```
0001
 1
                          SOUTHEAST ALASKA SUBSISTENCE
 2
                           REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                                 PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
 7
                                    VOLUME I
 8
 9
                             TED FERRY CIVIC CENTER
10
                               Ketchikan, Alaska
11
                                October 22, 2024
12
13
14
15
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
    Donald Hernandez, Chair
16
17
   Cathy Needham
18
   John Smith III
19
   Patricia Phillips
20 Albert Howard
21
   James Slater
22 Theodore Sandhofer
23 Frank Wright
24 Harvey Kitka
25
    Larry Bemis
26
   Calvin Casipit
27
   Michael Douville
    Louie Wagner
28
29
30
31
32
    Regional Council Coordinator, DeAnna Perry
33
34
35
36
37
    Recorded and transcribed by:
38
39
    Lighthouse Integrated Services Corp
40
    787-239-0462
41
    Info.@lighthouseonline.com
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

1	PROCEEDINGS
2 3	(Ketchikan, Alaska - 10/22/24)
4 5	(On record)
6	
7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Good morning, everybody. We can call our meeting to order here very shortly. As is custom at the beginning of our meetings if anybody would like to give an invocation, we will allow that. I'm looking over Orville Lind over there. You've done it in the past. Did you did you want
13	to give an invocation this morning? Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. If we all stand, please. Heavenly father, Lord, we just come to you and give you all the praise and glory, father, that you would just bless us, father, with your wisdom and knowledge to go through the days we have here with you, father, and to honor and respect each other, father, to work together to figure all these issues we have before us. Father, God bless each and every one of us to talk honestly and respectfully to each other. Make this meeting glorious, father, that we may learn from each other and bless each and every one of them. In Jesus name we pray. Amen.
28	IN UNISON: Amen.
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Orville. And now I think we have just about our whole Council here. Maybe one person has yet to show up coming in on a flight this morning. So, we can start. I will now call the meeting to order, and we'll establish a quorum with a roll call. Let's see our Secretary, Frank is not here yet. I know he's with us, but. DeAnna, do you have the roll there?
38 39 40 41	MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Larry Bemis.
42	MR. BEMIS: Here.
43 44	MS. PERRY: Cal Casipit.
45 46	MR. CASIPIT: Here.
47 48	MS. PERRY: Michael Douville.
49 50	MR: DOUVILLE: Here.

```
0003
 1
 2
                     MS. PERRY: Ted Sandhofer.
 3
 4
                     MR. SANDHOFER: Here.
 5
 6
                     MS. PERRY: Albert Howard.
 7
                     MR. HOWARD: Here.
 8
 9
10
                     MS. PERRY: Patricia Phillips.
11
12
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Here.
13
14
                     MS. PERRY: Louie Wagner.
15
16
                     MR. WAGNER: Here.
17
18
                     MS. PERRY: Harvey Kitka.
19
20
                     MR. KITKA: Here.
21
22
                     MS. PERRY: John Smith.
23
24
                     MR. SMITH: Here.
25
26
                     MS. PERRY: Cathy Needham.
27
28
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Here.
29
30
                     MS. PERRY: Frank Wright.
31
32
                     (No response)
33
34
                     And Jim Slater.
35
                     MR. SLATER: Here.
36
37
38
                     MS. PERRY: And Don Hernandez.
39
40
                     CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Here.
41
42
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. You
     have 12 of your seated 13 Council members, you have a
43
44
     quorum.
45
46
                     CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
47
     DeAnna. Do you have some announcements for us here before
48
     we move on?
```

MS. PERRY: I do, Mr. Chair. For the 1 2 record, my name is DeAnna Perry, Council Coordinator for the Southeast Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. This is a fisheries regulatory meeting, and we 5 do have a packed agenda for the Council. So, I have quite a few housekeeping announcements to go over. For those joining us on phone or by Microsoft Teams, you can 8 find the agenda and meeting materials online. That's at 9 Federal Subsistence Program website. And the 10 internet address is www.doi.gov/subsistence. Under the choose Southeast 11 Regions tab, and then Meeting 12 Materials. For those attending our meeting in person, 13 please make sure that you sign in at the front table. 14 As you came in this first set of double doors, it would 15 be to your right, right there on the corner of the table, 16 and we'd ask for you to sign in every day that you're 17 present. If folks in the room would like to address the 18 Council during the meeting, please fill out a blue testifier form. It's a half sheet, and it's also on the 19 20 intake table next to the door. That helps us keep track 21 of anyone who'd like to speak. And also, if you could 22 indicate if your testimony is for a specific agenda item, 23 we can call on you at the appropriate time. The Chair 24 will announce the time for tribal and public comments 25 on non-agenda items each morning. That will be an 26 opportunity for those present, as well as those 27 participating on the phone to speak on non-agenda items. 28 We would ask you to hold any comments on proposals or 29 agenda items until such time as they come before the 30 Council so that the Council can hear all pertinent 31 information when they're working on that item. For those 32 folks on the phone or on Teams we'll provide further 33 instruction later on how to speak during public comment 34 opportunities. We would appreciate everyone's attention 35 to the mute feature on the phone and on Teams so, that 36 we can mitigate distractions in the meeting and be able 37 to capture a clear record of our proceedings. For this 38 regulatory meeting, if you would like to provide a 39 comment on a particular proposal, you can speak during 40 the proposal process or you may consider submitting a 41 written comment instead. You can do that by emailing 42 comment to subsistence@doi.gov --43 subsistence@ios.doi.gov. Those will be sent to myself 44 and staff and will be shared with the Council. 4.5

A reminder to folks in the room who might have not attended a meeting before. Our meetings are conducted by Robert's Rules. It helps us provide structure and maintain order throughout the meeting.

50 Because of our very full agenda, we'd like everyone to

1 be respectful of the Council's responsibility for managing their time to cover all the action items that we have, and those are marked on the agenda with an asterisk. And copies of the agenda as well as meeting 5 books are on the intake table again, just as you come in the front door. One last thing, I'd like to bring 6 folks attention that we are capturing a recording of 8 this meeting a little bit differently than we have in 9 the past. The previous court reporters for our program, 10 who probably knew everybody by name and voice will no longer be covering our meetings. So, it will be extremely 11 12 important for everyone to identify themselves each time 13 they speak. We are welcoming Jonathan Butzke with 14 Talking Circle Media to serve as a recorder. He'll then 15 hand off his recording to a transcription service, and 16 they won't have the benefit of putting voices to faces and name plates. So, if we could remember to identify 17 18 ourselves when we speak, that would be great. Before we 19 begin, Mr. Chair. And for those in the room, we would 20 ask you to take a moment to look at your cell phones and 21 silent any ringers, and with that, Mr. Chair, thank you 22 for letting me take care of those housekeeping items.

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, DeAnna. And just let the record show that Frank Wright is now present. So, we have a full Council all present for this meeting so, that's good. So, let's start off with some introductions, and I'll just ask that for the record, go around briefly, and each Council member can introduce themselves and say where they're from. I'll start over there with Larry Bemis.

31 32 33

MR. BEMIS: Larry Bemis from Yakutat.

343536

Thank you.

MR. SANDHOFER: Good morning. This is Ted Sandhofer from Petersburg.

37 38 39

MR. DOUVILLE: Good morning. Mike Douville from Craig.

40 41

42 MR. SLATER: Good morning, Jim Slater 43 from Pelican.

44

45 MR. HOWARD: Good morning. Albert Howard 46 from Angoon.

47

48 MR. PHILLIPS: Good morning. Patricia 49 Phillips, Pelican.

50

1 MR. KITKA: Good morning. Harvey Kitka 2 from Sitka, Alaska. 3 4 MR. WRIGHT: Good morning. Frank Wright 5 from Hoonah. 6 7 MS. NEEDHAM: Good morning. Cathy Needham 8 from Juneau. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Don Hernandez 11 from Point Baker. 12 13 MR. WAGNER: Louie Wagner, Metlakatla. 14 15 MR. SMITH: John Smith III, Juneau, 16 Alaska. 17 18 MR. CASIPIT: Calvin Casipit. I'm from 19 Gustavus. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, 22 Council members. And we do have a number of staff people 23 that are meeting that assist us. Maybe we could have the staff just introduce themselves. And maybe we'll start 24 25 with you. Orville. 26 27 MR. LIND: Good morning, Chair, Council 28 members. Orville Lind, Native liaison for the Office of 29 Subsistence Management. It's good to see everyone here. 30 31 MR. AYERS: Mr. Chair, members of the 32 Council, Scott Ayers. I'm the Fisheries Division 33 supervisor at the Office of Subsistence Management. And 34 I'll be the LT Lead for this meeting. I hope we have a 35 great and productive meeting, and I'm looking forward to all the great discussions. Thank you. 36 37 38 DR. VICKERS: Good morning. Great to see 39 everyone here. This is Brent Vickers, the anthropology 40 division supervisor for Office of Subsistence 41 Management. And I'm greatly looking forward to this 42 meeting. So, glad to see everyone here. Thank you. 43 44 MS. WESSELS: Good morning, Mr. Chair, 45 members of the Council. Katya Wessels, acting Deputy 46 Director for OSM. It's wonderful to see all of you here. 47 48 DR. ROBERTS: Good morning, Mr. Chair, 49 members of the Council. My name is Jason Roberts, 50 anthropologist with OSM. I'm happy to be here.

1 2	MS. MORROW: Good morning, Mr. Chair,
3 4 5	members of the Council. My name is Kristen Morrow, I'm an anthropology pathways intern with OSM.
6	MR. CROSS: Good morning. My name is
7	Robert Cross. I'm with the Forest Service and I'm the
8	Tongass Subsistence Program manager.
9	
10	MR. MUSSELWHITE: Morning. I'm Jake
11	Musselwhite, North Zone subsistence biologist for
12	Tongass.
13	
14	MS. BOLWERK: Good morning, everybody,
15	Ashley Bolwerk. I'm the South Zone subsistence biologist
16	with the Tongass.
17	MG DAMOG. Cood warning and arrange Mar
18 19	MS. RAMOS: Good morning, everyone. My name is Kim Ramos and I'm an admin assistant for the
20	Forest Service and DeAnna's helper. Thanks.
21	rolest Selvice and Dealma S helpel. Inanks.
22	MR. CHEN: Hello, Council members. My
23	name is Glenn Chen. I'm the Subsistence Branch Chief for
24	the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Always a pleasure to attend
25	meetings.
26	
27	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you all. Is
28	there anybody on the telephone lines that would like to
29	introduce themselves? Staff.
30	
31	MS. LEONETTI: Good morning, Mr. Chair.
32	
33	(Simultaneous speech)
34	
35	MR. RISDAHL: Good morning, Mr. Chair.
36 37	CHAIDDEDCON HEDNANDEZ. Co chood I
38	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, I
39	hear
40	MR. RISDAHL: Please, go ahead.
41	rik. Kibbinii. Hease, go aneaa.
42	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Two people, so.
43	construction in the proof of th
44	MS. LEONETTI: Sure. I'll start. This is
45	Crystal Leonetti. I am the acting director for the Office
46	of Subsistence Management. I am Yup'ik and I live in
47	Anchorage. And my normal position is Alaska Native
48	Affairs Specialist for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
49	But I've been in this role for the last five months, and
50	I'm looking forward to fulfilling it until the end of

1 November. Glad to be here, Mr. Chair, and looking forward to a good meeting. Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 5 Crystal and somebody else. 6 MR. RISDAHL: Yeah. Hi, Don, this is Greg 7 8 Risdahl. Welcome to you and the Council, I'm happy to 9 be online. I'm sorry, I could not be there. Some family 10 things have kept me back here in town, but I will be 11 here for the entire meeting, and I'm looking forward to 12 it. Thank you very much. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, 15 Greg. Anybody else? 16 17 MS. DAY: Good morning mister -- yeah. 18 Good morning, Mr. Chair and everyone at the meeting. My 19 name is Janel Day, and I'm the cartographer for Office of Subsistence Management, and I wish I could be there 20 21 in person. Unfortunately, I will be attending remotely, 22 looking forward to the discussions 23 conversations that happen this week. Thank you. 24 25 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you. 26 Anybody else? 27 28 (No response) 29 30 Okay. I think we've covered it. Is 31 there.... 32 33 MR. WERWA: Good morning, Mr. Chair. Can 34 you hear me? 35 36 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead. 37 38 MR. WERWA: Hi, my name is Erick Werwa. 39 assistant Secretary for deputy Policy 40 Environmental Management within the Office of the Policy -- the Office of Policy, Management and Budget in Office 41 of the Secretary Interior, and you'll hear more about 43 this later in the meeting. But I am the new supervisor 44 for the director of the Office of Subsistence 45 Management. I'm learning -- trying to get up to speed 46 on subsistence management issues. And I've had the great 47 pleasure of joining a number of the RAC meetings 48 virtually throughout this season. I am currently 49 traveling in Hawaii so, I'm only gonna be able to attend

parts of this meeting. But I will try to be here as much

1 as I can and look forward to working with all of you and learning more about the issues that are important in Southeast. 5 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Very good. 6 Thank you. Anybody else? 7 8 MS. KLEIN: Good morning. My name is Jill 9 Klein, and I work with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 10 out of the Anchorage Regional Office. In my permanent position, I'm the Regional Subsistence Coordinator. So, 11 12 help support our regional director and her 13 participation as a Federal Subsistence Board member, and 14 I'm currently acting as our Deputy Assistant Regional 15 Director for Fisheries and Aquatic Conservation. And I'm 16 happy to join you for your meeting. Good morning. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. 19 Anybody else? 20 21 MS. DETWILER: No. One more. Yes, this 22 is Sue Detwiler calling in. I was formerly the director for Office of Subsistence Management until I retired in 23 24 December. And I'm currently temporarily helping the Office of the Secretary make -- help OSM make the 25 26 transition. So, I will be listening in for parts of this 27 meeting. Thank you. 28 29 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. 30 Anybody else on the line? 31 32 (No response) 33 34 Apparently not. Is there anybody in the 35 room who would like to introduce themselves that might 36 be representing a group or a tribal organization. You're 37 welcome to do so. Come up to the microphone if you want 38 to introduce yourselves. 41 42

39 40

MR. NIX: Good morning, everybody. My name is GeorgeNix. I'm here representing the Organized Village of Kasaan. I'm a Councilman and also the Food Security Specialist for the organization. So, thank you for establishing this forum.

43

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thanks joining us. Anybody else? Step up to the microphone, please.

48 49 50

MS. DOLL: Good morning. My name is Emily Doll and I'm representing Alaska Department of Fish and Game Division of Subsistence. And I'm here with my colleague.

MS. BROWN: Good morning. My name is Molly Brown. I'm a subsistence resource specialist for the Division of Subsistence focusing on Southeast.

MR. RAMP Good morning, Mr. Chair and Council. My name is Steve Ramp. I'm here primarily as a chaperone for Heather's wonderful group of high schoolers, but I also hold the resident sport fishing seat on the Sitka Fish and Game Advisory Committee. I'll be submitting a blue sheet to somebody to talk later. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. And I'll make note of the fact that we do have a group of students with us here for this meeting. I think there are nine, as I recall, from three different high schools around Southeast, which is great. And we'll probably give them an opportunity to speak with the Council at some point during the meeting if they wish to do so. So, just to acknowledge that they are here with us. So, very good. I think we have covered it. I don't see anybody else coming forward in the room, so. Let's see. Excuse me a second.

Okay. We can move on to reviewing and adopting the agenda for this meeting. So, at this point, we'll look for a motion to adopt the agenda as a guide. And we can make amendments here as we go forward. Cathy.

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move to adopt the agenda as a guide.

MR. SANDHOFER: Second.

 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you very much. Seconded by Ted Sandhofer. So let me say we have the agenda before us, and there probably need to be a few adjustments. I don't know if anybody on the Council wanted to add anything. I would like to maybe start off by taking note that we do want to add an action item, which would be any Council actions on regard to Board of Alaska -- Board of Fish proposals coming up this winter. And it's been suggested that we move that to Agenda Item I, as an action item. So, you might want to make note of that. Let's see, are there any other changes

or additions to the agenda from Council members?

1 2

(No response)

3 4 5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

Okay. I'd also like to point out that we do have a few time certain items on the agenda. So, in reviewing our agenda before the meeting, I wanted to make sure that this, you know, important issue of the determination for Ketchikan or non-rural determination affords the people in Ketchikan, you know, a good opportunity to testify here at our meeting. So, I set that agenda item as a time certain agenda item for tomorrow morning so that folks that wanna come and testify will not have to, you know, keep track of where we are on the agenda to know when to be here, if they want to testify. So, we will be taking up that item tomorrow morning, right after we have our normal opportunity for testimony on non-agenda items, where we start our meeting. And we also have a time certain for people from the Office of Management and Budget for this afternoon that want to address the Council. So that'll be at -- and that will be at 1 o'clock, right after lunch. It has to coincide with the time difference back in Washington, D.C. So, we really want to make sure that we're here at 1 o'clock to be able to do that after lunch. So, keep that in mind as we break for lunch, please be back by 1 o'clock. And we also have a time certain for Thursday morning from the National Park Service and the Department of Fish and Game wildlife director would like to be here on Thursday morning to talk about the wolf management plan. So, you might want to make a note of those on your agendas that we will be taking those up at those particular times. So, any questions from the Council or comments before we approve the agenda?

35 36 37

(No comment)

38 39

So, questions been called for. So, all in favor of approving this agenda as a guide say aye.

41 42

40

IN UNISON: Aye.

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Anybody opposed, say nay. Okay. Thank you. We have our agenda set. Next up on the agenda is to approve our minutes from our previous meetings. And we actually have two sets of minutes here because we have a set of minutes for the All Council meeting -- all the Councils attended up in Anchorage, which was -- also, during the course of that

27

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

00012 1 meeting, we had our individual Council meetings. So, there are meeting minutes for both of those meetings in the book. Hopefully Council members had a chance to look those over and once again we'll look for an -- a motion 5 to adopt the minutes. Cathy. 6 7 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I 8 move to adopt the minutes for March 8th and March 5th 9 through 6. 10 11 MR. CASIPIT: Second. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Second by Cal. 14 Thank you. Okay, Council members, if you have any changes 15 you like to note, you can do that now before we vote to 16 approve them. 17 18 (Pause) 19 20 Need a minute or so to look over in case you made any notes. 21 22 23 (Pause) 24 25

Nobody has anything to add. Can I call for a vote? Are we ready? Okay. All in favor of approving the minutes as published, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Anybody opposed, say nay. Okay. Thank you. Minutes have been approved. And now, on the agenda, it's time for the Council members reports. Opportunity for the Council to bring to our attention all the issues and happenings around their local communities. And we do cover the length and breadth of Southeast Alaska with our memberships. So, we'll start maybe with the Cal Casipit from Gustavus. Are you ready to give your report?

MR. CASIPIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm gonna try to keep this brief. I know we're trying to get through a bunch of stuff today, but our moose season went well, it was kind of warm. They didn't act like they normally did, but the quota was reached, I think, on the 4th of October. So, it closed early and there's 14 bulls, only one was sublegal. So, that -- the moose season went well. Halibut fishing was -- we live on icy straits so, if you know how to catch halibut, you got your halibut, so. The one issue that came up with a lot

1 of folks was the coho season with us up there. They were just really thin, and they moved through fast, and they didn't seem to hang around when they did come through. So, a lot of people had trouble getting their cohos this 5 year. But as far as the town and the community I kinda 6 measure how many people show up during the summer in Gustavus by how many people show up for the 4th of July 8 parade and the 4th of July parade this year, there was 9 so many people there. I don't know if anybody's been 10 there, but it basically runs from the Salmon River Park to what we call Four Corners. And usually there's just 11 12 a bunch of people around the park, over the bridge and 13 stuff that watch the parade. But there was lines of 14 people on both sides of the road, all the way down to 15 Four Corners. It was -- I couldn't believe the number of people that were in town this year -- this summer. 16 But anyway, that's about all I have. I tried, like I 17 18 said, tried to keep it short and move on. So, thanks.

19 20

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal. About the -- John Smith, Juneau, report for us.

212223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

MR. SMITH: (In Native) It's good to see your faces today. You know, deer season just starting, but it's seen from many folks, it's been pretty productive. Bear -- the number of black bears, they're going up pretty much. Seeing a lot of, you know, in the last few years bears, maybe one or two cubs, but now we're seeing them with three and four. And seeing them quite often and they're, you know, coming through town early in the morning. And I see that visually because I dropped my wife off pretty early in the morning and actually see them going through the garbage and whatnot. And in my neighborhood, it's rarely -- you don't see them, but they're getting pretty big, these bears. You know, I'm from Chichagof Island, Hoonah and, you know, I've seen the growth of them really getting bigger and bigger. As far as the salmon and talking, you know, I'm a mechanic so, I work on a lot of the gillnetter boats and stuff, keeping them working. But hearing that the numbers are about the same from last year, but the poundage of them have, you know, they're getting smaller, a lot smaller this year. So, you know, that's pretty much it. Thank you. It's good to see you all today.

45 46 47

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, John. Louie Wagner from Metlakatla.

48 49 50

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

MR. WAGNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I got a little help with mine so, I'm more accurate on my report. My granddaughter works for our fisheries in Metlakatla, so. This is coming from the work that they're doing every day. I'll start with the Department of Fish and Wildlife, DFW, current process is an emphasis on early (indiscernible) streams to monitor for hatchery strays in August, and then transitions to natural escapement survey process. DFW operates on a rotational index stream schedule that focuses on pink chum escapement and continues its monitoring effort into October. As for sockeye escapement, Upper Trout Lake is monitored bi-weekly. This occurs from mid-September into October. This year's harvest, our surveys suggest that the pink escapement is developing well. Heavy rain throughout the escapement season has fluctuated salmon's access to local creeks. Our index streams are used to help give a broad understanding of how the reserve is doing. For example, Ned Sahin and Hemlock are major index streams and have had acceptable returns that meet the creek's population capacity. Sockeye escapement is still being monitored and the surveys have shown promising results. Reflection and innovation, the European green crab on Annette Island and beyond. The Metlakatla Indian Community, Department of Fish and Wildlife, MIC, DFW, was the first organization to detect live European green crab, EGC in Alaska on Annette Island on July 19th, 2022. Since then, MIC has been on the front line of the invasion, working hard to monitor and trap the EGC while protecting and conserving Native species that are historically important for subsistence and cultural harvest. 2024 marks the second full year of EGC trapping that MIC has conducted, bringing new discoveries and understanding of EGC in Alaska.

343536

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

In June 2024, MIC, DFW conducted molt walk surveys alongside Alaska Sea Grant to evaluate areas in Southeast Alaska for evidence of EGC. Molts were found on Gravina Island and Bostwick Inlet. And MIC, DFW spearheaded a trapping effort that led to finding the first live EGC in Alaska State waters on June 26th, 2024. This led to a statewide response by ADF&G. On September 4th, MIC, DFW staff found 43 small live young of the year in a salt truck on the south end of Annette Island via hand removal. MIC, DFW brought seven staff members the next day and collected 119 EGC from the carapace width range of 8mm, 51mm. As of September 27th, there have been 913 EGC specimens hand removed from the Salt Chuck. And then MIC, DFW began on and continues to be on the leading edge of the EGC

1 invasion in Alaska and strives to understand the dynamics of EGC now and in the future. And we also had Keenan over here. He brought a bunch of the students over in a van, a couple of weeks ago now. And spent a 5 day with our fisheries crew going out and showing them 6 all the traps they have set on the green crab. So, maybe he can give a little bit of report on that when he talks. 8 It was really interesting and neat to see from K-High. And there's a note here that EGC did not and does not 10 originate in the Annette Islands. The EGC distributed by currently and the ballast water from 11 12 ships. So, that's it. Thank you.

13 14

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Louie. I'll move over to Larry Bemis from Yakutat.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. BEMIS: Thank you. I'm glad to be here and I'm sorry I missed the earlier meeting in Anchorage. I had some other things going on, medical stuff. So, I was unable to make that meeting. Overall, Yakutat just finished up on the moose hunt, but it took a little while, we just closed today. Started on the eighth for 30 subsistence use moose. And I would say, out of all the years that I've hunted it seemed like the temperature usually decreases sooner where the leaves fall and move the moose along. It seems like they haven't quite come out of the mountains as early as they normally do, and the cold weather just hit, say, in the last week or less. Overall, our problem with our moose hunting in Yakutat is we have been unable to have an aerial survey say, come in the fall when we get some snow so we can get an accurate count. We're going on about five, six years now without an accurate count of the herd and the strength of it. We've got an increase in the bears locally, along with the wolves are getting closer, and they're being seen in larger packs. But it's my concern that we don't really know where we're at in our moose stock is because you've got to wait until when the moose come out of the mountains and come down, and you got snow on the ground where you see the mountain, I mean, the moose herd up to where you can get an accurate count for each sector. We're seeing more outside people hunting on the east side of the Dangerous, which is -has a 30 most count, and it is looking like we're pretty good up on the harvest, now that goes through November 15th, I think or... Across the bay is slow, basically the Malaspina across the bay, the Malaspina Glacier side has 25 moose. There's a portion of people that go over there and hunt that. But again, it seems like we had a warmer fall, and that has a lot to do with the moose

getting together and herding up.

1 2 3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

2627

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Our salmon fishing starting off was a little slow for the sockeye, the king salmon, river king's overall, maybe we made, I think, half or two thirds of the goal. And I think it was last year we met our goal and they were seemed to be a little larger fish. And the sockeye return has been pretty good. Yeah, they were slow getting here and then they came at a pretty good lot. The silvers, the ocean silvers were not very good. I don't know if they just didn't show -usually, we got a pretty good flow of all the silvers, either going south or going to the salmon streams. They usually build up in a bay, and we get a couple weeks of ocean fishing on them. And it was pretty slow this year compared to others. But the river -- later the river fish showed up pretty good. And I have a feeling that some of that has to do with the temperatures, cause some of the rivers are a lot colder than the ocean, and they received good returns. The halibut has increased over last year. Last year was dismal, and I was very concerned that they did not set a quota or they didn't say that, hey, we're gonna watch this week by week or month to see whether we need to decrease or hold on the halibut quota system as far as sport and commercial. They pretty much left it open and said, we'll see what happens, because we don't know what the conditions of the ocean is doing or how it is gonna respond from -- last year was very dismal, it was at the point you couldn't even find any fish. Along with the longliners and commercially and subsistence. This year we have a little better return over last year, but it is hard to say without having any identified information about what the commercial is doing versus the sports doing versus subsistence. We don't have a real good baseline of -- you can take your average years, and then all of a sudden here we are just kinda like nil and then an increase. So, I'm not sure what is going on. But you know, we got ocean conditions and different things that we're dealing with as well.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Trying to establish some kind of --looking at our tour ships, the amount that is coming into the bay. We're very concerned that where we went from a few ships during Covid to an increase of 180, -90, and now we're up to 270 or -80. And these are massively big ships. They're having to take turns to go up to the glacier. And this increase doesn't seem to slow down. It doesn't -- we're not even sure how they justify how many boats you're allowed in that bay, but it's a big concern. My biggest concern is, if you look

at the emergent response equipment to handle something if it had an accident or some kind of disastrous situation where they started leaking oil or needed any help, we don't have the infrastructure there. The same 5 raft that was brought to Yakutat in a couple barges with 6 a small outboard running a chase boat to pull these things and stuff, would not meet in an emergency. There 8 isn't large boat there to really take care of them, 9 other than the pilot boat that comes and goes. But as 10 far as the response goes and the equipment needed to contain something, it's not there. That is one of my 11 biggest concerns about the -- possibly the pollution 12 13 that they leave behind in the bay and how long it's 14 gonna take before we start to see it on our shores. 15 There's just no way of recording -- having a record when 16 they dump their posted dump three miles offshore. But I 17 personally have seen remnants of small 150 feet by 18 several hundred yards of gray water or kind of like the 19 bilge being pumped out. And they don't do it in one big, large thing they cover, you know, 12,000 gallons goes a 20 21 long ways when they do a pump. So, what I'm seeing is 22 small amounts being pumped out. I'm really concerned 23 about having these many boats in our area and not being 24 able to monitor them and not knowing just what all they're leaving behind. It's a big concern and it's 25 26 something we're gonna have to deal with eventually, is 27 the amount of boats that come there. The safety of them 28 being there and the water conditions. Overall, I'm 29 hoping that the fish maintain what they have. Our winter 30 king fishery just started on the 8th, and there's only 31 a few people that are fishing it. And we've had some 32 LiDAR people from the Yurok tribe come up and do some 33 LiDAR work from up at the glacier all the way down to 34 Dangerous River. And this will really give us a good 35 look at all the salmon streams that are there and the 36 ones that used to be there. And one of the biggest 37 things, once these -- all I seen was a template of the 38 temporary black and white before the color and the 3D 39 gets put in. But one of the concerns about this LiDAR 40 I'm thinking is about the Hubbard Glacier is on a 41 traveling mode right now that it's hooking around 42 Gilbert's point, and it seems like it's going farther 43 in the fjord than normal. And the glacier is -- it looked like it backed off for a while and then it went forward. 44 45 But eventually, if this glacier closes off, it might be 46 a little more -- a little harder for it to break through 47 on this next go round. So, the possibility of having flooding in the Yakutat area is -- it got within, I 48 49 think 16 or 18 feet the last time it closed off. And 50 what that LiDAR is gonna do is show us the paths that

were taken when this happened, either what came down by 1 the Situk River or in the Situk River, or off the left of it, heading east down towards the Dangerous -- the Ahrnklin River area. So that is a very -- they are on a 5 grant through the tribe -- Yakutat Tlingit tribes, which also I'm a Council member, and we've had several 6 different LiDAR things shown in the different parts of 8 the Yakutat area, helping us with stuff we're doing in restoration for salmon streams and stuff on the roads 10 and whatnot close by. I am glad to be here, and I'm looking forward for this meeting. Thank you. 11

12 13

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,

Larry. Ted Sandhofer, Petersburg.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. SANDHOFER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the most part, in central Southeast. It's been a good year for subsistence harvesting. The moose harvest was well over 100 animals. That population is pretty strong in most areas. The deer populations are good. You know, hunting will start increasing here with the rut and the winter, but it's been pretty good. Halibut stocks seem to be down. You had to go further out to get them and sizes down, much like a was pointed out across there. I think it's gonna continue to be that way. I hope it improves. Chinook fishing was very limited, as in most areas in the Southeast, there was no subsistence fishing for chinook on the Stikine. Sockeye harvesting on the Stikine was average, I think it went all right. Coho were somewhat scarce, I think he had to put in a lot of effort to get them, but they were there. You know, one thing, Crystal Lake Hatchery had a concern with getting broodstock back to the hatchery this year, and they actually did a live capture event a couple of weekends. And it was pretty interesting. I think they got over 140 fish, most of them females. They went from the water to a four-wheeler and coolers up to the parking lot, into totes to the hatchery. Only one fatality, like I said. And that fish -- the smolt from those from that hatchery goes all over Southeast Alaska for all user groups. So, it's a good thing, hopefully that worked out well and I think it did. You know, there's still some concern with the sea otter population growth in central southeast. And I think that MOU with the tribes will help with that. I'm hoping that that's gonna help. Berry crops in Southeast were really good. My -- I was fighting with my wife for freezer space this fall, but the best it's been in years. And I think, you know, people are really happy about that. You know, the rural determination for Ketchikan was often discussed in Petersburg. And I think

we'll, you know, cover that later. The Keex' Kwaan Community Forest there in Kake -- Forest Partnership in Kake is presently analyzing household data and reports due out in early spring. I think it's going to really highlight the subsistence needs of that community. And I think that can be shared across the Tongass.

Now, there was a lot of restoration enhancement projects on stream courses in central Southeast. This not only helps the ecosystem health, but the fish populations and jobs for the communities, so that's good. The National Capital Christmas tree was harvested from Zarembo Island last weekend. It's actually in Wrangell right now, and it's gonna head to Washington, D.C., I think, next week. I saw all kinds of nice ornaments from all over Southeast and stuff, and it's something to be proud of. I think it's a good thing for the Tongass. I know we're gonna have a report from the Forest Service on the revision to the forest plan, and there's an emphasis on subsistence in that plan. So that's a good thing, and I hope to get more involved with that as it goes along. And lastly, I'd like to give out a shout out to the tribes in central Southeast. They've had a lot of funding to a lot of trails and infrastructure work across the central Southeast. And this enhances the quality of life in those communities. And we know that mental health is extremely important, and I think them doing that is outstanding. And I wanted to give out a shout out. And that's all I got. Thanks.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Ted.} \\ \text{Mike Douville from Craig.}$

MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have a couple areas of concern. We may address -- I'm not sure, via the of Board proposals. One is king salmon and the unregulated in-season management, which doesn't exist for sport charters -- have used up all of our quotas so we don't get a second opening and this has happened two years in a row. The first year we got one day. The other concern is a proposal for -- to expand the herring pound area, which I think is very dangerous. Those areas that had herring pounding in a Hoonah Sound (indiscernible) Prince William Sound were all depressed as a result. I don't know if we will address those.

We don't know this year yet how hunting will be, but we have had a declining deer population as a result of a higher wolf population. We don't see any Federal involvement in that biology. We don't have a

1 Federal game biologist on the island, to my knowledge, and we haven't had one since -- for -- anyway several years. And why not? The resources are extremely important. And one of the problems is the department 5 doesn't take indigenous or local knowledge seriously. 6 They won't really use it. And on the other hand, I feel the same way about their biology, which resulted in a 8 roller coaster ride of wolf population that resulted in 9 an ESA filing, which caused a lot of problems. So, I 10 firmly believe that they need to be fact checked and looked at by a Federal biologist. That needs to start 11 12 learning about the dynamics of wolf and populations. And take indigenous and local knowledge 13 14 seriously. That's all I have. Thank you.

15 16

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mike. Jim Slater from Pelican, go ahead.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. SLATER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I wanted to share some continued trends that I think are concerning for Pelican. Large pressure is continued to be very high. I think it's, as you guys have probably learned from previous testimony, we have had over the last decade a growth of a very large, large presence and several other smaller ones. But recently there's been an addition of a self-charter lodge, which is a pretty significant size. Excuse me. And it continues to impact the community in a way where the harbor is crowded and busy and most of the boats from the bigger lodges go outside. But the self-charter boats are -- look to be fishing inside the inlet, which is a concern for local rockfish populations and things like that. We've just moved to a kind of a winter schedule in the ferry with a -- using a catamaran. We are a community that has one of the older docks, and it only can be accessed by two of the older ferries, the LeConte and the Aurora. And so, when that goes into -- they go into service in the winter. Then we get a substitute ferry, which is a aluminum catamaran that the State leases, and it's handcarry cargo only. No way to get real cargo out in an easy way to get pallets and stuff from Costco and so on. The local fish processors have been growing in Pelican and for several years now, and he's doing okay at Jacobi Fisheries. He's had a real positive impact on the community. And the school, as we've struggled for with keeping our population of students above ten for a number of years, but that's -- we've had some large families move in and that's doing well. So, that's a stable thing for us, which is unusual. And early reports from deer hunting it's still slow, but I guess that's kinda normal

without the weather. That's all. Thanks.

1 2 3

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Jim. Albert Howard from Angoon, go ahead.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

MR. HOWARD: Good morning, thank you, Mr. Chair. The salmon fisheries overall has been -- was pretty good compared to recent years. And I believe that has a lot to do with the lack of commercial fishing effort in the area due to the salmon market, the commercial fisheries that used to have an impact on our sockeye, as mentioned in the ETJ petition is less than it was when we submitted the petition. So, it's my belief and my Traditional Ecological Knowledge is telling me that since there is no commercial fishing, that all the salmon are making it back to Angoon, the only way you're not gonna get what you need is for lack of effort this summer. Now, I don't know if that has anything to do with the streams when they were spawning, what was happening in the streams then. Because I don't think that's part of our data that we receive and I know we've asked for stream gauging to be a part of fish monitoring, just so you have a complete picture of what's happening in the stream. So, when we go back and look, say as an example, well, according to the data the streams were getting enough water, the water quality was good, the temperature was good. So that isn't the reason why the salmon aren't coming back for that apparent year. So, it must be the commercial effort that's having the impact. So, if you have a complete picture of what's happening to the salmon when they're spawning, you're gonna know why they're coming back or why they aren't so, that's just my thought. The deer population seems to have figured out that they're safe within the city limits of Angoon. I'm not sure what's happening there. For a guy my age, I've never seen deer run past the school or past my house for that matter. I don't know if it's the cherry tree in the yard or why they're doing that, but they seem to be doing that.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

I think what I appreciated about the summer, and I haven't seen it in years, since I was a teenager, was to go into a bay and see all the salmon. You can look and everywhere you look there's a salmon jumping. Now, they've mentioned cohos, we had the same issue at home. I'm not sure what's happening with the cohos, but they're there, then they're not there. And they didn't make it to the stream. I'm not sure if it's -- when I used to commercial fish out on the ocean. We used to have a ten-day closure. I don't know if they

still have that or not and that seemed to allow the 1 salmon to go by. We still have the same issues with crab. We catch our crab till the commercial parts are dumped in the bays close to Angoon, and then they're 5 gone. So, I have my spots where I put my pots now, and 6 you'll never see those spots on Facebook because lesson 7 learned. Someone saw all the deer I got on Baranof, and 8 they decided to use that as their data to show that I 9 got a lot of deer, but they didn't realize I got it on 10 Baranof instead of Admiralty. Bear population seemed to be up. We seem to see them when we're running around on 11 12 the boat more than we saw them last year so, I don't 13 know if that's why the deer coming into town, because 14 bears don't wanna do that. I actually went berry picking with my daughter this year. There was a lot of berries, 15 if I do things with my sons, I guess I have to do things 16 17 with my daughter as well. So, we even made jam for crying 18 out loud. King salmon -- locals are having a hard time 19 with that. I've always said this in the past that living in the City, you can go to Costco. You can't do that in 20 21 Angoon. If you want something, you have to go get it. 22 And that's the lifestyle we want to live but decisions 23 are being made that impact Angoon and the residents 24 without us having any say over it. One day you wake up 25 and oh, by the way, you can't go fishing for kings. You 26 know, like, who decided that? And I've mentioned this 27 before, imagining our elders on a stream doing something 28 they've done for generations, catching salmon and one 29 day the elder gets up and he's going to do what he 30 normally does. And somebody shows up and says, you can't 31 do that anymore because the State changed the regulation 32 on you, but they didn't come to your house and tell you, 33 this is what's happening and this is why. We had this 34 conversation, and it still bothers me to today. My 35 sister, she's a big part of why I do what I do. I'm --36 I don't pay attention to what happens on AFN because 37 they don't represent Southeast Alaska. That's my 38 opinion, my personal opinion. But she asked me if I saw 39 the resolution that was passed at AFN. They're trying 40 to make changes to ANILCA that I don't agree with, and 41 I think we need to pay attention to what's happening 42 there, because even though they don't represent 43 Southeast the way I'd like them to, they're gonna have 44 an impact on us if they continue doing what they're 45 doing. It probably isn't an intended impact because 46 they're trying to solve their own problems. But I think 47 when we talk about trying to co-manage something. We 48 should mention waterways. I know the jurisdiction was 49 taken away from us through a higher process and listening 50 to fellow Council members, but I think that process is

1 flawed because they never came and asked, how is this gonna impact Angoon? When you take the jurisdiction of the waterways away from us, we no longer have any say over salmon, or halibut, or rockfish. So even if we have 5 the knowledge to help manage or co-manage a system, we're 6 not allowed to because they're gonna tell us we don't have jurisdiction. You have jurisdiction over freshwater 8 because that falls under Federal on our island. The other 9 conversation was the fact that -- and I'm happy to see 10 the younger generation here, because if you love to live 11 off the environment, you need to know people that make changes to that -- to your ability to do so. I'm 12 13 concerned at home that if we don't have someone to sit 14 in this Chair when I'm not here anymore, we're gonna 15 lose everything we've sat here to take care of. I mean, 16 I'm trying not to talk so long because I've been warned 17 yesterday. So, thank you, Mr. Chair.

18 19

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. Patty Phillips.

202122

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So, I'm from Pelican, Alaska. I'm the mayor. I've also served on the Council. I'm in my year 13 as mayor and broken service. I'm not gonna run for my seat again, but I bring a lot of local knowledge. I've been in Pelican over 50 years. I'm almost on the water every day and out on the outside coast quite frequently so, I too bring a lot of indigenous knowledge to this Council and to see the capacity building of this Council is just really --I really respect these people. So, we all learn from one another, as you can tell. Anyways, I'm gonna repeat some of the things I heard, but in a different sort of, you know, from a Pelican slant. The Pacific Salmon Treaty negatively impacting the local year-round residents and extended season for charter salmon harvest was in place and the local commercial fisher fleet had to shut down. It was a reduced season for the trawl harvest. Who -- you know, the local participants are federally qualified rural residents of Alaska, and they had to stay tied up to the dock, while these seasonal charter fleet kept going with, you know, with their nonresident clients. The north end of Southeast Alaska Cross Sound had a diminished catch rate due to colder Gulf waters caused by rapidly melting glaciers. Fish stayed offshore until they moved into spawn, which was like in September. So yeah, we have a local -- a new charter, you know, and Jim indicated a new charter lodge going in a three million dollar lodge. It didn't even go into full production in 2024. Maybe they'll go into

full production in 2025. But, you know, and we also have 1 the non-resident people with their seasonal homes in Lisianski Inlet. And so, I went up Lisianski River and there's a lot, you know, a lot of the non-residents in 5 there, and they're telling me to move out of their way 6 cause they want to catch a fish. And it's just like, what? Well, so, you know, I really enjoy going up to 8 Lisianski River and seeing, you know what fish is there, what's returning and on a regular, you know, when you 10 have those 12-foot tides, you're able to go up there and see the seasonal changes. The gas -- you know, the price 11 of gas is \$5.15 a gallon. So, you know, we're spending 12 13 quite a bit of money to get out in the field. But you 14 know, I don't travel out of State much. So, this is my form of travel is buying a tank of gas to, you know get 15 16 out in my environment. In end of September, we had capelin moving through our harbor and in the inlet, and 17 18 it was reported to me that there was like a two-foot 19 berm of capelin rolled up dead on the beach over by 20 Elfin Cove. So, you know, we do have a lot of resources 21 moving through. We just don't see them a lot of times. 22 We had a dead whale wash up on the beach over by Elfin 23 Cove, and then there was another reported dead whale that showed up on the beach. They did a necropsy on both 24 25 of them and found that they were collisions with likely cruise ships. So, you know, we see a lot of cruise ship 26 27 -- and a lot more cruise ships going through Cross Sound, and they don't slow down. They just keep going, the 28 29 speed they're going and then we have these collisions. 30 So, and then with the more cruise ship docks going in 31 so that they could bring more cruise ships in, we're 32 gonna see more of that. And you know, why isn't there a 33 concerted effort to manage that traffic, not just in 34 Glacier Bay but, you know, maybe out to the State line 35 in, you know, in Cross Sound. Because there's a lot of 36 resources other than humpback whales out there. So, you 37 know, the temperatures this year didn't drop below 40 38 until last week, and we had a lot of rain, and I mean, 39 like torrential rain. And I didn't think it was gonna 40 freeze until November. And lo and behold, it froze this 41 week. One week later, after not dropping below 40 degrees 42 it, you know, it froze. So, it was like kind of, this 43 is weird weather but and a lot of rain, you know, torrential rains going through the stream systems. We 44 45 went out berry picking. Yeah, it would've been a good 46 berry year if we weren't competing with everything 47 that's eaten the berry bushes. So yeah, I just wanted 48 to say that. And we are having a return on abalone. 49 There was a time when abalone you couldn't even find a 50 shell but now we're starting to see abalone shells wash

1 up in the tide.

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

2627

Alaska Department of Fish and Game Subsistence Division came into Pelican this, you know, this in 2024. And so, I've been interviewed and a lot of the community have been interviewed. And they were -- it was very culturally respectful. You know, I really appreciate, you know, the care that they had in that subsistence survey. The migratory birds are less in abundance. I mean, they were last year, you saw a lot of sick birds. So, this year you see a lot less birds, waterfowl and, you know, forest birds. It's sort of sad to see diminished returns of something that you used to see a whole lot of. I was out at Hoktaheen for our subsistence sockeye. There was a lot of competition there, a lot of charter boats snagging sets trying to snag fish. We got out of there because we came in after somebody else and there weren't no fish left. So, we went over to Surge Bay and there was competition there. But, you know, that's the resource that we all, you know, rely on for our subsistence needs. So, I shouldn't be surprised about the competition. What I should -what I did learn is I need to go early before the competition is there. So, we need to protect that resource for continued subsistence harvest. And the unquided impacts to Lisianski Inlet are uncertain at this time, but we know they're gonna be impacting us heavily in the future. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

28 29 30

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Patty. Harvey Kitka from Sitka. Go ahead, Harvey.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Sitting here listening to all our communities, I made notes on some of it. Some of it is still the same. One of our real concerns is the North Pacific Trawl Fishery, which is pretty much taking all kinds of stuff that's out there, and they have no idea where most of it comes from. The one that I -- one of the things that was brought to our attention was the unlimited amount of herring they can bycatch. There's no limit on how much they can bycatch and kill, along with all the salmon, crabs and bottom things that they catch. I just -- it's kinda frustrating to our people that commercial fish, as well as some of the streams that really get affected by non-returning to the salmon. This was one of our concerns. We also have a -- we had a great sockeye return to one of our systems in Sitka. And I asked them, the tribe, I said this would be a great time for them to do a study on the habitat as to why the population and the

5

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

stream system has almost quadrupled in a couple of years. I know there's been lots of studies on escapement, but the study of habitat is -- it needs to come around, and we need to start finding out why some of our streams are failing and need you to help them get better. I have a lot of different opinions on ocean temperature and what it's causing. The ocean has stayed so warm that sometimes the salmon don't come into the into the waters for subsistence areas until the temperature drops enough to where they all come in, but they don't stay in the lower parts of the river for very long. Usually they come in and then they're gone and they're up in their spawning areas. And this happened so quick. Even the pink salmon, they don't come in and when they do come in, they're up the river real fast. Almost all the salmon are that way right now. The silver salmon, they don't stay in the salt water very long, they just gone. They're -- they'll be miles up the stream already. Along with the mild temperatures that we've been having, the bear population has increased, you can tell. There must be plenty of food out there for them, because we see the brown bear in Sitka with three cubs. And that definitely a sign that there's there are plenty of food for them, but they -- the population is really getting scary in the Sitka area, because they're not only taking the dogs and other animals that are out there, but they -- they're walking amongst the people. And it's really scary for some of our young people that are out playing. We have concern with abalone. We don't know what's happening. Parts of our abalone in Sitka Sound, some of the shells have gotten soft. In some cases, you can almost poke your fingers through the shells, it's kind of scary. We don't know what's happening with that part. We have to worry about that.

343536

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

We have an ongoing concern with our herring population. This last year, when we finally got some herring that showed up along the road system after a long time of not seeing any. We also had -- starting to see an increase in the population on the southern shores of our Sound. We haven't seen that in a long, long time. But we -- this will be an ongoing thing. Sea otter -- the Sitka Tribe Sea Mammal Commission have managed the sea otter within our area for quite a while, and a couple of years ago we start to see abalone returning in our gumboots in some of our shellfish areas like the cockles and clams. It was good to see them come back. But the Sitka Sea Mammal Commission has been working hard at trying to regulate it and making things easier. Also, they worked hard enough to where we got a

little more release on what was significantly altered as far as the hides, worked really tirelessly with enforcement to where they were getting closer to be on the same page as all the other definitions. And that's all I have at this time. Thank you.

5 6 7

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Harvey. Frank Wright from Hoonah. Go ahead, Frank.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. You know this -- we -- in this Regional Advisory Council, we -- what we do is we try to make sure that all the resources are kept up. And one of the resources, you know, one of the things that we -- I do is I collect plastic. And, you know, one time I was in the airport with my wife and I was sitting there and I was watching this older guy. He was one of the people that cleaned up the place, empty the garbage. And I saw him and I said -- told my wife, I said, look it he's emptying the garbage that people are just throwing plastic in. And then there -- on the side of it, there was one for recyclables and look at him. An elderly guy is cleaning out the plastic and taking it out of the garbage and putting it into recyclable. And I told, you know, my wife and I have been collecting plastic for many, many, many, many years. We don't buy bottled water but do taking care of us and seeing elderly guy that is working as a janitor, cleaning out garbage and putting it so that he can recycle it, I thought that elderly man is worried about what's going on in this world. And I said, what we are doing, my wife and I is collecting plastic. And I said, that's a raindrop in a storm. I mean, one little drop, I mean, imagine what the plastic is that's being -- not being recycled and thrown out into the environment. That's crazy. And I -- every time I see a plastic, I always go, look at it, look at it. Is it number two or number one? And I just -- that elderly man just struck me. He cleaning out of that -- cleaning the dirt out of the plastic and then putting it into -- so it could be recycled. That was something that struck me very well. I told my wife, I said, I'm gonna mention this. We are an organization that protects our environment, protects the animal life in this world. And I think that if there's a way we can, I don't know, hit some people on the side of the head and say, hey, why don't you take care -- help take care of this world, even if it's just a little drop in a rainstorm. We do our plastic, we take it to Juneau. So that's just something I wanted to mention, because this organization is to protect the environment aand the resources within

our little communities.

1 2 3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

You know, in Hoonah there's a river -little river in middle of town, it's called Spud Creek but Tlingit you call it Gunsightini. When we were kids, we were happy when that river flooded because it blocked us off from going to school. We couldn't go to school because our road was getting washed out. So, we were having a -- all right, we don't have to go, you know, and that's but now even though we have a big rain, the river runs for a little while, and then in a day it's some -- day or two, it's dried up again. There used to be steelheads in that river. When we were kids we used to go up in the river, there was a little falls up behind the school and be able to catch little steelheads in there. Not at -- Hoonah is, how do you say, getting up in the world? There's no more river there, no more steelhead, you know. So, how do we start -- just because of progress, we're losing. This year there was a dungy fishing. You know, I live in Front Street, and I see some boats coming in with dungy crabs, and dungy pots coming up, and then they go up the bay. Usually, they're up the bay for quite a while, but this year they were coming back in two or three days with loads of pots sorted because they weren't catching any crab. Is it the sea otters? I don't know, but there's something going on when there's no ones catching any dungies, you know. Some communities have a hard time catching up, getting dungies and because of the sea otter, and last year I was out tanner fishing and I saw a sea otter with a tanner crab on his stomach just rolling around, having a good time, he having chow. This year we had, we also have a CDQ in Hoonah, and we have -- we had about eight people that were able to do the CDQ in Hoonah. So, it helped out some fishermen, some young people that were able to apply for it with the city and then we were able to go out and fish. What percentage of city, takes is 40%, and a 60% all the rest goes to the fishermen that did the fishing.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

You know, like I said, I always -- I live in Front Street and I see -- every year I see jumps, fish jumps. This year I was home pretty much most of the time cause I didn't have to get to go fishing because I had surgery. And this year I saw three jumps, three. I live in Front Street, right in front of Pitt Island and I saw three jumps. Usually, I saw jumps all the time, even when I was real active. In the black -- you know, the black cod prices were down, and we didn't, you know and I had to call the congressional person, I told him

1 and say, hey, we need to figure out how we can stop the Russians from importing seafoods to us. Cause -- so, the prices were down. I don't know what the -- why the prices were down last year they're fair. So, the black cod is 5 down, you know. I think the small ones were 40 cents a 6 pound when last year was a dollar forty, you know. So, how do we deal with that? It's -- the halibut it's all right, the prices are all right. But black cod is way 8 9 down. Deer. This summer I was -- since I live on Front 10 Street, you know, a person called me up, he says, hey, 11 there's some tourist walking, picking your flowers in my -- in your yard. And I said, oh, so I looked outside, 12 13 and I saw tourists looking in back of my house here. 14 There was two deer back in my house, and I tried to chase them away, but they wouldn't go. They had --15 they're just ignoring me eating. So, I thought, that's 16 17 all right, I guess. I was just worried about my wife's 18 raspberry patch, that's all.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

We still have on -- the tribe is still -- still has a understanding with the Park Service, an understanding with the Forest Service. We deal with both organizations, a government to government -- this last year, I had -- we had two consultations with the Forest Service and we -- my concern with -- in talking to them was, what do we do about forest fires? The beetle has killed so many trees that they're drying up. If we have a dry summer, like a few years ago, where all the rivers dried up and everything was just brittle, I was just worried about some person having a fun time, having a picnic and then starting a forest fire. So, I was wanting to see if the City would talk with the Forest Service. Let's make a plan, let's not make a plan when it happens. So, I don't know how far that went. I had two talks with the Forest Service. We had -- the tribe had talked, telling them that we needed to make a plan. Hoonah is -- just got forest all around it so, we're hoping that someone comes up with a plan. So, we don't say, oh, we should've talked about this earlier. I know we had a forest fire there and it burned, but it was out in a muskeg more than out in the trees. The tribe has also bought -- had a grant where -- a rescue boat. So, where we're gonna talk with the City Police so that they could have someone have -- be trained on using a rescue boat. We don't know where these officers are from so, they are gonna do good training. One of the things is I -- my boat has gone out four times to go find people because Hoonah does not have a rescue boat. You know, I remember one time we were coming from Sitka and I had to stop in Tyonek and I parked in Tyonek, and then I said, look at

1 that. This little place here has a rescue boat, Hoonah does not. And we have boats going out all the time, every day. Every day I see little skiffs going out and I see, you know, one of the people -- one time my dad had rescued this one guy. He had untied his boat before 5 6 he started his motor. And this is in February when we were (indiscernible) fishing, and he -- this guy was 8 going up to the camp up there, and he -- my dad had just said, look it up, Anika Bay. He saw a little spot up 10 there and he said, I'm gonna go look what that is, and it was a guy sitting in his skiff just ready to freeze 11 12 to death when he -- when they got to him, because in 13 February, that's tough weather. Just because he did --14 he untied his boat before he started his motor. He 15 couldn't start it when he got out and this -- two years 16 ago, we had to rescue a guy, that idiot was coming from 17 Juneau. It was blowing 60 knots out in Chatham Strait 18 and the boat was ready to go. So, because we were tied 19 up in Hoonah and we had to go out and get him. You know, 20 and the Coast Guard had even made a film on it and shown 21 it on TV -- in national TV. So, of the boat being, you 22 know, and one time we had to rescue a Coast Guard's, a 23 small Coast Guard boat, and at the time we had to go find another person out in Icy Straits. So, having a 24 25 rescue boat in Hoonah, where you can just call up the 26 police station and let's go. We need to see that, get 27 those guys. We've also -- the tribe has also had -- we 28 started a proxy hunt for elders. You know, we're a 29 certain age, and he -- like, I can't run around in the 30 woods anymore, but they have a proxy hunt for deer for 31 elders in Hoonah and that started last year. So, the 32 younger people with the tribe go out and get. We also 33 started a greenhouse. We're gonna -- we have a large 34 greenhouse in Hoonah that's gonna be starting up this 35 year so that we can give fresh vegetables to the school 36 and to the local grocery stores.

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

This year we had 240 ships, tourist ships, 240, imagine that. You know I live -- like I always said I live on Front Street. It's like a 4th of July parade every day. People walking down the street wanting to know what's what to see, you know. For me, living in Hoonah, what is there to see? But when you're from Nebraska or any place like that, they're happy to see what it is. They say that this is what we came to see. I asked one couple, they said -- I said -- they said, yeah, we were talking to some people about this -- these houses here. And I said, oh, you're -- were talking to us. And I said, how many times have you been here? He said, we've been here four times, we like to

1 come to Hoonah. And then I was talking to a guy that was carving totem poles, and I told him that, yeah, this couple came here four times, he said and he said, since he carves a totem pole, they come talk to him all the 5 time, he says, I had one couple that was here ten times. 6 Ten times coming to Hoonah. You know, I have mixed feelings about that place, but it's good employment for 8 people here -- people there. Hoonah is moving along, and 9 I wish I can get some crew. Pretty tough to get a crew 10 around there, you got young people that wanna stay home, 11 but like, even my grandson, goodness sake. I ask him to 12 go with me. He said, oh, no, my mom's gonna miss me. I 13 said, what? I mean, I guess you're not gonna go fishing. 14 Anyway, thanks for listening. Gunalchéesh

15 16

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank. Cathy Needham from Juneau, go ahead.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I had all these comments that I wrote out cause I was like, I have a lot of things to say. I'm not even gonna read them. Because something has really struck me at this table that I -- that was in my comments that I'd like to kind of address. But before I do that, I just wanna say that over the past 15 years, it has been an honor and a privilege to represent subsistence users in this region. And that's coming from a non-federally qualified user. And so many of you know that I am leaving the Council, it was a really hard decision to make. I'm gonna miss working with these people immensely. I'm gonna miss the vision and the work that we do. The one thing I wanted to kind of bring forward something -last night, it was said, oh, folks are gonna miss my ability to work with the bureaucracy or my understanding of the bureaucracy and work of bureaucracy, which struck me. I've heard it before, but it struck me as -- I was like, really? Cause I don't really feel like I understand the bureaucracy at all. I don't understand how our system -- how it becomes really difficult to work together to accomplish the things that we both have the bureaucracy and like, a body like this FACA committee have to kind of work together. I don't understand when we can't work together. I feel like when I first started on this Council it was stronger staff. We had champions within our staff that really would listen, and they would see struggle and they would help us through the bureaucracy. So, I didn't feel like that was necessarily something that I brought in terms of an asset to the work that we do. But then I was like, well, if that's the case, if that's how I feel, why did they say it? And

I think it's because maybe I have spent a lot of time over the last number of years finding ways, even though I didn't understand the bureaucracy. It's like, okay, we have a problem, member Howard is probably gonna laugh 5 at this analogy cause we kind of chuckle about it, but 6 there's a toolbox and what are the tools of that toolbox, and if we can't find it in that toolbox, can we find 8 another toolbox to be able to pull a tool to be able to move forward with what we're trying to do? Our Council 10 is tenacious in what we do, we know that there's something that we wanna accomplish. We don't always know 11 12 how to get there, but we stick through it until we 13 accomplish it, and that's been an amazing facet to work 14 within. So, what I was hearing around the table this 15 morning -- recently I was approached and was asked, what 16 is a good way to put traditional and local knowledge 17 into some of the work that the agencies are doing. That 18 approach came specifically from folks that are gearing up to work on the revision to the Tongass Forest plan. 19 And they're really trying to figure out, like, how do 20 21 we really integrate subsistence into the forest plan and 22 how do we integrate traditional and local knowledge? And 23 sitting here today, I was like, you know what? We have 24 30 plus years of knowledge in a public record from not 25 only members of this Council, we have five members on 26 this Council that have been here for 20 plus years. So, 27 almost all of that time they've heard reoccurring 28 themes, they've brought up knowledge from their 29 communities. That's written in transcripts, that's 30 documented knowledge, that's traditional, 31 documented knowledge, that's local. We also have in that 32 record testimony from all of the communities that we 33 have visited and information there. That is a huge body 34 of knowledge. And why isn't it used? Well, it's in 35 transcripts, it's difficult to dig through if you don't 36 sit through our meetings and have the conversations, 37 it's really kinda difficult to encapsulate that. And it 38 struck me, I was thinking back to Unit 2 deer and how 39 the -- at that time, the bureaucracy or our staff put -40 - we were allowed to have a true subcommittee, a true 41 FACA subcommittee in that, and that allowed for 42 representatives of this FACA committee to sit down and 43 work through a problem with land managers and local 44 people. And I think that that could be a tool that can 45 be utilized in order to maybe get at, how do you 46 incorporate subsistence? How do you incorporate 47 Traditional Ecological Knowledge? How do you incorporate 48 local knowledge? Into something big that's coming up 49 that this organization wants to be -- this Council wants 50 to be involved in and we wanna be involved in it. We

have been involved in most land management planning that 1 has happened in our region for the entire time that I've been on this Council. And so, I would ask staff to find ways to work within their agencies to figure out how can 5 we come up with funding, because that always seems to 6 be our biggest obstacle. How do we come up with funding and have a co- or have a subcommittee of members of this 8 Council to be able to guide and offer subsistence, 9 traditional knowledge, and local knowledge to be 10 incorporated into the revision of the Forest Plan. And they -- you know, especially the 20 -- the members who 11 12 have been here for 20 plus years, member Douville, Ms. 13 Phillips, Harvey Kitka, Frank Wright, Don Hernandez. 14 They might be able to help staff with that starting 15 point, with the information and the knowledge that they 16 listened through Council reports, through 17 testimonies that have been provided through. So yeah, I 18 wanna end with that. As with that suggestion of something 19 of moving forward with the future. I wanna say it has -20 - it's not only been an honor and a privilege to work 21 on behalf of subsistence users, but an honor, privilege 22 to work on with you guys and an honor and privilege to 23 be your Vice Chair. Thank you.

2425

26

27

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you very much, Cathy.} \\$

(Applause)

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Yeah, well, hopefully that applause will show up on the record in some way because yeah, your work here has really been appreciated, and your comments just now I think were just really spot on. You know, just to be able to sum up what we do here with this session of, you know, Council member comments, it is, you know, extremely important in my view, it always has been. Asking the public to come forward and give us, you know, their testimonies in our meetings on other, you know, issues with subsistence, just extremely important. And yeah, being able to use that knowledge would be very valuable moving forward. So, appreciate, yeah, you kinda summing up what we've -- what we do here. Thank you very much. And I do still have a Council Chair's report and I think my colleagues there from Petersburg and Craig have done a really good job of kinda outlining what's going on in central Southeast in Prince of Wales Island. And I echo all of their comments, but I -- as the Chair I did -- I do want to report on, you know, what's happened at the Board meeting this past April, which I attended and it was a wildlife meeting where the Board

1 made its final decisions on our recommendations on wildlife proposals. And I -- pleased to report that I'd say that we had very good results from the Board. They approved all of our proposals. A little bit of 5 modification. They, you know, always have the option to 6 do that, but it was all well within things that I think the Council would approve of. Particularly want to talk 8 about our Unit 4 closures, that we brought before the 9 Board, highly controversial -- our Council spent many 10 hours, over numerous years working through that process. 11 I was a bit surprised that we got a unanimous approval 12 from the entire Board on those proposals. And I think 13 that is in large part due to just all the work that this 14 Council put into those proposals. And part of that work was, we took the extra effort to write a position 15 statement paper that we submitted to the Board that 16 17 outlined how this Council views a couple of key 18 provisions in Title 8 of ANILCA, where it talks about, 19 you know, closure that is necessary for the continuation 20 of subsistence uses. We address that in particular. And 21 we talked about what a meaningful priority means to this 22 Council. And we put that before the Board. And in our -23 - my presentation to the Board I made sure that I 24 referred back to those -- that position Statement. So 25 that was in the Board's mind when they reviewed our proposals. And I think we made a -- some what could be 26 27 significant of -- things happen there, in our proposals. 28 When the Board decided in our favor on those 29 recommendations, I think they took into consideration 30 how much competition can affect how subsistence uses are 31 affected within local areas. Regardless of the fact that 32 there may be -- there may not be a conservation concern 33 in the broader area. I think we made a very good point 34 of showing how, just a lot of competition can be 35 concentrated in areas that are very important to 36 subsistence users, and this can have an effect. I think 37 we made a very strong argument on that, and I think the 38 Board heard us and took that into consideration. The 39 other thing, I noticed that the Board seemed to focus 40 in on was this Council's effort to really try and 41 identify those specific areas and times that are most 42 important for subsistence harvest and how we focused our 43 closure on those specific times and areas. And at the 44 same time, we took real efforts to try and minimize what 45 the impacts would be to non-subsistence -- non-federally 46 qualified hunters. And that's something that ANILCA 47 requires and I think this Council did a really good job 48 of working through that issue. So, I think those were 49 kind of the main points that the Board was taking into 50 consideration when they approved our recommendation. So

50

1 I wanna, you know, commend the Council for doing some really good work in that regard. And the other thing the Board was also very interested in, which goes directly back to what our Council put before the Board previously, 5 was our position statement on co-management, and that 6 subject came up in the context of -- the Board, you 7 know, approved our closures and reminded us that 8 closures are reviewed every four years. And the Board 9 would really like to see that when they institute a 10 closure such as they did, they would like to have some way of knowing, you know, over the course of time, if 11 12 that has a desired effect on the benefit to subsistence 13 users and the minimal impact to non-federally qualified 14 users. So, in this case the Council has been supportive 15 of, you know, co-management efforts. We're on record with that, and the Hoonah Indian Association has 16 17 initiated a co-management project of doing just what we 18 need to see happen, is in doing community assessments 19 and interviews of subsistence uses in the affected 20 areas. And our regional forester, you know, pointed out 21 -- that out, that that effort has been funded and it 22 needs to be -- continue to be funded, you know, through 23 the course of at least the next, you know, foreseeable 24 years where we wanna evaluate the effects of this 25 closure. And he expressed, you know, support to keep 26 that program going and I think this Council also needs 27 to support that effort. And I think our Council members, 28 when they go back to their local communities that are 29 in these affected communities need to, you know, talk 30 to their neighbors and just tell them how important it 31 is that, you know, somebody, you know, Fish and Game 32 Subsistence Division may be doing surveys, local tribes 33 may be doing surveys, but it's important to just really 34 give them good information that hopefully will, you 35 know, validate what the Council has done with our 36 proposals. So, that's what I took away from our Board 37 meeting. I wanted to present that to the Council. So, 38 with that, I think we can take a 15 minute recess, and 39 when we come back, we have a training session that we 40 are gonna have presentation on dealing with delegation 41 of authority. So be prepared for that, I think there's 42 some information in the book. Oh, excuse me, I misspoke. 43 After our Council members reports, we offer an 44 opportunity for public comment. And at the start of this, 45 I didn't see any comment cards, but now I do see that 46 there is one here. DeAnna, do you have somebody who 47 wanted to testify? 48

MS. PERRY: Mr. James, I have a comment card from Franklin James. The non-rural determination

issue will be discussed tomorrow. Are you also going to be around tomorrow to give a comment during that time?
I'm sorry, I don't know, Mr. Franklin by sight.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Is he in -- yeah, he must be in the room.

MS. PERRY: Oh! Are you available tomorrow as well? With non-rural? Okay, great. Yeah, if we can keep all of the non-rural proposals together, that would be great.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Looks like we have somebody else, maybe.

MS. PERRY: Yes. Mr. Ramp from Sitka ADF&G Advisory Committee, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Steve Ramp from Sitka. Yes, your opportunity, step up.

MR. RAMP: Good morning, ladies gentlemen. My name is Steve Ramp and as I said before, I'm here to help Heather chaperoning these great high school kids that are learning about the process. But I'm also the Resident Sport Fish Seat on the Sitka Fish and Game Advisory Committee. And your body has submitted a proposal to the current Southeast Cycle of Board of Fish proposals about establishing a subsistence king salmon fishery in Southeast Alaska. And our AC is gonna be taking that proposal up either tomorrow night or next Wednesday night. I want to let you know that if you want to Zoom in and share your thoughts with us as we ponder whether to support or oppose that proposal. And we thank you for submitting it and I can -- I've got the Zoom link I can put on a sticky note on the back for anybody that wants it. Busy season for us, it's a Southeast Cycle so, we've got a 150 some-odd proposals that we're going through. So, we're meeting every Wednesday night till probably New Years.

But anyway, on another note, our AC and me in particular for four years has been trying to get a handle on the unguided rental boat issue within Southeast Alaska. And apologies to Larry, but we're kinda limiting this proposal to Area 2C not into 3A where you're located, but it could. And halibut as you all know, it's a tough nut to crack because they're caught in State and Federal waters. They're managed by the Federal government through the NPFMC and the catch

1 data on the sport end is collected by the State and shared with the Federal government. And we've been -three years ago, we submitted a proposal and they didn't act on it, and since then we've -- I've testified for 5 our AC at the Council twice -- three times, twice to 6 their resident or their Charter Halibut Committee and we keep -- we're a ball that keeps getting bounced back 8 and forth by the management entities. So, we submitted 9 another proposal this spring for this upcoming cycle. 10 And basically, what we're trying to do is get a handle on how many of these boats are in Southeast, get them 11 12 registered, kinda like charter boats, but with a 13 different color sticker. Get data gathered that breaks 14 out the rental sport harvest that doesn't have a guide on Board. So, we can quantify the take of the resource. 15 16 And we're also asking that they restrict their take from 17 the normal unguided take of two halibut of any size 18 every day to the charter quided halibut take of one 19 specific sized halibut every day, and generally it's either 40 inches or less in Area 2C or over 80 inches, 20 21 which is a great big one. So, after we submitted it, we 22 actually had the Commissioner of Fish and Game meet with 23 a few of us on our AC, to ask us some questions to 24 address his concerns that were centrally around how do 25 you define an unguided rental boat and who's gonna 26 response -- be responsible on the boat for any log 27 keeping. Is it the boat owner? Is it everybody individually? Is it the person that rented the boat and 28 29 signed for it? So, we went back to the drawing Board, 30 did some research and amended our proposal for kinda his 31 eyes only to address his concerns. And we're waiting to 32 hear back for his official feedback. But initial verbal 33 feedback from his aide is positive. He likes what we're 34 doing and about the time after we met with him, we found 35 out that the Board of Fisheries Board Support section 36 had chose not to accept our proposal for inclusion in 37 the proposal book. So, we're -- we track down the reasons 38 why and we have an option, the Board of Fisheries is 39 meeting October 29th and 30th in a work session, and we 40 have an option there to ask them to reconsider that 41 decision, their admin support section made and get it 42 back into the proposal book under which case it would 43 go out to the public that, hey, here's another proposal, 44 late inclusion in the book, that's what we're hoping 45 for. And then we can address the Commissioner's concerns 46 with an amended proposal which we can't really share 47 yet, cause it's an amendment to a proposal that 48 technically doesn't exist cause it didn't make the book.

49 50

So, we're hoping that will happen. So,

I guess I would ask anybody that's interested. I know 1 you probably can't as a Council, but as individuals, if you want to Zoom in on the Board of Fisheries work session late this month and share your comments, we'd 5 appreciate it. I think this Board, this Council probably 6 is in support of this proposal. Any support we can get would be good to get it. We think whether -- the main 8 reasons for them not including it, are questions on who has the authority to act on the various parts of the 10 proposal, whether it's the Board of Fisheries or the Commission and Commissioner himself. And we think the 11 12 proposal merits a vetting of public comment from 13 individuals and all the ACs in the region in the 14 formalized Board of Fisheries proposal process before 15 their meeting. So, that's what we're hoping will happen and I'll have -- after the lunch break, I'll have the 16 original proposal with me if anybody wants to look at 17 18 it. We're not ready to share the amended ones cause it's 19 an amendment to a proposal that doesn't exist yet. But 20 like I say, I'll put the Zoom meeting for the AC -- our ACs meetings for tomorrow night and next Wednesday. I'm 21 22 not sure which night they're gonna deal with your 23 proposal. But anyway, I'd entertain any questions and 24 thank you for your time.

25 26

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Ramp. Are questions? Albert, go ahead.

272829

30

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Albert Howard from Angoon. Is your proposal is to limit self-guided boats or what exactly is your proposal?

313233

34

35

MR. RAMP: Not to limit the number of boats. It's to limit the catch to match the guided halibut catch limits from two a day of any size to one a day of specific size.

36 37 38

39 40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, is this something -- if the RAC as a whole decides we wanna support that, we can do that? It seems like we've done stuff like that in the past. My thought process is to maybe, possibly even take it a step further and limit the amount of boats in an area or if you already can show proof you've done the self-guided operation. Cut that whole thing off all together. Make it limited entry, I guess, so to speak.

49 50

 MR. RAMP: The problem with that is deadlines, cause the deadlines were May 10th for the proposals for this cycle, and we did get one in. So, we can't submit a new one. We can support it in the comment process with amendments recommended. And like I say, to do what you're saying, you would probably have to go through the Federal process with the North Pacific Fishery Management Council to actually limit the number of boats. And that would create a new limited entry thing. And I know there's a lot of support for that under the guided charter boats. A lot of people want to limit them and put them under a limited entry procedure, but that hasn't gotten much ground yet.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Albert, do you have one more follow up?} \\$

MR. HOWARD: Just a comment, Mr. Chair and a thought. As the Federal Subsistence Board we deal with -- and we're being told that we don't have jurisdiction in Federal waters, and we're sitting here having a conversation where you're dealing with State and Federal agencies in the same waters. It's amazing to me that a new user group, newer than the subsistence user has more say in jurisdiction in waters we've lived in forever. And now we have no say in it but yet, when you're trying to accomplish something, as this gentleman is trying to do, you have to deal with Federal and State agencies in those same waters we're trying to help comanage. And we're not trying to hurt the system, we're trying to help solve a problem that [sic] just an observation. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON} \mbox{ HERNANDEZ: Thank you,} \\ \mbox{Albert. John....}$

(Simultaneous speech)

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ RAMP: With the Chair's permission, I can address that real quick.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Go ahead and

respond.

45 MR. RAMP: Yeah, it's -- it is 46 frustrating and I've been bounced around bet

frustrating and I've been bounced around between both of them. It's kinda the way it is. Similar to your subsistence chinook fishery, you're trying to establish with your proposal. I think it's the very first proposal in the book this year. So, you guys got prime time on

1 your proposal, but that's a State Board of Fisheries proposal that may come up against Pacific Salmon Treaty issues as well, which is an International-Federal thing. So, it's kinda the way fisheries management has come to 5 be where we've got local, State, and Federal, and 6 International hands in the pie. Like with halibut, you got the International Pacific Halibut Commission. So, 8 it's Federal, State and Federal -- and International. 9 So, I don't know, Patti, she's testified with the Council 10 like I have. She's got a lot of background in how this 11 works and thanks for all your testimony, Patti. And so, 12 thanks.

13 14

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: John, did you have a question?

15 16 17

18

19

20

MR. SMITH: Yeah, basically I like what I'm hearing, and I like your data. You know, being able to identify the guided and unguided and be able to recognize them on the water. But we're data driven so, I really like that point of view. Thank you.

212223

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Patti, go ahead.

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Ramp, for bringing this to our attention. Mr. Chairman, what more can we do to be more effective? Other than to, you know, join a Zoom meeting, can we submit a letter of support for the original proposal to be added in -- onto -- into the regulatory process that's in place now? You know what exactly, Mr. Ramp, can we do to be as effective as we can be to support your -- Sitka's proposal.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

MR. RAMP: Thanks, and through the Chair. Patti, as I said, the thing you could do is Zoom in or go to Anchorage and go to the Board of Fisheries work session on October 29th and 30th. And we've already submitted the request in writing and submitted the original proposal for that meeting, asking them to reconsider their decision and then include it. And then once it's a official proposal, then anybody public or ACs can comment on it, recommended amendments, which we're ready to do but we can't until it's a proposal. So, I can get that information, it's on the Board's site on that work session. We've -- the 15th was the deadline for written comments, which we made. But there's -- it's open to the public and I'm sure you can submit written comments, like all Board of Fisheries meetings right up until it starts.

49 50 1 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Cal, do you have 2 a question?

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

MR. CASIPIT: I just have a quick comment. You know, State Board of Fish proposals is already on our agenda to discuss. I'd really like us to hold -- we're trying to get through some other stuff today. But also, I want to remind the Council that we submitted similar proposals to both the Board of Fish and the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, and we've gotten that, go talk to them. So, I think this is a valid topic, but let's -- can we move that to where it is on our agenda. Anyway, cause we got a lot today.

13 14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, Cal. I was gonna point that out. It is an agenda item. We can make comments to the Board of Fish from this Council. We'll probably need some kind of a little working group to be put together in the course of the next few days to hash out some of this stuff. It's rather complex, but Mr. Ramp is here with a lot of expertise, Council members can corral him, you know, during the course of the meeting and pick his brain. And like I say, we do have an opportunity to make comments to the Board of Fish. So, there's other proposals out there on a lot of different topics we may want to weigh in on as well. So we need to get those -- all those topics together at some point during the meeting. Bring them before the Council, ask for the Council's wishes on what they want to do. So, this is one of the more complex ones. In regards to our subsistence king salmon proposal, Sitka Board Advisory Council was just asking, I guess, more as a courtesy, if somebody from our Council would wanna help explain to them what we're trying to accomplish and maybe some details on that proposal. So, we may have a discussion here. If there is somebody available to call in to their meeting and help do that. But that's kind of a separate issue. It may -- I'll consult with our Coordinator. It may even require, you know, a vote from the Council to designate somebody to do that. I don't know, but we'll hash that out, and this will all come back to us probably on Thursday. So, keep all this in mind until then. So, thank you very much, Mr. Ramp.

44 45 46

MR. RAMP: Thank you very much for your

47 time.

48 49

50

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Was there -- I think we have all the blue cards addressed, although I

see somebody with a blue card in their hand that might wanna come forward and this is the time. Go ahead.

3

1

4 MR. SANDERSON: My name is Keenan 5 Sanderson. I represent myself here, I live here in 6 Ketchikan. I have Board of Fish comments, but I'll reserve those till Wednesday. But I was asked by Council 8 member Wagner to come and give a brief report on green 9 crab, on our activities that we had past couple of weeks. 10 I'll be brief, though. I coach an academic ocean science 11 team here in Ketchikan, we're called the Saber Tooth Salmon. And every year we have to write an academic 12 13 research paper on a topic that's provided to us by 14 University of Alaska Fairbanks. And this year, our theme 15 of the paper is all about invasive species in Southeast 16 Alaska or, I guess, Alaska in general. But they want us 17 to write about something that's close to home. My varsity 18 team decided that they wanted to write about European 19 green crab. And one of the things that we did to help, 20 I guess, give them more background and inspire them to 21 write a better research paper, was we brought that team 22 over to Metlakatla two weeks ago. I don't know everybody 23 who works in the fisheries department over there, but 24 the main person we've been working with is Ian Hudson a 25 really great guy. He brought our students out for a day 26 in the various places -- a few places that the tribe 27 over there traps for European green crab. They learn 28 about, like, the history of how they got there, what the 29 tribe is doing to mitigate the issues of the invasion 30 of European green crab over on the island. And some 31 potential future mitigation measures to help with the 32 issue all across Southeast Alaska. And basically, what 33 we're gonna be doing with our research paper is providing 34 background information on the crab and the history of 35 how they got from Europe to the East Coast to the West 36 Coast, and ultimately to Southeast Alaska. They're gonna 37 discuss the various cultural, financial, and ecosystem 38 impacts of the European green crab in Southeast Alaska. 39 And then the big point of the paper is both short-term 40 eradication of European green crab and then ultimately, 41 the long-term mitigation strategies to be able to reduce 42 the impacts on local species and people in Southeast 43 Alaska as they establish themselves here. They got some crazy ideas. I don't tell them how to write their paper, 44 45 they're doing their -- all their own research. All I do 46 is answer questions to them if they have any of me. But 47 we're working with a ton of different people to help make this possible. The Ketchikan Indian Community is a 48 49 big one with their environmental specialist and cultural 50 resources department. Obviously, we've been working with

Metlakatla Indian Community -- Indian Community and their fisheries department. We've been in contact with the State invasive species coordinator and Tammy Davis. Oceans Alaska also, but more in a passive way. Alaska Sea Grant, Washington Sea Grant, and a few other entities to, like, really put together some sort of mitigation management plan that the, you know, ultimately, this is just a competition, but this is something that can be taken from any entity and applied potentially anywhere in Southeast Alaska, but -- got [sic] an army of 18 kids this year that I'm super excited about teaching them about this problem. And my other JV team is learning about invasive tunicates, which is a lesser known problem in our area, but definitely an issue with marine fouling. But I'm super excited about it and we'll hopefully have a finished product by the end of November. If anybody is curious to read what my students have put together. So, I'm not a green crab expert by any means. I generally heir to the KIC Cultural Resources Department and their environmental specialist, but that's what we're up to. So, I just wanted to provide that update because I was asked by Mr. Wagner to do that. Happy to answer any questions about that, cause it is a big issue coming up, especially in our southern Southeast area.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,} $$\operatorname{Mr. Sanderson. John.}$$

MR. SMITH: Yeah. I just want to thank you for your report and it's really good to see your face in the years of serving, you know, seeing you being mentored by the elders and being visible and participating. And then now you're mentoring some of the younger youth. I really appreciate that. But the question is, out in your adventures setting the pots and this, what's your data? Have you seen some? Are you catching some or...?

MR. SANDERSON: So, kind of taking off my coach hat and having my KIC hat back on. So, I also work at the Cultural Resource Department at KIC. And we've been -- we have been doing some early detection and monitoring on our island here in Ketchikan. We've been throwing out, you know, a handful of pots on a couple of different beaches. We've been doing molt surveys on pretty much most of the coast -- the road access coastline that we have here and to today, we have not observed any European green crab in Ketchikan. MIC and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game have observed

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

a couple of carapaces over on Gravina Island. I believe it was in July -- mid-July sometime, where they found some in Bostwick Bay, which is a significant Dungeness crab harvesting area for Ketchikan. But so far none in -- along our road system. In terms of what's going on over in Metlakatla, I'm -- definitely won't speak to that because I don't know the numbers specifically, but they are being hit hard. The one thing I will share from our -- back to my coaching hat was in some of the areas, it does appear that they're trapping efforts are working in reducing the numbers there. There's a couple of beaches, I can't remember the names of them. It might've been one of the places in Tamgas Harbor, but they -compared to when the invasion like it was really starting, compared to now they have been seen reduced numbers of European green crab. But I am definitely not the expert of what's going on in Metlakatla. So, I'd air to somebody else on that. And also, I just want to point out, like I wish I was able to bring some of my team here. Unfortunately, it's the end of the quarter for them this week and we're heading to Sitka the week after, so it is -- simply couldn't make time to be able to come this week. So, maybe during the winter meeting I can try to drag some here.

242526

29

30

31

32

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Frank.

27 28

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. How large do they get? You know, when I was in — when I was down in — down on the beach in Hoonah, I saw a crab only but it was green so, I — just curious how big they get and how do they affect all the Dungeness and all that kind stuff. Thank you.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. SANDERSON: So, I kinda do my best. The carapace, that's about, usually about their bigger end or so about that. Now, an adult dunge is far bigger than an adult European green crab. But where the big issue comes in is when the Dungeness are still in their juvenile stage and they're -- they are the same size of European green crab. Those European green crab will definitely outcompete juvenile Dungeness. And that's where the big issue where competing comes in. There's a ton of other things that they do to the ecosystem. They create a -- they disrupt sediment within the localized area, they eat pretty much everything, mussels, clams, eelgrass is another big one that, for eelgrass, they essentially act as like a nursery for a bunch of juvenile anything, salmon, crab, flounder, etc. But odds are there probably isn't any European green crab north of

Prince of Wales as of this moment, I could be wrong, but it does take some time for them to migrate north. And you do bring up a good point. There are crab that are green, but they aren't necessarily European green crab. And the only way to identify a European green crab from anything else is their carapace shape, in which there is a -- they have 13 spines on the front of their carapace, five on the left side of their eye -- on the left side of their carapace, five spines on the right side of their carapace, and three spines in between their eyes. And even then, European green crab aren't always green, sometimes they appear brown or black or some other color. And that's part of the reason why like the state of Alaska doesn't necessarily tell people to go out and kill European green crab, because it's possible that people might misidentify them as a local species. Which is difficult, but that's why there's a lot of education outreach, you know, you've probably seen tons of posters on different beaches and stickers, that kind of thing. But that is one of the difficulties, is misidentifying crab species along our coastline.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Patti, can we move on to the next person?

MR. SANDERSON: I'll be around for the whole meeting if anybody else has any questions about this.

MS. PHILLIPS: A quick question, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay.

MS. PHILLIPS: So, they say that they come into ballast. Is there measures in place to filter ballast water so that it doesn't spread, continue to spread?

MR. SANDERSON: So, there is a couple of different vectors on how European green crab are thought to be -- have gotten to Southeast Alaska. My understanding and my conversations with Ian Hudson is actually -- at least from how they got to Metlakatla was they were brought in by ocean currents from Haida Gwaii. It's not to say that they -- the larvae European green crab don't get -- aren't transported by ballast water, because that's definitely how they got to California, I think it was like 70 years ago or something like that. But it appears that the ocean currents flowing northward

into Clarence Strait in the west coast of Prince of Wales. Is that when the eggs hatch, they are -- they float up into the water column. The ocean currents push them north and it seems to be that in south facing bays and inlets, it's where they're really starting to establish themselves in southern Southeast Alaska. There is laws and regulations on how to deal with ballast water in the United States. I'm certainly not an expert in that, though, and I wouldn't be able to tell you off the top of my head.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mr. Sanderson. Is there anybody on the phone who want to make a public comment at this time?

MS. PERRY: And to speak by phone, if you'll press star five, star five will show up on our system that you'd like to speak.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Apparently not. One more call in the room. Does anybody want to come forward who -- we've seen all the blue cards that were submitted. I'll just check, see if anybody else wanted to come forward.

(No comment)

Okay. Thank you all for bringing your comments to the Council. And now we will take a 15-minute break and come back for our training session. Okay.

(Off record)

(On record)

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: John and Louie, you wanna come back to the table? We'll get started. Got everybody. Okay, we have Kristen Morrow here to run us through some of the things we need to know about the delegation of authority letters that we do. So, go ahead. Kristen, go ahead.

MS. MORROW: Thank you. Mr. Chair, members of the Council, my name is Kristen Morrow, and I'm with the anthropology division at the Office of Subsistence Management. Today I'm going to be presenting the delegation of authority training. I know the slides are behind some of you, so I don't know if you want to maybe turn to see them, but they're also in your Council

book starting on page 22 if it's easier to follow along 1 with the slides in there. So, moving forward, OSM plans to hold one training session for each Council meeting. We feel these trainings will help new Council members 5 become more familiar with the Federal Subsistence 6 Management Program, and can serve as a refresher for more seasoned Council members. Today we're going to go 8 over the basics of delegation of authority. And this 9 training is meant to be informational and is not an 10 action item. Before I get started, I wanna situate you to some of the example materials that I'll be referring 11 to throughout the presentation. You should each have two 12 13 example delegation of authority letters in front of you, 14 one for the Admiralty District Ranger and one for the Hoonah District Ranger. Those were not in your meeting 15 16 books, they were stapled and outside of the meeting book 17 materials. So, with that, we might as well get started.

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

2526

2728

29

30

31

32 33

So, the objectives of this training are to provide information about delegated authority to show the Council where to find information about delegated authority, and to discuss the Council's role in delegated authority. This training is meant to be a broad overview of delegated authority, and there will be time for Q&A at the end of the training if you have questions about specific issues in your region. To start with, this is the regulation that allows the Board to delegate agency field officials. their authority to regulation describes what kind of actions can be delegated, for example, setting harvest and possession limits, opening or closing seasons, etc. From here on out, we will try to use plain language to more specifically define and describe delegation authority.

343536

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

So, to start with, what is delegation of authority, in the broadest sense, delegation of authority is the transfer of limited decision making power from the Board to Federal managers and delegated authority is what gives Federal managers the legal authority to make in-season decisions to manage fish and wildlife populations. When authority is delegated by the Board, it is delegated to Federal managers. So, for example, this mav be National Park Service superintendents or U.S Forest Service district rangers, or Fish and Wildlife Service Refuge managers. These local Federal managers often have greater connection to an understanding of the resource than any of us at OSM in Anchorage might have. Which is why delegating authority to those local on the ground managers might

be very helpful. Beyond giving decision making authority to Federal managers who have boots on the ground, delegation of authority is important for multiple reasons. One of the first reasons why delegation of authority is important is that it allows Federal managers to make quick management decisions as data and local knowledge become available in season.

So, for example, it may be that the preseason forecast for certain fish populations suggested that this run would be strong, but in-season data showing that the run is weak and will not meet escapement goals. And the Federal managers delegated authority would allow them to quickly close fisheries to all or some users to protect the fish population and allow for continuation of subsistence users -- uses, sorry. This delegation of authority also allows for people who live locally to provide input to Federal managers who have that authority delegated to them, provided that there's a close relationship between managers and people living in the area.

 So, authority can be delegated from the Board to local managers in two ways. The first is through unit specific regulations. Currently, only wildlife regulations have delegated authority in unit specific regulations, primarily because wildlife management includes a lot of routine management actions. For example, a Federal manager may have delegated authority to announce the harvest quota for a moose hunt each year before the season begins. And this optimizes harvest opportunity and conservation since the quota can be adjusted annually in response to population data. Authority can also be delegated through delegation of authority letters. These letters allow Federal managers to issue special actions, which we'll discuss more in just a minute.

How the Board delegates authority depends on if the authority has been delegated in unit specific regulations, or in these delegation of authority letters. For delegation of authority letters, the Board can delegate authority or issue those letters at any time. This is done through an administrative action which requires the Board to vote either by email, poll or during a Board meeting. But the issuing of delegation of authority letters does not have to go through the formal regulatory process. Being able to issue these letters at any time gives the Board the ability to act quickly and to be responsive to changing

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

conditions and issuing letters in this way does not require public input. However, the Board understands the value of public feedback and may ask for feedback from the Councils before creating or modifying any delegation of authority letters. In contrast, delegation of authority and unit specific regulations can only happen through the public regulatory process. In other words, it requires a proposal to change existing fish or wildlife delegation of authority through the regulatory cycle. While public input is at the Board's discretion, when its -- authority is delegated through the letters, public input is required when authority is delegated through unit specific regulations that are put in place through the regulatory cycle. So, for example, this is an example of authority that's been delegated to a manager in unit specific regulations. So, these again, currently only occur in wildlife regulations and are for routine annual management decisions. So, the authority in this example you see on the slide is a bit more limited in scope in unit specific regulations than you might see in delegation of authority letters. In this example, the authority only pertains to setting permit conditions and announcing closures for a winter hunt. If anyone, including the Councils or the public, wanted to change or modify this authority, they would need to submit a regulatory proposal that would go through the same process that we go through each year.

272829

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

contrast to authority Ιn delegated in unit specific regulations, the managers may also be delegated authority through the delegation of authority letters, and these letters give managers the authority to issue special actions. So, the special actions issued by Federal managers are subject to regulatory requirements. For example, public hearings are required for any temporary special actions, which are those that would last longer than 60 days. And public hearings are encouraged for emergency special actions, which are those that may not exceed 60 days. The managers must also seek Council recommendations when the timing of the Council meetings allow. Delegation of authority letters can be issued or rescinded by the Board at any time. Issuing or rescinding the letters does not need to take place at a Board meeting or during the regulatory cycle. Having the -- this process sort of structured in this way, gives the Board flexibility to adapt to changing conditions and make sure that the local managers have the tools they need to manage and protect populations well, and as well as to optimize subsistence opportunity. Changes to the existing delegation of

authority letters can be requested by the Councils or by the public, by sending a letter to the Board, or by putting in a request at Board meetings.

The current delegation of authority letters are not published online at this time, but if any member of the Council or the public would like to see a copy of the delegation of authority letters, they can contact OSM or the local in-season manager. So, continuing on with the delegation of authority letters, while the letters issued to the managers are specific to the areas and species covered, the general language and requirements of each letter are the same across different regions and throughout the State. So, for example, all letters require communication with affected parties such as the Council Chairs and ADF&G, and tribal consultations must be conducted if practicable. The managers may also defer actions on very contentious issues if immediate action is not required.

So, currently the Federal program has fisheries regulations and wildlife regulations, and authority is delegated in separate letters for fish and for wildlife. So, those delegation of authority letters will not be in one single letter for both fish and wildlife, even if it's in the same region. For fisheries, the scope of the letter is broad and consistent, and they cover all fish species for that particular area. A list of Federal fisheries managers with delegated authority by area can be found on page 98 of the Fisheries Regulation Handbook. This contrast a little bit with wildlife -- wildlife delegations, which tend to cover specific hunts species, and hunt areas, and most wildlife actions are routine and occur every year.

The unit specific regulations of the Federal Wildlife Harvest Regulations book. You can see that image of -- on the slide of the exclamation point in a red triangle. Anytime you see that in your regulations handbook indicates that authority has been delegated for that hunt in that area, and the local inseason manager may take certain actions like setting harvest quotas. So, if you see that icon in your regulations handbook, that lets you know that there may be some additional actions taken that aren't specified in regulation, and you may need to contact your local in-season manager to get the most up to date information about that hunt. All of the authority delegated through letters are listed in the Wildlife Regulations Handbook beginning on page 152. They're organized by Federal

manager and list the area, species, and authority that's 1 been delegated, which essentially means what specific actions that manager could take for that species in that hunt. Each row on that table in the handbook corresponds 5 to a separate letter that's been issued. For example, on page 154, you can see that the Izembek National 6 Wildlife Refuge manager has authority to close the moose 8 season in Unit 9D once ten bulls have been harvested. 9 And the Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve 10 superintendent has authority to manage the caribou hunt in a portion of Unit 12. Specifically, they can open and 11 12 close the season, announce the harvest quota, and the 13 number of permits to be issued. So, in summary, we have 14 delegation of authority, which means the Board transfers 15 limited decision making power to on the ground Federal 16 managers, and this authority can be in unit specific 17 regulations or delegation of authority letters. For unit 18 specific regulations, delegated authority can only be added to or modified during the regulatory process, and 19 20 the delegation tends to be for routine annual management 21 decisions, such as closing seasons once harvest quotas 22 are met. The delegation in unit specific regulations is 23 currently only for wildlife regulations, which has more 24 routine management actions such as closing seasons.

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

The Council's role in delegation of authority for the unit specific regulations is by submitting proposals to change authority that's been delegated, making recommendations to the Board on associated regulatory proposals and consulting with managers before special actions are taken or in this case, since it's unit specific regulation, it wouldn't be a special action, but a management action. Delegation of authority letters are a bit easier for the Board to change or rescind. They give the Board more flexibility to act quickly and respond to changing conditions. And again, changes to the letters can happen outside the regulatory cycle. So, delegation of authority letters give the Federal managers the ability to issue special actions. These letters are generally broader in scope, but subject to more requirements, such as requiring public hearings, and both Fisheries and Wildlife regulations have delegation of authority letters. The Council also has a role in the delegation of authority letters. Councils may request to add to or modify them through sending letters to the Board, or by making a request at Board meetings. And the Board may ask Councils for feedback on proposed changes to delegation of authority letters when time allows.

The letters also require that managers 1 2 consult with Council Chairs before issuing special actions, and the Chair is encouraged to seek feedback from other Council members. So, some of the takeaways 5 here are that -- is that delegation of authority allows 6 for quick in-season management actions, which allows Federal managers to help protect fish and wildlife 8 populations and protect the continuation of subsistence. Managers are required to consult with affected parties 10 before taking action, which commonly includes Councils, ADF&G, and OSM. Council Chairs are encouraged to bring 11 12 in other Council members when consulting with in-season 13 managers. And is sort of bringing this back to those 14 examples, I pointed out at the beginning, if you look at, for example, the Admiralty District Ranger letter, 15 that delegation of authority requires that the district 16 17 ranger hold a public hearing and consult with necessary 18 parties before they might take an action, like adjusting 19 harvest limits for deers [sic]. And that special action 20 would be required because that authority has been issued 21 in a letter. Whereas if that authority was issued in 22 unit specific regulations, the administrative process 23 of holding a special action public hearing would not be 24 required. So, that concludes the training. You can 25 always contact OSM or the in-season managers if you have 26 any questions. I'd be happy to try to answer questions now, but may also rely on some of the Forest Service 27 28 staff that are here, or the OSM biologists that are 29 online as well. Thank you.

30 31

32 33 34

(No response)

35 36

And you say you may think of some questions over time, but there's staff here that can answer those. Mike, go ahead.

38 39 40

41

42

43

37

MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mike Douville. Could you give an example of what triggers a special action or DAL. I guess my curiosity can be initiated by a Council member, a biologist, or just how does this come to be 2hen the alarm goes off?

44 45 46

47

48 49

50

MR. CROSS: Yeah, for the record, my name is Rob Cross. I'm the subsistence manager for the Tongass. Through the Chair, member Douville. Yeah, there's a lot of examples. I guess from the fisheries side, you know, we saw that there was a conservation

concern for ooligan on the Unuk River. We used emergency special action to close it to non-federally qualified harvesters. We can also use special actions to modify methods and means and take for Federal harvest. So, that's where we set the five gallon per household limit for ooligan as well. Special actions for wildlife are taken for a number of reasons, some of which are meeting quotas for, say Unit 5 moose or Unit 4 goats. Special actions can also be taken to increase subsistence limits, like for example, out at Redoubt Lake when there was a very large or larger than expected return of sockeye, we used a special action to increase harvest limits out there. I don't know if that covers it.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Mike, follow up.

MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, most of these are for closures, for conservation reasons, but I believe that they can also be used for — the only example I can think of is a wolf trapping season. So, say you have a target mark of 70 and you've got 50 and you ran out of time. So, on Federal public land, the district ranger could extend the season to get closer to your target harvest number.

MR. CROSS: So, the -- through the Chair. So, the reasons to use a special action, whether temporary or emergency are outlined in the delegation of authority letter, and it's for reasons of conservation concern. For the continuation of subsistence uses, which put on the spot could address what you were talking about to lengthen a season to continue subsistence uses or for reasons of public safety. And so, they're very limited in the scope of what an in-season manager can do outside of the regulatory process.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Patti, go ahead.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Following up on that question so, there's a delegation of authority issued saying that we're going to shut down the wolf season at 50. But then the training said that a member of the public could say — can ask for a change. So, as a member of the public or a tribal member could ask for a change via letter. We request the change to meet our subsistence needs to not close it until you reach 70. Is that what you're saying this process could do?

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

MS. MORROW: Thank you, Member Phillips, for that question. For the record, this is Kristen Morrow. That information regarding what the -- how the Councils can request changes was more focused, as far as I understand, on the scope of the delegation of authority letter. So, for instance, the letter might say the Federal in-season manager can set the harvest quota, and the Council may request that the Federal in-season manager not be allowed to set the harvest quota, for instance. I don't know if the current process would allow for that sort of back and forth like you were describing. That may be something that the venue of the public hearing that's required of special actions -- of temporary special actions could allow for that sort of dialogue. I hope that answers the question and clarifies a bit about how the Council can request changes to the structure of the letters. Not necessarily changes to the in-season actions being taken, if that makes sense. Thank you.

202122

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Mike, you have another question?} \\$

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

DOUVILLE: MR. Ι'm having trouble appearing, really to get to your explanation. So, what are you saying is that you cannot extend the season. We're not talking about extending the (indiscernible) or the quota, if you will, but extending the time to harvest that quota. Kind of an example of maybe three years ago I believe that the season on -- in Unit 2 was extended like 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 days, I can't remember. And that was done by the district ranger because of such bad weather the guys were having trouble getting out there to retrieve their gear. That was of the example I was talking about, but.....

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. CROSS: Through the Chair. Yeah, I think that would be a good example of a continuation of subsistence harvest. And I think some of this will be addressed in the -- not to delay an answer, but I think some of this will be addressed in the next presentation because OSM is proposing a change to the delegation of authority letters for wildlife. And I guess to give a preview looking at using regulations more and putting in regulations as Kristen mentioned that a quota will be announced. And sort of taking that out of the delegation of authority letter and putting it into regulation, which will also give more opportunity for it to go through the regulatory process and be presented

to the Council as far as what parameters to put on that -- on the setting of the quota and things of that nature. So, yeah, I'm sorry, that's kind of a roundabout, but it might be explained a little bit more in the next presentation, and if not, I'm happy to answer it at that point.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. I have a question. It's Don Hernandez. What initiates the letter — delegation of authority letters? Does that come through a request from Councils, or does the Board generate them or like I say, what initiates that inseason — a delegation of authority?

MS. MORROW: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Kristen Morrow, for the record. As far as I understand, the delegation of authority letters can be issued in response to requests from the Council, requests from in-season managers, potentially. They could emerge from a proposal request that's been put forward. I know sometimes that's a modification that's — that can be made where you know, this regulation is updated and authority will also be delegated. So, I think there are multiple venues at which those letters can come to be. The sort of main, I guess, thing to hold on to is that they don't have to only come through the regulatory process. They can be issued at any time.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Anybody else?

(No response)

And what's this other presentation you're referring to, Rob? Is that to come at a future meeting or - not his meeting, right?

MR. CROSS: I believe it's up next, Mr. Chair. And also, just to answer member Douville's question. So, in the Federal wildlife regulation book, the Craig District ranger has a delegated authority to close, reopen, or adjust the Federal hunting season or trapping season for wolves. And generally, the season is set through special action prior to the start of the season based on the State and Federal management plan of wolves and that's for reasons of conservation concern. But the example used where the weather's bad and so, folks aren't able to go out and harvest and the ranger can extend that season, that's well within the delegated authority too, or for the reasons of

continuation of subsistence.

1 2

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Follow up, Mike.

MR. DOUVILLE: Oh, okay. Mike Douville, here. So, the district ranger can extend the season without reopening the season. Not because of weather, but because -- well, because of -- usually it's weather that causes the disruption in your ability to harvest. It's freezing, just really bad weather, snow and stuff like that. So, I guess I asked the question because it is important that we harvest the quota. Because I have no confidence in the ability of the State to come up with an accurate estimate of the population, and our deer population is declining as a result of more wolves so, if we can't harvest a given quarter, then it makes it worse. So, that was my question or my concern, I quess. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mike. Are there any other questions on delegation of authority letters? Patti.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So, looking at page 152 of the, you know, the Harvest of Wildlife blue book. It says, I mean -- and Mr. Cross referenced the Craig Ranger district portion of Unit 2 for wolves. To issue emergency special actions not to exceed 60 days, or temporary special actions to set Federal subsistence harvest quotas, close or reopen Federal seasons, or to adjust harvest and possession limits for deer, or close Federal public lands to the take of deer by all users, or close, reopen or adjust the Federal hunting and trapping seasons for wolves. So, it doesn't say anything about weather conditions. It just says that you can change, close, reopen, or adjust the Federal hunting and trapping seasons for wolves with no criteria attached. So, if the RAC or if a member of the public has objections to the in-season action, do they -- when do they submit their concern to keep the season open to meet the 70 quota rather than a 50 quota? When would somebody submit a letter objecting to a closure prior to meeting the 70 quota?

MR. CROSS: Through the Chair. Member Phillips. So, I think -- well, first of all, so for Unit 2 wolves, there isn't a traditional quota system there. It's a set season length through special action. But using Unit 5A moose as an example, the quota is 30 west of the Dangerous. And so that -- I'm trying to think of

the right way to respond to this. We -- so, there we try to get as close to the quota as possible. And so, I'm not sure that there's a means to object to closing it prior to the quota being met, but the objection -- the objective is to get to 30 bulls, and that's when we would close that system or Unit 4 goats is another example, when the quota is met, you -- either five billies in an area or one nanny, when that quota is met, then we use a special action to close that. So, I can't think of a whole lot of examples where we're closing it prior to a quota if a quota is actually in place.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patti.

 MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chairman. So, my question was specific to wolves. So, are you saying that there's a set season length for wolves so, if they don't meet their quota in that set season length, then there's no special authority given to extend that season.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: If I could jump in here. This is Don Hernandez. There is no more quota, they've moved away from the actual quota. Now there's just a management objective so, it's a different situation. And they set the season length hoping to meet that desired harvest but there is no actual quota. Go ahead, Patti.

MS. PHILLIPS: So, our Traditional Ecological Knowledge is saying that in order to — they would prefer to — of a desired harvest of 70 and they want this because their needs are not being met to harvest deer, because they feel like wolves are taking more deer than they actually think. But if the set season length is — you only harvest 50, then you're saying you can't get the desired harvest of 70 because there's a set season length. That's — is that what you're saying? And there's no changing to the delegation of authority to meet that?

MR. CROSS: Through the Chair, member Phillips. There is authority within the delegation of authority letter to change that season length. And it's actually the delegation of authority letter that gives the authority to the in-season manager to set that season length. And it's based off of a estimated catch per unit effort per day. And then that catch per unit effort per day is applied to the number of days that they think it will take to reach that harvest limit. So, the examples provide or the example provided by member Douville of

bad weather and the ranger having the authority to extend 1 that season based off of bad weather that's fully within the delegation of authority letter. And then I'll also add that using Unit 2 wolves as an example. So, that's 5 gonna be a temporary special action and that triggers 6 consultation with ADF&G, with the Regional Advisory Council. There's a requirement for a public hearing and 8 then there's tribal consultation as well. And so, that's gonna take place on the evening of the 24th. And that's 10 the time when the public has and the tribal entities have to voice concerns over season length or whatever 11 12 in season action is being taken because it's going to 13 be over 60 days.

14 15

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, are there any more questions?

16 17 18

(No response)

19 20

Can we move ahead to the proposed changes then in this delegation of authority?

212223

24

2526

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. CROSS: Yes, Mr. Chair, again, for the record, my name is Rob Cross, and I'm the Subsistence Program manager for the Tongass. And I'm gonna give a brief overview from OSM on the proposed changes to wildlife delegated authority. So, this is meant to be a brief introduction to this effort. And the Councils will have additional opportunity at future meetings to further review and comment on these changes. The Office of Subsistence Management is proposing to move the authority and the existing delegation of authority letters back into wildlife unit specific regulations. And so, while staff have not thoroughly reviewed every single wildlife delegation of authority letter, the vast majority of them are for routine management actions that happen every year such as announcing harvest quotas. Having the delegated authority for these routine decisions and unit specific regulations is more appropriate than issuing special actions year after year. This also provides a clear public process for changing delegated authority through regulatory proposals and decreases the administrative burden on Federal managers by eliminating all of the regulatory requirements associated with special actions and the delegation of authority letters. And some examples of that would be public hearings, tribal consultation, record keeping, analyses, all the things that are required for special actions for the in-season manager. And the timeline for these proposed changes is as

follows. In March of 2025, during the next open window to submit wildlife regulatory proposals, the Office of Subsistence Management will submit a proposal to move delegated authority into unit wildlife specific 5 regulations and to rescind many of the existing letters. In the fall of 2025, so, a year from now, at your next fall meeting, the Councils will consider and make 8 recommendations on this proposal. And in April 2026, the Board will take final action on the proposal at the next 10 wildlife regulatory meeting. That completes my brief overview of the proposed changes to wildlife delegated 11 12 authority, and I'd be happy to address any questions or 13 receive feedback on this topic. But again, this is just 14 meant to be an introduction to this idea, and there will 15 be plenty of additional opportunity for the Council to 16 comment and hear these changes.

17 18

19

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Rob. Do we have any questions on the proposed changes? Cathy, ${\tt qo}$ ahead.

202122

23

24

2526

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, changing, how does this affect? Can you use the Unuk River ooligan as an example of how that might be under delegated authority now and then could be changed into putting it into a unit wide regulation and what the effects of that would be.

272829

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. CROSS: Yeah, through the Chair, member Needham. So, this is only for wildlife delegation of authorities right now. But I can pivot if it's okay with you to Unit 4 goats, I guess would be a good example. So, we've split Baranof Island or Unit 4 into all these very small management zones to try to increase harvest opportunity across Unit 4 or really across Baranof Island. And so, in doing so, instead of using these really big zones and saying, you know, if you harvest ten goats anywhere out of these larger zones, then it's gonna be shut down, we've met the quota. They've shrunk the zone so that it's down into watershed level. And the reason why this, I think is a good example is that as the Council sees, you know, we have seven special actions so far to manage Baranof Island goats. And really, it's just that we've met the quota and the zone and so, that triggers a special action to then close that zone. So, what this is proposing is to move that into wildlife regulation, where it just says that the in-season manager or the district ranger is going to announce a quota and then it will be closed after that quota is met.

1 2 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Follow up. 3 Cathy, go ahead.

5 6

8

9

10

11

12

MS. NEEDHAM: Okay, thanks for bringing it back to the wildlife piece of it. And so, just in general, I guess I'm trying to get a feel for does that make it less flexible once it becomes in regulation for concerns that may arise in any given season or will special actions through delegated authority still be able to occur, especially with that goat thing? If something unforeseen comes up in a specific unit when the quote hasn't been met.

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

MR. CROSS: Yeah, through the Chair, member Needham. That's a really good question. And I think that's something that needs to be addressed by the Council and concerns like that need to be addressed by the Council when this comes up again. But I do think, you know, when the language says a quota will be set for zones on Baranof Island for mountain goats, that really gives flexibility to the managers to say, you know, South Baranof Zone, for example, has been closed through temporary special action for a number of years cause it doesn't really sustain a viable population of goats. And so, that one can be set as zero due to a conservation concern, pre-season. Oh, and Lisa Grediagin from OSM, OSM says that she would like to address this question, so, defer to her if that's okay. I don't know if we can -- she can....

MS. GREDIAGIN: Okay, thank you. Mr. Chair, can you hear me?

34 35 36

37

49

50

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yep, go ahead.

38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48

MS. GREDIAGIN: Okay. Yeah so, in response to Cathy -- Lisa Grediagin, Wildlife Division supervisor with OSM. And in response to Cathy's question, the intent is to move all the routine management actions that happen every single year from these delegation of authority letters into unit specific regulations, because it's not really appropriate -- I mean, special actions are intended to be used for like extenuating circumstances, emergency situations that weren't able to be anticipated. They're not really meant to be used for a routine thing that happens every year and you're gonna, you know, if you're issuing a special action every year, it should be regulation, not a special action, but in response to your specific question or

concern. I mean, these delegation of authority letters are administrative. They're not regulatory. So, the Board could issue them at any time. You know, they don't need to have a meeting, they don't need to act on a proposal. They can just, you know, decide through an email poll, we wanna issue this temporary delegation authority letter, and then the in-season manager can have that authority for you know, whatever particular situation may arise that it's appropriate to have that letter. So, it's kinda trying to reduce administrative burden on the in-season managers and announcing, you know, routine management actions. But it's certainly, you know, again, it's an administrative procedure for the Board can issue authority letters at any time.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, Lisa. And I hope that clarifies a lot to Council members because we really need to break for lunch, cause we do have people at 1 o'clock who are gonna call in, one of whom is back in Washington, D.C., where it will be 5 o'clock, so. We're gonna recess till one o'clock and we'll hear from the folks on high. So, thank you very much.

(Off record)

(On record)

MS. PERRY: Good afternoon, everyone. As folks come back into the room, if we could have you take your seats. This is the Southeast Subsistence Regional Advisory Council meeting. We're here in Ketchikan, and we're going to be getting started promptly at 1:00. We do have some speakers that are available at that time. So, yeah, if we could have all the Council members and public come back into the room, that would be great. We'll be getting started shortly. Thank you.

(Pause)

Again, good afternoon. This is DeAnna Perry, council coordinator for the Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. We're getting folks back in seats and getting ready to get our afternoon session started. Up front, we do have a time certain for policy, management and budget folks. We have Joan Mooney with us and Raina Thiele and Mr. Chair, I'm going to hand it over to you. And if we could go ahead and see if Ms. Mooney is available to go ahead with her comments, that would be

1 great.

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, DeAnna. We still have a couple of Council members that are just entering the room, but yeah, we got most of the Council here. I know it's very difficult to find a place to have lunch and be back in an hour. But thank you, Council members, for making the effort, and I'll bear that in mind for the rest of the meeting. It does take a little time here for people to find something to eat, but we are ready. So, Ms. Mooney, are you ready to address the Council?

12 13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. MOONEY: I am, thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. And I'm really honored to be here today. Raina Thiele wanted to join us, but she's had a conflict that has run over. So, she asked that I extend her apologies to all of you. And she looks forward to engaging with you in the future. And I'm also going to share with you some of her thoughts and some of her remarks. But since I'm meeting many of you for the first time, I'll keep my camera on. So, those of you who are online can see me. My name is Joan Mooney and just by way of introduction, I grew up here in the Washington, D.C. area and with my parents, my brother and sister. I have a wonderful daughter, Kate, and -- who has a -- I have a new grandchild, Tommy who is a four-month-old. And so, you can imagine a lot of my time is spent actually between here in North Carolina visiting with them. And I'm privileged to serve Secretary Deb Haaland and President Biden here as Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for the Office of Policy, Management and Budget, which is an Office of the Secretary. As you may know, on July 15th, the Office of Subsistence Management transitioned employee systems and operations to the office of the Secretary's Assistant Secretary for policy, Management and Budget, or PMB. And that's the position that I'm executing now. So, a top ask, as you know, of participants during the statewide 2022 Tribal Consultation and listening sessions on how to improve the Federal Subsistence Management Program to better meet Alaska Native subsistence needs, was that the Department take immediate action to move f -- OSM outside of the Fish and Wildlife Service, and moving was also one of the top asks during the Secretary of the Interior's comprehensive statewide review of the Federal Subsistence Management Program that was carried out 14 years ago in 2010. A proposal to move OSM out of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and under an assistant Secretary to the Interior was finally included in

President Biden's proposed budget for fiscal year 2024. 1 And of course it was approved by the Congress. So, elevating OSM to this office within the Office of the Secretary will help ensure that the program gets high 5 level visibility and access to necessary resources to 6 carry out its mission. And we're already seeing the results thanks to the good work done by OSM and the PMB 8 team. So, just last week, we were happy to report that 9 the addition of three new public members on the Board 10 has now been published in the Federal Register, and we're now accepting nominations from federally recognized 11 tribes. The final rule requires three new members to 12 13 possess personal knowledge of and direct experience with 14 subsistence uses in rural Alaska, including Alaska 15 Native subsistence uses, and to be nominated or 16 recommended by a federally recognized tribal government in Alaska. The public members of the Board are to be 17 18 appointed by the Secretary of Interior with the concurrence of the Secretary of Agriculture and tribes 19 20 are welcome to nominate or recommend public board 21 members for these three new seats, and nominations will 22 be considered upon their receipt. So, please feel free 23 to -- actually do not hesitate to direct any questions 24 or nominations or recommendations to Raina Thiele or 25 Sarah Taylor, and I'll include their email addresses in 26 the chat. And of course, the staff that is there 27 representing OSM can provide that information of Raina 28 and Sarah's contacts. So, now OSM is organizationally 29 situated here within the Office of Policy 30 Environmental Management, which is one of the divisions 31 here. That division is supervised by my Deputy Assistant 32 Secretary Eric Werwa, who's currently on travel status, 33 and he will be calling into the meetings periodically. 34 He attended this morning and introduced himself then. 35 So, I want to assure you that OSM staff in the 36 organizational chart remain the same, and the physical 37 location of the office will remain in Anchorage. 38 Additionally, please know that the Federal Subsistence 39 and Wildlife regulatory cycles, Fish operating 40 procedures, regulation making process and meeting 41 schedules remain unchanged. And OSM is going to continue 42 to provide support to the Federal Subsistence Management 43 Program for the benefits of all rural users, consistent with the requirements of Title 8 of ANILCA. The Fish 44 45 Resource Monitoring Program and the partners for 46 Fisheries Monitoring Program remain critical under OSM, 47 and they will not change. With the elevation of OSM to the department level and the Secretarial level, the 48 49 Secretary looks forward to continuing active engagement 50 on all issues directly affecting subsistence users. So,

I want to thank you for this opportunity to be with you all today, and I look forward to hearing the discussion, as well as learning from all of you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Thank you, Ms. Mooney. Are you available to take any questions from the Council?

MS. MOONEY: I will try. Yes, and I know we have staff that can help if I don't know the answer. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Any Council members have any questions for Ms. Mooney? Who is now the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy, Management and Budget back in Washington, D.C. So, do we have any questions? Cal, go ahead.

MR. CASIPIT: Yeah. This is Cal Casipit. I'm from Gustavus. I just had a quick question. The last name in the -- last word in the title was budget. And one of the things I know this Council is very concerned with, and I know the rest of the Councils that there our winter All-Council meeting was very concerned about the amount of funds that are available for undertaking fisheries resource monitoring projects and also starting up a Wildlife Resource Monitoring Program. You know, some of the numbers have been talked about is, you know, \$1 million to start wildlife or \$3 million to start wildlife or something like that. But, you know, I appreciate the attention to providing budget, but guite frankly, \$1 million doesn't go very far in pulling off a, you know, a fisheries stock assessment or a wildlife study or something like that. The needs -- the financial needs of providing the information to these Councils, all these ten Councils, it's pretty significant. And I'm just wondering, you know, what's underway or what's happening to try to improve our budget situation so that we can spend a bit more on you know, the Traditional Ecological Knowledge and the studies that are needed to manage fish and wildlife populations statewide?

MS. MOONEY: Sure. So, I'm going to attempt this. And Ciisquq, you can chime in or any of the OSM team but, you know, Congress directed that OSM be transferred, but it didn't provide additional funding for the Wildlife Resource Monitoring Program. However, a request for funding to initiate such a program will be considered as the Department's budget proposals are

developed. And I think the staff might tell you, you know, the proximity to the Secretary and to the formulation of budget, I can't guarantee anything because right now we're operating under a continuing resolution awaiting our FY25 request. And 26 is already in formulation. But over time, you will see, I think, I'm hopeful you will see more responsiveness both to requests overall, but a greater understanding at a higher level on the budget. So, I'd open it up to the team if anyone wants to add anything.

MS. LEONETTI: Hi. I can add this is Ciisquq, and I'm sorry. I'm experiencing a cold that I caught at AFN so, my voice is a little bit scratchy. One thing that I will add is that, you know, the OSM staff who are very close to you, the Council members are in tune to what the needs are. And this year is the first year that the staff has had input into the budget formulation process. So, that's really exciting. And we did ask for more funding under the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. And for the new —— if we can get the funding what would be the new Wildlife Resource Monitoring Program and definitely more than \$1 million. I don't have the numbers straight in front of me, but yeah, we did do that. So, it's a good question and we're glad to be in the position we are now.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you for that response. Any other questions from the Council? Patti, go ahead.

Thank MS. PHILLIPS: you, Hernandez. Yes, Ms. Mooney. So, also at the RAC level, over these many years, we've supported co-management of resources, which means bringing tribes in to -- building capacity within the tribes. And oftentimes it's through the Fisheries Information Program. So, -- and we'd like to see more of that co-management going on. And if the agencies could you know, incorporate that into their management. But within Southeast Alaska, like, you know, I don't know the percentage, but over 90% of the land is Federal lands. So, I mean, it's really important that that there be enough funds in the budget for our region. So, anyways, my main question was about you know, developing more co-management programs in Southeast Alaska. Thank you.

MS. MOONEY: All right. Thank you. I don't know, Ciisquq do you want to do you want to take that one?

MS. LEONETTI: Yeah. Hi, and I should identify myself, and it's so refreshing by the way — this is Crystal Lionetti and it's refreshing to hear my native name the Office of the Secretary has taken on and speaks my native name on a daily basis. So, that's Ciisquq. That's my Yup'ik name. And thank you, Joan, for saying it every day. Yeah. Co-stewardship and co-management are a top priority. And the Office of Subsistence Management is definitely here to support the agencies in those efforts. We certainly don't have a budget to do so, but we have the drive and the desire to pass messages and to support any discussions that are ongoing for co-management and co-stewardship.

MS. MOONEY: And I should say, we're hosting a co-stewardship, co-management training in Anchorage in December. One of Secretary Holland's key priorities has been to ensure that our workforce is trained and understands trust and treaty obligations and our history and the ability to carry these out effectively. So, and we understand that there may be funding coming in from other Federal departments that the program has been such a success for actually for our workforce here. So, we're hoping this year was the first of many years on training our employees. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any other questions from the Council for Ms. Mooney or Ms. Leonetti? Patti, go ahead.

 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Another part of our effectiveness has been able for the RAC to participate in the Board of Fish and the Board of Game meetings, and that takes funding for our RAC members to attend those meetings. So, we hope that there's adequate and more than adequate funding for that to continue. Thank you.

MS. MOONEY: Thank you. And I know that the Secretary understands the costs in terms of financial costs, time away from families and communities that Council members have. And she very much appreciates the expertise that Council members offer, providing adequate compensation for Council members is a high priority for us, and we're looking at ways to incorporate that into the budget as well as obviously protecting the funding that we currently have for all the services and programs that we currently pay for. So, we'll keep you informed, and I appreciate you sharing that perspective

with us. Thank you.

1 2 3

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Any other questions from the Council? Albert, go ahead.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I know we had the conversation on funding in the past about having enough funding for OSM to come to their own conclusion for us on why we're submitting proposals because a lot of the times we use the State's data and it is inaccurate and I could give a lot of examples of how it is inaccurate, because when we tried to do the deer proposal for Admiralty Island, that was a good example of why we need our own -- and more funding for OSM to help us do our job. If they can come to their own conclusion without the State's data, then then we can justify why we're trying to accomplish what we're trying to accomplish for our tribal members and rural users of subsistence. So, I'm not sure if you need anything from us. Maybe, Mr. Chair, you can answer what part of the process we need to go to assist OSM to have the funds available to come up with our own data versus the State using the State's data. There's many examples we could use. I mean there's the -- I'm becoming an expert on wolves, even though we don't have any wolves on Admiralty. So, that's kind of another example of the State versus feds. For no other way to say it. I'd rather take Mr. Douville's words on -- his thoughts on the wolves versus the State's thoughts on wolves. And I know we're going to have the conversation later. And I'm pretty excited about that for the first time ever being on the RAC, because now I know so much about wolves. But I guess my point being is I think we need to figure out how to get OSM more funds so they can support our positions better. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

35 36 37

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. I'll let somebody else speak to that before I do. So, go ahead.

39 40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

38

MS. MOONEY: I can say we would definitely support that. And that's -- it's super important to hear directly from you. This right now, we're in the midst of like I said, our 25 budget is before the Congress. The 26 budget is formulated but working on future budgets. I'll look forward or the Office here looks forward to continuing engagement and really digging into the reasons why the office was moved closer to the Secretary here in the Office of Policy, Management and Budget. So, look forward to those

continuing conversations and getting data to support the need. Correct. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Any other questions from Council members? Okay, I'm not seeing any. So, I'll say thank you to Ms. Mooney and Ms. Leonetti up there in Anchorage and back in Washington, D.C. and I think I heard that Raina Thiele is still not available, so we'll just....

MS. MOONEY: She's not. But, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to introduce Eric Werwa. If he can come, I know he was there earlier. He is in consultation with the Native Hawaiian community in Hawaii at the moment, but he's joined us as well. So, thank you all. And he's trying to attend as many different RAC meetings as possible. And, thank you.

MR. WERWA: Yeah. Thanks, Joan. Yeah. Hi. I mentioned that earlier. And I'm going to have to leave to go out into the field here in Hawaii in a few minutes so, I won't be able to attend the rest of the afternoon. But it's been good to be able to listen to this conversation and the conversation you've been having throughout the morning. And so, I just want to echo the things that Joan has said. Thanks, everyone.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Well, thank you for yeah, being able to keep track of our meeting as well on your busy schedule. So, thank you, Mr. Werwa. So, I think that wraps up our reports from the -- on the new way forward here for subsistence management in Alaska. So, we appreciate hearing that. And now, moving on, on our agenda, we come to our first action items, which is the -- our Federal fisheries proposals. And before we get to that, we'll have a report on our subsistence fisheries from Mr. Musselwhite, and then review of the proposals. So, Jake, come on up.

 MR. MUSSELWHITE: Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, I'm Jake Musselwhite, North zone biologist for the Tongass. I'm going to spare you my usual PowerPoint this time, just in the interest of brevity and time, and just give you just a quick overview, focusing mostly on our FRMP projects. Give you some kind of preliminary results from this past season. So, moving roughly north to south starting at Neva there and excursion inland our project there, we had a good year. In fact, it was basically just a good year for sockeye almost everywhere. So, I was very happy to see

that. Still finishing just, the last couple days of video 1 review for Neva, but we're looking at about 5,900 sockeyes into Neva, which is better than we've had in about 6 or 7 years, I think. So, that was a bright spot 5 and you know, we recently increased the harvest limit. 6 And it seems like there's been more people fishing there just anecdotally. And we still had some really strong 8 escapement. So, that was great to see. So, moving to 9 Klag which has been a bit of a trouble spot here and 10 there lately, there was relatively good escapement of just over 7,100 fish and close to 600 harvested there. 11 12 Last year we had -- or the Fish and Game closed it mid-13 season. And you know, we still got about 7,000 fish in 14 there. So, similar to last year, but without a closure 15 in the middle of the season. So, that was -- we're --I'm hoping that that kind of long-term decline at Klag 16 17 is maybe starting to turn around a little bit. Siko, 18 I've got a ton of video to watch, and so I can't give 19 you any numbers there, but I'm almost certain it's over 20 10,000 fish. Probably the best there in about 7 or 8 21 years. You know, just, you know, looking at the spawning 22 grounds and everything, it's looking the best there I've 23 seen there in quite a while. So, pretty happy about that. And then, of course, Redoubt, yet another record 24 setting season. There was 210,000 fish counted through 25 26 the weir there, about another 100,000 taken in the 27 commercial fishery. So, you know, well over 300,000 fish 28 returning to Redoubt. So, you know, the management plan 29 now seems, you know, kind of like a quaint artifact 30 where it caps out at 40,000. So, some sort of regime change going on there, I wish I could explain it. You 31 32 know, I'm sure everybody has a theory, but we'll see if 33 it continues. But yeah, we'll just see if it's going to 34 -- the other question is, will the lake support those kinds of escapements, you know, in the long term. So, 35 36 it's kind of a drama unfolding there at Redoubt so, 37 fascinating to watch. On the other side of the coin, 38 moving down to the Hydaburg area, a whopping 162 fish 39 made it into Eek with observed harvest of 380 fish so, 40 and this has been kind of a long-term pattern at Eek who 41 with relatively few fish, just a few hundred fish making 42 it into the lake there. So, I've been talking with Cody 43 there, and it may be time for some management actions 44 there. So, I was talking with him about getting Hydaburg 45 and the tribe behind, you know, asking the department 46 to take some management actions there at Eek. And then 47 next door, at Hetta they had the best year ever since monitoring started. So, over 40,000 fish escapement, 48 49 3,000 fish harvest. So, you know, side by side, those 50 two systems are two very different stories. I can't

explain it. I don't know how much of that is due to you know, harvest and other fisheries, you know. I know they've been moving lines around, changing some of the same fisheries there, but yeah. Anyway, and then you had another good story on the Unuk with the hooligan surveys there sure were characterized since it's a qualitative survey and assessment rather than quantitative. They were considered abundant, and you know, reading the monitoring report sounds like it's the strongest return there, really, since you know, regular surveys have been done. And I know, Louie, you probably can know better than I do, but anyway, it looks like promising there where there was nine permits and everybody came home with the one bucket limit of a fish. And yeah so, that's a success story and hopefully that continues. So, that's my quick, fast as I could overview I'd be happy to take any questions.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Jake. Questions? Comments? Somebody? Oh, Albert, go ahead.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have one question. The large escapement amounts, is there a direct correlation between the escapement that's returning to the systems and the lack of commercial fishing effort? Do you take the -- does anyone take the numbers that the state has from commercial fisheries and put them side by side with the escapement to show, in fact, that there's no interception happening so you have a larger escapement?

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Through the Chair, member Howard. Yes. I mean, this was a lousy year for pinks, so there was relatively little seine effort, especially on the north end and in the Chatham Corridor. I don't know how much that contributed to you know, Chatham Strait area sockeye returns, which I assume is what you're talking about. I suspect a fair bit, it's not a coincidence. I couldn't -- I can't tell you the magnitude of that effect, but it's definitely been a factor. And we've had a number of fairly weak pink years, and I think that's definitely helping.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Any other questions, Patti?

MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Musselwhite. Like on Eek, I mean, what is the escapement? I mean, ideal escapement and why does it take the tribe to take, you know, to take management

action? Why isn't the, you know, the agency taking action if they're only having 160 fish returning? I mean, there's something there. I don't know about that. Maybe you can explain to me.

5 6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Yeah. Through Chair. Member Phillips, I don't know what the ideal escapement is, but it's more than 162, I'll tell you that. I'm not super familiar with that system, but I, know, I know that there's been historical escapements of at least several thousand fish. Cody might know better than I do, but yeah. And I don't know that that's on the Department's radar. And they would be the one opening and closing, you know, taking some sort of, you know, emergency order type action on that which is, you know, why I was discussing with Cody that to maybe Hydaburg and the tribe should approach the Department with numbers in hand and, you know, ask for some kind of action, if that's indeed what the community supports. Yeah. Thank you.

202122

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Jim

Slater.

232425

2627

28

29

MR. SLATER: Yeah. Mr. Musselwhite. I just want to make sure I didn't misunderstand the question about correlation to other fisheries. Was the higher escapements we're talking about due to a lack of bycatch by the seine -- pink seine fisheries, is that what you're implying, or...?

30 31 32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Oh, yeah. Through the Chair, member Slater. Yeah. You know, we've -- I've worked on monitoring projects in the Chatham Strait Corridor and there's, you know, a fair number of, you know, a fair amount of seine effort in that area from, say, Point Augusta South and Hocking the shoreline and such. And it's really hard to, you know, really quantify that. But there is definitely small Chatham Strait system sockeye caught in those, you know, pink salmon directed seine fisheries. Probably the best illustration of that was, you know, there was a number of really strong odd year pink runs with weak even year pink runs like a 2011, 13, 15 were just huge pink years and you know and then weak in the middle, right. And you know so, there's a ton of saint effort one year not so much you know very little the next. And so, it was like flipping a light switch. And we saw in Chatham sockeye escapements that we were monitoring at the time, Cook Lake, Sitka Lake, Kanalku, that it was an inverse

50

pattern. So, the strong pink years, seiners are fishing like hell. All the sockeye escapements go down, the next year flip the switch the other way and it would reverse. So, there's at least, you know, indirect evidence. There 5 was also a genetic study done by the department to try 6 to really tease that out a little bit right around 2012 or so, but they really didn't get enough samples to really, you know, to further enlighten us that much. 8 9 Yeah. 10 MR. SLATER: And does the senie fishery 11 12 report the bycatch at all? Is that quantified by any 13 reporting or is it...? 14 15 MR. MUSSELWHITE: Well, it's not bycatch. 16 I mean, it is a directed mixed stock fishery. So, they're 17 there to catch whatever..... 18 19 MR. SLATER: Okay. 20 21 MR. MUSSELWHITE:salmon 22 swimming. So, it's and yeah, they are, you know, measured 23 on fish tickets and stuff. It's just that, you know, 24 2000 sockeye go into Kanalku, is a blip in the figures especially because it's mixed in with a whole bunch of 25 26 fish.... 27 MR. SLATER: Right. 28 29 MR. MUSSELWHITE:going north to the 30 Chilkat and Chilkoot. So, you know, and even all the way 31 around towards Snettisham and Taku and that sort of 32 stuff, because that Icy Strait corridor, they kind of 33 mixed right there. And that's why the fisheries are 34 taking place. So, you know, those little bitty sockeye 35 runs get lost in that noise. 36 37 MR. SLATER: Right. Okay. Thank you. 38 39 MR. MUSSELWHITE: Certainly. 40 41 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Cathy, go ahead. 42 43 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I 44 wanted to take a step back and address Patti's question, 45 if I can, as somebody who has worked for the Fisheries 46 Resource Monitoring Program hand in hand with Hydaburg 47 on their both their Hetta and their Eek project in the 48 past. The Council may remember that Hydaburg gave a

presentation to the Council about, like, how they have

been handling management of those two fisheries within

the community. And Patti's question, you know, kind of 1 sparked the conversation about, well, how come if this occurred at Eek, where the harvest was more than what the escapement was, why there wasn't action -- like, 5 what kind of actions can be taken? It just kind of 6 questions this whole management and in the past there have been voluntary closures to fish Eek for a number 8 of years consecutively, where the tribe has gone out and 9 asked the community not to harvest at Eek specifically, 10 because of the low returns that they were seeing from being able to count fish that come back through the FRMP 11 12 program. And I think in this part is a little anecdotal 13 on my part, because I have not been working on the 14 program for a couple of years now. But I think that 15 before when it was voluntary closure the community and 16 the tribe were working pretty much hand in hand on that. 17 But now community members are getting a little bit 18 frustrated about not being able to fish in a system that 19 is closest one to their community and going to other places and there's a little bit of a shift within the 20 21 community in terms of whether or not they feel it's a 22 good idea to fish at Eek or not. And so, the question 23 becomes, can the area management biologist then 24 subsequently close down the fishery in the middle of the 25 season? And to the credit of Alaska Department of Fish 26 and Game, they have constantly worked with the natural 27 Resources department at the Hydaburg Cooperative 28 Association to try to do things that are more voluntary 29 and work so that the tribe is managing and making those 30 decisions before they do anything regulatory or within 31 regulation. And so, those conversations do happen during 32 the season, I know. And the area management biologist 33 has always tried to A, think about like whether or not 34 they're going to open Cordova Bay for more commercial 35 fishing and B, not trying to close off something by a 36 special action or closure, because it sort of takes away 37 the trust between the community and the department of 38 being able to do it. I think we're just now starting to 39 see that 2024 was a mistake, and that maybe we do need 40 the tribe, and the community probably needs to think 41 about whether or not they want to do that, not have it 42 as a volunteer thing anymore, but actually look at 43 special actions or actions that can do that. And I wanted 44 to provide some of that clarity, because I think the 45 department deserves a little bit of credit for the work 46 that they have been doing with Hydaburg hand in hand on 47 that. And the hard part about Eek is, yeah, it has 48 traditionally supported more sockeye in the past, but 49 it's a difficult system, we don't know -- it's a short 50 run, it's a small run and it's just really difficult to

know what's going on with sockeye if you're not looking at the greater picture throughout Cordova Bay.

3

5

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

1

Second thing I wanted to add and this is a little bit of a message maybe to you, Mr. Musselwhite, to bring back. Is that commercial fishing in District 3, there was a study that was done to look at the Klawock River drainage specifically, and the genetics. So, genetic sampling on the commercial fishery to determine where fish, when they're in the mixed stock are destined and how many of those fish are going back into Klawock to look at whether or not interception is having an effect. And the department did a three-year study based on that and did the analysis on it. And their conclusion was that there definitely are fish that are being intercepted. And I talked to the biologists at that time who was Steve Heinl, and I was like, well, sockeye salmon that go into Cordova Bay also are a part of that -- of the fish that were sampled and can that analysis be done or what can happen so that Hetta can -- or Upper Cordova Bay, Hetta and Eek can both have that data taken and they said, well, the data is there. They just need money for the analysis. And it -- they even provided a quote for what that analysis would cost for the biologist to go through it. But it might be something that you really need to think about. It wasn't a very large quote in terms of the amount of money it would take to actually do the analysis, but looking at how commercial -- potential commercial interception is playing into that, I think is prudent at this point in time, especially given that anecdotally, it looks like Hetta has the highest return of sockeye in history, and the pink salmon fishery didn't do so well in that district potentially leading to the secondary factor that sockeye salmon were intercepted in the commercial fishery for that district. So, those fish were allowed to come up the bay and be there. So, I would encourage staff to try to find some money or to actually do the analysis themselves with the data that exists that was taken as part of Klawock that study. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

41 42 43

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy. Any other questions? Larry.

44 45 46

47

MR. BEMIS: Mr. Chair. Thank you. Are you aware of the escapements and stuff that are going on in the Yakutat on the sockeye run?

48 49

50

MR. MUSSELWHITE: I'm sorry. On which system did you say? On the Situk?

2 3 4

(Simultaneous speech)

5 6

MR. BEMIS: On the sockeye escapement.

7 8

9

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Yeah, I certainly do follow it. Especially the Chinook things, because that seems to be a constraining factor.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. BEMIS: Well, here's the deal. In the last five years we've over escaped the sockeye according to the low-end target that we need. Because the concern about the king salmon our days are cut. And when the sockeye do come in, they just rush up the stream, and commercial fishing doesn't really get a chance because we're sitting hampered by the idea that we got a low end escapement on the king salmon, which again happens to -- if we don't have the salmon, we get shut down, taken a day off or whatever. Meanwhile, we increase the bag limit for the sport fishing, and we allow the fish to just keep going through. And the other thing is by having the weir down at the lower part of the mouth within a half mile or whatever it is to the estuary, and the amount of sport fishing that impacts that river from the 9-mile on down, we do not know how much actual fish are going to the spawning grounds. And we have asked to maybe look at putting that up at the 9-mile where it used to be, to where you can actually know the amount of fish that are going to the spawning grounds. But there's this thing about sharing the weir with sport fish to find out what's going on with their steelhead. Sport has more money. So, they put the weir in, they manage it and up to a certain point when they're done doing their studies and stuff, there's a cutoff point of the weir being pulled out of the water. Now, I've went to the Fish and Game, talked with Ric there in town and said, listen, we've got money in our aquaculture for habitat. The city is willing to put money in, the tribe is willing to put in money in, and even the Forest Service might go along with us to help do any pool funding for, say, a weir or sonar or anything that is needed and never got a response back on it, because I feel that somehow we're just letting years go by on top of years, and we don't know how much actually escapes up to the lake. We know how much is caught. We know how much is escaped down at the lower level of the weir, and the rest is just estimate. My concern is, as we keep looking at these king salmon going up and down, are we

1 over escaping the habitat that sustains the sockeye? And if we didn't have a good return, would that be based on the over escapement or is it the ocean conditions? These are the catch 22 that were playing with, and it got to 5 the point where the sport fishermen two years ago got 6 nine fish a day. So, and this year they went up to six. So, what that does is impacts the Situk River where you 8 can wade all the way from top to bottom, darn near in a 9 pair of chest waders. And this just brings more people 10 to the town to go and hear about the harvest. I mean, Facebook and whatever just is right on cue of what's 11 going on. I mean, you can see it in the steelhead 12 13 fishermen when there's a good run going on, most of 14 Alaska shows up. But it ain't so much the out of town. I mean, Anchorage and Juneau and the rest of the place. 15 16 They come and camp out and -- but what I'm getting here 17 is I'm very concerned about the wait and see attitude 18 that we have with what we've got. I mean, every year we 19 sit there and wait and see. And then all of a sudden, 20 whoops, we got a lot fish here. We've got to do something 21 about it. And for somehow with those fish coming in the 22 estuary, even if you did extend it out to the commercial 23 fishermen, that river is so big and wide that if you don't have days to catch them, you're not going to get 24 it in 24 hours to make up for that, the amount. There's 25 been as many as 10 to 12,000 fish go through the weir 26 27 in a matter of a day or so when they push, they push. 28 And it seems like we're just continuously doing this on 29 a watch and see basis. And I'm, I'm concerned that either 30 that the habitat is going to get ruined by tromping the 31 grounds, as well as the amount of fish being taken that 32 might be under registered, or are they over escaping and 33 ruining the spawning ground? So, I sit back, and I see 34 this -- I commercial fish, I know the area, I know the 35 fish. I know how -- I've been doing it all my life. I've 36 been on that estuary and the Situk River. In fact, I 37 moved there in 1991, and me and my wife have been there 38 ever since. So, I sit on the Advisory Board, which is 39 inactive, and I discuss things personally with Rick 40 whenever I see or give a chance to observe and say, ask 41 him about market placement. Some of these things that 42 we're doing, like Lost River. We sit and wait on that 43 escapement which eats up -- right now we've got so many corridors, there's six miles of river that is just 44 45 blocked off, either for king salmon or for the escapement 46 of the Lost River. And pretty soon we're down to this 47 narrow little patch from the mouth of the river to 48 halfway up the estuary that the very few fishermen get 49 the fish and we don't have this open escapement -- I 50 mean, open markers. So, to see this thing looked over a

little more and if there's a finance problem, let us get 1 involved in it, because I don't want to see commercial piggybacking off of sport, and then sport controls the entity that serves us all. And they have been all along, 5 and they all seem to get along with that, because every 6 time I talk to Ric, you know, we don't have the budget to do that. So, that's my concern about it, over you 8 know -- I got no complaints about the fish there. Not 9 at all, but the way it's being managed is another story. 10 And I feel that -- I've offered that we would find funding for them to monitor every single stream from the 11 12 Lost River to the Dangerous River and see what's in all 13 the streams that we don't know about that we aren't 14 fishing and what kind of -- what's coming and going. I 15 mean, there's a lot of territory that we don't know about and here we are just focused on the Situk River 16 in the Lost, which -- it's just my thought, and I was 17 18 just wondering where we're sitting at the Situk level, 19 on the management part of it. Thank you.

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Yeah. Through Chair, member Bemis. Yeah, I'm not super familiar with the Situk, although it's -- I mean, I can certainly appreciate what a complicated machine it is between outmigrating steelhead and then a fairly weak chinook return that is kind of sometimes makes the escapement -- does an escapement especially co-mingled with it has been a really healthy sockeye return, as you say lately. I mean, that's kind of an old problem in fisheries is protection of a weak stock that is mixed in with a strong one is kind of a intractable problem. So, yeah, I don't have any good answers for you, or I don't even know the questions, but I certainly appreciate all the, you know, intricacies and problems that are going on there for sure. I hope that helps. Yeah.

35 36 37

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Frank, go ahead.

38 39

40

41

42

43

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. In the systems that you had really high escapement, on the outside when was there a high commercial catch of the same species in those in those areas? You know, somebody that's on -- I think it says some one system had about 40,000-20,000 escapement. Is that what you said?

44 45 46

47

48

49

50

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Right. Well, Hetta had, you know, 40,000 this year, which was the highest, I think, since it's been monitored. And Cathy, I think, spoke to that entire, you know, system far better than I ever could for what you know, is going on there

1 possibly with, you know, the role of commercial catch in those. The other ones I know, you know, Neva just across the way from Hoonah there, there's hardly been any seine fishing in Icy Strait. You know, Point Augusta 5 is about the, you know, closest and I rarely see more 6 than 2 or 3 boats lined up there lately. So, I don't think -- I know it's not a factor there. You know, and 8 then farther down the way is Sitkoh and such. You know, 9 we had fairly weak -- I do think it's a factor, but I 10 also think that probably the bigger factor is ocean 11 conditions that, you know, have been favorable to sockeye. I mean, we're kind of seeing that all over. 12 13 Yeah, you know, is that it's been a couple good years 14 now for sockeye. And I also think it was like a fairly 15 good water year, the flows throughout all the streams. 16 I was, you know, measuring where high but not too high. 17 Kind of Goldilocks you know, all summer. So, I never saw 18 any periods where there's passage problems and, you 19 know, Sitkoh I've seen that quite a bit in, you know, 20 the years I've been doing it. So, yeah, I think it's --21 a lot of things kind of coming together there between 22 ocean conditions, wind peak returns and, you know, and 23 decent, you know, decent water flows.

24 25

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Louie, did you have your hand up?

262728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

MR. WAGNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd like to thank Mr. Muckleshoot [sic] for the report. The best one I've heard that I'm happy with it. And we were up there on the river. I had my son with me, my daughter and my granddaughter who's sitting behind you. And with the proxies, we were able to share a lot of that fish with our elders, but they've been missing out. But the younger kids, the small ones aren't getting it, and they're not going to probably want to eat it as they get older so, it really hurts our culture. We really need that -- we need that river. And I spent my life on that river. And it's -- it feels good to see things improving because it's starting to get late for me. It's getting hard for me to get in and out of the skiff now. And so, I can't go without my children so, I need their help. So, thank you for that. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

43 44 45

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Albert, you had something else.} \\$

46 47 48

49

50

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I kind of want to encourage the Council to start using this data in a different manner. My thought process is,

5

6

8

9

10

11 12 we want to leave things for the next generation better than we found it. And by doing so, we need to figure out why are these systems failing and why are they succeeding at times? And part of that is the fact that these are being intercepted, we need to say that; or if they're not being intercepted, we need to say that as well. I'd like to see a formula created. So, Mr. Musslewhite, I could say, well, next year you're going to have a good year based on this formula, Albert, or you're not going to have a good year based on our formula. But either way, you have a formula to constantly look at and the next generation don't have to recreate this wheel we've been sitting here spinning for guite a while.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

I think it's important for us to figure out how to come up with that formula. And I'm sure maybe you have ideas on how to do that. But part of that is stream gauging. You have to figure out the environment these fish are going back to spawn to, is that having an impact on whether they're returning the year they're supposed to return or not, or is interception having the impact? But in order to know the reasons why things are happening, you have to have the entire picture. So, I -- there's someone smarter in this room and -- than I am, that could probably create the formula, that we could always look at and refer back to. I know Hetta has become a good example on something that works, but it seems to me like whenever I take an idea that that works there and ask the State if we could do that, they're going to say no. And it's nothing against the State, it's just I wish you'd find common ground because in my mind, we're here for the same reason the State is, we're trying to make sure the resource survives into the next few generations -- was seven in our culture. But still, you don't see what I saw growing up as a young person in this -- in the environment I grew up in. You used to catch cohos off the dock, goofing off, trying to catch a codfish for halibut. You throw a piece of herring out there and what do you know? You got a coho instead. You don't see anything like that anymore. And this is in the harbor at home. And we used to catch halibut off the dock at the harbor at home. So, there's a lot of things that have changed in my lifetime and I don't want to see that trend continue into the next generation because --I said this at the Tlingit & Haida meeting, as Natives we've never brought the resource on the brink of what it is now. Never. We were managers of a system and an area way before any of us sat here, we've managed it. And if I went into Harvey's country, I'd have to go to Harvey's house and ask him, can I fish here? Can I hunt

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

here? How much can I take? It was a respect for his clan and his country. They did the same thing. But it was a management system. It wasn't an ownership system. It was based on Harvey's knowledge of the area and what was available. If Harvey says there ain't much deer here, so we can't allow you to take any because our people need it for ourselves. If we had a lot of deer, we'd let you take a couple, but we don't. And that was the system, and I think the data that Mr. Musselwhite's been providing can help us get to that type of system where you co-manage it and you actually co-manage it. So, it's just a thought. And I have a couple others, and I'm trying to hold you to the beginning of your meeting yesterday of not talking too much. So, thank you, Mr. Chair.

15 16 17

18

19

20

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Thank you, Albert. You covered a lot of topics there. And yeah, this this Council is focusing in on co-management and yeah we need to pursue that. You're absolutely right. So, thank you. Anybody else? Cathy, go ahead.

212223

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program in Southeast Alaska, is -- thats still largely funded with the U.S. Forest Service, which is Department of Agriculture, and if the answer is yes, then how has the move of the OSM program into the -- under, directly under the Secretary. Has that changed? I'm asking the question because I'm like, are you still able to get the funds that you currently have at the level that you have to fund these Fisheries Resource Monitoring Programs that we're talking about? Because one thing I noticed as we were talking and you were giving your presentation for the status of the streams as we know it in Southeast Alaska, is that there were just a very small handful of streams that FRMP is addressing and able to fund, and there are a lot of other systems that have sockeye salmon our most important resource that aren't being monitored. And so, you know, we heard just before your presentation about how OSM is going towards funds, but -- going for more funds to help fund FRMP and then there may be potentially wildlife, but how does that working through the Department of Agriculture side of things?

44 45 46

47

48 49

50

MR. CROSS: Yeah. This is Rob Cross for the record, subsistence program manager for the Tongass. To the Chair, member Needham. So, the first question is, is the majority of the FRMP funding for Southeast USDA funding? And that's yes. The move for OSM hasn't really

changed our funding to my knowledge, at all. It's still 1 USDA funding that is going towards the FRMP program for, for Southeast. We've been -- funding wise, we've been fairly static over the last many years for SMSM subsistence funds. I don't really know what the funding 5 6 is going to be for FY25, I would imagine probably quite static. And I think you've identified a really big issue 8 and that the funding is static, the number of projects 9 are increasing, or it's a very small subset of the 10 projects that we would like to see. And the price of these projects are increasing. So, it seems like the 11 general trend is that our money is staying static. The 12 13 projects are more expensive. We're able to fund less 14 projects. So, I can't really speak to the OSM funding and what that's going to look like. OSM has funded some 15 FMP projects in Southeast, I believe Eek would be an 16 17 example of that. So, it's not out of the question that 18 OSM is capable of funding FRMP projects in Southeast. I just am not sure what that funding is going to look like 19 20 on their end.

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

MR. RISDAHL: Mr. Chair, this is Greg Risdal. I could add a little bit to Rob's explanation, which was perfectly accurate. With regard to the Forest Service Department of Agriculture funding, we do have a -- our budget for subsistence is a line item in the congressional budget. And as Rob has said, it has not changed in many, many years. And currently our expectation is that the subsistence budget will remain the same. Although we are extremely aware of the concerns that Cathy has brought up -- member Cathy Needham has brought up, that we are falling further and further behind in our ability to monitor our fisheries resources down in Southeast Alaska. So, that is part of our discussions in the budget realm with the Forest Service and Department of Agriculture. But right now, we have no real crystal ball to anticipate how things may change one way or the other. At the moment, we are pretty sure we're going to have the same budget that we have had the last -- well, certainly as long as I've been here, but it's been happening. The same budget has taken place long before I was with the Forest Service the last four years. So, I'm sorry we can't give you a better answer, member Needham at this point, but that's where we're at. Thank you.

45 46 47

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Greg, and we will be discussing the Fisheries Resource Management projects later on in the meeting. So, I think I'd like to move on here if we could. And we're coming

into our Federal proposals. Before we do that, we need a procedural overview from our Council Coordinator, and we'll get that. Then I think we'll take a break and come back, and we'll start hearing our presentations on the proposals. So, DeAnna.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

4

MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just a go over the procedure to for presentations. I know we have a lot of folks in the room who might not be familiar with that. As we go through each proposal, you can refer to page number 32 in the meeting books, and you can follow along that process. For those online, you'll see the document named Presentation Procedure for Proposals and Closure Reviews on the website. The Chair will announce each step of this process, which provides an opportunity for various agencies, Councils, committees, commissions and the public to participate. I wanted to bring a few things to your attention. There are a couple of times during this process for the public to participate. So, under step C or step 3C, there will be a time for tribal public comment. And under number 6, step 6, there will be a time for public testimony. Now, for those on the phone who may wish to provide a comment, you'll need to press star five at the beginning of the presentation of the proposal on which you want to provide a comment. That way, we can line up everyone and know who wants to comment, and we can call on you at the appropriate time. The Chair will recognize people on the phone, in the room and by Teams through name or phone number. Once you are recognized, you'll need to press star six to unmute your phone or if you're joining us through Teams, you'll need to use the microphone feature that's up to the top. It looks like a little microphone. Just click that off so there's no bar across it. If you have submitted a written comment on any of the proposals, it will not be necessary to also provide that comment by phone. All comments received will be shared with the Council and included in the administrative record. We understand that the nature of some of the issues discussed at these meetings can be difficult and controversial, although some comments shared throughout this meeting may be passionate, no insults, personal attacks or foul language will be tolerated. Towards the end of the proposal procedure at step number 7, a member of the Council will make a motion to support the proposal just to bring the issue on the table for discussion. As a reminder with Robert's Rules, all motions are made in the positive, even if you plan not to support it. Then for step 8, there are some questions listed there that

5

6

8

9

help guide the Council in its discussion and deliberation. And of course, during that part of the process, you can make reference to anything in the analysis, like specific data or information that influenced your vote. That's all part of your justification for your vote. Each of these proposals are action items so, we will be looking at closing each proposal procedure with a vote to support, support with modification, oppose or take no action. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Right. Thank you Any questions? That's just our DeAnna. standard procedure. I do have one question, though or maybe something you might want to remind everybody of. So, this -- the non-rural determination that is a proposal, right. So, we will follow this format when we get to the non-rural determination as well. This is not just for our Federal fisheries proposals. So, that will be the procedure. Thank you DeAnna. That's the way it will be. So, before we take a break just a couple of things to keep in mind. We're doing really good on our time. I'm really happy with where we are. It's something I want people to keep in mind and while maybe they're on break or is that before we recess this evening, we want to establish some working groups, and we're probably going to need working groups to review some State fisheries proposals so that you can come back to the Council and inform the rest of the Council of what proposals we might want to support or oppose, and we might want to put together a working group that will talk about the revision to the forest plan. So, for the rest of the afternoon, if you would think about maybe a working group that you think you might want to participate in, that would be great. And I'm thinking we may want more than one working group to look at fish proposals. We may want to have a group focus on, you know, export fish, somebody focus on maybe herring or something along those lines. So, be thinking about all that and we'll establish those before we leave this afternoon. So, let's take a let's take a 15-minute break. Come back at 2:20 and we'll do our proposals.

42 43 44

(Off record)

45 46

(On record)

47 48 49

50

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, first on the list of proposals is the one dealing with the Taku River fishery. And Jake Musselwhite will present the

analysis on that one for us. So, Jake.

1 2 3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record my name is Jake Musselwhite, North Zone subsistence biologist for the Tongass. So, I'm here to talk to you about Fisheries Proposal FP2501 for the Taku River, which starts on page 33 of your meeting book. FP2501 submitted by the Council request to establish harvest regulations for salmon fishing on the Taku River. The proposal includes seasons, harvest limits, fishing area, gear restrictions and harvest reporting requirements. This proposal follows the Winter 2023 board action to end a long-standing closure of the Taku River to subsistence salmon fishing. With the closure rescinded and no Taku specific regulations in place, subsistence salmon harvest on the Taku River is currently subject to the Southeast Alaska General Federal Regulations. This proposal seeks to establish a set of Federal regulations specific to subsistence salmon harvest on the Taku River. Under the proposed regulations, there would be three seasons; a chinook season running from June 1st to June 30th, with an annual household limit of five chinook. The sockeye season from July 1st through July 31st, with a limit of 40 sockeye and a coho season from August 1st through October 1st with a limit of. 20 coho. Salmon taken incidentally, may be retained under the terms of the permit. A permit would be required to participate in the fishery and catch must be reported on the permit. The gear would be limited to set gillnets not exceeding 15 fathoms in length, with an 8inch maximum mesh during the chinook season and a 5.5inch maximum mesh during the sockeye season. The Taku River is a major salmon producing river and supports all five species of Pacific salmon. There's an extensive stock assessment program in place, including in-season catch monitoring, a basin wide mark recapture study, spawning ground surveys, and enumeration weirs in several spawning systems. The sockeye and populations in the Taku are quite healthy and typically exceed escapement goals, while supporting substantial commercial and sport fisheries. However, the chinook population has declined in recent years and is listed as a stock of concern. While the proposed regulations include a chinook season, the in-season manager will likely close the season if the chinook forecast does not provide for harvestable surplus. This approach has been used successfully on the Stikine, a similar trans boundary river fishery. Salmon fisheries on Taku River stocks are managed under the Pacific Salmon Treaty and the Pacific Salmon Commission or PSC. Any new proposed

fishery will be evaluated by the Trans Boundary River 1 panel of the PSC, which will provide input for consideration by the Board. Existing fisheries on the Taku stocks include a commercial gillnet fishery in 5 marine waters, a Canadian in-river commercial gillnet 6 fishery, and a State personal use fishery. The personal use fishery primarily targets sockeye salmon and has an 8 annual harvest limit of ten sockeye for a single person 9 household and 20 for a multiple person household. The 10 vast majority, 97% of permit holders reside in the Juneau area, with only 1.6% residing in rural Southeast Alaska 11 12 communities and 1.4% residing outside of Southeast 13 Alaska. Harvest of sockeye salmon has averaged 1,239 14 fish between 2017 and 2022, with an average of 104 permit 15 holders each year. Effort and harvest in the proposed 16 Federal Subsistence Fishery is expected to be quite low based on participation in the personal use fishery, with 17 18 less than 2% of permit holders being federally 19 qualified, the number of households participating in a 20 subsistence fishery is likely to be in the single digits. The preliminary OSM conclusion is to support FP25-01 21 22 with modification to modify the area to the Taku River 23 mainstem upstream of the Taku Lodge. The proposed regulations will comply with Title 8 of ANILCA by 24 25 providing for a subsistence salmon fishery in the 26 federal public waters of the Taku River, 27 regulations specific to the Taku, which will be 28 necessary to effectively manage the fishery under the 29 Pacific Salmon Treaty. Using the Taku River Lodge as the 30 defined lower boundary of the fishery area will provide 31 users with clear delineation of open and closed waters. 32 Typically, Federal public waters are defined as ending 33 at the mean high tide line, but in the shallow braided 34 channels at the mouth of the Taku River, the high tide 35 line is difficult to identify. Also, the State personal 36 use fishery uses the Taku River Lodge as the downstream 37 boundary, so using it as the lower boundary would provide 38 regulatory consistency and avoid enforcement issues in 39 the Federal Subsistence Fishery. That's my summary of 40 the analysis. I'd be happy to take any questions.

41 42

43

44

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Jake. Questions from the Council? Ted.

MR. SANDHOFER: Yeah. Thank you, Chair.

Mr. Chairman, just a question with the incidental catch

if -- it says you have to record it. But does that -
if I'm fishing for sockeye salmon from July 1st to July

31st and I catch a coho salmon, I record that. Does that

count against my quota from August 1st to October 1st

for the 20 fish? Just curious. Thank you.

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Through the Chair. Member Sandhofer. Yes, I think that as written, they're a little ambiguous on that. And I think that that incidental catch is going to be an issue when this is before the Transboundary panel. So, I think the intent is to have, you know, each season have its own limit and such. And then anything, you know, beyond that limit. So, if you catch a chinook during the sockeye season, you can retain that. I believe the intent of the Council was to have that happen. But I do believe that the regulations as written are a little ambiguous in terms of does that apply to that five fish limit during that one month or may you retain you know, chinook outside of the month that it's designated. So, yeah, it's a little ambiguous. And I think that there some discussion of Council intent would be probably a good idea. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Any follow up,

22 Ted, or...?

25 cl

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SANDHOFER: I just think we should clear that up, I guess is my comment. So. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. So, that might be some way we may want to amend this proposal to address that. And, Cal, do you have comment on that?

MR. CASIPIT: I don't think we're at a point to amending anything yet, but I just wanted to ask a question of Jake, is in that question that Ted had, How is that handled on the Stikine? I mean, because I'm reading these regulations for the Taku and they're really similar to what we put in place for the Stikine. So, how is this issue that Ted is talking about handled on the Stikine River fishery?

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Yeah, through the Chair. Member Casipit. You're right. These are very similar to the Stikine. They're basically used, you know, developed using the Stikine Regulations as a model. I believe in the Stikine, you know, to use my example, you know, if you catch a chinook during the designated sockeye season, you may retain that, I don't know, off the top of my head how it counts towards the limits, you know, the designated limits. But luckily,

there's enough run timing separation that it's not a huge issue. And I believe that's -- if you look at the runtime and curves and the analysis, the Taku is much the same, you know, by the time the July 1st sockeye season comes around, almost all the chinook are above Canyon Island and above the border. But I do know that that is an issue that's going to get some scrutiny. That could definitely use clarification.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Any other questions? James -- Jim.

MR. SLATER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I -- Mr. Musselwhite, in looking at the proposed regulation and looking at one of the comments, they talked about the presence of or the high number of seals and the frequency of -- they suggesting that the monitoring frequency needs to be continuous rather than just twice a day. Can you comment on that?

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Through the Chair, Mr. Slater. Yes, I spent some time up to Taku. Not a whole lot. But I will tell you, I've seen seals all the way above the border and well into Canada so, they're definitely present throughout the river. There's one bar, you know, kind of in the lower river where I've seen probably 300 seals hauled out during sockeye season. So, they are an issue. And I think that comment probably has some validity that you know, you will probably get your net robbed by seals if it is not somewhat attended.

(Pause)

MR. SLATER: And so, I think the intent of the impact or the comment was given, because if you lose most of your catch to seals, you'll keep catching. So, it might have a bigger impact than a result in a higher take. I think that was the intent of the comment. So, that's -- I don't know if something that we should consider as we discuss this further or not. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Thank you,

Jim. John.

MR. SMITH: Just going to throw this up there. You know, you have a card, and you actually write down the fish. So, keeping the 5, 40, 20, you know, and as long as you during that time catch 5 king salmon, 40

1 and then 20, and then by the time you turn it in, you're okay.

3

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Yeah. Sounds like you were suggesting a solution then....

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

MR. SMITH: Right, yeah. So, if June 1st through the 30th you only have 5, but you only caught 2. But then all of a sudden, you're doing your sockeye fishing, and you, catch a couple more of the kings, you know, as long as you don't go over your limit during that time, right. So, it's like -- does that make sense or I'm not explaining myself.

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

MR. MUSSELWHITE: Yes, yeah yeah. I think what you're suggesting is that the limit of 5 chinook, 40 sockeye and 20 coho should apply for the entire calendar year, right? Yeah. It's a -- it feels to me like it's a little ambiguous in the regulation is written so that -- I'm suggesting that the Council make their intent and we can maybe -- I believe Mr. Cross would like to say -- add something.

222324

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

MR. CROSS: Yeah. For the record, my name is Rob Cross, subsistence program manager for the Tongass. So, as far as incidental harvest is concerned, it's in the general Southeast regulations that salmon taken incidentally with the subsistence gear may be retained. You do need to report that harvest, but it doesn't count against a previous or potentially incoming season. So, for in the Stikine, for example, you're allowed to retain chinook, you just need to report it. The chinook season there starts and ends before the sockeye season even occurs so, you're not retroactively catching chinook against a previous season's limit. The way that the State handles this for the personal use fishery is there's a limit of two chinook per day caught incidentally, and they also have a incidental harvest, I believe it's 5 coho per day. It's 6? 6 coho per day. And so, that will be something that I think will be a point of contention or concern for the Transboundary River panel and Pacific Salmon Commission is basically this open ended, you can retain as many chinook as you want if it's caught incidentally during the sockeye season. I will reiterate what Mr. Musselwhite said in that nearly 100% of the chinook have passed through the fishery prior to the start of the sockeye fishery.

47 48 49

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Rob.

50 Other questions? Mike.

48

49

50

1 2 MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Michael Douville. This is -- this proposal is worded similar to the Stikine River Fishery and if the escapement goal for king salmon -- well, let's put it 5 this way. If the prediction is less than the escapement goal, then that chinook would not be open, would that 8 be correct? 9 10 MR. MUSSELWHITE: Yeah. That's correct. The in-season manager would probably close the season 11 12 ahead of time if the preseason forecast does not meet -13 - it's actually the escapement goal plus a little bit 14 to, you know, for other fishery mortality kind of thing. 15 So, it's got to be the escapement plus a little bit in 16 order to meet the threshold for opening a chinook 17 fishery. 18 19 MR. DOUVILLE: Okay. Thank you. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: And going back to 22 the Stikine again where there has been, you know, king 23 salmon conservation for a number of years now. I don't recall exactly, have they delayed the sockeye season at 24 25 all, or does the sockeye season still begin at the normal 26 time? 27 28 MR. MUSSELWHITE: Yeah. The sockeye 29 season always begins at the normal time. 30 31 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Right, okay. But 32 there has been a king salmon closure for..... 33 34 MR. MUSSELWHITE: Correct, yeah. 35 36 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. 37 Anybody else with questions? 38 39 (No response) 40 41 Hey, not seeing any more questions. So, 42 thank you both. Next on the procedure is, do we have any 43 consultation with the tribes or ANCSA corporations on 44 this proposal? 4.5 46

MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Council members. Orville Lind, native liaison for OSM. We did conduct consultations on July 9th, the first round and the second round is coming about in November, but we did not have any specifi questions or comments on that

1 proposal, Mr. Chair. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ Thank you, 4 Orville. Agency comments, do we have comments from the 5 Department of Fish and Game? 6 7 (No response) 8 9 Do we have any other Federal agencies 10 that submitted comments? 11 12 (No response) 13 14 And others? Okay. How about any tribes? 15 Any tribal comments other than the consultation or 16 written comments? 17 18 (No response) 19 20 No. Okay. How about advisory group 21 comments? Do we have anything from Fish and Game Advisory 22 Committees on this proposal? 23 24 (No response) 25 26 Apparently not. Or the Subsistence 27 Resource Advisory Commission? Probably not. 28 29 MS. PERRY: No, Mr. Chair 30 31 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: How about 32 written public comments? Do we have any of those? 33 34 MR. MUSSELWHITE: Yes, Mr. Chair, there 35 were two written public comments. 36 37 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, Jake. Go 38 ahead. 39 40 MR. MUSSELWHITE: The first was from 41 Wanda Culp, coordinator of Tongass Women for Forests. 42 And her comment addressed additional topics, kind of 43 outside the scope of this proposal. So, I'm just going to read her comment rather than try to summarize it. It 44 45 comes in several headings. First, what regulations to 46 change stated statutes should begin up front specifying 47 ANILCA Title 8. Second heading how would new reg read 48 wording, other salmon should be specified to chum, dog, 49 and pinks, humpies since ADF&G reports required number 50 of species caught in Southeast Alaska has five species

of salmon traveling into our waters whose populations 1 are important to us. Why changes? Title 8 is ANCSA compromise to extermination of further aboriginal rights claims to customary and traditional use. ADF&G dual SB, 5 FSB, ANILCA subsistence management severely departs from 6 CTU priority open to all federally qualified users, 7 eliminating federally recognized tribes from matching 8 sovereign rights. Taku Tlingit has recorded prior use 9 and occupation of the Taku River territory connected to 10 Canadian relatives. The Douglas Indian Association legally represents that bloodline history and provides 11 12 and shares Juneau's tribal membership with sockeye salmon from the Taku River under Title 8. The Alaska 13 14 Canada Pacific Salmon Treaty is always tied to the Taku River people to innate transboundary caretaking, an 15 asset tie for U.S. government's public interests. 111, 16 District 111 I think, ADF&G commercial fishing permits, 17 18 recreational and sports access and vague personal use permitting must legally follow behind CTU designation 19 20 priority use rather than its most bottom of the barrel priority usage. It is time to hold ADFG feet to the 21 22 self-built fire of non-compliance to Federal laws given 23 two of the majors, Alaska's major Alaska Canada rivers 24 are closed to subsistence for the past two years under 25 a failed sustained yield management scheme. The original 26 occupants of all salmon rivers been made invisible by 27 FSB, ADF&G to accommodate commerce generated businesses 28 and visitor status access across Native lands to overtake and export unregulated tons of boxed salmon 29 30 attached to traveling visitor luggage. Meanwhile, 31 Tlingit are regulated to six salmon per day per family, 32 primarily freshwater transformed salmon of 33 commercial value commercially. When cited for Title 8 34 infractions in State magistrate court, number one, we 35 stand alone with no legal due process access to a 36 knowledgeable attorney. Number two magistrate judges are 37 not versed on Title 8 infractions and require court time 38 to catch up and usually finding the minimum since fishing 39 violations did occur. Consequently, we have widespread 40 state of Alaska court records with the Department of 41 Corrections even if found not guilty. Changes for 42 subsistence users proper definition of Title 8 begins 43 with customary and traditional use priority, enabling 44 the ability to self-determined governing limitations. 45 When all other users are threatening this legal 46 obligated authority to initiate wild food first 47 protections, identify tribal uses priority, assuring cultural and family survival, designations afterwards, 48 49 urban, rural. Alaska Natives are third largest 50 landowners in Alaska, we are not tenants. How will sport

rec and commercial be affected? The state of Alaska owns 1 our ancient navigational waters, U.S. controls connected salt waters i.e. if a seal on a rock is shot and killed in GBN P&P, it lies in federal jurisdiction. If when it 5 rolls off that rock into the water, it becomes state of 6 Alaska controlled waters. FSB, ADFG, ANILCA dualmanagement only works for commerce-based interest groups 8 who jealously see indigenous uses exterminated. Thus, a 9 free for all take allowed. Federal management must begin 10 full inclusion of existing grassroots with the intelligence of prior contact use and way of managing 11 12 internal and external use of salmon and game during hard 13 times. Today must include the global warming crisis, 14 conditions of all wildlife, local and transient, migratory, and how to identify which is which. Mere fine 15 print wording that ANCSA and ANILCA are related is far 16 17 enough from management emphasis without legal mention, 18 of Alaska's 228 federally recognized village based tribal existence. Also, individual ANC shareholders, we 19 20 hold major land and National interests and should be 21 regarded as local capital. Thank you for hearing me out. 22 Kashudoha Wanda.

23 24

25

26

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you for not summarizing that, Jake. So, and you have another, another.

27 28

MR. MUSSELWHITE: I have one more. Okay. That I was able to summarize. The second comment is from Cathy Hansen, executive director of Southeast Alaska Fishermen's Alliance or SEAFA. SEAFA noted that the Taku River fishery was subject to the Pacific Salmon Treaty and encouraged the RAC and OSM to work with the PSC for approval of the fishery. SEAFA also expressed a conservation concern with Taku River chinook and requested clarification of the provision allowing retention of incidentally caught fish. SEAFA made several specific suggestions, including requiring acquiring permit holders to be physically attending the net while fishing due to seal predation. To clarify that the fishing area is from the Taku River Lodge to the U.S. Canada border, to specify that harvest limits cannot be accumulated with State personal use or sport fisheries, and to require return of tags or transmitters used in stock assessment projects to ADF&G.

45 46 47

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Jake. Yeah. Some good public comments there, and some of them we've incorporated into our discussions. So, that was written public comments. Do we have any comments from

50

1 anybody here in the room or on the telephone? 3 (No response) 4 5 I don't see anybody or hear anything. 6 we are ready for the Council's that, 7 recommendation, and that would come in the form of a 8 motion. 9 10 Cathy, go ahead. 11 12 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I 13 adopt FP25-01. To establish a harvest moved to 14 regulations for subsistence salmon fishing in the Taku 15 River. 16 17 MR. CASIPIT: Second. 18 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Second by Cal. 19 20 Okay, Council members so, it's now up for deliberation. So, where do we want to start? We have some issues before 21 22 us that have been brought forward that we might need to 23 address on this proposal. And this is our proposal. This is our best effort to, you know, craft a proposal, and 24 25 it may need a little work. So, Cathy, were you offering 26 something? No. Cathy. 27 28 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I was 29 thinking maybe the first thing we should talk about would 30 the suggested modification by the Office of 31 Subsistence Management to establish that lower boundary 32 at the Taku River Lodge. Personally, I am -- I mean, it seems like it would make regular -- like sense in terms 33 34 of aligning regulations so that it is clear where that 35 boundary is. So, I'm not opposed to actually adding that, but I would like to hear if other Council members think 36 37 that we should consider this modification, and if so, 38 we can amend the original motion. 39 40 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank 41 Cathy. Just a procedural question here. If we're going 42 to make amendments, should we have a list of amendments 43 and vote on them all at once or should we go through the process of making each amendment individually and voting 44 on it as an amendment before we go back to the main 45 46 motion? We have a suggestion from our Coordinator on 47 that.

 $\,$ MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, it depends on how complicated the amendments are. We could follow through

the amendments but at some point, it may be that we do a clear amendment.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: So, Cathy, you put that forward as an amendment, correct?

 MS. NEEDHAM: I did not. I said that I think that we should discuss that first in terms of the actual proposal and whether or not we need to consider that boundary. But I didn't make the motion to amend because I wanted to hear from other Council members about whether or not we feel that that is appropriate for the proposal.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you. That makes sense. So, discussion. Cal, you had a hand up.

MR. CASIPIT: Yeah, I -- you know, I understand the reason why we would -- why staff was suggesting to modify that lower boundary, you know, for a regular -- regulatory, I guess, make it easy for everybody to know where that line is. I'm just -- want to be sure that we're not unknowingly giving something away. Like, if there's some Federal jurisdiction below that line that we're somehow going to disclaim or give away. I'd be a little concerned about that, but I'm willing to listen. Does staff have an idea of where the actual line is compared to what's proposed?

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal. We have Rob Cross coming forward to just clarify that.

 MR. CROSS: Yeah. Thank you. Through the Chair, member Casipit. So, the staff did an exercise between Forest Service and OSM and tried to decipher independently where we thought that lower boundary was, and we all came up with the exact same lower boundary of Federal waters and it's right at the Taku River Lodge. And so, that's also what the State is identifying as the lower boundary. That's independent of how we came to that conclusion. It just — after mapping it, we're like, what is this little bald spot in the trees? That's the Taku River Lodge. And that's kind of where we came up with. So, it's my belief that no, there is not any or there is very minimal Federal waters below that. But that's what we came up with.

MR. CASIPIT: Thank you. That's very helpful.

50

1 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal. Any other discussion on the boundary question? So, I'm going to kind of conclude that we'll probably have consensus on that. So, maybe we don't need a separate 5 motion to amend for that one. So, let's take on another topic. How about the incidental take issue? Do we have thoughts on that from Council members and a little more 8 complicated? Cal, again. 9 10 MR. CASIPIT: On that matter of 11 incidental take, I mean, we've had the same almost a 12 very similar fishery going on the Stikine for how many 13 years now? And I haven't heard any issues about 14 incidental take on the Stikine. I'm not sure why we would be too concerned about it here on the on the Taku, 15 16 when it's basically the same style fishery. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal. 19 Ted. Go ahead. 20 21 MR. SANDHOFER: Yeah, Cal I agree, I'll 22 withdraw my concern. I mean, it's not an issue. I quess 23 we shouldn't make it one. 24 25 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you both. I guess my thought on that is whether or not the 26 27 wording that we have in front of us in this proposal 28 kind of reflects that. I think the comment was that it 29 wording is a bit ambiguities -- ambiguous. Excuse me. 30 Do we need to maybe change the language a little bit to 31 reflect our intent? And, Patti, you have your hand up. 32 33 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 34 I mean, this proposal basically mimics the Stikine River 35 regulations already in place. So, why would we want to 36 be more restrictive in any manner? I mean, I think just 37 the way it's written now is sufficient, Mr. Chair. 38 39 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 40 Patti. Any other thoughts on this one? Mike. 41 42 MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mike 43 Douville. One of the comments requests your presence at your net. And to me, it's kind of responsible to tend 44 45 net, but my question is we don't require that in this 46 Stikine. I guess I'd like to hear the rationale behind 47 that and would the same situation apply in the Taku? 48

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mike. I might need to have staff address that. I remember we

had a proposal dealing with net tending, and I don't remember if that proposal got put in place or not. I think the proposal was something to the effect that you had to, you know, check your net, like once a day on the Stikine. I don't know, Rob Cross again. Maybe you can clarify that.

MR. CROSS: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, this is a topic that came up with the International Transboundary River panel as being an issue, and I've not fished the Taku, I believe Member Casipit has, if I'm correct. But one of the issues that they brought up is that where the fishery occurs is a relatively small area in breadth and width. And so, one issue is that —I guess it's my understanding that fishermen often need to move the net out of the way to let folks go by. And there was also an issue with seals picking the net. So, again, this came up time and time again during the deliberations with the Transboundary River panel as being an issue maybe specific to the Taku River.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. That's helpful. Could you answer the question just for the Council's general knowledge, is there a net tending requirement on the Stikine fishery? Do you recall? And -- oh, Patti's probably found it in the reg book for us. Go ahead.

MS. PHILLIPS: Patti Page, 82, in the reg book, says fishing nets must be checked at least twice each day. And if I recall correctly, when it was a proposal before us that it was traditional practice that they don't net tend. I mean, I was a concern with me, but that was in the Stikine, it was their practice to not be at their net all the time. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Patti. I remember that discussion as well, but it sounds like in the final action we did -- or that I don't know if the Council recommended it, but it was put into regulation that you do have to tend your nets on the Stikine. And as Rob, you pointed out, I mean, we have to get the approval of the, you know, International Salmon Commission on this or Pacific Salmon Commission. So, if they've identified it as a concern, it might be in our best interest to, you know, put that into the regulation just so we know we have a better chance of getting approval. And I see some comments on that. Larry, I think you're first.

2

5

6

8

9

17

48

50

MR. BEMIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We had a similar situation that we dealt with also. We had a closure because the king salmon was starting to be such an issue on the return of the Situk that the interceptor fishery inside Yakutat Bay before they went to the river. We had a subsistence open pre month or so early. And our concern was, -- is that the setting of the net and unattended, it just left it open for the seal and the sea lion to take amount of fish that you're not there 10 getting on your own, and then you're going to get your fish that you're allowed, along with the seal and the 11 sea lions taking. We felt that we put in a proposal and 12 13 to -- if you're going to set your net, you have to stay 14 with it. And if you leave it, you should tie it up or 15 either take it out of the water during the times that we were in a situation where we weren't meeting 16 escapement after. We had met the escapement, 18 rescinded that with still the -- we took that back to 19 where you could set your net and do several checks a 20 day. But during the low end of the king salmon, we had 21 that put in place. And I think that if you've got a 22 situation in-river where you've got a lot of seal, that 23 you're not accounting for what they're eating as well as what you're trying to take, and that takes off the 24 escapement. And that net can take 5 to 6 fish a day in 25 26 a good day, or at least a couple per day. So, just for 27 the Taku River with the seal harvest, I see that in 28 proposal from SEAFA that they said we strongly object 29 from the F25 where it states the nets should be checked 30 twice a day. Instead, this proposal should move forward, 31 we strongly recommend the permit holder must be physically present while the net is in water and must 32 33 not be left unattended. In the Taku River mainstream 34 area, while fish is being considered, there is now large 35 rafts of seal in the area. Without tending the net at 36 all times, the intended mortality to be -- unintended 37 mortality by seals would make the fishery have 38 detrimental effect on the population that we are trying 39 to rebuild. That's probably coming from the members of 40 SEAFA, along with people being concerned. It is 41 something -- I know it's a game changer. But then at the 42 same time, the seal population and the sea lion 43 population seems to be on the upswing everywhere. I mean, 44 in Yakutat, they're really overpopulated on all the 45 streams. I don't know, you got one river having it one 46 way. You got -- I don't know how many seals are in the 47 Stikine, but I know anywhere you got seal around a net, it is detrimental. And attending it is really important 49 thing.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Larry. Cal, do you have something to add here?

2 3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

1

MR. CASIPIT: I don't know. We probably went past this by now, but the comment that we got from SEAFA or whatever this group is, they are not the Transboundary panel. So, their concerns that they're expressing in their letter are their concerns. They're not the panel's concerns. And I see no comments from the panel in any of this. I'm right where -- right now, where I'm sitting. I'd like to just go ahead and make the modification for the lower boundary as staff suggested, but leave the rest alone and let the panel, you know, let the panel look at it and they can tell us what they think, and then we'll take it from there. That's how we did it with the Stikine River. That's how we should do it with this one. Let's not give away everything before we even go to them. That's just where I'm at.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal. I know you have some expertise there. I would just pursue that a little more John, before we move on. So, we're told that the that the Tranboundary panel weighs in on this. Do they have the authority to just kind of not approve this fishery or I mean, how does that work? Do they — how much power do they have? I'm not really sure about that. You say they will weigh in and we can respond to that. What does that look like? I'm a little uncertain. I may have somebody else who can answer that question.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. AYERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Scott Ayers. I'm the fisheries division supervisor at OSM, and I do have a little bit of information on that front. I was with Rob when we went to speak with the Transboundary panel, both the U.S. portion of the panel at a meeting in Juneau earlier, late last year, and then also at a joint meeting of the panel specific to the Council and Board's action to rescind the closure. And they were -- expressed frustration that they weren't a larger part of that process and have been a little bit frustrated by the kind of lack of communication between the programs as part of that process. And so, we have been kind of in this sort of dance with them to try and find out how to better interact with them as we move forward. And we knew that this proposal was going to be coming. And so, what we told them at the start of this process, when last we met with them at the very start of this year, was that should any proposals come up

related to Transboundary fisheries or Transboundary fisheries systems, that we would provide them with a copy of the proposal early in the process when the booklets went out with the proposals, and then we would also reach back out to them following the Council's meeting and recommendations in the fall to provide them with a copy of the analysis and information about the Council's recommendation. And we anticipate that what they are going to do, given that there's kind of two different entities that are working, we have the Federal Subsistence Program but we also have the Transboundary Program and the Treaty that we would kind of -- this proposal would probably take a detour off of the normal cycle at that point in time so that the Pacific Salmon Commission would have an opportunity to kind of work it through their process. And this is effectively what happened with the Stikine, is my understanding, is that it took, you know, 3 or 4 years for it to get from start to finish as a means of kind of working it through both ends of that process. They have expressed some concerns about an additional fishery in this system and we tried to be very clear and lay out the justifications for why the closure was rescinded. And so, we're just trying to do our best to work this process forward. I hope that helps answer the question that you have.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: But do they have the authority to just say, no, we don't approve. It can't happen.

MR. AYERS: That's a question that I don't really have the ability to answer. We've gone to our Solicitor to ask questions kind of about that authority jurisdiction between laws and treaties. And find out, you know, how do we thread the needle on this and figure out if we get to the point that the other group says, no, you can't do this or we don't support this, are we able to still move forward? And I have not yet gotten an answer back from them. But I think that we can find a way forward. We're trying to make sure that — they've been very supportive of the idea in the meetings that we've had with them. They've just raised concerns about the fact that chinook runs have been low and coho runs have been variable and so, they want to be very cautious with their approach.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Scott. So, I think what I'm hearing is we just need to work with them basically. Yeah and maybe, we can all come to agreement. John, you had a question first. Thank

1 you, Scott.

 MR. SMITH: I -- just to comment in feelings of, you know, having a net out there and nobody monitoring. I think we should be monitoring it in respects to the other animals and the seal and whatnot. And just think all of a sudden, a big school of fish go through and just nail it and bury your net and it sinks, you know, you being there to manage how many fish you're getting. But thinking that both of these rivers, if you look at the Stikine, it's actually not really that big of water. And you look at the Taku, it's bigger. And so, there's more room in the Taku. I truly believe, too, that we should service them both the same and have the same rule, same law. But I stand by monitoring your net. And that's just my personal feelings. I mean, even me, I wouldn't leave my net out, you know.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, John. Was it Albert? Go ahead.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. A couple of things that -- what Cal had mentioned concerns me giving up jurisdiction. The other one is hearing that Transboundary may have say in something that could impact our residents and subsistence users. It's similar to king salmon, someone in BC decided that their King Salmon goes through Chatham Strait, and now Angoon can't fish king salmon for a certain amount of time. Are we going to let them do that to our subsistence users and our residents of Alaska the same way they've done it to Angoon? And how do we prevent that from happening and tell them, you guys can't do this to us anymore? You guys need to set your own regulations to put yourself in check before you tell us it's our fault that your system is failing.

 Mr. Chairman, a good example of the king salmon is they're allowed to fish commercially. Trawl caught fish with six, three gurdies on each side. Southeast Alaska inside, I believe it's south of -- I forget the name of the place, Spencer. You can only fish for lines so, you know that's a good example of them not changing their regulations to fix their own problem. But they wanted us to change our way of living because they have issues with their resource management and they're putting the blame on us so to speak. So, I don't agree with -- are they going to say no to this? And if they do, my personal opinion is so what? They've hurt Southeast Alaska enough. And at some point, when do we

say that that's enough, you know, they took away the king salmon resource from Angoon because they don't adjust their own fishing regulations in BC. You know, the environment heals itself. And I say that because 5 Seattle also -- some of their people are saying the 6 killer whales eat a certain amount of king salmon, and those king salmon are endangered species. Killer whales, 8 like anything else in the environment, will adjust. The king salmon goes away. They'll find something else to 10 live off of. They're not going to just go away. So, in my mind that -- I'm glad Cal had mentioned the 11 jurisdiction idea. We've got to stop giving things away, 12 13 or you're going to end up like the Natives where you 14 don't -- we won't have any say over anything as a group. So, that's my thoughts. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 15

16 17

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Albert. Frank, did you have your hand up?

18 19 20

21 22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I thought we were talking about incidental catch, you know, and now we're talking about transboundary. You know, when I was applying with the State to go fishing for chum salmon Excursion Inlet, they had -- the state had told me that I was only allowed 600 fish. But when I went and made a set, I catch about 7-800 fish. There's nothing I can do about it. But they just let me go because it was incidental catch. So, if a net is set out and all of a sudden you had a big hit and you had more than what was allowed then what are you supposed to do with the over catch? So, incidental catch is something that is kind of $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ kind of makes me confuse the what is what it's about. You're supposed to be out there to try to get a fish so that you can survive in this world. So, incidental catch, is that the issue that we're talking about or is it transboundary of different governments that's going to tell you that you can -- you kill the fish, you have to throw it away because it was an incidental catch, but you went past your numbers. So, correct me if I'm wrong. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank. Any other comments along those lines? So, as far as amendments go, I'm not hearing a lot of support for amendments. Maybe other than we had some consensus on the Taku Lodge as being the southern boundary. Any other Council members want to weigh in on that? Albert.

47 48 49

50

MR. HOWARD: I forgot I was going to ask, did you get the answer to the jurisdiction question?

MR. CASIPIT: Through the chair Mr. Howard, yes, I did. And it sounds like both the feds and the State seem okay with the Taku River Lodge being the downstream boundary. So, as long as that's all everybody agrees there. I'm okay on that. But as far as I'm concerned, that's the only modification I would make to these regs and just let the -- give it to the panel and if they want to rip it up and tell us to do something different, why it's on them, and we can take it or leave it or talk to the Board or whatever we're going to do with the DOI attorney, whatever. But again, I just don't want to give away anything on this before, you know, we even begin the negotiations. So, that's kind of where I'm at.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,

Cal. Mike.

Mike. Cal.

MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mike Douville. After listening to all the comments and discussion I will be supporting the proposal with the modification without any change.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,

MR. CASIPIT: Well, I'm just going to go ahead and propose it then, I moved to amend this proposal to read -- will basically we'll support the proposal -- we will modify the proposal to modify the area of the Taku mainstream upstream of the Taku River Lodge. Is that good enough for staff? But basically, the -- we will modify the proposal so that the lower boundary of where the fishery would occur would be the Taku River Lodge. Maybe that's the better way to put it.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you. So, now we have a motion to amend. Do we have a second?

MR. SANDHOFER: I second it.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. We have a motion and a second. So, any other further discussion on the amendment? Pat -- Patti. Yes.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. Mr. Chair, I would just say that our original motion included section F that said, fishing nets must be checked at least twice each day. So, that is in the proposal already. Just for

1 clarification purposes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 3 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yes, you are 4 correct. So, would you like to have that wording removed? 5 Is that what you're saying? 6 7 MS. PHILLIPS: No, Mr. Chair. I wish to 8 leave it in there. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Leave it in. 11 Okay. 12 13 MS. PHILLIPS: That about the fishing 14 nets. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any other 17 discussion on that topic. 18 19 MR. SLATER: That aligns it with the 20 regulations on the Stikine River as well, so. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Correct. Okay. 23 24 MR. BEMIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd like to rescind the net -- I didn't know that the 25 26 transboundary -- I was thinking this was more down below the river, but the trans boundary goes right to the 27 28 mouth of the river. Is that covered the whole river, in 29 both incidents? 30 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Excuse me, the 31 32 answer to that would be yes. The Transboundary Commission does have jurisdiction, I believe, over the 33 34 entire river. So, it's not just on the Canadian side. 35 So, they -- we have to agree with them on any fishery, 36 whether it's in U.S. or Canadian waters. And that would 37 it -- would extend all the way to the mean high tide 38 line, which has barely been established as approximately 39 where the Taku River Lodge is located. So, does that 40 answer your question? 41 42 MR. BEMIS: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 43 I'd like to rescind the manning of the net. I didn't realize that this could be impacting another system. I 44 45 misunderstood. I was thinking it was more in the waters 46 of our choice, as being in U.S. waters and not Canadian 47 waters. So, if this is going to lay an impact on this proposal, I rescind that. The information that I was 48 49 reading. Thank you.

49

50

1 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, 2 Larry. So, we still have a motion to amend with one amendment put forward, and that was on the jurisdiction boundary. And if we've had enough discussion on that, 5 we would have a vote on the amendment, and then we would 6 go back to the main motion. Albert, do you have something else to add? 8 9 MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Call 10 for the question on the amendment to the main motion. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. 13 Albert, question has been called for. Just -- let me 14 look at the wording suggested here one more time. Right, for the.... 15 16 17 Okay. So, the amendment to the motion 18 is with the modification to modify the area of the Taku 19 River mainstream to be mainstream upriver of the Taku 20 River Lodge. That's the amendment. All in favor say aye. 21 22 IN UNISON: Aye. 23 24 Is there anybody opposed? Say nay. Okay. 25 The amendment passes. So, now we get back to the main 26 motion, which would be the amended version. Any further 27 discussion on the main motion now amended. Albert. 28 29 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chair, I'll call for the 30 question on the main motion, as amended. 31 32 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. 33 I think I might call for a roll call vote on this, do 34 we -- Oh, Mike, you have a comment? Go ahead. 35 36 MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. It's 37 not my expertise, but we need to do the justification 38 as well. 39 40 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mike. 41 You're right. Yeah, we do need to put our rationale on 42 this. So, is anybody prepared to do that? Cal. 43 44 MR. CASIPIT: I'd be happy to go through 45 some rationale for you, Mr. Chair. The first issue that 46 we can -- we consider is, is there a conservation 47 concern? And I think we all recognize that there is a

conservation concern for chinook salmon throughout

Southeast Alaska. However, with our in-season manager

and the way we've handled chinook concerns on the

Stikine, I think we've taken care of conservation issues 1 with that particular species, chinook salmon in these Transboundary River Subsistence Fisheries. Is supported by substantial evidence? I think there is 5 substantial evidence that -- in our proposal that shows 6 that there is a harvestable surplus of sockeye and coho salmon for subsistence users in the system. The analysis 8 also recognizes the fairly low subsistence harvest that probably will occur there. Mostly because Taku is quite 10 geographically apart from most of the subsistence communities that would use it. It will be beneficial to 11 12 subsistence users, the few that would be able to take 13 advantage of this opportunity. So, anybody you know, I 14 don't care if it's one person or two persons [sic] that benefits from this. It benefits subsistence users And 15 that's what we should be concerned with. And I don't 16 think this is going to unnecessarily restrict other uses 17 18 either. We're not proposing any closures. We're not affecting any other commercial fisheries. And you know, 19 20 this is basically a fairly low impact -- I think it's going to be a fairly low impact as far as users and that 21 22 -- I think it's going to be easily manageable by the 23 local manager. Just the way the Stikine is managed. So 24 -- and the Stikine is a much bigger system and has much more users, much more harvest. And that seems to work 25 26 just fine. So, I'm prepared to vote in favor of the main 27 motion. And that was somewhat rationale. I'm sure people 28 might have some more. So, thank you, Mr. Chair.

29 30

31

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal. Cathy, you want to add anything?

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. NEEDHAM: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I too will be supporting this proposal. I agree with the justification that member Casipit has put into the record, and I appreciate him doing so thoroughly. One discussion that we had was regarding the net tending requirements, and I know that staff will be, you know, between our meeting and the Federal Board meeting will be communicating back to the transboundary panel. And I want to just sort of task staff to look at what our justification was when we had the net pending proposal before us on the Stikine. We actually had a lot of conversation about that. I remember member John Yeager was sitting with us at that point in time, and there were some very specific reasons why those net tending requirements were decided to be what they are on the Stikine. And I believe -- I don't know, but I believe that probably will translate as a good starting point for the Taku, which is why we you know, took that

```
information and put it in as Member Phillips had pointed
    out to us. So, some of that justification or some of
    that discussion could actually be used to hopefully
    direct those conversations and potentially ease concerns
    about net tending down the line. And so, on top of Cal's
 5
    justification, I just wanted to remind that we have a
    record on past actions from what we have -- from work
8
    that we have done before for the model that we use for
9
    establishing this fishery. So, thank you, Mr. Chair.
10
                    CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
11
12
    Cathy. Any other council members want to add anything
13
    to the justification on this proposal? Patti.
14
15
                    MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. On
    page 52, the effects of the proposal states only about
16
    1.6 of permanent holders in the personal use fishery are
17
18
    federally qualified subsistence users. So, the effect
    on the resource will be minimal. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19
20
21
                    CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
22
    Patti. Anybody else?
23
24
                     (No response)
25
26
                    Okay. I think we're ready for the
27
    question. I might do a roll call vote on this. It's
28
    pretty significant proposal. We've had a lot of
29
    discussion on it. DeAnna, do you want to go through the
30
    roll?
31
32
                    MS.
                         PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair,
33
    Secretary Frank Wright would like to do so.
34
35
                    CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Frank's
36
    got the roster there. You want to do that, Frank?
37
38
                    MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
39
    Motion is for FP25-01. Calvin Casipit.
40
41
                    MR. CASIPIT: Yes.
42
43
                    MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville.
44
45
                    MR. DOUVILLE: Yes.
46
47
                    MR. WRIGHT: Jim Slater.
48
49
                    MR. SLATER: Yes.
50
```

1	MR. WRIGHT: Ted Sandhofer
2	MR. SANDHOFER: Yes.
4	PIK. SANDHOLEK. 163.
5	MR. WRIGHT: Albert Howard.
6 7	MR. HOWARD: Yes.
8	PIK. HOWAKD. 165.
9	MR. WRIGHT: Donald Hernandez.
10 11	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yes.
12	CIMITAL BROOK INDIVINIBLE. 165.
13	MR. WRIGHT: Patricia Phillips.
14 15	MC DITTITIC. Voc
16	MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
17	MR. WRIGHT: Louie Wagner.
18	
19 20	MR. WAGNER: Yes.
21	MR. WRIGHT: Harvey Kitka.
22	
23 24	MR. KITKSA: Yes.
25	MR. WRIGHT: John Smith.
26	
27 28	MR. SMITH: Yes.
29	MR. WRIGHT: Cathy Needham.
30	
31 32	MS. NEEDHAM: Yes.
33	MR. WRIGHT: Larry Bemis
34	<u>-</u>
35 36	MR. BEMIS: Yes.
37	MR. WRIGHT: Frank Wright, yes. Motion
38	carried. Mr. Chair.
39	
40 41	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank. So, let's move on to the next proposal. And this
42	one deals with the Unuk River Hooligan fishery. And for
43	that, we have Ashley Bulwerk from the Forest Service.
44 45	MC DILIMEDIA Thank you Mr Chair Ear
46	MS. BULWERK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, my name is Ashley Bulwerk and I'm a biologist
47	for the Forest Service on the Tongass National Forest.
48	Next to me, I have Jason Roberts, anthropologist for the
49 50	Office of Subsistence Management. Federal Fisheries Proposal 25-02 can be found on page 59 of your meeting
J ()	rroposar 20-02 can be round on page 39 or your meeting

book and request closing the Federal waters of the Unuk 1 River to non-federally qualified users. The proponent, you all, the Southeast Alaska Regional Advisory Council states that a closure to non-federally qualified users 5 will help the Unuk River hooligan population recover, 6 while continuing to provide harvest opportunities to federally qualified subsistence users. In 2005, the Unuk 8 population in district one containing the Unuk River 9 collapsed resulting in State and Federal closures to 10 hooligan fishing between 2005 and 2020. A limited Federal subsistence fishery occurred on the Unuk River 11 12 from 2021 to 2024, only for federally qualified 13 subsistence users. All closures since 2005 for District 14 hooligan have been conducted using in-season management actions. If this proposal is adopted, it 15 would set in regulation the special actions that the 16 Federal in-season managers have been implementing for 17 18 years. And this system has an active monitoring project 19 for hooligan escapement. From 2005 to 2009, less than 20 100 fish were observed in the Unuk River system. From 21 2011 to present, hooligan were observed in both the Unuk 22 River and other nearby areas of District 1, but numbers 23 have been variable and remain much lower than those 24 observed prior to the 2005 population collapse.

2526

2728

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

The preliminary OSM conclusion is to support proposal FP 25-02, with modification to close the Federal public waters throughout District 1 to the harvest of hooligan, except by federally qualified subsistence users in the Unuk River. The limited subsistence opportunity provided through emergency special actions has not been able to meet the needs of federally qualified subsistence users in the area. The OSM modification to close all of District 1, except the Unuk River to all users, will help continue the conservation actions taken by State and Federal managers to improve the population status of District 1 hooligan stocks. This closure will reduce total harvest effort allowed during current State and Federal hooligan regulations and allow Federal managers to continue to offer harvest opportunities in the Unuk River system for federally qualified subsistence users as the fishery recovers. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I can take questions at this time.

44 45 46

47

48

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,

Ashley. So, questions from the Council on this proposal? And once again, this also was a proposal put forward by this Council. So, do we have questions? Any questions?

49 50 1 (No response)

2

We all know the issues involved here? Okay. So, it can move to comments. Did we have any consultation on this proposal? Orville.

5 6 7

8

9

10

4

MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Council members, Orville Lind, Native liaison for OSM. During the consultation sessions on July 9th, we did not have any specific questions or comments on that proposal. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,

Orville. How about, agency comments, ADF&G put in any comments on this one? Apparently not. Any other Federal agencies comment? Don't think so. How about tribal entities? Any tribes submit any comments or anybody here in the room with a comment from a tribe? Apparently not. Advisory group comments, any Fish and Game Advisory Committees submit comments? Any other Councils or Resource Advisory Commission?

212223

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, there were no other councils that commented on this proposal.

242526

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, DeAnna. How about written public comments? Do we have written public comments, Ashley? Yes, we do.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

27

MS. BULWERK: We did. We got one -- oh, sorry. For the record, this is Ashley Bulwerk, and we had one public comment from Wanda Culp, coordinator for the Tongass Women for the Forest. And that can be found on page 72. Her comment address additional topics outside the scope of this proposal. As such, I'll read the comment to you. The commenter stated that they would like to see Title 8 strengthen to initiate customary and traditional laws of conservation, and remote community use rather than all subsistence users, and to recognize Tlingit, Haida, and Tsimshian tribes historically used hooligan for its oil and food value. Today, that use is minuscule, while personal and local commercial uses are otherwise indistinguishable. They offer that the language should read except by federally and qualified tribal members recognized subsistence users. This change would force compliance to the intent of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Law. The name is self-described and the FSB must end the dual management scheme with ADF&G recognizing their failure to protect Title 8 priority

```
protections, thus destroying customary and traditional
    access while widening use opportunities to all rural
    residents and recognize tribal intelligence as the
    original conservationists. They mentioned the impact to
    hooligan population would include rebounded populations
    through possible habitat repair and preparation for
    surviving returnees. Subsistence use will be affected
8
    by deepened strategies of sustainability through CTU
    watchful management of tried-and-true methods. They
10
    offer that hooligan is not, nor should be allowed for
    commercial use, stating that sport recreational users
11
12
    have access to other popular alternatives to bait
13
    fishing and that indigenous use is at the front lines
14
         risk
              without proper
                                 protections
15
    Additionally, mere fine print wording that ANCSA and
    ANILCA are related is far from enough management
16
17
    emphasis without legal mention of Alaska's 228 federally
18
    recognized village based tribal existence. Also,
19
    individual ANC shareholders, who hold major land and
20
    National interests and should be regarded as local
21
    capital.
22
23
                    CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
24
    Ashley. Is that the only written comment?
25
26
                    MS. BULWERK: That was the only written
27
    comment.
28
29
                    CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any other
30
    public testimony? Is there anybody on the phone who
    wanted to testify on this proposal?
31
32
33
                    (No response)
34
35
                    Does anybody in the room who wanted to
36
    testify?
37
38
                    (No response)
39
40
                    Okay,
                            time
                                    for
                                          the
41
    recommendation and action on this proposal. Form of a
42
    motion. Cal.
43
44
                    MR. CASIPIT: Making sure I have the
45
    right page here. Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Cal
46
    Casipit. I move to support for proposal FP25-02 as
47
    written on page 59.
48
49
                    MR. SLATER: I'll second it.
```

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. We have a motion and a second. Once again, I'll point out that there is a suggested modification from the staff on this one. So, we'll maybe start the discussion and maybe address that as well. So, Council's thoughts. Discussion on this proposal.

MR. SLATER: I asked some clarifying questions. Since it was opened as a Federal subsistence fishery in 2021, that allows both federally qualified and non-federally qualified fishermen -- it's only federally qualified right now?

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: That's correct.

MR. SLATER: So, since it's already federally qualified only, Isn't that the point of this proposal?

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Well, a clarification there. It's been dealt with by in-season management every year. The in-season manager has elected to close it to non-federally qualified users. This proposal would put that into regulation. So, there would not be an in-season management action every year.

MR. SLATER: Got it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Anybody

else? Patti.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just think it's really important to note in the analysis, and it's very well written, by the way. The cultural knowledge and traditional practices on page 65, the Tongass, Tlingit and Cape Fox are Sanyaa Tlingit of Ketchikan and Saxman regard the mouth of the Unuk river as their place of origin, and then harvested hooligan from the Unuk, Klahini and Chickamin River since the pre-contact period. One current Ketchikan resident explained the importance of the Unuk River, stating we speak of the Unuk River, and we speak of the last river. Those are migration trails that we came out of. Those are survival trails that we came out of. The Sanyaa Kwaan came out of that Unuk River. When you go up in the Unuk River, you see the petroglyphs at minus tides. It tells our history of who we are and where we came from. Similarly, the river has also been an important area for harvesting chinook salmon, seal and moose for many years. And when we were told that the Unuk hooligan was

5

6

8

9

nearly wiped out, I mean, we said that not on our watch, whatever it takes to rebuild that stock. And that's where we're at. We're finally getting to a point where the federally qualified harvester is allowed one five-gallon bucket, and that's not very much. But we're still trying to rebuild the stock so that the Ketchikan Indian people could go and harvest what they have culturally taken for, you know, since time millennia. We're trying to get to that point, but the resource isn't ready yet. So, that's my comment, Mr. Chair. Thank you.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,

Patti. Thank you for reading that. Other comments? So, Cal, do you have something? Oh, okay. So, that's kind of interesting, Patti. I mean, you know, we're meeting here in Ketchikan and this, you know, this proposal does, you know, exclude anybody from Ketchikan of harvesting there, which is as it has been for many years now, but now we're just putting it into regulation. I guess I thought maybe we might have heard something about that, but not hearing anything. I guess maybe it is just an accepted fact that you know, this hooligans have not recovered. And as long as Ketchikan is not qualified subsistence users, that they probably won't have an opportunity there. And they're obviously hoping for that to change at this meeting. So, maybe that's why we haven't heard anything. So, but yeah, it's I mean, we do recognize that it was a very important area to the, you know, the tribal people here in Ketchikan as well. And I guess this is all trying to help out in that recovery effort. And I'm not, you know, personally, I -- I mean, I think it was my very first meeting when that stock collapsed and, you know, there was a lot of discussion about it. And it was like a catastrophe, you know, that had to be dealt with. And I don't think I've ever really heard a satisfactory explanation for what caused that collapse and you know, how to fix it. It's been a lot of, a lot of ideas put forward. And I know Louie probably has a lot of opinions on it. But like I say, we're trying to we're trying to let it rebuild. And I guess this is just one more effort to kind of put into regulation what we've been doing by in season management for 20 years now. So. Any other comments? Questions? Louie, go ahead.

44 45 46

47

48

49

50

MR. WAGNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My family is the one who fished the hooligans, brought them to Ketchikan, Saxmen and the Metlakatla. No one else has gone up there. There was the other side of our family in Saxman, fishermen brought 'em [sic]. Sure, Ketchikan

got the hooligans, but they got him after we brought him 1 into Ketchikan. So, that and the petroglyph, because it's above high water tide, below mean low water. And the second one up, up on Lake Creek there, which is 5 approximately 15 boat miles to get up to it. So, I just wanted to make that correction on there. And anyone can tell you this that, you know, my time -- my brother was 8 with the Pep, his little seine boat the Pep, and then 9 the his new steamboat that he built in 1958, the 10 Satellite. And then he started going to Sitka to fish the herring. And I started fishing so, we were always 11 12 there. We only missed miss them, usually if it's bad 13 weather, it's usually because we were too late. And there 14 was no -- fishing game -- Alaska Department of Fish and 15 Game knows this. That had enough meetings with them and the Forest Service. Yeah. We've been speaking on this 16 17 for so long and just. I'm Tsimshian Tlingit and I'm 18 Teikwedí of the Sanyaa Kwaan, the Brown Bear clan and that's the clan that -- and Tlingit law states that. So, 19 it's just it's tough when other your own people are 20 trying to move in and claim that, you know, they've done 21 22 this up there and it's just not true. And I just I gotta 23 put my opinion in you. I can't sit quiet to that. I've spent my whole life on that river and not completely, 24 25 but every year, you know. So, I think it's just it's 26 important that I can -- I get to at least say that. And 27 I appreciate being allowed to say that. And -- but it's 28 something that we've always done and we've loved it. And 29 it's been mentioned at our meetings were people are 30 scared to go up there because there is -- it's open, no 31 harbor. And it gets very rough out there out of any 32 wind. So, you have to know. And that's what I did. I 33 learned from my brother and learned well, and I've been 34 passing it on to my children. So, thank you for allowing 35 me to speak. (Indiscernible).

36 37

38

39

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Louie, for imparting your knowledge to the rest of the Council and on the record. I appreciate that. Patti, you have something.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and thank you, Mr. Wagner, for sharing your traditional ecological knowledge all these many years. I've really valued it, and I know the Council has so, thank you. On page 68, it says in 2024, for the first time since the fishery collapsed, large high-density schools of 10,000 Hooligan were widespread along multiple major channels and present beyond one week. This is 20 years after 2005. We're finally starting to get a return there. We

just have to keep working to rebuild that stock. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

3

1

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,

Patti. Frank.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. You know, there was a time when we had a big deer killing in Hoonah, and I was told that we asked -- I was literally asked. Can we close it down so that the deer can rebuild? This is a same kind of situation where we're down in this river is where indigenous people fought to uphold who they are. Being a Tlingit Indian, I told -- I said, yes, we'll close the deer hunting down because I had a big winter kill. Some people got mad at me. They said, why'd you do that? I said, well, we have to have some for next year or the year after that, or the year after that. And here to you, Unuk River is rebuilding itself. So, the indigenous people can be here. This this RAC -- RAC Committee is this -- this is our purpose. Our purpose is to be conserving what we have. If we see a depletion in any resource, this is our purpose. So, and I always say that, well, be who we are is to take care of what resource we have. It's like the beginning when we started, when I spoke. We're here to take care of what we have. If we don't, then there is no reason for the Regional Advisory Council to be here. We're here because whenever we see something that is going to hurt our resource, we're here to say, no, you can't take anymore. So, I stand on with the people that are proposing to take care of what they have. And I always do that. I believe in the people that are fighting for what is theirs, because I know this RAC would protect me too, and my people and I -- I'll always be that way because I just -- I always -- I would say what's going on in this world? We don't know. But anyway, we're here to protect. We're here -- we're also here to protect this world. So, to decide on how we act. So, if this proposal is to take care of what's left, then that's what we're supposed to do. Gunalchéesh.

40 41 42

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,

Frank. Albert. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

44 45 46

47

48

49

50

43

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think part of this process we should consider the formula I spoke about earlier where, as an example, that of a five gallon bucket when you see the population of -- the fish go up in the river even significantly that you up the total allowable catch by the user group and not just

hold them to a five gallon bucket. I've been up to 1 Klukwan where I've watched them fill a great big tub to get what they need for the oil. So, I can imagine you're gonna get what, a couple of jars of oil out of a five 5 gallon bucket versus what they used to get. So, this type of thing seems to happen across the Board in Southeast Alaska, where a system crashes and the 8 subsistence user pays the price every time, every time. Not just once in a while, but every time we pay the 10 price for a system crashing. I mentioned this to the RAC several times, where elders used to come into Angoon 11 12 when I was a kid and their boat was full of fish and 13 nobody said anything, I mean full, I mean, we were 14 concerned they were gonna sink watching them come back 15 to the community as two elders. And then the bummer was 16 my parents would send us down and we'd have to help them 17 bring all the fish up to their smokehouse. But I think 18 part of the process should be to create a formula for 19 when this type of system comes back and that the user 20 groups are allowed to get what they used to get. Mr. 21 Chairman, we heard from subsistence users out of Sitka 22 when they used to bring shrimp in -- great big fish 23 totes of shrimp. And the commercial group found out about 24 it and they start fishing the area. And don't take this 25 the wrong way, it's just the way the State did it, was 26 the user grouper -- grouper... too much TV shows, the 27 user group that originally found the shrimp was limited to a five-gallon bucket. So, it isn't -- it's documented, 28 29 I mean, it's there, but I think we should come up with 30 a formula that when the system comes back that my grandfather's people over there at the end of the table 31 32 can get what they used to get. And I say my grandfather's 33 people because my grandfather was Teikwedí. So, I'm 34 full-blooded Tlingit by the way. So, I was in the Army, 35 and they thought I was Mexican in Texas and believe it 36 or not, they thought I was Filipino in Sitka so couldn't 37 win. But thank you, Mr. Chair.

38 39

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,

Albert. Mike.

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Wagner was talking, he mentioned that he supplied hooligans for Saxman and Ketchikan, but he also forgot that he supplied them for Craig also. It was big news for the hooligan boats coming in, I remember that, you know. But you were wondering what happened and caused the -- what happened that cause the collapse. And if you look back the State blessed a commercial fishery. And to my knowledge, that was the beginning of the end for

that run. Probably Louie could add more to that, but that was the beginning of the downhill spiral of the hooligan run.

4 5

1

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Louie, you want to shed some light on that?

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

MR. WAGNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. It had started in the late 90s, and the mining started up there about the mid-90s. Brucejack mine was the first one, and they were putting all their tailings in this lake up on the mountain, and the runoff started going right into the river and it was kept quiet, that mine. And it was known about by the Fish and Game, but they never told anybody about that mine going on up there. And then this one guy who flew up there, he told me about the mine. But that was the beginning of the problem. And so, the fish move around like in 2011, Carroll Inlet was plugged. We went up there and we just took one skiff load so we could make our ooligan grease. But there was so much ooligan in there and I brought samples to the Forest Service. They did the DNA on them and it was the same fish as the Unuk. So, and then later that, what is it, the KSM mine. So, there's two mines going up there right now, the third one is waiting on their permits to start, and it'll be the second to the third largest mine in the world. It's -- and they've been blasting up there. They're supposed to -- they got a permit to put the road in, and -- well, come to find out, they started their open pit mine. So, they're up in the mountain there, you know, you can only find the stuff out from pilots and -- but that's gonna be devastating to that whole river if that mine goes in and they have that huge retaining wall up there and it lets go like that Mount Polley, everything will be gone out of that river. So, being on the Southeast Alaska Indigenous Transboundary Committee as a Board member, we've been working very hard on that. I've been traveling all through Canada down Bellingham and Reno. I've had interviews done from Anchorage, Vancouver, BC, Toronto, and Texas. They're calling and all these different news outlets are very concerned too on what this mine is going to -- can cause and happen to the river. So, there's been a lot of interest in the whole story.

44454647

48 49

50

So, our committee has been working very hard on it and doing the best we can to -- I don't know, there's no way to make it safe if they have that retaining wall in there. But, far as we know, that was the beginning of it. And when -- I wasn't the one who

took that fish, I didn't fish that year with that little bit up there so, that wasn't me. When I met with the Federal Subsistence Board in Anchorage, I think it was 2001, in then 2002, I agreed and told them that, you 5 know, if they're not there, we won't touch them, we 6 won't take them because we want them, we need them to come back. And so, all that was, geez umpteen years ago 8 now. I hope that answers. That's the farthest we can tell of what's happened on the river. And nothing was 10 mentioned about the king salmon. They disappeared on the river, too. For six years, my son and I, we didn't see 11 12 'em [sic]. We go on and check up at Kingsbury and going 13 to Lake Creek and went and see the king salmon in there. 14 Geez, they were big soakers there. And they too go around the other streams. But that was the main stream we found. 15 16 And then pretty soon the cohos pretty well disappeared. 17 The humpies, the dogs. Used to be when you go out here, 18 hard to breathe sometimes from all the bear droppings on the way up, and all the dead fish that were -- they 19 20 didn't finish and were rotting on the bank. And now it's 21 pretty darn quiet, you don't see the salmon up there, 22 but we don't hear about that.

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

And I asked for information from the Alaska Department of Fisheries there because they've been up the river for well over 30 years, setting their minnow traps and catching the fry, and keeping records of that. They're up in the spring after the ooligans, and in moose hunting time they're up there. They have a whole camp setup up there, and they used to gillnet, the coho up there. Very soon there wasn't any coho to gillnet so, they stopped doing that. They even used sport poles, which I could have videoed everything that we'd seen up there. But I wish they would mention what's, you know,

343536

there's more to it than just saying, well, gee, there's no king salmon. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

41

42

43

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, Louie. Yeah, you pointed out a major concern, is this transboundary mining, which is gonna affect other rivers as well. So, and we, you know, we have been involved in that as you know, as well. So, yeah. No -- not a simple solution, unfortunately. I see a hand up, Patti.

44454647

48 49

50

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, I have a question. Probably should've asked staff, but. Will the designated fishermen and there's a definition, designated fishermen means a federally qualified fishermen who may take all or a portion of another

federally qualified fishermen's harvest limits only under situations approved by the Board. So, could a designated fisherman get another bucket for another federally qualified user in this fishery or could the - a cultural and educational permit be issued for federally qualified users?

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

5

MS. BOLWERK: For the record, my name is Ashley Bolwerk. I can answer the first part of your question about designated fishing. You can get a designated fishing permit for this system. You're allowed to have two limits at any time with a designated fishing permit. So, you can go fish for sort of one other person at a time.

14 15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

DR. VICKERS: Thank you, thank you for those questions. This is Brent Vickers. anthropology division supervisor at OSM. At first Ashley is, again, very accurate and I just wasn't sure in the regulations if that allowed for in this situation. I just wanna add that it's -- the designated permit is basically for any federally qualified it doesn't make stipulations based on age like the proxy program under State regulations. Just want to add that, as long as they are also from a community with customary and traditional use determination for that particular resource. And a culture and education permits can go through a proposal at any time, not during the regulatory cycle through OSM. And essentially what that allows -what that is, is for a some sort of program with an education component to it, where there are a, you know, sort of assign, you know, teachers more or less, there's a curriculum more or less, and students that are gonna be registered in it can apply for these permits. And what they allow is for some harvest under any so, (indiscernible) conditions harvest for that resource. But this can be what I -- what meant under any conditions, this can be a resource -- a fishery that's closed, for example, to all users. But a culture and education permit, which are gaining a lot of attention on Yukon, Kuskokwim, where there are pretty devastating declines and a real need to continue subsistence learning of tradition to have these permits so that they can -- elders can teach children how to continue harvesting, processing salmon in that case or ruling it in this case a year after year. But there -- it has to be some sort of program that can be set up, and it can -- that permit would go through us at any time of the year.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you.

DR. VICKERS: Thank you.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

MR. SMITH: Yeah, just to share that we've done that in Juneau cause Juneau, there's no subsistence harvesting there. But we went to the Fish and Game and did a camp, and we were able to put together a net with the kids and they gave us a limit, and we went and set it out and caught some fish. But also, we were trying to get them to help us, of course, that's a whole different program was to set a skate, you know, to catch some fish, but they wouldn't allow us that. But I drew a picture of a bobber, a traditional bobber, which is just a two-hook skate set and they were like, hey, that's legal. And they let us use those so, we caught a lot of halibut skates and some, you know, so it was -- and even at another time where it was out of season where they okayed us to get a harvested deer. So, we got a permit and our hunters had a permit and a copy of it to go out and do that. So, it's really positive to do that to have some time to teach our kids, yeah. Thank you, guys for having that available to us, really.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: John.

252627

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, John.

Albert.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is -- Mr. Wagner's comment about the mines and the possible impact they're having. Do you guys have any data that -- or any information that says why the ooligan go back to that specific river system? I've asked this in the past, Mr. Chair, when we were doing the ETJ petition. I asked the State Fish and Game biologist if there's something about -- if there's something in Kanalku River that causes the salmon to go straight back to that system. And could a mine on the north end of the island affects them based on the minerals being put back into the ocean at the mine? Could that impact the salmon trying to return to Kanalku River and they didn't have an answer, alright. Y'all know -- there's Texas for ya [sic] you all -- you guys know that I mentioned this several times, that I only have a 12th grade education so, I have to ask these questions to put my own formula together. Now, if in fact, that they are having an impact on the river. Maybe we're looking the wrong place to find a solution to the problem. And if it in fact is the mine is having the impact, maybe we need to switch

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

21

24

25 26

27

28

directions and hold them accountable for the impact they're having on the river. There is an impact on the north end of the island that's been ignored. And it bothers me that even if I presented it to the Transboundary Commission, they don't want to talk about it. It's going to impact Juneau, I promise you. Because the data that came out of that and all the science that one person brought to the table, and you can look this up online. We took a seal out of that area, and that seal had the highest concentrations of mercury in it out of any seal tested in the state of Alaska. Mercury is a carcinogen. I sit in this seat because a friend of mine (pause) lost his fight with cancer. And he ate nothing but our traditional foods. So, makes you wonder and kind of sets me off in a bad direction to the fact that we're not looking at our foods and the impact the mines are having on it. So, I don't know. Lost a mother-in-law to cancer recently, not recently a couple of years ago. She lost her daughter to cancer. So, when are we gonna say 20 enough of that's enough. And when we brought the seal's attention to the State, they said, oh, by the way, you 22 can only eat six ounces of salmon, or you can only eat 23 eight ounces of seal. You know, you come to my house and watch me eat, there's no way I'm gonna measure eight ounces of seal and six ounces of salmon. I'm gonna eat what I'm hungry for. But I didn't realize in doing that, I'm jeopardizing my own life. So, I think we need to find a solution before it's too late for everybody, I guess, I don't know.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, I don't want to get too sidetracked on trans boundary mining, cause that's another topic. I know it relates to this, but that's not what this proposal is about. But I do want to remind the Council that the staff recommended a modification to this proposal that we've kind of danced around a little bit as to whether or not the hooligans and all of District 1 should be included in this. I think we kind of alluded to the fact that you know, in other places in the area there were, you know, hooligans observed that were probably same stocks as the Unuk River hooligans. I believe that's what I heard. And there was a suggested modification that this closure would extend to all of District 1. So, I'd kinda like to hear Council's thoughts on that suggested modification. Patti.

46 47 48

49

50

MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah. So, maybe staff wouldn't go away so quickly. So, has there been a -- has there been harvest on other areas other than the Unuk

1 during recent years?

MS. BOLWERK: So, my understanding is that a few years ago, there was some small harvest on another system within District 1, and that caused us to start using in-season management to close all of District 1. Which is a State and Federal closure. So, the modification request was to continue with that trend that we've been doing for a number of years now. Does that answer your question?

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: I just have a clarification on that. The rest of the waters of District 1, is there actually Federal waters? I mean, are we talking about State waters there? Are there other river systems that these hooligans use in District 1?

MS. BOLWERK: Thank you, Mister Chair. This is Ashley Bolwerk, for the record. Yes, one example is Carroll Inlet. Hooligan have been seen in Carroll Inlet. And so, the Federal waters, you know, the map in the book there sort of highlights all of the saltwater, but any fresh water that flows into there would be included in that closure. And there are a couple of places where the hooligan have shown up.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: In the streams.

MS. BOLWERK: In the streams, yes.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

32 Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, I guess the question was -- is, with everything you guys have learned about the system, is -- are the mines having an impact on the hooligan returning to that system?

 MR. CROSS: Yeah. For the record, my name is Rob Cross with the Forest Service. I'm not sure that we have a satisfactory answer on that. As you said, the State didn't either. I know that Ketchikan Indian Community and the Forest Service, along with the Chilkoot Indian Community, is also doing some monitoring up there. I'm not sure if water quality is part of that. I don't see Keenan Sanderson here. But I know that that's of specific interest to them. Maybe not the mine specifically but trying to answer that question of why is there low site fidelity with this species. Really, what's going on with the collapse. And I know that

they're taking a much -- more in-depth look at the population on the Unuk, because in the past, we've sort of just done sight surveys. And are there fish there or are there not fish there, how many fish totes do we think that that would comprise, you know, to try to have some sort of quantitative data on it. But again, the efforts that are going on for that monitoring are just ramping up. They're looking at eDNA and water quality and things like that. So, I think, I hope that we'll have an answer here soon for that. But just the way that we've been monitoring it in the past has not given us any sort of answer there.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Cathy.

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, I think in our justification for supporting this proposal, just adding in our continued concerns with trans boundary mining as well could be a point of one of the reasons why you know, we need to restrict users as well so that you know, we still provide for the meaningful subsistence opportunity for federally qualified users. But we need to close down other things, other users, because trans boundary mining is also a compounding factor for the population of hooligan in this river. And I'd like to actually make a motion to amend our -- the motion on the table to include the modification to close Federal public waters through District 1 to the harvest of hooligan, except by f federally qualified subsistence users in the Unuk River.

MR. CASIPIT: Second, this is Cal.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy. Thank you, Cal. Okay, so, let's have a little discussion on closing all the waters in District 1 as proposed in the motion. Cathy, more (distortion).

 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would support this amendment. I seem to recall, or I do recall a number of conversations that we've had over the years about hooligan and their site -- their non-site fidelity, I guess you would say. And I can remember presentations that we received from the Forest Service when they have been trying to monitor hooligan populations for the Unuk River and how they're starting to see small portions of hooligan return to areas nearby which are likely genetically linked to the Unuk River hooligan. And so, I think since we are looking at trying

to conserve this population a little bit longer to continue to rebuild the stock, we need to include those areas that those fish are, or the genetics of those fish are actually going to the spawn. And so, I would support 5 the amendment. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Any other 8 Council comments on the amendment? Cal. 9 10 MR. CASIPIT: I too support the amend [sic] and will be voting in favor of it. And for the 11 same reasons, many of the same reasons that Cathy 12 13 mentioned. The conservation issue of trying to protect 14 those -- that -- those genetics, no matter where they 15 return in Unit 1. I think there's substantial evidence that there is a problem there. I mean, we've -- been for 16 20 years now or so. And I think this also would probably 17 18 take some pressure off the in-season manager by just 19 putting it -- putting the closure in regulation so that 20 he or she doesn't have to constantly do that year after 21 year, after year until we get this population back to 22 where we want it. 23 24 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal. 25 Any other justifications on the amendment? Harvey, Go 26 ahead. 27 28 MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Before 29 I could support this, I'd like to hear comments from the 30 Ketchikan Indian Community. I really think that since 31 they're not qualified to subsistence, if this passes as 32 written, I'd like to hear their comment. 33 34 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 35 Harvey. We haven't received no comments from the 36 Ketchikan Indian Community on this -- here on the 37 proposal, I do not believe. 38 39 (Pause) 40 41 Any other? Patty. 42 43

MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah, is that Burrows Bay in Unit One?

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Burrows

47 Bay, that's where the Chickamin River flows out of. Is 48 that correct, Louie? It's also in District 1. Albert.

49 50

44

4.5 46

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The questions I'm asking aren't always necessarily in compliance with your order of doing things. But, I guess the question is, and I was waiting for Rob to go sit down cause -- so, he can come back up and answer. But I guess the question is, is there a benchmark for the population in that system where you're gonna allow Mr. Wagner to up his limit? And did you guys consider that benchmark? I'm not concerned about anyone else, cause he's demonstrated to me that he's been a part of that system for a while, and he could possibly start bringing some to Craiq again.

MR. CROSS: Yeah, through the Chair, member Howard. That's a great question and it's a really difficult question. To me, I guess my benchmark is 802 says one necessary to restrict the take of fish and wildlife. Subsistence is given priority over all other consumptive uses. And I -- from everything I've heard over the years, it sounds to me like a five-gallon bucket would be a restriction to federally qualified subsistence users. So, this is a discussion that we have every year for these in-season management actions, you know, at what level would sport harvest not interfere with or add to the restriction to federally qualified harvesters. So, all that's to say, I'm not exactly sure what that benchmark is, but we will continue to use inseason management actions to set the limits. And if we keep seeing years like we saw this year, then I see no reason why we can't up the limit, and up the limit, and then get to a point where we're hearing, you know, I got my hooligan for the year and I'm happy with that. And I think at that point, that's when that four-year review of this closure would kick in. And then that's when we reevaluate the situation and see if it's amenable to having sport harvest or State harvest.

 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. We do have a motion with an amendment. I mean, we really need to deal with that before we get back to main motion discussions here. Does anybody else have any other discussions or justifications on our amendment, which is to close all of the waters of District 1 to nonfederally qualified users. So, we do need to deal with that. We're kinda getting back to main motion questions here, so. Let's deal with the amended motion.

MS. PHILLIPS: Call for the question.

5

6

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. So, the question has been called on the amendment to the motion to include the staff suggestion for modification that would close Federal public waters throughout District 1 to the harvest of hooligan, except by federally qualified subsistence users in the Unuk River. So, on the amendment, I'll call for a voice vote. All in favor of the amendment, say aye.

8 9

IN UNISON: Aye.

10 11 12

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Anybody opposed to the amendment, say nay.

13 14 15

(No response)

16 17

Okay, so now we have an amended main motion back on the floor for discussion. So, on these other topics -- Jim, you had your hand up.

19 20 21

22

23

24

18

MR. SLATER: Is a question for Mr. Cross, Cross. The fish have demonstrated low site fidelity. And what kind of measurement technique do you use to know when they've rebounded since they don't necessarily return to the same spot every year?

252627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. CROSS: Yeah. Through the Chair. So, traditionally we have been doing kinda visual surveys and walking as many of the, I guess, braids to the Unuk River or tributaries of the Unuk River. And then using an index that is you know, is it abundant, not existent you know, I -- off the top of my head, I can't remember exactly what the ranks are. And that's how we've been doing it. But in cooperation with some other researchers that are working on the northern Tongass for hooligan, they've started to look at environmental DNA and quantitative DNA and trying to come up with a more quantitative way to assess the population. But in terms of site fidelity, I mean, it's really just trying to chase these fish around and hit as many spots as possible, and they're in and out of the river very quickly. So, and it's a very braided system. So, it just presents a very difficult population to try to assess. And so, I think you know, kind of following what the other Council members have said, taking a more conservative approach to managing this population has been the way that we've been going with it. But again, I mean, we saw a really good return this year in relative terms to post collapse and seeing things like that. I think we can start to ease off of the restrictions and

then, you know, kind of do adaptive management to see. I mean, we have no idea what next year is gonna look like. They could go to Carroll Inlet, they could go to one of the many other braids in the river and effectively look like they didn't return to our monitoring staff.

MR. SLATER: Right, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: There

is somebody else at that end of the table? Patti, go ahead.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Why would -- why wouldn't we allow federally qualified subsistence users to harvest ooligan in Carroll Inlet or Burrow Bay? Why are we only allowing it in Unuk if there -- if there's low site fidelity and they might be going to one of those other systems.

 MR. CROSS: Through the Chair, member Phillips. It's -- I'm not the fisheries manager there, but it's my understanding that that is the river that they most consistently return to. And so, yeah, going back in the history, the majority of the harvest was on the Unuk River. And then I believe they started seeing them in small amounts at these other various systems. And so, at that point, they closed down District 1 because it was already an imperiled population of fish. So, I think the answer is really the main river that they're coming back to is the Unuk River.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Other discussion, John.$

MR. SMITH: Yeah, just sharing. Native) You know, my history comes from Klukwan in Dáašú. I'm Kaaqwaantaan Eagle Nest even though that I look of so, I come from Martha and Mary Jack was married to Sitka Jack. And you know, our stories talk about our hooligan. Actually, it's an awesome story, beautiful. But it shares about how our hooligan, you know, how they go up -- the males will actually come up into the river first and they're actually checking the temperature, they're actually sensing and cleaning up the area. And then they'll leave and then they'll come back into the stream and let the rest of them know it's okay. You know, so our hooligan are very sensitive. You know, they're -- in our stories, they're bright and shiny and they're happy, you know, so understanding the

temperature and the water and the -- do you guys do a 1 lot of the -- and I truly believe they're all related. And I'm sure you find that out during that -- your DNA. You were just sharing earlier about how they were pretty 5 close related, they're all relatives. So, my question 6 is do you guys do a lot of water testing, temperature testing and even looking to testing the hooligan himself 8 to see if any chemicals or anything that's damaging them, that might be spooking them, like Albert was sharing. 10 And, you know, our fish come back to their same locations pretty much because of their -- the scent and the, you 11 know, where they were born. You know, maybe some of 12 13 that, too. Thanks.

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

MR. CROSS: Through the Chair, member Smith. I don't believe that they're sending samples off to look for, like, heavy metals or anything like that. I mean, this -- if that's a concern, that is certainly something that we can look into. I think that this -- I wish that there was a member from KIC or the KMRD crew here, cause they're the ones actually on the ground doing this work. But I know that the efforts are really ramping up as far as how they're looking at this. Again, most of the data that we've been using is really just boots on the ground. Are the fish there, are they not there, the weather conditions that member Wagner has brought up over and over, it's just a really difficult place to get to. There's usually 3 or 4 efforts to get out before they finally do make it out. That being the monitoring crew. Yeah so, all that being said, that would certainly be something that we would look into, hearing these concerns. I don't think it's out of the scope at all, but it's not something that we've been looking at.

33 34 35

36

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: (Indiscernible) Okay, we still need to hear some justifications and how Council members intend to vote on this proposal. Ted.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

MR. SANDHOFER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just think it's real important to point out that we haven't got -- had any comments or opposition from the Ketchikan Indian Community. I think it's just real important to point that out, and then I will be supporting this proposal, thanks.

44 45 46

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Any other Council members? Cal.

47 48 49

50

MR. CASIPIT: I too will be supporting this proposal as modified by us just now, as suggested

by staff. Again, I talked about some of these, some of my justification on the -- when we were talking about the main motion before we amended. But, yeah, I mean, 20 plus years of a conservation issue there. Still 5 concerned. You know, we've allowed some limited harvests there, but I think there's still a conservation concern 6 there. It's supported by substantial evidence. The Traditional Ecological Knowledge on this one is 8 9 outstanding. I mean, we have Louie here who's lived his 10 whole life with those fish, I think. So, you know, I think there's plenty of information to support our 11 suggested action here. And also, I just mentioned again 12 13 that, you know, this has been done over the past few 14 years by the in-season manager, every year. And this 15 will take the pressure off of them, and you won't have to do that anymore. So, I -- I'm in support of this 16 17 proposal as modified. 18 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal. 19 20 I'm glad you pointed out the extensive traditional knowledge we have on this, it's very important. Anybody 21 22 else? 23 24 (No response) 25 26 Question. Are we ready for the question? 27 Okay. Motion was to support fisheries proposal 25-02, 28 which would close Federal public waters of the Unuk River 29 to all non-federally qualified users. And it would also 30 close all waters in District 1 to all users, including 31 federally qualified users who would only be allowed to 32 fish on the Unuk River. So, Frank, do you want to call 33 the roll on this one? 34 35 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Larry 36 Bemis. 37 38 MR. BEMIS: Yes. 39 40 MR. WRIGHT: Oh sorry. Motion FB 25-2. 41 Larry Bemis is yes? 42 43 MR. BEMIS: Yes 44 45 MR. WRIGHT: Cal Casipit. 46 MR. CASIPIT: Yes. 47 48 MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville. 49 50 MR. DOUVILLE: Yes.

MR. WRIGHT: Jim Slater. MR. SLATER: Yes. MR. WRIGHT: Ted Sandhoff. MR. SANDHOFER: Yes. MR. WRIGHT: Howard. Albert Howard. MR. HOWARD: Yes. MR. WRIGHT: Don Hernandez. CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yes. MR. WRIGHT: Patricia Phillips. MS. PHILLIPS: Yes. MR. WRIGHT: Louie Wagner. MR. WAGNER: Yes. MR. WRIGHT: Harvey Kitka. MR. KITKA: Yes. MR. WRIGHT: John Smith. MR. SMITH: Yes. MR. WRIGHT: Cathy Needham. MS. NEEDHAM: Yes. MR. WRIGHT: Frank is yes. Motion carried..... CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. MR. WRIGHT:Mr. Chair. CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank Frank. We have one more Federal proposal. It's actually a closure review on the Mahknati Island herring. As I told the Council members last night, a little social

gathering, we would try and get through all of our proposals today, even if it involves staying a little

bit late. And kinda front load the meeting with action items so we don't get jammed up at the end. And I really appreciate the fact that the members of the public have sat through the entire meeting here, and it's getting to be a long day. So, we may go a little late tonight, but just thought I'd let you know that we want to complete our proposal process here. And we'll hear about the Mahknati Island herring closure from Jason Roberts.

DR. ROBERTS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, members of Council. My name is Jason Roberts. I'm an anthropologist at OSM. I'll be presenting Federal Fisheries Closure FCR 25-03. This is a standard review of a Federal subsistence fishery closure to the harvest of herring and herring roe, to all but federally qualified subsistence users in the waters under Federal subsistence jurisdiction in the Mahknati Island area. This closure review can be found starting on page 73 of your meeting book, with a map of the Federal closure area shown on page 74. It's the Board's policy that Federal public lands and waters should be reopened when closures are no longer necessary, and that closures will be reviewed at least once every four years. The purpose of this review is to determine if the Mahknati Island area herring and herring spawn closure to non-federally qualified users is still warranted.

So, I'm gonna try to keep this pretty short. As you know, you saw a preliminary analysis at your All Council meeting and gave us a lot of good feedback during that preliminary review. We also received some really important comments from the Sitka Tribe. Since that review that motivated us to take another look at everything in the analysis. And we've kind of been going back and forth on this one quite a bit, but our conclusion now is to retain the status quo on this closure. And so, I'm just gonna jump to the justification here, because I think it hits most of the key points in the analysis.

So, the waters under Federal subsistence fisheries jurisdiction in the Mahknati Island area have been closed to all but federally qualified users since 2015 due to conservation concerns and the need to continue subsistence uses. These waters are an important location for traditional harvests of herring spawn. Local and traditional knowledge emphasizes the historical importance of the area as a refuge for herring and herring spawn harvesters, where eggs can be accessed relatively easily and harvested safely by subsistence

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

users even during periods of inclement weather. Though the estimated mature herring biomass returning to Sitka Sound has exhibited a strong increasing trend since 2019, this is a short period of improvement that partially corresponds with minimal commercial harvest, taking place from 2018 to 2020 due to a lack of suitable size fish. Further, it's been noted that the metric of mature herring biomass returning in any one year is not a particularly good predictor of subsistence harvest amount, as issues like spawning location, duration and density of spawn, quality of spawn, and the amount of spawn intercepted by commercial fisheries before they reach locations accessible to subsistence harvesters complicates predictions of subsistence harvest success. Overall, local knowledge holders have asserted that herring spawn is generally not as dense in Sitka Sound as it was further in the past. Spawns are shorter in duration, and the yearly amount of spawn is typically smaller in and multiple traditional harvest areas. Those subsistence hearing spawn harvests in Sitka Sound and the number of harvesting households appeared to have decreased since monitoring surveys began in 2002. This could be an indication that it's becoming increasingly difficult and time consuming for subsistence users to harvest enough spawn to make it worth the effort and expenditure.

262728

29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

Conclusions that can be drawn from this data are also complicated by the growing importance of community harvester boats, and how those boats are accounted for in the surveys, and less than ideal rates in some survey response years. Overall, subsistence harvests have not exceeded the lower end of ANS range in 13 of the 21 years since monitoring of these harvests in Sitka Sound began. And there's evidence presented by the Sitka Tribe in their comments on this proposal of substantial declines in subsistence catch per unit effort, in many of the years where the lower range of ANS was not met. The Board of Fisheries took significant action to reduce conflict between the commercial herring roe fishery and subsistence harvesting, including closing a large area important to subsistence harvesting to commercial fishing in 2012, and then increasing the area of this commercial closure in 2018. This closed area includes most of the waters under Federal jurisdiction in the Mahknati Island area. However, there have been proposals to reduce or rescind the State commercial closure area in recent years, and it's unclear how long this closure might remain in place. Commercial harvesting activity in Sitka Sound appears

50

impacting be the primary factor successful subsistence harvests here. However, closing the Federal public waters of the Mahknati Island area to commercial harvesters or commercial harvest methods only is a 5 modification that is outside the Board's authority on closures. So, that concludes my summary of the analysis for this closure review, and I'm happy to try to answer 8 any questions. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, 11 Jason. Do we have questions from the Council? 12 13 (No response) 14 15 Maybe not, because it was a very excellent analysis and I was very pleased with your 16 justification there. I thought it was very well written. 17 18 So, I say it's a issue that this Council has been very 19 familiar with, so maybe there are no questions. Okay. 20 Thank you, Jason. So, Orville, do we have consultation 21 on this closure review? 22 23 MR. LIND: Good afternoon, Chairman, 24 Council members. Orville Lind, Native liaison at OSM. During the consultation session we did not have any 25 26 questions or comments on the closure review. Mr. Chair, 27 thank you. 28 29 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Orville. Do we have agency comments from the Department 30 of Fish and Game on this? 31 32 33 (No response) 34 35 Apparently not. Any other Federal 36 agencies? 37 38 (No response) 39 40 How about tribal comments, do we have 41 tribal comments on this closure review? Yes. 42 43 DR. ROBERTS: Mr. Chair, we received one written comment from the Sitka Tribe. That's on page 103 44 45 of your meeting book. The Sitka Tribe submitted this 46 written comment in favor of retaining the status quo. 47 They noted that Sitka Sound remains the only reliable 48 source of substantial subsistence herring egg harvest

in Alaska, and that the Mahknati waters remain important

for harvesters who cannot access more distant and

000133 exposed waters. They also explained that returning biomass is not the best metric to evaluate subsistence herring egg harvest opportunity as issues like where, when, and how long spawn occurs are much more important 5 for subsistence harvesters. Therefore, it's important 6 to preserve the most accessible and suitable herring egg harvest habitats to ensure that all harvesters have 8 areas where they can obtain eggs. They also note recent 9 reductions in catch per unit effort as a further reason 10 to retain the closure. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 13 Jason. How about other Advisory Committees, any Fish and 14 Game Advisory Committees comment on this one? 15 16

(No response)

17 18

Nope. No resource commissions?

19 20

MS. PERRY: No, Mr. Chair.

21 22

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Nope. How about written public comments, do we have any written public comments? Jason.

24 25 26

23

DR. ROBERTS: Not other than the ones submitted by Sitka.

27 28 29

30

31

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody on the phone who would like to comment on this? We do have somebody on the phone. Kyle Rosendale, are you there?

32 33 34

35

MR. ROSENDALE: Yes, Mr. Chair, thank you very much. This is Kyle Rosendale with Sitka Tribe of Alaska. Can you hear me okay?

36 37 38

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yes, loud and clear, go ahead.

39 40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. ROSENDALE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And thank you to staff for that wonderful summary of our written comments. I know that the Council has our comments, and so I'll try to be really brief. STA supports continuing the closure of the Mahknati Federal waters. Herring eggs are a culturally significant subsistence food, and Sitka is by far the largest source of subsistence herring eggs in Alaska. We don't have systematic data through our herring egg surveys that we conduct with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. But

we found herring eggs from Sitka shared across the State in almost every community in the State. We found them in Florida, we found them in Hawaii. Sitka herring eggs are shared and shared all over with family, friends and loved ones. Staff did a nice job of summarizing some of the traditional knowledge around changes in special temporal distribution of spawn. And if I could just add 8 a bit of context to that. As one example in 2023, the biomass here was relatively large for contemporary times 10 but more than 75% of estimated herring egg deposition occurred on the southern shore of Kruzof Island. This 11 is an area far from town, exposed to heavy swells and 12 13 strong currents, and it's unsuitable for subsistence 14 herring egg harvest by -- to contrast, the Mahknati Federal waters are close to town and accessible for 15 harvesters, no matter what vessel they have. I've seen 16 17 people towing branches with kayaks in the harbor, so 18 people will use any means at their disposal to try to get out and get herring eggs to share. 19

20 21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

And so, I think that the tribe would like to stress to the Council that when considering protecting subsistence herring egg harvest every little bit counts. On another note, I would just like to share some appreciation for the staff working on this issue. Staff reached out to STA well in advance of the meeting to make sure that STA was aware of issues on the agenda that might affect tribal citizens, and make sure that we are aware of all the ways to participate in the meeting. Furthermore, it appears that staff thoughtfully considered the Council's comments and STAs comments on this herring issue and incorporated them into their final analysis here. And, you know, sometimes this sort of public meeting, it's so big and sprawling that participants, I think, worry that their input, their concerns gets lost in the shuffle. And so, it was really, really nice to see the effort that staff put in and the process in place and would just like to share an acknowledgment of that and an appreciation of that. Thank you very much for your time. I'm happy to try to answer any questions you may have and with that, thank you and gunalchéesh.

42 43 44

45

 ${\tt CHAIRPERSON\ HERNANDEZ:\ Thank\ you,\ Mr.}$ Rosendale. Do we have questions for Mr. Rosendale of the Sitka Tribe?

46 47 48

(No response)

49 50

47 48

50

as presented.

1 I'm not seeing any questions, Kyle. So, 2 thank you very much. Appreciate your good words to the staff as well. Okay, if that's the only public testimony, I believe it is. No one else online. There is somebody 5 in the room, however. Okay, one of our students. Turn 6 on the microphone and go ahead. 7 8 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My 9 name is Thomas Smith, for the record. I'm from -- I'm a 10 student from Mount Edgecumbe High School. I speak on behalf of myself. I would like to share that the herring 11 12 eggs that the people around Sitka harvest provide not 13 only food for themselves, but for the students at Mount 14 Edgecumbe. We've had totes and boatloads delivered to 15 us from all around the waters in Sitka. They take us on 16 kayaking trips that help us gather herring eggs, and 17 those can't be far away so, we cannot travel very far 18 to get those. And it's really amazing to see the herring 19 in their natural habitat and to see the spawn that they produce. We use those herring eggs to feed families 20 21 around Alaska, not only in Sitka or Southeast. Last year 22 we donated at least a couple hundred pounds of herring 23 eggs to families of the seniors that were graduating. 24 And Sitka Tribe also uses them to donate to the families 25 around Sitka as well, which I find amazing. MEHS provides 26 herring eggs to students and families across the State, 27 which also go to [sic] rest of the United States, as we 28 -- as was mentioned before. So, I would just like to 29 make that known and put that under consideration for the 30 Council. Thank you, quyana. 31 32 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 33 Thomas. Are there any questions for Thomas? 34 35 (No response) 36 37 Thank you very much for your testimony. 38 Good job well stated. So, is there anybody else, public 39 testimony? So, time for the Council's action. 40 41 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman 42 43 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Albert. 44 45 MR. HOWARD: I move we support FCR25-03

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, we have a 49 motion and a second. Just a second, I see Mr. Ayers wants to come forward.

5

MR. AYERS: Thank you, Mister Chair and Council members. I just wanted to note that since this is a closure review, as DeAnna may have just noted we need a motion either to retain the status quo, a motion to rescind. Those are pretty much the two that I'm comfortable with us getting into at this point in time. It seems like we're going one way, but I just wanted to put that out there for the record. Thank you.

10

12

13

8

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks, Scott. So, it's just a wording issue here, I guess we want to hear for the record, is the motion to retain the status quo on this closure review?

14 15 16

17

18

MR. HOWARD: That is an implied and has presented, Mr. Chair. So, Mr. Chair I (pause) I made the motion to support FCR 25-03 to maintain status quo. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

19 20 21

22

23

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. And Harvey, you were the seconded? [sic] Okay. Okay, discussion on this closure review. Council members. Harvey, go ahead.

242526

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50

MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. One of the reasons that Mahknati is the only spot in Sitka that's got Federal waters around, it took us a long time to get it recognized as Federal waters. One of the reasons they -- we asked to have it closed was that it is -- it was one of the places where the spawn Sitka Sound collected on both sides from the southern stock and as well as the western stock that they spawned on both sides of the island, and it was really close for us to subsist. When the commercial industry found out that the corridors of how the fish got there, they basically blocked it off so that the spawn didn't happen within our area anymore and bigger the area we closed, the more they blocked it off. And forced the herring to spawn in other places. When the price of the herring roe dropped, the fishing industry start to go a little different and they start co-managing, well, actually cooperating and fewer boats fished. So, then these corridors start to get open. In the last year or so, we start to see a skim of herring eggs in some of the traditional spots again. It's gonna take a while for it to come back. We keep a close eye on it. As far as the herring goes the Sitka Sound is starting to rebuild itself and not only on the western side, but on the southern side of us. So, we're getting -- we might end

up with two separate stocks coming into Sitka Sound again. Which was back in the state where eventually all the Southeast or Baranof Island would get covered by herring spawn. We use to see herring spawn that went 5 from Ketchikan all the way up to Lisianski. When they 6 started the commercial fisheries and -- the oil reduction plans wiped out big portions of it. When they 8 finally stopped that, then the stock started to rebuild themselves. They rebuilt themselves to a good point 10 where we were able to get qualities of this subsistence eggs again. And the commercial industry started on the 11 12 herring roe, and it start to collapse again. We put in 13 many proposals to try to conserve the fishery. That's 14 gonna come in a later time. But one of the reasons I 15 support this is because we hope to have it come back to where our people don't have to go out in small boats 16 17 anymore into some of the hazardous areas where they have 18 to go to get herring eggs. A couple of years ago, a 19 couple of boys were going out and they lost their lives 20 (indiscernible) on the way out, two things happened and 21 just sometimes we get some real nasty weather that 22 associates with the fishery and when that happens, it 23 gets really dangerous to travel in small boats. So, we 24 want to see this stay closed. So, that eventually we'll 25 have a good spawn again. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

262728

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you,

Harvey. Does anybody need any -- add anything else to what Harvey said? John, go ahead.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

MR. SMITH: I was talking to Harvey earlier, but also understanding the fisheries and how they fish, and how they use a lot of tactics to push your -- collect or team up to keeping the herring in specific places. They don't go over here and over there. So, I see a lot of school kids that are out here, and there's drones that you have cameras on there. It would be really interesting, I encourage an educational video of actually filming their process, even if they have other skiffs that are running out there, that are pushing the herring out there or do they have planes up in the air that are flying, that are spotting them. You know, there's a lot of different tactics of it, but it'd be really encouraging to have videos watching how they're using their tactics so that we can set regulations on making it equal challenge for them fishing and making it an equal challenge for our herring people to have a chance. Thank you.

48 49 50

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, I was born at Mount Edgecumbe Hospital. My parents lived on Mount Edgecumbe Island until they started building the bridge. And then they moved us over to Sitka side. So, I grew up eating herring eggs. And I've seen, you know, when there was plenty of herring. And I've seen when there's not plenty of herring and having observed -- been an observer of these herring management proposals over the years and this divisiveness that it's caused. I hope that there's, you know, that the ComFish realizes that the traditional users are wanting to rebuild the stock for the benefit of all. But the harvest of the herring eggs is like a -- is a minimal amount of the overall, you know, eggs that are laid within the area. But on page 85, on the bottom, it says there are locals and scholars who argue that herring population here are still being managed in a historically depleted state, which is the result of a shifting baseline whereby lower populations come to be seen as normal over time. So, what they thought was normal is being shown that this population is increasing and it needs to increase because there's a whole ecosystem that relies on that resource. I noticed that, you know, off of Yakobi Island during the pandemic we had super schools of herring come to the surface. I'd never seen so much herring in my entire life. A herring as far as you could see and super pods of humpback whales feeding on them. I mean, this isn't just for subsistence users and commercial users. This is for all species that thrive off of this resource. And it just -- it was mind blowing to me to see this quantity of herring. And it happened for two years in a row. And so, it kind of made me change my mindset about this resource. And I travel from Pelican to Sitka quite frequently. Sometimes I'll come down in my skiff during the herring season, but I have -- sometimes I have to get right back if I don't want to be weathered out. So, I, you know, I see what he's talking about -- they're talking about when those herring are spawning on that west -- on the western side of Sitka Sound, on the Kruzof sign where the swell is rolling in. And so, but you see the herring, the humpbacks feeding on them, the sea lions feeding on them. But it is rolling in the swell, is rolling in there and causing, you know, the sand to, you know, mix up into the herring eggs and so some of those herring eggs, you know, they're for other resources to feed on. But, you know, I think that we put in place these large -- well, not necessarily that large, but these -- we put in these closed areas because of the conservation concern. And now it's to meet subsistence

needs -- continue to meet subsistence needs and it's continued to meet the cultural benefits of that subsistence needs, because there was a time when it was our early food that we ate and we needed after a winter 5 of not having plenty. So, it a cultural component that dates back millennia. So, it's important that we have these closed areas to maintain that cultural way of life. 8 So, that's my comment, Mr. Chair. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 11 Patti. Did I see Ted, did you have your hand up?

12 13

14

15

16

MR. SANDHOFER: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair. You know, this to me seems like a no brainer. You know, I mean, this regulation is serving the Sitka Tribe of Alaska exactly like it was intended to do. So, I mean, this is to me a drop dead yes. Yeah, thank you.

17 18 19

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Ted. Anybody else? Cal.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

MR. CASIPIT: Well, I can't add any to the justification that was provided by Ms. Phillips and Mr. Kitka. I -- and I agree with Ted, it's a no brainer, I do want to compliment the staff on justification that they wrote in their -- in the staff analysis, and I don't think I could've said it better. I can't say it any better than Mr. Kitka and Ms. Phillips. That's why I'll be voting yes on this.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal. I think we've had extensive traditional knowledge given to us on this area. And I think it's one of those proposals where, you know, it's just kind of fortunate that there's this little bit of Federal waters there in the spawning area. And this Council has an opportunity to protect the continuation of subsistence uses in that area and has virtually no effect whatsoever on other users of the resource. So, I think it's yeah, a very worthwhile closure to maintain. And we've had a lot of justification for it. Is there anybody else?

41 42 43

(No response)

44 45

MR. CASIPIT: Question.

46 47

48 49

50

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Question [sic] been called for. Frank, you wanna do a roll call vote on the motion to retain the status quo on Fisheries Closure Review 25-03?

1 2 3 4	Cal Casipit.	MR. WRIGHT: Okay, motion on FCR 25-03.
5		MR. CASIPIT: Yes.
6 7		MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville.
8 9		MR. DOUVILLE: Yes.
10		MR. WRIGHT: Jim Slater.
12 13		MR. SLATER: Yes.
14 15		MR. WRIGHT: Ted Sandhofer.
16 17		MR. SANDHOFER: Yes.
18 19		MR. WRIGHT: Albert Howard.
20		MR. HOWARD: Yes.
22		MR. WRIGHT: Don Hernandez.
24 25		CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yes.
26 27		MR. WRIGHT: Patricia Phillips.
28 29		MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
30 31		MR. WRIGHT: Louie Wagner.
32 33		MR. WAGNER: Yes.
34 35		MR. WRIGHT: Harvey Kitka.
36 37		MR. KITKA: Yes.
38 39		MR. WRIGHT: John Smith.
40 41		MR. SMITH: Yes.
42 43		MR. WRIGHT: Cathy Needham.
44 45		MS. NEEDHAM: Yes.
46 47		MR. WRIGHT: Larry Bemis.
48		-
49 50		MR. BEMIS: Yes.

 $1\,$ MR. WRIGHT: Frank is yes. Motion $2\,$ carried, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Frank. Thank you, Councils -- Council members. We got through our proposals. I think that is just excellent. We are really on track right where we wanted to be. And it's only five minutes after five, so congratulations. But before we recess for the evening, we do need to take care of a little bit of business. As I mentioned earlier, if we want to form some working groups to review fisheries proposals to the Board of Fish and the Tongass Forest plan revision, we need to do that this evening so we have time to do that for the remaining day, essentially, that we have to work on those so we can come back probably Thursday, when I think we're actually gonna have some time to talk about these things because you did so well today. Say, tomorrow, I know we're gonna devote a lot of time to the non-rural petition. So, have you thought about who might want to serve on a working group to work on proposals. And I think I mentioned we might want to identify possibly more than one group to work on Board of Fish proposals. So, Cathy.

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would volunteer to work on a work group for the Tongass plan revision and the reason why I wanted to bring that one up first is that I think that work group work wouldn't be very long. So, it should not preclude people who are on that work group to participate in a Board of Fish proposals work group as well. If we decide to split up a couple. So, I would volunteer for the plan revision and then depending on how you do the Board of Fish work groups, I probably would volunteer for one of those as well.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, Cathy. So, Cathy brought up the plan revision. Anybody else want to volunteer for that one?

MR. SLATER: I will. Jim.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Jim Slater, very good. Ted Sandhofer.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SANDHOFER: Yeah, I can do the forest plan, too.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: I think I would like to volunteer for that as well. And I say hopefully

might be able to get involved in Board of Fish proposals as well. So, we have four people for that working group. Okay. Board of Fish proposals. What's Council's interest 4 there? Cal. 5 6 MR. CASIPIT: I would like to volunteer 7 for the Board of Fish proposals. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Is there any 10 particular (simultaneous speech) you'd like to focus on? 11 12 MR. CASIPIT: I've got some feedback from 13 some folks on our king salmon management plan proposal. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. So, maybe 16 I'll just see what other Council members interests are 17 here. John. King salmon? Okay. Anybody else? 18 19 MR. BEMIS: I would like to also..... 20 21 (Simultaneous speech) 22 23 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Larry. 24 25 MR. BEMIS:on king salmon. 26 27 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: For king salmon, 28 okay. Albert. Harvey. Let me just hear from other Council 29 members. Go ahead, Albert, you're up. 30 31 MR. HOWARD: You skipped me so, I was 32 gonna decide not to. But anyway, I'll work with Cal on 33 the fish proposals. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 34 35 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. 36 Harvey, were you volunteering? And, Frank, did I see you 37 as well? Okay. It's almost -- let's see. So, maybe I'll 38 just let, you know, all these volunteers work out, you 39 know, which proposals they want to focus on. And maybe 40 as you first get together. But any other volunteers? 41 Well, there could be you know, the unguided sport fishery 42 is another topic that's of interest. And, I don't know, 43 maybe there's some others that people have identified 44 that they think they might want to talk about. Cathy. 45 46 MS. NEEDHAM: And Mr. Chair. It seems 47 like we might have more than a quorum of volunteers for 48 Board of Fish proposals and so, I wonder if we should 49 actually specifically try to split it out so that we

don't have seven plus working on Board of Fish proposals

1 as a whole. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, that's a good point. I wasn't keeping track. Yeah, I think we did have seven, you're right. So, let's break it up and 5 let's break it up into two work groups then, cause I think we did have what essentially amount to a quorum 8 of the Council, which we can't do on a work group. So, is there anybody who would like to say focus on non-10 guided sport fishing? Patti. Albert. Okay. Patti and Albert. Anybody else? Frank. Very good. Everybody else 11 12 want to work on king salmon? Cal, John, Mike, king 13 salmon? Larry and Harvey. Are you counting? So, we make 14 sure we're under seven, I think we are. Albert, what did you want to focus on? 15 16 17 MR. HOWARD: I was gonna work with Patty 18 on the non-quided boat issue. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. 21 22 MR. HOWARD: If there's room on the king 23 salmon, that one's pretty important to Angoon, Mr. 24 Chair. But if there isn't, I understand, thank you. 25 26 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Larry. 27 28 (Pause) 29 30 Okay, working it out. John. 31 32 (Pause) 33 34 Also on the nine guided, we have, you 35 know, a representative from the Sitka Advisory Committee 36 who put the -- put one of the proposals in and he's here. So, you can bend his ear maybe before you get 37 38 together. Yeah and right, we have written their written 39 proposals to look at, yes. So, DeAnna were you keeping 40 track of who's on what? So, we make sure we're all good 41 here? 42 43 MS. PERRY: Yep. 44 45 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. tonight would be the first opportunity where folks could 46 47 get together if they care to at dinner time. So, do we 48 need anything else to discuss? 49 50 MS. PERRY: We need a motion.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Oh, we need a motion, okay. One more question from Cathy, and then I guess to form a working group, we will need a -- working group, we will need a motion. So, Cathy. Question first.

 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, you have two Board of Fish proposals working groups, one to work on unguided, one to work on king salmon. But what about any other Board of Fish proposals that might be of interest for the Council to comment on? So, that's my question. And then also to remind that when we make the motion, if we want staff to assist us, I think that has to be a part of the motion. And I'm just gonna throw it out there. In the past, we've had students sit in on those work groups to, you know, give us some of their enlightenment. And I think that if they're interested in doing that, we should make accommodations to allow for that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: That kinda brings up maybe a broader topic. I know we have had students participate. Can other members of the public who have expertise join in a work group or is that -- I don't know.

MS. PERRY: As long as it is inform -for the record, this is DeAnna Perry. As long as it is
just informational. They're not making any types of
decisions, but they're just bringing information and
sharing it with the group. That is permitted under the
FACA rules.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, DeAnna. So, I'm gonna take that to mean that if the students would like to participate or maybe Mr. Ramp if you would like to participate in a working group. That's kinda what the working groups do, they don't make decisions, they just fine tune the discussion so they can come back to the Council and impart what they've learned and bring that to the whole Council for the Council's consideration. So, yeah. And we need a motion. I guess the motion would be to form three working groups and DeAnna, you would have the names of who's on the groups. So, let's put up a motion here. Somebody. Word it the best you can. I don't think so.

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, I move to form a work group for the Tongass plan revision consisting of Cathy Needham, Jim Slater, Ted Sandhofer, Don

1 2	Hernandez with a request for staff to assist us and for students to potentially participate at their leisure.
3 4	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Do we
5	have a second?
7	MR. CASIPIT: Second.
9 LO	UNIDENTIFIED: Second.
L1 L2 L3	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Any other any discussion?
L 4 L 5	(No repsone)
L6 L7 L8	Question. All in favor of forming a work group to work on the Tongass Forest plan revision with the four Council members, say aye.
20	UNIDENTIFED: Aye.
22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody opposed, say nay. Okay. Work group number one. Cathy.
25	MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	move to form a work group for the Regional Advisory Council to provide recommendations for Board of Fish proposals regarding king salmon. Work group members would consist of Cal Casipit, John Smith, Larry Bemis, Harvey Kitka and Albert Howard. Again, the motion includes the inclusion of staff to assist, as well as for students and to participate in their leisure.
34 35	MR. HOWARD: Second, Mr. Chair.
36 37 38	CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Motion and a second, any discussion on the formation of that work group?
10 11	(No repsone)
12	Question's been called for, all in favor
13	of forming a working group to review Board of Fish
14	proposals, say aye. Is there anybody opposed, say nay.
15 16	Okay, work group number two, Cathy.
17	MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
18	move to - for to develop a work group for Board of
19	Fish proposal recommendations from the Council on
50	unguided fishermen proposals. The work group would

consist of Patty Phillips, Larry Bemis, Frank Wright. We would also like for staff to assist us and to invite students to participate at their leisure. 5 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 6 Cathy. 7 8 MR. CASIPIT: Second. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal. Any discussion, further discussion on forming this work 11 12 group? 13 14 MS. NEEDHAM: I have a.... 15 16 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Wait a minute. 17 18 (Simultaneous speech) 19 20 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ Cathy. 21 22 MS. NEEDHAM: I still think that we need 23 to cover other proposals to the Board of Fish that are not under those two topics. And so, I'm wondering if we 24 25 can task that or group that was specifically formed for 26 unquided fishermen, proposals to also kinda look through 27 other potential proposals that may subsistence that this Council would like to provide 28 29 recommendation for. Just a few extra, at least to put a 30 list together for us so that we have -- when the work 31 group comes back to the Council, we have sort of -- we 32 have quidance on that. 33 34 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. 35 I think, you know, any of these work groups and as you 36 pointed out, even if our Forest plan work group can --37 concludes their discussions, you know, any of the work 38 groups I think could delve into other proposals as well. 39 I think there's plenty of leeway to do that. Patti. 40 41 MS. PHILLIPS: Did you say that there's 42 this proposals for us to look at somewhere or -- I didn't 43 bring my booklet. 44 4.5 CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: The Board of Fish 46 proposals -- I don't know if the Council was provided with any paper copies of those. Maybe there's some 47 around. I know they are online, and I have them 48 49 downloaded, but I don't know how everybody does. DeAnna.

MS. PERRY: Yeah. Mr. Chair, I do have one hard copy with all of the Southeast Yakutat proposals. And then staff could pull those up online as well. And everyone should have at least a table of contents in there. I believe it's the supplemental materials book. That at least might be able to narrow down just by title what you want to look into further.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: John, did you have something to add?

MR. SMITH: I was just gonna share with the staff. I sent an email with that link to the proposals. If you're looking for it, you might -- if you have your computer or your phone, you'd be able to look at it.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Yep. Thank you, John. I do have that when you say hard copies are always really handy too. Hopefully there might be some available or could be made available before we conclude our business. So, do we have the vote on that yet? Okay. So, we're still looking for an approval vote on the formation of the second Board of Fish workgroup. Call for the question.

MR CASIPIT: Question.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Okay. All in favor of forming a workgroup for the Board of Fish proposals, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Anybody opposed, say nay. Okay, I think we've -- Cathy, one more thing.

 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Member Wright just pointed this out to me, in our supplemental materials on page 92. There is an index of all of the Board of Fish proposals so, that might be just a good place to go through and start highlighting some of the other proposals that we might wanna make recommendations on. And I think any member could actually potentially do that and then start discussing them in the work group.

CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Very good. Thank you for pointing that out. Anybody else, anything to add before we recess for the evening? And I'll leave it up

to the work group members to decide how they'd like to get together and when and where, so. Patty. MS. PHILLIPS: Will this building be open or does it lock or..... CHAIRPERSON HERNANDEZ: Good question. (Pause) We don't have an answer to that yet, Patty. So might have to -- till we find that out for at least for this evening, might have to find other places to get together. I know everybody is gonna go to dinner somewhere, so maybe that could, you know, be a starting point. Anybody else? (No response) Okay, we'll recess until 8:30 tomorrow morning, at which time we will take any public comment on non-agenda items before we get into the Ketchikan Rural Determination petition. Thank you very much. (Off record)

000149		
1 2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE	
6 7 8 9	I, Rafael Morel, for Lighthouse Integrated Services Corp, do hereby certify:	
10 11 12 13	THAT the foregoing pages numbered $\underline{1}$ through $\underline{148}$ contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the SOUTHEAST ALASKA SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME I recorded on the 22nd day of October;	
15 16 17 18	THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and ability;	
20 21 22	$$\operatorname{\mathtt{THAT}}\ \mathtt{I}$$ am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action.	
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	DATED at Isabela, Puerto Rico this 21st day of November 2024. Rafael Morel Chief Project Manager	
44 45		