 years.

#### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

This road is structurally failing, posing risks to visitor safety and the integrity of a significant historic resource. East Rim Road was constructed using 1930s technology and methods, and little change to the road base or alignment has occurred since that time. As a result, larger modern vehicles will continue to be driven too fast on narrow, windy, bumpy, and inconsistent road surfaces, posing a safety concern for all travelers. The existing safety concerns extend beyond just vehicle traffic. Bicyclist safety will decrease because bicycle tires are particularly vulnerable to the poor quality of the road surface. Additionally, drainages would not be repaired and would continue to threaten the quality of critically important water resources. Access to this section of roadway could be reduced due to current or worsening conditions. Seasonal opening of this road will continue to be delayed in the spring/early summer as critical repairs are made, which impacts the visiting public's ability to access the views, campground, and other experiences on the east side of Crater Lake.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.21
API Rating:	n/a	58.47
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	31.06
SB Score:	(20%)	14.06
IS Score:	(20%)	14.98
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.00
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	60.10

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Completed 07/2020

# **Project Costs and Status**

# **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	<b>Dollars in thous</b>	ands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	45,200	100
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	0	0
Total:	\$	45,200	100

# **Project Funding History (entire project):**

story Dollars in tho		thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	1,715
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund (this PDS):	\$	45,200
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	46,915

# Class of Estimate: A

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 1,300
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 100
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 126
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 189

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q3
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2027/Q1
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$479,000 Projected: \$479,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 80.70 / 22 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$7,673,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Floyd Bennett Field Wastewater Collection System at Jamaica Bay Project Number: GAOA ID #N066, NPS PMIS #291651 Unit/Facility Name: Gateway National Recreation Area Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian Congressional District: NY08 State: NY

Project Justification			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40710900	77589	77.00	1.00

# **Project Description:**

This project will rehabilitate the Floyd Bennett Field (FBF) sanitary sewer system at the Jamaica Bay unit of Gateway National Recreation Area. The scope of the project includes elimination of two lift stations and replacement or new construction of several other lift stations. The existing gravity sewer piping will be replaced as needed and will be cleaned, grouted, and relined where feasible and financially prudent. The active portions of the wastewater collection systems (piping, manholes, lift stations) are beyond their expected lifecycle, require an increasing amount of repair, and need to be replaced. The 1,000-acre FBF, a former metropolitan airport and naval air station, now supports multiple NPS educational and recreation opportunities, and several tenant and partner sites.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

This project will improve the degree to which NPS structures and systems are compliant with life safety codes and other mandates. The wastewater collection system modifications will reduce the number of lift stations to be maintained and equip the master lift station with the adequate pumping capacity to handle all campus waste and discharge it through the force main to the Rockaway municipal wastewater system outside of the park. Rehabilitation or replacement of lift stations with modern technology will reduce the frequency of corrective maintenance and outages. Manhole maintenance and pipe re-lining will restore the condition of the wastewater collection system and ensure reliable service to the buildings and facilities at the FBF campus. Visitors and partner agencies will be able to enjoy all of the FBF facilities with little or no interruptions due to wastewater system failures.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

The project supports financial sustainability efforts, by eliminating the continued need for frequent and expensive corrective repairs. Completion of this project supports the health and safety of park operations, and supports visitors, staff, and partners served by the system.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 40-50 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

The existing wastewater collection piping systems and major components of the sewage lift stations are far beyond their expected lifecycle. Total system failure becomes more likely as the system components continue to degrade

over time. Increasing equipment failures, groundwater infiltration, and the need for emergency repairs will continue to occur until the system is rehabilitated. The older lift stations, manholes, and piping will also require increasingly frequent corrective repairs, resulting in further service outages. Working conditions and employee safety at NPS sites will not be improved and nearby resources will not be protected from wastewater contamination.

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	1.00
API Rating:	n/a	77.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	40.00
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.70
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	80.70

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 02/2022

### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	De	ollars in thou	isands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$		7,673	100
Capital Improvement Work:	\$		0	0
Total:	\$		7,673	100
Project Funding History (entire project):				
History	De	ollars in thou	isands	
Funded to Date:	\$		1,431	
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$		7,673	
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$		0	
Total:	\$		9,104	
Class of Estimate: C Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1 Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):				
LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$	780		
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$	650		
Planning Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$	0		
Design Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$	0		
Major Milestones				
Construction Award/Start				
• Scheduled: FY 2022/Q3				
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i$				

• Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2024/Q3
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$571,000 Projected: \$571,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 82.30 / 19 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$26,789,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund - Transportation

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Sections of Blue Ridge Parkway in North Carolina Project Number: GAOA ID #N067, NPS PMIS #317466 Unit/Facility Name: Blue Ridge Parkway Region/Area/District: South Atlantic - Gulf Congressional District: NC10, NC11 State: NC

Project Justification			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40660100	48764	93.00	0.21
40660100	87291	93.00	0.29
40660100	48770	93.00	0.34
40660100	48766	93.00	0.21
40660100	48765	93.00	0.31
40660100	48769	93.00	0.33
40660100	48767	93.00	0.33
40660100	87290	93.00	0.24
40660100	48768	93.00	0.33
40660100	87268	93.00	0.31
40760100	226395	100.00	0.30
40760500	4825	100.00	0.01
40760500	4826	100.00	0.02
40761000	4831	100.00	0.03
40761000	4830	100.00	0.09
40761000	4829	100.00	0.01
40761000	4828	100.00	0.02

# **Project Description:**

This project will rehabilitate and resurface the Blue Ridge Parkway sections 2M and 2N in North Carolina. The primary objective of this project is to improve the condition and extend the life of the Blue Ridge Parkway mainline including slope stabilization along road segments in North Carolina.

Rehabilitation work would be comprised of resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation, as well as edge erosion rehabilitation, pavement marking, crack sealing, and light pavement patching. The project also includes signage and pavement markings improvements for sight and distance aimed at enhancing safety (MUTCD standard), installation of geogrid pavers to mitigate shoulder rutting and pavement edge erosion, shoulder stabilization with aggregate topsoil and turf establishment, stone curb removal and resetting, asphalt sidewalk reconstruction at overlook parking areas, guardrail and stone guardwall repair and reconstruction, and inspecting and evaluating culverts, headwalls, inlets, ditches, and outfalls for needed cleaning, reconditioning and replacement.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

This project will reconstruct failing features associated with two parkway segments in North Carolina. The poor road conditions along the project segments contribute to an increased possibility for crashes and vehicle damage.

Rehabilitating the mainline roadway and associated overlooks and parking area features will allow for continued safe enjoyment of the park's primary visitor recreational feature. The parkway receives approximately 15 million visitors per year. These parkway segments are high priority assets.

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

This project will address approximately \$27M of maintenance/repair work on several mission critical assets. The current average pavement condition rating (PCR) for the project area is rated as fair and will continue to deteriorate. With the completion of this project by 2024, the PCR would be rated as excellent. Following project completion, the NPS will initiate properly scheduled pavement management regimes (e.g., periodic preventative maintenance) to maintain the condition of the road and extend its life.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 25-40 years.

#### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to complete this project will result in further deterioration of the pavement condition and associated roadway features. This will lead to loss of services, continued and increased risk to public and employee health and safety from road accidents, continued damage to public and private property, as well as increased damage to roadside natural resources.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.27
API Rating:	n/a	95.88
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	39.87
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	2.43
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	82.30

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 12/2021

# **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in thousands		Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	26,789	100
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	0	0
Total:	\$	26,789	100

#### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in thousands	
Funded to Date:	\$	4,441
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration (this PDS):	\$	26,789
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	31,230

<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 2,215
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 2,214
Planning Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 4
Design Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 8

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2023/Q1
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2024/Q2
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$757,000 Projected: \$757,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 89.10 / 06 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$36,577,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Stabilize Alcatraz Wharf Project Number: GAOA ID #N068, NPS PMIS #215726 Unit/Facility Name: Golden Gate National Recreation Area Region/Area/District: California – Great Basin Congressional District: CA12 State: CA

Project Justification				
<b>DOI Asset Code</b>	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
40130400	97524	100.00	0.79	

#### **Project Description:**

This project will make critical repairs to and seismically strengthen the concrete wharf on Alcatraz Island, a contributing feature of the Alcatraz Island National Historic Landmark District built in 1939. Work will include repair of the historic, steel-cased concrete piles, concrete beams, and concrete slabs. These elements are in fair to poor condition, with varying degrees of damage. Two new seismic resisting elements will be installed to bring the wharf up to a seismic Risk Category III structure. Concrete repairs and seismic improvements will be undertaken in a single phase of construction.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

The Alcatraz wharf has a direct connection to visitor recreation and the preservation of the Alcatraz National Historical Landmark District, an iconic international tourist destination for 1.6 million annual visitors, and a significant feature of the San Francisco Bay Area. This project ensures the wharf will be safe for visitor and staff use. It prevents loss of a contributing historic structure to a national historic landmark site and addresses \$33 million of maintenance/repair work and facility deficiencies.

The project will result in significant operational benefits. In particular, the strengthened pier will allow uninterrupted access to Alcatraz facilities not only for visitors, but also for contractors who will perform numerous future rehabilitation projects on the island. It also ensures protection of park assets and visitor amenities located on the wharf such as restrooms, dock office and store, interpretive programs and exhibits, accessible site furnishings, and the accessible tram.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Stabilization of the island's only point of access ensures that visitors have consistent access to the island, and that future project work can be completed more efficiently. This project builds on more than \$1 million in previous repairs to the concrete wharf completed by the NPS in 2001, in addition to improvements to the gangway and replacement of fender piles by the concessionaire in 2010 and 2018. A single phase of construction will save on contractor mobilization and more effectively use leveraged partner funds that were provided to complete the design.

Operations and maintenance will be equally shared between the NPS and the Concessionaire, which uses the wharf for visitor access. Maintaining Alcatraz's access ensures more than \$60 million in annual NPS revenues from these continued visitor services, much of which funds other park projects.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 40-75 years.

#### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to complete this project would have significant impacts to the National Historic Landmark District. Without action, deterioration of the concrete wharf will continue to accelerate which could eventually limit or restrict access to Alcatraz Island. This would impact the recreational access and programming for 1.6 million annual visitors.

Failure to complete this project would also impact other assets along with natural and cultural resources on Alcatraz. The wharf is the single point of access, and its structural issues may eventually limit or restrict staff working on the island to complete other projects. Future work on historic buildings could be halted and buildings could fall into a state of neglect. Regular Alcatraz operations (including emergency operations) would be significantly impacted and constrained. Collapse of the wharf could also cause impacts to the aquatic habitat of the San Francisco Bay. The wharf supports the island's water and wastewater infrastructure, and a diesel fuel line for the island's power generating system. Should the wharf fail, the island's basic infrastructure would suffer a significant interruption in service, and the damaged systems could leak or discharge into the Bay.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.79
API Rating:	n/a	100.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	32.00
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	17.10
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	89.10

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Completed 12/2020

#### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in th	ousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	32,872	90
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	3,705	10
Total:	\$	36.577	100

### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	2,850
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	36,577
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	39,427

#### Class of Estimate: B

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 750
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 0
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 2,100

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2025/Q4
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

#### Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$11,000 Projected: \$11,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 67.70 / 15 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$27,352,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate and Repair Structures and Landscapes Project Number: GAOA ID #N072; NPS PMIS #317529 Unit/Facility Name: Minute Man National Historical Park Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian Congressional District: MA03, MA05 State: MA

Project Justification				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
35100000	64145	70	0.56	
35290100	63979	93	0.25	
35290800	64211	87	0.48	
35290800	64153	93	0.29	
35291700	64102	85	0.55	
35291700	64231	93	0.34	
35291700	64070	12	0.87	
35291700	64087	70	0.96	
35300200	64084	65	1.00	
35300200	64092	23	1.00	
35300200	63671	93	0.71	
35300200	63971	85	1.00	
35300200	64133	83	1.00	
35300300	64063	41	1.00	
35800500	64085	88	0.21	
40750300	65326	80	0.05	
40750300	65324	80	0.08	
40750300	65331	80	0.03	
40750300	241976	80	1.00	
40750300	65333	72	0.05	
40750300	65328	87	0.06	
40750300	65332	87	0.03	
40750300	65330	80	0.03	
40750300	65327	87	0.47	
40750300	63954	80	0.08	
40750300	64212	80	0.09	
40750300	65329	80	0.10	
40751100	65167	65	1.00	
40760100	107006	88	0.00	
40760100	107001	88	0.00	
40760200	63940	93	1.00	
40760200	81695	80	0.27	
40760800	71755	58	0.07	
40760800	116825	51	0.15	

# Project Justification

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40760800	71765	51	0.07
40760800	71764	58	0.16
40760800	71760	58	0.20
40760800	71761	58	0.22
40760800	71757	58	0.22
40780100	63955	37	1.00
40780100	63997	29	1.00
40780100	63958	48	0.49
40780300	63939	80	0.06
40780300	64276	40	0.69
40780300	63956	80	0.04
40780300	63941	52	0.84
40780300	64234	31	0.69
40780300	63957	80	1.00
40780300	63959	80	0.02
40780300	64058	52	0.37

# **Project Description:**

This project includes rehabilitation of building exteriors, interiors, and systems at fifteen historic structures, including eight witness structures, ten cultural landscapes, a section of the Battle Road Trail, thirteen monuments, and replacement of more than three hundred signs.

Rehabilitation work on witness structures includes the Major John Buttrick House, Elisha Jones House, James Carty Barn, Farwell Jones House, East Quarter School House, George Hall House, Stow Hardy House, Sam Brooks House, Hartwell Tavern, Park Ranger Headquarters at the Rego House, Captain William Smith House, Jacob Whittemore House, Wayside House and Barn, Joshua Brooks House, and the Inferrera House and Garage. Monuments and Plaques will be conserved. Septic systems will be replaced. Cultural landscapes throughout the park will be rehabilitated. Damaged and missing signs will be replaced. Sections of 5.5-mile Battle Road Trail will be repaired from Meriam's Corner to Fiske Hill.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

The combined undertakings in this project will return primary historic structures and landscapes to good condition. Rehabilitated historic buildings may be used for park operations or may be leased. The Battle Road Trail and North Bridge Trail will be rehabilitated. The park's deteriorated and missing signs will be replaced, and 13 monuments will receive conservation treatments. All of this work will be accomplished in time for 2025, which will celebrate the 250<sup>th</sup> anniversary of "the shot heard round the world" in April 1775, and the USA 250th Anniversary.

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Leases will help generate revenue that will be reinvested to maintain those structures. The work associated with this project will also provide the park with revenue to address annualized preventative maintenance and recurring maintenance requirements for each asset, along with project scopes and cost estimates for cyclic maintenance activities beyond the park's capacity for submission for project funding.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 25-40 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Not accomplishing the work associated with these proposed actions will severely constrain the park's ability to successfully implement its Strategic Investment Strategy. In addition, the condition of the parks primary resources will remain deteriorated during the USA 250<sup>th</sup> Anniversary celebrations, negatively impacting the visitor experience.

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.18
API Rating:	n/a	69.38
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	27.05
SB Score:	(20%)	16.63
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	4.02
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	67.70

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 04/2021

### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in th	ousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	25,618	94
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	1,734	6
Total:	\$	27,352	100

# **<u>Project Funding History (entire project):</u>**

History	Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	2,370
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	27,352
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	29,722

Class of Estimate: C+ Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

#### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 1,185
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 1,185
Planning Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 0

### **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

• Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4

• Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2024/Q4
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$627,000 Projected: \$595,000 Net Change: -\$32,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 66.80 / 08 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$7,125,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Restore Canal Prism and Historic Dry Stone Wall Project Number: GAOA ID #N073; NPS PMIS #241449 Unit/Facility Name: Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian Congressional District: MD08 State: MD

Project Justification				
<b>DOI Asset Code</b>	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
40180300	253580	80.00	0.03	
40751100	251526	100.00	0.81	
40800000	49958	27.00	0.63	

# **Project Description:**

This project will restore a portion of the deteriorated canal prism and historic dry stone stacked wall located adjacent to the towpath in the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park. Remediation will consist of restoring the canal prism through the removal of vegetation, silt, and debris; backfilling voids behind the wall and underneath the canal prism; installing an underdrain/liner system to intercept future groundwater; restoring the clay liner to prevent leakage from the canal; stabilizing adjacent tributaries to prevent additional sediment from accumulating within the canal; stabilizing the existing dry stone wall; and restoring the work area.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

Benefits of this project include reducing the risk of failure of the aging dry laid stone wall and potential impairment of the Potomac Interceptor, a major sewer line adjacent to the wall beneath the canal prism. The project will also restore hydraulic connectivity within the canal prism—in December 2015 and January 2016, sinkholes developed adjacent to the towpath in the canal prism forcing the park to dewater this reach to make interim repairs. Completing this project will allow the section to be rewatered and will significantly reduce the amount of water infiltrating the wall foundation, preventing future stability issues. Reestablishing water flow connectivity avoids stagnant water and allows recreational visitors to enjoy the canal in its historic appearance. Water flow also supports the interpretive canal boat operation in Georgetown.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

This project will mitigate problems in the project area before they culminate in failure. Repairs will permit the NPS to focus existing operations and maintenance funding on preventative maintenance to sustain the asset in good condition.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 40-50 years.

#### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

As conditions continue to deteriorate, risks of wall failure will continue to accumulate. Consequences of failure to act include life safety, environmental concerns due to rupture of the 65 million gallon per day wastewater line adjacent to the wall, loss of towpath continuity, and continued lack of hydraulic connectivity along the canal prism.

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.06
API Rating:	n/a	69.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	24.01
SB Score:	(20%)	14.64
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	8.15
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	66.80

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Not Required

#### **Project Costs and Status**

# **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in tho		Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	5,577	78
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	1,548	22
Total:	\$	7,125	100

# **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in thousands	
Funded to Date:	\$	706
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	7,125
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	7,831

# Class of Estimate: B

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 0
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 0
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 385
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 321

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q3
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2024/Q2
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 02/2022 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

 Current:
 \$250,000

 Projected:
 \$250,000

 Net Change:
 \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 72.70 / 14 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$128,674,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund - Transportation

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Sections of the Colonial Parkway Project Number: GAOA #N074, NPS PMIS #317459 Unit/Facility Name: Colonial National Historical Park Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian Congressional District: VA01, VA02 State: VA

Project Justification				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
40660100	56260	79.00	0.07	
40660100	56258	79.00	0.60	
40660100	56259	79.00	0.06	
40760100	99197	45.00	0.31	
40760100	99201	42.00	0.30	
40760100	99202	44.00	0.19	
40760100	49952	100.00	0.36	
40760100	99203	32.00	0.30	
40760100	99196	45.00	0.33	
40760100	102867	44.00	1.00	
40760100	99199	42.00	0.70	
40760100	102864	44.00	1.00	
40760100	99204	32.00	0.29	
40760100	99200	42.00	0.34	
40760500	51116	87.00	0.09	
40760500	51121	87.00	0.08	
40760500	51107	87.00	0.19	
40760500	49992	100.00	0.29	
40760500	49990	100.00	0.04	
40760500	51120	87.00	0.13	
40760500	51119	87.00	0.15	
40760500	49991	100.00	0.34	
40760500	49989	100.00	0.25	
40760500	110735	100.00	0.06	
40761000	51125	100.00	0.13	

# **Project Description:**

This project will repair, rehabilitate, and reconstruct approximately 10 miles of the oldest sections of the Colonial Parkway, from Yorktown through Williamsburg, including associated roadway-related components.

Work will include replacing exposed aggregate concrete pavement and exposed aggregate curbs; patching asphalt pavement on access ramps; rehabilitating bridges; rehabilitating the Williamsburg tunnel to include safety upgrades; addressing culverts and historic brick headwalls; reconditioning shoulders and ditches; replacing steel-

backed timber guardrails; installing additional steel-backed timber guardrail; replacing pavement markings; replacing traffic signs; and installing stormwater management systems that incorporate best management practices.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

This project would fund the first major, holistic rehabilitation project since the Parkway's full length was opened for traffic in 1957. It will address serious deficiencies in five roadway segments, bridges, pull-offs, access ramps, drainage structures, road shoulders, signs, and guardrails within those sections, as well as the Williamsburg Tunnel. Original historic fabric and materials will be preserved in place wherever feasible.

The rehabilitated parkway, bridges, and tunnel in these roadway sections will provide safer and more efficient vehicular access for 2 million annual visitors. Approximately 60 percent of the pavement surface in these segments will be replaced. Rehabilitation of the bridges will improve their bridge health index and extend their lifecycle. Rehabilitation to the stormwater drainage systems and improvements achieved by incorporating best management practices will decrease erosional impacts to cultural and natural resources associated with the Chesapeake Bay.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Completion of this project will ensure restoration and protection of the highly visited, heavily traveled, Colonial Parkway. Modernization of this critical infrastructure will provide an extended lifecycle of 40-50 years. The park will incorporate preventative maintenance activities to maintain the improved condition of the roadway, bridges, tunnel, and drainage features.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to fund this project will result in the continued degradation of the Parkway and its associated structures, increased visitor safety concerns, and will require a larger investment to correct these deficiencies. The condition of these assets will experience more rapid degradation the longer that the current needs remain unaddressed. Visitor safety will continue to decrease while resource damage will continue to increase. Drivers will continue to endure deteriorating pavement, inadequate guardrails and barriers, poor drainage, poor tunnel lighting, bridge wall spalling and joint failures, inadequate traffic markings, and deteriorating signage.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.33
API Rating:	n/a	71.36
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	39.45
SB Score:	(20%)	17.28
IS Score:	(20%)	15.88
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.09
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	72.70

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Completed 06/2015

#### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in thousands		Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	128,164	100
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	510	0
Total:	\$	128,674	100

# **<u>Project Funding History (entire project):</u>**

History Dollars in thousa		sands	
Funded to Date:	\$		18,236
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund - (this PDS):	\$		128,674
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$		0
Total:	\$		146,910
Class of Estimate: B-			
Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1			
Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):			
LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$	6,376	
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$	11,752	
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$	43	
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$	65	
Major Milestones			
Construction Award/Start			
• Scheduled: FY 2023/Q1			
• Actual: N/A			
Project Complete			
• Scheduled: FY 2025/Q1			
<ul> <li>Actual: N/A</li> </ul>			
• Actual N/A			
Project Data Sheet			
Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021			
DOI Approved: Yes			
DOI Approved: Yes			

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$474,000 Projected: \$474,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 66.3 / 13 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$22,969,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Replace Morefield and Wetherill Water Lines Project Number: GAOA ID #N075, NPS PMIS #317500 Unit/Facility Name: Mesa Verde National Park Region/Area/District: Upper Colorado Basin Congressional District: CO03 State: CO

Project Justification			
DOI Asset Code	<b>FRPP Unique Id#</b>	API:	FCI-Before:
40710400	45720	65	0.15
40710400	48020	65	0.69
40760100	45586	77	0.20
40760100	48027	62	0.09

#### **Project Description:**

This project will replace the water line serving the Morefield housing area, campground, and concession operations. It will also replace the water line serving the Wetherill Mesa and Badger House Community comfort station. New lines, valves, valve vaults, air releases, and manholes will be installed using a mixture of open trench and boring methods to reduce the project's impacts on ground surface and existing facilities. In areas where excavation is required, the project will restore the ground surface or facility, including repaving asphalt. Multiple sections of piping have been previously replaced to address leaks. In order to reduce costs, these sections will be left in place and connected to the new piping. Work will also install a new precast box culvert with increased sized to improve clearing of debris. New valve vaults will allow park staff to operate valves without entering confined spaces.

#### **Scope of Benefits (SB):**

The Morefield area serves all campers at Mesa Verde National Park (MEVE), seasonal and permanent park residents, and a large concessions operation.

The Wetherill Mesa is a critical component in providing water for visitor and employee use at Chapin Mesa particularly in the Headquarters and Mesa Top Loops area. The new water system will also serve structural fire and wildland fire protection infrastructure.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

The Morefield domestic waterline replacement project includes a water supply pipe that operates under highpressure up steep grades to fill the Morefield storage tank. The mixture of pipe materials in the existing line results in the system's difficulty in handling the pressure without causing leaks or failures. Replacement of this pipe system with pipe materials compatible for the operational pressures is a proactive approach to addressing the aging, failing supply pipe.

The existing waterline that serves Wetherill Mesa is the original pipeline installed during the Mission 66 development of Wetherill Mesa and the frequency of leaks is increasing as this piping continues to deteriorate and

fail. Increased visitor use of Wetherill Mesa requires replacing aged and failing water service pipe to the visitor contact station, concession facility, and the public comfort stations.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 30-40 years.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to act will result in the continued deterioration of the existing systems, with leaks, water loss, and degraded service impacting both visitors and staff. Park maintenance staff will continue to respond to unplanned corrective maintenance needs which draws resources away from other park priorities. The park cannot rely on meeting its structural and wildland fire protection needs with the limitations of the current system.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.93
API Rating:	n/a	65.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	15.80
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	10.50
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	66.30

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 02/2022 Completed N/A

### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	<b>Dollars in thousands</b>		Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	22,426	98
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	544	2
Total:	\$	22,969	100

#### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	4,283
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	22,969
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	27,252

# Class of Estimate: C

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

#### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 2,336
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 1,947
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2024/Q4
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$267,000 Projected: \$267,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 82.01 / 16 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$11,621,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Fort Hancock Potable Water and Wastewater System Project Number: GAOA ID #N077, NPS PMIS #291531 Unit/Facility Name: Gateway National Recreation Area Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian Congressional District: NJ06 State: NJ

Project Justification				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
35500400	83298	82.00	0.79	
35500400	21611	82.00	0.01	
35500400	28146	82.00	0.04	
40710300	88976	65.00	0.26	
40710900	83267	69.00	0.20	

#### **Project Description:**

This project will rehabilitate the failing wastewater systems at Fort Hancock by removing antiquated underground lines, demolishing out-of-service treatment equipment, and rejuvenating overgrown percolation beds. This project will also rehabilitate the potable water distribution systems at Sandy Hook.

Project work includes safety upgrades to the main lift station; elimination of a lift station on US Coast Guard property; removal of three unused secondary clarifiers and the unused denitrification equipment; and restoring proper drainage at the reed basin percolation beds. Rehabilitation of the water distribution system will ensure proper water pressure, flow rates, and fire protection service at Fort Hancock and the Marine Academy of Science and Technology (MAST) campus and allow for additional revenue generating leases at this site.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

The Fort Hancock water distribution piping has been unchanged since the 1950s, and some sections date back to the turn of the 20th century. The system suffers from typical age-related problems such as leakage, high maintenance costs, and poor reliability. Periodic flooding caused by Atlantic storm surges exacerbate the system's existing problems.

Benefits of this project include improving sanitation quality and wastewater system functionality. The project will also provide more efficient and effective wastewater treatment, safety, and electrical code improvements at the Officer's Row lift Station; lower risk of environmental damage due to leaks, overflow, and failure; and will result in the increased protection of park resources. This project will also improve the degree to which NPS owned and managed structures are compliant with Life Safety codes, building codes, and related laws, regulations, and policies.

The replacement system will be properly sized, with adequate capacity to serve current Fort Hancock and MAST campus needs and the increased demands expected with future revenue-generating campus additions, conversions, and reactivations. The new sewer system will function reliably and efficiently well into the future, ensuring that visitor satisfaction levels remain high, and partner/tenant relationships remain strong.

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

This project affects a high priority mission-dependent asset in the park and will deliver a new utility system that the park is committed to maintain. It also demonstrates a major investment that could result in measurable net savings for the NPS, which strongly supports financial sustainability efforts. The rehabilitated wastewater system will improve operational efficiency while eliminating most of the corrective maintenance that is required to keep the existing wastewater system operational.

Completion of this project supports health and safety though proper park operations and support for visitors, staff, and partners served by the system, and assures the system is in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and policy.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 30-50 years.

#### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Total system failure becomes more likely with each year of additional system deterioration. Leaving out-of-service equipment at the wastewater treatment plant also continues to place added demands on the maintenance staff. Increasing failures, infiltration, and unscheduled corrective repairs will continue to occur until the system is replaced. Points of failure include piping breaks, tank leakage, and other unforeseen weaknesses throughout the system. The sludge drying and percolation beds will continue to lose function and are likely to overflow at times.

The existing undocumented wastewater collection piping system does not allow for reconfiguration or for adaptation to changing building occupancy. The pipe network is deteriorating, experiencing ground water infiltration, and is far beyond its expected lifecycle. Maintenance staff will be forced to continue mitigating multiple safety hazards at the Officer's Row Lift Station, and the Coast Guard Lift Station will require extra staff time to maintain because it will remain in the USCG secure perimeter.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.24
API Rating:	n/a	76.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	40.00
SB Score:	(20%)	18.40
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	3.61
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	82.01

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 01/2022

#### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in the	<b>Dollars in thousands</b>	
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	11,501	99
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	120	1
Total:	\$	11,621	100

# **<u>Project Funding History (entire project):</u>**

History		Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:		\$	895
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):		\$	11,621
Future Funding to Complete Project:		\$	0
Total:		\$	12,516
Class of Estimate: C			
Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1			
Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):			
LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$	488	
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$	407	
Planning Funds Received from Other Funding Sources	\$	0	
Design Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$	0	
Major Milestones			
Construction Award/Start			
• Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4			
• Actual: N/A			
Project Complete			
• Scheduled: FY 2024/Q3			
• Actual: N/A			
<u>Project Data Sheet</u>			
Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021			
DOI Approved: Yes			

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$819,000 Projected: \$819,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 80.30 / 21 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$25,077,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Failing Upper Plaza at Perry's Victory & International Peace Memorial Project Number: GAOA ID #N078, NPS PMIS #272171 Unit/Facility Name: Perry's Victory and International Peace Memorial Region/Area/District: Great Lakes Congressional District: OH09 State: OH

Project Justification				
<b>DOI Asset Code</b>	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
35800800	71125	100.00	0.07	
40660100	73455	35.00	1.00	

### **Project Description:**

This project will restore the structure supporting the upper plaza and the associated waterproofing membrane. Interior spaces below the upper plaza will be modified to meet current needs and improve functionality. Restrooms and exterior plaza spaces will be made compliant with accessibility standards. Building systems will be installed to meet current demands and address condensation issues below the upper plaza and inside the tower. The upper plaza finishes will be restored by reusing historic materials. Perimeter walls below the upper plaza will be repaired and waterproofed, while a portion of the historic fabric will be maintained. Surface finishes at the lower plaza will be removed and reset to address tripping hazards. Accessible ramps will be installed for access to the lower and upper plazas, and the surrounding parking area and sidewalks will be altered to improve visitor access to the memorial. Portions of the memorial will receive a fire suppression system and security measures to improve safety.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

This project addresses maintenance/repair work while improving facility conditions and safety around the monument, plaza areas, and other surrounding landscapes. Additional improvements will ensure that facilities, especially restrooms and plaza areas, meet the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards (ABAAS). This project will also improve life safety and security systems, making the park's developed areas safer for employees and visitors.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

The NPS has previously made significant investments to address deficiencies at the monument and grounds. Those investments included repair and sealing of the monument, column, and observation deck. This project builds upon those previous investments by replacing the upper plaza to prevent further water infiltration, reducing future corrective maintenance needs, and allowing more of the visiting public to have complete access to the site.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 30-40 years.

## **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

If the existing structure below the upper plaza is not restored, the historic fabric and suitability of the upper plaza will continue to significantly degrade, and permanent loss of additional historic aspects and functionality will result, including the possibility that the tower will no longer be accessible to visitors. Further deterioration and a

lack of adequate facility functionality will occur if maintenance/repair work is not addressed and system upgrades are not implemented. Condensation issues within the tower and below the upper plaza would also not be addressed, resulting in a less desirable experience for visitors and compromising the structure and building systems. Significant portions of the memorial will remain non-accessible to visitors with disabilities. Visitors, employees, and the historic structures themselves will be at risk if new portions of the fire suppression and security systems are not installed.

### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.07
API Rating:	n/a	67.50
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	39.06
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	1.24
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	80.30

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 12/2021

#### **Project Costs and Status**

### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in the	ousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	21,457	86
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	3,620	14
Total:	\$	25,077	100

# **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Do	llars in thou	isands
Funded to Date:	\$		4,675
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$		25,077
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$		0
Total:	\$		29,752
<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1 <u>Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):</u>			
LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$	2,550	
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$	2,125	
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$	0	
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$	0	

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2025/Q3
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

## Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$190,000 Projected: \$173,000 Net Change: -\$17,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 69.81 / 17 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$9,563,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Park Wastewater Treatment Facilities Project Number: GAOA ID #N079, NPS PMIS #317446 Unit/Facility Name: Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Park Region/Area/District: California – Great Basin Congressional District: CA23 State: CA

Project Justification				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
35500400	237961	52	0.99	
40710800	65315	88	0.85	
40710800	67584	88	0.61	
40760100	73909	53	0.08	
40760100	73914	33	0.61	

### **Project Description:**

This project, located in the Ash Mountain developed area of Sequoia National Park, will rehabilitate and replace critical components of the wastewater treatment facilities including two pump stations and controls, the associated signage, fencing, access road, and electrical and monitoring systems. It will replace the deteriorated head-works and overflow, replace the chlorination system, and rehabilitate the deteriorated treatment dosing building and deteriorated disposal fields. Replacement of these components is in accordance with typical industry life-cycle replacement standards.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

This project will provide sustainable wastewater treatment capacity for the Ash Mountain Historic District within Sequoia National Park, which serves 1.2 million visitors each year. Most importantly, it will ensure protection of visitor and employee health and safety by ensuring safe and efficient wastewater treatment. The facility serves the park visitors and park employees that utilize the Historic District of Ash Mountain. The Ash Mountain Historic District is the first stop for the park's public transit system for visitors entering the park through the Ash Mountain Entrance Station. The scope of benefit for this project includes continued sewage treatment service for the visitor center, park headquarters, fire management building, warehouse, motor pool shops facility, nursery, recreation hall, and over 40 operations and housing units. This project, which will address maintenance/repair work on high priority assets, will also benefit the park through increased operational efficiencies utilizing new innovative technologies, decreasing operational and maintenance costs. The project will also correct fire and electrical code violations making the treatment plant safer to operate.

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

This project will significantly decrease the cost and frequency of corrective maintenance at the wastewater treatment facility through replacement of inefficient components that are beyond or at the end of their life cycle. The new, more efficient components include innovative technologies that will make operations more efficient and effective. Correcting code violations will reduce liability for fines associated with current code violations. Financial sustainability will also be achieved by investing in a high-priority asset ensuring this critical infrastructure remains in good condition to support the park's mission.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 40-50 years.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to address the backlogged maintenance and code violations ultimately prevents or hinders effective sewage treatment throughout the Historic District of Ash Mountain. As the system continues to degrade, corrective maintenance needs and outages will be more frequent, potentially leading to negative impacts on public's experience and enjoyment. There would also be significant impacts to park operations; the Ash Mountain Historic District is the operational base for 150 permanent and seasonal employees supporting park-wide operations. Safe operations at the treatment facility cannot be sustained as the equipment continues to age and run to failure.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.60
API Rating:	n/a	62.80
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	36.46
SB Score:	(20%)	10.92
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	2.43
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	69.81

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled N/A Completed 01/2021

#### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in th	ousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	8,773	92
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	790	8
Total:	\$	9,563	100

#### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in t	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	1,451
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	9,563
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	11,014

### Class of Estimate: B-

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

#### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 162
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 810
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 159
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 319

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2024/Q1
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$76,000 Projected: \$76,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 78.40 / 18 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$29,089,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Underground Utilities Project Number: GAOA ID #N080, NPS PMIS #266697 Unit/Facility Name: Bandelier National Monument Region/Area/District: Upper Colorado Basin Congressional District: NM03 State: NM

#### **Project Justification**

<b>DOI Asset Code</b>	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40710300	5320	50	0.53
40710300	46795	65	0.45
40710900	31480	60	0.87
40710900	31618	64	0.46
40710900	31609	100	0.58
40711100	31543	65	0.87
40711200	46797	65	0.97
40720100	226945	40	0.68

#### **Project Description:**

This project will replace the 60+ year old utility distribution and collection systems parkwide to address maintenance/repair work and code deficiencies. Work includes improving underground primary and secondary potable water distribution for required storage and fire flow; improving electrical and natural gas distribution for anticipated loads; and upgrading communication systems to meet current and future demands. An integrated utility corridor will be constructed under roads and existing conduit routes will be reused n order to reduce impacts in sensitive natural and cultural resource areas.

Primary electrical service will be replaced in areas not addressed by a 2017 primary electrical service project. Additional work will rehabilitate existing sewer collection mains, replace all secondary sewer lines, and provide sanitary functionality via lift station to correct and reopen the historic visitor restroom across from Frijoles Creek rendered inoperable due to past fire and flood impact.

#### **Scope of Benefits (SB):**

Rehabilitation of the wastewater collection system will eliminate contamination of Frijoles Creek as recommended in the 2007 Water Resources Foundation Report. Replacement of these utilities account for major and measurable contributions to meet established goals and objectives of the Department, Bureau, and Park which include providing a sustainable, safe, and efficient working environment for park staff. The project will address life safety/health and code violations making the Park's developed areas safer for employees and visitors. The project also helps preserve the Bandelier Civilian Conservation Corps National Historic Landmark District through improved fire protection measures. This project will address approximately \$27M of maintenance/repair work.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 30-50 years.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

This project is based on a preliminary engineering analysis and subsequent cost estimate that meets the design and specification requirements of Los Alamos County, the park's utility provider. Replacement of utility lines will have a substantial positive impact to park operations by eliminating the likelihood of system failures. The existing systems require frequent, unscheduled repairs, which have put a strain on park operations and budgets. Completion of this project will ensure the utility systems are more reliable, allowing the park to reduce its spending on back-up power generation, portable restrooms, emergency contracted repair services, and maintenance staff overtime associated with frequent system service interruptions. Once repaired, the systems replaced by this project will no longer need unscheduled or corrective maintenance. Utilizing NPS authority to be reimbursed for utilities furnished to concessioners, partners, and other users of services (54 U.S.C. 101901), the improvements made by this project will help the park recover all costs for utilities provided to non-federal entities. These recovered costs will help offset the operations and maintenance costs of these systems.

#### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to address the maintenance/repair work, life safety, health and utility code violations associated with these systems will result in a greatly diminished visitor experience and create an unsafe/unhealthy environment for employees. Delaying rehabilitation of these utility systems will result in continued and recurring outages for visitors and employees and could expose individuals to unnecessary safety risks. Due to the age of these existing utility systems, complete failure could occur; and extended service outages, gas leaks, or water supply contamination may cause extended closures to park facilities. Delaying or not implementing the wastewater collection system rehabilitation effort may similarly result in continued contamination of Frijoles Creek and pose a significant health risk to visitors and employees.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.72
API Rating:	n/a	63.63
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	39.66
SB Score:	(20%)	13.57
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	5.17
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	78.40

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 02/22 Completed N/A

### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity Dollars in thousands		Percent	
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	27,174	93
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	1,915	7
Total:	\$	29,089	100

# **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	<b>Dollars in thousands</b>	
Funded to Date:	\$	5,423
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	29,089
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	34,512
<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C		
Estimate Escalated to FY 22/Q1		
Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):		
LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 2,958	
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 2,465	
Planning Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 0	
Design Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 0	
Major Milestones		
Construction Award/Start		
• Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4		
• Actual: N/A		
Project Complete		
• Scheduled: FY 2025/Q1		
<ul> <li>Actual: N/A</li> </ul>		
• Actual: N/A		
Project Data Sheet		

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$428,000 Projected: \$353,000 Net Change: - \$75,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 86.50 / 24 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$9,119,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Texas White House Project Number: GAOA ID #N082, NPS PMIS #290111 Unit/Facility Name: Lyndon B. Johnson National Historical Park Region/Area/District: Arkansas – Rio Grande – Texas - Gulf Congressional District: TX21 State: TX

#### **Project Justification**

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35290100	14799	87.00	0.95
35800800	14877	77.00	1.00
40660100	54365	88.00	1.00
40710900	77148	42.00	0.00
40710900	94935	78.00	0.27
40720100	236620	30.00	0.00
40750300	236624	93.00	0.16

### **Project Description:**

This project will address maintenance/repair work, structural concerns, code deficiencies, and deterioration of historic features in the Texas White House, nearby communications buildings, and the surrounding site. The work will ensure the long-term integrity of a critical park resource and allow it to be reopened to the public.

Work includes repairing building envelopes; replacing outdated electrical, mechanical, HVAC, and alarm systems; and stabilizing the foundation. Structural deficiencies will be addressed throughout the facilities, and hazardous materials will be abated. Aged and deteriorated site utility systems and site drainage will also be replaced and rehabilitated to sustain the expected visitor loads and to withstand extreme weather events.

The communications buildings will be repurposed to provide much needed restroom facilities and expand visitor amenities. The project also includes accessibility and fire egress improvements throughout the site.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

The Texas White House—where LBJ spent 25% of his presidency—is a fundamental park resource and the centerpiece of the LBJ Ranch District. The house hosted 80,000+ visitors/year before closing due to structural and environmental concerns in 2018. The Texas White House is a primary destination for the park's visitors. The park's visitor experience has been heavily impacted by the structure's closure.

This project aligns with the stated park purpose, which includes protecting the historic structure and cultural landscapes at the Park. Improvements to the building's critical systems will ensure the historic fabric of the structure is protected from the Hill Country environment; modern, integrated monitoring and alarm systems will ensure that park staff can rapidly respond to incidents. The project will also significantly improve accessibility for visitors and employees throughout the Texas White House and surrounding site.

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Completing this project will restore the Texas White House to good condition, significantly reducing the frequency and expense of corrective maintenance projects and allowing park staff to focus primarily on routine and preventative maintenance. The entire home has been closed to the public since 2018 due to mold and structural concerns. Historic structures will be rehabilitated using modern construction methods in conformance with historic preservation standards, with the goal of streamlining operations, maintenance, and management.

After project completion, this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 15-20 years for the HVAC system, 40 years for the foundation and building envelope, and 50 years for the electrical system.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Without action, the structure will remain closed to visitors due to structural concerns. Additionally, failure to address the maintenance/repair work, HVAC issues, life safety concerns, and health and accessibility code violations will result in a greatly diminished experience for park visitors and create an unsafe/unhealthy environment for employees and visitors. If the existing structures are not properly stabilized and restored, the historic fabric and suitability of the facilities will continue to significantly degrade, and permanent loss of historic fabric and functionality could result. Failure to replace or rehabilitate site utilities will result in the park's amenities being insufficient to handle visitor loads; failure to address drainage issues will leave the site less resilient against extreme weather events.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.91
API Rating:	n/a	70.71
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	40.00
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	6.50
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	86.50

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 02/2022

#### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	<b>Dollars in thousa</b>	nds	Percent
Maintenance/Repair:	\$	7,702	84
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	1,417	16
Total:	\$	9,119	100

#### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in thousands	
Funded to Date:	\$	1,700
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	9,119
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	10,819

<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 927
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 773
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2024/Q3
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$149,000 Projected: \$145,000 Net Change: -\$5,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 51.00 / 32 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$10,921,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Replace Headquarters Wastewater System Project Number: GAOA ID #N084, NPS PMIS #307440 Unit/Facility Name: Glacier National Park Region/Area/District: Missouri Basin Congressional District: MTAL State: MT

Project Justification			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
0	253607	40	0.00
35100000	7879	70	0.11
35500400	7886	65	0.92
40710900	6887	77	0.62
40750300	231560	30	0.47
40760100	107694	52	1.00

# **Project Description:**

This project will replace the existing wastewater system in the Headquarters area. The existing collection system was installed over 50 years ago and is now beyond its estimated design life. Replacement of the system includes a reconfigured run of pipes and manholes. Work includes removal of the lift station's propane generator and its underground propane tank. The existing lift station duplex wastewater pumps will be replaced, and a new telemetry system will be installed. Selective restoration of the lift station building will be performed.

The project will also remove the existing, inadequately sized, natural gas emergency back-up generator outside of headquarters building 295. A new generator building will be constructed nearby to house a new, larger natural gas generator to provide backup power for the headquarters building and lift station. Work includes installing a new automatic transfer switch and associated wiring.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

Replacement of the wastewater system and backup electric system in the Headquarters area will help ensure park operations are more sustainable, safe, and efficient. This project will address health concerns related to the age of the sewer system, significantly reducing the potential for failure, and making the Park's developed areas safer for employees and visitors.

Upgrades to the backup generators will ensure that operations remain stable even during power interruptions. In particular, the headquarters building is the park's command and control center and houses the Park Dispatch Center. Consistent and reliable power will ensure that communication with park staff and emergency personnel are not interrupted and allow the park to respond more effectively and address specific health and life safety concerns in all weather conditions.

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

This project will improve the function of this wastewater system, eliminate leaks, reduce the number and cost of corrective maintenance projects, eliminate clogs, and extend the lifespan of the system by 50 years.

Converting generator operations to natural gas rather than propane or diesel will provide annual fuel savings by not having to pay for propane to be delivered by truck. The generator providing backup power to the park headquarters will be housed in a new structure, which will be constructed with durable, low-maintenance materials such as cement board siding and metal roofing to minimize maintenance costs. While the new structure will require periodic maintenance such as painting to upkeep, it will protect the electrical systems from weather and environmental damage, increasing their reliability and resiliency in this harsh winter environment.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 25-30 years.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to act will cause the system to continue to deteriorate, leading to longer downtimes and costlier repairs in the future. Standard preventative maintenance activities on a new system are manageable, whereas corrective maintenance activities are usually unplanned efforts that draw resources away from other routine needs.

Likewise, failure to replace the headquarters generator will expose the park command, control, and dispatch activities to risk of failure during power outages. Park visitor and staff health and life safety will be compromised. With the limits of the current system, an extended outage in the winter could also result in major damage to the facility and mechanical infrastructure.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.48
API Rating:	n/a	55.67
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	27.52
SB Score:	(20%)	6.46
IS Score:	(20%)	16.89
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.13
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	51.00

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 02/2022 Completed N/A

#### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars	in thousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	8,491	82
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	1,979	18
Total:	\$	10,921	100

#### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	2,036
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	10,921
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	12,957

<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 1,111
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 925
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2025/Q1
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$134,000 Projected: \$125,000 Net Change: -\$9,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 68.40 / 31 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$54,357,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Park Water Systems Project Number: GAOA ID #N085, NPS PMIS #317515 Unit/Facility Name: Big Bend National Park Region/Area/District: Arkansas – Rio Grande – Texas - Gulf Congressional District: TX23 State: TX

Project Justification			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35500200	107145	65	0.38
40710300	55654	65	0.39
40710300	53121	77	0.48
40710300	56027	65	0.99
40750100	55751	83	0.04
40760100	53102	13	0.90
40760100	53231	88	0.10
40760100	53101	30	0.80
40760100	53096	20	0.82
40760100	54556	42	0.73
40760100	53099	30	0.76
40760100	53088	53	0.85
40760100	54492	75	0.17
40760100	53098	30	0.90
40760100	53091	13	0.90
40760100	90989	53	0.78
40760100	53094	46	0.94
40760100	53087	63	0.76
40760100	53103	53	0.94
40760300	54546	83	0.99

### **Project Description:**

This project will rehabilitate and improve the water systems at Oak Springs, Chisos Basin, and Panther Junction. When combined, these systems serve roughly 58 percent of park visitors in peak seasons. The project will rehabilitate chlorination buildings, replace/expand water storage capacity, correct performance, and monitoring issues, and install modern supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) systems. This project will replace outdated and leaking distribution lines, valves, reduced pressure assemblies, water fill stations, and fire hydrants throughout these systems. Replacement distribution lines may have larger diameters to accommodate increased demands and fire suppression flow requirements.

Distribution lines may be installed via directional drilling to minimize impacts in wilderness areas. Much of the water line route has integral retaining walls and in some sections the elevation changes as much as 1,300 ft. Roughly 39 percent of the route is in recommended wilderness.

Where utilities run underdeveloped areas and the park cannot utilize directional drilling, the project includes funding for restoration of pavement, landscaping, and trails.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

The park's visitation has increased nearly 500 percent since the water systems were originally built. Both visitors and employees depend on reliable potable water in the park's arid environment. These systems also provide water for existing fire suppression and hydrant systems. Fire suppression systems cannot be installed in many park residences and visitor facilities because of inadequate or unreliable water supply, storage capacity, flow rates, or pressure. This project will resolve those issues.

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Completion of this project will provide more reliable systems that will meet or exceed flow capacity requirements for domestic water and fire protection for years to come. Installation of remote-read meters will allow for more efficient collection and monitoring of meter data. Installation of SCADA systems will allow maintenance staff to streamline the oversight of these systems allowing the operations to run more smoothly and cost-effectively. Ongoing service disruptions and repair costs will be significantly reduced.

The Chisos Basin area provides the park's only overnight concession hotel (72 rooms) and restaurant. This system also serves a year-round visitor center, a year-round campground with 60 individual and 7 group sites, and residences for 28 NPS and concession staff and their families.

The Rio Grande Village system provides water to the year-round campground (100 individual and 4 group campsites), park housing for 19 NPS staff or partners and their families, a recreational vehicle (RV) park with 25 slips, an RV dump station, a concessionaire store and shower facility, a visitor center, Customs and Border Patrol livestock facilities, and the Boquillas Port of Entry, the only such international border crossing facility in the NPS.

The Panther Junction system provides year-round water to park headquarters, the main visitor center, a public school, Border Patrol facilities, the Big Bend Natural History Association offices, a concession store, and residences for 129 NPS staff or partners and their families. It also supports water tankers that supply water to irrigation systems, resource management projects, and parkwide remote, off-the-grid residences.

The NPS will charge non-NPS users of these systems a utility rate based upon Director's Order 35B, which guides the sale of National Park Service produced utilities.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 30-40 years.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

The Chisos Basin/Oak Springs water delivery system is critical infrastructure that has exceeded its design lifecycle by 23 years. It is the single-point-of-failure to supply the Basin water system. The Basin storage tanks are insufficient for the potable water and fire suppression demand, especially with expected prolonged drought conditions as the climate warms and dries. The other water systems at the Rio Grande Village and the Panther Junction have also reached or exceeded their expected lifecycle and are undersized for current visitor and operational demands. Failure to act will result in continued service interruptions that will affect both employees and visitors; without upgrading the systems, the NPS could also find itself unable to properly suppress structural and wildland fires.

### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.47
API Rating:	n/a	52.35
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	37.30
SB Score:	(20%)	10.93
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.17
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	68.40

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 02/2022 Completed N/A

#### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	<b>Dollars in thousands</b>		Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	40,998	75
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	13,359	25
Total:	\$	54,357	100

### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	10,134
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	54,357
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	64,491

# Class of Estimate: C

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 5,528
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 4,606
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0

### **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

• Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4

• Actual: N/A

Project Complete

• Scheduled: FY 2025/Q3

• Actual: N/A

## **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$486,000 Projected: \$486,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 66.11 / 01 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$71,200,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund - Transportation

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Replace the Yellowstone River Bridge Project Number: GAOA ID #N086, NPS PMIS #225354 Unit/Facility Name: Yellowstone National Park Region/Area/District: Upper Colorado Basin Congressional District: WYAL State: WY

Project Justification			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
0	255085	92.00	0.00
40760100	4403	92.00	0.52
40760500	45303	92.00	0.53

# **Project Description:**

This project will replace the 604 linear foot, structurally deficient, steel girder Yellowstone River Bridge between Tower Junction and the Yellowstone River Picnic area with a new steel girder bridge. Work will include realignment of the approach roads in the vicinity of the bridge.

### Scope of Benefits (SB):

The Yellowstone River Bridge was constructed in 1961 and has exceeded its intended design life. This park road and bridge are critical as this route provides the only access to the gateway community of Cooke City, Montana during the winter. A 2018 bridge safety inspection rating concluded the bridge is "seriously deficient... [presenting] a safety hazard but can remain in service at reduced loads or with frequent inspections."

Deficiencies and concerns include widespread concrete deterioration, limited resistance to seismic events, risk of superstructure corrosion, severe bank sloughing, footings vulnerable to scour, and steep grades and winding approach roads that are hard to navigate in the winter ice and snow. The poor road conditions along this segment also contribute to an increased potential for traffic crashes and vehicle damage. Insufficient guardrails leave steep drop-offs near the roadway unguarded, which also contributes to the unsafe conditions along this portion of the roadway.

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Given the condition of the existing bridge, rehabilitation was evaluated and rejected as just a temporary fix that would not address all the deficiencies. Replacement of the bridge will address all of the concerns, eliminating the need for recurring repairs and corrective maintenance on expansion joints, deteriorated concrete curbs, sidewalks, deck, and railings.

The new bridge is designed for a 75-year life span. Once complete, the park will initiate preventive maintenance activities to maintain the road and bridge in good condition. The need for recurring maintenance such as repainting steel girders will be eliminated with the new weathering steel girders. Improved design will prevent major failure in the event of a seismic event.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

The bridge and road will continue to deteriorate if this bridge is not replaced. Additional structural deterioration may require load restrictions or closure. These restrictions and any closures could greatly impact the gateway community of Cooke City, Montana, along with its visitors and operations as this is the only winter access route to that community. Conflicts with pedestrians and vehicles will continue to impact traffic flow and cause safety concerns.

### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.41
API Rating:	n/a	92.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	40.00
SB Score:	(20%)	12.38
IS Score:	(20%)	13.07
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.66
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	66.11

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Completed 08/2018

### **Project Costs and Status**

### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Do	ollars in thou	sands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$		38,836	55
Capital Improvement Work:	\$		32,364	45
Total:	\$		71,200	100
Project Funding History (entire project):				
History	Do	ollars in thou	sands	
Funded to Date:	\$		5,735	
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund (this PDS):	\$		71,200	
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$		0	
Total:	\$		76,935	
Class of Estimate: B				
Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1				
Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):				
LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$	30		
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$	5,070		
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$	346		
Design Funds Received Other Fund Sources:	\$	289		

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2025/Q1
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

## Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$520,000 Projected: \$520,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 49.80 / 33 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$11,253,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate South Campground Project Number: GAOA ID #N087, NPS PMIS #317454 Unit/Facility Name: Zion National Park Region/Area/District: Upper Colorado Basin Congressional District: UT02 State: UT

# **Project Justification**

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35240100	65738	55	0.95
40180300	238488	25	0.32
40660100	65471	27	0.57
40660100	65474	36	1.00
40660100	65484	36	0.92
40710300	65593	65	0.03
40710900	89598	88	0.91
40720100	115777	40	0.17
40750100	65610	93	0.19
40750100	65608	60	0.60
40750300	65605	54	0.26
40750800	65611	30	0.07
40751000	65581	52	0.32
40760100	104928	77	0.33
40760100	65428	77	0.58

#### **Project Description:**

This project rehabilitates several visitor facilities and amenities. Work includes rehabilitation of the South Campground, an historic comfort station, and the Watchman Amphitheater.

The South Campground project scope includes total rehabilitation of roads, utilities, and approximately 128 campsites. Vehicle pads will be repaved, and visitor use areas will be resurfaced and delineated, and site furnishings will be replaced. Three outdated comfort stations will be demolished to allow for their replacement via a Recreation Fee project, which is scheduled to execute concurrently. Work will also address deficiencies in dumpster enclosures and pathways. The campground road system will be partially reconfigured and repaved. Road gates will be installed and the overall site will be restored to improve aesthetics and visitor comfort.

The Historic Comfort Station rehabilitation project will update utilities and fixtures while preserving the character of the historic South Campground Comfort Station. Pathways will be improved to enhance the visitor experience and improve accessibility.

The Watchman Amphitheater project scope includes total rehabilitation of the facility to achieve compliance with accessibility standards. Accessible seating and stage areas will be installed. Pavement and a storm drain will be replaced to reduce hazards and conform to the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

All facilities within the campground are in poor condition and have continued to be used beyond their design life, resulting in a maintenance/repair work need that requires total rehabilitation of the campground. Despite its condition, campsites at the South Campground are filled to 90-100 percent occupancy annually. Around 100,000 visitors utilize the campground each year, and it is one of two most heavily used campgrounds in the Main Canyon. Restoration of the existing campsites will improve the visitor experience. Additionally, due to this campground's relationship to the South Entrance and transportation system, the majority of Zion's 4.3 million annual visitors will be positively impacted by this rehabilitation. Benefits will also extend to NPS employees due to the annual reduction in facility maintenance, invasive plant removal, and ditch maintenance.

The South Campground comfort station does not comply with accessibility standards and utilizes inefficient fixtures. Rehabilitation will address these issues, allowing the facility to operate more efficiently and effectively.

The Watchman Amphitheater has significant accessibility deficiencies. Individuals with disabilities will greatly benefit from reconfigurations and improvements to this facility.

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

The cost to operate the improved sites will be offset by the reduction in maintenance needs through replacement of non-efficient features, improved irrigation efficiency, improved delineation, and repaying of roads. Overall, these improvements will benefit visitors and provide the park with a better mechanism to control access and increase fees in the future.

The South Campground comfort station was constructed in 1934 and is the campground's only historic restroom. While it still functions with its current configuration and features, it requires excessive corrective maintenance activities.

Improved accessibility at the Watchman Amphitheater will greatly benefit individuals with disabilities, including visitors seeking interpretive presentations and NPS employees leading ranger programs.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to act will allow all of these facilities to continue to deteriorate, impeding visitor access and reducing visitor satisfaction.

### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.29
API Rating:	n/a	54.33
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	17.74
SB Score:	(20%)	12.26
IS Score:	(20%)	19.80
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.00
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	49.80

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

#### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 02/2022 Completed N/A

# **Project Costs and Status**

# **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	<b>Dollars in thousands</b>		Percent	
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	7,926	70	
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	3,327	30	
Total:	\$	11,253	100	

# **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in thousan	
Funded to Date:	\$	2,413
FY 2022 Recreation Fee:	\$	1,916
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	11,253
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	15,582

# <u>Class of Estimate:</u> C

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 667
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 954
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 713
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 79

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2025/Q1
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

### Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$717,000 Projected: \$714,000 Net Change: -\$3,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 93.30 / 02 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$19,407,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Ahwahnee Hotel and Correct Critical Safety Hazards Project Number: GAOA ID #N088, NPS PMIS #154910B Unit/Facility Name: Yosemite National Park Region/Area/District: California - Great Basin Congressional District: CA19 State: CA

Project Justification					
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:		
35291700	84769	60	0.00		
35291700	85811	100	0.31		
35291700	83740	50	0.00		
35291700	84566	60	0.00		
35291700	84563	60	0.01		
35291700	84562	60	0.00		
35291700	84564	60	0.00		
35291700	85818	60	0.00		
35291700	84741	60	0.00		
35291700	84565	60	0.00		
35310000	84810	31	0.30		

### **Project Description:**

This project provides seismic retrofits to the Ahwahnee hotel to comply with current seismic safety standards. Work includes installing structural bracing, lateral load resisting components in floor, and shear walls in the dining room and the kitchen. Additional work includes reinforcing the fireplace, stone chimney; anchoring the exterior stone veneer; replacing large plate glass windows in the dining room and the solarium; rehabilitating other historic windows at the ground floor in public spaces; installing structural bracing of the porte cochere (the covered entryway) and entry walkway; and rehabilitating exterior log columns, rafter tails, soffits and panels.

The project will also replace the kitchen floor structure, which is failing and requires annual inspection and shoring work. Other kitchen improvements will be made to address accessibility issues and to improve operating and energy efficiency. More efficient heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems will be installed in the dining room and kitchen.

In addition, elements of the hotel that are affected by of the seismic retrofit work will be replaced or rehabilitated as appropriate, including attic insulation, fireproofing, fire separation, utilities, and other interior finishes.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

This project will have a direct benefit to park visitors. Each year, approximately 38,000 visitors stay at the Ahwahnee as lodging guests; another 300,000 shop or dine in the facility. The project will improve visitor and staff health and safety, including enhanced seismic resistance throughout the hotel and fire safety in the kitchen. Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning upgrades in the dining room will improve visitor comfort. Historic elements impacted by the seismic work-including terraces, windows, and finishes-will be restored.

The project will address \$18 million of maintenance/repair work.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Seismic stability improvements will ensure the building can better withstand earthquake events. In addition, the improvements significantly improve the safety of visitors and employees and reduce the magnitude of disaster repair costs—especially for minor seismic events

The hotel is operated by the park concessioner. The concessions operation at Yosemite is the largest single concessions contract in the National Park Service.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 25-40 years for the kitchen improvements, 50-75 years for the steel seismic bracing.

#### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to act will result in the structure remaining out of compliance with federal seismic safety standards, increasing the risk to visitors, staff, and the historic resource. The kitchen floor structure has significantly deteriorated and will fail if no action is taken.

Failure to replace the dining room HVAC will result in compromised comfort for visitors and eventual failure of the existing air handler. Kitchen utility infrastructure will continue to deteriorate and require frequent repairs.

Failure to act will leave the Ahwahnee continuing to deteriorate, resulting in loss of the building's historic fabric. Some of the loss may not be recoverable. Delay will make any later effort larger in scope, more difficult and more costly.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.514
API Rating:	n/a	60.09
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	39.53
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	13.77
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	93.30

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Completed 12/2020

#### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in tl	nousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	18,346	95
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	1,061	5
Total:	\$	19,407	100

#### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in thousands	
Funded to Date:	\$	1,403
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Funding (this PDS):	\$	19,407
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	20,810

<u>Class of Estimate:</u> B Estimate Escalated to FY 2021/Q1

#### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 0
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 0
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 1,403

# <u>Major Milestones</u>

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2024/Q4
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 02/2022 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$450,000 Projected: \$412,000 Net Change: - \$38,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 76.40 / 28 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$9,887,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Primary Park Water Systems Project Number: GAOA ID #N089, NPS PMIS #240821 Unit/Facility Name: Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument Region/Area/District: Lower Colorado Basin Congressional District: AZ03 State: AZ

Project Justification			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35231200	72673	52.00	0.02
35300200	72624	63.00	0.05
35300200	72626	75.00	0.04
35300200	72602	75.00	0.09
35300200	72621	75.00	0.03
35300200	72618	75.00	0.03
35300200	72615	63.00	0.03
35300200	72611	75.00	0.02
35300200	72594	63.00	0.04
35300200	72493	63.00	0.04
35300300	72627	75.00	0.02
35300300	72888	83.00	0.01
35310000	72663	75.00	0.07
35310000	72488	61.00	0.07
35310000	72665	75.00	0.23
35801100	99654	53.00	0.02
40710300	72350	65.00	0.71
40750100	72666	76.00	0.01
40760100	72452	63.00	0.94
40760100	72685	63.00	0.28
40760100	72866	88.00	0.26
40760100	72862	63.00	0.16
40760100	72473	63.00	0.69
40760100	72387	88.00	0.31
40760100	72870	88.00	0.53
40760200	74253	71.00	0.05
40760200	72867	63.00	0.23
40760200	72485	63.00	0.88
40760200	72482	63.00	0.30
40760300	92462	63.00	0.05

# **Project Justification**

# **Project Description:**

This project will replace various components related to the domestic and fire protection water distribution system to address various system deficiencies. New underground primary and secondary water distribution lines will be

constructed to meet potable water needs and required flow for fire protection. A failing water supply well and two water storage tanks will be replaced. Undersized pipe and all existing asbestos-cement (Transite) distribution main lines will be replaced to comply with Arizona Department of Environmental Quality requirements. All valves will be replaced, including valve boxes and hydrants, throughout the system to ensure proper functionality. Existing meters will be replaced with remote read capable meters, to include magnetic flow meters near initiation of the water supply. A supervisory control and data (SCADA) system will be installed for remote monitoring and control purposes. Replacement of distribution lines will involve excavation across at least 12 park roads, which will require subsequent surface repairs.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument hosts over 250,000 annual recreation visits each year. The park's visitation and operation is completely dependent on an adequate water supply and distribution in the arid Sonoran desert.

This project aligns with recommendations from numerous resource management documents; the improvements will minimize disturbances and increase protection of natural and cultural resources. The work addresses recapitalization and modernization of critical and non-critical systems. This project will address life safety, health, and utility code deficiencies, making the Park's developed areas safer for employees and visitors.

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument's primary wells have collapsed in the past due to their age and need to be redeveloped to be more resilient in the face of climate change and drought. Several of the park's water mains date to the original development period of the park (1960's) and are prone to frequent failure. Investment in replacing these weak elements of the park's water system will support visitation to this special desert ecosystem for decades to come.

Following project completion, unscheduled repair and corrective maintenance costs are expected to decrease. Maintaining the water system in good condition is a high priority, and the new well, tank, and pipe components will allow for more sustainable preventive and recurring maintenance schedules. The replacement system will use more durable materials and components to provide maximum efficiency for pumping, distribution, and water conservation.

After project completion, the systems addressed by this project should not require major recapitalization or modernization for at least the next 50 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to address the maintenance, life safety, health, and utility code discrepancies will result in a diminished experience for park visitors and create an unsafe/unhealthy environment for employees and visitors. Delaying rehabilitation of this utility system will result in continued and recurring water outages for visitors and employees. As the system degrades, additional leaks will continue to emerge that may impact the park's fire protection and fire-fighting capabilities. Leaks also serve as potential points of contamination of the park's water supply and could pose a significant health risk to all visitors and employees—potentially forcing a complete shutdown of the park and its facilities for an extended time.

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.32
API Rating:	n/a	69.37
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	38.68
SB Score:	(20%)	14.54
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	3.18
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	76.40

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 06/2021

### **Project Costs and Status**

### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in	thousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	7,738	78
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	2,149	22
Total:	\$	9,887	100

#### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	1,913
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	9,887
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	11,800

#### Class of Estimate: C

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

#### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 1,075
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 838
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received for Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0

# Major Milestones

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q1
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2024/Q1
- Actual: N/A

#### **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$733,000 Projected: \$733,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 55.00 / 25 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$15,726,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Replace Swiftcurrent Water Distribution System Project Number: GAOA ID #N090, NPS PMIS #307606 Unit/Facility Name: Glacier National Park Region/Area/District: Missouri Basin Congressional District: MTAL State: MT

Project Justification				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
35500500	7941	55.00	0.14	
40710300	6863	65.00	1.00	
40760100	103833	77.00	1.00	

### **Project Description:**

This project will replace the water system at Swiftcurrent. The effort will include removal and replacement of the well pump and main water line from the existing well. A new well will be installed to serve as a second water source and will be connected with a new water main line. The existing water storage tank will be rehabilitated, and a new water storage tank will be constructed to ensure the system has adequate fire suppression capacity. A dedicated storage tank supply and distribution main will be added to the system, supporting water systems that serve concessionaires, campgrounds, administrative facilities, and picnic areas.

A new telemetry system and new meters will be installed to improve water system monitoring, and the chlorination building will be rehabilitated. To further improve system reliability during power outages, a new generator building will be constructed and a new emergency generator with a new automatic transfer switch will be installed.

Where asbestos cement pipe is found or if existing underground pipe conflicts with the design of the new system it will be demolished, and disturbed pavement and natural landscape areas will be restored. This includes the access road to the storage tanks.

#### **Scope of Benefits (SB):**

The existing water system in Swiftcurrent was installed over 50 years ago and is well beyond estimated design life. These underground pipe systems supply various concession properties, a campground, multiple residences, and a picnic area, serving over 100,000 visitors per year. Concessions properties include a motel, rental cabins, a restaurant, and a general store. This project will address existing concerns related to this aged and failing system, making the Park's developed areas safer for employees and visitors.

Equipment is aged and failing. Existing leaks are reported, requiring maintenance staff to spend limited time and funding, chasing leaks from location to location, including the loss of approximately 20,000-30,000 gallons of water per day, with unknown cause. The current systems were installed with single points of failure, leading to risks of total service interruptions if major or critical components fail.

Installation of the new well and storage tank will ensure the system can draw and store the necessary capacity of water needed for fire suppression. New piping will provide clean and reliable domestic water to the area.

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

This project will improve the safety of this potable water system, eliminate waste, save money, provide for structural fire protection, ensure a reliable water supply, and extend the lifespan of the system. Replacing the water system will ensure operations are more sustainable and efficient, significantly reducing the cost and frequency of corrective maintenance activities necessary, and allowing the park to focus on regular, preventative maintenance. Utilizing NPS authority to be reimbursed for utilities furnished to concessioners, partners, and other users of services (54 U.S.C. 101901), the improvements made by this project will help the park recover all costs for utilities provided to non-federal entities.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 30-40 years.

### **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Allowing the existing water system at Swiftcurrent to remain in place presents concerns for the health and safety of both staff and visitors. The system will continue to deteriorate and ultimately fail. Without replacement, the system will continue to lose 20,000 to 30,000 gallons of water per day. The ability to address structural or wildland fire will continue to be questionable without an adequate supply of water and distribution systems. Revenue will continue to be lost due to the inability to reliably meter water usage in concession areas.

### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	1.00
API Rating:	n/a	65.67
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	31.76
SB Score:	(20%)	8.31
IS Score:	(20%)	14.65
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.28
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	55.00

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 02/2022 Completed N/A

# **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in t	housands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	12,292	78
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	3,434	22
Total:	\$	15,726	100

### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	2,932
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	15,726
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	18,658

# Class of Estimate: C

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Requested:	\$ 1,599
LRF Design Funds Requested:	\$ 1,333
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2025/Q1
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

### Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$733,000 Projected: \$733,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 82.30 / 29 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$52,588,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate/Replace Canyon & Grant Village Wastewater Collection and Treatment Systems Project Number: GAOA ID #N091, NPS PMIS #310402 Unit/Facility Name: Yellowstone National Park Region/Area/District: Upper Colorado Basin Congressional District: WYAL State: WY

Project Justification				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
0	253831	100.00	0.00	
0	253830	100.00	0.00	
35500400	15090	100.00	0.42	
35500500	10384	78.00	1.00	
40710900	4272	100.00	0.70	
40710900	4276	100.00	0.79	

# **Project Description:**

This project will address deficiencies associated with the Canyon Village and Grant Village wastewater collection and treatment systems. The project's scope of work includes replacement of headworks, process tanks, sludge handling, and advanced wastewater treatment processes, rehabilitation or replacement of wastewater collection systems, replacement of pumps, motors, blowers, tanks, piping, controls, and buildings that house treatment processes, improvement to lift stations and controls, replacement of back-up generators and controls, replacement of lift station and back-up generation enclosures, and installation of collection and treatment process telemetry.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

This project addresses extensive maintenance/repair work by eliminating deficiencies associated with critical systems such as wastewater collection piping, lift stations, and treatment systems while providing for the health and well-being of the park visitors and staff as well protection of natural resources. Once work is complete, the system will require far less corrective maintenance, and will feature improved energy efficiency and reduced operational requirements. A reliable wastewater system would be in place for 2.7 million annual visitors at Canyon Village and 2.1 million annual visitors at Grant Village each year.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

The replacement systems will be more efficient and less costly to manage, and their improved reliability will also require less unscheduled, corrective, and emergency maintenance. More efficient monitoring of system performance will be possible with the implementation of telemetry and remote supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) systems.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 40-50 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to address numerous, serious deficiencies associated with the Canyon Village and Grant Village wastewater collection and treatment systems will allow for their continued and ever-accelerating deterioration, requiring more frequent and costly repairs and the potential for a complete system failure. In the event of a system failure, visitor

facilities may need to close and there is the potential to have a catastrophic spill of sewage into major Yellowstone bodies of water.

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.64
API Rating:	n/a	96.33
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	39.87
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	2.43
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	82.30

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 02/22

#### **Project Costs and Status**

### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	n thousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$ 39,063	75
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 12,985	25
Total:	\$ 52,588	100

### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in thousands	
Funded to Date:	\$ 9,80	
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	52,588
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	62,393

### **Class of Estimate:** C

Estimate Escalated to FY 22/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 5,348
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 4,457
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 0

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2026/Q1
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 02/2022 DOI Approved: YES

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$1,409,000 Projected: \$1,394,000 Net Change: -\$15,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 73.60 / 30 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$7,029,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Hurricane Ridge Day Lodge Project Number: GAOA ID #N092, NPS PMIS #184745 Unit/Facility Name: Olympic National Park Region/Area/District: Columbia – Pacific Northwest Congressional District: WA06 State: WA

Project Justification			
<b>DOI Asset Code</b>	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35290700	21569	65.00	0.566

# **Project Description:**

This project will rehabilitate the Hurricane Ridge Day Lodge (HRDL). The project will improve the electrical and plumbing systems, fire detection and notification systems, and the elevator to meet current code. The roof will be replaced and structurally improved, windows, doors, exterior wall covering and floor coverings will be replaced, and interior walls will be repainted. The restrooms, interior and exterior access routes, and parking will be improved to comply with the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards. Extensive concrete work and modification of the unisex restroom adjacent to the main level entry will be completed to provide two restrooms and rehabilitation of the three lower level restrooms. Component renewal of the heating and ventilation system and the underground fuel storage tank that serves the system will be completed.

#### **Scope of Benefits (SB):**

This project will bring the facility into compliance with current structural, electrical, plumbing, mechanical, fire, and accessibility codes. Structural issues resulting in air and water penetration into the building will be resolved. The critical systems that protect the building and provide for visitor safety and enjoyment will be renewed.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

The last major renovation of the Hurricane Ridge Day Lodge occurred in 1983. This project will address all current maintenance/repair work and code compliance issues. The systems and building elements included in this project have all reached the end of their lifecycles. This is the most opportune time to complete this project work. Repairing a facility and its systems at the end of their lifecycles (and before any of the systems have experienced major failures) is the most efficient and prudent expenditure of public funds. Following construction, the building will be safer, more energy efficient, and fully accessible for 300,000 annual visitors. Concession operations will be able to continue serving visitors, generating revenues that will contribute to ongoing operation of the facility, helping ensure it is maintained in good condition.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 25-40 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Numerous elements of the structure will continue to be non-compliant with current codes. Most notably, visitor access and safety will remain below modern standards. Due to the severe weather conditions in the area, unplanned system failures during the winter season could contribute to catastrophic damage to the facility, resulting in the

need for much costlier repairs and risk of injury to park visitors and staff. Waiting to repair or replace systems after they fail often includes repairing additional damages, driving costs up.

### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.566
API Rating:	n/a	65.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	32.00
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	1.60
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	73.60

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 04/2021

#### **Project Costs and Status**

# **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollar	s in thousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair:	\$	6,679	95
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	350	5
Total:	\$	7,029	100

### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in thousands		
Funded to Date:	\$	945	
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	7,029	
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0	
Total:	\$	7,974	

# Class of Estimate: B-

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 370
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 431
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 42
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ 102

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q2
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2023/Q1
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$39,000 Projected: \$36,000 Net Change: - \$3,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 70.7 / 23 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$22,630,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate or Replace the Chisos Mountains Lodge Project Number: GAOA ID #N093, NPS PMIS #259631 Unit/Facility Name: Big Bend National Park Region/Area/District: Arkansas – Rio Grande – Texas - Gulf Congressional District: TX23 State: TX

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35290800	82851	67	0.59
35291000	83812	71	0.83
35291700	83832	35	0.51
35291700	83841	35	0.27
35291700	83842	35	0.14
35291700	83838	35	0.27
35291700	83830	35	0.44
35291700	83839	35	0.27
35291800	83821	55	0.91
40660100	54631	71	0.96
40660100	54629	78	1.00
40660100	54634	78	0.72
40750300	247041	65	0.81
40760100	53233	88	0.19

### **Project Justification**

# **Project Description:**

This project will rehabilitate or replace the Chisos Mountains Lodge and update the supporting pedestrian and vehicle circulation throughout the hotel complex. The Lodge currently includes a 72-room motel complex that was built as part of the Mission 66 program and is eligible to be listed on the National Register of Historic Places. It serves as the only restaurant, lounge, lodge registration, and gift shop in the 800,000 acre National Park. This project will also address maintenance/repair work for the motel units and rehabilitate or replace the existing Visitor Center and Camp Store.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

After more than 50 years of service, the lodge's foundation is differentially settling and shifting because it was constructed on unmitigated bentonite clay soils. The foundation's movement due to the expansive soils is compromising the building's structural integrity and occupant safety. The building is now in critical condition and is not serviceable due to this structural instability and related public health concerns. Additionally, portions of the facility are not compliant with the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards (ABAAS) and the building's HVAC systems, when combined with the building envelope failures and deficiencies, are not energy efficient.

The building's foundation and structural elements continue to shift and crack, creating hazardous deterioration of other critical systems. A 2018 structural investigation report revealed significant cracks in walls, ceilings, and floors, some of which have been patched or caulked in previous years but continue to open up. Roofing cracks

cause leaks in the kitchen during rain events, and movement is extreme enough in some cases that the roofing support connections are destabilized. A 2019 public health assessment identified significant cracks in the kitchen walls and concerns about sewer and drainage lines that may be impacted by this movement. The ceiling in the food preparation area is in a partial state of collapse and is temporarily braced in numerous locations. Water infiltration causes mold issues, and cracks in walls and floors create rodent and pest concerns.

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Completing this project will result in a safe, stable, code-compliant structure that contributes to the visitor experience in this area of the park. The building cannot be fully used or leased in its current condition, severely limiting the park's ability to provide visitor services or recover operations and maintenance costs. After this project is completed, the park will have the ability to work with a concessioner to provide visitor services in this remote national park.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 25-40 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to complete this project will lead to further differential settling and shifting of the foundation. Continued deterioration of this facility will cause worsening safety issues to persist, will exacerbate immediate public health concerns, and will fail to improve accessibility compliance and energy efficiency. The deteriorating state of the current facility is resulting in a continued interruption to visitor use and amenities. Failure to proceed with this project will make a new concessions contract infeasible.

#### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.39
API Rating:	n/a	55.93
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	31.82
SB Score:	(20%)	15.73
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	3.15
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	70.70

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 03/2021 Completed N/A

#### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollar	rs in thousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	15,936	70
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	6,694	30
Total:	\$	22,630	100

# **<u>Project Funding History (entire project):</u>**

History	<b>Dollars in thousands</b>		
Funded to Date:	\$		4,219
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$		22,630
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$		0
Total:	\$		26,849
Class of Estimate: C			
Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1			
Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):			
LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$	2,301	
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$	1,918	
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$	0	
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$	0	
Major Milestones Construction Award/Start • Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4 • Actual: N/A Project Complete • Scheduled: FY 2024/Q3 • Actual: N/A			
<u>Project Data Sheet</u> Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes			

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$88,000 Projected: \$84,000 Net Change: -\$4,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 71.5 / 07 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$20,112,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate and Improve Old Faithful Water Treatment System and Demolish Abandoned Wastewater Treatment Plant Project Number: GAOA ID #N094, NPS PMIS #310533 Unit/Facility Name: Yellowstone National Park Region/Area/District: Upper Colorado Basin Congressional District: WYAL State: WY

Project Justification				
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:	
35500500	10487	100.00	0.05	
40710300	4277	88.00	0.25	
40710900	4278	100.00	0.86	

### **Project Description:**

This project will address treatment process improvements at the Old Faithful Water Treatment Plant and demolish the abandoned "old" Old Faithful wastewater treatment plant which was replaced by this new facility in 2001. A new addition to the existing treatment building will be constructed to house an arsenic removal system which will include chemical storage tanks, chemical feed pumps, mixers, valves, piping, instrumentation, controls and settling basins. The project will also include replacement of the treatment plant electrical service and the motor control center, which will be sized to accommodate the new equipment. System piping, pumps and other equipment that has reached the end of its service life will be removed and replaced. A temporary treatment system will be installed that will allow for continued production and treatment of water during the construction period. Components of the raw water intake system will also be repaired or replaced and secured from tampering.

The abandoned plant occupies 1.75 acres and includes a 48,000-gallon septic tank, drying beds, clarifier, digester, aeration tanks, underground utilities, and a control building. Most of the demolition work involves concrete removal, both above and below grade. Due to the abandoned plant's proximity to employee housing, fracturing of all concrete structures will be accomplished by use of expanding epoxy, thereby minimizing disturbance to residents in the area. All demolished material will be transported to an approved disposal site outside the park. Some components of the plant may be recycled. Re-grading of the site will follow demolition.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

The Old Faithful water treatment system is the only potable water supply for domestic services as well as fire protection within the Old Faithful developed area. The Old Faithful Water Treatment Plant (OFWTP) has a design capacity of 800,000 gallons per day (GPD).

Water quality studies have shown that the concentration of arsenic fluctuates in the raw water and occasionally reaches levels that are difficult for the current plant to remove. The new automated treatment system will consistently reduce the arsenic content of the potable water to acceptable levels, thereby meeting state and federal water quality requirements and showing responsiveness to an EPA administrative order. Security of the potable water system will be further enhanced as a result of improvements to water intake structures.

The former Old Faithful wastewater treatment plant has been abandoned for approximately 20 years. With failing concrete structures, catwalks, and other abandoned equipment still in place, the site is a safety hazard for employees and residents, as well as an eyesore in the Old Faithful government area. The park will eliminate nearly \$12.8 million of maintenance/repair work with the demolition and site restoration project.

### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

Completion of this project will provide for a safe, secure, and reliable potable water system with enhanced water quality in sufficient capacity for both domestic purposes and fire protection throughout the Old Faithful developed area. This project will automate the arsenic removal process and eliminate the labor hours currently required to closely monitor and adjust the treatment process.

No facility operations and maintenance will be required once the abandoned wastewater plant is removed. Demolition of the plant also opens up a 1.75 acre site for potential future use. Maintenance liability for the abandoned facility will continue to exist until the plant is demolished.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 30-40 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

The current design of the plant does not allow for the consistent treatment for arsenic removal without continuous monitoring and adjustments by the plant operators, which has led to issuance of an administrative order by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for corrective action by NPS. There are also concerns with the security and condition of the plant's raw water intake structure that need immediate attention.

Not removing the abandoned plant will leave the unsightly abandoned utility system components in place. Ongoing visual impacts, employee and visitor safety hazards, and maintenance concerns would also continue.

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.243
API Rating:	n/a	96.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	40.00
SB Score:	(20%)	12.22
IS Score:	(20%)	18.68
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.60
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	71.50

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 02/2022 Completed N/A

# **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in thou	isands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	10,455	52
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	9,657	48
Total:	\$	20,112	100

#### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	3,750
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	20,112
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	23,862

# <u>Class of Estimate:</u> C

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

# Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 2,045
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 1,704
Planning Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 0
Design Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 0
<u>Major Milestones</u>	

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2025/Q1
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 02/2022 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$1,461,000 Projected: \$706,000 Net Change: -\$755,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 69.00 / 35 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$12,572,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Demolish Excess Structures to Improve Safety, Operations, and Promote Financial Sustainability Project Number: GAOA ID #N096, NPS PMIS #304727 Unit/Facility Name: Cape Cod National Seashore Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian Congressional District: MA09 State: MA

Project Justification			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35291400	44140	7.00	1.00
35291500	44143	7.00	0.01
35291700	44128	7.00	0.01
35300200	80466	35.00	1.00
35300200	244237	42.00	1.00
35300200	39752	12.00	1.00
35300200	39610	12.00	1.00
35300200	80520	12.00	1.00
35300200	80541	12.00	1.00
35300200	80523	12.00	1.00
35300200	252368	0.00	1.00
35300200	80561	0.00	1.00
35300200	80554	12.00	1.00
35300200	253307	12.00	1.00
35300200	80553	12.00	0.69
35300200	80540	12.00	1.00
35300200	253306	12.00	1.00
35300200	44144	7.00	1.00
35300300	44175	12.00	1.00
35300300	44190	12.00	1.00
35300300	44189	12.00	1.00
35300300	44182	12.00	1.00
35300300	44181	12.00	1.00
35300300	44174	12.00	1.00
35300300	44188	12.00	1.00
35300300	44186	12.00	1.00
35300300	44179	12.00	1.00
35300300	44176	12.00	1.00
35300300	44178	12.00	1.00
35300300	44187	12.00	1.00
35300300	44184	12.00	1.00
35300300	44185	12.00	1.00
35300300	44183	12.00	1.00
35300300	44177	12.00	1.00

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
35300300	44180	12.00	1.00
35300500	80457	12.00	1.00
35300700	99925	13.00	1.00
35300700	80464	12.00	1.00
35410700	114112	0.00	1.00
35740100	106575	0.00	0.22
35740100	106574	0.00	1.00
40750300	108532	30.00	0.06

# **Project Description:**

This project will demolish multiple non-historic, abandoned structures within Woodlands, the Highlands Center, and old MITRE site. The buildings are over 60 years old, of low-quality construction, structurally compromised, and contain hazardous materials. Their deterioration is accelerating and they are attractive nuisances that pose threats to safety.

Several houses are in sensitive locations including the Herring River floodplain, on the bluff, near ponds and salt marshes, and at former military sites. These buildings will be demolished, hazardous materials will be remediated, and the sites will be restored.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

These structures present an immediate health and/or safety hazard based on the frequent occurrences of vandalism. As the buildings continue to deteriorate, the public is exposed to wind-blown debris and other hazards. The Highlands Center near some of these buildings is used by the NPS and non-profit groups. There are outdoor visitor amenities, including trails, an ocean overlook platform, and a ballfield within close proximity of these structures. Many dog walkers use the area daily. The park's laboratories, maintenance storage areas, and curatorial facilities are also located at the Highlands Center. Park employees are indirectly at risk from the potential hazards and directly at risk when they respond to any trouble in nearby facilities. This demolition work will eliminate operating costs of facilities, life safety concerns, impacts to natural resources, and environmental issues due to hazardous materials in deteriorating structures

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

This project decreases operational and maintenance requirements for the NPS. Time and money currently spent on monitoring these vacant deteriorated buildings and implementing temporary repairs to keep them secure from entry will be redirected to higher priority assets. Completion of the demolition will enable redevelopment at the Highlands Center site through leasing of other structures in this immediate area. With the removal of the MITRE structures and over a dozen residences, the completion of site restoration in a remote wooded areas will be completed. The completion of this project will avert \$17M of maintenance/repair work.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

This project decreases operational and maintenance requirements for the NPS; time and money currently spent on monitoring these vacant deteriorated buildings in scattered areas and the need for incremental repairs to keep them secure from entry will be redirected to higher priority assets, which will not occur if this goes unfunded.

If the demolition is not completed, redevelopment in Highlands Center area through leasing of other structures may not occur, and park rangers will continue to be exposed to hazardous conditions.

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.76
API Rating:	n/a	11.81
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	38.44
SB Score:	(20%)	0.46

Percent	Score
(20%)	19.00
(20%)	11.10
(100%)	69.00
	(20%) (20%)

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 10/2021

### **Project Costs and Status**

### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	<b>Dollars in thousands</b>			Percent	
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$		448	4	
Capital Improvement Work:	\$		12,123	90	
Total:	\$		12,572	100	
Project Funding History (entire project):					
History	De	ollars in thou	sands		
Funded to Date:	\$		1,261		
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$		12,572		
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$		0		
Total:	\$		13,833		
<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C+ Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1					
Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):					
LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$	207			
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$	1,054			
Planning Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$	0			
Design Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$	0			
Major Milestones					
Construction Award/Start					
• Scheduled: FY 2022/Q4					
Actual: N/A					
Project Complete					
• Scheduled: FY 2024/Q2					
• Actual: N/A					

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$287,000 Projected: \$0 Net Change: -\$287,000

Total Project Score/Ranking: 66.80 / 05 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$24,897,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Stabilize Riverbank at High Priority Areas along Towpath Trail and Valley Railway Project Number: GAOA ID #N097, NPS PMIS #224822 Unit/Facility Name: Cuyahoga Valley National Park Region/Area/District: Great Lakes Congressional District: OH10, OH13 State: OH

#### **Project Justification**

DOI Asset Code	<b>FRPP Unique Id#</b>	API:	FCI-Before:
40751100	23333	90.00	1.00
40751100	23335	90.00	1.00
40751100	23336	90.00	1.00
40751100	23329	90.00	1.00
40751100	23330	90.00	1.00
40770000	25843	90.00	0.13
40770000	25850	90.00	0.16
40770000	25848	90.00	0.33

# **Project Description:**

This project will stabilize the Cuyahoga riverbank along the Ohio and Erie Canal Towpath Trail, along the Valley Railway, and along a connector trail in Peninsula, from the Towpath Trail to the Cuyahoga Valley Scenic Railroad. Stabilization will utilize natural rock rip rap and bioengineering techniques. This project also includes clearing the banks, placing rip rap, rebuilding banks, planting native vegetation to stabilize the soil, and remediation of the construction site and equipment access routes.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

The eroded areas along the Towpath Trail are negatively impacting the park's most important trail, adversely affecting park visitors. Repair of the eroded riverbank areas, in turn, will have direct positive visitor impacts. An estimated 1.5 million visitors use the trail each year; it is a critical asset that visitors rely on for an enjoyable and safe trail experience. By reducing erosion of the riverbanks and providing increased riparian habitat, water quality and aquatic habitat will also improve.

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

The eroded areas will be repaired using the sustainable guidelines of the park's Programmatic Environmental Assessment for Riverbank Management. Permanent repair of eroded riverbank areas will reduce annual operation and maintenance costs by reducing the amount of temporary patching and repairs required along the edge of the trail—particularly after major rain events. Making holistic repairs through this project will be less expensive than reacting when more erosion occurs. Once repaired, the trail will no longer be subject to regular damage due to floods at these locations, reducing unscheduled and emergency repairs.

After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major rehabilitation or replacement for the next 40 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Failure to act will allow bank erosion to continue, increase sedimentation of the waterway, and cause loss of riverside vegetation and riparian habitat. Excessive riverbank erosion could result in unsafe conditions, requiring closures. As part of a larger trail network, these closures affect visitors beyond park boundaries. In some areas, if left unchecked, bank erosion could cut through the towpath embankment, allowing the watered section of the Ohio and Erie Canal to drain. Failure to act will allow bank erosion to continue, increase sedimentation, and cause loss of riverside vegetation and riparian habitat.

### **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.43
API Rating:	n/a	90.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	40.00
SB Score:	(20%)	10.47
IS Score:	(20%)	14.10
CFA Score:	(20%)	2.23
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	66.80

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

### **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Scheduled 07/2021

### **Project Costs and Status**

#### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Do	ollars in thousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	24,538	3 99
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	359	) 1
Total:	\$	24,897	7 100
<u>Project Funding History (entire project):</u>			
History	Do	ollars in thousands	
Funded to Date:	\$	3,777	-
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	24,897	
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0	
Total:	\$	28,674	_
<u>Class of Estimate:</u> C Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1			
<u>Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):</u>			
LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$	1,108	
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$	2,110	
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources	\$	559	
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$	0	

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: FY 2023/Q1
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2023/Q4
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$447,000 Projected: \$447,000 Net Change: \$0

Total Project Score/Ranking: 90.90 / 09 Planned Funding FY 2022: \$10,128,000 Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Rehabilitate Cave Trails: New Entrance to Frozen Niagara Project Number: GAOA ID #N098; NPS PMIS #239273 Unit/Facility Name: Mammoth Cave National Park Region/Area/District: North Atlantic - Appalachian Congressional District: KY02 State: KY

Project Justification			
<b>DOI Asset Code</b>	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
40751100	75737	100.00	0.63

# **Project Description:**

This project will replace and upgrade deteriorated cave trail assets along Frozen Niagara Route, New Entrance Route, and Drapery Room. Work includes construction of hardened trail surface, installation of curbing along each side of trails, replacement of existing handrails with stainless steel handrails, and reconstruction and upgrade of steps along routes. Project includes hardening cave surface at two gathering areas & installing new benches in gathering areas. Electrical and communication conduits will be installed under the trail surfaces to facilitate existing and future electrical and communications cables.

The trail surfaces along these routes are comprised of a variety of materials including concrete, aggregate, dirt, and fiberglass reinforced plastic and recycled lumber. Along the Frozen Niagara section, there are currently at least 10 separate trail assets creating a patchwork of different materials. All existing trail materials will be replaced to provide a consistent trail surface.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

The trail assets along the cave trail route between the New Entrance and the Frozen Niagara Entrance are deteriorating due to heavy visitor use. Conditions are challenging for workers, and it is difficult to bring in materials. In addition, the lack of rails and other restraints allows park visitors to stray off the toured routes, causing damage to both cultural and natural resources.

#### **Investment Strategy (IS):**

The last major investment in cave trails along this tour route occurred during the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) period in the 1930s. When this project is completed, the park expects to alleviate most of the existing issues and help to ensure a safe and high-quality visitor experience for decades. This investment will protect park cultural and natural resources and reduce unscheduled and emergency repairs. After project completion, the facilities and systems addressed by this project should not require major recapitalization or modernization for the next 50 years.

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

Without action, the existing trails will continue to deteriorate and visitors will continue journeying off the trail, posing risks to natural and cultural resources.

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.63
API Rating:	n/a	100.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	40.00
SB Score:	(20%)	20.00
IS Score:	(20%)	20.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	10.90
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	90.90

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: Yes VE Study: Completed 10/2020

### **Project Costs and Status**

### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in	thousands	Percent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	10,128	100
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	0	0
Total:	\$	10,128	100

# **<u>Project Funding History (entire project):</u>**

History	Dollars in	thousands
Funded to Date:	\$	829
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	10,128
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	10,957

#### <u>Class of Estimate:</u> C Estimate Escalated to EV 20

Estimate Escalated to FY 2022/Q1

### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ 0
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ 0
Planning Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 145
Design Funds Received from Other Funding Sources:	\$ 684

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

• Scheduled: FY 2022/Q3

• Actual: N/A

Project Complete

- Scheduled: FY 2023/Q4
- Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 05/2021 DOI Approved: Yes

# Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: \$2,706,000 Projected: \$2,706,000 Net Change: \$0

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Project Data Sheet	Total Project Score/Ranking:	N/A
	Planned Funding FY: 2021 \$86,760,	
	Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund	

#### **Project Identification**

Project Title: FY22+ Project Planning & Compliance		
Project Number: N/A	Unit/Facility Name: N/A	
Region/Area/District: Multiple	Congressional District: Multiple	State: Multiple

#### **Project Justification**

DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

# **Project Description:**

Funding will be used to complete planning and compliance required for current and future Legacy Restoration Fund (LRF) projects. This includes:

- <u>Planning</u>: This activity supplies critical budgetary resources needed to develop construction plans and specifications essential for acceptable completion of major maintenance, repair, and replacement construction projects for the LRF. In addition to final design documents, this funding typically supports pre-design project programming and budgeting, schematic alternatives, and concept drawings.
- <u>Compliance</u>: This activity also provides funding for compliance needs related to addressing impacts to natural and cultural resources. Regulatory requirements that frame compliance activities include the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, executive orders, and state requirements. Examples of compliance support include archeological surveys, hazardous material surveys, preparation of historic structure documentation, coordination with State/Tribal Historic Preservation Offices, and environmental assessments.

Planning and compliance funding are a necessary component of any construction project, supporting activities including project pre-planning, development, and scope and cost validation. This activity enhances the NPS's ability to conduct legally defensible, scientifically based analyses that facilitate sound decision-making. It also provides support for compliance needs associated with major construction projects.

At the FY 2021 funding level, planning and compliance funding will:

- Support the Pre-designs, Final Designs and Supplemental Services for successful execution of the LRF.
- Support project planning and project development for large-scale or complex construction projects that will be submitted for LRF funding in future years.
- Provide funding for compliance.

Scope of Benefits (SB): N/A

Investment Strategy (IS): N/A

Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA): N/A

SB         (20%)           IS         (20%)           CFA         (20%)           Combined ranking factors = (.40 x API/FCI		Score = $0.00$ Score = $0.00$ Score = $0.00$ Score = $0.00$ Score = $0.00$ (.20 x SB score) + (.20 x IS score) + (.20 x CFA score)		
Capital Asset Planning Exhibit 300 Analysi	is Requir	red: No <u>Total Project Score:</u> N/A		
P	roject C	osts and Status		
Deferred Maintenance Work: \$	<b>\$ %</b> 0 0 0 0 0 100	Project Funding History (entire project):Appropriated to Date:\$ 0Formulated in FY 21 Budget:\$ 86,760,000Future Funding to Complete Project:\$ 0Total:\$ 86,760,000		
Class of Estimate:N/APlanning and Design Funds: \$sEstimate Escalated to FY: N/APlanning Funds Received: N/ADesign Funds Received:N/A				
Dates:Sch'dConstruction Award/Start:N/AProject Complete:N/A		ect Data Sheet ared/Last Updated: 03/21 DOI Approved: Yes		
Annual Op	Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$			
Current: N/A Projected: N	J/A	Net Change: N/A		

Total Project Score/Ranking: N/A Planned Funding FY 2022: \$114,316,338 (change of -\$23,615,662 from the FY 2022 President's Budget) Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

### **Project Identification**

Project Title: FY23+ Project Planning and Compliance Project Number: N/A Unit/Facility Name: N/A Region/Area/District: N/A Congressional District: N/A State: N/A

Project Justification				
DOI Asset Code FRPP Unique Id# API: FCI-Before:				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

# **Project Description:**

This funding will be used to complete planning and compliance required for current and future LRF projects. This includes:

<u>Planning</u>: This activity supplies critical budgetary resources needed to develop construction plans and specifications essential for acceptable completion of major facility maintenance, repair, and replacement construction projects for the LRF. In addition to final design documents, this funding typically supports pre-design project programming and budgeting, schematic alternatives, and concept drawings.

<u>Compliance</u>: This activity also provides funding for compliance needs related to addressing impacts to natural and cultural resources. Regulatory requirements that frame compliance activities include the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, executive orders, and State requirements. Examples of compliance support include archeological surveys, hazardous material surveys, preparation of historic structure documentation, coordination with State/Tribal Historic Preservation Offices, and environmental assessments.

Planning and compliance funding are a necessary component of any construction project, supporting activities including project pre-planning, development, and scope and cost validation. This activity enhances the NPS's ability to conduct legally defensible, scientifically based analyses that facilitate sound decision-making. It also provides support for compliance needs associated with major construction projects. NPS intends to use the planning and compliance program as emergency continency in instances where project costs exceed the contingency amounts built into individual project totals. When utilized as an emergency contingency, NPS will request to the funds be replenished these amounts in future budget requests. In addition, at the FY 2022 funding level, planning and compliance funding will:

- Support the Pre-designs, Final Designs and Supplemental Services for successful execution of LRF projects.
- Support project planning and project development for large-scale or complex construction projects that will be submitted for LRF funding in future years.
- Provide funding for project compliance activities.

#### Scope of Benefits (SB):

N/A

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

N/A

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

N/A

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.00
API Rating:	n/a	0.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	0.00
SB Score:	(20%)	0.00
IS Score:	(20%)	0.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.00
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	0.00

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: N/A VE Study: N/A

### **Project Costs and Status**

# **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in thous		rcent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	0	0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	0	0
Total:	\$	114,316	100

### **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in thousands	
Funded to Date:	\$	0
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	114,316
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	114,316

# Class of Estimate: N/A

Estimate Escalated to FY: N/A

### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ N/A
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ N/A
Planning Funds Received:	\$ N/A
Design Funds Received:	\$ N/A

### **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: N/A
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

• Scheduled: N/A

• Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 02/2022 DOI Approved: Yes Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: N/A Projected: N/A Net Change: N/A

Total Project Score/Ranking: N/A Planned Funding FY 2022: \$129,774,962 (*change of* +\$129,774,962 from the FY 2022 President's Budget) Planned Funding FY2021 Earnings on Investment: \$225,038 (*change of* +\$225,038 from the FY 2022 President's Budget) Planned Funding FY 2021: \$8,701,673 (*change of* +\$8,704,440 from the FY 2022 President's Budget) Funding Source: Legacy Restoration Fund

# **Project Identification**

Project Title: Project Contingency Funds Project Number: N/A Unit/Facility Name: N/A Region/Area/District: N/A Congressional District: N/A State: N/A

Project Justification			
DOI Asset Code	FRPP Unique Id#	API:	FCI-Before:
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

# **Project Description:**

This funding will be used to provide contingency funds for all Legacy Restoration Fund construction projects. Due to the nature of the construction process, projects must be prepared to address multiple risks that frequently increase costs. These include unforeseen/unanticipated site conditions that require adjustments to construction methods and timelines, adjustments to project scheduling when contending with unexpected environmental events, and unpredictable fluctuations in prices for supplies and materials—particularly in FY 2022's volatile construction market.

This funding will be used to ensure the bureau is able to address fluctuations in construction costs to accomplish the scope described in the project data sheets for individual projects. The NPS will not use this funding to add enhancements.

While typical NPS projects include a certain percentage of contingency funding built into their individual requests, the volatility of current construction markets require the NPS to use a different budget strategy. This contingency funding for LRF projects will be held in reserve and not allocated to specific projects until it is needed. The amount requested in this project data sheet represents approximately 5 percent of the net construction estimates for FY 2022 projects, but this funding will be made available for past, current, and future LRF projects needing contingency funds to complete construction.

At the FY 2022 funding level, LRF Contingency will:

- Provide contingency funds to past, present, and future LRF projects to address cost increases, unforeseen site conditions, and adapt project methods and schedules during unexpected environmental events.
- Support successful completion of major LRF projects that encounter challenges, ensuring projects are not delayed or left unfinished while other funding is identified.
- Reinforce the NPS's ability to complete projects on time, minimizing closures of the buildings, amenities, and roads improved by the projects, and ensuring they are reopened for visitor enjoyment or park operations in a timely fashion.

# Scope of Benefits (SB):

N/A

# **Investment Strategy (IS):**

N/A

# **Consequences of Failure to Act (CFA):**

N/A

# **Ranking Categories:**

Category	Percent	Score
FCI Rating:	n/a	0.00
API Rating:	n/a	0.00
API/FCI Score:	(40%)	0.00
SB Score:	(20%)	0.00
IS Score:	(20%)	0.00
CFA Score:	(20%)	0.00
<b>Total Score:</b>	(100%)	0.00

Combined ranking factors = (0.40 x API/FCI score) + (0.20 x SB score) + (0.20 x IS score) + (0.20 x CFA score)

# **Capital Asset Planning**

Capital Plan Business Case Required: N/A VE Study: N/A

#### **Project Costs and Status**

### **Project Cost Estimate (this PDS):**

Activity	Dollars in thous	sands Pe	ercent
Maintenance/Repair Work:	\$	0	0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	0	0
Total:	\$	129,775	100

# **Project Funding History (entire project):**

History	Dollars in thousands	
Funded to Date:	\$	0
FY 2022 Legacy Restoration Fund Funding (this PDS):	\$	129,775
Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$	0
Total:	\$	129,775

# Class of Estimate: N/A

Estimate Escalated to FY: N/A

### Planning and Design Funds (dollars in thousands):

LRF Planning Funds Received:	\$ N/A
LRF Design Funds Received:	\$ N/A
Planning Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ N/A
Design Funds Received from Other Fund Sources:	\$ N/A

# **Major Milestones**

Construction Award/Start

- Scheduled: N/A
- Actual: N/A

Project Complete

• Scheduled: N/A

• Actual: N/A

# **Project Data Sheet**

Prepared/Last Updated: 02/2022 DOI Approved: No

Annual Operations & Maintenance Costs \$

Current: N/A Projected: N/A Net Change: N/A