0001	
1	EASTERN INTERIOR ALASKA SUBSISTENCE
2	REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
3	
4	PUBLIC MEETING
5	
6	
7	VOLUME II
	VOLUME II
8	DAKETO MAMEDEDONE TODGE
9	PYKE'S WATERFRONT LODGE
10	Fairbanks, Alaska
11	February 20, 2025
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
17	Robert Wright, Sr., Chair
18	Donald A. Woodruff
19	Andrew W. Bassich
20	Linda M. Evans
21	Olivia Henaayee Irwin
22	Eva D. Burk
23	Susan L. Entsminger
24	Gerald K. Alexander
25	Galen D. Gilbert
26	Dorothy Shockley
27	-
28	
29	
30	Regional Council Coordinator, Brooke McDavid
31	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	Recorded and transcribed by:
39	noorada ana orangorizoa zy.
40	Lighthouse Integrated Services Corp
41	877-261-2495
42	Info.@lighthouseonline.com
43	inio.eiignenouseoniine.com
43 44	
44 45	
45 46	
47	
48	
49	
50	

0002	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3 4	(Fairbanks, Alaska - 2/20/25)
5 6	(On record)
7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Good morning, everybody. We're going to call the meeting to order at 9:10 a.m. Thank you. I think we'll start today with the
10	roll call, please.
11	· •
12	(Pause)
13	
14	MR. WOODRUFF: Gerald is here. Don
15	Woodruff is here. Galen Gilbert?
16	
17	MR. GILBERT: Yes.
18	
19	MR. WOODRUFF: Robert Wright.
20	CAN TENED CON TENED AND
21	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Here.
22 23	MR. WOODRUFF: Andrew Bassich.
24	
25	MR. BASSICH: here.
26	
27	MR. WOODRUFF: Olivia Irwin.
28	MO TRUTH H
29	MS. IRWIN: Here.
30 31	MD MOODDIEE. Evo Durk
32	MR. WOODRUFF: Eva Burk.
33	MS. BURK: Here.
34	MS. BORK. Hele.
35	MR. WOODRUFF: Linda Evans.
36	The wood of the Evano.
37	MS. EVANS: Here.
38	
39	MR. WOODRUFF: Dorothy Shockley.
40	Dorothy, are you online?
41	1, 1
42	(No response)
43	
44	Sue Entsminger
45	
46	MS. ENTSMINGER: Here.
47	
48	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Linda, could we
49	bother you for a prayer this morning? Again, I'm sorry
50	To wear you out. Unless somebody else in the crow wants

to do it.

2

MS. MCDAVID: And we'll just let the record reflect that Dorothy Shockley is present now. Thanks.

5 6 7

4

(Pause)

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

MS. EVANS: Heavenly father, we thank you for this day. We thank you for all the blessings you've given us. We thank you for our families. We just ask your blessing over them. Keep them safe and healthy and in prosperity. We ask your blessing over this meeting, Lord, and that our minds will come together, and we will have a productive meeting with good results that help the people we represent. Amen.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Brooke McDavid Council Coordinator for the EIRAC. Good morning, everyone, and welcome back to day two of the Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council meeting. I just had a couple of announcements and reminders this morning before we get going. First off is -- please remember to sign in if you're here in the room. And we will be doing a public comment opportunity right after this for non-agenda items. We do have two cards in the queue. So, if you do want to comment today on any agenda items or non-agenda items, please just fill out a blue card or a green card that's on the front table there and you can pass those to me or other staff and they'll get them -- appear to me in the Chair. If you're online and want to provide comments on non-agenda items this morning, you can press star five if you're on the phone or use the raise hand feature on Teams, and that'll put you in the queue to comment. A couple notes about our agenda today. We're going to have a couple of things, maybe a little bit out of order, but not too much. Just for your awareness. We're going to -- this morning the Council is going to talk a little bit, recap about last night's engagement session with North Pacific Fishery Management Council on the chum salmon bycatch. And then we're going to have a presentation from YRDFA, and then the Council will resume with the action items that they left off with yesterday and that will be the statewide Board of Game proposal comments. There's a couple proposals folks are hoping to comment on. And then after that we'll probably get into reports.

47 48 49

50

The call for Board of Fish proposals will be at 1:00 pm right after lunch. There's some folks

that want to engage with the Council on that. So that will be a time-certain right after lunch. And I believe that is everything for me, Mr. Chair. Actually, please remember to try to state your name for the record when you're introducing yourself. And that's all. Thank you.

5 6 7

8

9

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Brooke. Okay, well, we can recap last night. Visit with the North Pacific. Anywhere however you like. Go ahead, Andy.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I felt like that was a really good space last night. It was really nice to have the North Pacific Council come to our turf. I think that's -- it's good for them to come and see the people and see the -- meet the people who have boots on the ground here. I think it's really important. I know we have a lot of challenges ahead of us. It's big industry. It's big money. And I like I use the analogy, we're a little flea on a big sheepdog at times in some of these issues, but I feel like it's only going to change through good dialogue. And I know if we start moving towards a gravel-to-gravel approach for managing our fisheries, hopefully statewide it's going to be imperative that we have their cooperation and their help. One thing I did want to -- I left that meeting with last night, one of the things that I observed is that they also have concerns with carrying capacity in the marine environment, and overproduction of hatchery fish is one of the leading causes of that by -- in many people's minds. And it's scientifically -- there's a lot of scientific evidence to show that that is beginning to have an impact on all species of fish, including pollock. So, I see that we have some common ground there with them, and I would encourage us to cultivate that common ground as we start to talk with them to develop some positive relationships, there's going to be plenty of adversarial type of comments that will have to engage in. But that, I see, is one that we can build some cooperation and trust on. So that was kind of my takeaway, and I really appreciate the effort of whomever put that together. I'm guessing that's probably you, Brooke and OSM but, I think that was really productive, really useful and set a really good foundation for hopefully future discussions. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

45 46 47

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Anyone else want to say anything? Go ahead, Donald. \\$ 

48 49 50

 MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie. This is Don Woodruff. From my perspective they're doing the best they can but, we've got a longways to go, and I was happy to hear about almost all the vessels have salmon excluders, which allows the fish to escape from those big nets. And I was lucky enough to have breakfast with two of those guys this morning. And I conveyed to them that I thought, you know, that it's a slow process, but, I was happy with some of the discussion we had yesterday. And I hope that in the future that we can meet again. I didn't want to beat him up this morning at breakfast. And we did talk a little bit about gravel-to-gravel. It was a good visit. Thank you, Charlie.

## CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Anybody else?

MR. ALEXANDER: My name is Gerald Alexander. You know when I came into this committee, I thought I knew everything about the fish, but, apparently, you know, just because you grew up from Alaska doesn't -- you don't know much about them. I mean, Andy shed some light on it and I think I got a long way to go, and I hope I can stay on this Board long enough to, like Charlie said, you know, we'll give it back. And that's hoping what I can do. Thank you.

MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin, for the record I think that something that became really apparent last night through the conversation and became apparent through testimony as well, is that there's a big conversation between in-river issues that are outside of the Council's control and then ocean issues that are within the Council's control. And there's a lot of blaming going on from both ends, I think of in-river issues being, you know, the narrative that those are the predominant issues, the contributing factors to the decline of western Alaska chum salmon. So, I think that the more that, like our Council and the other Boards that we sit on, the more that we can also address and start bringing to light the work that we're doing inriver, continuing to bring up the fact that we're not happy with Doyon Hilcorp, that we don't support the exploration. The more that we can highlight community led projects that are analyzing tributaries and culverts, the more that we can shed light on all of the work that we're doing and all of these spaces so that it becomes really apparent that there's work being done in all of these sectors. And what we need you to do is focus on what you can do, which is the bycatch issue.

4

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

MS. SHOCKLEY: Good morning. I've been to some of their meetings over the years. And actually met, I think, Brian in Juneau when we were doing the training for how they come up with, you know, some of their decisions through NOAA. But, you know, it was -to me it was nice to kind of get to know them on a personal level instead of them being a, you know, kind of separated from us. So, I appreciated that. And, you know I agree with Olivia in regards to, you know, just the blame game. I know the State, you know, says it's all in the ocean. The other groups that work in the ocean say, you know, it's not always us. It's, you know, the State as well. So, you know, just driving in this morning, I was like, you know, in this whole scheme of thing, of thing of, you know, our issue as far as our salmon, you know, they're like I was saying yesterday, there's so many different players and decision makers. And, you know, what part does the North Pacific Council have in that circle? You know, we have the bar chart and all of those. So, I think it would be good to, you know, to come up with, you know, maybe a bar chart and say, okay, you know, North Pacific is responsible for this. You know, the State Fish and Game is responsible for this. You know who you know, who actually you know -how do we work together and in regards to fixing what we need? And I know, you know, as an indigenous person, you know, we have our rights or we have knowledge that is not being used. And how do we bring that to, you know, to the table in a way that, you know, will be accepted and used and -- so it was good. I appreciated this opportunity and thank you.

33 34 35

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Dorothy.} Go ahead, Eva.$ 

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. BURK: Thank you. And thank you for everyone's comments and we at the Fish Commission of the Yukon River Inter Tribal Fish Commission. A lot of the things, Dorothy, we are working on those things. We have a regulatory strategy. We've shared it with many groups. And that is trying to do what we can in each space where we know things are happening. But I'll tell you what, you start going to these fishery meetings and you start talking to people. There's a lot of things that we that we don't have counted. And I heard from a fisheries scientist is like, count everything and make everything count. And I think that's really important, and the North Pacific has asked the tribes to step up and put forth a

salmon rebuilding plan. And I think that's what we really 1 need to lean into is that in the rest of the areas where salmon has needed restoration, it's always been led by tribes. And I think for this group, we did like priority 5 information needs, and we really realized the importance 6 of community-based monitoring. And so, I think as we're all trying to navigate this salmon crisis, finding those 8 ways that we can invest in ourselves and get ourselves 9 back out on the land is going to be really important to 10 being able to develop really robust community-based monitoring. And there's a lot of indigenous communities 11 12 that we can learn from and that want to help us. So, 13 we're not out there like trying to do everything from 14 scratch, there's a lot of momentum out there. And when 15 it comes to the North Pacific, I think it's really 16 important to continue to build relationships, but, also really hold them accountable and the -- the things that 17 18 they're using in their science and the stories and the 19 data that they're presenting, that's just from their limited viewpoint. That's only industry funded data. A 20 21 lot of not, say only but, a lot of it is industry funded 22 data. And it's really not taking into account cumulative 23 effects and it's really not taking into account the long 24 history of our lands. And so that's one thing that we're 25 doing at the Fish Commission too, is going back and 26 finding all the written history. Both of our own 27 indigenous people along the river but, also the state 28 and federal governments and agencies that have written 29 multiple reports over the years. I think putting all 30 that history into perspective is really important, 31 because I made a comment about, it's about manifest 32 destiny, and capitalism is why we don't have salmon. And 33 it might sound smart but, if you actually start to really 34 delve into that comment, there's a lot of truth there. 35 And I think that's what -- I was really grateful to hear 36 the Chair Angel say bycatch is part of the problem. 37 There's the salmon have a thousand things that are going 38 wrong, and everybody's hands are dirty. And I think when 39 people take accountability and work to make it right, 40 that's a really good step in the right direction. So, I 41 really applaud Angel. I think there's a lot of growth 42 there and I think that's the work of us is the individual 43 growth and understanding of each Council member is 44 really critical to getting decisions made that really 45 have an impact on our salmon. And like I said we need 46 to do everything that we can and just give salmon a 47 chance and that means commercial fishing needs to also 48 bear-in the burden of conservation. That's real right 49 now. So, thank you. 50

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Eva. I think that it's -- I appreciate everybody spending their time with us last night and asking the hard questions. It's important we have to make changes, and we see change happening. It's slow but, we are seeing it and the willingness to work together in unity is something that's very special in my mind. I think that's the only way forward. We all have to do our part. I thank all of you. I think it was a really good meeting last night myself and getting to know each other better and having tough conversations is the way forward. Thank you all. And you have something to say? Go ahead.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I did just want to bring to the Council's attention that the tentative publication for the draft environmental impact statement is going to be in August, and it will be a 60day comment period. It might not -- it will probably close before your next Council meeting. So, if you all wanted to be able to submit comments as part of that open comment period, the time would be to develop that at this meeting. You did submit previous comments to them on the very preliminary alternatives that were released last year. And you -- there's a letter. The letter that you sent is in your supplemental materials under tab seven. If you wanted to update or add to your comments about the alternatives based on the new information that you've received, you could send -- we could work to draft another comment for that open comment period that will be at the end of summer sometime.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. I have a procedural question. For us to make comments, does it have to be at this meeting with a full vote, or can we assign a committee to make comments and then approve that committee? Which might, A: help us a little bit at this meeting with the time and B: give us a little bit more time to formulate our thoughts and comments and questions. So just more of a procedural. But I -- if that's possible, I would recommend that. I really hate it when you're forced to do something really fast because you always come back and think later on. Boy, I wish I would have included this or that, so.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Andy. So, what I would say to that is you could definitely have like a working group get together to formulate the exact wording and everything that you would like to have in the letter but, it would be important to have on the record during the public meeting. Just kind of a general

stance of, you know even looking at your -- what you supported last time and saying, yeah, we can -- we continue to oppose the no action alternative. We -- you know, we're in support of you know some of these other alternatives about the time area closures, etc. and then you could work to formulate more in-depth comments about that. I mean, you did have a lot of discussion about it but, it would be important to vote as a RAC and make sure everyone is in agreement with those general positions. Thank you.

 MR. BASSICH: Thank you for that, Brooke. Member Bassich here. I guess I would defer to the Chair when or when in the meeting they would want to do that. And I would propose that maybe what we should do is make a motion to send a letter that would open up discussion, and then we don't have to delve into everything but, we can highlight a few topics and then let a working group or committee work on that.

MS. BURK: Thank you. Through the Chair. This is Eva. I -- I'm kind of liking this idea of a committee, Andy, and also wondering if we could work with the other Yukon RACs and have a kind of a position that might be a good way to go about it. Because for the sake of time in this meeting, it is a little complex, the motion. It would take me a little bit of time to go through it and tell you all the, like, little nuances. And the things that we basically agreed on before was like a cap of 150,000. They've been operating with a cap -- self-imposed cap at 200,000, like, all over. And then the thing that really is to save more western Alaska chum is the alternative five with the conservation corridor but, then they have sub options in there that make the corridor a little smaller because it would have more impacts on this one fleet if we close the whole thing. And there's a -- that might not make it through the process. And so that's our question. Do we want to say what we want for salmon. Are we trying to think about different impacts on different sectors of the pollock industry. And because that's what I do at the advisory panel but, I don't know if I would necessarily do that in this space. I might just say this is what the salmon need. And so that helps.

MS. MCDAVID: I will just say that we do just have to be careful about you know some of the details, like if you would want to support, you know, a change in that cap number, that's something different than what you've discussed on the record before, then

that would need to be done in the public meeting. And that's something that other RACs, if you wanted to them to join in this letter would also need to discuss. And so, I guess there's another option that I'm thinking about is that there's going to be this comment period on the EIS that's in the -- you know, that's the public comment period that will come out in the Federal Register. They'll also be a letter or comment period for the meeting of the North Pacific Fishery Management Council in December. Is that correct? And so that's another opportunity to submit comments. And that would give you more time in the fall to even change and add more if you wanted. So just putting that on the record too.

MS. IRWIN: Thanks for that. Brooke, this is Olivia Irwin for the record, through the Chair. I think that we should do both. I think that we should come up with some -- have discussion right now about just some overarching themes that we could add for the 60-day comment period, and then we could, when we meet again, be more specific. So, I'll go ahead, and I'll make a motion to send a letter to the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council for -- do you want to do it, Eva?

MS. BURK: Thank you. Through the Chair. You can continue. But we -- the first letter would go to the Federal Register, and I don't know the salutation for that. And then the second letter would be the one for the meeting, and we could add more detail in our October meeting, so.

 MS. MCDAVID: I think your motion is okay, Olivia, if you just specify that this would be for the EIS comment period in the Federal Register, that it's forthcoming. We don't know the exact details yet, but just -- that's your intent.

MS. IRWIN: Okay. Yeah. I'll make a motion to write a letter to the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council during the August comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for chum bycatch.

MR. BASSICH: Member Bassich. Second.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Is there any more

49 discussion?

5

6

8

9

10

MS. IRWIN: I guess I'll speak to it. I think that we have a good baseline from the original letter that we sent to the Council. This is an everchanging process, even depending on what the comments come out in August and discussion leading up to December, there might be changes. So, I think that our letter looking at a low cap of 150,000 and being in support of that alternative five of the corridors, we can get more specific with those options with our December comments but, for these ones, I think we could keep it more general.

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

BASSICH: Charlie? MR. Yeah. Bassich. I guess I wouldn't want to limit ourselves but, I'm wondering if it wouldn't be good in this brief discussion, Eva if you could maybe identify the most concerning topics that we want to address in this letter so that the intent of the letter is pretty clear. Now you started to do that, is there anything else that you think as a topic for our position as a RAC to be included in that or could we just say make it a much more general letter as far as the topics on that letter go? I just don't want to get to the point to where we're -- we decide once there's a working group or committee that because something wasn't identified in the letter, that we're not able to put it into the letter. So, I think if we keep it from my perspective, if you keep it kind of broad and talk about more of the Eastern RAC's position on chum salmon conservation issues that pretty much keeps it open for a wide range of discussion and positions.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. BURK: Thank you. Through the Chair. This is Eva. I think one of the most important things is like what we've been -- our messaging is every salmon counts. And then I was able to find this language in one of the Canadian scientific articles. And it clearly stated that discrete stocks are critical to the rebuilding and sustainability of salmon populations in a rapidly changing environment. And so that's in this chum bycatch action. And then in the way that they fish, they can catch hundreds of chum in one tow, right. Some of our tributaries are classified as minor or major spawners. And so, they can have majors over 500 pairs of spawners, right. So just thinking about those numbers, we're in the situation where we really need to protect these discrete stocks. And we believe that this action does it. And furthermore, the unique thing about chum is when you look at the age data of what the bycatch is, a lot of it is age 4 to 5 chum, and they are making

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

a tight bend around Unimak and on their way home. And they've even explained that to me in their fishing behavior is that they'll -- they know the chum are here and they'll, they won't fish in this more western area above Unimak. And then they'll see the chum show up on the more eastern side of Unimak. And so, they do know that those are 4 to 5 chum probably making their way home. And the younger chum are probably being taught right there, like, this is where you swim to go get some grub for your travels home you know, and so I think it's important like that's why we want the migration conservation corridors is because of that understanding of the fish behavior and their migration patterns and it's been known for a long time. So those are the major reasons that we've put on the record. And then also, you know, genetics only came about in 2011 for bycatch.

16 17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

And when I look back at our data and I think it really is more astounding, even for chum or sorry, chinook, is that we've been going through this decline very easily since the 80s. We've never returned to those abundance levels of salmon, never. And that actually impacts the way that bycatch is managed, because there -- we have a shifting baseline of what used to be 300,000 chinook on the Yukon is now 175. And in our heads, we've told ourselves that that's normal and it's not. And if we're going to rebuild salmon populations, we need to really have a better grip on historical salmon abundance levels. And so, I think that's part of my issue. And there's a lot of comments that I'm happy to share that the Yukon River Inter Tribal Fish Commission has prepared that kind of delve into the language and the data behind this. So, for the sake of time, I'll stop there. Thank you.

343536

37

38

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

MS. SHOCKLEY: Thank you. I appreciate that. I think with the new information that we've gotten since last year or October 2024. I think to me, I mean, I agree, we don't want to limit ourselves, you know. And so having more well, stronger language I think is important and you know, add some of the more specific things that, you know, you're talking about. I think would go a long way. And I think updating it for sure and putting stronger language in it. And, you know, since, you know, they already are capping themselves at 200,000, then, you know, I think we should always go above what we want and then negotiate. Thank you.

47 48 49

50

MS. IRWIN: I would just like to add you know, just for the record, that it's the intent, I think,

of this Council to continue to look into what in-river affects we can be making decisions on and strategizing on, and we're committed to not only addressing the issues with our chum in the ocean but, also in-river. And it's also not the intention to shut down any communities that rely on that resource. I just wanted to make those final comments. And I'll call the question.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. Can you reinstate your motion, please?

MS. IRWIN: Yes. Thank you, Charlie. Through the Chair. This is Olivia Irwin. My motion is to send a letter to the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council during the August 60-day comment period on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement regarding chum bycatch.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I'll ask for unanimous consent. Anybody that's against this, please signify by saying aye.

## (No response)

Seeing or hearing none, passes. Thank you. Okay. At this time, we'll go to public comment and then YRDFA will be after that.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just a reminder for folks online, if you'd like to comment on any non-agenda items, please raise your hand on Teams or press star five and we will start with someone here in the room and then we'll go online. Thanks.

## CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Ross Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Good morning, Mr. Chair and Council members. I introduced myself yesterday. Ross Martin. I'm a PhD student at the Yale School of the Environment, and I'm focused on subsistence politics and issues in Alaska for some of my dissertation research. Some of you Council members who were here in October may remember that I came and introduced myself and expressed interest in analyzing the historical transcripts from these Council meetings. Since then, I've gotten the Council transcripts from Brooke and have put them into a form that is easily searchable. So, I've written computer code that can search through the past 30 years of transcript data for basically any search query that you want. Of course, it's a huge amount of data. I think

1 I have 140 files, each file representing one day of meeting transcripts since 1994. So, I'm just coming to give an update on that. And also offer the ability to search back in time to establish a historical record. I 5 know Eva was talking about that earlier, about what has happened in the past. What has the Council commented on? 6 How have those comments translated into legislation and 8 official stances on the management agencies? So yeah, 9 I'm just -- I'm happy to take any questions. And I'm 10 grateful to have been able to work with Brooke and with the data because I think they're a really rich source 11 of you know, history and knowledge about Alaskan 12 13 ecosystems. So, the data I'm working with right now is 14 for the eastern region and the western region. So yeah. 15 And then a little bit about me before I started my PhD, I worked with tribal hunting and fishing treaty rights 16 down south, specifically in Yellowstone for the hunting 17 18 of bison. So, I -- as I'm starting my research career I 19 moved up to Alaska. So yeah, that's a little bit about 20 me but, thank you so much. And we'll take any questions.

2122

## CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Dorothy.

23 24

25

26

2728

MS. SHOCKLEY: Thank you. So must be very interesting. I -- do you see any trends? I mean, I don't know how much you've gone through as far as, you know, these meeting records. But just from what you've done so far, have you seen any trends or anything that kind of just popped up in your research?

29 30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. MARTIN: So, I'm still -- I'm in the cleaning it and refining the search process of techniques themselves so I can do things like search within 30 or 50 words around a keyword, right. So, I could search salmon, for example, and then search the 30 words preceding and following salmon, something like that. So, you can associate like, say I could do a search. I haven't done this yet. I'm still working on the outputs. But you know, say in the 90s you would do that search for salmon and you don't have a lot of language like decline around the word salmon. But then as time goes, you can say, oh, people are talking more about salmon decline or something like that. I can search based on the identity of the speaker, which is useful. And, you know, I could do the same sort of search for like Fish and Game, right. So, I could -- whenever Fish and Game is mentioned, I could see -- what's doing -and the format of the court transcription is very useful, right. Because all of the speakers identities are in all caps, right? So, you can tell the computer whatever's

in all caps. We know that that's a name. Things like that. I'm in -- I mean, what I would love to hear from you all is, is what our search queries that you think would be useful. Because quite honestly, I've been in Alaska for nine months and I'm still very much in the learning period. So yeah, an open dialogue about what you think would be useful to search, what would help me and also, I hope, help you all.

8 9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

5

MS. IRWIN: Through the Chair. This is Olivia Irwin and thank you, Ross, so much for this work. And thank you for coming up to present. This is really, really interesting and really important work that's tedious and it takes a lot of time and energy. And there's folks like us sitting around this table who maybe might have some of that knowledge of how to do that, but we don't have the capacity to. So, thank you. I would love to talk to you off record about some of those keywords. I'm sure a lot of us would. One that comes to my mind from our conversation last night would be bycatch since you're going all the way back to 1994. My question for you, though, is can you apply your code to Board of Fish and North Pacific Fisheries Management Council meeting recordings? And is that at all part of your long-term plan for the transcriptions?

252627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

MR. MARTIN: Yeah. I mean, it takes a little bit of tweaking based on the consistency of the records but, any text-based document can be used here. The Council transcripts are particularly useful because there has been a common format since the 90s. So, if there are other documents that you think would be useful, they can certainly be searched. It would just take a little bit of time to -- the most important part is to get it into plain text format. It's just a file type. And then once it's there and you just have the text, you can search it quite easily, actually. Like you said, what I have as a PhD student is time and capacity and that's about it. But so -- but that's useful because I can I'd like to be of services, especially some of the Council members said, you know (distortion). And these can be in service of that goal to use all the knowledge that we can to make good decisions for wildlife and fish.

44 45 46

(Pause)

47 48

49

50

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Andrew Bassich. Yeah. Thank you. I'm just going to throw a few search words that you -- that I think would be very useful. Changes

in size for salmon is huge. (Distortion) and hopefully, depending on how (distortion) salmon only or on any. Pretty much anything.

MR. MARTIN: My database is you all.

MR. BASSICH: Okay.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  MARTIN More documents could be incorporated, like fishery Council meeting minutes and things like that.

MR. BASSICH: I'd just like to let you know I've been called a lot of things but, never a data point. So, this is a new first for me, and I thank you for that.

MR. MARTIN: But you're much more than

that.

MR. BASSICH: Okay. Another word, fecundity. Yeah. That's huge for our rebuilding and another phrase, traditional knowledge will be very useful as we move more and more into Gravel-to-Gravel. Those are three kind of top things in my mind anyway. But thank you for your work on that. And, you know, we've all heard how complex this issue is. Anything that we can do to consolidate and then be able to retrieve back out of that is super helpful. So, appreciate it.

MS. BURK: Thank you, through the Chair. I don't have the code to go scan these documents so, I've just been doing it as a human being. And so, I've noticed things, too. Like the change in size different things about commercial fishing popping up in documents all the way from 1919. And so, I started to think about the different crashes and the cycles of salmon, where it'll be like, go up and down. Would it be helpful -and we're putting this in like a timeline format. Would it be helpful for your research to kind of to have that? Because I'm wondering, like thinking about the formation of this body and the records that exist for this body, and then I've been going through not so much Board of fish but, North Pacific historical records back into the 90s and 80s. So, my -- some of what I'm thinking about the salmon might be in these more historical reports that are not part of the transcripts of any of the decision making bodies like North Pacific Board of Fish or these Regional Advisory Councils. So -- is would it be helpful to kind of like have some of that timeline

when you're thinking about your research? And then like what Olivia said, talking offline is probably a lot easier getting into those details.

MR. MARTIN: Yeah, that would be very helpful. It's harder than you would think, actually, to go back and see certain events, especially as they pertain to particular fishery stocks or wildlife herds. In the last WIRAC meeting, I remember there was lots of talk about the decline of the Nelchina Caribou Herd, right. So, what that brought to mind for me is you could go back -- I can search by year. I've organized the files by their date stamp and search, you know, the five years preceding the decline of the Nelchina Herd, for example. And then see what the Council was talking about before that. And then what happened regulation wise and whether those two things align. So, I just use that anecdote to say, yes, that would be very helpful to get a historical record of, you know, say the folks in Nenana started to notice the salmon decline in the 80s. And then you can go back and look for documents around those time on that topic and search them. That could be very insightful as to the interplay between you know, community-based management programs and these Councils and their bearing on how Fish and Game is regulated.

 $\,$  MS. BURK: Thank you for that. The nerd in me got really excited.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Donald and then Dorothy.} \\$ 

MR. WOODRUFF: Donald Woodruff. Thank you for your research and one thing that comes to mind to me is that the Upper Yukon genetics, it is a unique signature. And we haven't been talking about that for a long time but, I think it's an important search. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Dorothy.

MS. SHOCLKEY: Yes. again, thank you. Really appreciate this. One word that comes to mind as well is commercial. You know how that kind of rolls into different scenarios. Thank you.

MR. BASSICH: I'm just curious, does your database include Yukon River panel discussions or transcripts? Unfortunately, we haven't had transcripts going way back but, it might be really useful if it's

possible to incorporate that. Because that also brings in the Canadian component, which has been talking about these declines and really rebuilding efforts and a lot of traditional knowledge and things like that. So that might -- it just a suggestion, if you could bring that in, it would actually probably really bolster the data.

7

9

10

11

12

13

5

MR. MARTIN: Yes. That's helpful. Thank you. As of right now it only includes the Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council and the Western Council. But it would take a bit of time, but the methodology is the same. So, I could incorporate any documents that you think would be useful such as those. So that's helpful. Thank you.

141516

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Did anybody else?

17 18

(No response)

19 20

21

Appreciate you. It sounds like it's going to be very useful going forward. And we appreciate you coming and testifying today. Thank you so much.

222324

MR. MARTIN: Yep. Thank you.

25 26

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Next is Janet Woods.

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

WOODS: Good morning, everyone. MS. Yesterday when I walked in the room, I went up to Brooke, and I told her it feels so comfortable in here. Not so stuffy so, and that was a really nice feeling. Because, you know, when you testify, depending on what group, it's -- you get a little nervous and etc. but -- and my name is Janet Woods, and I grew up in Manley. My dad was from Rampart, born and raised; my mother from Tanana, born and raised. And I raised my children in Rampart. And listening to the comments yesterday, I -- after the meeting yesterday or last night, I went home and started writing some topics that I thought I would talk about. And I -- looking at, I said, gee whiz, that's a lot. But when they were talking about -- so I just kind of wrote down some of the topics that I heard yesterday and what I wanted to comment on. And one of them was the predator control. And I remember a long time ago, they used to use aerial predator control. And then the State put a stop to that. But I could remember my uncle, John Starr, Jr., was born and raised in Tanana talking about the area control. And how it really was a positive impact on keeping the wolves and everything manageable. The ecosystem was just really manageable, and he was really

1 disappointed when the State decided to stop that. And maybe that's something that we need to start thinking about, especially when it's starting to affect the food that we eat and you know, coming into -- some of the 5 animals coming into our homelands, close to home. So 6 that was one thing that I wanted to mention. And the other thing that I noticed, and I haven't seen it in a 8 long -- since I've been in Rampart, was how close the 9 porcupines are starting in where I live, and it's 10 downtown and it's more quiet maybe, but I've noticed more porcupines coming out, and I mean, just walking on 11 12 the road. So, I'm not sure and I've never seen that 13 before. And also, martin coming close, I mean, this fall, 14 I was in Rampart until late October and just so close 15 to town, maybe because people are not trapping them or 16 -- it's it was just really strange. And then of course, you know, the squirrel population has really kind of 17 18 gotten out of control. It just seems like they're all 19 over. All over. And so, and it's just like, you know, 20 we talk about the ecosystem, the warming and everything, 21 and, you know, just these are just some of the changes 22 I see. That -- with -- I guess, just the changes I see 23 because there's -- I mean, everything is changing. So, I just wanted to kind of bring that to the attention. 24 And the other thing that -- that I miss, and we don't 25 26 see any more is the seagulls like we used to in the 27 morning when there was a lot of fishing. And just their 28 -- the noise across the river. I really enjoyed the 29 sound waking up to the seagulls in the morning, and then 30 you know, with the bird flu, it's just worrisome, you 31 know, about -- with the geese, the ducks, the swans, the 32 cranes all the small animals -- all the small birds that 33 the cranes bring in when they come into town or come 34 into the State of Alaska. That's really worrisome. You 35 know, we think about the robins, the -- just all those little birds that come in, and I just -- that's really 36 37 worrisome for me. So, I just want -- I just don't know 38 what the effects are going to be when they come in in 39 the springtime.

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

Another area that was mentioned was the mining and how it is affecting our area. And another — as a child, I could remember again, our uncle John Starr, Jr., talking about, I mean, this is when we were kids talking about the mining in Manley and how it affected Fish Lake with the silt. Just the changing of Fish Lake, he would — I heard him mentioned — mention that many times. And then, you know, it's — and I just think about what's happening with — up in the flats with Hilcorp coming in and how that's going to affect the

land up there. Not only that, but the -- our water system 1 and the amount of water that they're going to use and like, everything, you know, flows down to us. And another worry I have is a small mining -- two-person mining is 5 almost at the top of Idaho Bar and that's in Rampart. 6 And I was wondering where are they going to get the water and where that water flow once it's used, where 8 it's going to flow into. And I could remember that Idaho 9 Bar is, you know, people used to go up there and pick 10 berries. And so that's really worrisome too. And it was mentioned that -- by Michael -- Mike Peters that, you 11 12 know, the boats that he saw at the mouth of Birch Creek 13 and a lot of you know, that live on the Yukon or close 14 to the Yukon River bridge, in the fall time there's no 15 parking because there's so many boats, you know, hunters going up and down. But now I see, and it was more 16 prevalent in the fall time. But now I see more and more 17 18 boats, all from spring to fall, big boats and some going 19 upriver. And I always think, I wonder where they're going, if they're going upriver. And I worry about the 20 21 low extreme boats that only need a couple inches of 22 water. Are they getting into the spawning areas? And, 23 you know, with those boats that are going up the river, 24 they have a lot of technology in those boats where they, 25 you know, the depth of water if there's fish, I mean, 26 they have the technology in those boats where they can 27 just go all over. But not only that, you know, they I'm 28 sure they use drones on where, you know, if they're 29 hunting in the fall, where are the moose? You know, they 30 can use those drones. And so, I think all this is really 31 encroaching on our lands. And with that being said, I 32 wanted to submit or if this body could submit a proposal 33 in the regulation books that come out every fall on --34 and I had asked this for TCC to do this during our annual 35 convention years ago, when we were talking about protecting our lands. In the regulation books, is it 36 37 possible and or a proposal to submit a proposal that 38 indicates where the regional village Native Allotment 39 Act lands are. So, when they come, because a lot of 40 people come from outside, they don't know about our 41 lands. And I think this is a way that we can start 42 protecting our lands. But I would like to see a proposal 43 submitted in these booklets where it states how we can 44 protect our -- just the regional village allotments are 45 and I know I'm taking up a lot of time but, you know, 46 the reason I say that is, two years ago -- and the boat 47 wasn't really that big, but, you know, just five racks 48 in that boat and very little meat. And the meat that was 49 in there was all green. Me and my son-in-law had seen 50 that. And you know, that's really disturbing. And going

back to work, sitting at the airport you know, see people coming in with three racks on a cart with maybe 4 or 5 boxes of -- you know, the frozen boxes with I'm sure it's meat in there, but, you know, for three racks, that's very little meat that they're taking. So, what's happening to the rest of the meat?

And I know Charlie had mentioned it. You know, with the loss of our fish, it really changed our lives mentally, physically and emotionally. I mean, you just look at what it's done to us. And I talked about one generation being lost, our culture being lost. But I started thinking about it. And that's almost three generations that's not going to know and learn our traditional ways on how to preserve and keeping our fish. And, you know, with the loss of fish, that's also -- and I'm almost done here -- with the loss of that fish you know, it's -- people can't have dogs like they used to. And that was mentioned before. And maybe, you know, there's -- it will never go back to the same because it just can't. Because there's no fish. So, we can't have dogs. And I'm thinking about with the gas prices, you know, it's -- everything is really changing. But with that being said, I think that I appreciate this body and what they're doing and -- but I think the most important thing is really protecting our lands, our way of life and how we can start rebuilding our stock. So, with that, thank you very much.

MS. BURK: Thank you. Through the Chair. This is Eva. Do you know if your villages of Rampart or Manley have land use plans?

MS. WOODS: I believe Manley does and I know at one point in time Rampart was working on a land use plan. So, -- but I haven't seen them, you know. But I never thought about looking at it either. But I know Manley, they were working on a land use plan.

 MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Brooke, for the record. Janet, I did want to let you know in response to your request about the maps to include, you know, the Native corporation land and allotment. This RAC is requesting -- has put in a request that that be included in future reg books. We won't have any response to that until maybe the next meeting or later. The Board has to consider the annual reports at

their work session this summer. But, also wanted to let you know that BLM is going to be talking later in the meeting about some work they're planning at the bridge to put up some maps there and information about land ownership. So that's another way that that might be addressed. Thanks.

it.

MS. WOODS: Thanks for that, I appreciate

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, member Bassich. I want to thank you for your testimony and let you know that many of the things that you talked about -- about some of the issues with hunters, whether they be outside or not, outside, they are recognized, and we're trying to work on that through the hunter ethics initiative that we're doing. And we're just now kind of revamping that, getting that going. But a lot of the things you talked about are things that we've identified, and it really helps that you testify that put that on the record to support, hopefully, future efforts to reduce those, I'm going to call them infractions because they're really -- I understand how you feel.

MS. WOODS: Thank you.

MR. BASSICH: And it's very painful to

 watch that.

MS. WOODS: The other thing that I wanted to mention, too, is you know I'm not sure. I know it's a large number of permits that the State -- every year that they -- the permits that are issued and I know the State makes a lot of money on those permits. So, you know, that's another factor that we have to deal with is everything is money, you know. And for us, it's our livelihood. So, thank you.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Thank you. This is Dorothy. Thanks, Jen. Appreciate your testimony. Just you know, as I worked for the - our Village Corporation for several years and pretty much I think almost every year we would request that, you know, the State put in our boundaries or our lands, and I know that Doyon has done that as well. And you know, the State just hasn't yet, and -- but, Doyon is coming up with some really good maps that include the Native allotments. So, you know, if anybody, you know, goes there, they're they have -- yeah, some really good maps and you can Google and get those.

```
00023
```

MS. WOODS: Yeah. Yeah, I do understand that. So hopefully, you know, with the technology and those boats that they'll be able to Google those and see where the allotments, etc. is if they want to, you know. So that's the other thing. But thank you.

6 7 8

5

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Jen. The next testifier will be online Bruce Thomas.

9 10 11

MR. THOMAS: Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. Can you hear me, Charlie?

12 13 14

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes, sir. You got the floor. \\$ 

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. THOMAS: Okay. My name is Bruce Thomas, and I work for the Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments here in the Yukon Flats. And for the record, I am here testifying this morning on Wildlife Proposal 26-22, wildlife closure review for moose and Unit 25D West. The Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments supports the closure of 25D West for moose, except for residents of Beaver, Birch Creek and Stevens Village. I state this because we have noticed in the Yukon Flats the last several years, just like I've been hearing on, on this meeting last several days, there's been a huge influx of urban hunters coming into the Yukon Flats via the Yukon River bridge boat landing and I've never seen so many hunters. I've hunted here all my life, but -and I'm over 60 years old, but anyway. And these people that are doing this, they have these big, huge boats and they got 4 or 5 boats with them and there's, there's a huge gang of them and they, you know, they don't -they're trespassing on their hunting grounds, Native land, Corporation land. And I've also I've noticed that these last few years, there's a huge influx of airplane hunters flying out of Circle, just bypassing all the villages for -- in one instance, last fall, we were hunting up in the (In Native) country, and about oh, 10, it was dark, you know, 10 -- in September darkens at 10:00 and there was this plane flying, probably from Circle, and they had a big spotlight on their airplane flying over these meadows in the Black River or -- and I was wondering, you know, what are these people doing? But anyway that -- I can hear them land over in the porcupine country around and we found out they were landing around Curtis Slough. They had a big gas depot there, there was like two 206 and a and a floatplane. And they were bombing up and down the rivers chasing.

You know, the local people. That's where they hunt, the 1 corridor of the river. And these people were chasing these moose from these hunters and doing all kinds of crazy things. We could hear them landing and shooting 5 back in the lakes. And no, there was no enforcement 6 here. So, I just thought I'd let the Council know that. And I really appreciate the Council for extending our 8 moose season here till October 15th, because I myself hunted moose last year. For three weeks I was in the 10 bush, and I finally got a moose like October 7th. I mean, it was the fattest moose but, he was meat and I 11 was thankful for that. So, thank you for letting me 12 13 testify here this morning.

14 15

16

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Bruce. Thank you so much. Duly noted. Okay. Any questions for Bruce? Okay, Henaayee.

17 18 19

20

2122

23

MS. IRWIN: This is Olivia Irwin for the record. Thank you, Bruce, for your testimony. This Council yesterday put in a motion to support the closure of 25D remainder for non-federally qualified users. And I'm just wondering if that's something that CATG supports the action of the Council?

24 25

MR. THOMAS: Yes, we will support that.

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

MR. BASSICH: I'm sorry, this member Bassich, I'm wondering, do you have any of the -- your community members involved in the (distortion) -- the Sentinel program, wildlife Sentinel or any of those types of programs? People that are out on the land just kind of looking at what's happening with birds, and fish and moose and things like that. And I guess the reason I'm asking is, you know, when those types of events happen, like what you described, it's really important to get that back to law enforcement really quickly so that those kinds of things can be discouraged through law enforcement that, you know, things like that are pretty egregious and need to be reported and followed up on. So just a recommendation from the Council and your words are heard. We've been talking about this for a lot of years, and I can remember bringing your concerns to this Council boy back in 1950 or 2015 or 12, somewhere around there or so. Well, that's it. Thank you.

45 46 47

48 49

50

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Bruce, this is Charlie. Tell your people to take pictures. Tell your people to take pictures of those airplanes and those camps. That's what you need is proof and having tail

50

1 numbers of -- well, we'll do -- we'll take care of that. 3 MR. THOMAS: Yes, we will start doing 4 that, Charlie. 5 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: That's the way you 6 7 get enforcement to go talk to them. You got to have 8 those numbers. 9 10 MR. THOMAS: Pretty hard to get numbers when they're flying around in the dark, though, bro. 11 12 13 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Well, I guess you 14 got to get a spotlight, too. 15 16 MR. THOMAS: Yeah. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: But they also go in 19 there in the daytime so -- or go where they're coming 20 from. You gotta think strategically and get to where 21 they're landing and get those numbers. Otherwise, you're 22 never going to stop them. 23 24 MR. THOMAS: We're on it, Charlie. 25 26 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Dorothy has a 27 question for you. Go ahead, Dorothy. 28 29 MS. SHOCKLEY: Just to comment, you know, 30 we have many incidents in Manley as well. And what the 31 troopers told us to do is when you see something, say something and report it, they said, regardless of 32 33 whether most of the time, of course they won't do 34 anything but, it's on record that something has happened 35 or an incident has been reported. So, it's really 36 important that you report these and there's an 800 number 37 that you can call anytime 24/7. Thank you. 38 39 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. No more 40 questions or comments. Thank you so much, Bruce. Next 41 in line is Chance Shank. You online Chance? 42 43 MR. SHANK: I'm online. Good morning. Good morning, Chair and members of the Council. My name 44 45 is Chance Shank, and I'm here as a representative for 46 the Dot Lake Village Council. My village is a federally 47 recognized tribe located in the interior of Alaska and Upper Tanana subregion. I came here to this meeting today 48

to provide testimony on behalf of my tribe, on the

importance of keeping protections in place across D1

lands from the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act. In 1 March of 2024, I traveled to Washington D.C. to advocate for D1 land protections to remain in place with the Department of Interior, White House staff and also 5 Alaska's congressional representative. The protections 6 for D1 lands were important to Dot Lake Village because of the rural subsistence preference and preservation of 8 the lands critical to the survival of our fish and 9 wildlife. These include some lands that are Salmon River 10 headwaters for the Yukon River. An environmental impact statement, EIS was done for 19 public hearings were held 11 12 across the State of Alaska to hear directly from Alaskans on what they thought should happen to these D1 land 13 14 protections. I attended one of those hearings, which was 15 in Fairbanks, in January of 2024. Of the four alternative 16 options presented, Dot Lake chose alternative A. The 17 lowest option available were no lands would be released 18 from the D1 protections. The majority of the other 19 attendees in person also went with alternative A. The results showed -- the results of the EIS showed 20 21 overwhelming support, which included over one half of 22 all federally recognized tribes, four regional Alaskan Native corporations, hundreds of small businesses, and 23 24 over 145,000 people from across the country. The Federal 25 Subsistence Management Program divided Alaska into ten 26 subsistence resource regions, with each of those regions 27 being represented by Subsistence Regional Advisory 28 Council. The Eastern Interior sent in a joint letter in 29 April 2024, with their support to keep D1 protections 30 in place. Many other Councils did too, including Western 31 Interior, Seward Peninsula, Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta and 32 Bristol Bay RAC's. In addition, the Western Arctic 33 Caribou Herd Working Group also took action, as did the 34 Yukon River Inter Tribal Fish Commission, supporting 35 protections for all 28 million acres of D1 lands.

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

After participating in the statewide EIS process and traveling to Washington, D.C. to advocate for D1 land protections, Dot Lake Village celebrated in August 2024, when it was announced that the lands would remain protected. In January, the new administration recommended overturning these protections. The Eastern Interior RAC should make sure the new Secretary of Interior knows how unique this situation is. If these lands are open, they would be available for foreign companies to stake mining claims almost immediately. The State selected lands would lose the rural subsistence preference, which would be a huge loss for our part of the State. On these lands, rural residents would lose federal subsistence priority and instead be subject to

state hunting regulations. This would impact the food security in the Eastern Interior region. The Dot Lake tribe requests for the Eastern Interior RAC to send an updated letter to the Secretary of Interior as soon as possible so he does not make a mistake and open these lands to industry. They should be prioritized for subsistence and habitat of salmon, caribou, moose and wildlife. The voices of all Alaskans who participated in the EIS process for D1 land should not be ignored, because it did not fit into the new administration's agenda. Alaskans have spoken on this issue, and we want the voices to be heard. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. I've got a question from Olivia.$ 

MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin, for the record. Chance, thank you for your testimony and thank you for bringing the protections of D1 lands to the attention of the Council. We have had multiple testimonies throughout the past two days now about it, so I appreciate you bringing that to our attention. I believe you said that there were four ANCSA corporations that supported the alternative A, could you tell me if you remember what those four ANCSA corporations were? Thank you.

MR. SHANK: I'd have to look but, I don't have it right on hand. It's just in my notes. When I was writing my testimony, I could get them and resubmit it. Is there a way I could send it by email?

MS. IRWIN: Chance yeah, you could send it to Brooke McDavid. But that's okay. I was just wondering if you had them on-hand. It's just interesting to see what of -- our corporations are supporting these types of protections. I could look at public record as well. So, thank you very much for your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions for Chance?

(No response)

Seeing or hearing none. We thank you for your testimony today, Chance. Thank you very much. Next in line is Randy Mayo.

(Pause)

00028	3
1	You on Randy?
2	
3	(No response)
4 5	MS. MCDAVID: Randy, this is Brooke. If
6 7 8	you can hear me, it looks like you're still muted on our end. If you're on the phone, you could press star six to unmute.
9	
10	(Pause)
11	
12 13 14	And we're still showing you muted, Randy. If you're on a computer, you would just need to click on the microphone button to unmute your mic.
15 16	(Pause)
17	(rause)
18	And unfortunately, I can't unmute you
19	from my end. So, if that's not working for you, you
20	might need to just hang up and call back in or join
21	again.
22	
23	(Pause)
24	
25	Randy, this is Brooke. We still can't
26	hear you in the room. We would like to be able to take
27	your comments. So, if you wouldn't mind trying to
28	disconnect and reconnect and we'll allow you to comment
29	when you're able to do that.
30 31	(Pauso)
32	(Pause)
33	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: We're going to take
34	a short break, five minute break, to let Randy try to
35	figure out what's going on and give him a chance to
36	comment. Thank you.
37	
38	(Off record)
39	
40	(On record)
41	
42	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay, people, let's
43	get back to order. Thank you.
44	
45	(Pause)
46	
47	Okay. Thank you. I think we have Randy
48 49	all set up to testify now. Randy, are you there?
50	MR. MAYO: Yeah. Can you hear me?
50	rint. rinto. Team. Cam you mear me:

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

2 3

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes, sir. You have the floor. 4

MR. MAYO: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair, Board, staff, for the record, my name is Randy Mayo, a tribally enrolled citizen of the Stevens Village Tribe, first chief, former village corporation president, and also one of the formers is the former EIRAC Board member, way back in the early 90s. I served on this Board. Currently, I'm the tribal buffalo herd manager for the tribally owned buffalo herd right now. And also, one of my other duties is serving as the Native Caucus Board Chair for the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council, the Native Caucus made up of 10 regional tribal regions of the State from North Slope down to the Aleutian Pribilofs, all areas except the southeastern area. I served on this Board representing Tanana Chiefs for quite a few years. Dealing with the legalized spring hunt of for waterfowl. So, I talked to Brooke since I've been -- having participated in this EIRAC for quite a while that you know, speak to just listening in a little bit yesterday and today that a lot of the things I wanted to speak to sounds like it, you know, a lot of these topics are already in discussion but, you know, I just wanted to kind of talk a little bit about my concerns dealing with the, you know, the new administration, which as a tribal vote in private, you know, citizen I can speak to and I realize the agencies can't, you know, have a position or whatnot but, as a citizen, just pretty concerned at, you know, what I'm hearing about rollback of protections which were secured under the previous administration.

And some things that are under threat within our traditional lands, like the D1 lands issue. But I'm also concerned of the pipeline corridor lands, the BLM administered lands north of the Yukon. The Haul Road corridor and the Public Land Order 51-50 lands which are retained by the federal government and have rural preference designations on those lands. The Haul Road corridor is a 10-mile strip of land going north all the way to I believe just south of up to Deadhorse, you know, and it traverses quite a few tribal traditional lands, hunting and fishing grounds. And of course, it's you know -- the Road cuts right through it. And there's also travel restrictions within that corridor. So, you know, we're very concerned of the -- you know, for -since the creation of the Haul Road, the State had always wanted to secure those corridor lands and have them under

6

8

9

10

State management, which you know, we are very opposed to. The Haul Road corridor cuts through about 30 miles of traditional Stevens Village Tribal lands that, as outlined in the Stevens Village Traditional Comprehensive Land Use Plan. So, you know, if those were rolled back and lifted and the State gained ownership of those lands, you know, we're very concerned at -- you know, throughout the years since the opening of the Haul Road from Livengood, north to the public, you know, at first it was supposed to only be an industrial use road but, under public pressure, it was opened.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

So, you know south of the Yukon, you know, where we're seeing a lot of impacts, especially during the hunting season. But also the trapping season too, where there is no state enforcement presence. So, we're seeing a lot of those impacts, you know, ATV use off of the Haul Road. So, we're very concerned of that. And I, you know, just hearing some of the discussion that -- I'm pretty encouraged to hear some of the discussion of inclusion of you know, Native allotments and village lands, village corporation lands, you know, so the public would know where they're at. I still have to follow up with the BLM on the signage that's going to be put up at the Yukon Bridge, which does include some of the traditional place names. I had, you know, on my list to work with the BLM on furthering some of the ethno-geographic, traditional place names within our traditional lands north of the Yukon, up to the northern border of our traditional lands, which is now called Finger Mountain. But you know that the traditional place name is (In Native). And a lot of these areas, you know, for the public information, aren't on any informational publications that, you know, for example, one of the creeks crossing the Haul Road north of the river, you know, a name was just put on it, no name creek. So now it's very important that you know, and I know that, you know, work in many years with the Yukon Flats Refuge and also with CATG that it's been on the radar screen for many years about the traditional lands boundaries predate and statehood going back, you know, even predating territorial government days that -- so that -- I think that more and more emphasis needs to be put on that, you know, years ago when I first became the Chief of the Village Council and started working with the Fish and Wildlife Service Refuge, that I was pretty appalled and shocked that on the maps and publications, that what was left of our traditional tribal governance lands, the village and regional corporation lands surrounding the communities, you know, we were described

as innholders (distortion) on the federal public lands, 1 which is very you know, like I said, it -- very -- in my traditional governance model, very you know, politically incorrect coming from a tribal governance 5 standpoint. So, and, you know, this ties into that, you 6 know, regulatory Board such as this, which is very important. Like I said, I'm very encouraged that there 7 8 are these mechanisms like this for tribal and non-tribal 9 citizens concerned with the conservation of the land and 10 the wildlife resources we all live off of. Now -- and, you know, don't take for granted that you know, speaking 11 12 of the -- what's coming up, I understand that the fall 13 meeting and it sounds like the Board is already going 14 to support the continued closure of the 25D West 15 designation to keep it a rural preference hunt. You know, 16 it is a very, very low moose population area. It's 17 struggled -- the moose population has struggled for 18 decades. But just real briefly and I know I worked with 19 Anne or Liz Williams on the analysis regarding a proposal 20 that was put forth previously to open up the 25D West 21 and you know, had worked with her on the analysis and 22 the background on the creation of the 25D West subunit 23 and the three tribal communities allocated take that 24 statehood. Right after prior to the Indian 25 Reorganization Act was extended to Alaska, 1936, the 26 Stevens Village Traditional Council, the elders and the 27 leaders, you know, knew there was going to be a lot of 28 changes coming up and had already seen, you know, impacts 29 and, you know, newcomers coming into the country during 30 the gold rush period. And the steamboat days up and down 31 the Yukon River, that -- so, you know, the tribe wanted title to 2 million acres of traditional 32 33 governance lands. These boundaries came from -- it 34 didn't come from any state or federal designation. You 35 know, this was before statehood. You know, these lands 36 were governed in coordination and agreement with the 37 surrounding tribes around us. So, you know that the tribe 38 put in many claims over the years up until the passage 39 of the land claims in 1971, to no avail. So, the second-40 best thing the tribe and village Corporation worked on 41 was the creation of the Traditional Land Use Plan. Which 42 a great portion of the land now encompasses Yukon Flats, 43 Fish and Wildlife Service land, and also to the west of 44 BLM administered Haul Road corridor and to the south of 45 us to Hess Creek on State of Alaska lands. So, the 46 importance of traditional land use plans with strong 47 ethno-geographic components that outline all the -- you 48 know, how important the land is but, also the traditional 49 place names designate key hunting and fishing and 50 gathering (distortion).

00032 1 2 MS. MCDAVID: Hold on Randy. We have an echo. Are you still there? 5 (No response) 6 7 Randy, we're not hearing you in the 8 room. 9 10 (Simultaneous speech) 11

12

Oh, there you go. We got you again. Sorry you cut out for -- you might want to repeat what you said.

14 15 16

13

MR. MAYO: Oh, where do you lose me at?

17 18

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: We only lost you just for a couple words.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. MAYO: Oh, okay. Well, yeah, that that you know, we've used the plan with the refuge and also the BLM administered lands and consultative status over different land management issues. And also, you know, we went to court a few years ago over the State of Alaska Central Yukon area plan, a huge area in the interior over its draft land use plan. So, when we went to the court, the state judge issued a partially favorable ruling directing the DNR to go back and consult with the Stevens Village community in Rampart. At that time, it was found the State violated its own -- the DNR violated its own state rules and regulations over land use planning. So out of that judgment -- you know, and also, the State is supposed to, by law, consult with any communities, tribal or not, over communal -- community governance, land use planning documents. And they had failed to do that. So, Some of the designations were really whittled down, and some of them have been put on hold indefinitely. And one of those was the remote recreational cabin site sale program, and also the creation of subdivisions between Rampart and Stevens Village off of the Haul Road. So, you know, those are some of the things that land use plans can be used for. So, this kind of ties into what I mentioned. The basis of these land use plans were created out of petitioning to put our land into federal trust, which didn't happen, but, the plan and document was created to use it contemporarily with how the state and federal governments and their regulations have to take these plans and documents into consideration so that, you

1 know, that's just a little -- I don't know if it's in the analysis that you're going to go over on 25D West, the retaining the closure. But -- so, those are just some of the things I wanted to mention, and..... 5 6 (Simultaneous speech) 7 8 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Randy. 9 10 MR. MAYO: ....in the future participate more and just sounds pretty encouraging with 11 all of the issues this Board has taken up and taken 12 13 notice too. So, thank you, Mr. Chair. 14 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you for that 15 16 very informational. Appreciate your time. Questions for 17 Randy? 18 19 (No response) 20 21 Thank you, Randy. Oh. Oh. I'm sorry. 22 Linda has a question for you, Randy. 23 24 MR. MAYO: Okay. 25 26 MS. EVANS: What -- did you have any 27 comments to make on the D1 lands? I didn't hear them. 28 29 MR. MAYO: Well, the D1 lands but, also 30 the other federal land protection orders like the Haul Road corridor PLO 51-50 lands within the corridor. And 31 32 I was just some mentioning under the new administration, 33 potential rollbacks of protections placed on those 34 federal lands that came about under the previous 35 administration. 36 37 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: All right. Thank 38 you. All right. One more. Go ahead. 39 40 MS. EVANS: So, you're opposed to opening 41 those lands? 42 43 MR. MAYO: Oh. Excuse me? I propose to 44 open those lands? 45 46 MS. EVANS: Or lift those protections? 47 48 MR. MAYO: Yes, that's what I'm very 49 concerned about the current administration and, you 50 know, lifting or rolling back the protections on those

federal lands that like, for instance, the Haul Road corridor, the 51-50 lands that, you know, the state has been trying to get those lands for decades and what that would do to the rural preference designation on those lands.

5 6 7

8

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: All right. Thank you. Anybody else? Thank you so much, Randy, for your testimony today. Appreciate it.

9 10 11

MR. MAYO: Okay. Yep. Thank you.

12 13

14

 ${\tt CHAIRPERSON~WRIGHT:~Okay.~Yukon~--~Yeah.}\\ {\tt Yukon~River~Drainage~Fisheries~Association,~you~have~the~floor.}$ 

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

MS. KIRKEY: Good morning. Thank you all so much for having me. Mr. Chair and Council members, my name is Grace Kirkey. I work for the Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association, and I'm their communitybased monitoring program coordinator. I don't think I know all of you yet. So, I'd just like to take a moment and introduce myself. I'm Grace Kirkey. My mother is from Washington State. My father is from Alabama. But for my whole life, he's been in Alaska. So, I've been -- grown up all over the United States. So where am I from? That's always kind of an interesting question. I'm really not too sure, but I do call it home, Alaska. I went to school in Washington State and I studied fisheries, and that was my plan, my pipeline to come back up here. So came up here and did some work with them, heat stress and establishing water temperature monitoring networks in South Central. And then took this job relatively recently with YRDFA. So, with that, I have so much to learn and I'm aware of that. And if you have anything you'd like to share with me please do or any way to educate me, I'm all ears. So new and young and excited to be here and be around for a long time. And yeah, so with that, I'm going to give you a brief report today on the activities that YRDFA's been up to, some of our key accomplishments since we last met, kind of where we are with things.

46

47

48

49

50

So, our harvest survey program, in 2024, it was funded by the National Park Service. It began in 20 -- nope, 2002. We have 10 communities along the Yukon River with locally hired surveyors. This runs from June through August, and each week these surveyors interview the same households with the same questions. And then that information is reported anonymously to partners in

49

50

management agencies. This year we launched a digital app 1 for surveyors to use as an option for -- paper based is still an option for them as well. 2025, we've applied for a few sources of funding. We're waiting to hear back 5 if nothing comes through, we do have some leftover funds 6 from NPS. And we're going to run that program as well as we can with what's left over. The Yukon River In-8 season Teleconference Program, we don't currently have funding for the 2025 season. We are pursuing funds, and 10 we'll revamp that program and move forward with those teleconference calls if funding becomes available. The 11 12 Yukon River Watershed Ecosystem Action Plan, in 2023, 13 established with funding from Gravel-to-Gravel 14 Initiative, the steering committees purpose is to create a publicly accessible story map tool, a resource that 15 16 prioritizes assessing threats to salmon habitat and 17 identifying the highest priority restoration actions. 18 This tool will be open to all, fostering a sense of 19 community and shared responsibility for the Yukon watershed, with an overall commitment to assist YRDFA 20 21 in creating a Yukon River Ecosystem Action Plan. During 22 July and August 2024, YRDFA's environmental specialist 23 James Van Lanen and field technician Matthew Lohrstorfer 24 conducted field data collection for YRDFA's WEAP 25 project, that's that water -- Watershed Ecosystem Action 26 management plan. Data collection this season consisted 27 of water quality sampling, culvert surveys in the Middle 28 and Tanana River watersheds. Using U.S. Fish and 29 Wildlife's GIS database, Matthew and James collected a 30 total of 64 culvert surveys and 50 water quality samples. 31 Fieldwork locations this season consisted of the areas 32 surrounding Nulato and the Road System, accessible areas 33 around Minto, Manley Hot Springs, Eureka, and the 34 Tofty/Tanana Road. The field crew identified multiple 35 additional culverts of potential concern for Yukon 36 Salmon Fish Passage, which were not previously listed 37 in the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's database. 38 Culvert survey data was entered into the Fish Barrier 39 Hunter app, which is an app developed by U.S. Fish and 40 Wildlife Service, and the water quality data was entered 41 into a survey designed using Survey123 by YRDFA's 42 contractor, Michael Baker. The data collection will 43 continue in 2025. Fieldwork locations are currently being determined by the WEAP steering committee and 44 45 YRDFA staff, and we have a meeting next week with the 46 steering committee, so more to come on that very soon. 47

Moving on the Clearinghouse project. The purpose of this project is to identify data gaps along the Yukon River and act as a clearinghouse for social

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

and ecological change along the Yukon, using both physical and biological data and traditional ecological knowledge. We have a TEK technician, Jadon Nashoanak, and he is conducting interviews. He conducted for last year in 2024 and we will generate a historical timeline of observed change that affect the Yukon River. Additionally, we have hired physical biologica41 science technician, that's Matthew Lohrstorfer, who this past season helped conducting those culvert assessments, stream assistance and water quality samples with both the physical, biological science and traditional ecological data. YRDFA will create a data submission platform, and we're working with contractors now to create that. And ideally, that's going to be a space where you can see the efforts that are happening along the Yukon River and also be a conduit for partnership so you can see who else is doing something similar, who might be somebody to work with and put your efforts together.

19 20 21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

The engaging fishers in chinook salmon research on the Yukon River. This project is funded by the North Pacific Research Board and began in January 2023 and will end February of 2026. We are partnering with the communities of Alakanuk and Emmonak to conduct interviews about the health of chinook as they enter the river. We are gathering this information to help inform our companion project led by Dr. Katie Howard and Vanessa von Biela, which is seeking to understand the drivers of chinook salmon decline and they theorize that one driver is their diet in the Bering Sea and with this strongly correlated -- and how this is strongly correlated to their health when they enter the river. We are -- we have completed our interviews in Emmonak and Alakanuk and are providing summaries of the results to the partners and their Tribal Councils. We plan to travel to these communities in March, where we'll hold community meetings, gather feedback from communities. We're also working with the communities of Saint Mary's and Huslia to monitor water temperature and develop a community action plan, if there is another heat event that causes a mass salmon die off in the future.

43 44 45

46

47

48

49

50

Additionally, last year some new staff came on. Myself, Grace Kirkey, Jadon Nashoanak, our traditional ecological knowledge technician, our physical biological science technician, Matthew Lohrstorfer, Olivia Irwin, yours truly and Brian McKenna is our biologist. So that is my report. That concludes

my report. And I will open it up to questions but, I don't work on all of these projects so I will do the best I can to answer your questions but, I might have to reconnect with you later. Serena Fitka is also online so we can direct that way if we need to as well.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any questions? I have one myself about water quality. It's just knowing that there's 11 million gallons per day of sewer water (distortion) Fairbanks here. I was curious about the numbers on parts per million of copper and ammonia that come from those discharges in you guys, water quality testing. Do you guys do any testing in that area or anything on the town? This is one of the contributing rivers for a lot of our salmon habitat. Some of the major salmon habitat is along the Tanana River. As we all know, anybody that knows fish. So, I'm really curious about that, the volume that's going into that from the sewer here in Fairbanks. And it's coming from the university, the military post and the community all going out to the same place. So that's an awful lot of discharge. Okay. Serena, I see you raised your hand. Go ahead. Oh. Okay. She went away.

232425

26

27

28

29 30 MS. KIRKEY: Oh, yeah. So, that would definitely be more of the WEAP and that is not a project I'm on. But I -- I'm more than happy to reach out to them and connect further about that but, that is definitely something that they're -- that they are looking at is the contaminant. It's not just what's currently happening. It's why -- how we've gotten there, so.

32 33 34

31

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. Yeah. We could talk later. Keep moving. Go ahead, Andy.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, something informational since you brought up water quality. There's quite a bit of work done up around Dawson City because of the same issues. Probably almost a decade ago. Maybe a little bit more. One of the disturbing things that they found is that the residue from birth control was affecting salmon and their production. So, you know, we know that Chena and Salcha are huge producers of salmon and just something that maybe should be looked at when they start studying that water, because it kind of fell off the table as far as a discussion point. But it's -- there's a lot of scientific proof behind some of those causational things. I did have one quick question for you. I don't know if you can answer

it. On the studies that they're doing in the lower river, studying the health of the salmon. Obviously, those are the adults returning. I know they're doing a lot of juvenile work down there. How are they getting those samples for fish? Are they doing that through the test net fisheries, or is that just incidental harvest? How are they -- what are they doing to try and determine the health of the fish down there? And how are they procuring those samples?

MS. KIRKEY: I'm not on the engaging fisheries grant. So, if Serena wants to chime in on that -- or I am but, not quite on that objective. So, yeah. And I can definitely reach out to you more Andy, on that. But definitely our partners with the USGS. And then Katie Howard would -- are more on that side of things but, I'm happy to reach out and connect further.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Mr. Chair, we don't need to take up time, but I'll follow up on that. I'm just really curious about that project.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: All right, Andy, anybody else have any comments or questions?

(No response)

Hearing none. Thank you so much for the wonderful presentation. Thank you for your time today.

MS. KIRKEY: Thank you so much.

(Pause)

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: We're going to go back up the agenda to F, number 12F, Alaska Board of Game statewide proposal comments.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Brooke. Just as a reminder to the Council, this is not a required action item. So, you might want to be judicious with your time. I know some of these issues are important to you. So, I did pass out -- some folks had suggested a list of proposals you might be interested in taking up. And so earlier this morning, you should have a copy of that list and copies of those proposals that were on that list for your discussion. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead.

2 record. Hey, Brooke. How should we -- should we -someone present a motion to discuss or support all of them as a block? 5 6 MS. MCDAVID: You could do it two ways. 7 You could take them up individually if you wanted or you 8 could take -- yeah, make a motion to take them up and 9 then come up with your agreed upon positions for each 10 of them and vote on it as a as a block at the end if you 11 want. 12 13 MS. IRWIN: Okay. This is Olivia Irwin. 14 For the record, I make a motion to take up proposal 88 of the statewide Board of Game proposal. 15 16 17 (Distortion) 18 19 MS. MCDAVID: One moment. I'm going to mute some folks online. Okay, let's try it again. Thanks 20 -- or I guess there's a motion. Did we hear a second? 21 22 23 (no response) 24 25 MS. IRWIN: Okay. This is... 26 27 (Simultaneous speech) 28 29 MR. BASSICH: Mr. Chair. We were just 30 talking. I'm just wondering if it might be more efficient 31 just to make a motion to support and then have a brief 32 discussion and then vote on it, rather than to take it 33 up and then have to make a proposal to support it. I'm 34 just trying to save a little bit on time. 35 36 MS. IRWIN: Okay. Yeah, this is Olivia 37 Irwin for the record, I'll resend my original motion and 38 put forward a new motion to support proposal 88 from the 39 statewide Board of Game proposal booklet. 40 41 MR. BASSICH: Member Bassich. Second. 42 43 MS. IRWIN: Okay. And I'll speak to it really quickly. I don't want to take up a lot of time. 44 45 This proposal was submitted by Minto-Nenana AC and 46 Tanana Chiefs Conference. It's a similar purpose, and 47 I'll refer to my comments yesterday on the proposal for EIRAC to submit cultural determination of -- a positive 48 49 C&T findings for wood bison in the federal regs. This 50 is a state reg and because we cannot establish a C&T at

MS. IRWIN: This is Olivia Irwin, for the

this time we are looking to add wood bison to the use of cultural purposes. This would allow us to use bison — with bison in our potlatches and cultural events. It could provide further food security for local individuals who are attending these gatherings, and it would provide the continuation of education of how to properly harvest animals and would continue cultural traditions of harvesting. While it's not a — an animal that is — that we have engaged with for many, many years it would provide opportunity for us to continue educating our people on how to properly harvest animals and use them for cultural purposes.

MR. BASSICH: If there's no further questions or comments, I'd like to call the question on this, Mr. Chair.

 MS. SHOCKLEY: I have a comment. Yeah. During -- well the past couple of years, you know, when we had the wood bison meetings with Fish and Game, Manley was totally opposed to the wood bison in our area and I know it's not super close but, close enough. And, you know, at the time, the Chief of Manley did not want to rely on the wood bison because instead of you know -- he felt Fish and Game was bypassing the important issues of moose and subsistence, that they were going to use the wood bison, you know, as a crutch kind of thing. So, while I understand, you know, the importance of culture and those kinds of things, I think, you know, using wood bison as a cultural tool, I don't think is something that Manely would support. So, thank you.

MS. BURK: Thank you, through the Chair. It does say on this proposal that this was done in support with the Tanana-Manley Rampart Advisory Committee. So, I think it's important to note that. And then I think, Dorothy, we were really involved with this in Nenana, and our tribe also does not support the reintroduction of the bison. But also, we are -- want to be prepared because it's happening anyways, with or without our consent. And then as far as like, Eva Burk as what do I believe about wood bison being on the landscape? I believe that our landscape is going to change. We have climate models that show we're turning to grasslands. Our elders have predicted that this region will turn into grasslands. And so, I guess I am interested in seeing if these bison can make it and

establish themselves. But I'm also very concerned about 1 the bison as well. There's a lot of fall flooding that makes the area where they were put in on the Kantishna River might not be super suitable for bison moving around 5 in the area and getting themselves from low ground to 6 upper to high ground. So, and then with the ecosystem issues that we have going on with the lack of salmon, 8 the increased predation, one wonders if putting more game on the landscape might just go to the predators, 10 right. So, there are a lot of concerns that we have but I guess from an individual standpoint -- because these 11 12 bison are actually put just 3-miles from my dad's -- the 13 camp he grew up on, the Kantishna so, and we have many 14 young people that are interested in Minto that are 15 supporting their reintroduction. There are positions created. So, I guess I have mixed feelings and 16 17 the main issue that we all came up with on our advisory 18 committees was not so much the reintroduction of bison 19 itself, because as tribes and village corporations, we 20 could be doing the same. We could be also putting bison 21 on the landscape. My issue is more with the management 22 of the bison and how much is actually allotted for local 23 harvest and how much will actually go out for permitted hunt. So, it's a long road. It's a really long road 24 before we even get to hunt the bison. I think that's 25 26 what they've saw in the Inokko bison herd, is that it 27 didn't establish well, it's actually losing animals. So 28 that's kind of what we're waiting to find out is how 29 well does this do and then our issue is mostly with is, 30 is this for local people or is this another species to be exploited and hunted? That's the issue that I'm come 31 32 to understand.

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

MS. SHOCKLEY: If I may respond, please. Yeah. This is Dorothy. You know, it was very disturbing to see the Sierra Club, you know, donating money for this project. And from what I could tell or see, especially since they're very close with the Governor, you know it -- we started out very good plan. We started out with a very good plan with the state at one of the first meetings we had and then as soon as the Governor got involved in the Sierra Club, I suppose you know, he didn't care, you know, where or what was going to happen. He wanted the bison on the ground immediately, and so they were put there. It's mainly from what I can see a sport hunt issue, a product or -- an animal. And so, you know I too would like to see whether they can survive or not, but, you know, it's very disturbing, you know, to have them there and yeah. Thank you. Appreciate it.

1 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Sue, sorry if I 2 skipped you there. 3 4 MS. ENTSMINGER: No problem. I think it 5 worked out perfectly. You know, the Council has had a 6 30% for sport and commercial, and I'm the only one in this Council that's supposed to represent that part of 8 the people in the State. So -- and I feel like I wear 9 both hats, which all of us really do. But I just wanted 10 to say, you know, I'm members of the Safari Club. And they do a lot in Washington D.C. to help hunting, to 11 12 keep hunting alive and not be destroyed. So, a lot of 13 times when they do give money to projects like this and 14 then they see -- I don't know how to say it. I do want 15 to be very respectful, and I respect all the people of 16 Alaska, and I guess I would like you to know that if we work together, we will have that respect, and the people 17 18 are only there for the benefit of the resource also. So, 19 I just wanted -- you know, I only have another meeting 20 here but, it's important to bring out this kind of thing 21 that you have to understand. There's people -- when you 22 get these people together, I believe you're going to 23 have a little more understanding from each other. So, I 24 needed to bring that out. Thank you. 25 26 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you for that, 27 Sue. Any other comments? 28 29 MR. BASSICH: Call question. 30 31 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Can you reinstate 32 the motion, please? For the record. 33 34 MS. IRWIN: This is Olivia Irwin. For the 35 record, the motion is to support Alaska Department of Board of Game Proposal 88, permit to take big game and 36 37 use for cultural purposes, adding wood bison. 38 39 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Could we do a roll 40 call vote, please? 41 42 MR. WOODRUFF: I'll start at the top. Sue 43 Entsminger. How do you vote? 44 45 MS. ENTSMINGER: Yes. 46 47 MR. WOODRUFF: Dorothy Shockley. How do 48 you vote? 49 50 MS. SHOCKLEY: No.

```
00043
 1
 2
                    MR. WOODRUFF: Linda Evans, how do you
 3
    vote?
 4
 5
                     (No response)
 6
 7
                     Do you support this proposal, Linda?
 8
 9
                     CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: We need to use the
10
    microphone, please.
11
12
                     MS. EVANS: Yes.
13
14
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you. Eva Burk, do
15
    you support this proposal?
16
17
                     MS. BURK: Yes.
18
19
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Olivia Irwin, you support
20
     this proposal?
21
22
                     MS. IRWIN: Yes.
23
24
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Andrew Bassich. Do you
25
    support this proposal?
26
27
                     MR. BASSICH: Yes.
28
29
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Charlie Wright, do you
30
    support this proposal?
31
32
                     CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes.
33
34
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Galen Gilbert, do you
35
     support this proposal?
36
37
                     MR. GILBERT: Yes.
38
39
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Donald Woodruff does
40
     support this proposal. Gilbert Alexander, do you support
41
     this proposal? Gerald, sorry.
42
43
                     MR. ALEXANDER: Yes.
44
45
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you.
46
47
                    MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
48
    motion passes 9 to 1.
49
```

49

50

00044 1 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. Moving 2 on. Proposal 89, Hunter education and orientation requirements. 5 MR. BASSICH: Member Bassich here. I'd 6 like to make a motion to support proposal 89 from the 7 Alaska Board of Game, Hunter education and orientation 8 requirements. 9 10 MS. ENTSMINGER: Second. 11 12 MR. BASSICH: And I think I'd like to 13 just turn this over to the Chair, as you were part of 14 one of the co-authors of this. I guess I would just add, 15 are there any other Units we would want to add to that? That would be the only thing that I would see if we 16 17 wanted to add any other Units within our region to this 18 requirement. It covers 20. And I guess I would need clarification when it says 20. Does that include all 19 20 subunits of 20 or just 20? How does that work the way 21 it's stated? 22 23 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yeah, I think it's 24 statewide. I think it should be statewide. Yeah. 25 26 MR. BASSICH: Okay, thank you. 27 28 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: And it's just to 29 educate people. People need to be educated. It's our 30 natural resources are almost gone. So, we need to educate 31 people on how to shoot, how to use the meat or give the 32 meat. It's all -- it says it pretty clearly in here. So, 33 I support this motion going forward with all things said. 34 Thank you. 35 36 MR. BASSICH: So, point of clarification 37 in the motion. It was to support it as basically presented to us, which doesn't it -- doesn't state 38 39 statewide. Is that something that we would like to have 40 it statewide as a recommendation from our RAC? 41 42 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes, sir. 43 44 MR. BASSICH: Okay. 45 46 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. I just wanted to make that clarification before we go down the line. So maybe I would rescind my motion if that works or what's the

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay, we'll call for a roll call vote again. Is that how you want -- okay. Let's do the unanimous consent vote to support. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

42 43 44

IN UNISON: Aye.

45 46

All those against same sign.

47 48

(No response)

1 Seeing or hearing none. Thank you. Proposal passes. MS. MCDAVID: And Council just for your 5 -- the notes I made on your list of proposals you suggested to take up, I grouped them so, some of them are single. Others that are grouped, you had noted you 8 might want to take those up together. 10 MS. ENTSMINGER: I have a quick question. 11 Procedurally, that was an amendment to the motion. So that we have the motion amended. Shouldn't we have voted 12 13 on it? 14 15 MS. MCDAVID: Well, Sue, I heard you 16 rescind your second and Andy, take it away. I think..... 17 18 MS. ENTSMINGER: Then I heard amendment 19 so, sorry. 20 21 MS. MCDAVID: I think the intent is 22 clear. If you're okay..... 23 24 MS. ENTSMINGER: I'm okay. 25 26 MS. MCDAVID: Thanks. 27 28 MS. IRWIN: I'll make a motion to support 29 proposal 92, Hunter education orientation requirements. 30 This is Olivia Irwin for the record. 31 32 MR. BASSICH: Member Bassich. Second. 33 34 MS. ENTSMINGER: I'll let -- Charlie 35 walked out. 36 37 MS. BURK: You want to go, go ahead. I'm 38 the Vice Chair. 39 40 MS. ENTSMINGER: Yeah. Okay. Thank you. 41 We talked about this, and I thought there has to be a way to not require this of old-time sheep hunters. So, 43 this makes it sound like if you just go pull a sheep harvest ticket, then you have to take this class. We 44 45 talked about it at our working group. 46 47 MS. IRWIN: Sue support this -- Olivia 48 Irwin for the record, would you support this proposal 49 if it was amended to say except for resident hunters 50 Over the age of -- cover a certain age, or is there

1 anything that you like about this? 3 MS. ENTSMINGER: What's hard for me is, 4 I took my kid out hunting when he was eight years old 5 for sheep. And, you know, and if he was under age 39, then he'd still have to take it. So, I don't know how 6 you would write it to -- that it would be good, but maybe after -- I don't know, ten harvest tickets, then 8 you take the class, I don't know. I'm sorry -- it's --10 I'm sure that the Board will wrestle right through this and come up with a solution. So, I would say that our 11 intent is that first time sheep hunters have to have 12 13 this class. I mean, we can just vote for it and just 14 say, first time sheep hunters have to have this class. 15 Okay. Thank you. 16 17 MS. IRWIN: So, do you want me to resend 18 my initial motion, or do you want to amend my motion? 19 20 MS. ENTSMINGER: I think all you need to 21 do is just let it go and put our intent. Okay, I think 22 that's fine. 23 24 MR. BASSICH: There's further no 25 discussion. I call question, maybe reinstated. 26 27 MS. IRWIN: Yeah. Olivia Erwin, for the 28 record, the original motion is to support proposal 92 29 with comments suggesting this applies to first time 30 sheep hunters. 31 32 MR. BASSICH: Mr. Chair, we have question 33 called in. This motion is restated so we're ready to 34 vote. 35 36 MS. ENTSMINGER: I think we can get 37 unanimous. 38 39 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Excuse me. Okay. 40 Motion has been restated so we ask for unanimous consent 41 to support. At this time all those in favor signify by 42 saying aye. 43 44 IN UNISON: Aye. 45 46 All those against same sign. 47 48 (No response) 49

47

48 49

50

00048 1 Okay. Yeah, well, I keep getting discombobulated. I'm sorry. I think we're done. Okay. 2 Moving on. Proposal 93, sealing of -- Okay, okay. We're skipping these. Why are they in there? 6 MS. MCDAVID: Well, I wasn't going to 7 delete text out of the thing just to make it special for 8 you, so I'm sorry about that. 9 10 MS. IRWIN: I'll make a motion to --11 Olivia Irwin for the record -- to support proposal 95 12 and 96. 13 14 UNIDENTIFIED: Second. 15 16 MS. ENTSMINGER: I'll just speak to the 17 motion. I'm an avid sheep hunter, and it's very difficult to age sheep at eight. And people that think they got 18 an eight-year-old and it isn't full curl. It causes a 19 20 lot of problems. So, in short, I'd be in favor. 21 22 MS. IRWIN: Olivier Irwin for the record. 23 Sue, are you saying you'd be in favor of both 95 and 96? 24 Just for clarity. Okay. 25 26 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Donald. 27 28 MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you. This is Don 29 Woodruff. I'll just read on 95. It says here most 30 hunters, even professional biologists, can mistakenly 31 miscount annual ranks on a three quarter or 7/8 ram on 32 the mountainside. Many hunters cannot age sheep when in 33 the hand, which can be documented with a high number of 34 young rams being seized by the department at sealing. 35 Some sublegal rams are abandoned and not documented as a loss. That's tragic. Thank you. Call for question. 36 37 38 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I'm confused 39 little bit on whether we supporting this or going against 40 it. Not enough discussion for me. 41 MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin, through the 42 43 Chair. Same. Yeah. I feel the same way. I'm not ready for question. Sue, can you explain in a little bit 44 greater detail what these two proposals are going to 45

MS. ENTSMINGER: They're eliminating the it's full curl or eight years old okay. Eight years old

change about the curl management? I see that it's

repealing criteria three, but.

can be under full curl. And they want to -- they're -you know you just heard the reasoning why he put it in there is -- after all my years at (indiscernible), I feel like I can age a sheep in my hand, and I can see false annuli. And there are false annuli. Sometimes they'll -- spring will be early and then they grow when they eat green feed, they -- and then it makes another annuli in the horn and people -- you can't judge that from a spotting scope even at 100 yards, it's very difficult to do. And people that are shooting eightyear-old rams under full curl are pretty doggone lucky. So, it's -- I get where it's coming from. It should --and then you can argue too for this eight or older. Like if it's a ten-year-old and it's not full curl, that's the one you'd like to get out of the genetics. So right now, I think the way the sheep populations are so low, it's better to have something like this in place.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: So going forward, it'd be really good for us if you would -- you give your explanation to say I support, so people can understand in the room.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Oh, I didn't hear that.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Because we're not sheep hunters and you guys are. So, we're looking for clarity and leadership.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Yes. Okay.

CHIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yeah. Thank you.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Thank you. I'm getting too old, I guess. Thank you. I guess I have that, like, keep it simple and I'm sorry. So, I'll do a better job.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I'll do the same thing on moose. And I think everybody should understand, but sometimes people don't. And so, you're the professionals in the room that deal with sheep. So, I appreciate you.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Thank you very much.

MR. WOODRUFF: Call for question again.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. Please reinstate for the record.

MS. IRWIN: That's mine. This is Olivia Irwin, for the record. The original motion is to support proposals 95 and 96 from the statewide Board of Game proposal booklet.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Sorry. Okay, we'll ask for unanimous consent. Anyone against, please signify by saying aye.

(No response)

Hearing or seeing none, passes. Thank you. 97, 98 and 99 are next.

MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin for the record, I make a motion to support 97, 98, 99.

UNIDENTIFIED: Second.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Hey, can I speak to this? Unfortunately, the first to make it longer for -able to fly and spot sheep and the third one makes it shorter. So, I for one would say just leave it where it's at. There's a youth hunt, the first to the fifth, and pilots are out flying that have airplanes and the -- but then they can't fly when the main season opens. And this you can get wrapped around and get very complicated. But I think I would vote down all of these for that very reason, there's a system in place right now. It starts August 10th that they are not allowed to out be spotting and that's when the main season opens. And this came about some time ago already. And these pilots are all disgruntled about it. But my son's a pilot and he's a guide and he thinks it's a great thing that there's a law against spotting sheep during sheep season.

MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin for the record, I have a question, Sue. What about proposal -- what about -- so 97 and 98 are to lengthen it, and you just don't feel like it's needed to lengthen that time period that aircraft is not allowed to be to be used. It just doesn't really align with the season. Is that what you're saying?

MS. ENTSMINGER: Well, it's for the youth hunt and I just feel -- and the youth hunt ends on the fifth. So, the first through the fifth is the youth hunt. And there's not that many people out hunting during the youth season, but it changes greatly on the 10th of August.

49 50 Go ahead.

1 MS. BURK: Thank you. Through the Chair. On proposal 98, I feel it's written a little more clear than 97. And the reasoning is aerial scouting for dall sheep is currently allowed outside of the general 5 season, dall sheep season of August 10th through September 20th. Currently, all youth hunts and some archery hunts fall outside of these general season 8 dates, and therefore aerial scouting during these seasons is allowed. Dall sheep populations are in 10 decline. Restrictions on all aerial scouting during all open seasons will reduce pressure on sheep. So that's -11 12 - I feel is helps me put my mind around this. 13 14 MS. ENTSMINGER: So, I would say that I 15 would probably go with 97 first but, I understand how you're feeling. But supporting them both would just send 16 17 it to the Board of Game that you support it. Yeah. 18 MR. WOODRUFF: Call for question. 19 20 21 MS. IRWIN: I'll reinstate the motion 22 Olivia Irwin, for the record, the motion on the table 23 is to support proposal 97 and 98 in the statewide Board 24 of Game proposal booklet. 25 26 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. Asking for 27 unanimous consent. All those against, please signify by 28 saying aye. 29 30 (No response) 31 32 Hearing or seeing none, passes. Thank 33 you. Moving on to proposal 99. 34 35 MR. WOODRUFF: I make a motion to support 36 Board of Game proposal 99 as written. 37 38 MS. IRWIN: Second, Olivia Irwin. 39 40 MS. ENTSMINGER: Okay, this is Sue. I 41 would be opposed to this because there's a lot of people 42 that are still out in the mountains, and it's not -- it 43 shortens the time that they would be out there allowed to do that. I couldn't word that a little better but, 44 45 yeah, I would be opposed to this. 46 47 MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Sue. I'm 48 opposed too. 49

MS. IRWIN: Call the question.

Thank you. Passes. Proposal 100 is

15 16 17

next.

MS. IRWIN: I'll make a motion to support proposal 100 and the statewide Board of Game Boardgame Regulation proposal booklet.

19 20 21

18

MS. ENTSMINGER: Second.

22 23

MS. IRWIN: I'll lean to Sue to start the conversation.

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

MS. ENTSMINGER: Thank you. This one, I personally am opposed to. As you know, I have a guide license, and I for many years guided sheep hunters. And they're -- the ratio to -- this organization called Resident Hunters of Alaska, they really want to minimize it. But at this time, I -- this is too complicated. It's going to have to put everything on a drawing, and it's just way too complicated. And we are not going to get through this at this meeting.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

So, for instance, my son hunts the Tok management area, and at one time there was 120 sheep taken there or permits given out. Ten were -- for 10% were given to guides. That was 12. And then it went down to 100. Then it went down to 80 and then it went down to 60. And now it's down to 10. And one is still allowed for a nonresident. And my son has luckily gotten those. But if -- how would you do that across every Unit in the State? There are some areas like Delta Controlled Use Area that's on permit. And there's -- I'm not familiar with everything across the State but, there's -- to me, there's a lot of regulations across the State that should be eliminated before we do something this complicated. And the full curl law does help sheep. It allows the young rams to grow up. In the northern region, there's

00054 1 places where there's a three sheep limit. I feel that stuff needs to go away long before we do crazy things like this. So, I could..... 5 (Simultaneous speech) 6 7 MR. WOODRUFF: I agree with.... 8 9 MS. ENTSMINGER: .....probably go on and 10 on, but I won't. 11 12 MR. WOODRUFF: I agree with Sue. This is 13 way too complicated for us to support. 14 15 MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This 16 is Brooke, for the record. I did take a note during your working group meeting that you all commented that this 17 18 type of proposal would be something that you would be 19 looking to discuss during like, a coalition process that 20 you are advocating for. So, if you would like that also 21 included as part of your comment, we'd need some 22 indication from you guys If it should be. 23 24

MR. WOODRUFF: I move that we add that. Thank you.

26

25

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50

MS. ENTSMINGER: So, can we just say that we would add that from discussion? Yeah. Okay. We don't need a motion. Yeah. Thank you.

MR. BASSICH: Chair. So maybe my comment from my perspective would be to not support this proposal due to the complications and -- in organizing the hunt and recognizing that all sheep populations have specific parameters, and it would be recommended that the sheep working group would work on specific populations and help to develop management plans for specific populations. That's one of the things that we discussed and kind of agreed on at our working group there, that one size doesn't fit all. And so, it's going to be really important as we move forward for sheep conservation and also hunting opportunities to identify each sheep population and make regulations a little bit more refined for each population. So that is a plug to try and formulate a sheep working group so that we can do a good job of managing these populations, which are so diverse that the one size fits all does not work and hasn't been working. Thank you.

MS. IRWIN: Call the question.

00055	
1	
2	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Please reinstate
3	the motion.
4	
5	MS. IRWIN: The motion is to support
6	proposal 100 from the statewide Board of Game proposal
7	booklet.
8	DOONIEG.
9	MS. MCDAVID: So just to clarify, there's
10	a motion to support. So, if you do not support it, then
11	you can vote against it. So, we always make our motions
12	in the positive.
13	in the positive.
14	CHAIDDEDCON WRICHE, Vocab Okay, Co. all
	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yeah. Okay. So, all
15	those for you ask for unanimous consent. So, all of
16	those support this. Please signify by saying aye.
17	(27
18	(No response)
19	
20	And all against same sign. I get
21	confused, I'm sorry. I'm trying to think about the
22	motion, and I get discombobulated, and I apologize for
23	that. So. Okay. Thank you. Yeah. Anybody in favor of
24	this motion signify by saying aye. Hearing or seeing
25	none. Thank you. Passes.
26	
27	MS. MCDAVID: Or to correct that. For the
28	record, the motion fails.
29	
30	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: 101, please.
31	
32	MS. IRWIN: This is Olivia Irwin. For the
33	record, I'll make a motion to support proposal 101.
34	
35	MS. ENTSMINGER: Second.
36	
37	MS. IRWIN: Sue.
38	
39	MS. ENTSMINGER: Yeah, this is very
40	important. Sheep is not on intensive management. And
41	that Upper Tanana Fortymile Advisory Committee had put
42	in a proposal to do things for sheep in the TMA and the
43	Board of Game voted against it. And it's because of
44	this. This sheep has to be in intensive management.
45	
46	MS. SHOCKLEY: I have a question.
47	
48	(Pause)
49	()
50	

1 Mr. Chair, thank you. Dorothy. So, what is the difference between a regular management and intensive management? 5 ENTSMINGER: MS. They can do 6 predator control. It has -- they have to start working 7 and doing the predator control. 8 MS. IRWIN: I'll be in support of this. 9 10 there's no further discussion, I'll call the question. I'll reinstate the motion. Olivia Irwin, for 11 12 the record. The motion is to support proposal 101. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay, we'll ask for 15 unanimous consent. All that -- all those that support this motion, please signify by saying aye. 16 17 18 IN UNISON: Aye. 19 20 All those against same sign. 21 22 (No response) 23 24 Hearing none, passes. Thank you. Moving 25 on to proxy hunting proposal 123. 26 27 MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin, for the record, 28 I'll make a motion to support proposal 123. 29 30 MS ENTSMINGER: Second. Can I speak to 31 the motion? 32 33 MS. IRWIN: Yeah, go ahead, Sue. 34 35 MS. ENTSMINGER: I'm totally against 36 getting rid of the -- getting paid to go shoot something 37 for someone else. That's my short term renumeration. 38 Because it says a person may not give or receive 39 remuneration in order to obtain, grant or influence the 40 granting of a proxy authorization. And this is State's, 41 as the State calls it, proxy, and the federal calls it 42 what designated hunter, I believe. So, I don't think 43 anyone should be paid to go shoot something for someone 44 else. 45 46 MS. SHOCKLEY: I agree we need to keep 47 this traditional. 48 49 MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin, for the record. 50 I will just say to put on record that I am sure there

are people in rural spaces who are thinking about this in terms of can I give my nephew some gas money for going out and getting the moose for me because I -- he's my designated proxy hunter. So, I just want to acknowledge that I'm sure there are rural people who are thinking that this could be a solution to being able to support their proxy hunters, not you know, pay them off to proxy hunt for them but, simply providing some sort of compensation. So, I just want to acknowledge that. But I also will not be in support of this proposal. I think that this statement needs to stay in record. But I just wanted to put on record that I recognize some of our rural people might see this as an opportunity to provide some compensation but, it would be very easily abused, I believe. So, I'm not going to be in support of it. Thank you.

MS. SHOCKLEY: If I may. This is Dorothy. I think -- I mean -- I think that already is happening. It's kind of like a trade. I mean, you know, to support someone to like, your nephew or your grandson or whoever to go hunting for you. I think, you know, those kinds of things are probably already happening but, we don't want to put it, you know -- or yeah, we just don't want it to get out of the traditional systems that we already have in place. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Of course. Thank you for that comment. I don't know or seen -- ever seen anybody get paid to hunt moose for elders. They might take some gas, but that's about it. And nobody, no traditional person is going to charge an elder for that. So, thank you for your comment.

MS. IRWIN: Call the question. Olivia Irwin for the record.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Can you reinstate, please?

 $\,$  MS. IRWIN: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. The motion is to support proposal 123.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I ask for unanimous consent. All those in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.

(No response)

All those opposed same sign.

00058 1 2 IN UNISON: Aye. 3 4 Passes. Thank you. We're going to recess 5 for lunch now. Yeah, 1:00. We're going to try to get 6 through today. All right. 7 8 MS. MCDAVID: Thank you all. And right 9 after lunch, we'll be taking up the call for Board of 10 Fish proposals. 11 12 13 14

MS. ENTSMINGER: Charlie, I would want to say that that working group we had the other day and doing things like this for Board of Game proposals and Fish proposals. That's excellent. It helps us to get

through our meeting. Thank you.

18 (Off record)

20 (On record)

21

22 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. About the one-23 minute mark.

24

15

16

17

19

25

31

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

(Pause)

26 27 Okay, everybody, welcome 28 afternoon. We'll get the meeting back to order again. 29 And it looks like we're at the call for Alaska Board of 30 Fish proposals.

32 MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair.

33 34 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes.

35

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you. This is Brooke, for the record. I'll just draw your attention. In your meeting, book the small one. On page 55 is the Board of Fish tentative meeting schedule for 2025 and 2026. As you probably all are well aware that AYK fin fish meeting is coming up this November here in Fairbanks. And the AYK meeting will be next February. Comments -- or proposals are due April 10th, according to this document, and comments will be due in the -- in November for AYK and in February for the Area M meeting so you'll be able to comment on any proposals at your fall meeting this year. The call for proposals starts on the next page of your meeting book. And I do believe we have Gale Vick in the audience who wanted to speak to you all about -- about proposals.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Is that what you want, Gale? Come on up, then.

4 5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. VICK: There we go, thank you. Thank you to the Chair and members of the RAC. My name is Gale Vick, and I Chair the fisheries subcommittee for the Fairbanks Fish and Game Advisory Committee. And today -- and I also coordinate the BBAYK meeting, we had one this morning, and I'll bring those discussions to you in a minute. I have given the RAC members the copy of a schedule that we worked up with the Fairbanks AC. We are on a deadline for the April Board of Fish proposals. We have four areas to concentrate on and that the first one, of course, is AYK. And then we've got Bristol Bay and we've got Alaska Peninsula, which is Area M and statewide. And statewide is particularly interesting to us this year because the Board of Fish decided at the Council meeting -- or at the Board meeting in December that they would only review hatchery proposals at the statewide meeting, which is every three years now. We are going to be pushing for a hatchery meeting at the Board of Fish but that is non-regulatory so that -- no proposals will be discussed at that meeting. It's just informational.

252627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

So, we have put together this deadline. Monday night is the first real conversation we're going to have at the Fairbanks fisheries subcommittee. And at 6 p.m. it's a virtual only meeting. I invite everybody here to join us. We are trying to get as many AC members and others around -- in the AYK, Bristol Bay and Nelson Lagoon-Chignik region. Because our proposals going forward, we have very little time left and we want to make sure that all the ACs can review these, if we can, to support each other. We're not going to be able to do that all the time. But as much as we can, we have strength in supporting each other on these proposals. I want to say something that you probably heard from Virgil yesterday, and that is that this cycle is probably the most important cycle in the history of the Board of Fish. And that's because what we're trying to do is to save salmon. And we've never had such an urgency as we've had right now for both chinook and chum salmon. But coho is going down as well, as well as other species. So, this is really an important Board cycle, and I encourage all of you to participate with each other. And let's come up with very strong proposals. So that's pretty much what I had to say. But I do have a list that came along with a schedule of all the items that we've

had at various meetings, that where we've said these are important to have proposals in. So, if there are any questions from the RAC members, I'd be glad to answer what I can. Thank you.

5 6

7

8

10

11 12 MS. BURK: Through the Chair, this is Eva. Gale, last spring, the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council submitted the -- I think it was the agenda change request. Do you know if they are going to resubmit that same proposal as a regular proposal in this Board cycle and can we at this Eastern Interior RAC maybe support that, or is there going to be other ACS supporting that as well?

13 14 15

MS. VICK: You're talking about the mesh

16 17 18 thing.

MS. BURK: Yes.

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

MS. VICK: Okay. Yeah, for Area M. As far as I know Chignik probably will be resubmitting that. And if they don't, somebody will for sure. There will be many other proposals that we've had that weren't --ACRs that didn't get accepted or in past proposals. And we're going to be resubmitting those in different formats. The timeline is the problem because we have to have these proposals in on April 10th, and we only -our Fairbanks AC only has one full meeting where we can approve the proposals we submit. As far as proposals that other people submit, I am recommending that we join as co-signers on proposals where we can but, that means that our various ACs are going to have to have the time to review that and make that recommendation and approve it, because we have to have the minutes attached to each of these. We don't have to have the minutes attached with the proposals. That's the important thing. We can do this later and when the Board meeting comes up. But that's the other option for all of us. When the various Board meetings come up that we can support other proposals at the same time. But as all of you know here, the Board is very often, depending on the composition of the Board, very often will take the weakest proposal and rather than the strongest. So, we want to make sure that we don't have too much of a range that we want to have the strong proposals.

45 46 47

48

49

MS. BURK: Yeah. Thank you. Through the Chair. This is Eva. I think my understanding too is that the -- actually the same length -- shortening the net

length of the salmon seines was going to be a statewide proposal.

MS. VICK: I think it will be. I don't know who's going to put that in for statewide. But we certainly will be having that discussion. And that is on this list. On the Monday night meeting, that's the preliminary discussion at the AC level. And since we have such little time, we have had -- on January 22nd, we had a Joint Interior AC meeting that was well attended, as an introductory. But now we don't have the time for a separate meeting for that. We just -- if we -- everybody can join our AC and discussions we will hear comments from anybody who wants to make a comment. And so, we're just trying to get proposals down so that we know who the specific proposers are going to be because we can't write all of them. And -- but each of the regions will have their particular strengths.

 $\,$  MS. BURK: Thank you. Obviously, this is my favorite topic.

MS. VICK: You're good at it.

MS. BURK: This is Eva, for the record. And then also, do you know, at this time, if Fairbanks AC will be resubmitting proposal 140 in some form?

VICK: Yes, we will. Okay. MS. question. Okay. And we'll be doing that for Area M. And then we'll be resubmitting some proposal at statewide. Just for the record, the Board of Fish is very limited as to what they can do about hatcheries. And 140 was the proposal that we had submitted at the last Board cycle for Area M for timing closures for that June chum fishery, especially. But there are other related proposals that we're going to be submitting for Area M, and we're going to probably break them down into categories. The hatchery proposals in general statewide are going to have to be a little different because the Board is limited to basically reducing the egg reduction. And also, as another reminder, there are two other deadlines coming up that we'll be talking about Monday night. And those have to do with hatchery recommended regulations and with the permitting through DNR. So ADF&G and DNR both have commentary periods out right now and we are going to pay close attention to that hatchery commentary period, because there is a --I don't know if you'd call it a movement but, there is an action to take away more authority from the Board and

1 give it more directly to the department. And so, we want to be careful of that. And the other thing is that at this last Board meeting for a statewide, there was a deferment of an item on miscellaneous business to the 5 March meeting. And that is to talk about the Board's authority and to the Board of Fish. So, we want to pay close attention to that so we can have commentary on 8 that. So, there's other actions happening. It's very 9 critical that we pay attention to all the little things 10 as well as the big things, and some of the things that don't go before the Board of Fish that this morning at 11 12 the AYK meeting, we had a really big discussion on the 13 genetics that are so important for us to get out of Area 14 M. We've been pushing that for a long time. Senator 15 Hoffman gave money to the Bering Sea Fishermen's 16 Association two years ago, or a year and a half ago, to 17 have -- to conduct some genetic sampling for chinook out 18 of Area M which has never been done, not chinook. And 19 the department was supposed to have a an agreement with 20 BSFA to jointly work on that project. They stalled on 21 that and then the legislature -- that -- they appealed 22 to the legislature to transfer that funding directly to 23 the department. The department did not conduct any chinook sampling last year, and we're under 24 25 impression they're not going to do it this year either. So, all of those things -- and the money is still there. 26 27 So, we're making inquiries into that. All of these things 28 are -- I can't speak for the department but, I know that 29 they're very concerned about the southeast ESA listing 30 right now, potential for that. And so, I think some of 31 these decisions are driven by that threat right now.

32

34

35

36 37

38

39

MR. WOODRUFF: Gale, I want to say that we really appreciate you coming here and sharing your knowledge with us. And I personally feel that you're the most engaged person I've met in the fisheries. And it's great to hear that you're sharing that knowledge with us. And I think that that the Council here would really like to support you in the Area M of genetic studies. Thank you.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

47

MS. VICK: Yeah. Thank you very much for those kind words. There's a few of us, Andy is one of them. Virgil and I were at a certain age, and we feel that press of time, and we want to leave as much of our knowledge behind to the next generation that you all are picking up. A lot of you, and I applaud you for that. So, thank you.

48 49 50

MR. BASSIH: Yeah. Member Bassich. Gale,

could you just talk a little bit more about maybe some strategies to try and get that genetics work done in Area M?

MS. VICK: At this point, we -- in our discussion this morning, it's legislative. So, there are going to be inquiries into, first of all, Senator Hoffman's office and then it will go from there. I can't tell you where right now, but I know BSFA is making those inquiries. I have asked the department directly from the Fairbanks AC for the same thing, they were -- last year They were hoping to be on record, this year to get -- to start that. But I haven't heard a word since so, I -- like I said, I can't speak for the department. I don't know if they're going to do that or not but, all indications are that that's not going to happen this year. But we'll have to wait and see.

MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin, for the record. Thank you, Gale, for being here. Following up on your answer to Andy's question is that -- does it have to go through the legislature because of the added cost of the genetic testing? Why can't the Board...?

MS. VICK: Because it was legislative funding. And so right now, no matter what we say to the department, it would still have more. I mean, they it would be the legislature -- Senator Hoffman's office would have to make the inquiry.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Brooke. Gale, I'd be happy to share that zoom link with the Council members for the meeting on Monday, if you wouldn't mind emailing.....

MS. VICK: I would love that. Thank you very much. Yeah, and because of the timing of everything right now, I can't come before you and ask you for support of any particular proposal because we don't have any written up. But as some of you have mentioned earlier, if you are predisposed to particular actions, it would be helpful to know what you're thinking about those actions, and we can utilize that in our commentary.

 MS. BURK: Thank you. Through the Chair. Gale, I am interested in -- I would like to encourage this Council to support shortening the same depths -- I think statewide is important. I do believe that we've honed in on Area M but, I do know that through talking to other fishermen, like there was a large landing of

chum off of Kodiak, right. So, there's all these other places that are hammering salmon and the idea behind shortening the net length statewide is that it would allow the king to -- who swim a little bit deeper, they would be able to target sockeye. Some of the push back was that you would be fishing more. But when talking to the Area M guys who are salmon seiners who use this gear type, that's not actually the case, and you probably wouldn't have to fish as much more. Therefore, you're probably not going to be increasing more intercept numbers. So, I think that that's one thing to -- that I would encourage supporting is shortening that same depth. There's also -- this might come as a little bit of news to folks around the table, but it's something that Charlie and I were able to talk to the area -- the Chignik folks about. And also, because we did go to Kodiak and work -- we found out that we could take two kings every day of the year per person. And so, there was also interest in putting a limit on how much kings a person could retain. And I think that's important to include....

MS. VICK: You're talking about sports

 fish?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  BURK: Yeah. It was I think personal is what our permit was, right? Personal use for residents.

MS. VICK: Oh, okay.

MS. BURK: So, it might -- so we'd have to think of that about that. I think we could talk about that more. That's something I'm flagging. Is there, you know.....

MS. VICK: The personal use fisheries, there's only like four of them in the State that are defined under the personal use fisheries. That would be Cordova, Susitna has got one. Help me out. I think there's 4 or 5, but Copper River is the biggest one and then Susitna has got another one, and -- well, Kenai. I'm sorry. Kenai. So, the regulations attached to those are seasonally specific. The saltwater fishing -- there's two issues there. Saltwater fishing sports is -- you're absolutely right that needs to be looked at in terms of what is the annual limit versus the daily bag limit. Then the other issue is the commercial fishing home pack. What commercial fishermen are allowed to take home in their boats. I fished commercially for 21 years,

and Prince William Sound and I know that issue really well. We don't -- and I need to put this on the list because I keep forgetting to do it. We don't require home pack commercial fishermen to have a -- to have weights on what they take in a home pack or so when you pull up to a tender and you offload -- and I'm talking about gillnetters right now, and you offload on your fish ticket, your commercial catch that you get paid for is weighed. And it's pretty much specific to a species. You -- on your fish ticket, it has a place to put in the number of your home pack. But that's voluntary and the tenders don't lift up your broiler bag for your home pack and find out how much fish are or what species you're taking. You can put anything in there, you want to on the on the fish ticket. I think most fishermen are pretty honest about that. But in times of conservation, when it comes to chinook, we don't really know what that home pack is taking. We don't have any statistics on that, so.

MS. BURK: Through the Chair. This is Eva. So, I think that you're correct. So is sport fishing with the limit and I think for me there's a couple of things there is there was concern about some of the local people who might be doing those charters, taking people out for the salmon. But I also think there does need to be limits. If we can't keep any of the fish in the river, we should be probably looking at those daily bag limits. So, I think that's fair.

MS. VICKS: I do want to tell everybody, though, that in sports fishing especially in the Gulf, a lot of the times if you're winter fishing and stuff, if you're not catching Alaskan fish, you're gonna --you're catching Canadian fish, chinook. It's a mixed bag. So, it depends on how far out you are from shore. Yeah. But yeah, that's a very good point. And also, it's a reminder that some of these fish are caught out in federal waters. These are, you know, our limitations. O to 3 miles for the Board of Fish.

MS. BURK: Thank you. Chair. This is Eva. So, I think those were the two statewide. And then as I don't really have any other recommendations unless we've talked about, AYK proposals for a four inch, but I don't know if we're comfortable talking about that yet because it -- every time we bring it up, it causes a lot of contention. And so, I really want to be careful with that one but, I -- I'm just flagging that as one that is -- keeps coming up here and there and not sure when

and where and how to address it, but, it's just something to keep on -- keep on our minds. But those were the main ones. And then also supporting the 140 to limit time area. I think we asked for that cap, but I still think they're taking out like 500,000 chum, right. So, there's still quite a bit of chum coming out of Area M, right. I would be in support of the -- you guys submitting that and us supporting the Fairbanks AC. And I think those are my main proposals that I'm interested in for these upcoming Board cycles. I don't know how to address the escapement goals. Is that a proposal? And what were -- what were you thinking on those issues?

MS. VICKS: That's a very good question, Madam Chair. The stock of concern is -- number one, stock concern and escapement goals are not technically a part of -- mean, how can I say this? They're through the department, usually and we keep bringing this up. We need to write something -- I need to write something up, I guess about how that process works in most stock and concerns and escapement goals. The problem we have in the Yukon River, of course, is that we have a summer wide for chum salmon -- for summer chum salmon. We have a drainage white escapement goal, and that's the only escapement goal we have, I believe, is on the T'ee Drinjik. Is that correct?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BASSICH: Porcupine and main stem, Canadian bound.

MS. BURK: Okay, yeah. Porcupine, main stem. Thank you. So, that's problematic. Very problematic for chinook and chum, both because of the numbers that are going down in our discrete stocks. And how we get that changed is something I have to do a lot of research on, and I'll be talking with better minds and mind on that, so.

MR. BASSICH: Member Bassich. Yeah. Thank you. Actually, it's great you brought that up. That's one of the topics that I wanted to bring up here is maximum sustained yield. And it might be good to - for -- we have a number of new Council members here. If you could maybe just talk briefly about some of the different designations, biological escapement goals, what MSY stands for. That might be really helpful for those members that are up in the Fort Yukon and that area.

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

MS. VICK: I'm probably not the best person to ask about this, and I know -- there are very few people. Actually, I was surprised. One day I was talking to somebody at the department, and they had the same problem I have. Those MSY stands for maximum sustained yield. SET stands for sustainable escapement. Well, yeah, there's biological escapement goals, which are BEG and SET stands for -- I use these acronyms all the time. Sustainable escapement something and there are several of different levels of escapement goals that are used in different systems, and some are used in discrete streams. So, I did a write up on that. I will have to defer to that and get -- give that to anybody who would like to do it, and I'll do a cleanup if necessary. But those are very important to us. When we go into -- when the JTC, for instance, the Joint Technical Committee for the Yukon River panel has these discussions about the escapement goals across the border, these escapement criteria come up all the time, and it's really important for us to understand what they mean in terms of how it relates to stock of concerns and how it relates to our general escapement goals and the numbers. The one thing that I want to impress on all of us is that there is a thing called shifting baseline that all of us have discussed at various times. And that's important to remember because sometimes on escapement goals there is a tendency to want to shift that baseline. We're doing that with the Anvik River right now, and that means to lower those escapement goals, because the numbers haven't been up for so many years. There's a real danger in that, and I'm not qualified to have that in-depth conversation, but I think we need to have it with people who are qualified. So, I encourage that. Thank you. Thank you for the question, Andy.

343536

37

38

40

41

42

43

44 45 MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Member Bassich again, I think the other topic, you brought it up that is really important is getting some designations for stock of concern on these stocks. Fall chum are at an all-time low and chinook salmon are certainly, in the same boat. And those are really important to get input in, put into place. So that the greatest conservation management strategies can be used on those stocks. And it's also - I'm not clear on this, but I think it's also, those stocks would need to be listed like that before you would be able to identify them as endangered species.

46 47 48

MS. VICK: Correct.

MR. BASSICH: If I'm not mistaken. I might be wrong on that, but, I think they must be listed that way first. So those are also very important.

MS. VICK: Actually, endangered species of course is a federal designation and stock of concern is a State of Alaska designation. There are three levels of stock of concern. There's the yield management and conservation, which is the highest. We've been trying for a very long time to get chinook to a conservation concern on the Yukon and managers have said to us -- and this is probably has some truth to it, that -- well, we're doing everything we can anyway that we would do under a conservation concern but, having it listed as a conservation concern is really important to have that in the record. And we are -- have enough years now they go by certain criteria of how many years that, you know, you've had a depletion in order to do that. So, we really -- and they also do it under Board cycles. And so now as we go into the AYK cycle, we really need to push for stock of concerns in so many different levels so many different areas. Thank you, Andy and thank you Chair.

MS. IRWIN: Through the Chair. Olivia Irwin. Just a quick clarifying Gale. So, you had said though that it's the department that lists the stocks of concerns, but you just mentioned bringing it up at the Board. So, should we just put pressure to -- like to ADF&G staff through testimony at the Board of Fish so that staff would do that, or is that something that the Board can direct staff to look into and analyze stocks of concern?

MS. VICK: Through the Chairs. Olivia, that's a very good question because there's been a lot of conflict at Board of Fish meetings on that very subject about who has a right to bring it up. And this was a recent issue with Chignik. I would still bring it up, and I would bring it up every time that we can. And I would bring it up in your AC meetings. I think that's something we've let go for too long a time. Thank you.

MS. BURK: Okay. Through -- thank you. Through the Chair. Thank you, Gale, for all that -- all your work on this. And I'm just going to say I'm Eva, for the record. Thank you. If we look at what Fish and Game has on their website for State of Alaska special status species, fish stocks of concern, it is really concerning because the Yukon was like one of the first stocks. The Yukon chinook was listed in 2000 as a yield

concern, and it's never been elevated to management or conservation.

MS. VICK: Right. Right.

MS. BURK: And there's many other rivers that have already made their way to management concern. And I think that's really shocking that we've kind of been left behind here. So, it's my understanding, according to this page, is that the policy for the management of sustainable salmon fisheries 5 AAC 39.222. There you go, Olivia. 39.222 directs the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to provide the Alaska Board of Fish with reports on the status of salmon stocks and identify any salmon stock that present a concern. In consultation with Alaska Department of Fish and Game, the Board may designate, amend or discontinue stocks of based concern on stock status reports recommendations from Fish and Game. So, my question would be who in Fish and Game can we communicate with? Because it seems like that might be an avenue.

MS. VICK: Yeah, I would say that would be the commissioner and the deputy commissioner. And at this point — but I think you can bring it up at a Board meeting. You can even put it in a proposal, but I don't — at this point I don't recommend putting in proposals that are not in the — are not part of the due process, are not going anywhere. We just — we need to be focused on those that are really going to make a difference. And there should be other venues, and I can certainly check into that even more. I do think the ACs have a perfect right to ask them — the department at each of the meetings. And I think that would be the appropriate path. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: There's some people from ADF&G in the room that -- anybody have anything that they can add to this?

MS. VICK: Yeah, I don't know who's here, but please.

MS. BURK: Thank you. Through the Chair, this is Eva, and then Gale, I just sent you the federal staff analysis for the Yukon River Special Action Request last year. And I believe there are three escapement goals for summer chum and three as well for fall chum. And I think this is where you know, really understanding this is important because right now it's

my understanding that the way that we have our escapement goal for the Yukon River setup, with the drainage wide and we use our preseason run outlook, right.

4 5

MS. VICK: Right.

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

MS. BURK: And we're like expect, how many fish. And then if we expect over 550,000 summer chum, right. There could technically be commercial opening. But what's left out of the story is that, you know, the two other escapement goals would be the East Fork Andreafsky Weir and the Anvik Sonar. And I think the Anvik is really the one that like, really gives me a lot of pause and thinking about how do we manage the Yukon, because the Anvik goal is 350,000 to 700,000 summer chum, and the historical median is 450,229. and in 2023, only 60,556 summer chum made it to the Anvik. And so how can we expect to keep producing summer chum when we're not making it to these really important spawning tributaries. So, I think that -- I just want to put on the record, I'm not sure how we address it, and maybe this is the argument that we need to be bringing up to Fish and Game, to the Board about what -- you really need to look at, how to manage this differently and elevate this to a management concern. I'm like -- I feel like I'm talking and thinking through this out loud, but I just kind of wanted to put that on the record because we think we're doing okay when it comes to summer chum but, I honestly don't think we are, and I'm not really sure how to address that.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. VICK: Thank you, Madam Chair. That's really a very critical issue and thank you for the clarification. There is a separate summer chum for the Anvik, as you mentioned, and we're down to about 10% of the historical average on that. There is one for sockeye on the Andreafsky. I don't think that's summer chum, is that correct? I think it's sockeye. And -- but having a drainage wide summer chum for the rest of the Yukon is really problematic. And for the very reasons that you just listed. And how we voice that is to write a statement. And I think we can give it to the department for getting a response. If you go through your ACs, the department is required to respond to you accordingly. And so, I recommend going through the AC process on that one. But this RAC certainly can bring that up. And I would encourage that as well because those are -- I think we're getting to the point, all of us. We've got so many cross-jurisdictional issues that we have to stop being too polite and too careful about

49

50

1 jurisdictions. We have to start crossing those jurisdictions. We heard that last night when you had testimony at the during the Council session. We're not going to get to answers until we look at ecosystems 5 approach to all of our fish issues. Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Holly Carroll is 8 online. She'd like to add a few comments, if she may. 9 10 MS. VICK: Oh of course. Thank you, 11 Holly. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Holly. 14 MS. CAROLL: Hey everybody. I hope you 15 16 can hear me, okay. 17 18 MS. MCDAVID: Yep. We got you, Holly. 19 Thank you. 20 21 MS. CAROLL: Okay. So. Yeah, a couple 22 corrections there. So, gosh, thanks, Gale, for having 23 all that back pocket information about goals. But Eva 24 was right. There is a summer chum goal on the East Fork 25 Andreafsky River and the Anvik. There are no sockeye 26 goals in the Yukon drainage. We don't really have real 27 sockeye runs for now, although those are increasing. 28 But.... 29 30 (Simultaneous speech) 31 32 MS. VICK: Yeah, (distortion) that 33 correction. 34 35 MS. CAROLL: .....I wanted to kind of --36 I love that you're bringing up this escapement goal 37 issue. And one thing that I guess I would kind of 38 backtrack and say, when you guys were discussing how to 39 get those stock designations change through the Board 40 of Fish process. Just because I used to be the manager, 41 and I used to help write all the Board materials as we 42 would go into a cycle. Those stock status updates are 43 prepared by the research staff at Fish and Game. So, for instance, for the Yukon, you'd want to be connecting 44 45 with Zach Liller and Fred West and Bonnie Borba. And 46 they will do an internal process to determine what that 47 stock status is and whether or not sort of they want to

change it or whatever, That's what I noticed because I

remember we had the stock status in 2013, I think in

chinook it was already, you know, that that stock of

1 concern. And then in 2018 when it increased, there was some internal consideration that like, oh, maybe we can -- the run increased, maybe we can remove that stock status concern. And I remember being the manager and 5 saying like, why would why would we do that? You know, it's only one good year of passage. So, I don't know 6 what the formal Fish and Game process is internally for 8 deciding those stocks of concern, but, they will -- from my recollection -- and I don't know why there's not Fish 10 and Game staff in the room kind of speaking up but, from my recollection is they will have all of those analyses 11 12 done before the Board of Fish. So, when the AYK Board 13 of Fish meets, those documents are done. And so like 14 Gale is saying, I think the time to connect with your 15 Fish and Game staff, your research coordinator Zack 16 Liller or your management coordinator Erin Tiernan, the 17 time to do that is before that Board meeting. And I do 18 think the AC process is a good process, because then 19 you're doing that in a public forum where those staff 20 are there so or contacting them directly. I don't think 21 you should be afraid to do that, but I do recall that 22 being quite an internal process. And so, if you wait 23 till the Board to make comments on it, they're going to 24 be reporting out to the Board their findings, I believe, 25 if I'm remembering that right. So better to get in there 26 kind of prior to that meeting is my suggestion on that 27 one.

28 29

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Holly.

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

MS. CAROLL: Yeah. And I guess -- I don't have anything else for the topics you brought up, but, I just wanted to let you know that Keith and I are both going to be involved in giving you guys updates, and so I'll just stand by if there was further questions. But also, if you're eager to move on, you know, I'll be around for the Yukon report component.

37 38 39

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much.

40 41

MS. VICK: Thank you, Holly.

42 43

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Dorothy.

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. SHOCKLEY: Thank you. For the record, Dorothy Shockley. Thanks, Gale. Good to see you. In regard to the consideration or conservation concerns, I would suggest that we not only contact the Commissioner, but the Governor and the legislators. If we could propose to write a letter as well. But I really appreciate you

species. And I think writing to our Alaska delegation would be appropriate at this time as well. Thank you. 5 MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair. Just for the 6 record so, Dorothy, in the RAC correspondence policy, you can't write to elected officials. So, that is 8 something as a private citizen, you could do or, you 9 know, you could write to the Board and potentially ask 10 them to forward things but, they are also limited in certain capacities due to the Hatch Act. Thanks. 11 12 13 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I'm sure you could 14 do it tribally. 15 16 MS. VICK: Yeah, that would be a good 17 avenue. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Anything more for 20 Gale? 21 22 (No response) 23 24 Okay. Thank you SO much. 25 informative. 26 27 MS. VICK: Thank you, all of you and 28 thank you for the great work that you're all doing. 29 30 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: So, thanks for being 31 with us today. Appreciate you. 32 33 MS. VICK: And thank you again. 34 35 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay, we're moving 36 down the list to Tanana Chiefs Conference Tribal 37 Resource Stewardship Division. Diloola, thanks for being 38 here and joining us today. 39 40 MS. ERICKSON: Good afternoon. Council 41 members, I have just a really quick report for you guys. 42 I think Krystal was pretty comprehensive with her report 43 yesterday for what TCC has really been up to. As I said 44 in my last update to you guys last fall, we're a small 45 but mighty team. And so, most of our efforts has been 46 gone -- has gone towards the EIS engagement and getting 47 our tribes to engage with that process. So that's taken 48 up like the bulk of our time since I last talked with 49 you. But I wanted to share a couple other big updates

with you guys. So, in October, Tanana Chiefs Conference

bringing that up last night, you know, the endangered

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

signed a self-governance agreement with the Department of the Interior. It was the first of its kind, and it was a self-governance agreement that covered National Park Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, BLM and USGS. And this is meant to be the first step towards building better consultation, communication communication and partnership with the federal programs and our partners over there. And so, we're still figuring out how to make that partnership work through the first scope of work that we signed, scope of work, one that is meant to kind of streamline tribal engagement with their processes for public land management. And so that's I think the biggest update I have for you guys is that we have that signed, and we're figuring out what that looks like in the new administration right now. We also are finishing wrapping up the rest of our fisheries studies from the 2024 field season, and we're prepping for the 2025 field season.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

The only project our fisheries program will be working on this summer is the closing out of the Mid River Sonar feasibility study. So, we're hoping to have that finished by the end of this field season and a report done next spring. We've also been engaging pretty heavily in all of the RAC meetings for the Interior. Between Krystal and I, we attended the Yukon Flats, the upper Tanana Fortymile, the Middle Yukon, McGrath, middle Nenana, Delta AC, Nenana AC, Nenana Minto AC, the Joint Interior AC meeting, and a number of the Fairbanks AC meetings and their subcommittees. Just trying to get our grounding there and figure out how we can get our tribes to engage there. And then just a notice if anyone is interested in attending. I can send an invite out to the RAC but, we'll be hosting our TCC region Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council meeting March 5th here in Fairbanks. And then the statewide meeting will be April 7th through 10th in Anchorage. And then I did want you all to know that we did receive the letter about the dall sheep coalition, management coalition. And I met with a number of partners in the federal government, and we talked about it, and I think Tim Hammond sent a letter back to you guys. So, we're aware of it, and we're engaging. I think that's everything I have right now.

44 45 46

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. Does anybody have any questions? Go ahead.

47 48 49

50

MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin for the record, thanks, Loo, so much for the report. I just had a

48

49

50

00075 1 question. So, you said this summer they're going to be closing out the feasibility study for Mid River Sonar, right? 5 MS. ERICKSON: That's the hope, and Brian 6 Lepping's on line two. If you had more detailed questions 7 for him. 8 9 MS. IRWIN: Yeah. Maybe, Brian, maybe 10 this is a good one for you. I'm just wondering, is there an estimated time frame or -- for the, you know, if the 11 12 feasibility study is happening now, my question is 13 because I'm wondering about the incorporation of Mid 14 River Sonar into any potential proposals coming up at 15 Board of Fish as an additional way to count fish. So, I'm just wondering what's the timeline on the project 16 17 is, maybe estimating to either of you. 18 19 MS. ERICKSON: For, like, actually 20 operating a sonar? So, we're seeking funding for it. We don't have funding to actually operate it. We were only 21 22 funded to execute a feasibility study. Yeah. 23 24 MR. LEPPING: And Olivia, I would say I 25 would have a report out by the beginning of 2026 at some 26 point in time. 27 28 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Excuse me. Brian, 29 you forgot to introduce yourself, sir. Thank you. 30 31 MR. LEPPING: Sorry, sorry. I'm Brian 32 Lepping with Tanana Chiefs Conference and the fisheries 33 biologist for the Mid River Sonar project, and sorry 34 about that. But like I said, I would expect a report out 35 in early 2026. 36 37 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. Go ahead, 38 Andy. 39 MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Thank you. Member 40 41 Bassich, here. I'm wondering if there's been any preliminary estimations for cost for deploying that 43 site. The fish wheel site? 44 45 46

MR. LEPPING: I haven't done any cost estimates yet. But I know how much Pilot Station is required to run. I would estimate a yearly cost of around \$400,000. And there's going to be quite a bit more than that. Just as a start-up cost. If we have to build a camp and buy more equipment and things like that. So, I

haven't actually calculated out any numbers yet but, I would say at least the yearly cost of 400,000.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Thank you. Member Bassich again. I would highly recommend maybe talking to Stan Zuray, who operated similar type projects in the Rampart region. He might have some real insights as to how you can get it up and running a little bit cheaper. Pilot Station is a highly involved site and just running a fish wheel site should be considerably less expensive than that as far as implementation and daily costs for operational use. But just a suggestion. I really am in favor of that project. I think it's very, very critical to check on many different aspects of both king and fall chum in the Upper Yukon River. So, I'm highly supportive and really want to see that project come because it's going to enlighten us in a lot of different ways. So yeah, thank you very much. I really appreciate the effort that TCC put into to getting that project tested, and hopefully we'll see it implemented ASAP.

MS. ERICKSON: Thank you. Just to correct -- through the Chair. And Brian, correct me if I'm wrong, but, I don't think we're planning to have a test wheel fishery. We're going to have, like a setnet test fishery with it. Yeah.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  LEPPING: Yeah. It was -- sorry. Sorry, Charlie.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Brian.

MR. LEPPING: Well, I was going to say we've been testing the drift that I mean it -- I've been designing this off of Pilot Station and Eagle. So that's the way we've been running it. There was some ideas early in the project that we might use a fish wheel, and we just never got around to that. And in the areas that we did test this past summer; drifting is feasible in those areas. So, I think that if this project was to move forward, we would run with drift nets. I have talked to Stan and he is -- he's been a really valuable resource but, I -- that is a good idea. I will reach back out to him and see what his thoughts are.

MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin, for the record. I think this is a question for Brian. Brian, would it be -- have you guys looked at whether or not it would be the most effective to be near the mouth of a tributary, similar to what I believe the Koyukuk has?

5

6

8

9

10

MR. LEPPING: Well, I'm not sure what you're referencing as far as the Koyukuk but, we did look at a spot that was just below the Tanana River, and there was -- there's an idea there about using genetics and bank orientation there. I haven't got any of those results back from the State yet so, I don't -- I can't really speak on that. There is another site, kind of an alternative site that is further down river in between Ruby and Galena. And we are -- I'm going to plan to take a look at that site as well this summer.

11 12 13

MS. IRWIN: Okay. Thank you.

14 15

MR. LEPPING: Yeah. Thank you.

16 17

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions?

18 19

(No response)

20

Hearing or seeing none. Thank you so 22 much for being here.

23 24

MS. ERICKSON: Thank you.

2526

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yukon River Coho Salmon Radio Telemetry Project. Andy.

272829

MR. PADILLA: Good afternoon. My name is Andy Padilla. Can you hear me? Okay.

30 31 32

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes, sir. You have the floor.}$ 

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

MR. PADILLA: Okay. Thank you. As I said, my name is Andy Padilla. I am the assistant fall season research biologist with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and in Fairbanks here, Commercial Fisheries. And I am presenting on the 2022 Radio Telemetry Project that we conducted on Yukon River coho salmon. This project marked the first time coho salmon were tagged, radio tagged in the Yukon River, and it served as a feasibility study for future purposes. Previously, Fish and Game has cooperated with other agencies to conduct radio telemetry studies on chinook and summer chum and some components of the fall chum salmon in the Yukon River drainage. Funding for this cooperative project was provided by OSM, ADF&G, Yukon Delta Fisheries Development Association, Fish and Wildlife and Yukon

River Salmon Research and Management fund. Next slide please.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

2526

This study was developed to address the limited knowledge about coho salmon within the Yukon River drainage. Baseline information needs to be collected to assist in effective management of the fishery -- or fishery management. Coho salmon are harvested as you all know, across important fisheries, including subsistence, commercial, personal use, and sport fisheries within the Yukon River drainage. As the last major salmon species to migrate up the Yukon River in the fall, migrating -- studying their migration is particularly challenging due to the onset of winter. The project objectives included mapping the distribution of calculating tagged coho salmon, proportional contributions to five drainage groups, determining the mean migration speed between river sections, analyzing run timing through low river for each drainage group. Additionally, the project aimed to identify migration -- migration routes and (distortion) waters catalogue, which is maintained by Fish and Game and pinpoint areas to enhance the genetic baseline. First, I will cover some of the fieldwork conducted as part of the project, followed by preliminary -- followed by results that addressed the objectives. Next slide please.

272829

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

This map highlights the five drainage groups represented by the colored polygons which were chosen to define coho salmon drainage groups for the study. The groups were selected based on available tower locations used from previous radio telemetry projects, and the limited coho salmon data that we do have for spawning areas. The map also shows the 11 locations of -- 11 locations of 11 remote tracking stations, also known as towers, established for the project. The four towers were positioned along the main stem Yukon River, while six were placed on the tributaries. The white area in the lower Yukon downstream from the first tower is not included in the drainage groups. We know that coho salmon are present in the Andreafsky River, which is downstream of our tagging location at other systems in lower Yukon River. The tagging location was chosen based river morphology. Nice single channel. logistics with the community of Russian Mission and its position above any potential commercial fisheries that existed, which they didn't occur during the project. Next slide please.

49 50

5

6

8

9

10

11 12 In 2021, the tower sites were cleared as they had not been visited since the 2025 summer chum salmon tagging project. In 2022, just before the tagging began, the towers were revisited to upload tag frequency tables, which are essential for detecting individual fish as they pass the towers. The initial phase of the project involved dedicating a couple of days to fishing, with snag nets at potential sites, and training staff on tagging procedures. Tagging officially started on August 15th and continued daily until September 5th. Following the release of the tagged fish, fish were tracked via stationary tracking towers and aerial surveys. Next slide please.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28 29

30

31

32

This chart compares the number of coho salmon tags deployed shown in the black line to the coho salmon daily passage estimates provided by the sonar operator near Pilot Station, shown in the colored bars. The data on the bottom axis is lagged to Russian Mission, which is 90 river miles upstream of the sonar site. The tags deployed occurred during the bulk of the run and covered both large pulses. We totaled -- or we tagged a total of 349 tags of fish. Next slide, please. This map displays the final tracked locations of coho salmon throughout the drainage. Additional tributaries were surveyed with no tagged detections. A total of 67 tagged coho salmon traveled to previously undocumented areas, and this resulted in 19 additions to the Anadromous Waters Catalog and also provided a documentation for 37 additional waterbodies. Each and -- each of those points is the final location. In the lower left-hand corner of the map, you'll see where the tagging location was. Next slide please.

33 34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

This donut chart illustrates observed percentages of coho salmon that migrated to within the four region regions of the prior map. Right here we -- as I mentioned before, there were five. We did not have any fish. We -- pardon. Excuse me. We had one fish that made it into the Upper Yukon below Ravens -- or above Ravens Ridge. But that's not included in this chart here. It wasn't observed past the tower. And this is data based from towers and aerial survey tracking flights. Describing clockwise coho salmon primarily migrated to the Tanana River. 53% is shown to the right -- in the rightmost section, the yellow one, while significant portions occupied the Lower Yukon group, which was 34%, the largest left segment, which includes the Anvik River and one fish that went into the Innoko River. The Yukon, or pardon the Koyukuk drainage

accounted for 7% in the dark blue segment, and the Middle Yukon accounted for 6%, the green slash teal segment at around 12:00 and included fish found in the Yuki River headwaters. As I mentioned before, the Upper Yukon area above the confluence of the Tanana representing the fifth drainage group had only one coho salmon, constituting less than 1%. Next slide please.

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

A little bit about the characteristics of our samples, that of the tagged fish. This chart describes the length of coho salmon that were tagged. The bottom axis of the chart are the lengths in millimeters, and the left axis is the number of males and females tagged, the number of them, frequency. Catches influenced by net selectivity and fishing locations, we fish close to the shore due to coho salmon behavior and to reduce chum salmon capture. Female coho salmon are usually smaller than males, as you can see in the chart here. In 2022, male coho salmon were notably smaller than females, with a mean of 525mm for males and 535mm for females. Which was consistent with other test fishery projects in the Lower Yukon this year as well. Our project avoided tagging some of the smaller coho salmon as we prioritize best fit to the fish size. Best tag fit to the fish size. Next slide please.

252627

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

Migration speed was calculated based on the time and date of release to each of the tower locations. This box plot chart is based on fish that were tracked between successive towers in kilometers per day. Kilometers per towers is on the x axis on the bottom, and kilometers per day is on the y axis on the vertical axis. Vertical lines represent the minimums and maximums for each of those towers, and the shaded area represents the 25 to 75% quartiles. Horizontal lines with boxes represent the medium speed, and points indicate any outliers within that group. For instance, the first one at the Paimiut tower, we had one fish that was recorded at just under 80km per day. That's after the initial tagging, the average travel speed was slowed -- is slowed, likely due to the tagging event. Once they resume the migration the average main stem migration speed was 33km per day. The migration speed -- if we look at this plot here, the migration speed slowed as coho salmon approached spawning areas such as shown in the blue box where are fish in from the Anvik River that were only traveling 22 miles per day. As we -- as they get closer to the spawning areas, and similarly fish within the Tanana River drainage also showed some slowing over successive stations in that drainage shown

in the blue area or blue arrow. The Manley, Kantishna and Nenana towers. However, these towers were not located on spawning streams but, were on the on the main stem of the Tanana and Kantishna River. Next slide please.

This chart shows the date fish were tagged relative to where they ended up. So, the date is along the bottom and the final location — on the x axis of when they were released, and the final location is noted in the gray boxes on the right of each chart. Going from top to bottom would be from lower river to upper river. It would be below Paimiut, Lower Yukon, Koyukuk River, Middle Yukon, Tanana and the one fish that went to the — into the Upper Yukon. And just for orientation, the colors on the chart correspond to the presentation maps. There was no identifiable migration pattern by drainage group. All drainage groups were present throughout the tagging period. Next slide please.

Based on the tracking, coho salmon in the study, several areas were identified as needing additional genetic baseline coverage. These areas were determined by comparing our study results with existing lab samples. The areas are listed by Regional Advisory Council regions. On the far right is the one here, Eastern Interior. So, I can go through some of those. Some of those areas of interest would be the Tozitna River in the Yukon, Toklat River in the Tanana drainage, Richardson Clearwater in Delta Clearwater River and in the Porcupine drainage, the Draanjik and Fishing Branch as well. Please contact Fish and Games Gene Conservation Lab if you are interested in collaborating on sample collections for this baseline, or if you know of any other locations where coho salmon are spawning in accessible congregations of at least 200 fish, that's -- try to get a certain number of fish in order to reach the threshold for the uncertainty required. Please -yeah, and please share this information. Elizabeth Lee's contact information there, elizabeth.lee@alaska.gov. She's our fishery geneticist. Next slide please.

As discussed in the previous slide, tracking fish to spawning locations within the Yukon River drainage, identified key sites to improve the genetic baseline for coho salmon. We have already started generating proposals to collect additional baseline samples from these locations. This project marked the first time coho salmon were radio tagged in

the Yukon River, serving as a feasibility study, as 1 mentioned, to better account for natural variability, we recommend conducting similar studies over three years. We weren't able to due to funding limitations, 5 and we would urge funding agencies to prioritize funding 6 for coho salmon research alongside chinook and coho salmon, as coho salmon are crucial to the ecosystem as 8 well. The observations from this project would be strengthened with additional years of tracking, which 10 would build documentation to increase nominations to the Anadromous Waters Catalog, confirming coho salmon in the 11 12 same areas as future studies will help list more 13 locations in the AWC, Anadromous Waters Catalog, and 14 that provides greater habitat protections for these 15 critical areas. The landing zones and tower maintenance 16 established during this project streamlined preparations 17 for the current coho salmon telemetry study, as well 18 saving costs. These resources are ready to support 19 future coho or chum salmon telemetry efforts. Next slide 20 please. And I just want to take a minute to thank our 21 sponsors for cooperating with -- or this cooperative 22 project to study Yukon River coho salmon with funding 23 from Office of Subsistence Management, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Yukon Delta Fisheries Development 24 Association, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the 25 Yukon River Salmon Research and Management Fund. And on 26 27 the slide there are both Bonnie Borba and my contacts, 28 if you have any additional questions. And there's some 29 folks of from the project that made it happen. Thank you 30 for your time.

31 32

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. We have a question for you here. Hold on. Okay.

33 34 35

MR. WOODRUFF: This is Don Woodruff from Eagle. And my question is, did you see any coho tagged on the Upper Yukon?

37 38 39

40

41

42

36

MR. PADILLA: Hi, Don. This is Andy Padilla. Fish and Game. We had one coho salmon that was detected at our uppermost tower, and that was just below — or yeah, just below Rampart. So, it didn't even — we didn't not see anything above Rampart.

43 44 45

46 47

48 49

50

MR. WOODRUFF: Follow up question. My other question is why did you not tag fish at the beginning of your fish run? Because there's some evidence that early run fish have to swim the furthest. And there's TEK evidence that the Canadians are catching coho salmon. Thank you.

00083 1 2 MR. PADILLA: Yeah, thank (distortion) 3 4 MS. MCDAVID: You. You're breaking up a 5 little bit. Could you start over with that response? 6 Thank you. 7 8 (Distortion) 9 10 Oh, we still -- so sorry, Andy. We still 11 can't hear you. I'm going to stop sharing the screen in the room, and maybe that'll help with the connection. 12 13 Okay. Do you want to try again? Thank you. 14 15 MR. PADILLA: Can you hear me, Brooke? 16 17 MS. MCDAVID: All right. I think we got 18 you now. Thanks. 19 20 MR. PADILLA: Hi, Brooke. Can you hear 21 me? 22 23 MS. MCDAVID: We can hear you, Andy. Can 24 you hear us? 25 26 MR. PADILLA: I can now, yes. Thank you. 27 Would you be able to go to slide five for folks? 28 29 MS. MCDAVID: Yes. One moment please. 30 31 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Woodward was asking why 32 we didn't tag at the beginning of the of the fish run, 33 which was one, two, three, four, five days before we started was really when our pilots started seeing fish. 34 35 That was due to reduce bycatch from -- well, first of 36 all, our timing for project operations were based off 37 of the main run timing at Pilot Station lag two -- lagged 38 between Pilot Station and Mountain Village to give us 39 an estimate of when we should be there. Had it been a 40 three-year project and we had had funding, we would have 41 extended it both on both ends. However, since this was 42 a feasibility study, we wanted to cover at least 80% of 43 the historical run timing. And that is what our tagging

schedule was based off of, which does, as you see in

this graph, shows that we hit the vast majority of those fish. But I do want to recognize that, yes, we were

really hoping to see a lot more fish go up the up the - in the Upper Yukon. We also did have U.S Fish and

Wildlife put out a receiver on the Porcupine River. And

that again did not see any fish. And we do, yes, we do

44 45

46 47

48 49

50

know that coho salmon go up and folks out of Old Crow and above do catch those coho. But again, it was a feasibility study, and we tried to get the bulk of the run.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you for that answer.

MR. PADILLA: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Andy, and then Eva.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Andy Bassich here. A couple quick questions. This may not have been something associated with this particular project but, do you have any information or data on the changing size of Coho salmon over time? I assume you have some baseline data on, you know, previous years and you were obviously measuring now. So, I'm just wondering what kind of changes you may observed over time. And then the second question I had is, I'm looking at slide 11 here, and it shows that you have some coho salmon samples collected in 2024. And I'm just curious what sampling was done and if any work was ever done on — if you had carcasses or, or live fish or anything on fecundity of those fish at the time.

MR. PADILLA: Hi Andy. This is Andy Padilla with Fish and Game. Thanks for those questions to address the first one. This coho salmon guess we collected age or length for the fish that we tagged. I do not have in front of me the historical average sizes of fish. But that is something that we keep track of. And I would defer to Bonnie Borba on the specifics of that. I do believe there has been noted shifts in even between seasons where coho salmon do tend to be more smaller in some seasons. Whether there's a trend to that or not again, I would defer to Bonnie on that. And to answer your second question, the coho samples collected in 2024 were carcass samples that we -- excuse me. I'm not sure about the Draanjik ones, I couldn't be 100% sure on those ones, but the Delta Clearwater and Richardson were samples, either they were -- they didn't -- I don't think that they took any -- they're specifically just for genetics. I don't think that they were -- the aim was always to release fish alive, I think if they were catching live fish. Carcasses, I don't believe that there was any additional fecundity data. But that would definitely be something interesting to look at.

MR. BASSICH: Okay. Yeah. Thank you. I'm always interested in those two particular parameters because it goes to impacts in marine environments and changing fish more -- changing fish into the future, which also impacts fecundity which impacts productivity, which impacts rebuilding. So anyway, I'm always interested in that. Thank you for that. I'll follow up with Bonnie on that. Appreciate it.

MR. PADILLA: And if I just might add that, yes. Thank you. And that kind of leads to the —a little bit of why we started focusing a little more on coho because we don't know a lot. And those are things that have traditionally been reserved for those specific research topics or have been reserved for more present species or more important species such as chinook. So yeah, all more potential. Thank you.

## CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Eva.

MS. BURK: Thank you. Through the Chair, this is Eva. I just have a quick question. When you're talking about the final fish location, does this study also measure like mortality, or how is that done?

MR. PADILLA: Yes. Thank you for that. So, fish were signed and this -- I didn't get into the detail of how we assigned. But fish were assigned. Each individual fish was assigned a fate. And these fish in the map that you had the distribution of -- that would be slide six shows the final locations these fish were designated as -- not all -- the fish that we're talking about were designated as spawners. We did have some fish that we couldn't account for, those fish that were caught at or -- excuse me. We had three fish that were harvested -- presumed harvested but, the fish below camp, we did have a handful that we attributed to tag mortality and some where we couldn't determine a final fate. Just that they were below our tagging location. We weren't able to track them a third time, essentially. So, yes, there were some based on the tags, do have mortality indicators on them, which is one of the factors that we use in determining a final fate.

MS. BURK: Thank you for that.

MR. PADILLA: My pleasure.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead, Dorothy.

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

MS. SHOCKLEY: Thank you. Thank you for the information. This is Dorothy. I do appreciate, you know, the research and -- I'm gathering information you know that apparently you don't have. I think, you know first of all, I would suggest that you talk to local people in regards to traditional knowledge of coho and other species, of course. But I just wanted to comment to in regard or on -- I guess on behalf of our ancestors and our elders that have told us over the years that once you start messing with the species, whether it's fish or animals and tagging them and those kinds of things, we were told many times that once you start doing that, they're going to leave. And, you know, I just think about, you know, the years that, you know, Fish and Game has been so-called managing and tagging and those kinds of things. And, you know, our species are leaving. And so, I just wanted to put that on the record that you know, traditional knowledge, and what our ancestors told us in regards to tagging and messing with our animals and our fish. Thank you.

212223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

MR. PADILLA: Yeah. Hi Dorothy, this is Andy Padilla. Thank you for both of those. The first point mentioned, you asked to consult a -- local folks to determine additional knowledge and locations of where coho are and actually one of the first things that we used in order to kind of help locate where we were thinking that coho might be, was through local knowledge. In the early 90s, during the subsistence salmon survey for two years they -- the surveyors went to all the communities up and down the Yukon River. The same survey that's conducted now but added an additional question. And that was, do you know where any coho salmon are, and where, and can you give us any information? And then those were matched. Some of them were confirmed right then and there and added to the Anadromous Waters Catalog and have been the baseline for coho salmon and the drainage largely due to local and traditional knowledge. We -- those points that we had from people were mapped, and we used those as a way to help target where we wanted aerial surveys to help confirm and get values to match that traditional knowledge so that they could be added and also, again, just be used as a source of where people have been seeing them. And secondly in regards to your comment about touching fish I -- you know, I respect what your elders have taught you and I appreciate that you brought that up. So, thank you.

48 49 50

48

please.

00087 1 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions? 2 Go ahead, Donald. 3 4 MR. WOODRUFF: This is Don Woodruff 5 again. Have you considered research on dolly varden and 6 where they spawn? 7 8 PADILLA: Hi Don, this is Andy 9 Padilla, Fish and Game. Dolly varden that would -- since 10 we're commercial fisheries and handle management of commercial and subsistence management within the 11 12 commercial fisheries and subsistence fisheries. We don't 13 focus on resident species unless they're being harvested 14 in large quantities. And that's definitely something that may have been done. I know it's being done on the 15 North Slope for certain  $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$  for dolly varden with the 16 division of Sport Fish. However, I'm not aware of 17 18 anything specifically to dolly varden in the Yukon 19 drainage. 20 21 MR. WOODRUFF: One follow up is that, I'm 22 beginning to catch dolly varden in my whitefish net. 23 Thank you. 24 25 MR. PADILA: Thank you for letting us 26 know. 27 28 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. Yeah. We 29 need to move on or we're going to be only going over. 30 31 MR. PADILLA: No problem. Thank you. 32 33 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yep. Thank you. 34 for your presentation. Next will be 35 subsistence project updates with Brooke Fisher. 36 37 MS. FISHER: Thank you, Mr. Chair and 38 Council members. Great, so good afternoon. My name is 39 Brooke Fisher, and I am a subsistence resource 40 specialist at the Alaska Department of Fish and Game in 41 Subsistence Division. I'm one of the people 42 responsible for research along the Yukon and its 43 tributaries. I would like to take this opportunity to introduce the division, review previous work in an in 44 45 eastern interior communities, and provide an update on 46 current and upcoming projects in your region. Next slide

49 The job of the Subsistence Division is 50 to scientifically quantify, evaluate, and report

8

10

11 12

13

information about customary and traditional uses of Alaska's fish and wildlife resources. Next slide please. In order to accomplish our mission statement, the division first identifies a research topic and develops a research question. These topics and questions are developed from priority information needs identified by Regional Advisory Council meetings and/or current data gaps. Most often, we conduct household surveys which provide quantitative harvest and use data and conduct traditional knowledge interviews with long time members of the study community. The quantitative and qualitative data gathered from the surveys and interviews are then combined in a technical paper available to the public. Next slide please.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

Division of Subsistence The conducted research with communities throughout Alaska covering a variety of topics. Some research projects document the use of a specific resource category, such as non-salmon harvest and use in Arctic Village. Other projects are focused on specific subsistence issues, such as the 2017 report that documented exchange practices in three Eastern Interior communities. projects document Finally, other comprehensive subsistence harvests and use. Over the past 15 years, the division has conducted comprehensive surveys in several Eastern Interior communities. Next slide please. In the past three years, most of the division's work has been focused on Western Interior and Yukon Delta communities. Unfortunately, there are no active projects, and we do not have any upcoming projects in Eastern Interior communities. The Northern Regional Program Manager will attend the upcoming Fortymile Caribou Herd management meeting and give a brief presentation on subsistence law as it pertains to the herd. Next slide please. And this concludes my presentation. If you have any questions or comments, please don't hesitate to reach out. I left my contact information on the board. Yeah. That's it for me right now.

40 41 42

43

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much. I see we have a question. Go ahead  $\mbox{\sc Andy}$  -- or okay. Go ahead.

48

49

50

MS. IRWIN: Through the Chair. Olivia Irwin, for the record. Thank you, Brooke. And I recognize that it's -- you're probably not doing studies in Eastern Interior because you're doing them in other regions right now for funding purposes. Is that I would assume

correctly? Yeah?

MS. FISHER: Yes. That's correct.

MS. IRWIN: I just want -- I just -- for the record to show I, I just want to put in a request for ADF&G to continue doing these studies when possible, in the Eastern Interior region. For Mentasta and Manley Hot Springs, those studies are now 15 years old. Which I recognize again, you guys have limited capacity. But for myself as a Nenana resident, that household survey has been an incredible document to use at North Pacific Fisheries Management Council at Board of Fish. So, these are really, really important data for our communities to have for ourselves. And it's really good work. And I appreciate the work that you Alida Brown -- or Caroline Brown, Alida Trainor and I know Jim Simon a long time ago worked on some of this so, thank you. And it's really important work. So as, as much as you can continue to do in Eastern Interior, I would appreciate it. Thanks, Brooke.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. Member Bassich here. I -- we think alike. It's been so long, and there have been so many dramatic changes in our region that need to be documented to support some of the trying work -- trying to support some of the work we're trying to do especially in the fisheries, but also with ungulates. So, I would highly encourage ADF&G and requests that they try to seek funding to at least hit a 1 or 2 of the upper communities within our region here on the Eastern RAC. Thank you. I think it's really important. We need that update.

MS. FISHER: Thank you. And through the Chair, I would recognize that, yes, that is something that we would need to circle back on and apply for in the next funding cycle.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions, comments? Hearing or seeing none. Thank you. Thank you for your nice speedy report.

MS. FISHER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Appreciate it. Thank you. Okay. Next, we're on Northwest Alaska area updates, Mark Nelson.

1 MR. NELSON: All right. Thank you, Mr. 2 Chair. For the record, my name is Mark Nelson. I'm the Northeast Alaska area biologist, and I have three topics today I'd like to discuss with the Council. The first, 5 I'll try to be quick. Porcupine caribou came up earlier. 6 Just a quick little update on porcupine caribou. We're continuing our monitoring of porcupine caribou. For 40 years, that herd has increased, and we're just now 9 starting to see signs in some of the metrics we monitor 10 that might suggest that it's probably not increasing. It's probably at least stable. We'll find out when we 11 12 get a photo census done, which we've tried now for a 13 couple of years. It's been since 2017 when we had our 14 last photo census, and that was at 218,000, was the 15 midpoint of that. That was the -- and that was the highest we'd ever counted. So hopefully we can get one 16 17 of those done. We're putting a ton of effort of airplane 18 time and people on the ground and really trying to make that happen. And it's one of those deals that the weather 19 20 has to cooperate and the caribou have to cooperate but, 21 as soon as that happens, we'll be ready to go to get 22 that next census to figure out where we are. But I just 23 kind of want to, you know, let folks know that I'm pretty 24 sure they're not growing. They're at least stable. There's a slight chance that they're declining. But I 25 26 would kind of caution from panicking just yet. But just 27 kind of be prepared that, that story of the porcupine caribou is going to start to change over the next few 28 29 years from what we're seeing. Let's see, are there any 30 kind of questions on that I can go into actual -- go 31 ahead.

32 33

34

35

36 37

MR. ALEXANDER: Excuse me, my name is Gerald Alexander. I live on the Yukon River and Fort Yukon. I hunted that area before up on the porcupine, and we had to sit on the border. But anyway the -- is there any such thing as a predator control up there in that area from Canada on down?

38 39 40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. NELSON: Through the Chair. Member Alexander. There is not a predator control on porcupine caribou right now. Part of the reason is, like I said, that herd's just been growing and growing, and there has not been a need for that. If the decline starts, we are seeing no evidence right now that predators would -- are responsible for that. It's much more likely that it has something to do with habitat. As these herds get big and think about any caribou herd in Alaska, none of them stay stable forever. They just about all kind of go up, and then they'll go down and then hopefully they go back

up. Most of them go back up again. But the constant is changed with caribou. And so, I -- yeah, right now I don't see predator control kind of being a factor in managing porcupine caribou.

MR. WOODRUFF: So, Mark, would you say that this is probably the carrying capacity for that area?

MR. NELSON: It sure looks that way. You know, that's something that's really hard to actually measure and develop. But one way you can do that is by looking at exactly that. As populations grow, once they tip over, you know, you probably passed it. So, it looks like there's a pretty good chance we've maybe passed it for now. You know, some herds bounce back pretty quick and some don't. Western Arctic is going to take a while to bounce back. The Central Arctic went through a decline, and we've already bounced back above our management objectives there. So, you know, every herd is different. And there's just yeah -- there's a lot that goes into it on the habitat side that we're just -- don't very -- don't understand very well, to be honest.

MR. WOODRUFF: In Eagle, we sometimes go up the nation and the Kanak River on the upper part of those rivers and harvest porcupine caribou.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any other

questions?

(No response)

Hearing and seeing none. Thank you.

MR. NELSON: Okay, I've got two more things, Mr. Chair. That -- I'll be fast. I just kind of want to -- the second thing I want to talk about real quick is sheep. I just want to echo what Sue kind of said earlier. But start back, you know, for a long time, the State has held their -- hung their hat on this full curl strategy. Full curl strategy really targets the harvest to those very, very old rams. Male only harvest. So, if this -- if you could do something like this for moose, it would be like a 70 inch only regulation or, you know, an eight-year-old moose. Like the very oldest moose or the very oldest caribou. Sheep is the only species we can kind of do that with, with the way the horns grow. So, this -- there's just a very small portion of the population that's ever eligible for actual

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31

34

35 36

37

38

39

harvest under this strategy. We also know from horn data that every year we seal all the horns that that get harvested in the State. In the Brooks Range, between 60 and 80% of those sheep that we seal were legal at least one previous year. So, every year all the sheep that are harvested, there's still a whole bunch of sheep on the -- in the mountains, on the landscape that would have been legal for harvest that were, you know, either full curl or eight or double broken that weren't taken that year. And so, from all those things, we're making the assumption that this full curl strategy really has basically no impact on the sheep population itself. We realize that those are assumptions and to test those assumptions, we started two major millions and millions of dollar projects to test those assumptions. The first one was in the Brooks Range and the second one is in the Alaska Range. And with both of those projects we have adjacent areas. One that is hunted heavily or at least hunted, and one that is essentially not hunted. Both of those are in national parks. And in order to test these assumptions, this full curl strategy, we're able to -we collared ewes and rams in both of those. We're able to look at or the goal is these are just kind of getting off the ground. The goal is to look at the contribution of those old rams and medium age rams in producing those lambs over the next year. And then we can compare that to an area where that's -- where we're hunting sheep, where we're taking some of those really old sheep out to an area where we're taking none of those old, old sheep out. And it's important to note that in the Brooks Range, right after we did that, the Federal Subsistence 32 Board, actually closed hunting in one of our hunted 33 areas. And so, I just want to point out that this has made us testing, this full curl strategy really difficult. We're dealing with it. We're trying to figure out ways to kind of manipulate the project to still get the data up there. But that's just something that that's going on. So, I just wanted to point that out. When you guys have that information, when you have your sheep discussion later.

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

47

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I got a question. What role does the big rams play in the flock on what you know, like teaching the young rams and breaking trail and uncovering food. You know, what role do they play? I don't really know enough. So, I just kind of want to know their place in the flock and what you guys know about it. Please. Thank you.

48 49 50

MR. NELSON: Yeah. Thank you, Mr., Chair. In this we're -- we hear from a lot of people that they play different roles socially and a bunch of different ways. And then we're trying to take a scientific approach and look at travel. We're looking at movement rates of those big sheep versus the smaller sheep, of ewes that are associated with big sheep, versus ewes that are associated, you know, with groups without those really big sheep in it. And like I said, we're just kind of getting started on that. But some of the movements of those rams are big. They move a lot and some are small, and they stay on a very small mountain or small area throughout the year. But once we get a little further along in this project, I think this would be a great opportunity for us to come and share a bunch of that information, and we can answer that question a little bit better at that time.

MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin, for the record. Thanks so much, Mark. I just want to build the record a little bit with a just a concern with the analogy that you gave about the moose, because as much as I'm aware of and know about moose is we really don't want to be taking -- like if we wouldn't want restrictions on just taking 60 inch bulls, because then the genetic makeup of our moose population would just be smaller and smaller. So just curious about how -- building on Charlie's question, not just the social impact of the big ramps, but is there genetic downfalls to the population if we are allowing solely full curl management, I guess is just -- and these are just questions I'm not a sheep person either. So, I'm just inquiring.

MR. NELSON: Yeah. Through the Chair to member Irwin. That's a great question. And it's something we do think about. It's important to remember that half the genetics of all these come from the mother's. And so that right there helps kind of mitigate that. It's also important to remember that whether a ewe is bred by an eight-year-old sheep or a seven-year-old sheep, those genetics are, are still being passed on, right. And that seven-year-old sheep will be an eight-year-old sheep next year. And so, there's so much variation there that we don't think that again, that's another assumption we're testing. We don't think that that actually causes problems. But we're taking genetics

on all these sheep we're collaring and on every sheep in the areas that are coming in to get sealed to test it for that exact question.

 MR. WOODRUFF: So, Mark, we had two huge rams end up practically downtown Eagle across the bluff, and they were there for a couple of years, and we didn't realize that some electrical workers that came to help us after our 209 flood, had the knowledge to go up there and kill them, since we were seeing them every day when we were checking our nets. So, Mark Gross -- I mean, Jeff Gross said that we could have sanctioned that area but, we didn't know that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: More questions or comments?

MR. NELSON: Okay. One last thing then. I would like to -- first off, I'd like to invite Clayton from the Yukon Flats up to sit with me here for a minute. And we'd like to just talk a little bit about the biology of moose in Unit 25D. We heard earlier there's a proposal on the table for closing the eastern section or the remainder. And I think it would be helpful to just have a little more data on the population in that area, as well as some issues that this might raise. So, I'll start first with the just the kind of biology of the area. I'll try to find my notes here.

Oh, good. And Mark Bertram is also on the line. Let me pull up my notes. So first off, these two areas are fairly different. They're similar in that they have very similar moose habitat types, and the moose habitat is actually quite good. There -- we know it's good because we look at things like how many calves these moose produce, they produce a lot of calves and they produce a lot of twins. We've done twinning surveys in that area, or at least Mark -- the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has in their area, which is pretty similar there in that they've had almost 60% of the cows producing twins instead of calves -- or yearlings or, I'm sorry, singles. When cows produce more twins, that's a sign that there's a ton of food on the landscape for them. And so, we're seeing that in these populations. We're seeing a lot of calves. However, we're also seeing a lot of predators. There's a ton of black bears in that country. In fact, when we did a survey in 25D West, we found more black bears than we did in moose. That's the highest black bear density in the State that we know of. And what's happening is these moose are producing a ton

of calves but, it's just really difficult for those calves to actually live, to become a yearling and then to become a two-year-old. Those bears are taking a lot of them, and that's just part of what's keeping this population held, kind of where it is. The -- so the population in both of these areas over the long term, over the last 20 years, has remained incredibly stable. It's been between about 0.2 and 0.5 moose per square mile in both of these areas. And this is again, because of this kind of situation we have with predators that it's just really holding these -- both of these populations right there. And there's been no change, almost no change in harvest in the -- either side. And until we see a change in harvest, we wouldn't really even expect to see a change in moose population.

Let's see. So, when looking harvestable surplus in both of these areas. So, in the western side where the closure currently exists, that area -- I've got notes written in too many places. That area is about 6352 square miles, and the harvestable surplus is 89 moose. And right now, in that area with the federal closure, we're usually taking around 40 moose, usually a bit less than that, 30 moose somewhere in there. In the east side or the remainder, that's about twice as big of an area. The density is similar but there's about 3000 moose in that area. And so, the harvestable surplus is about 145 moose in that area. Currently only like less than 50 moose are being taken each year. And so, the way it is right now, wide open, we're not even really taking very close to our harvestable surplus in that area. So, I guess I just kind of want to make sure that folk's kind of realize how many moose are available in both of those areas, and kind of where we are.

Now, a couple of things that I think are just important to bring up, and I urge a bit of caution here. We had a Yukon Flats Advisory Committee meeting last night, and I brought this idea, this proposal up, and they had not heard about it. They were quite surprised. And so, I think before it goes too far, I would strongly encourage the RAC to reach out to the Yukon Flats Advisory Committee and at least make sure everybody's on the same page, because this could have some major implications depending how this would be implemented within the area. If the remainder is to be —— it goes to be excluded for non-federally qualified, all the federal land in that area would only be available to be hunted by people from from communities in Unit 25

1 that are not in West. So, Fort Yukon, Chalkyitsik, Circle, Central and Arctic Village. The remainder -- you would not be able to hunt the remainder if you lived in Stevens, Birch Creek or Beaver the way the regulations 5 are written. One of the main issues I see with that is 6 -- oh, yeah. So, thank you, Brooke. Brooke, put this map on. You see, Birch Creek is right on the line. Birch 8 Creek is used to hunting. In both sides of that in the eastern and the western. I could envision an issue with 10 folks in Birch Creek. As soon as this goes into effect, they would not be able to hunt on federal land on the 11 12 east side of that line and because they live on the 13 line, half the people I'm guessing probably hunt over 14 that is one consideration. there. So, 15 consideration is that this area, the way it is now, 16 people who grew up in Fort Yukon or grew up in Chalkyitsik and, you know, started raising a family and 17 18 decided to move to Fairbanks to get a better job for 19 their family -- I get calls every year that they want 20 to go back and hunt their area. But if they're from 21 Beaver and they want to hunt near Beaver, they can't. 22 The federal lands are closed to them. And so, I envision 23 this potentially being another issue that would, if nothing else, the folks there all need to at least be 24 25 very aware of the implications of what this could do.

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

We have heard of a lot of testimony about a lot more non-locals coming to the area. I hear about it all the time on the telephone from folks as well. This is certainly an issue. I -- when folks are experiencing illegal activities and we've already talked about this a few times but, those illegal activities need to be recorded and reported to the law enforcement folks. That's the only way we can kind of battle this. The other thing I'd like to point out, just with land ownership in general, the western side is 70% like 75% federal. The eastern side is more like about 60% and all of the conflicts that we've heard about are based on the river, and the Porcupine and Yukon rivers are state land. The federal permit would not be -- would not even be valid in those areas where we're hearing about the conflicts. So, I guess what I'm kind of worried about is if this were to go into effect, the conflicts would still be there. People would still be able to drive a motorboat up the Yukon, up the up the Porcupine, and hunt just like they have been. And so, the conflicts are still there but, now people in Fort Yukon are going to have to have two different permits in their pocket, potentially one when they want to hunt on their own land, which would have to be some kind of state permit,

and one when they want to hunt on federal land. And this is -- this turns into a very complicated situation. And that's exactly what's happening right now in the western portion and the folks that have been doing it long enough, they're kind of starting to figure it out pretty good. But this is a huge, huge change for that area. So, with that, I would ask if maybe Mark Bertram or Clayton want to add anything to that?

MR. BERTRAM: Yeah, this is Mark. Can you

 hear me?

MR. NELSON: Yep.

MR. BERTRAM: Yeah. Not much to add to that. That was a really concise description that Mark presented to you. I think, you know, these are just considerations that the Board needs to make as they go forward. And, you know, I think if the proposal is —goes to the stage where it's going to be analyzed then I think a lot of these issues will be discussed at that time. And I'm sure that the Board and the Advisory Committee and others will probably weigh in prior to that to help inform that analysis, but I really don't have anything else to add to any other issues that I see. And so, I'll just let it go at that.

MR. LEPPING: And this is Mark Lepping from the Department of Fish and Game. For the record, I don't have anything to add either, Mark Nelson. So, thank you for presenting that.

 MR. WOODRUFF: So, Mark in Eagle and Downstream, we carry two permits in our pocket. It's not that confusing, you know. We realize that if we're going to hunt on federal land, it has to be above high water mark. You didn't mention that. So that would be helpful to put that out there. And if we're going to hunt the low water line or the islands, that is state land, it's not really that confusing. And we talk about this at our AC meetings. We talk about this at our federal subsistence meetings in Eagle. And most everybody understands that. So, it's not really that complicated. And in 25B most of that is fed -- is state land. There's a little bit of federal land along the river and so that's why we carry two permits. Thank you.

MS. IRWIN: Olivia Henaayee Irwin for the record. Thanks, Mark, for the additional information. I just want the record to reflect that this proposal came

out of public testimony from Mike Peter, second chief of Fort Yukon as well as Bruce Thomas from CATG Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments today mentioned support for it. We are in the preliminary stages of this proposal being created. So, it's the intention of this Council to ensure that we consult and go to meetings to ensure that the decision that's being made for this Unit is being made by this Unit. So, I just wanted the record to reflect that this Council -- it is the intention of this Council to take the recommendation of the residents in 25D remainder. With that yesterday, Mark, I spoke with you before I put this proposal forward, and I may have misunderstood you but, from what I understood, there's been no survey done in 25D remainder since 2015. And you gave me a thousand moose estimate yesterday. And then today you said the estimate is 3000 for the harvestable surplus of 145 moose. That's not even being close to being met. So, can you give me a little bit more information on where that 3000 population estimate came from? Thank you.

MR. NELSON: Yep. You bet. Through the Chair. Member Irwin. So, you actually talked to Mark Bertram. There's way too many Marks involved with this, and I fully understand that. So, what Mark gave you was our survey area. Our survey area is not the entire Unit. So, we have about 1000 moose we counted within that survey area. So, in order to calculate harvestable surplus for that you have to extrapolate that to the rest of that, what we'll call remainder the eastern portion or whatever, and that's where it goes to. It's about 3000 extrapolating that density estimate from our survey area to that whole area.

MS. IRWIN: Okay. Just a follow up really quick. So that still means that there hasn't -- there still hasn't been an actual survey since 2015. This is extrapolated data from...? Okay.

MR. NELSON: Correct. So yeah. And to address that, we have tried pretty hard the last couple of years to do that. It's a difficult place to get a survey done. Weather it has to be right, we have -- to do it right and to compare it to any other survey, we need to do it in November, basically late October, early November when there's almost no snow -- that right now, you know, we're having a hard time getting enough snow. We can do it with frost. Unfortunately, frost usually is brought about by fog, which makes flying pretty dangerous. So, we've just had a really hard time doing

that. However, looking at both of the surveys for both of the areas East and West, which are pretty similar in habitat types. There's been essentially no change outside that between 0.2 and like 0.4, 0.5 over the last 20 years. And so, there's no -- and with harvest being the exact, you know, has not changed as well. I have no concern. I'd be shocked if it was anything outside of that. So yes, we'll keep trying. But the reality is it's probably right in the middle there somewhere. Yep.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. Member Bassich here. I need a little bit of clarification on the map here. We have federal in the striped area and then state in the blue, and then in the yellow areas, which is designated as private lands, how has that impacted by - if this were to be enacted as far as federal or non - sorry, federally qualified only.

MR. NELSON: Through the Chair to member Bassich. So that -- those areas are checkerboarded with either private or native land holdings, Corporation land, anything like that. And those -- the only way you can hunt moose on there is some kind of state permit. This Board has no jurisdiction over those anything in yellow there. State. Yeah, a state permit. Yep.

MR. BASSICH: And for the record, I guess one of the things that I just like to point out, you know, you look at these maps and you look at all this land but, in reality, when it comes time to hunting, for the most part, other than those privileged enough to have aircraft, boat access is your only access. So those lands may look extensive, but all the hunting pressure is always concentrated along the rivers. And the bigger the river, the more pressure, obviously. One of the impacts that I spoke about at this Council for 20 years is the increasing technology and the ability to access remote lands. Now, there's virtually no place in Alaska that an animal population can hide anymore, whether it's in the summer or the winter. And just -- we always have to recognize that we don't really think about that. But for the vast majority of hunters, it's going to be boat access areas which in some ways is good in my view. I've always been a proponent of isolated populations not being impacted, you know, keeping that seed stock there. But I just wanted to put that on the record because those are really important factors when looking at management and hunting harvest pressures in an area. So, although an area may have a tremendous amount of moose, if every year is harvested extremely heavy along one

5

8

10

11 12

13

particular area of it, I think that's probably what's leading to some of the concerns of some of the testimony that we heard. There is that direct competition with people, heavy competition in that area. unfortunately, most of the time, federally qualified users do not have the income to own airplanes to go into less impacted areas or less competitive areas. So, I think that's kind of in my mind the foundation of where we have some of these conflicts and why some of these concerns are there for people who live more permanently in the areas. I just wanted to put that on the record. I'm not advocating for either way but, I think that is probably a really big reason why we have these concerns from people. Thank you.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

MS. BURK: Thank you. Through the Chair. This is Eva. Yeah, I'm really glad that Olivia brought up that this proposal came out of public testimony. And we heard again this morning from the Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments. So that was pretty powerful and kind of I guess validating our decision making about putting this forward. But I guess you mentioned a couple of things that I really want to look at the big picture of the way that we approach moose management. Coming from the Minto Flats area, which has an incredible amount of pressure, and now we have a much different moose situation. We -- our accounts are way down, and what we're experiencing in the past several years is for, you know, two out of, I think four years now, we've had rain on snow events which directly were cited by Fish and Game as a contributing factor to the decline of moose and the Minto Flats area, correct? So we still have those kind of conditions in this Yukon Flats area. So you couple that with this high amount of bears, I'm afraid that -- and then you on top of that put this increased amount of hunting pressure that people are seeing, which is probably directly related to two activities that are taking place that we're also hearing about, which would be the Hilcorp oil and gas exploration that is bringing significant newcomers to the area that are likely interested in Alaska's bountiful hunting, right. That's the story that they're fed.

43 44 45

46

47

48

49

50

And so, then the other part of that would be the airplane traffic that's in Central and Circle that the local residents are referencing is part of the issue. So, to me, I -- that's why I'm more inclined to be conservative and look at these type of closures. I really want to see the analysis. And I also

1 think looking at the landscape as it is now and understanding as somebody who's in state lands, myself in Nenana, and then I would want to point this question to Gerald a little bit, that if it seems like you would 5 know, you would already be probably getting a couple of 6 different permits because you would already have to have that state permit anyway. So, I'm just thinking that referencing Don's comment, I don't think the permitting 8 9 would become overly complicated. And I think it's really 10 wise to manage for conservation when we can see just pressure and layers of stuff happening. So, can you kind 11 12 of maybe address some of those concerns that I'm having? 13 Thanks.

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. NELSON: Through the Chair to Member Burk, I hadn't heard about the oil and gas creating increased pressure in that area, and yeah, that could make sense. I have no idea about that. I brought up the complexity of the permit because I hear from folks in that western portion that they have a hard time figuring out. They walk out their door, they got to use one permit. They walk a little further. They're on federal land. They use a different permit. They go a little further. They're on their private inholding or whatever. That's a different one. And they do struggle with that. And I've had to kind of walk through the maps with folks to try and get folks to understand exactly, you know, which permit is required when. The other thing that we did this year and I will point out that the Refuge has been awesome to work with. I work with them on this issue all the time. We put out a bunch of information to everybody who got TM 940, which is a Tier 2 permit for the West side. We had maps on there. We had links to federal maps. We had links to state maps. We really tried to elevate this issue of what permit is valid where. And I still had a bunch of phone calls from people who had those permits or the federal permit trying to understand which one they needed. So, I'm glad you're able to figure it out, Don. And I think a lot of people do but, there's still a lot of people who kind of struggle with that. And for us, the important thing is that when a moose is harvested, it's reported on one of those accurately and not on both. And that's another issue that we kind of struggle with when people are holding two permits for this kind of the same area. The -- what was -- did you have another question in there? I'm trying to remember what else I was going to address.

MS. BURK: Thanks. The predation because we've got the climate change with rain on -- rain on

snow and affects the calves. And then you've got the predation on top of that.

MR. NELSON: Yeah. Thanks. So, right now the predation is a concern but, it seems to be just holding that stable. The rain on snow events are problematic for anything I'm trying to dig through the snow to get food. Luckily, moose can eat a lot of that above the snow, you know, in the winter. Minto in particular, that rain on snow event was worse, I think, than anything they saw up in that country. The other thing going on with Minto is the flooding over the last few years. That really changed the habitat down there. But, yeah, if the -- if those rain on snow events occurred the same way up there where you have like a two-inch-thick layer where it's just so hard to walk through and you've got 3 or 4ft of snow on either side of it. Yeah. That's going to be a problem. But the good thing is the moose that are alive up there are fat and healthy, and they are set -- their at a very low density, and there's tons of food. And that really, really helps those moose get through those really hard winters. Moose that are managed for real high densities, like around here and in Minto, oftentimes the calves are smaller, the calves are lighter. The adults can even be smaller. And they struggle more with those types of events than moose that are big, burly, healthy, happy creatures.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  BERTRAM: This is Mark Bertram. Could I add a comment?

MR. NELSON: Please.

MR. BERTRAM: Yeah. I was just going to let people know that people that live on the Yukon Flats know this but, the area around Yukon Flats is very arid, very low precipitation compared with a lot of other areas in interior. And that means that we don't get as much snow up there during the winter as they do in a lot of other areas. Generally, the snow depth is around two feet or less. Very rarely does it get up to up to three feet. So that's another positive for getting around. Even when you have rain on snow events, the depth is —which is a bad thing because that will seal off the moose getting to their food. But on the positive side, they don't have to worry about starvation events due to lack of access to the food due to the snow depth. I just wanted to point that out.

2

5

MS. SHOCKLEY: Mark. Thank you. I appreciate that. This is Dorothy. You know, yesterday we heard that there was an increase of big boats going up river. And I assume, you know, with the permitting process, have you seen an increase in moose permits in that area? And, and you know, the record of harvests?

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

MR. NELSON: Through the Chair. Member Shockley. So, in the two different areas, first, I'll go to the east or the remainder section. I have not seen unreported numbers of hunters and reported harvest much changed. There's about 100 hunters a year that report hunting there. Sometimes it's 80, sometimes it's 100, sometimes it's 110 but, it stays somewhere around there. And the number of moose harvested again is pretty stable over that, which is 40, 45 somewhere in there. Looking at the western side, looking just at our TM940 permits, our tier two permits, we allow 75 of those a year. Typically, only about 40 or 30 or sometimes 50 of those get issued. Last year was the first year we issued all of them. So, there was absolutely an increase in interest hunting that Tier 2 permit in the Flat. When we saw that they were all issued, that's when we got together with the Refuge and really, like, put out as much outreach as we could to let people know what that -- where they could actually use that permit. Because I suspected a lot of folks were getting the permit thinking they could hunt anywhere in the Flats, and they did, because I talked to a lot of them. I emailed and called just about every single one of those permit holders and made sure they knew that state or federal lands, refuge lands, any of those federally owned lands were not eligible for their permit. And so, we did see an increase there. We'll find out early tomorrow morning, our draw on Tier 2 permits come out, how many people are actually awarded permits for this year, and I suspect it's going to be down, but that's a guess.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}$  . SHOCKLEY: Tell me again the number of permits that.....

41 42

MR. NELSON: 75.

43 44

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Rhonda Pitka, you online? Did you want to comment? If so, please do.

45 46 47

48

49

50

MS. PITKA: Yeah. You know, it is really alarming when you see the jump in the number of permits that come into the area, especially because -- is it 13 were issued to locals that -- that live in the area. Is

Is that -- is that right?

MR. NELSON: Through the Chair. Yes. That's correct. Last year, 13 of the 75 were issued to local folks.

MS. PTIKA: Yeah. So, that's a concern. You know, I didn't listen in to the discussion at your RAC yesterday for that particular proposal. I thought that they were just talking about the, you know, the closure review for 25D West, which, you know, we support the status quo of that closure. But we haven't seen the analysis for 25D remainder or any of the data for that. So that's a little bit more difficult. And I only say that because, you know, at the AC meeting yesterday, we didn't have anybody from Chalkyitsik or Circle or Birch Creek on the -- on the call. And Mike Peter wasn't there also, he had another engagement. We're going to be having another AC meeting on, I think, February 26th. No. Yeah, February 26th at 5:30 to look at some of the proposals. That -- it's a concern for the area because I don't think that anybody on EIRAC is from, you know, Chalkyitsik or Circle or Venetie, and it would be important to hear what they have to say about those particular closures, too.

Yeah, I'm concerned about expanding closures on federal land because it's just -- it's been shown to not only create regulatory confusion, which, you know, we all kind of know that, but it's also been shown to increase some of the hunting on the state lands. So that's a concern also. And it's a concern that I've heard throughout the State. I think if it's possible, we did a lot of work with the Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments on moose management in, in the region. But we haven't done any of those meetings recently, and I think maybe if we look at some of that data and some of those conversations, that might be important going forward. I do support retaining the closure for 25D West. I just haven't seen enough information on 25D remainder. Thanks.

 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Rhonda. And I've got a little question about predation and what the effects of lack of salmon is causing more predation. What do you think about that in that area?

MS. PITKA: Oh, no. No, it really is. We've had we've had larger numbers of bears and moose in our area especially, you know, in these smaller

communities like Beaver and Stevens Village. I've heard 1 it all over the Yukon, though, is that bears, and moose are moving closer and closer to town. Just yesterday we had a pack of wolves right across the river from Beaver. 5 And you know, it's a real concern to us because there 6 hasn't been enough fish for the bears to eat, much less the wolves. So, they're trying to live to and trying to 8 survive on whatever they can. And we're concerned that they're coming closer and closer to town. We've seen, 10 you know, out on the land like larger wolf packs. And I don't know if you guys have had presentations on this 11 but, they're talking about, like, these wolf packs 12 13 joining together and creating, like, these larger wolf 14 packs and I think that that's the case. We've seen so 15 much of that though. We've had bears and wolves at our 16 dump. You know, the bears are all in the summer, spring 17 and fall months. But in the winter, we've had a lot of 18 wolves around the area. They've been chasing moose right outside the village and that's really uncalled for. I 19 20 think you know, with the depressed numbers of salmon, 21 we're going to see more and more of that in our area. 22 And that's really unfortunate. And, you know, because 23 we live in a Refuge and we don't have like a concerted 24 predator control program, it causes issues. I know that 25 we've talked that one to death, I think, in a million 26 different ways, I think -- but, at the same time, I also 27 don't really support you know, when the State comes in 28 with their predator control program because that usually ends up on the news. There's always a picture of some 29 30 quy in a helicopter with a machine qun. It's not a good 31 situation for anyone, you know. I mean, wildlife management is so complex and I think in a lot of these 32 33 different arenas that we have regulatory control over, 34 we don't have a lot of the people in the room that need 35 to be in the room, that need to be hearing these 36 discussions, that need to be hearing the discussions 37 about waste and how their hunting practices affect local 38 people. That's a big deal, because, I mean, you know, 39 Alaska is a is a huge hunting and fishing place, and we 40 don't want to take that away from anybody. But at the 41 same time, when local people can't meet their needs, 42 it's a huge concern for us. Thank you.

43 44

45

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Rhonda. Mark, what do you think about predation in that area?

46 47 48

49

50

MR. NELSON: I'm so glad Rhonda piped in, because she answered that better than I could have. We don't really have any other data beyond what Rhonda just shared on that right now in that area. So, yep.

5

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I asked that question because there's a lot of people around -- along the rivers and in the villages that are to the point of no other means and the lack of salmon, that that was such a huge part of their diets. And now some past managements make me worry of we change the moose management in there, then we end up like Minto Flats and the people over there having a hard time eating. So, I just hate to see that happen to people now and in future generations. So, it's awful hard to get back something like that once you lose it. So, we need to be very careful going forward and to include the uncertainties of climate. That's a big one. I keep saying it and saying it every year and things keep happening and the climate comes and pounds on it again. So, we got to really be -- it's important going forward to try to add that and keep it in your mind when you're when you're going forward with your management strategies. Thank you. That's all I have. If anybody else has anything.

202122

23

24

2526

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Thank you. I'd just like to for the sake of time, if this proposal goes through, we'll be able to review it and have these discussions in the future. So, I think, quite frankly, we ought to move on the agenda. I really appreciate the discussion.

272829

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I appreciate that.

30 Thank you.

31 32

33

34

MR. WOODRUFF: Mark, since these proposals are coming up for review and analysis, I would really encourage you guys to help us get some data on the population. Try hard to get a survey.

39

40

41

MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. This is Dorothy. A question for Rhonda. In regards to the predators. I don't know if this is politically correct but, you know, in regards to local control of predators. I mean, is that something that we can consider or are communities considering that already?

46

47

48 49

50

MS. PITKA: Communities are already doing a lot of that work. What the problem is that the -- you know, there's no market for it or it's very -- it's a lot more difficult than it used to be for the trapping market to sell fur. It used to be -- this never used to be an issue. Back when we had a lot more trapping in our areas. When we had, you know, those robust networks where

we could sell fur. So that's definitely one of those systematic changes. But people in the area have been, you know, doing what they can. It's just that when you see those huge wolf packs that can wipe out moose in your area and it's a lot more difficult. I think those numbers have really risen. And we should probably, maybe study those a little bit more closely.

Yeah. I can only speak for the area around Beaver, really. That's all that I want to talk about right now. But you know, we're a really small community, and the land around us is, is pretty vast, but it's pretty sensitive to climate change and, and erosion. And we're seeing that more and more. I think it was somebody earlier said something about all these big boats coming up the river. And we've seen that a lot more these last couple of years. We've even had people stranded in my offices and that's been kind of rough and super awkward. Because I don't -- yeah. I don't know what the outreach would be or what that would look like, whether that would be the State or law enforcement or something. I don't know. But it's definitely something that local people could have more control over if there was a market. Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: This is Brooke, on behalf of the Chair who stepped away for a moment. He asked if we could take a five-minute recess and then move on to presentations from BLM. But thank you everyone for --thank you, Mark and Mark and Mark and Clayton and Rhonda for that discussion. That was great. So, five minutes. And then we'll move on to BLM presentations.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  WOODRUFF: Thank you, Rhonda Pitka, for helping us.

(Off record)

(On record)

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. Thank you for being here. Proceed, please.

MR. TAYLOR: Yes. Thank you very much. Thank you to the Council for your service and also appreciate the time to present. I'm gonna try and keep this quick. But I wanted to let you know about a project that the Bureau of Land Management is undertaking, and my name is Steve Talyor. I'm with the Central Yukon Field Office, Bureau of Land Management. Responding to

public requests, we have initiated a project to place some signage at the Yukon River boat launch that -- with the goal of helping inform river users not just hunters but, everyone that's on the river of land status along the river. Public and private and with a goal to remind folks to pre-plan on their trips and try and use good ethics when they're out on the land.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

5

6

As we kind of internally started developing some of the drafts of these signs, we spent a lot of time discussing the merits of how we wanted to convey that message. And had some early feedback with Fish and Game and some very positive, good feedback from them. And we're trying to be not preachy but, try and keep the message positive and thoughtful and make the most out of the 2 or 3 seconds of attention that we're going to get from people at the river. So that's kind of our intent. And what we're proposing at this point is a display of three panels. One panel will talk about traditional connections along the river and uses of the river. The second panel will discuss just general respect for the land and a few prompting questions, trying to get folks to think about why they're out there and provide contact information for the various public land managers along the river. And then the third panel will be a map, probably the most important of the three panels. And that map will depict the various public land managers along the river, as well as private lands along the river. And the way we've kind of proposed it at this point is differentiating the different land managers by color and then having the rest of the land allotments, corporation land and any other private land, depicted with a white color that signifies private land. So, what we've sent out to a number of different Councils, and corporations, and agencies, and nonprofits and Advisory Councils our draft materials and they are very -- or they are simplified, especially the map. Just because it was difficult to print out a map that's supposed to be two feet by six feet long and have that in a paper form that comes out to everybody. So, understand that what we've presented is not exactly what it's going to look like, but we're trying to get the point across. And we're looking for feedback. We want to know what folks think about it. What we're missing. Some of the things in particular that we're interested in are if you have photos that you feel would represent uses and lifestyles along the river that would be appropriate to include in the displays. Are there place names, traditional place names that were missing on the map. We would like to include traditional place names.

I've worked with our archaeologist and, and we've come up with ones that we're aware of, but I'm sure that there's others out there that that we don't know. So, if there are place names that you feel would be appropriate to include, we'd like to hear about that. And then just general thoughts on what we've presented and how it could be improved.

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

5 6

> I want to also say that I have heard --I've gotten some feedback already to date regarding the extent of our map. And this is another thing that we've spent a lot of time discussing how far to go upriver and down river from the Yukon River or from the bridge and it's a matter of scale and detail. So, the larger the extent that we show, the less detail we show on the map. So right now, we have an extent that's depicted from upstream Beaver down to Tanana. And I realize that's not the entire length of the river. But we felt that initially that was the greatest extent that we could display and still kind of communicate some of the land ownership along the river. But there's -- we've also considered potentially separating the map into two different panels, one panel for downstream and one panel for upstream. And in doing that, we might be able to actually extend that reach further in each direction. So, we're still in the stage of soliciting feedback. Our goal would be to have feedback by the end of March. And I'm not here today to ask for necessarily feedback from the Council as a group. But individually and if you have connections, other people that you know that might be interested in providing feedback to let them know to contact us. I'm happy to sit down and set up a meeting and talk or talk over the phone, however that works. But ideally, we'd have these signs in place prior to next fall. So, I think I've covered most of everything I wanted to, and if there's any questions, I'd be happy to entertain them.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: We thank you and appreciate you, this as needed and be. It'd be nice if it went all the way down. You know, it's -- I've had guides camping in my cabin and put their tent on my frame, paddling in my canoe. And, you know, it's terrible the way they move in. Yeah. So, it's really important and really needed thing. And we appreciate you for doing that.

46 47 48

49

50

MR. TAYLOR: And I think one other thing I'd like to suggest too, is maybe as a longer term vision having a digital map that can be downloaded to a phone

that is geo referenced that people can use along the way. I know there's a lot of map servers out there that, you know, Onyx and different apps that show land status, but they're not always necessarily accurate. So, it's a -- there's other options for the future but, this is an initial start at least to get people thinking about it.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

5

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much for your presentation today. Any questions? Any driving questions for you all today? We're trying to save time. So, you're getting off easy questions. Do you have any questions. We can contact these guys personally and ask them questions. That'll be really nice if you guys do have questions. Thank you.

141516

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you for your time.

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

**HERRIGES:** Chair, MR. Mr. Ι'm Herriges. I'm a wildlife biologist with the Eastern Interior Field Office, and I'll try and be very brief. Just to mention a few land use activities occurring in the Eastern Interior. We're doing an environmental assessment for a tour company that's proposing helicopter tours with a brief landing in one specific area of the White Mountains as well as also increasing some of their already occurring ATV and snowmachine trail -- tours. And BLM is also doing an environmental analysis on some of our own stream restoration work in Wade Creek. And there will be this summer construction -- BFIO is doing some construction on the Wickersham Dome Trailhead. Increasing the size of that on the Elliott Highway and also doing some road reconstruction all along the Nome Creek Road. And so, then to shift into caribou we have continued to cooperate and help fund ADF&G efforts and monitoring. And next month hopefully ADF&G will get -- attempt to get eight radio callers out on White Mountains caribou, which is a herd that we haven't talked about much lately since the Fortymile Herd just kind of showed up and took over. But it was a herd that was, you know, once estimated at over a thousand caribou and hasn't been monitored in years because of the problem of putting collars on caribou and you don't know which herd they belong to. So, we have a window of opportunity right now, and that'll be nice if we can make that happen. And related to that in some ways is a paper that we just recently published that showed that the White Mountains Herd is actually genetically distinct from eight other herds in the eastern Alaska and west or and western Yukon. And it's kind of a big mystery given that those herds have long

commingled that -- and commingled during the rut period 1 at times how that -- those herds have remained genetically distinct. But it's a very interesting conundrum. And then another caribou related thing is BLM 5 is proposing to change fire management options in the 6 Steece Conservation Area and parts of the White Mountains area to try and protect some lichen-rich 8 caribou habitats. And that may also involve some changes 9 to fire management zones in the adjacent Yukon Flats and 10 state lands to kind of help with the manageability of large-scale fires. The caribou range is one of the 11 12 special values that Congress listed in ANILCA when it designated the Steece, and we know that fire readily 13 14 destroys lichen. It can take 60 years to grow back and so, this proposal is -- and so this proposal also 15 recognizes that about 400,000 acres of this Steece 16 17 Conservation Area, or about 31% has burned since the 18 year 2000. And so, this is an attempt at least. And most 19 of the lands currently are in limited suppression 20 status, meaning that basically it's a let burn thing except for protecting values at risk. And this would 21 22 propose to put it in modified protection, which 23 basically tries to suppress all fires that start before 24 July 10th. And after that the fire weather is typically 25 moderated somewhat. And I provided you with a handout 26 of the Alaska Fire Science Consortium Roadmap that 27 basically is discussing, kind of the lichen caribou relationship and some of the trends in caribou -- in 28 29 lichen the State which includes a general trend towards 30 greater shrub growth and tundra habitats and at the expense of lichen cover. And with that, I'm going to 31 32 call that good and answer any questions if you have any.

33 34

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. Any

35 driving questions?

36 37

(No response)

38 39

Thank you.

40

MR. HERRIGES: Great, thanks.

42 43

(Pause)

44 45

46 47

48

MS. IRWIN: Through the Chair. Sorry. Really quick. Brooke is this type of propose change anything that we have any intention on commenting on, or have an option to the fire management option to change proposal that Jim was just referring to?

49 50

50

1 MS. MCDAVID: I'm sorry. I was busy organizing over here. If you could give me a little more info, or is it in the -- is it in the handout? 5 MS. IRWIN: Never mind. It's not. I guess 6 it's not important. I'll ask Jim off record. 7 8 MS. MCDAVID: Sorry about that. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: If there's driving 11 12 questions, please ask them. We just don't want to go off 13 into the weeds, that's all. If you got a question, that's 14 why I ask to ask it. But let's just make them quick. 15 Okay. Go ahead. 16 17 MR. BASSICH: I just wanted to make a 18 quick comment. I'm really glad to see that finally there -- there's been quite a bit of studies on the lichen. I 19 can remember 2004, 2005, and it was mostly related to 20 the Fortymile Caribou coalition. But we brought up a lot 21 22 of concerns about those fires back then and the long-23 term impacts of caribou, and I'm certain it will be in the discussion this next coming week in the Fortymile 24 25 coalition but, we were asking for more research and more 26 answers. So, I really appreciate that some of that work has been done and that it's getting disseminated out to 27 28 us now. It's really helpful. Going to be really helpful 29 with caribou. Thank you. 30 31 MR. WOODRUFF: I just one thing I'd like 32 to get on the record is that after a burn from 1 to 10 33 years, there's 11b of lichen per acre, and 100 years 34 there's 210lb of lichen per acre. Thank you. Don 35 Woodruff. 36 37 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Don. Any 38 more comments? 39 40 (No response) 41 42 Going forward, U.S. Fish and Wildlife 43 Service. Yukon River salmon management and response to 44 EIRAC letter, Holly Carroll. EIRAC letter is on tab 4. 45 46 MS. CARROLL: Mr. Chair, this is Holly 47 Carroll. I can speak to that real quick. And then you 48 could go into your Yukon summary, or we can go the other

way. We got Keith and Shane there to give just a general

update about the season, whichever you want to hit first.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Keith said he's got the floor first. Thank you.

3 4 5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. HERRON: Thank you, Holly. Good afternoon, Council. For those of you that don't know me, my name is Keith Herron. I'm born and raised in Alaska. Originally from Bethel but, grew up in Wrangell and Seward and Kenai. Now I currently live here in Fairbanks. I work for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. I'm the assistant fishery manager on the Yukon and also the tribal liaison for fisheries on the Yukon. So, I would like to first say, at your fall meeting, we gave you the season summaries from 2024. And if you want to reference those, we provided the ADF&G summer and fall season summaries, the official reports, and then also our report of the 2024 season to the Federal Subsistence Board and those are in your meeting materials. I won't go into those details to save us some time, but feel free to ask questions on the side or anytime reach out to us. For the 2025 season, we are in spring, so it's good to -- even though there's no official forecast yet I just want to kind of prepare people on what could be expected. So, salmon fishing opportunity and closures are likely going to be like 2024 again, where we expect complete closures on the chinook salmon, summer chum. Selective fishing is likely, but we need to really avoid the incidental harvest of chinook and fall chum and coho because those are of concern. Fall chum and coho closures are expected also throughout the year and then also this coming year, the 2025 chum salmon runs, the parent years of this coming run are 2020 for the five-year-olds and 2021 for the four-year-olds. So that is our first -like that's when the chum declines. So, we're going to see the returns of those first parent years when we had closures and so with that we've heard growing concerns, especially from this Council, about the use of four-inch gillnets and the incidental harvest of salmon. Holly will kind of cover that more in her response letter but, we really appreciate all your feedback and the knowledge you do bring to us that help inform management. Also, I believe it was yesterday, Eva maybe recommended some things she said to the Yukon River panel about management recommendations. We would love to also hear those. I haven't seen those myself so, please reach out to us too and see how we could help maybe implement some of your suggestions. I really believe in a fisheries management system, we've heard about systematic changes that need to be placed to withstand these the climate change, the declines, the rebuilds. We need a system that's rooted

in the people on the river and within their indigenous 1 knowledge and their local knowledge. So, I'm all for that and this Council is made up of many people I look up to and really enjoy listening to. But -- and then the 5 second thing I mentioned, I'm the tribal liaison and 6 help conduct tribal consultations. This season we're going to do tribal consultations upon request. So please 8 reach out. I'll be sending emails to all the tribal governments and corporations about those. So, we look 10 forward to that and then we also look forward to working more with the Yukon River Fish Commission, and hopefully 11 12 in the future, can get a working agreement in place to 13 make that more official. With that, I'll hand it over 14 to Shane to introduce himself and talk about our 15 research.

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

MR. RANSBURRY: Thanks, Keith. So, I'm Shane Ransburry. I'm a fishery assessment biologist for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and I'm here in Fairbanks. And for the coming season, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will be removing the Andreafsky weir camp, but the T'ee Drinjik Sonar will operate like normal for fall season. The Ichthyophonus project finished fieldwork last year, and we'll be sharing project results in fall of 2025. And then we have some new projects or new research projects for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for 2025, and those include testing a trace elements approach as a means to differentiate chum salmon from the coastal Western Alaska Reporting Group, which currently lumps all ocean caught chum from Norton Sound, Yukon summer stock and Kuskokwim, and the Trace Elements project is a collaboration with the University of Calgary and Sitka Sound Science Center. And at the same time, Fish and Game, Alaska Fish and Game, their genetic lab is going to do a big study where they will completely genotype chum salmon. So hopefully one or both of these methods will work for differentiating the coastal Western Alaska Reporting Group, and we will be able to create a method to find out exactly how many chum salmon caught in the ocean were heading for the Yukon. And we're kind of -- you know, it's two different projects, but we are trying to work together to see if we can expand, you know, where we're getting samples from and things and help each other out as much as we can. So, we'll develop more on that as we come into spring.

46 47 48

49

50

We also have a Yukon Delta Environmental Conditions study in collaboration with YDFDA and NMFS, which will hopefully allow us to better understand how

juvenile and adult salmon are using the delta. It should also allow us to improve the juvenile outmigration study that the YDFDA and NMFS conducts every year. The new environmental study will include monitoring buoys that will also output data to a public mobile app developed by the Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission and Alaska Ocean Observing System. So, folks trying to go subsistence fishing or hunting in the area will be able to pull up a real time wind and wave information on their cell phone for wherever the buoys are without having to spend their gas to go out there to find out that the weather is bad so it should be good for marginal days. The buoy placement is still to be determined as we apply for permits, but hopefully there will be in some combination of north mouth, middle mouth, south mouth, and the ocean, so.

Then we also have a sonar feasibility project for the mainstem Koyukuk which will start us down the path of determining whether a single project could assess all or assess all chinook and chum salmon returning to the Koyukuk. For 2025, no fish would be touched. We're just investigating the lower Koyukuk bathymetry, potential camp locations, and how close fish swim to the banks and surface. We began outreach for this project last fall, and in the coming months we are meeting with TCC and (indiscernible) Corporation again about the project, and I'm also working on getting some information out on the project in like a pamphlet to distribute to Huslia, Galena and Koyukuk. And the feasibility project will take more than one year. And we want to make sure that there's a lot of community input into the future years of the project. So, we have time to consider traditional knowledge and concerns folks may have. We also want time to identify local partners, because ultimately, if something like this, you know, did go down the lines and we have a Koyukuk mainstem project, we want there to be a lot of local staff on the project. And currently we only have funding for the feasibility for 2025, so we'd have to put out for soft funding in the future. And I just talked to Diloola about that last week, and she had some good ideas. So, we'll see.

And then next we have a request for funding out to R&E to investigate bank orientation of chinook salmon near the Tanana River confluence, in collaboration with Brian McKenna and Mr. Wright. We know from traditional knowledge and other sources that chinook bank orient toward the South Bank when

approaching the Tanana. If they're bound for the Tanana. So, we want to get a number on how strong that orientation is. If nearly all the Tanana bound fish are in the South Bank, and all the other fish going into Canada and everything else are on the North Bank. It might make a future sonar counting — mainstem Yukon for a sonar counting mainstem tana fish easier to operate. And that kind of gets to what you guys were talking about earlier, where Brian Lepping was saying it's like \$400,000 for a sonar. So, the easier we can run it, hopefully it would also be cheaper.

And this study would look at the site near the Tanana confluence that TCC identified in their ongoing mainstem sonar feasibility project. And then finally, we're also collaborating with UAF on a study, remote sensing fall chum habitat to hopefully make it easier to notice when spawning habitat deteriorates. This will focus on a -- on monitoring wintertime upwelling and will involve a combination of aerial flights and satellite imagery, which is kind of nice because if a tool gets developed this way, satellite imagery in some areas goes back decades. So, we can also look back in time. And fall chum cover a huge area. So, it's -- currently it's fairly difficult to monitor. So that's the end of our update. Thank you.

MR. HERRON: Maybe if there's any questions on those two pieces. And then we'll turn it over to Holly for her report.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie. This is Don Woodruff. In reviewing your handout that we have in our book here, in the Advisory Announcements. You guys are bunching the -- all the chum together and quoting that there's a relationship between the summer and fall chum. Right now, there is no relationship as far as I can see. I mean, we have a lot of summer chum and zero fall chum so there is no relationship. And when you put that in a graph or you show that to the people, it's a false presentation. And I'd like you to keep them separate and all the graphs and data that you give us to survey. Because when you group them together like that, it makes it look a whole lot better and that's not the way it is. The harvest were 3000 fall chum, that's a fourth of the escapement in Eagle. That's pitiful, you know. And in order to stop that, we have to stop the harvest when there's any fall chum in the river. Every egg counts. So, to say oh, we're going to let people harvest summer chum while there's fall chum in the river

2

is unconscionable. You guys are the problem. Thank you.

MS. CARROLL: Yeah. Mr. Chair. This is 4 Holly Carroll. I can respond to that. If that works.

5 6

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead. Please do.

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. CARROLL: Yeah. This is Holly Carroll federal in-season manager, and yeah. So, thanks, Don, for bringing that up. The document that you're referring to is a letter I wrote in response to the letter from the Eastern Interior RAC. And you guys had a lot of great suggestions about kind of how to handle this conservation issue of fall chum. I don't know if all of the participants there got to see that letter. I hope you did. We emailed it. Brooke is going to forward it to the other RACs as well. And then Keith brought some printed copies for you guys. I take to heart what you're saying, Don. So yeah, your point is that the abundance we show on the blue and orange graph on, I believe it's page four of the document doesn't kind of show that relative abundance of the total fall chum run to any kind of harvest and that's true. What we're trying to do with this chart is to show that that somewhat discreteness between the runs. So, at the early part of the season, we have summer chum coming in, then they start to become fall chum. And what I was trying to show is that -- and it doesn't always occur this way but, in recent seasons we have seen a really large, relatively large number of summer chums come in late, and the front end of the fall chum run is, you know, a very small fraction of those fish. And so, yeah, we've been trying to balance allowing harvest of summer chum with that closure of the of the fall chum before the fall chum get there. I think one of the points that I've taken from just the general feedback in your letter is that we could move those closure dates sooner so that there's no fishing extending into the fall season. I will say that we won't ever truly have the genetic information in season sort of soon enough to know exactly how many fall chum are in the river. We have pretty good inseason assessments, but it is lagged by a few days. So, you know, we do have to take a cautious approach, and I get what you're saying about, you know -- he's referencing there's -- in the letter I share some harvest numbers there that there was just over 3000 fall chum taken in the whole drainage. And yes, that's a large portion of the Canadian passage. I didn't really cover the total run size of fall chum. We didn't meet the U.S. goals for fall chum. So, we didn't meet those escapement

goals and so, yeah, it's in theory, we have fall chum 1 fishing closed all season. There should be no legal retention of fall chum. That's what we're trying to achieve. But I think one of the main things I bring up 5 in there, which is another one of your really great suggestions that I'm hoping we can refine the feedback 6 on, and that is where I think that harvest is likely 8 occurring, is not so much in these summer chum dip 9 netting but, I think that that harvest is likely 10 occurring as incidental harvest in four-inch gear and you also make some recommendations about that. We have 11 12 no real way to tease that out for sure. So yes, it could 13 be that some chum are happening, some fall chums are 14 being harvested in the summer chum dipnet fishery. So, we can do a couple things. We can take a more 15 16 conservative approach and close that summer dip netting 17 right at the turn of the fall season, or sooner if we 18 got indication from the mouth or even out in the coastal 19 districts that people are seeing those fall chum come 20 in. We could close sooner. So those are things we'll 21 consider. As far as you know, not allowing dipnet on 22 summer chum. That's going to be a real tough balance, 23 right. We have so many people benefiting from the 32,000 fish that were harvested in that fishery. And those 24 25 harvests actually went all the way up through district four and five. You know, we really are seeing areas of 26 27 the river who don't normally take summer chum relying a 28 little bit more on those fish. At least that's what I 29 was gleaning from the harvest data. So, you know, I 30 think one thing I would like to hear more from this RAC 31 and I guess that's also why I forwarded your letter to 32 those other RACs is that, you know, this is essentially 33 preseason. We want to get feedback for both the state 34 and the federal managers as we make our strategy on what 35 changes should be -- would -- should we be looking to 36 do next season. If our fall chum runs and our summer 37 chum runs are weaker, then obviously we're not going to 38 allow as much dip netting anyway. But I also think that 39 it might be time to start limiting those four-inch gear 40 a little further. Last year we started new, more -- kind 41 of more restrictive measures for the four inch non salmon 42 gear. In summer season, we had a two-week closure to 43 protect chinook. Some people think that should be longer. I'd like to hear feedback on that. The other 44 45 thing is that in fall season, because they're not so 46 pulsey [sic] and we couldn't really find a way to just 47 protect certain groups of fall chums. So instead, we 48 went to the weekend-only window schedule throughout all 49 fall and we think that did probably reduce the harvest 50 of fall chum. But I've also heard some suggestions that

we need to reduce the fall four-inch gear even further. So, any kind of feedback on changes to the four-inch management actions that we could do would be helpful.

4 5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

1

Yeah, because getting that number to zero is the ideal for fall chum, right. Just like the chinook, we want that number to be zero as well. But yeah, there is a trade off because that is -- I think a lot of it is being legally harvested as incidental catch in those four-inch. But like I said, don't have a way to be sure about that. And in the interest of just finishing out my report, knowing there might be some more comments, feedback or questions. I just wanted to touch on a couple aspects of the letter. So, the other thing that was mentioned in the letter was, you know, working with the Fish Commission and, and I absolutely would welcome a lot more in-season and preseason engagement with the Fish Commission. I think they had a meeting in January that we weren't at, and I know they're working on some processes but, when Keith and I presented at the last preseason meeting, you know, we talked a lot about what would in-season co-management look like. And, you know, for us, it would be really neat to be able to share the data and discuss the data, like what does it mean and what does it not mean? So, Don for instance, your feedback on this chart, you know, is this chart not meaningful? What does it mean to you? What kind of data would you want to see instead? Those kinds of in-season discussions where we're sharing the data in real time as we get it. Those are pretty essential for comanagement. They kind of put us all on the same footing of understanding what our projections mean, and don't mean what information we have and don't have. So, you know, if we can get a process where the Fish Commission or some subset like the E-board of the Fish Commission could start to have regular meetings with us or a preseason meeting at the very least, that would sure be helpful. And then we're getting a lot of what I want to call regional feedback, right. So, we're getting really great ideas, you know, especially from you guys are being really proactive on, hey, you know, you should do this or that with the four-inch gear. We need to take a more conservative approach. That kind of feedback is really clear and really helpful. But if it only goes to the federal manager or it doesn't get brought up in a meeting with lower river folks there, it's kind of hard for the managers to then work out those compromise strategies. It would be great to have the, you know, that full breadth of the users in the conversation. And so that's kind of why I'm also reaching out to the YKRAC and the

Western Interior to see if they'll also weigh in on these great four-inch topics and you know when to -- any feedback on the dip netting for summer chum, for instance. So yeah, that's my intent is to be more inclusive and get those conversations going as much as we can.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

5

And then the third thing I just I briefly touch on in the letter is that I think there was a comment about, you know, the upriver people rely on the fall term. And so, you know, could we leave those for the upriver people? And it's just important that you guys understand from a regulatory standpoint, the managers cannot allocate use. We cannot say you folks get to have these fish and you folks don't. We can't do that. That's only something a regulatory body like the FSB or the Board of Fish could do so. Yeah. If you could help, you know, come up with some suggested management action suggestions that we can implement because we can do time, we can do area and we can do gear. You know, that'd be super helpful. But then you also had some comments about the way that people are operating their non-salmon four-inch gear and how, you know, we need to do a better job with outreach. And boy, you're not wrong. And when we're writing these legal documents, it's really hard for us to give enough kind of description of what people should and shouldn't be doing in there. We have to make it real legal, real enforceable, real brief. And so, yeah, if there's a subset of you that wants to help create some kind of local knowledge outreach materials, I think that would be phenomenal. And/or if you guys think that the regulations are unclear about non-salmon gillnet use, then then maybe like, work with us directly. Give us a call and let's see if there's a proposal you want written for this AYK Board of Fish cycle that's coming up by April 10th. Because you know, we could help write that if we understand what change you would want to see. So, that kind of covers the main highlights of that letter, I hope I've touched on most of the things. And yeah, again, I'm just really grateful that you gave us direct feedback. You know, we're not getting as many of these sorts of preseason forums, and we hear a lot that people are very frustrated with bycatch, and that's the issue we got to solve. But I like to remind people that in-river, we're not done with the tough management stuff. You know, we're still taking stuff away from people, you know, restricting four-inch, restricting fishing is still a major hardship that a lot of people are really struggling with. And so, these really tough management decisions to shorten windows or

1 to put more restrictions in place, you know, there needs to be really kind of robust public discussion about that for us to kind of take more stuff away. But that's -- I think that's what we're facing. I think we're down to 5 so few numbers of fall chum, like you're saying, Don in chinook, that we might have to start taking away some of that non-salmon fishing, but, we don't know how much people are relying on that to feed their families. And if that's the last thing they have left, you know some 10 people don't want to lose that or for instance, the summer chum. You know, if we're going to take away that 11 dip netting for summer chum in any way, we got to be 12 13 real methodical about it. So just kind of throwing that 14 out there that these kinds of discussions are super 15 welcome right now. And they really help (indiscernible) 16 and her team and me and my team create a management 17 strategy that the river can embrace. So, thanks.

18 19

20

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yeah. We have a whole line first, her, Andy, you. Yeah. Go ahead. Go ahead. Okay.

21 22 23

24

25

26

27 28

29

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Holly, for that short response. I'm going to stand with what I had to say and if it's hard or tough for you guys, so be it. But it's really tough for us to go hungry. We haven't fished in four years and if you have to take some time away from four-inch mesh, so what? If you have to take time away from man fish wheels, do the whole shebang. Thank you.

30 31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. RANSBURRY: This is Shane Ransbury U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Yeah. Just to add some context to the summer fall relationship in particular before we get too far along in the discussion. So, fall chum return well into summer season and the -- that July 16th date that's the traditional date to switch into fall is a little bit arbitrary. So, I mean, just something to keep in mind. It doesn't mean like we can't go back to the 16th date. It's just that there are fall genetic chum salmon going through in summer season as well, just a very small portion of the run. And over the last three years, we've used genetics primarily to make that date on when we switch into fall season management. Because we really start seeing big time numbers of fall genetics in August. At least for the last three years. Now, that's not saying that it will always be that way. But it's kind of in a transition fall management away from this arbitrary July 16th date toward a genetics based-date. So that's kind of where that date comes from.

1 If we're talking about, you know, like last year when we fished ten days of, you know, summer management essentially, and policies and that's kind of where that came from. The other thing is that the fall -- the summer 5 fall relationship still works fairly well because the 6 fall season or the fall run is broken into kind of three components that are more or less historically were equal parts which is like the border U.S., the Canadian 8 component and the Tanana component and it's true that 10 in the last, like since the crash, essentially Canada mainstem has done terribly. But we can also account for 11 12 that because we know roughly how bad it is compared to 13 everything else. And it's fairly consistent over the 14 last 4 or 5 years.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

And then, you know, the fishing branch is a little bit better. It's still missing goals and things like this but, we can still use the relationship and understand that we expect the Canadian component to be terrible and kind of like adjust that relationship. And this past year in particular we had additional help because the fall season for the fall chum are mainly age four, and the summer chum are kind of -- are more of a mix of age four and age five. And so, when the summers came in, we saw that the age four component was really poor. And so, we knew that the fall chum component was going to be especially poor and it led to more conservative management from our point of view. Understanding that we still allowed summer management for a few days into fall season because of, you know, the -- what we'd expect to see in the genetics coming through Pilot Station. So anyway, that's not to take away from, you know, any proposed management activities you guys have but, just to, you know, broader context of why that kind of thing happened, so.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45 MR. HERRON: If I can add one more thing here, I understand we want to -- you guys are saying every fish matters so why would we put it that -- if you look at the close, we did cut it very close to the major pulse of fall chum. So, I understand, I think what you guys are saying is be more cautious. And that date always changes every single year. It's never static, it's never the same. So, we could air on the side of caution to protect more fall chum is what I believe, Don is saying and that we cut it way too close last season.

46 47 48

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  WOODRUFF: Every egg counts. Not every fish counts. Yeah.

49 50 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Keith. I had to put on record who you were.

2

1

4 MS. BURK: Thank you. Through the Chair. 5 This is Eva. I will just personally say that I posed 6 this question before, and I want to pose it again. Are we rebuilding or are we fishing? And I think that 8 conversation needs to be had in these upcoming preseason 9 meetings that we do have. So, the Fish Commission 10 intentionally scheduled its meeting right after YRDFA. So those last two weeks of April, that first day of May, 11 12 we will be planning to gather, and I think that is what 13 we really want to address is how should we manage? Now, 14 in saying this, like if I was to do it and I'm thinking 15 about what's going on with fall chum, I'm thinking about 16 what's going on with chinook, and I'm thinking about how critical we are right now, and I really want to listen 17 18 to people on the river. And I think it's also important 19 to be able to have a fishing opportunity for summer 20 chum, if we can. The things that are non-lethal would 21 be the dip nets and the manned fish wheels, right. And 22 so, I think it's important to balance that. I'm at the 23 mindset. I'm, I'm like, who invented the four-inch? Who 24 said, let's put a four-inch on the Yukon, because I 25 don't think I've ever heard anyone from our group say 26 that. I think that was something that the managers put 27 forth. Unless somebody -- you guys can correct me if I'm 28 wrong, you heard that from somebody. I would encourage 29 us to look at the Kuskokwim, because it's my 30 understanding that what they went back and forth with 31 this 4 inch to 6 inch, and then they eventually were 32 like, we're only ever going to use a 6 inch on the 33 Kuskokwim. And so, Charlie's probably going to talk at 34 some point about his test fishery with the four-inch. 35 But you guys all -- have also heard that a bunch of 36 times. So, I guess if it was me, I would like to 37 encourage our people and I'm going to bring this forward 38 and I'll take all the beating for it. I really want to 39 worry about our kids and our grandkids at this point, 40 and if that means we have to stop fishing for a year or 41 two all the way. We might really want to think about 42 that. And I think if we want to fish for those 43 opportunities, that we should really limit ourselves to non-lethal gear. And if we do need to get whitefish 44 45 right. This is a non-salmon species. You can do pole 46 fishing in any tributary in any time for pike, sheefish, 47 right. And if you want to target whitefish to make it 48 worth your gas money and your time, you do it in the 49 spring and you do it in the fall, right in the main 50 stem. And I think we all know that as local fishermen,

I saw that was discussed at YRDFA, it was quite clearly 1 put, when people get their whitefish, it was in the fall with the six-inch net in the main stem. So, I guess that's where I personally sit on this and I want to let 5 you guys know in response to the Fish Commission, we've 6 got Executive Council meetings planned. We are getting our ducks in a row, and then we want to extend an invite 8 for you all to come join our Executive Council meeting 9 so we can just start to discuss stuff and then because 10 we do need to work with all of our fish commissioners and the YRDFA, I think it's important for us to have 11 12 these discussions at YRDFA and at the Fish Commission in April. Unfortunately, that's after the April 10th 13 14 date for proposals. So, some of us ACs might have to put 15 our neck on the line and put things out and say, hey, we put this out there and it's all for full discussion 16 17 so, please shoot us down if you want to. But we may have 18 to take those steps just so we don't miss the deadline. 19 And we are in the middle and upper rivers protecting the 20 resource. And also thinking about the people who are 21 like, without stores, without money like that, really 22 need the opportunity to get a few pinks, to get a few 23 summer chum. Like, it's a lot to balance, but that's where I would start to think about the non-lethal gear 24 25 and who even brought up the four inch. And I know Ronda's 26 like, I don't want to hear about these four-inch. So, I 27 apologize, Ronda but, I -- and I do think it keeps coming up over and over again. And it's like a dirty little 28 29 rash. You got to take care of it. It's just going to get 30 bigger. Like...

31 32 33

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Holly, online. You have some more to say?} \\$ 

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. CARROLL: Yeah. Thanks. Through the Chair. Eva, those are great comments about the fourinch. Yeah. That that is definitely, you know, yes. Fishermen would prefer to use 5 or 6 inch for their whitefish. Every one of them will tell us that. We as managers, probably kind of limited it down to four as a way to provide something but avoid most salmon. So yeah, I think you're right. That is a construct of management. But what concerns me is that you have a great suggestion that says people will get their whitefish in fall. Okay, I know so many traditional fishermen who will say yes except when they want those is while we still have it and need to have it closed for gillnet. So, if we let them use six-inch gillnets, when those whitefish, the big whitefish are running out in fall, that would still overlap with our really necessary fall chum closures

that in the main stem in some places we've got in place 1 to late October. So truly, if you do bring that up for discussion, you might want to be very clear about what you mean by fall, because we've got the main stem closed 5 to protect fall chum from large mesh gill nets in some 6 areas all the way up until very late in the year, even ice running. So unfortunately, we can't just let them 8 use 5- or 6-inch gillnets for those whitefish until the fall chum are completely through an area. Otherwise, we 10 would do far more harvests than you're seeing now. And as -- and Andy's data showed, you would also see a lot 11 more harvest of coho. So yeah, I -- you're going to need 12 13 a lot of specificity around that conversation because I 14 think everyone will say yes, please. I should be using 15 a six-inch for my whitefish. We're getting constant requests to allow six-inch gear for whitefish in the 16 tributaries. And the hard part with that is if any of 17 18 our fall chum or, you know, if you're talking about spring, if any of our fall chum or chinook are going up 19 20 in those tributaries, we can't really allow that, and 21 we can't identify every tributary that may not have 22 those. So that's been our toughest thing, is that it 23 does kind of need to be all or nothing in some ways. And 24 so unfortunately, we've gone to no large mesh at all 25 until we're 100% sure those fall chum through. So, I 26 love that idea and maybe just yeah, put some dates and 27 some clarity around that. Otherwise, I think everyone's going to vote for yep, six-inch and fall and we can't 28 29 be doing that yet.

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Holly, this Charlie. I've said many times that you can catch fish with a six-inch mesh and a 2.7in mesh, one set below the other. You can catch all sizes of whitefish in the springtime as soon as the ice goes out. I've done it for years. You catch 40, 50 of the smaller white fish at night and 40, 50 humpies at night, the broad whitefish that's before the salmon get here. As soon as the ice goes out, the whitefish are moving around and going back into the sloughs, as the ice recedes and those sloughs and back lakes that have waterways to the river. Not everybody has that, but most people do. You could put a small mesh right in the Yukon all summer long and catch the smaller species of whitefish and a few pike. The 2.7-inch mesh will catch more fish than a four-inch will any day. Too many of the fish swim through the four-inch or bounce off. So, there's ways to do it. People just have to be in a hurry to get out there and catch some fish if they want it. If they have dog teams, they can keep a 2.7in mesh in the main stem for the smaller

1 species of whitefish all summer long. It's not like it's the end of the world. We want our future generations to be able to have fish. We're in a seven-year agreement, and what we do this year is going to be a direct effect 5 on the end of that agreement. I think it should have started last year because it's either, we're eating, 6 fishing or conserving. It's like Eva said. Thank you. Well, they have the date so late in the fall now that 8 you'd have to fish under the ice and that's all right, 10 that's acceptable also. People put nets in under the ice. I've done it myself. In the eddies near Tanana, we 11 12 put two 60-foot six-inch mesh in. We catch about 25 13 mixed fish tonight. I haven't done that for a long time, 14 but it's doable. Thank you.

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

MS. CARROLL: Yeah. Mr. Chair, just to clarify. So, yeah, what you're saying is totally already legal. So, in the spring, it's legal for people to be using their large mesh until we close down in June and then in fall after it's completely iced up, yeah people can usually be fishing under the ice. And that is what a lot of traditional users told me, you know, real whitefish harvesters, the ones that get the big fish, that's what they say, how they do it. You know that it hasn't traditionally been in summer, you know. And so but, I just want to clarify, were you making a suggestion that we actually allow 24 over seven small mesh gear all summer, but, we now go down to a limitation of 2.75in. Is that kind of a new suggestion?

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Well, suggestion while we eliminate the four inch to protect salmon so that people that really need can have something to eat. And there's some people that have a few dogs and they say the four-inch goes away, then my dogs have to go away and people need to eat. So, in the springtime, historically, as I grew up, we ate small round whitefish almost every day in the spring, we catch a lot of them right below the village of Rampart, and people not catching them now because they put in a four inch mesh and they swim right through. So, the fish are still there. They just have to have the right gear. Thank you. I just don't want to take the opportunity of people putting food in their kid's mouth or keeping their dogs alive from having to shoot them as we go forward with this management. Thank you.

46 47 48

49

50

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Thank you. This is Andy. (Indiscernible) I'm not really asking questions. I just wanted to make a few comments. I'd like to start

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

out with, oops management for more than 20 years now, I've been involved in this process so almost 30 years. And we have consistently had what we have now termed oops management, where on years where there have been supposedly conservation concerns and/or conservative management, fisheries are opened up in lower river and then once fish are determined not to be in the numbers needed, the upper river gets closed down. That's the definition that we have for oops management. That has happened over and over when -- I've been at preseason meetings, and managers say we're going to enter the year conservatively. So, I have no faith whatsoever in hearing a manager tell me we're going to enter the season conservatively, because all it means to me is, oh, crap, we're not going to fish this year. So, I want to bring that to your attention, because those comments do not instill any kind of confidence in anybody in the middle and upper river. And I'm seeing a lot of heads nodding right now in the room.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

The second thing when that happens is that is exactly what you're warning us against a minute ago, and that's allocated fishing. Managers have been allocating fish to lower river and the middle river, and shutting down the people in the upper river that's allocated fishing. So be very careful when you use that term because you have been doing that and if that's illegal, you've been doing that for over two decades. I just want to bring that to your attention. I think some of the solutions that we posed in our letter are very viable. And it really kind of -- I got to apologize but, it really kind of irritates me to see some of these responses that are the same old scenarios, the same old management scenarios, the same old strategies that have failed us for 25 years and have led us down to this path, that has to change. And if that means everybody on the Yukon has to share that burden, so be it. I don't understand where someone, just because of where they live, has greater ability or greater worth in harvesting when the vast majority of other people are not. And that's not only in Alaska. That's closing off all of Canada, where all of these fish, as far as chinook goes, it's over 50% of the run is spawned. That's wrong. And in my view -- and I really held back from saying this. But in my view, what happens on the Yukon River is just like what happens in Area M. There's no difference. It's intercept fisheries. Those fish in Area M are a thousand miles away from the mouth of Yukon. Those fish at the mouth of the Yukon are close to a thousand miles from their spawning up in northern regions of the Yukon River.

So, take that into account. I know the definitions don't apply but, in reality, we got to stop looking at some of these definitions that don't work. We need to share the burden, and I understand people in the lower river want their fish. So do we. The problem that I'm sharing with you is that we have no alternatives. We don't have marine mammals. We don't have pink salmon. We don't have other species, large species. I'm happy to share pictures of all the pike and all the sheefish I caught this year, and not a single one of them was much over 30in, and I fished every single day this summer. One person can catch one giant pike or one giant sheefish in the lower river. That's 30 or 40 pounds. So, we have to start taking that into consideration. When you start talking to those people saying, oh, we need this or we need that, and I'm not trying to create any kind of a scenario here where it's us versus them. I'm just trying to point out what the realities are on the ground, and we need to take our heads out of the sand, and we need to start doing what's right for the fish so we can do right for the future generations. Because once those fish are gone, we will never have them in the upper river. Ever. That's all I have. Thank you.

## (Pause)

MS. SHOCKLEY: Hi, this is Dorothy. Holly, it just really upsets me to hear you asking for comments, because for decades, people along the Yukon have been making comments, and it just falls on deaf ears. So, you know, I'm just, you know, to a point where comments are well, in some ways important but, also people are just tired. You know, we're just tired of making comments when it doesn't make a difference. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Holly, this is Charlie. Maybe we can try to get together a All RAC meeting, the RACs along the river to have this conversation in the near future. Do you think that's possible?

MS. CARROLL: Through the Chair. Mr. Chair, I think that would be great. I think Brooke would know a little bit more about that process. I know they used to do them. But that would be great. And I hear you guys, the fatigue is real, for sure. And, you know, I apologize. Gosh, I'm writing down everything you say because they do help us, you know. But sometimes I have just as many questions after a suggestion, you know, I

want to refine it and make sure I understand it. You know, it's not trying to exhaust you. It's trying to achieve what you're asking for. So, you know, bear with

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Holly.

MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair, this is Brooke. If the Council is interested in meeting with the other RACs along the Yukon, you would need to submit a request to OSM. There would be some things you might want to consider. Given, you know, always budget limitations, would a virtual meeting be sufficient? Or if you were to meet in person, what a location might be. Thinking about timing in regards to you know, the fishing season and when, you know, pulling something together before the summer might be pushing it. So, a little more feedback on what you would be looking for would be helpful. Thanks.

Any feedback from the RAC members, I

22 guess.23

MS. BURK: Thank you. This is Eva, through the Chair. I think a virtual meeting is fine. If we're trying to put in any proposals that — it's going to be — that deadline is April 10th, so I don't think we have enough time for thinking about putting in proposals. And we wanted to also — if we did that RAC meeting, we wanted to cover North Pacific stuff too, didn't we? And Area M, Board of Fish proposals. So, I'm wondering if we need to try to have a virtual Yukon River RAC meeting before April 10th is what I'm starting to wonder. And that's a lot to ask. And Andy mentioned committees too. I don't know. There's multiple ways because it's hard to get this many people together. Three groups of this many people together. It's hard.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. One thing that does work, though, is bringing the Chairs together. The Chairs and one representative. So, you keep the number of people down. But if the Chairs have a pretty good handle on what their RACs — the pulse of their RACs, that might be another way to address it, pretty efficiently in that that format.

MS. MCDAVID: I'm just -- one other thing to consider. I mean, it's -- if that was more of a working group format that would be fine. If you want to have an actual tri-RAC meeting, that's like an official

meeting of the three RACs together. It has to be noticed in the Federal Register. We have to put out news releases. It's a public meeting. We have to make sure that, you know, there's Zoom links for or Teams links for other people to attend. So, it's not, not doable, but it does take a little more, you know, logistics.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I agree that -- this is Charlie for the record. I agree that whatever we do is going to have to have effect. There's no -- we don't want to do something that ain't going to have no effect on any change. So, having a real meeting is the only way to make change. People all on the record, and all the RACs involved and if that's impossible. And it is. I don't know what else to say.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Would it be possible for, you know, maybe the -- OSM pay for, like, the, like, a small committee, but also, if other RAC members can get other organizations to pay for their way. I mean, is that possible? Because, you know, there are organizations out there that could possibly help with logistics as far as making or paying people's way or something.

MS. MCDAVID: Dorothy, I don't know that I have an answer to give on the record. I think some of this logistical stuff would need to be talked about, you know, with our leadership team and our admin team. But thank you for that idea.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: So, I can request that you please do as much as you can to figure out whether we can or can't. Please. Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Charlie. And one thing -- I guess that's hard because we have so many important meetings coming up with the Board of Fisheries meetings, the North Pacific meeting and then next year is the start of a federal subsistence regulatory year for fisheries. You know, this is a wildlife year. So it may be that you could do a like a virtual meeting and in the fall sometime or late summer to talk about the -- some of the proposals that are going towards Board of Fish that are already out there. Talk about some ideas for North Pacific. And then if you really wanted to have like an in-person meeting, you know, at the start of winter 2026 is the start of the fisheries regulatory cycle. And from the federal perspective, that might make the most sense to bring the RACs together. But just

1 something to consider.

2

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much.

Got a comment?

4 5 6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. IRWIN: Yes, thank you. Through the Chair. Olivia Irwin, I just have a closing comment for this section. I have no comment for Holly today. But, Keith, I just want to say that I really appreciate you, and I really appreciate you as Fish and Wildlife Service for having a native liaison. And it gives me a little bit more hope knowing that we've got a (In Native) fellow, somebody who is -- understands what it's like to live our ways of life. And even some of your comments today were a lot more liberal than I even have ever been able to hear other managers say out loud, you basically acknowledge the need for co-management with U.S. fish -- or with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Fish Commission. And I just want to say, I really, really appreciate you and this work. And I'm grateful for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for having a native liaison and encourage any more funding and projects to go into the continued translation of our indigenous ways of life into federal management. Thank you.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

MS. BURK: Thank you, through the Chair. I echo those comments, Keith. We're also happy to have you too, Shane, but -- and everybody's giving Holly crap. I still like Holly. I mean, jeez, God, you guys be nice. I really like your ideas, Brooke. I think that's doable. As far as we -- the easiest thing to pull off might be a virtual meeting so that we could at least comment, and we would -- our expectation would be that proposals are already out there. I think we can see that the proposals would be coming from ACs anyway. That's a stronger way to do it is to have proposals come from the Fish and Game Advisory Committees themselves for trying to change Fish and Game regulations. So, I think we can assume that people will have great proposals, and we can get together to support those. And then also, if we meet within that time frame, we could probably submit written comments to the Federal Register if we meet before the end of October, I think. There's a 60-day window in August, and I can't be sure of the beginning and end dates of that. But that's just a thought, is if we are going to meet, we could hit that Federal Register deadline, too.

47 48 49

50

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you. There's a lot to think about, but I just want to see if the intent is

clear. We got Western Interior RAC meeting next week and the following week is YK Delta. Is this something that you want us to ask them if they're interested in? Okay. It's on the record and we will have more discussions. Thank you.

5 6 7

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay.

(Indiscernible). Go ahead.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28 29

MR. HERRON: Thank you for the kind words. They really mean a lot. But I -- in all seriousness, I know it must be frustrating. I'm new, I'm young, and I'm coming to the work and trying to look back at history. And I know Eva said it yesterday, like, why didn't we start this in 2008? We've only met sub -A&S, we don't use that term on the federal side. We only focus on subsistence priority. But the A&S for chinook or kings has been met one time since 2008. So, it's just like what -- I really enjoy - love Eva's comments about are we fishing or are we rebuilding? And I -- the information I provided today, there's no official for like management strategy. I'm just providing the information on what is known so you guys can use your circles, your advocacy and know what we expect, and we can help shape that management strategy together. That's all I think we're trying to do today. I hope I can be around long enough to gain your trust back. I know I probably won't always make -- I'll make some mistakes but, hopefully I'm around long enough so we can have a relationship.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. This member Bassich. I -- one thing I want everyone to be very clear. Obviously, I'm a very passionate person on this, and I've been doing this a long time. So, what you're witnessing at times is a great deal of frustration but, I want everyone to know I have the greatest respect for the people in the room, the managers. What I am criticizing is policy, not the people that have to implement it. So be very clear that, I have tremendous respect for all the people that are working in fisheries management and research, game management and research, so never take it personally. I know it's hard not to when you're the guy at the table or you're the one who has to present it to us. Unfortunately, you're the messenger that we're cutting the head off. So, please, please take that home with you and don't get discouraged.

47 48 49

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. Wow.

50

1 (Pause)

2

Next on the agenda is Nowitna National Wildlife Refuge moose update. Jeremy.

4 5 6

MR. HAVENER: Hey. Good afternoon. Can everybody hear me?

7 8 9

10 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes, sir. You got 11 the floor.

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

MR. HAVENER: Excellent. Hey. Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council members. For the record, my name Jeremy Havener. I'm the refuge subsistence coordinator for Koyukuk, Nowitna and Innoko National Wildlife Refuges. Really appreciate the chance to be here today to discuss the Nowitna River and our moose management. Just want to take a step back before we jump into our moose survey report. Just to kind of introduce ourselves. I know I've been to this Council meeting a few times, but just kind of want to lay out the picture of where we're at and what we do. So, our office is located in Galena, and our staff consists of nine permanent full-time employees and three part-time employees. Right now, we're going through a transition period. We don't have an actual manager in place out here. We're hopefully working on that. So, a lot of us have been picking up other duties and trying to do what we can with what we got. But with our staff, we've got two Refuge Information Technicians, which are liaison positions, myself as a subsistence coordinator. We've got three wildlife biologists, two of which are pilot biologists. We have a fisheries biologist and three maintenance workers and a fire management officer. And with those positions, we have one person staffed in Anvik. We have one in McGrath at a contact station, it's a park ranger. And one of our staff are located in Fairbanks, one of our pilots, and just wanted to kind of to lay that out there just so folks know we're where we're at, you know, out in Galena. And with that being said, I'll go ahead and jump into this report and I'm just going to check. Did everybody get the report that I sent? Its title -- is Moose Trend Summary for 2024.

44 45 46

47

MS. MCDAVID: Hi Jeremy, this is Brooke. Yeah, we just passed out a copy to the Council so everyone has one. Thank you.

48 49 50

MR. HAVENER: Excellent. No, awesome. So, 1 2 with that being said with our office, one of our big programs is our is our inventory and monitoring program. And with that, we're going out and monitoring different 5 species that are really important on the Refuge, in 6 particular subsistence species. And one of our big projects that we do every November is our moose trend 8 count surveys, where we go up and we have three aircraft 9 that we fly. And we're flying around in these trend 10 count areas and you can see on page -- it's page nine, one second. Page nine is a map kind of that lower Nowitna 11 12 River corridor. And those little boxes are the boxes 13 that we fly every year. And so, we go out there, we try 14 to go roughly the same time, and that's in November. But it all depends on snow level. So, we want to make sure 15 16 that we have enough snow out there for a sightability 17 factor. So that way we can see moose to the best of our 18 abilities. We fly at the same height. We fly roughly the 19 same speed and try to spend the same amount of time. I 20 mean, I know that's not always possible, depending on 21 how many moose we're seeing, but try to do things as 22 consistent as possible so we can compare that data year 23 to year. And so, in this area on the Nowitna, we're flying from basically the Little Mud River or Sulatna 24 25 River down to the mouth is what we typically fly every 26 year. And on page six is our data for that trend count 27 area. And on the top is our total counts. And the figure 28 on the bottom is our composition data. So, data we're 29 collecting is bull-cow ratios, calf-cow ratios and 30 yearling bull-cow ratios. And just kind of looking at 31 last year's data in figure two, in 2024 so there's a 32 couple good things that we saw this last year. And the 33 line that -- it's pink and it's got the square -- it's 34 a square on there. The -- that's our calf-cow ratios. 35 And we had great production this last year. It was, I 36 think 46 calves per 100 cows, which is really good. And 37 we're kind of hoping to see that carry on into the future 38 with productivity -- or with recruitment and help with that population. So, 46 to 100, you can see that's the 39 40 that's the second highest we've had since 2001. So, 41 really good things there on productivity. The other good 42 thing we're seeing there, and that's kind of gone up 43 over the years and you know, that could fluctuate a little bit with cow numbers. But we are seeing our bull-44 45 cow ratios at 31 to 100 and a lot of times in these 46 management areas, 30 to 100 is what we're looking for. 47 So, we're sitting within that management objective. Now 48 the downside on this was the recruitment and you can see 49 it's the triangle towards the bottom. And that dropped 50 down to three yearling bulls per 100 100 cows. And that's

1 our recruitment. So how many bulls are surviving and that number was pretty low. And that could be, you know, subject to some of the lower years of productivity we've seen, I think 2018, 2020 and 2023 where we were below 5 20 on all those years. But recruitment wasn't the 6 greatest. But with all that being said you know, right now that population has declined slightly in the long 8 term. But in the last ten years or so we feel that 9 population is stable at a lower density. And on page 10 seven you can see we've got our densities there and that 11 population, the total moose, is sitting at just over one 12 moose per square mile. And so, with that being said, 13 we've had some meetings the last couple of years. We've 14 been talking to people, and I know there's been some 15 concerns on the population. So, one of the things we are 16 trying to do currently is to fly a geospatial population estimate or a GSPE, and that will give us a much better 17 18 idea on what that moose population is doing. The last 19 time we held one of those was in 2008 so, we're overdue 20 for it. We typically try to fly those every 5 to 10 years and I think right now we're 16 years. So just know 21 22 that it's a priority of ours to do that. This year did 23 not work out due to the conditions we were seeing. We 24 didn't get good snow until late November, and I think 25 we flew our trend count surveys for this area November 26 26th, 27th, and really, we're getting to the end of our 27 window. Because bulls do start dropping their antlers 28 and it makes it hard for us to determine, you know, if 29 those are bulls or cows and make sure our data is 30 accurate. So, with that being said that is going to be 31 our priority coming into this fall is to do a full 32 population estimate on the Nowitna and, you know, with 33 -- if conditions are right and funding is there, we will 34 definitely be making that a priority.

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

One other thing I wanted to talk about real quick other than our trend count data, is the Nowitna Moose Hunter Check Station. And I know Charlie from the check station. I usually see him out there most years. So, it's nice having that familiar face but, just so everybody kind of understands we operate for Fish and Game or with Fish and Game a moose hunter check station, and that's been in operation since 1988. There's been some administrative changes over time, but how it kind of works for us out here. In the Galena area is we manage the Nowitna Check Station and Fish and Game mans the Koyukuk River Check Station. And the purpose of that is, you know, we're keeping tabs on how many hunters are coming into Nowitna. We're counting how many moose are being harvested. You know, we're able to talk to hunters

1 and talk about regulations. And sure, some of those regulations are being followed, checking meat quality and making sure it's all there. And everything in my time I've been here since 2011 has been really good out 5 there. And one of the things we look at, you know, with our population data is kind of our harvest success. So, 6 on the last page, on page ten, shows our check station 8 hunter data and harvest data. And this last year we had 116 hunters and there were 39 moose harvested. And in 10 the long-term average for that check station harvest was around 40 and hunters were 123. So, we're -- the thing 11 12 we feel with this population, we're comfortable with the 13 harvest that's going on there. It's fallen right within 14 kind of that long term average. So, we were happy, 15 harvest success was around 34%, which is right around 16 that average as well. Two years ago, we did see a drop 17 in harvest. And, you know, we also saw a drop in hunters 18 too. I think we had 88 hunters, but there were 19 moose 19 harvested. And that, you know, that raised some concern. 20 And I think that got some of these talks going about 21 getting a population estimate for the Nowitna. But one 22 thing that did happen is I know the area biologist for 23 this area, he did at that time reduce the draw permits 24 from 20 to 10. And so, we did see some changes there on 25 that lower corridor. And that goes from the mouth basically up to the Little Mud River. But aside from 26 27 that, I know we're kind of at the end of the day, and I 28 think I kind of covered the main points in this report. 29 And so, I think I'll just take the time here to open it 30 up for questions.

31 32

33

34

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: This is Charlie. Thank you, Jeremy. Good information. I appreciate you, and if there's any other questions in the room, now's the time. Go ahead, Donald.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Jeremy, for your report. I noticed that the young yearlings are close to zero for recruitment. Is that indicating that you have a really high predator population?

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

47

39

MR. HAVENER: You know, that's -- through the Chair. That is one of the things you know, you take into consideration. But the other thing, you know, I've talked with our biologists a little bit and some of that could be from the poor production we've seen out there as well. So, I don't want to speak exactly what that is but, that's definitely -- it could be a factor for sure.

48 49 50

MR. WOODRUFF: Was that a yes?

MR. HAVENER: I'm sorry. It's kind of breaking up. Did you say something?

MT. WOODRUFF: I'm asking you. Is that a yes? That there is a high predator population?

MR. HAVENER: So as far as our predator population goes, I know there's bears and wolves out there. As far as, you know, a formal survey and, you know, densities of predators. I don't have data on that. So, I don't -- I can't really speak to that. You know, we talk to people when they come out of the check station on what they're seeing. You know, and most people are seeing tracks of wolves. As far as black bears go, it seems like not very many people are seeing black bears on the Nowitna where they're moose hunting. And, you know, the other thing we do is when we're out flying around doing surveys, you know, if we see wolf packs and stuff, we do kind of keep tabs, I guess. Kind of -- like nothing's formal. But we do kind of mark down what we're seeing as far as wolves when we're when we're flying around. So as far as high densities, I can't answer that.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: This is Charlie, Jeremy. With my boots on the ground there for years, I haven't seen one bear, but I did see a few tracks but not many. And then the wolves were -- I never seen really any big packs -- tracks where I walked or when I drove, but. But they're there. I'm not everywhere. I hunt near the mouth most of the time in the first 20 miles or so but, I never seen one bear in all the years I was there. I just wanted to say that. Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  HAVENER: Thanks for that information, Charlie. Appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions?

(No response)

Well, we thank you for your report today. Appreciate you. No more questions.

MR. HAVENER: Thank you very much for having us. We sure appreciate being able to tune into these meetings. So much appreciated.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: It's appreciated. We appreciate you just the same. And thank you for the numbers. It's good to have and to see. Appreciate you. Bye. Next on the agenda is the Wood Bison reintroduction, Carol.

 MS. MAHARA: Hello everyone. Thank you to the Chair and members of the Council for having me here today. I wanted to introduce myself quick and then I'll turn off my camera. My name is Carol Mahara, and I'm a biologist with the Ecological Services branch, with the Fish and Wildlife Service out of the Anchorage office. And I've been working on the wood bison project for a couple years now. We received a request on behalf of the RAC for some information about wood bison and some questions about some of the regulatory processes. So, I'm going to provide an overview today to try to address some of that. And I think you should also have a handout where we went into some more detail on those questions. So, with that -- I will share my screen and turn off my camera. Are you able to see my screen?

## CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes.

## MS. MAHARA: Okay, great. Thank you.

So here is a list of some of the topics I'll be covering, starting with the historical distribution and listing history of wood bison. I'll explain the ten-day rule and what nonessential experimental status means. Then I'll talk about the conditions of the NEP status for wood bison in Alaska. The scenarios when the NEP designation might be removed and the current status of wood bison across the range and I'll also talk a little bit about the ongoing effort to develop a recovery plan.

The historical distribution for wood bison was a was across western Canada and extended into Alaska, and it is thought that they disappeared from the Alaskan landscape approximately 200 years ago with overhunting, changes in the distribution of habitat, and severe winters possibly contributing to their decline. During the early 1800s, wood bison numbers were estimated to be at 168,000 but, by the late 1800s the subspecies was nearly eliminated, with only a few hundred remaining. So, wood bison were included on the first list of Endangered Foreign Fish and Wildlife under the 1969 Endangered Species Conservation Act, and then in 1974, they were added to our current Endangered

Species Act based on their inclusion of the -- in the original list. And then in 2012, we received a petition to downlist them from endangered to threatened. And then after review of best available scientific information, we agreed with the petition. And wood bison were then reclassified to threatened wherever they are found.

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

5

So shortly after that came the nonessential experimental designation for wood bison. In Alaska, we used a provision in the Endangered Species Act to create this designation so that we could minimize the regulatory burden associated with reintroduced populations on the landscape. And I'll go into more detail about what this means in a minute. But I wanted to point out that this was a federal action that required an environmental assessment under the National Environmental Policy Act, and that EA was done in 2013.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

So, Congress added a provision for the experimental or experimental populations under Section 10J of the Endangered Species Act. And with this provision, the Fish and Wildlife Service may designate a population of a listed species as experimental if it will be released into suitable habitat outside the species current range and will be isolated from other populations of the same species. So, from there we can designate an experimental population as nonessential if the continued existence of the species does not depend experimental Population. So, with nonessential experimental population or NEP designation, as you'll probably hear me say in this presentation the associated with regulatory burdens inter-agency consultation, coordination, those are relaxed, which can help relieve landowner concerns that reintroducing a listed species might result in restrictions on the use of private and public land. Also, the service adopted bison specific rules under Section 4D of the Endangered Species Act so that take due to an otherwise lawful activity is not prohibited. So, this special rule for wood bison that designated the NEP and provided bison specific rules for take was created using Sections 10J and 4D of the ESA. And then I will refer to the whole package as the 10J or NEP but, there were multiple components that went into it.

48

49

50

Okay. Now I'm going to talk about the conditions that are specific to the 10J rule for wood bison. This map shows the NEP boundary outlined in black, within this boundary, regulatory burdens associated with interagency coordination are only required for

activities that occur on National Park Service or U.S. 1 Fish and Wildlife Refuge land. Also, the 10J rule identified three areas where reintroductions could occur. These areas were selected based on studies that 5 identified them as having suitable habitat for wood bison. With this 10J rule, the service delegated to the 6 State primary management responsibility for leading and implementing the wood bison restoration effort. And 8 while the State is the lead agency for wood bison 9 10 reintroduction and subsequent management, the 10J rule requires continued coordination with the Fish and 11 12 Wildlife Service, and the service still has authorities 13 and responsibilities for ensuring compliance with all 14 provisions of the Endangered Species Act, including 15 consultations for actions that occur on National Wildlife Refuge and National Park Service lands. 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29 30

31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

The 10J rule requires the state to use public planning processes to develop implementation and management plans for wood bison restoration, and the planning groups are to include representatives from local communities, regional population Alaska Native interests, landowners, wildlife conservation interests, industry, and state and federal agencies. Draft management plans are to be circulated for public review, and the final plans are to be presented to the Alaska Board of Game and Federal Subsistence Board for review and approval. So, for the Lower Tanana River drainage, the State has decided, has decided to start with an implementation plan now and develop a management plan later. This idea started at of the early planning team meetings, participants expressed concern that a management plan didn't seem like the right fit for what the group was trying to accomplish. The focus shifted then to developing an implementation plan with the intent to provide a description of the planning team input and the reintroduction process for that particular area. Then, once the potential for sustained yield harvest is better understood, the State would start to develop management plan. So, so far, I am aware that the State circulated a draft of the Lower Tanana River Drainage Implementation Plan during a planning team meeting last year, and they asked the service for comments last July, but I am not aware of the current status of the draft implementation plan.

46 47 48

49

50

The special 10J and 4D rules allow for regulated hunting of wood bison based on sustained yield principles, once the herds are deemed sufficiently

000141 1 resilient to support such harvest. The details for harvest are to be guided by those site-specific management plans for each reintroduction area. When it comes to harvesting wildlife, state hunting regulations 5 apply unless they are superseded by federal regulations. 6 For wood bison, the legal interpretation is that federal subsistence harvest under ANILCA cannot occur until wood 8 bison are no longer listed under the Endangered Species Act. There is a provision under Section 10E of the 10 Endangered Species Act that allows Alaska Natives and permanent residents of Alaska Native villages to take 11 12 listed species for subsistence purposes, but the State 13 and the Service have different interpretations on the 14 applicability of 10E for bison. 15 16 MS. MCDAVID: Sorry you're cutting out. 17 This seems to be at a very important point that the 18 Council would like to hear. 19 20 MS. MAHARA: Oh, no. Okay. Can you hear 21 me? 22 23 MS. MCDAVID: Yes Sorry. You cut -- you cut out. That last point about the 10E that's when you 24 25 started to cut out, and it sounded like something 26 important the Council wants to hear more about. So, if 27 you could start back with that. Thank you. 28 29 MS. MAHARA: Sure. Yep, can you hear me 30 okay right now? Are you able to hear me? 31 32 MS. MCDAVID: Okay. We're not hearing you 33 in the room right now. Carol, if you can hear us. 34 35

MS. MAHA: I wonder if it would be helpful if I don't know if. Brooke, if you have my slides (distortion). Yes. Can you hear me? Hello?

MS. MCDAVID: Yeah, it's. It's cutting in and out. Carol, it's cutting in and out for us here in the room. Maybe just stand by for a few moments. If you can hear us now. The point that you started to make about exceptions under 10E is where we started to lose you. If you could start back at that point. That was a point of interest to the Council. Thank you.

MS. MAHARA: Are you able to hear me if I stop sharing my screen? Are you able to hear me now?

49 50

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

MS. MCDAVID: We can hear you now, but it -- it's not -- it's just the internet connection. It's not the bandwidth. Your slides weren't affecting it. If you could put those back up, that'd be great. Okay.

5 6 7

MS. MAHARA: Okay. All right. And you're able to hear me okay right now?

8 9

MS. MCDAVID: Yes, ma'am. We got you.
Thanks.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. MAHARA: Okay, perfect. Okay so, I was talking about 10E. There is a provision under Section 10E of the Endangered Species Act that allows Alaska Natives and permanent residents of Alaska Native villages to take listed species for subsistence purposes. But the State and the Service have different interpretations on the applicability of 10E for wood bison. However, we both agree that the newly reintroduced herds should be resilient enough to support harvest before any harvest occurs.

222324

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

And as I mentioned previously, relaxing the prohibitions on take and consultation requirements of the ESA is a key incentive of designating an NEP. Landowners can continue to manage their lands without concern of violating the ESA for reintroduced listed species. So, there are two scenarios where the NEP designation for wood bison could be removed. One would be if wood bison recovers and are delisted under the Endangered Species Act, and the other would be if reintroduction efforts are discontinued and action is taken by the State and the Service to remove the NEP designation. The 10J and special 4D rule identify scenarios where the State could remove some or all wood bison from the wild, such as reintroduction efforts are a failure, monitoring indicates appreciable harm to native wildlife and the legal or statutory changes reduce or eliminate the State's ability to complete the restoration effort as designed. So, if no action is taken by the Service and the State, then all remaining wood bison would retain their NEP status. But if there is action taken to change the NEP designation, then all the protections and regulatory requirements for ESA listed species would apply, and then under the recovery scenario, the State would manage wood bison as they do other non-listed wildlife, and opportunities for federal subsistence harvest under ANILCA may become available on federal lands under the scenario -- nope. I think

that's it -- oh yeah, I did want to mention that under 1 scenario where reintroduction efforts discontinued and the NEP designation is removed, it is likely that the State would have first removed all of 5 the reintroduced bison from the landscape. This is 6 because of how the special 10J rule for wood bison provided assurances to landowners and development 8 interests that reintroduction would not interfere with 9 their activities, and so without these assurances, the 10 reintroduction of wood bison would not be acceptable to the public, development interests or the State. So, 11 under that scenario, there would be no hunting 12 13 opportunities for wood bison in Alaska while they were 14 still listed under the ESA.

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

2728

29

30

31

So currently there are around 8500 wood bison in Canada, with about half of those animals in populations with diseases. In Alaska, we have one wild herd in the lower Innoko Yukon Rivers area, and the winter of 2022-2023 was tough on the herd, with prolonged snow conditions hindering access to food and water and the formation of hardened snow layers, making it difficult for animals to move and forage. Last fall I learned that the herd had rebounded some, but I do not know to what extent. Currently there are wood bison and holding pens near the Minto Flats State Game Refuge, approximately 60 miles west of Fairbanks. The holding pens were installed in May last year. Animals were moved there in July and the plan is to release them in May. The soft released method of holding them through the winter increases the likelihood that the bison will stay close to the release site.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

This map shows the current and proposed herd locations as well as their disease status. As I mentioned in the previous slide, nearly half of the free ranging bison in Canada belong to populations with diseases, and you can see the locations of the 3 10J reintroduction sites in Alaska. With the Innoko population already established, the Minto Flats population where wood bison are on site but in holding pens, and the location of the third potential reintroduction site in Yukon Flats. I also want to mention that we are developing a recovery plan for wood bison. Recovery plans are required by the Endangered Species Act and initially the service hadn't intended to develop one since wild herds were only in Canada. However, we received a directive in 2022 to develop recovery plans for listed species that didn't have one, and for Alaska, that meant wood bison. These plans are

a roadmap to recovery with the intent of improving a 1 species status and managing threats to a point where it no longer needs protection under the Endangered Species Act. So, since wood bison are listed range wide, this 5 recovery plan will consider populations in Canada and 6 Alaska. We are fortunate that the that Canada already has developed a recovery strategy, and we intend to adopt 8 their strategy with an addendum that addresses the requirements of the Endangered Species Act, which are 10 identifying recovery criteria, recovery actions and estimates of time and cost. We've been getting questions 11 12 about how the Alaska NEP fits into a recovery plan for the species. So, we are able to designate an NEP for 13 14 wood bison in Alaska, because the experimental population would not be essential to the continued 15 16 existence of the species. However, populations in Alaska 17 can still contribute to recovery, and in this process 18 will be identifying what that looks like. So, in addition 19 to addressing those three requirements, we will also be 20 discussing how the Alaska NEP can contribute to the 21 recovery of the species. In the Canadian Plan, they 22 identify a long-term population and distribution objective of at least five disease free, genetically 23 24 diverse, connected, self-sustaining, free ranging local 25 populations distributed throughout their original 26 Canadian range, with a minimum size for each local 27 population of 1000 animals. They also identified 28 strategies for getting to that long term objective. 29 We've got some informal working groups helping us create 30 an addendum centered around these objectives and 31 strategies so that our final project product is a logical 32 extension of the 2018 recovery plan. And with that, 33 that's it for my presentation. I'm happy to take any 34 questions.

35 36

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. We have a question from Olivia Irwin

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

MS. IRWIN: Through the Chair. Thank you, Charlie. Olivia Irwin, for the record, thank you very much Carol for your presentation. The 10E provision is new to me, which is pretty shocking because I've been in a lot of these conversations with the State. So, I'm a little bit disappointed that I'm just now hearing about this. Could you please repeat what the 10E provision is and put it in the context for our Minto-Nenana heard there is a heard in Minto Flats, and we -- I'm interested to understand how this 10E rule would apply. And does that provision apply to wood bison on state lands? And

the second part of the question does this provision apply to other species, i.e. fish?

3

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1

MS. MAHARA: Really great questions. And I will try to take a stab but, let me know if I miss anything. So 10E of the Endangered Species Act states that provisions of the Endangered Species Act with respect to taking of a listed species, you know, are like Alaska Natives and permanent residents of Alaska Native villages are exempt if that take is primarily for subsistence purposes. And I think on that handout, at least in one of the footnotes that might be on there if you need to reference it later. And then in addition to that, 10E4 states that the Secretary of Interior can create regulations for the harvest under 10E if it is determined that harvest would negatively impact listed species. So, with 10E, Alaska Natives and permanent residents of Alaska Native villages can take listed species, there is a provision that allows for us to establish regulations if that's necessary to regulate, take. However, for wood bison, the State and the Service have different interpretations on the applicability of 10E for wood bison, And the reason is that we've delegated primary management authority to the State, and they can't implement something out of alignment with their State's constitution. So, we are still trying to navigate that. But either way, we both agree that when it comes to newly reintroduced herds, we -- they should become sufficiently resilient to support harvest before anybody starts harvesting them. Did that answer your question?

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

MS. IRWIN: Yes. Through the Chair. This is Olivia, for the record. Thank you, Carol, that did help. So, it's section two of our constitution, the equal protection clause. It's what's limiting our ability to take advantage of this provision in the ESA. Is that correct?

38 39 40

 $\,$  MS. MAHARA: I would need to double check exactly that but, that sounds right. Thank you.

41 42 43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. IRWIN: I would also like to state for the record, just so that it's on record, this isn't directed at you, Carol but, that while there was public interest in this and there were public sessions held, there were three tribes that shared the traditional territory that wrote letters against this and had tribal consultation against the reintroduction of the wood bison. Just for the record. Thank you.

MS. BURK: Well, thank you. Through the Chair. This is Eva Burk. I'm the Vice Chair. I'm from Nenana and Manley Hot Springs. And it was our understanding and I -- and why they wanted me to put on the record that we've been bamboozled in the Minto-Nenana area. And the reason being, the way it was framed to us is that there could be a harvest for locals, that this would be something that maybe a plan -- a harvest management plan similar to what we was done for Innoko. And I don't think they ever came out and explicitly told us that they couldn't do that because of their State constitution. I don't think that ever really came out. And that's -- a lot of people had -- the reasons we opposed it is because we've sort of inherently knew that. And that we were concerned that this animal was going to be reintroduced and that we wouldn't really benefit locally because of the way the hunts would be permitted. So, I'm putting that on the record. We've been bamboozled.

 MS. SHOCKLEY: Charlie. Yeah, I was at probably every meeting. Oh, sorry. This is Dorothy. I was at every meeting of those planning meetings because I was on the corporation then, and we were invited. And so, I mean, they kept saying, you know, it was for food security and subsistence but, you know, with it being under state jurisdiction there was they could not do that. And so, you know, they yeah, they just went around and around telling us that yes, there was a -- there could be a possibility. But when it came right down to it, they said no, there was not going to be any local harvesting.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Dorothy. Online we have Christopher Sherry. You have the floor.

MR. SHERRY: Yeah. Can you guys hear me?

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes, sir.

MR. SHERRY: Thank you, Charlie. And through the Chair. Dorothy might remember as well, too. It was part of the site-specific management — the planning team, the wood bison planning team in 23 and 24. Specifically at the third meeting, when it became rather apparent that the State intended to move forward with the release maybe regardless of what the actual planning team's hopes and wishes were. But in that planning team, I brought forth that the State should

have a positive C&T finding based on the history and in respect to our religious and spiritual connection to the wood bison which had been severed. And with the release, a potential reconnection could honor the spiritual potluck use of this animal. And so that plan should have come to your guys' office and in the draft, emailed from the State. I didn't see my particular card that said that. And I'm hoping if you had reviewed it and had seen it, you would be able to comment on that.

MS. IRWIN: Through the Chair. This is Olivia Irwin. Chris, thank you for bringing that up. I'm a new member to EIRAC, so I'm not familiar with the letter that you're referring to. But yesterday we did unanimously support putting forward a proposal to the federal registry -- I -- the federal registry to add a positive CNT finding for wood bison. So, thank you for bringing those comments to us. And we're trying to be reactive to that. And then on the state side, Chris, just so you know, Minto-Nenana is putting in a proposal to add wood bison for the big -- take of big game for cultural purposes. Thanks.

MR. SHERRY: Okay, I caught that, Olivia. That was great. And thank you for doing that. And yeah, my question was for Carol about her review of that plan. If she had been able to receive that and seen that from the State, if that was a part of what the planning team's hopes and desires for this project, if that had made it to her desk?

MS. MAHARA: Yeah. This is Carol. Yeah. The State provided copies of their draft at a planning team meeting in February, so you might have seen that. And we provided comments on a draft. Our understanding is the State may be revising their plan, but, nothing additional has been shared with us at this time so there's not much I can share about it now. I will say it is their responsibility to develop a plan, and I know they're proposing to do the implementation plan now and management plan later, once there's a better sense of how the herd is doing. So that's what should be coming out soon as their implementation plan.

MR. SHERRY: Okay, I look forward to seeing it. Thank you. Yeah, I'm just hoping to see the State consider and hopefully there will be an unprecedented type thing to have under their current guidelines. But this is an unprecedented type of event to have wood bison retored and reintroduced. So, I just

want to thank you.

1

50

3 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions 4 or comments? Okay. Go ahead. 5 6 MS. MCDAVID: Hi Carol, this is Brooke. As the Council Coordinator, I was just curious if you 7 8 could help ensure that when that implementation plan 9 does come out, that the Council receives a copy for 10 review, and the Council does have representatives that have been a part of that planning team, and they have -11 12 - there has not yet been a copy of that plan or a draft 13 version before this Council. So that would be of interest 14 to the Council when it's available. Thanks. 15 16 MS. MAHARA: Yes, I can definitely make 17 sure once that's made available -- would it be best for 18 me to go through you, Brooke, when that happens? 19 20 MS. MCDAVID: Yes, please. If you could 21 send me a copy, I'll distribute it to the members. Thank 22 you. 23 24 MS. MAHARA: Okay. Thank you. 25 26 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions? 27 Comments? All right. Thank you, Carol. 28 29 MS. MAHARA: Thank you. 30 31 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much 32 for your presentation. 33 34 MS. MAHARA: Yes. Thank you. 35 36 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. National Park 37 Service, the national -- Denali National Park. Pat Owen 38 and Amy Craver. 39 40 MS. OWEN: Good evening, Mr. Chair. And 41 members of the Council. This is Pat Owen. I don't believe 42 that Amy is going to be joining us, but I would like to 43 be able to give you a wildlife update and I'm a wildlife biologist at Denali. In the interest of time and 44 45 relevance of the information that I'm going to share to 46 you -- with you, I'm going to make this really quick and 47 also just say that if anybody wants a bit more detailed 48 report in addition to the information that I'm going to 49 share with you now, I can certainly provide that to

Brooke for distribution. So just let me know when I get

1 done if you would like to have a little bit more information. What I kind of figured would be most relevant to you would be to share some numbers -wildlife numbers. So, I'll start with wolves. I've been 5 hearing an awful lot about high numbers of Wolves in certain places around the Wtate. In Denali National 6 Park, our fall count included 59 wolves. That was 56 8 wolves in ten packs and then there were three resident loner wolves that were not associated with packs. And I 10 will say, in comparison with wolf numbers in the Park in the past, I think we've seen numbers that have 11 12 probably been as high as double that number. We've also seen numbers lower than that. But that's where we are 13 14 with wolves right now. So, wolf numbers, at least for us within the Park are not on the high end of the range 15 that we have seen in the past. Moving on to sheep. We've 16 done a number of different things with sheep this past 17 18 year. We did aerial distance sampling in July; we did a 19 minimum count covering some very specific areas on the 20 east end of the Park. We also do a ground-based survey. 21 This year we also conducted an aerial survey in the 22 Southwest Preserve. From the aerial distance sampling, 23 that's something that we've done consistently over a number of years. The estimated population from the 2024 24 survey was 939 sheep. That is compared to 867 sheep in 25 26 2023. So, the number is up just a little bit. And the 27 other thing that we're seeing is the ratio of lambs to 28 ewe-like sheep. Nn that aerial distance sampling survey, 29 39 lambs per 100 ewe-likes. It ranged from about 18 up 30 to about 54 in the other surveys, quite a lot of 31 variation. But the aerial distance sampling is generally 32 the one that we tend to bank on a bit more than the 33 others. I know that you've already heard from Fish and 34 Game about a collaborative study that we're doing with 35 them on dall sheep. Those studies with comparisons in 36 two of the parks as areas where sheep are not hunted --37 with comparing those with areas outside the park where 38 sheep are hunted. So, I'm not going to go into any detail 39 on any of those.

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

Caribou. This is our 41st consecutive year of annual monitoring efforts on caribou. Our fall 2024 calf-cow ratio was 12 calves per 100 cows, which was an increase from the previous three years, which ranged somewhere between 5 and 9 cows -- I'm sorry, calves per 100 calves. The fall 2024 preliminary herd size estimate was 1590. This is continuing a pattern of herd decline, down from a high in 2018 of almost 3200 caribou. But the rate seems to have slowed down a little bit over the past three years so, that might be a good

sign for our caribou herd. Moving on to moose, we were 1 fortunate to get some funding to be able to do a moose survey this fall on the north side of the park. It's the first time that we've done one of these moose surveys 5 since 2017. We were just able to get the estimates done 6 for that. The estimate total number of moose from this survey was 1663, as compared to 1743 back in 2017. So, 8 moose numbers are maybe still recovering from the crazy storm that we had in 2021. But interestingly, the bull-10 cow ratio is pretty stable at about 48 bulls per 100 cows. The calf-cow ratio in this survey was about 22, 11 whereas it was about 26 in 2017. So, we'll see what 12 13 happens with moose numbers as we progress. I think those 14 are probably some of the numbers you would be most 15 interested in. Just a couple other quick things I'll throw out. In terms of avian work, we're still doing 16 17 gold eagle nest occupancy and productivity surveys 18 annually. The numbers of golden eagles in terms of 19 occupancy of nests and productivity has been down a bit, 20 probably due to the low number of hares, although there 21 are a lot of ptarmigan on the landscape. It seems like 22 around here anyway, the number of hares is beginning to 23 rise, so that might mean some good things for golden 24 eagles. And also, we continue to do breeding bird surveys 25 along the Park Road. Last year we had a little bit of a 26 hiatus for the west end of the Park Road because of the 27 closure out there. But Carol McIntyre and I were able 28 to get out there last June and do a breeding bird survey 29 along a typical route that's been done in the past. 30 Really not, anything drastically different from what 31 we've seen in years past. Probably the biggest change 32 that we've seen and most interesting has to do with what 33 seems to be an increase in woodpecker species, probably 34 due to spruce die off due to spruce bark beetles. And I 35 think that's about it. Like I said, if you want any more 36 details, I'm happy to provide a written report that 37 contains a couple other things that I left off.

38 39

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes. Thank you so much for that. We'll put in that request if people request it. Thank you.

41 42 43

40

MS. OWEN: Gladly. Yeah, just let me know and I can send it to Brooke.

44 45 46

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. Any questions or comments?

47 48

MS. BURK: Thank you. Through the Chair.
This is Eva. I would like a written copy if you could

do that. I was trying to get Charlie to say it, but apparently, it's really hard. Thank you.

MS. OWEN: I can sure do that. I'll make sure that I get it sent to Brooke, and she can distribute to you guys.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. Moving on. Yukon-charley Rivers National Reserve. Marcy.

MS. OKADA: Good evening, Mr. Chair and Council members. My name is Marcy Okada. I'm the subsistence coordinator for Yukon-Charley Rivers National Preserve. And in the interest of time, we can keep this really brief. Just want to share that we have an Eagle subsistence working group, of which there are two EIRAC members from Eagle are a part of. And we had a recent meeting on January 28th last month. And they're about to hear some of the same updates from that meeting for this meeting. So, thank you. And we have Matt Sorum here, our wildlife biologist, who's going to give wildlife updates.

MR. SORUM: Yeah. Hi, I'm Matt Sorum. I'm a wildlife biologist for Yukon-Charley Rivers. And I'll just give a couple updates on some large mammal populations that we monitor in the Preserve. So I'll start with sheep. We kind of talked to you guys a couple days ago at the sheep working group meeting, but population declined by 78% in the early 2020s. We detected it in 2023. And so, the population has been -we closed hunting on the south side of the Yukon from 23 to 24, and then this year in 25. So, we did a quick ground-based survey, float-based survey on the Charlotte River this last summer because it's what we could pull off. And we saw really good lamb recruitment for the few for the, you know, 40, 50 ewes we were able to observe. So, we're encouraged by that. We're less encouraged by the deep snow we have so far in the Interior, but I think based off of some of the SNOTEL data we have in Yukon-Charley, we don't have as much deep snow in the Charley Basin as we do kind of over here in the Fairbanks area and north of Fairbanks. So, so that's sheep. Oh, and we do have plans to do a survey this summer. We have funding. It's kind of paused at the moment, but we're hopeful that we'll get the funding. And then after that, we have funding in 26, 27, 28 to do surveys to help guide when we reopen, when it's the best time to reopen the hunting opportunities in the preserve.

1 So that's sheep. We'll talk about moose. We do surveys every three years in Yukon-Charley, for our moose surveys. The last one we did was in 2022, and the population had had decreased to 0.24 moose per square 5 mile. That's about half of what Yukon Flats is, Tetlin, 6 and it's about a quarter of what you'd maybe see on the Taylor Highway. So, but it is at a bit of a low, but we're also kind of a low population, low, stable population. So, getting it up about 0.4 is about probably 10 the highest you're going to get for moose and Yukon-Charley. So anyway, we have a survey plan this fall and 11 12 we do have funding for that at the moment. So, we're 13 excited about that. On top of that, we've been doing a 14 project, a collaring project, to kind of understand more 15 of the annual shifts in moose population better because 16 we don't have very tight data with only having three --17 having surveys every three years. So, we have had collars 18 on moose -- on 30 plus moose for the last five years. 19 And we've been detecting calving rates, twinning rates and then calf survival throughout the year. So, we'll 20 21 be wrapping that up this year and we'll be able to 22 provide, we have a nice five-year data set over this 23 same time frame. And we had a lot of deep snow years. And then we even had years with limited snow. So, I 24 25 think it'll be -- actually work really well for 26 understanding how snow impacts effect moose. And then 27 we also pair that data up with a, with our wolf study 28 that we have. Long term wolf monitoring project we have 29 in Yukon-Charley, which I'll get into next. But then 30 we're going to look at how wolves and moose interact with that really like high frequency GPS data. We're 31 32 excited about, so there's that.

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

And then just touching on the wolf population. Currently we have about 22 GPS collared wolves in the park -- in the Preserve. We studied this last summer, five of the seven packs den, but only three of the packs retained pups into the fall, which was pretty low. So, our reproduction right now for wolves is pretty low in Yukon-Charley. And also, our population size is pretty low. And so, we'll -- I kind of like to think that, you know, wolves kind of respond to their immediate environment and they can adjust depending on their food availability. And so right now we have quite limited sheep, quite limited or depressed moose population. And also based off the Fortymile Herd a pretty low a decreasing caribou population. So, we're kind of seeing that same pattern. Is that wolves also are kind of depressed and about maybe a third of what they normally are. So that's kind of the broad strokes.

1 I don't want to take too much of your time. So that's
2 it.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. Andy Bassich. Do you guys ever do any surveys or anything on your bear populations within the Preserve, or is it more anecdotal? You never do any really official bear surveys or black bear, brown bear, grizzly bear.

MR. SORUM: Nope. We do bear surveys up in the Brooks Range and have done those for the last 15 plus years. They're pretty hard to pull off. And we were able to do them there because there's less forest. There's less. And so, it's pretty difficult in the Yukon Charley area. And I think it would you'd have to lean more into genetics, genetic sampling. And so, it just hasn't been a high priority because grizzly bears have shown kind of almost to be the lowest density in the Forty-mile region. From studies that we've looked at across the state. Yukon-Charley Fortymile region is kind of the lowest density of grizzly bears in, in the state. So, it hasn't been a priority to survey them for that reason.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, that's good. I just maybe would like to share just local observations that over the past couple of years, I've actually seen a lot more sign of grizzly bear and definitely a lot more black bears. So, the populations are really healthy. And, you know, I'm sure that's helping to suppress some of the moose populations. But I just figure it's worth you having that knowledge there. And maybe you know, when you talk with people who float through the area what I've been noticing in the fall time, once the water level has dropped, you can hardly walk a sandbar without seeing grizzly bear tracks on them now. And many of the areas, especially down kind of in the (indiscernible) region between the (indiscernible) and the (In Native), it's really prolific in that area. And it's ranging a little bit farther up towards, you know, Eagle area now too. Anyway, and then the only other question I had this might be for you, Marcy, I'm not really sure, but have you guys. I think I heard you say earlier that you don't have funding for doing any peregrine falcon studies this year. Was that correct? Did I hear someone say that or is there any funding to do any peregrine falcon work?

MS. OKADA: Through the Chair. We have continual funding annually to do peregrine falcon surveys. And, so there should be surveys done again

1 this, this coming summer.

MR. BASSICH: Okay, great. Yeah. Maybe I misheard someone speak but, I, I think that's really important. As I stated in my opening comments, I'm really concerned about avian flu, and they will be probably one of your first telltale signs that avian flu is moving up into the area, whether they get it here or whether they get it in their migration, that'd be probably pretty hard to determine. But from what I understand, they die really quick from that when it happens, like within 4 or 5 days. So, it might be a hard thing to tease out, but, I think it's a really important data set to continue to monitor and then get out to the public and other people. Thank you for the work.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions,

18 comments?

(No response)

Thank you so much. Great report. Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. Anthropology, Barbara Cellarius.

MS. CELLARIUS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, it's Barbara Cellarius. I'm the cultural anthropologist and subsistence coordinator for Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. I do want to ask if Dave Sarafin is on the phone or on the call? If he's on the call, I could have -- call on him first to do a quick fisheries update. If he's not, I'll just cover all three of our updates. I believe it's under tab six.

MR. SARAFIN: I am online, this is Dave Sarafin, fisheries biologist at Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  CELLARIUS: Dave, why don't you go ahead and do your report?

MR. SARAFIN: Okay. Will do, yeah. Good evening. And as I said, Dave Sarafin, the fisheries biologist at the park at Wrangell-St. Elias. And you should have a report, maybe it was mailed to you on for the winter spring of 2025 report. Some key updates I'll try to cover. We again operated Tanada Creek weir, at Katie John's historic fishing site at Batzulnetas and documented just, well, 14,704 sockeye salmon and 13 chinook. And that those numbers are -- it's a good number

1 for sockeye but, below long-term average that we've had there close to 20,000 maybe. And we are working on a cooperative agreement. We're drafting that consultation with Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission with -- you know, we're hoping to transfer the lead of 5 6 the project over to AITRC in the future. Also, a proposal was approved for some Park Service funding for an 8 inventory of harvestable freshwater fish in waters of 9 the Park and Preserve. And that's planned for this season 10 and to be led by Dan Gorze of AITRC. Some of these projects are, you know, a little on hold due to some 11 12 hold ups and some financial agreements that are 13 currently in place in the federal system so we're hopeful 14 that both of these will be able to operate this summer.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

And on the Copper River salmon return for last year, it was you know, it started out similar to what we've seen in recent years. You know, we've had a lot of snow and delayed melt-off. And the river conditions likely contribute a little bit to the low start of the season. So, it began with relatively low numbers during the beginning and, increase in strength as the season progressed. And we had federal harvest opportunities throughout the season and the sockeye salmon escapement goal should be -- was achieved. Miles Lake sonar estimated sonar passage of 946,188 salmon. That's 58% above their management objective of 599,157. The in-river run assessment of Chinook salmon however, that one indicated a lower abundance and it may not achieve the minimum bound of the sustainable escapement goal range of 21,000 to 31,000 fish. And in response to that, by mid-season the State closed all their, you know, state in-river chinook salmon fisheries by mid-season. There are no management actions taken in the federal subsistence fisheries, though for the Copper River. Upper Copper River federal subsistence fishery permits were issued were 202 for Chitina Subdistrict, 293 for Glennallen Subdistrict and two for Batzulnetas. And historical -- I've got some tables and a figure at the end of the written part of this report. Tables one through four and figure three that displays historic harvests and in-river return estimates of salmon from 2005 to 2024. The bar graph is a new figure that I've added where you can actually see the 20-year visual representation of you know, how the federal upper river harvest has gone, and the in-river escapement has been in the Copper River.

47 48 49

50

And federal subsistence fishery in the lower Copper River had 80 permits issued total in season,

reported harvest of 425 sockeye salmon and two chinook 1 salmon. And then at the recent Board of Fisheries meeting in Cordova in December, they made some significant regulatory changes to the state management plans for the 5 Copper River district commercial fishery and the Chitina 6 personal use fishery, and these will take place this coming season. So, the commercial fishery will be 8 delayed now and may only open after May 21st. And that's, you know, basically at least a week's delay from what 10 they had been mandated for. And the Chitna personal use fishery also will be delayed. It may now open June 10th 11 12 or later, and it will also be closed to chinook salmon 13 harvest until after June 30th. So, prior to this, the 14 Chitina fishery had to open between June 7th and 15th. 15 And so now it's just the June 10th or later. And it does not have to open by the 15th. So, if the run is very low 16 17 early season based on sonar, this, you know, could delay 18 the Chitina fishery -- state fishery longer. So, end 19 result, both those actions will likely result in more 20 early run fish getting up -- migrating into the Copper 21 River which should alleviate some of the concerns that 22 have been noted in the past. And for the 2025 season, 23 Fish and Game has forecast returns of 2,638,000 sockeye salmon. That's 50% above their ten-year average and 24 36,000 chinook salmon, which is 25% below the ten-year 25 26 average. And that concludes the reports. And there's 27 more additional details on each of those topics in the 28 written materials. And if there are any questions, I'd 29 be happy to respond.

30 31

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. Any questions? Go ahead.

32 33 34

35

36

37

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Member Bassich here. I'm just curious. Can you remind me what the stock status is of chinook salmon on the Copper River right now? Is there any kind of concern right now? Do you have -- what is the official status of that stock?

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. SARAFIN: We -- there's no official designation by Fish and Game, but we have had you know, a few recent years where we did not meet their sustainable escapement goal. So, it's not to the point where they've made a determination for a stock status designation. It was noted by the Chair of the Board of Fish, you know, in passing the proposal for the commercial fishery delay, it was noted, you know, the chinook salmon concerns with intent that this should alleviate that. And then likewise with the limitations for the personal use fishery with no chinook harvest for

the month of June. So, I hope that answers your question.

1 2

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Thank you. It does. I just want to say I -- we draw a lot of parallels between what's happened on the Yukon and what was beginning to happen on the Copper. And I'm kind of pleased to hear that maybe there are some conservation actions taking place before things get out of hand for you. So, I applaud those efforts and Board actions that are helping to alleviate potential future problems. So, thank you for the information and the report.

MR. SARAFIN: Certainly. And we are aware of your situation. And, you know, also with the other areas of the State. So, there's -- it's kind of a yellow flag that's brought attention to the managers of the fishery, both state and federal.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Donald and okay,

20 Dorothy.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. Thank you. I have relatives that live in, and last summer they were pretty upset because of the -- you know, they get inundated more so than I think any other area with outside fishermen and hunters. So, they were pretty upset that you know -- it -- they weren't being more conservative. And I think that might be something that you might consider more so now than later. Thank you.

MR. SARAFIN: Thank you for that feedback. It is noted. And again, much of the actions that occur are on the state fishery. And so, you know, we are hopeful there'll be some positive outcome from these recent changes in their management Chistochina plans. So -- but thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. Any more comments or questions? Okay. Thank you so much for your report.

MR. SARAFIN: You're welcome.

MS. CELLARIUS: Okay. This is Barbara Cellarius again. And I'm going to do the Subsistence and Anthropology report. And a quick -- some highlights of that report and some highlights of the wildlife report and we'll leave you to read the details. Our contact information is on all of the reports. And if there's questions today, I'll do my best to answer them. One

1 thing I am kind of excited about, because I've been working on it for about 20 years, is -- I now have a report that we worked on together with the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission. It is about Ahtna 5 Athabascan connections to Wrangell-St. Elias National 6 Park and Preserve. I've got two more printed copies. I've given some to some of the RAC members. It's available online. There's a link there in the report 8 9 about how to get a copy. We also have like not lots of 10 printed copies but, we have printed copies. So, I've got these two additional copies. I've seen a couple of heads 11 nodding over there. And so, I'll leave these here for -12 13 - if members want to pick them up if you don't already 14 have one. All right. I see Dorothy wants one for sure. 15 And then you can get a hold of me, or Brooke can get 16 ahold of me if you want to print out a copy. And you haven't gotten one today. They're really, really heavy. 17 18 So, I just brought eight. And so really happy if I were 19 to report about that. I also because Brooke from Fish 20 and Game talked a little bit about community harvest surveys. We have also been working with the department 21 22 on specifically a project in the upper Copper River, and 23 that project includes Mentasta, Mentasta Pass, Slana, 24 and Chistochina. We surveyed Mentasta, Mentasta Pass, and Chistochina with a study year of 2022 and Slana, the 25 26 study year is 2023, and I'm hopeful by the time of your 27 fall meeting, or at least the end of the calendar year, 28 we'll have the report on that project. That project, we 29 started because of concerns we heard from upper Copper 30 River communities that their subsistence salmon needs 31 were not being met. So, we wanted to have like a report 32 that documented where things were with salmon. Some of 33 the results from the report were actually -- from the 34 surveys were presented at the Board of Fish meeting this 35 in December. So, the timing was good that those data 36 were available for that meeting.

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

And so, and also the Park Service worked with Fish and Game and did a study in Northway in 2014. So, we have 23 communities that have subsistence eligibility to harvest resources in the Park. So, it's kind of hard for us to get around, to get the funding, to get around to all those communities. But we do the best that we can and generally partner with Fish and Game. On this upper Copper River project, we also partnered with AITRC to build some local capacity for these kinds of projects. One of the things we have in the report is information about our permits. And I just want to mention the Chisana Caribou Herd because that's the actual one Caribou heard in the Park that we have

had a harvest on this year, because the Nelchina Herd was closed to harvest. So, this is a small herd. It's an off-the-road system. It's fairly isolated, but it's an important resource for people who live in the hunt area. It's in this far southern southeast corner of Unit 12, which is part of the Eastern Interior Region. For 2024, we had a harvest quota of six, which is based on having a stable population. The population estimate for fall of 2022 was 582 caribou and then the herd was above the population objectives for both the bull-cow and the calf-cow ratio. Don had asked for a presentation related to this herd for this meeting, and there just wasn't time to schedule it. But potentially our biologists, if there's time on the agenda, since you've got a threeday meeting in Tok in the fall, and he could do a presentation at that meeting. Both on the new management plan, on some -- upcoming research on the herd. And then I just wanted to give you a few highlights. Well, I'll stop there and see if you have any questions about the subsistence and anthropology highlights. And then I'll give you a couple of highlights from our recent wildlife work.

 MS. IRWIN: Through the Chair. Thank you. This is Olivia, for the record, just a quick comment. I really appreciate the anthropological work that you're doing, Barb, and I'm appreciative that it's being paired with AITRC. So, I would just encourage continued projects with AITRC, allowing a AITRC in those folks to lead the direction of it. And I love that you're doing subsistence harvest surveys. I think that's something that we need to continue to do. And it's really beneficial the ones that the state has been doing up here in our, in our region. So, thank you.

MS. CELLARIUS: Thanks for your kind words. And we do have some other projects with AITRC that we're hoping will continue to move forward. So, I just a few wildlife highlights. Our wildlife biologist had to drop off the phone. But we have two caribou herds that spend the majority of their time or spend a big chunk of time in the Park. One is the Mentasta herd. It's mostly it's having its calving area is primarily in Unit 11 but, it is does migrate in the winter up into Unit 12. And so, we did a survey in the end of June, early July of 2024, and the population estimate was 189 caribou, which was the lowest estimate since 2017. We do have a project that's going to be starting up in 2026 to evaluate changes in herd overlap among the Mentasta and Nelchina and Chisana Caribou Herd. Those are the

three herds that we see in the Park. And so, got a study coming up to try to understand better what's going on with those herds. In contrast, the Chisana Caribou Herd I mentioned that we actually had a under of that herd - I guess I didn't mention that we did have a couple caribou harvested. We had a quota of six and had a couple caribou harvested at the Chisana herd. But a composition survey was conducted in October of 2024 and the survey results indicate both high calf production and high bull survival and so the -- that herd is actually doing pretty well. It's a small herd but, the population is stable. And then I'll just end with sheep.

Sheep surveys were conducted across 2.5 million acres in the northern Wrangell's including the Nabesna area, the Mentasta and (In Native) mountains. In the summer of 2024, the survey indicates -- survey results indicate a slowing in the decline of adult sheep, while lamb production increased slightly over the record low in 2023. We also have a project starting up this fall to evaluate factors contributing to recent sheep declines in Wrangell-St. Elias. So, we should have some more information about what's going on with our sheep. And then we will also be doing surveys again this summer, and I will stop there.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much. Any questions, comments? Everybody wants to go home. Thank you for your presentation.

MS. CELLARIUS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Wildlife with Kyle (indiscernible). Oh, yeah. Oh, yeah. He was already on. Yeah. You have the floor.

MS. LA VINE: Thank you, Mister Chair. Members of the Council. Once again, my name is Ronbin La Vine. I am the subsistence policy coordinator for OSM. And as I told you earlier, this is the first time I've actually been able to attend a meeting of the Eastern Interior Council in person. I've always been online, or I've seen you at the All Council meetings or members at the Board meetings, and I just want to express my gratitude and also how impressed I am with all of you. New members, as well as seasoned members of the way you dig into the issues, the way you strive to help all of us understand the issues that you're working on. I've learned so much. And once again, I'm so impressed with our Regional Advisory Councils and you folks as an

amazing team. So, thank you for hosting me, allowing me to be here. And I hope I get a chance to return. So, I'm here to give some OSM updates. And the first one, I think the most important one that I need to share is that in December of 2024, OSM officially welcomed our new director, Ciisquq Crystal Leonetti. So, I just want to pass the mic to Ciisquq/

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

5

6

MS. LEONETTI: Quyana. Thank you, Robbin, and thank you, Mr. Chair and Council members. (In Native). My Yup'ik name is Ciisquq. It was given to me in the traditional way by my grandparents, who are the late Harry and Daisy Barnes from Dillingham. My mom and dad are Al and Grace Poindexter from Anchor Point. I was born and raised in Anchor Point and Homer. Went to Dillingham in the summers to Queen Slough and did some commercial fishing, set netting, and I raised reindeer as a kid. I also go by Crystal Leonetti. That's my name, you'll see on all the government documents. But I love to hear when people call me by my Yup'ik name, Ciisquq. It makes me happy. So, and it also brings all my ancestors into the room with me. So, I speak that with intention. Yeah. And I'm just really honored to join OSM in this amazing staff. These people work really hard, as you know. And I'm honored to join such an amazing team. I also just want to echo what Robbin said and say (In Native), which means thank you from my heart for doing the work that you do. Because you are the voice for the people, and you're also the voice for the fish and for the animals and I believe for the land and the water, too. So, I know you're volunteering and you're putting in a lot of time, and I don't know how you're doing it because I'm tired and I'm just sitting there listening and you're putting in the work. And this is what I tell my bosses in Washington DC. This is where the rubber hits the road. This is where the real work happens at the Council meetings. So, I appreciate you and everything you do for the animals and the fish and the people. I will stop there. And I came here in December, as Robbin said after 30 years with the government. It's crazy to think I worked for U.S. Department of Agriculture and then U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. So, I'm -- this is my dream job. I'm very happy to be here

44454647

48

49

50

MS. LA VINE: And we're so glad that we have her with us. So, I know, Charlie, I'll try to be really quick. I've got a couple more updates so hang on. As many of you know, and as Crystal has mentioned, Congress administratively moved OSM from the U.S. Fish

and Wildlife Service directly to the Office of the 1 Assistant Secretary of Policy, Management and Budget. PMB. It's a mouthful. This move became effective January -- July 15th and was one of many efforts that the 5 Department of the Interior has made to strengthen the 6 Federal Subsistence Management Program and to ensure that federally qualified subsistence users and Alaska Native people have an opportunity for meaningful 8 engagement in our public process, implementing the 10 federal subsistence priority. This January, President 11 Trump returned to the white House and with him, a new 12 team of political appointees. Doug Burgum was sworn in 13 as the Secretary of the Interior. Shortly after that, 14 Charlie Dankert was appointed Senior Advisor to the Secretary, exercising the delegated authority of the 15 Assistant Secretary for our office, PMB. OSM has been 16 17 getting Charlie up to speed with the Federal Subsistence 18 Management Program and its importance to rural Alaskans. 19 Meanwhile, it's been business as usual for OSM. We 20 continue to work with many of the same people within the office of the Secretary in DC, that helped us with the 21 22 move, as well as the political appointees as they come 23 on Board.

2425

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

In February, we welcomed new public Board members Raymond Oney of Alakanuk, Benjamin Payenna of Nome and Frank Woods of Dillingham, and completed another successful Board meeting and that was just the beginning of this month. We hope to have updated federal regulations for subsistence fishing published in the final rule soon. This is a transition time, so it could mean anything. This proposed rule for the 2026 wildlife eegulatory cycle, published on February 7th, and we are accepting proposals through the 4th of April, as you know, and you have been working diligently to provide us with work. Since last fall's Council meeting, several OSM staff acted in different roles to help through the transition to Office of the Secretary. Starting this February, Chris McKee. He is the subsistence coordinator at BLM and a member of the ISC, came over to OSM from BLM to act as the Deputy Director for four months on our team. However, moving into this new year, that position is vacant. So, we're grateful for Chris, who is a former OSM staff person in his ability to help us for these next four months. We want to continue to remind you about the change in requirements for U.S. travelers who must be real ID compliant to Board domestic flights beginning May 7th of 2024. Even for rural flights here in Alaska. For our program, you will need to have your real ID and time to travel for your fall 2025 meeting.

If you have any questions, work with Brooke and she'll help you. I'm sure you all have been kept up to speed on this.

4 5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

1

Correspondence, we are aware of concerns that have been raised on timely responses. We're continuing to work on ways to help expedite things as we move forward. Correspondence between Councils and the Board or Councils moving on through the Board to the Secretaries. You should have received a handful of responses earlier this year, maybe even at the beginning of last year from the Secretaries, based on issues raised during individual Council meetings and the All Council meeting this past winter and OSM is also integrated a correspondence review standing agenda item in the Federal Subsistence Board meetings just to keep them appraised of all the ongoing and completed correspondence to and from the Councils. We're still trying to find a way to make sure that the Board members, as we'll probably be cycling through new ones are kept up to speed on all the issues and the communications that are important to you for them.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

21

And then finally, before I wrap up, I just wanted to give you all a heads up on some upcoming meeting dates that are important and we will have these posted on our website soon. The first is the Federal Subsistence Board summer work session. That is really a Council focused. We review the annual reports of the Regional Advisory Councils that you provide to the Board. The Board reviews responses prepared and approve or change them at that meeting. So, listening in to how that process might be of interest to any of you. You're all invited. We also now review the correspondences at that time. Again, any time the Board members come together; we're going to look at what's active in their correspondence files. So, they will be discussing any letters that you're sending to them or through them at that time. And then finally, that is also an opportunity in executive session for the Board to review new Council member nominations. And so, then at that point, the Board approves and sends recommendations to D.C. and we hopefully hear by the end of the year in time for the next winter cycle meeting.

48

49

50

We have scheduled tribal and ANCSA corporation Operation, consultations on wildlife proposals and closure reviews, August 12th and 14th of 2025. That's the first opportunity for the tribes and ANCSA Corporations to call in and provide comments on

the proposals themselves. Just the proposals. Then we provide a second opportunity for consultations following the winter meeting, after everyone has benefited from not just hearing the proposals but, hearing the analyses. And the tribes then have another opportunity to provide more informed feedback on these analyses based on what they've heard at the Council meetings and what's kind of developed over time.

We've got meeting windows coming up for 2026. And I say this because this year We had some big challenges with important meetings kind of overlapping. And I hear you're all talking about the Board of Fish meeting coming up. There's North Pacific Fisheries Management Council meetings coming up. Our regulatory cycle makes it almost like clockwork. We can kind of we can look at any year and say, we're going to meet within this two-week window. And so, if we know our cycles so well, we should advertise that two-week window. And as we get closer to it and as we see who else is meeting around that time, we'll try to flex it within that window. And so, the windows for 2026 are for the fish -- the FRMP, the Fisheries Resource management -- Monitoring Program work session is going to be around January 28th, 29th or February 4th and fifth. The wildlife regulatory meeting is going to be scheduled for the second and third week of April, one of those two weeks and the summer work session, we're looking at July 22nd, 23rd or July 29th or 30th, and we even have August 5th or sixth. I think that might have changed but, you'll see the windows our meeting windows on our website. Just as a good heads up to let you know that we'll try to be as flexible as possible with any other conflicting, important meetings. And with that, I'm done, and we're ready to take questions.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. Thank you for that. That was extremely concise and extremely understandable. So, take that as a compliment coming from the federal program. I say that with humor and respectfully, for I hope I pronounce this correct, Ciisquq. Okay. I just wanted to let you know that that really happy that you were able to come here and take the time to meet us in person. I'm a person -- I really like to meet face to face with people. It really helps. And I want you to know that the reason why we're still here and we're still awake is we run on passion, and we run on adrenaline here. And you've probably witnessed both of those during the meeting. But oftentimes it's a lot of adrenaline right now. But thank you for being

1 here. Appreciate it.

MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin for the record, I just want to say congratulations, Ciisquq. And I'm really grateful that you're in this role. It's really inspiring to see our people continue to advance in these management systems and in positions of decision making. And I'm really hoping that this new administration continues to support the work that we're doing and the work that you all are doing. There's more and more news that keeps coming out each day about especially federal Advisory Committees. So, I'm grateful for Robbin, your comments that you just put on record of the need for these Advisory Committees -- Councils and your continued support for the work that we do. So, thank you and (indiscernible).

## MS. SHOCKLEY: Mr. Chair.

MR. BASSICH: Member Bassich here again. Sorry if I could just -- I forgot to mention one of the things I really wanted you to be able to pass on to whomever you speak to at any level. At least from my perspective and I think it's shared amongst the people in this room. The federal program is, quite frankly, the only -- its critical to our future. It really is critical to our future. And it's right now critical to our existence. So those are -- I mean, that's why I'm here. Well, I'm going to stop with that because I'll probably get really emotional. But, just please pass that on. It's really important.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Who is next?

Dorothy, then Linda. Do you want to say hi (indiscernible)?

MS. SHOCKLEY: Dorothy Shockley. Again, thank you. Thank you for your report, Robbin. If we could have that in writing, please. And welcome, Ciisquq. Yeah. I am so happy to, well, to meet you, but also that you're in this role and just praying that you know, everything coming out of DC doesn't derail some of what's happening here today. So -- or in the future. So, thank you.

MR. WOODRUFF: This is Don Woodruff. I want to thank you guys for funding the North Pacific Management Council, to come here and help us. And it made a lot more sense to be able to talk to them on a personal level, rather than have to go to Nome and speak,

you know, from a podium to them. And I really appreciate that. We're all working together for the resources and for the people. Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you guys. I did -This is Brooke, for the record, I did just -- I want to
give credit where credit is due. And North Pacific did
fund their own travel here, and they were the ones that
initially reached out. We saw that as a very positive
movement and an attempt at relationship building, and
we hope that more opportunities for that sort of
conversation continue into the future. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I too thank you all for being here and thank you for stepping up and coming to be with us. I appreciate it if there's no other questions or comments. Thank you.

## (Pause)

Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. They said go fast.

UNIDENTIFIED: Good evening, Mr. Chair and Council members. I'm southern. I can't go fast. But this will be really fast. For seasoned Council members, you already know that OSM has two funding opportunities the Partners for Fisheries Monitoring program and the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. And both of them aimed at Alaska Native and rural Alaskan organizations and the Fisheries Resources Monitoring Program is a call for proposals based on the priority information needs that this Council created. And then Partners is really about getting staff in rural and Alaska Native organizations who participate directly in fisheries management. So normally by now we would have had the notice of funding opportunity for both of those. But it hasn't come through yet. But when it does, I will have Brooke notify everybody. I'm the contact person for the Partners program, and we can get you the contact person for the Fisheries program as well if you want it. But we'll definitely make sure that you all get an email that that funding opportunity has opened. So that's the end of my presentation.

## (Pause)

MS. MCDAVID: All right. Mr. Chair, with your permission, I'll just keep moving.

 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes, please.

MS. MCDAVID: Thomas, I just wanted to briefly show this table because you know, there were some comments about, like, what can we actually do to make change? And one of the ways that -- I mean, one of main things that you all do is you make recommendations to the Board on proposals. So, the Board just met at the beginning of February this month. It was their regulatory fisheries meeting. And I won't go through all of these. We can talk about them more at the fall meeting, but the Board did take action that was in line with all of your recommendations on all of these proposals, minus the statewide brown bear sale proposal that we already revisited earlier in this meeting. So those are, you know, eight or so recommendations that the Board relied upon you for, for advice and moved forward. So, thank you -- or in some cases, rejected. And if there's any questions about any of them, we can take those.

## (No response)

Hearing none. Next is some working group updates. I'll just say that we had a working group meeting the day before the RAC meeting started. We talked about the dall sheep management coalition and some management strategies that you all are interested in for the Eastern Interior region. And we also talked about some hunter ethics. If there's any actions that or the hunter ethics education and outreach initiative that you guys have been pushing. If there's any actions that you would like to see out of those meetings that working group meeting any of the working group members could speak up at this time.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd like to make a motion that we ask the Federal Subsistence Board or OSM to provide for a very small stipend to help support the hunter ethics program in the tune of somewhere between maybe 2 or \$5000 for printed materials and other needs that we might have to be able to facilitate some outreach.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  IRWIN: I'll second that. Olivia Irwin, for the record.

MR. BASSICH: So, Andy Bassich for the record. And just speaking to the motion, we had quite a good meeting. We met with some of the liaisons from both

000168 Fort Wainwright and Elmendorf, and we have a number of 1 ideas to try and further and expand the hunter ethics outreach. Some of it requires just notifications to the public. And so, in an effort to hopefully just make that a little bit more streamlined we're hoping that maybe we could get some money that would just be available to us at various times to do that outreach publicly. I 8 quess that's really about it. I don't want to get too in depth, but I think it would really benefit the 10 program, and I think it would streamline it and help us keep the momentum. And that's what we're trying to 11 12 establish now after -- reintroduction after Covid. 13 14 MS. IRWIN: Olivia Irwin here. I'll just 15 add that there was no funding for -- on the side of Eielson or of -- sorry or thank you, Eielson or 16 17 Wainwright to do the printing and production of these 18 flyers. We asked them so, that's why we're requesting. 19 20 MS. MCDAVID: And Council members, we 21 just passed out or  $\operatorname{--}$  it's just kind of an example 22 brainstorm flyer about some -- it came out of one of the 23 last working group meetings that the RAC had -- it's 24 just a starting point for discussion to create a flyer 25 that's centered around a message of hunter ethics that's 26 short and sweet and speaks to you know, the slogan of 27 hunt like an Alaskan. 28 29 MS. SHOCKLEY: Really quick. I also 30 handed out a Inupiag caribou hunting guide as well, that 31 was traditionally done by the Kiana elders and was 32 thinking that we could do something like this for our 33 area but, also who's on the working group? 34 35 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I was just going to 36 ask, are you volunteering? 37 38 (Simultaneous speech) 39 40 That's what you're doing. 41 bringing materials. 42 43 MS. MCDAVID: Dorothy, 44 correctly, you are on it. 45

if I recall

MS. SHOCKLEY: Oh. Okay.

MS. MCDAVID: It just hasn't met in a while before this meeting we just had on Tuesday.

49 50

46

47 48

1 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: We have a motion on the floor, it needs to be reinstated, or question called. MR. BASSICH: Yeah, the motion was --5 member Bassich here. Yeah. The motion was that the Eastern Interior RAC would request a small stipend from either OSM or the Federal Subsistence Board to help aid 8 in the promotion of hunter ethics for printing outreach flyers and other public notifications as 10 needed. 11 12 MS. IRWIN: Question, Olivia Irwin. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. All those in 15 favor signify by saying aye. 16 17 IN UNISON: Aye 18 19 All those against same sign? 20 21 (No response) 22 23 Thank you. Passes. 24 25 MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And 26 then one last thing about the working groups, BLM had sent you all a letter requesting a little bit more 27 28 clarity and information about what you're hoping to get 29 out of the coalition, and maybe some of the goals and 30 some of that was discussed in the working group. And you 31 pointed to the section in your draft management strategies that that Don put together that had you know, 32 33 spoke to the long -term sustainability of sheep in the 34 region and collaboration on research and surveys. And I 35 believe if you wanted to respond to BLM and those copied 36 on that letter we could do that. I just wanted to make 37 sure it was on the record. 38 39 MR. BASSICH: Do you want a motion? Mr. 40 Chair, member Bassich here. I'd like to make a motion. 41 Make a motion that we write a letter to BLM. In regards 42 to our progress on forming a sheep coalition and, include 43 topics discussed at that working group meeting. 44 45 MS. IRWIN: Member Irwin. Second. 46 47 MR. BASSICH: And I guess I'll just

reference some of the comments we've already had. Thank you, to support that. Okay. I'll try. It's getting late. The motion was that the -- request that the Eastern

Interior RAC write a letter to BLM to inform them of the topics discussed during the Sheep Management Co -- Working Group to help further formation of a working group and inform them.

5 6

1

MS. IRWIN: Member Irwin. Call for question.

7 8 9

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: So, I guess you just reinstated it. Don't have to do it again. Okay, we're at vote now. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

11 12 13

10

IN UNISON: Aye.

14 15

All those against same sign. Hearing none passes. Thank you.

16 17 18

19

20 21

22

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I did want to remind you all, there is a Fortymile Caribou working Group or Harvest Management Coalition meeting next week, and Don Woodruff will be your representative at that meeting. Member Bassich will also be there representing the AC from Eagle. Yeah. Go ahead, Andy.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Thank you. I don't know if it's necessary. I think Don and I both have a pretty good feel for what this Eastern RACs position is on a lot of the caribou but, I just really wanted to briefly. I've been thinking about this a few of the things that I'd really like to make sure that we adopt into the new harvest management plan is a reasonable target for the caribou herd. Very briefly, in the past, we've oftentimes seek the highest number of caribou thinking that would be the best benefit. But over time, looking at data, it appears that keeping the harvest -or keeping the herd at somewhere between 50 and 60,000 seems to keep it much more stable. So, we don't have those fluctuations in die offs. So that's one of the things I want to try and promote. So basically, what I'd really like to see us promote from our perspective is to try and maintain a sustainable harvest. Whether it's a little bit higher or a little bit lower doesn't really matter. What's most important for the people on the land is to have some opportunity every year, as opposed to one year you're able to shoot two, the next three years you can't shoot any. That's one of the things that I would really like to see in the plan. And I wanted to make sure to bring that to you here, to make sure that that is also in alignment with your perspectives on that. But that's one of the key things that I'm going to try

and focus in on and make sure that we have in the plan so that we don't have this up and down harvest or crash. That, you know, all the data shows to these really high numbers beginning to create crashes. So, I don't think the land can support those high numbers. And that's why we have a lot of these undulations in the population, undulations of ungulates.

MR. WOODRUFF: The reason we were late for lunch is because we were in consultation with BLM about exactly this stuff. And one of the things that came out was that Eagle Summit and American Summit are a situation where the caribou come up over a pass and they stall at the at the road system because they have a history of really bad experiences when they hit the road. And these 20-year-old cows turn around and mill around, and then they go back where they come. And so, we're going to advocate that those areas are closed for harvest so that the caribou can cross the road system and move out into the landscape and do well on the habitat. And we'll see what the State -- if the State will support that.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I want to add really quick that another thing that I don't like is when they say that they have to kill extra amount of animals because of lack of food, and then they let side by sides and four wheelers ride all over the rest of the food. Just don't make sense to me. That's not hunting, you know. I just want to make that comment.

MR. BASSICH: So, I guess maybe what I was asking for is, is there any opposition or is there any other thoughts that -- from our Council that you'd like to bring to the discussion? Because we're here to support -- Don's here to support your position. But being a part of this position, you know, there's strength in numbers.

MS. MCDAVID: I just had one thing that I recall came up during the working group meeting that you would may or may not want to put on the record here. And that was about the membership of the coalition and people in close proximity to the herd versus people and outside, further away. And if you wanted to speak to any of that.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I'll speak to that. After our meeting that day, I called up Leif, who is the Chair of the Fortymile AC and we had a discussion about

it. And what he remembered of our previous arrangements in the coalition is that the Mat-Su and Anchorage would be welcomed voting members but, we stipulated because at the time they were trying to increase the coalition all around this kind of all around the State, we agreed to, in the coalition that the home communities of the caribou herd would always maintain a majority in the vote. And so, when he reminded me of that, I think that was the way it worked. So, I think we're on the same page with that. So, it's not a concern, I guess, is what I'm trying to say. Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  WOODRUFF: Mat-Sue and Anchorage should not have a vote that cancels me out.

MS. MCDAVID: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. I will quickly — let me get it up here on the screen. Turn your attention to tab number seven in your supplemental materials is copies of all of the correspondence that you sent this year or since the last meeting, there were 14 letters. And then you received four letters from the Office of the Secretary of Interior. And I'm not going to go through all of them. But I just wanted to check in to see if there is any additional correspondence that you would like to send before we wrap up this meeting.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Oh, sorry. Because of the testimony that we heard here today about the D1 lands Cape Nome closure, can we send another letter or. Oh. Thank you. I so move.

MS. BURK: Second. Eva. I don't think we know what we're doing, right. I think everybody's in support of this.

 $\,$  MS. IRWIN: So, Olivia Irwin here, I would just reference our comments or the public testimony and further comments that we had earlier in the day about the D1 lands. And I would call the question.

MS. MCDAVID: And just to clarify for the record, I'm pretty sure I know your intent, but this would be a letter to the Board that you would ask to be forwarded to the new Secretary of Interior. Thanks — and Agriculture.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. Everything is in order. Ask for unanimous consent. All those in favor

9

Passes. Thank you.

10 MS. MCDAVID: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 12 The -- I already let you know that we are recruiting for 13 the young leader seat, and hopefully by the end of the 14 year when we have the appointments for the Council, we'll also get an appointment for that seat. We did have at 15 16 least one applicant that submitted a letter of interest for our region. So, we'll look forward to hopefully 17 18 welcoming them in the future. And I am not at liberty 19 to say who the applicant is. You may talk amongst yourselves if you have heard rumors. The final thing of 20 21 business that I need to let you guys know is the last 22 meeting I told you that we might be moving toward having 23 debit cards for your per diem instead of paper checks. 24 We have been told that that is something that we have 25 to do. The government is moving away from paper checks. 26 I don't know all the reasons but, some of it is for 27 security purposes. And so, you have an option if you are 28 in a financial position where you don't feel like you 29 need a travel advance at the beginning of the meeting, 30 you can elect to have direct deposit of your per diem 31 into your bank account after the meeting if that is 32 something you're interested in. Talk to me, we will fill 33 out a form. You -- I'll need your banking information, 34 etc. We'll get that to our admin people and then you can 35 receive direct deposit. It usually is within a couple 36 of weeks of the meeting. So otherwise, everyone for the 37 fall meeting will be given a new debit card. It'll have 38 your per diem travel advance like you get at the start 39 of the meetings now on a check. And that'll just be a 40 card that you'll keep with you, and they'll deposit the 41 rest of your per diem onto that card after the meeting. 42 You don't need to turn it back in. You can go to the 43 ATM, pull out the cash, if that's what you prefer. Or you can use it to pay for meals and taxis and things 44 45 while you're traveling. So that is my final update, and 46 I'll send an email about that to remind you of that 47 choice. Thanks.

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much for all of that. Now, we are at 16. Council member

1 closing comments.

2

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

MR. BASSICH: I'm going to go really quickly. I want to really thank Brooke for running an incredible meeting. I got to say, she always does an incredible job. I know it's just a broken record but, I gotta [sic] say it, I want to thank Marcy and Barbara for sitting through. I really appreciate you being here. And I know it's hard to sit through and then have to rush through your presentations but, really recognize that also folks from BLM and other people still in the audience. I really appreciate that you stick with us. It's hard. The only other comment I wanted to make is, this is one of the best meetings I've been to in a long time, and I think why I really enjoyed it is there's been a lot of discourse from a lot of different people and recognizing that maybe two days isn't enough. And I, you know, I don't mind putting in the long hours but, what's most important to me is to have thorough discussion and good decision making. And if it means that we need a third day to some of our meetings, I would just like to put that out on the table if it's possible to do that, because we -- this region is experiencing -- I'm just going to use the word hell from all angles. And so, we have a lot to talk about and a lot to work on to save or save ourselves and save our resources. So, I just wanted to kind of put that out there. So OSM is aware of our needs, and I think we may be a little bit unique in that sense. That's all I have, Mr. Chair, thank you very much for Chairing a great meeting, and thank you for the new members who have come on Board. Really appreciate you guys being here. And you're not a guy. So, I appreciate you being here as well.

343536

MS. IRWIN: I've been kicking him under the table this whole time.

37 38 39

40

 $$\operatorname{MS.\ MCDAVID}\colon \operatorname{Oh},\ \operatorname{Olivia},\ \operatorname{before}\ \operatorname{you}\ \operatorname{go},$  can I respond?

41 42

MS. IRWIN: Yes.

43 44

45

46

47

48

49 50 MS. MCDAVID: Real quick. Thanks. Sorry, this is Brooke. I hear you, Andy, about the three-day meeting, and I almost think that for this Council, it would be better to go ahead and schedule three day meetings. And then if it looks like we can get through the agenda in two days, we shorten it because I don't know about y'all but, I am exhausted with these long

days and it's hard on everyone. You know, these are 1 really important issues, and it's not fair for people to be going without dinner and trying to hang on because you care so much and everybody is exhausted. So, with 5 that in mind for our 2026 calendar I think if we went ahead and adjusted those dates that you chose yesterday to cover the Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday instead of 8 just the Tuesday, Wednesday or the Thursday or the Wednesday Thursday, that that would help at least have 10 it on the calendar. And so that would be March 3rd through 4th for the winter 2026 meeting, and that would 11 12 be April 6th through eighth for the fall 2026 meeting. 13 And I guess -- oh, Robbin. Yes. Third through fifth is 14 what I meant. Yeah. Though I made notes on top of the 15 bad calendar that's in the meeting book. But I think the 16 intent is there. And I'm seeing unanimous consent from everyone in the room so, we'll do that. Thank you for 17 18 bringing that up, Andy. I think it'll make it a lot 19 easier for all of us.

20 21

22

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. IRWIN: Okay. This is Henaayee, for my closing comments. I just want to say thank you to all the Council members for supporting all the new members through this process. I appreciate the help with motions. I appreciate the corrections when necessary. I appreciated Sue for her knowledge of sheep that we could lean on. And I'm really looking forward to getting more and more comfortable on the Council and all of us together, finding our voices and being able to share more about the regions that we're from. I'm really hoping that in winter I can get to know our Galen and even a little bit better, you know, Arctic Village in Fort Yukon. What's going on in our upriver territories. I want to say thank you to everybody who testified. It -coming as somebody -- this is my first time sitting on this side of the table. And it's extremely nerve wracking. And oftentimes we are traumatized by experiences of not being heard by management systems. So, it takes courage to come up and tell your story. So, thank you to everybody who testified and reported out to us. I'm grateful that North Pacific came. I hope that that conversation continues. And I just want to say on the record that this Council is extremely necessary to ensure that federally qualified users meet their subsistence needs. It's also necessary to make sure that everybody in the state of Alaska meets their subsistence needs, because we're making recommendations not only to federal management systems but, throughout statewide as well. And with that, my final thank you is for Brooke who runs an amazing meeting, and we would not be able

to be as efficient as we were without her. So, baasee' to everybody.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Thank you. Thank you again. Everyone here, it was really good to have new members and see some more of our indigenous people on the Board. That's great. And thank you to Brooke always. Or I don't know (indiscernible) was but thank you for that every day. Appreciate that. You know sometimes, you know, meetings can be tough and, you know, relaying our message and trying to be effective and those kinds of things. I mean, you know sometimes I get really frustrated because of the different silos or, you know, circles that we're in and trying to bring them all together. I just like to see in the big picture and how we can, you know, be more efficient, I guess. But all in all, I appreciate everyone and all the comments and all of the actions that we do take. And yeah, just look forward to seeing everybody. Well, Ι'm discombobulated about where I -- you know, what season we're in. Yeah. So yeah. Thank you. Appreciate it.

MR. WOODRUFF: This is Don speaking. I want to thank all the staff and the audience that helped us out with this technical information. BLM, Park Service, Fisheries, and the people that testified. I think we got some real good testimony today. The Council members, I think everybody's up to speed now. We're going to expect a lot more. Brooke, you're doing a great job. Thank you. And there's just one more thing I want to say that has numbers in it. And that Fish and Wildlife did a survey or a count of the population that depends on this fishery. And it's 25,000 people, including our brothers and sisters in Canada. And if we gave one fish to each person, that would be the total escapement. That's where we're at. And that's why I'm so passionate that we have to fix this problem. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Sue, are you online to do your closing remark?} \\$ 

MS. ENTSMINGER: Hi. Can you hear me?

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I can hear you. You have the floor. Okay. \\$ 

MS. ENTSMINGER: Hey, I just want to let you all know that I've been listening from the minute I got in the car and could dial in but, then I would get disconnected often, but I heard, I think 50% of it till

1 5:00. I heard everything from five on. That's when I finally reached my home. And I want to say a big, big welcome to the three new members. I can see just in -your involvement in this process that you are very tuned 5 in. And I deeply appreciate that. I wish I could have been there and look you all in the eye. But not possible this time. But I do want to thank each and every one 8 that puts their time in for this, because it's very, very involved. And I really appreciate you, Dorothy, 10 because I share a lot of your frustrations at times. But you guys are awesome. And I really appreciate Brooke, 11 12 because Brooke -- without a coordinator and someone to 13 do all the work that we sit here and talk about, we 14 would have nothing because of the volunteer. That's too 15 difficult to do. So, I deeply, deeply appreciate all of you. And Charlie, good job. And, Eva, I enjoy your 16 knowledge too, all of you. I deeply, deeply love to hear 17 18 everything that you share with us. And thank you very 19 much.

20 21

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Sue. You must have been going real fast. We appreciate you and your knowledge and teaching about sheep. Thank you.

232425

22

MS. ENTSMINGER: Okay. You're welcome. You guys have a good one. Safe trip home.

26272829

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

MS. BURK: Thanks Sue, the speed demon. I'm exhausted. And I'm really grateful for everybody here and the productive conversations. Lots of different thoughts. The favorite part of my of the meeting for me is everyone's Council member reports and hearing what's going on in your region. That's really helpful. I was grateful for people's input on different parts of the motions and that we were -- in different proposals that we were taking up for different -- motions for different proposals that we were taking up. So, I'm grateful for that and I think adding an extra day is really smart, because I feel like we have so many issues going on, and I don't think things are going to get easier and get any quicker. I think they're going to take more time. So just thank you all for putting in the hard hours. I'm grateful for the new members and we -- hey, guys, we're getting out of here before nine. So, my first meeting was till 9 p.m. I'm pretty sure one of the first ones. So, Thank you.

46 47 48

49

50

MR. ALEXANDER: I'd like to thank -- my name is Gerald. I'll catch you. Anyway. These two over here man. I want to sit somewhere else next time. Get

1 harped on them all day. Anyway, I'd like to thank you all for allowing me to sit here now. Well, actually, it's a learning curve, you know. And I don't expect myself to just jump right in. It's not -- I mean, it 5 compared to what I've been through with the police 6 commission and the Council, it's a whole different ballgame, being having to sit with all your intelligent 8 people and trying to catch up in one day. I don't think 9 so. But anyway, it's new and it's -- I'm thinking it's 10 possible, you know, and I'm like -- I'm enjoying every minute of it. But, you know, I had a little hard time 11 12 at the beginning because I had a little family problem this morning and I had to vent it. And luckily a friend 13 14 of mine here was -- allowed me to do that, and it sort 15 of just drifted away. But it's still here. But anyhow, 16 I'd like to thank you, and I'm looking forward to more 17 meetings. Thank you.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

MR. GILBERT: Galen Gilbert. Arctic Village. So, I came into this meeting, I didn't know what to expect. But you know, when I first got elected, my tribal administrator told me, you know, that's what Charlie Wright does, and I kind of got a picture of it. So, you know, that made me comfortable because and then walking in, you know, I see Andy from like below zero and I saw Donald Woodruff somewhere and I knew, you know, everybody, you know, was a good guy. And then I sit next to, yeah Gerald Alexander, I know him, but you know. And then Linda here, I traveled with her and Juneau and about 20 years ago. So, I was very comfortable when I got here. And like I said, I didn't know what to expect. But I have to admit, after first day yesterday, I went to my room and I called -- my grandpa calls me and asks me, how was it? I said, how you know, I've been to a lot of meetings, you know, being chief and Council member. I said I really, really enjoyed that. You know, not only I get to talk but, listen about different regions and talk about my way of life. I'm 100% for my way of life, the subsistence way of life. And it was really awesome being here, and I really, really enjoyed it. And I just like to thank each and every one of you here. And you know, staff as well made me very comfortable. And yeah, I'm just, you know, I had a change in leadership. But, you know, I think that's God's way of showing me that, you know, hey, do this, you know. So, I'm very happy right now. Mahsi' choo, so everybody (In Native).

47 48 49

50

MS. EVANS: Linda Evans here. I, too, am very happy to see our young people here sitting at the

table with us. They have a lot of knowledge in them, and 1 I'm just impressed by their input and the questions that they ask. I know I don't speak up a lot but, all of you, you know, are always speaking up and saying, you know, 5 and I -- it's no use to repeat. I believe what you said, 6 you know so, that's good. You know, where I do have -when I do have something to bring up, I do. So, this was 8 a pretty -- very good meeting. And lots of issues, you 9 know, and it's not issues that -- I mean, years ago, I 10 used to be on the Yukon River panel, you know, talking about the salmon coming up the river and having problems, 11 12 then worried about how much fish, you know, we can have 13 and Area M, all this kind of stuff we talked about 20, 14 30 years ago. And we're still talking about them today. 15 But I'm very hopeful in that, you know, we'll see some 16 action in the different arenas that everybody walks in. 17 And I think you know, I'm very hopeful that my 18 grandchildren will be able to eat salmon, you know, and eat the resources off the land because of the decisions 19 20 that we're making here, and other people are listening 21 to us. I think that's, you know, only thing that I always 22 think about is my grandmother, Galena Starr, always 23 said, you gotta take care of your land, take care of 24 your animals, you know, have respect for them so that 25 they'll always come back to you. And I see that here. A 26 lot of people talk about it, you know, and it's really good. And I just -- I don't know, I'm grateful to be 27 28 here. Thank you.

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Linda, for your wisdom. Thank you for being here. I'd like to thank all of you for being here and sacrificing your time away from your families and your lives. It's really hard. This is really hard work we're doing, and our future generations depend on us. I really like to thank Brooke because like I said earlier, I tell her all the time she just probably gets tired of it. But without you, I couldn't do this. There's so much work and so hard and so much work. Yep. I appreciate all of you. It's very important work. We're at a time, like a tipping time, where our resources are dwindling. We have to be ever so vigilant and keep working hard for our future generations. So, I appreciate everybody that came and presented today. It's all good. Ladies back there against the wall. Oh, you're not a lady. We appreciate you, too. I could tease him. We've known each other for a while now. I appreciate the people in the audience that came to testify today. It was great testimony, and I appreciate you all and appreciate your time and safe travels home. God bless you all and see you again soon.

```
000180
 1
    Thank you for being here.
 3
                    MR. BASSICH: Motion to adjourn.
 4
 5
                    MS. IRWIN: Second. That was Andy and
 6
    Olivia.
 7
 8
                    CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Can you reinstate
9
    that?
10
11
                    MR. BASSICH: I was thinking maybe just
12
    because it's kind of -- it's a little bit late. Let's
13
    adjourn.
14
15
                    CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. All those in
16
    favor, please signify by saying aye.
17
18
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
19
20
                    All those against same sign. Thank you
21
    all, everybody.
22
23
                    MS. MCDAVID: Thank you. The meeting is
24
    adjourned at 7:19 pm.
25
26
                     (Off record)
27
28
                    (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

00018	81
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	I, Rafael Morel, for Lighthouse Integrated
7	Services Corp, do hereby certify:
8	
9	THAT the foregoing pages numbered $\underline{1}$ through
10	180 contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
11	EASTERN INTERIOR ALASKA SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY
12	COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME II recorded on the 20th day of
13	February;
14	
15	THAT the transcript is a true and
16	correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
17	thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced
18	to print to the best of our knowledge and ability;
19	<u>.</u>
20	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
21	party interested in any way in this action.
22	
23	DATED at Isabela, Puerto Rico this 10th
24 25	day of March 2025.
25 26	
27	Rafael Morel
28	Chief Project Manager
29	Chief Floject Manager
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	