**Benchmark Employee Performance Standards**

**Outstanding:**

The employee demonstrates exceptional performance in all aspects of the critical element that is of such high quality that organizational goals have been achieved that would not have been otherwise. The employee consistently achieved expectations at the highest level of quality possible and accomplished objectives even when faced with unanticipated challenges.

The employee demonstrates mastery of technical skills and a thorough understanding of the mission of the organization and has a fundamental impact on the completion of program objectives. The employee exerts a major positive influence on management practices, operating procedures and/or program implementation, which contribute substantially to organizational growth and recognition. The employee plans for the unexpected and uses alternate ways of reaching goals. Difficult assignments are handled intelligently and effectively. The employee has produced an exceptional quantity of work, often ahead of established schedules and with little supervision.

The employee’s oral and written communications are exceptionally clear and effective. He/she improves cooperation among participants in the workplace and prevents misunderstandings. Complicated or controversial subjects are presented or explained effectively to a variety of audiences so that desired outcomes are achieved.

Indicators of performance at this level include outcomes/results that consistently exceed the Exceeds Expectations performance standard for the critical element.

**Exceeds Expectations:**

The employee demonstrates a high level of performance that exceeds expectations in significant areas of the critical element and exhibits a sustained support of organizational goals.

The employee shows a comprehensive understanding of the objectives of the job and the procedures for meeting them. Effective planning by the employee improves the quality of management practices, operating procedures, task assignments and/or program activities. The employee develops and/or implements workable and cost-effective approaches to improving organizational operations/results.

The employee effectively balances accomplishing work while handling difficult and unpredicted problems. The employee produces a high quantity of work, often ahead of established schedules with less than normal supervision. The employee works productively and strategically with others in non-routine matters, some of which may be complex and sensitive. The employee writes and speaks clearly on difficult subjects to a wide range of audiences.

Indicators of performance at this level include outcomes/results that consistently exceed the Fully Successful performance standard for the critical element.

**Fully Successful:**

The employee demonstrates consistently successful performance that contributes positively to organizational goals. The employee effectively applies technical skills and organizational knowledge to deliver results based on measures of quality, quantity, efficiency, and/or effectiveness within agreed-upon deadlines, keeping the rating official informed of work issues, alterations, and status. The employee successfully carries out regular duties while also handling any special assignments and identifying opportunities to improve organizational operations/results that consider stakeholder perspectives. The employee plans and performs work according to organizational priorities and schedules. The employee communicates clearly and effectively and works effectively with others to accomplish organizational objectives.

**Unacceptable:**

The employee’s performance is unacceptable. The quality and/or quantity of the employee’s work are not adequate for the position. Work products do not meet the requirements expected.

The employee demonstrates little or no contribution to organizational goals; failure to meet work objectives; inattention to organizational priorities and administrative requirements; poor work habits resulting in missed deadlines and/or incomplete work products; strained work relationships; failure to respond to client needs; and/or lack of response to rating official’s corrective efforts.