0001	
1	SEWARD PENINSULA SUBSISTENCE
2	REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
4	PUBLIC MEETING
5	
6 7	VOLUME II
8	VOLUIL II
9	EDUCATION CENTER ROOM 102
10	Nome, Alaska
11	October 25, 2024
12 13	
14	
15	COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
16	Louis Green, Chair
17	Tom Gray
18	Mary Freytag
19	Martin Aukongak
20 21	Elmer Seetot Robert Moses
22	Raymond Hunt
23	1.6.7
24	
25	
26	Regional Council Coordinator, Gisela Chapa
27 28	
20 29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34 35	Degarded and transprihed by
36	Recorded and transcribed by:
37	Lighthouse Integrated Services Corp
38	787-239-0462
39	Info.@lighthouseonline.com
40	
41 42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48 49	
49 50	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2 3	(Nome, Alaska - 10/25/24)
4	
5 6	(On record)
7	CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Good morning, y'all.
8	Sorry I missed you yesterday. It's a little rough. I
9	think we call it the AFN flu bug. Kind of over it today.
10 11	My name is Louis Green, by the way. So, I would like to call roll call here this morning. Get to start off here.
12	call foll call here this morning. Get to start off here.
13	MS. CHAPA: Louis Green.
14	
15	CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Here.
16 17	MC CHADA Thomas Cray
18	MS. CHAPA: Thomas Gray.
19	MR. GRAY: Yes, ma'am.
20	
21	MS. CHAPA: Into the mic, please.
22 23	MR. GRAY Yes, ma'am.
24	MR. GRAI 165, Ma am.
25	MS. CHAPA: Thank you. Mary Freytag.
26	
27	MS. FREYTAG: Present.
28 29	MS. CHAPA: Robert Moses.
30	No. Cimin. Nobel C Nobel.
31	MR. MOSES: Here.
32	
33 34	MS. CHAPA: Elmer Seetot.
35	MR. SEETOT: Here.
36	
37	MS. CHAPA: Raymond Hunt.
38	VD WINE II
39 40	MR. HUNT: Here.
41	MS. CHAPA: Martin Aukongak.
42	
43	MR. AUKONGAK: Good morning.
44	MO CUADA, And Mo Itial become
45 46	MS. CHAPA: And Mr. Kirk has an excused absence. He was impacted by the most recent storm in the
47	region. And, Mr. Chair, we have a quorum.
48	1
49	CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Gisela.
50	So, that brings us to welcome and introductions. Of

1 course, I'm Louis Green from Nome. So, we have -possibly have some people online. If there's anybody new that was on -- that's online today that was not yesterday. 5 6 MS. KLEIN: Good morning. 7 8 (Simultaneous speech) 9 10 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Sounds like we got 11 two people, a lady and a man there. Lady first. 12 MS. KLEIN: Hi, good morning. This is 13 14 Jill Klein. I'm the regional subsistence coordinator with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. So, joining in 15 16 this morning. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Jill. 19 20 MR. GUSSEY: Good morning. This is Walker 21 Gussey with the Bureau of Land Management. Sorry I wasn't 22 available yesterday. 23 24 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you for calling 25 in.... 26 27 MS. LAVINE: Good morning. This is Robin 28 LaVine, subsistence policy coordinator with OSM calling 29 in today. Good to hear your voices. Sorry I'm not with 30 you. 31 32 MR. MCKEE: Good morning. This is Chris 33 McKee, subsistence coordinator for the Bureau of Land 34 Management, out of Anchorage. 35 36 MS. KOELSCH: Morning, This is Jeanette 37 Koelsch, superintendent of Bering Land Bridge National 38 Preserve. I am still sick, so I will be attending via 39 Teams. 40 41 MS. MCDAVID: Good morning. This is 42 Brooke McDavid. I'm currently the Acting Counsil 43 Coordination Division Supervisor with OSM. In my regular 44 role, I am the Counsil Coordinator for Eastern Interior 45 and YK-Delta RACs. 46 47 MR. BLIHOVDE: Good morning. I'm Boyd 48 Blihovde and I work for the Fish and Wildlife Service 49 based in Anchorage. 50

1 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Well, it sounds like 2 everybody's introduced themselves it's online, so that moves us into a public comment, non-agenda item, and also the tribal. I'm sorry, I forgot, anybody in the 5 room here that's new from yesterday? 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED: Well, Frank 8 (indiscernible), Sr., Native Village of Koyuk, citizen 9 of Nome. And thank you all, appreciate this. You need 10 pilot bird for your fish spread. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thanks. We got fish, 13 but no crackers. Thanks, Frank. Is there anybody else, 14 I don't see anybody else in the crowd here. Thank you. 15 16 The Vice Chair says Raymond has to give 17 a speech today cause he wasn't here. Okay, so are there 18 any tribal comments? 19 20 (No comment) 21 22 I need to turn this off. Seeing none, 23 hearing none. We'll move on to the next part of our 24 agenda here. And that's under 13 of the reports. There's 25 a limit of 15 minutes for these reports, unless otherwise approved in advance, as it's noted here. So, under item 26 27 A, tribal governments, are there any tribal governments 28 online or -- I'll start with online first. 29 30 (No response) 31 32 Hearing none. Ask in the room. 33 34 (No response) 35 36 No one in the room. That moves us on to 37 item B, Native organizations online? 38 39 (No response) 40 41 Hearing none online. Anybody in the 42 room? 43 44 (No response) 45 46 Hearing and seeing none. We'll move on 47 to the National Park Service, under item C. I think we have some action there. And here she is. She has the 48 49 floor. 50

1 MS. PATTON: Good morning, Mr. Chair and 2 members of the Council. Good to see everyone again this morning. For the record, Eva Patton with the National Park Service Subsistence Program, the regional office 5 in Anchorage. I'm happy to be here in Nome. My report 6 is super quick, an update on the National Park Service's Wildlife Rule. So, we come before you last year with a proposed Wildlife Rule that was seeking to restrict 8 certain practices under State hunting regulations on 10 national preserves in Alaska. Two of those were passed, one has no bearing on Bering Land Bridge, and that is 11 the prohibition of bear baiting. So, that's not in 12 13 regulation -- State regulations for this region. But 14 that was prohibited in National Park Service 15 regulations, some other parks within Alaska are preserves within Alaska. There were concerns about 16 public safety with bear baiting, with bears getting 17 18 habituated to human food or defending bear bait 19 stations. So, that is now prohibited under National Park 20 Service regulations on National Preserves in Alaska for 21 State hunting regulations. The second part of the 22 proposed Wildlife Rule that did pass was just a 23 clarification of trapping regulations to clarify that a 24 firearm can indeed be used for safe and quick killing 25 of an animal that is in a trap or has become unanchored 26 from a trap -- is still in the trap, but become 27 unanchored or has escaped from the trap and is injured. 28 So, it just clarifies that indeed a firearm can be used 29 under a trapping license to kill an animal quickly and 30 safely. And those were the only two elements of the proposed rule that were enacted. So, if there's any 31 32 questions, I'd be happy to..... 33 34 MS. FREYTAG: Mr. Chair, this is Mary 35 Freytag. Just for reference, it's on page 117, what she's 36 talking about. 37 38 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Any Council members 39 have any questions? 40

41

(No response)

42 43

I don't see any or hear any. Eva, thank you for your presentation.

44 4.5 46

47

MS. PATTON: Thanks, and then you will have the actual Bering Land Bridge folks for National Park Service report. Thanks.

48 49 50

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you.

MS. HUGHES: Good morning.

3

4 5

6 7

> 8 9

10

11

Hughes. I'm Acting Integrated Resource Program Manager, generally wildlife biologist for Bering Land Bridge National Preserve, based here in Nome. Then our superintendent Jeanette is on the call. I guess I'll pull up the supplemental material that's in your -- it's gonna be in your supplemental booklet and on page 16. If it is okay with you, Chair, to have the Fish and Game

12 13 14 counterpart come up. So, we can give you a guick briefing 15 on Seward Peninsula muskox.

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

30 31 32

33 34

mean?

35 36

41

42

43 44 45

46 47

48 49 50

being stable. We also -- the survey was -- in addition

MS. HUGHES: So, this past spring, Fish and Game, National Park Service, BLM and Fish and Wildlife Service completed the Seward Peninsula Muskox Population Survey. And this is hot off the press so, you know, we just got this a few days ago, I believe. And so, for the population estimate for the entire Seward Peninsula and the midpoint being 1,900 animals, well, 1,932, to be exact, is the midpoint. CHAIRPERSON GREEN: What does midpoint

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Good morning, Letty.

MS. HUGHES: For the record, I'm Letty

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Sure. Thank you.

Henslee with Fish and Game, wildlife area biologist.

MS. HENSLEE: Good morning. This is Sara

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Good morning, Sara.

MS. HENSLEE: Through the Chair, this is

Sara Henslee. It just is the point estimate. So, how many muskox we think are in this population. So, last time I think our estimate was 2,030 or something like that. Now the estimates gone to 1,930, but at the end of the day we have what are called confidence intervals around that number. And it basically indicates stability since we last counted in 2021. So, yeah, the point

estimates one thing, but we're pretty much assuming the population's been stable.

MS. HUGHES: And to, you know, back that up in terms of, you know, the Seward Peninsula population

to the Seward Peninsula muskox survey was also the sex,

age composition. So, we get, you know, we can determine age class of bulls, cows, short yearlings. And so, for that, you know, the proportion of the sex, age composition results of mature bulls was 19%, which is what it has been, you know, these last three, four or so surveys, you know. It's been stable for a while now. And then the range-wide recruitment of short yearlings, you know, we're talking about short yearlings, about, you know, nine, ten months of age is 18%, I think was just like a very slight bump from past surveys.

11 12

13

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: So, what was the total number, again, for the estimated whole Seward Peninsula.

141516

17

MS. HUGHES: 1,932 with the range of it like what, you know, and Sara was talking about the range being 1,470 to 2,500.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you. So, I guess, do you have more to add to that? So, I remember when the herd was a lot bigger than it is today. So, I just had a question. Is there any determination on what is causing the herd to be continually going down? Is there any.....

252627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah. Mr. Chair, this is Sara again. I think it's an interesting question, and we have a muskox research biologist, Brinn Parr, that's looking into that, and she has been doing or she concluded a seven-year study now looking at neonates. So, newborn muskox calves, she's been collaring them and looking at their survival and what she's found is actually pretty moderate survival. So, originally we were assuming that those calf deaths were what were limiting the population so, preventing it increasing to that 2,000, gosh, I'm trying to think of the number back in the day. It was like, gosh, close to 3,000, wasn't it? So, we thought it was that and it doesn't appear that that's like the main reason. And I guess, I would say if you wanna [sic] hear more about her research, maybe we could invite her to come give a presentation next time, because, yeah, seven years of that calf study, she's been looking, now, she's been collaring yearlings, maybe a year and a half year olds looking at survival from that point on. And we also have been looking at adult survival so, that's muskox cows that are three years and older. We've got decades of studies on that, so. She's kinda [sic] the lead on that, and I think if you're interested to learn more and kind

of explore this, like, why isn't the population increasing, I'd suggest inviting her to the next meeting in April.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Sara. I think that would be a good idea to have that, since there's a seven year on there and of course, we got decades of information, going back to the -- probably the 70s when they were released. I got to watch that happen when I was a kid. So, yeah, I'm interested in finding out why, I don't believe it's just calf survival. You're saying that it's pretty moderate, being that it's kind of in a good range, not jumping out of the box, but still enough to carry on the herd. Okay, thank you.

MS. HENSLEE: Mr. Chair, that is correct.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Mr. Gray.

MR. GRAY: So, I'm a little curious. We've been around this 2,000 mark for a while. How long have we been there?

MS. HENSLEE: Through the Chair. It's been since 2012. That was when we saw that peak of 2,900.....

MR. GRAY: So, 12 years.

MS. HENSLEE: Mhm.

MR. GRAY: And, you know, I just crunched some numbers, and if we have a 19% bull percentage in this herd, that's 366 bulls, and we're killing 50-100 bulls a year. So, we're killing a third of our stock off, and our recruitment is 18%, of which 50% of that I'm assuming is bulls. I just -- in my head something's wrong here, and we need to be cautious. We went through this before and Tony Goran was you guys, the biologist back then. And we had a lot of numbers and animals and all of a sudden, all the bulls were gone and it crashed, and I hate to see that happen again. You know, I think the part for standing up and standing by the permit system -- and I remember a day in this meeting, we argued for hours because the Park wanted to give the State the management of the Federal permits and thank God that didn't happen. You know, it gives us a whole different opportunity in -- and I'll give you an example, the Park, we never had to cut the horns, the State finally passed a rule to come in line with the Park's attitude

1 on not cutting horns. It took a long time. If that had been transferred, those permits, we would've never had this no cutting horns. It would still be there. But anyway, I -- it would be good to -- I would be more 5 inclined to look at some paper, something that kinda 6 reflects what's going on because you know, if it's predators that are -- 18% is a very small recruitment 8 number and it's, you know, you may gain 5 or 10% by 9 eliminating bears or wolves or whatever it is. But 10 anyway, if we could get something in paper that reflects some of this stuff, it'd be awesome. You know, it's --11 12 we're all programmed to go hunt muskox now and I mean, 13 their prized permits and in all of Nome is very 14 competitive after it, in the region. I mean, people out 15 in the villages are competitive. We can't let this 16 resource fall apart on us again. Thank you.

17 18

## CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Councilman Gray. Did you have something to add?

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah, through the Chair. I think you raised some excellent points and I just want to clarify that our harvest rates, we do have a paper. I can print it out, I can email it to you. I'll bring it next time. It was from a Park Service and a Fish and Game collaboration from Tony and our biometrician, or Park Service biometrician, Josh Schmidt, and they worked together to kind of explore what caused that crash back in 2012. And what they came up with basically was they thought that the mature bulls were what were perhaps causing that decline because we were harvesting way too many back in 2012 alongside cows, you know the story. So, now the management objectives are -- we are only trying to harvest 10% of the mature bulls so, we cannot harvest more than 10% of what we believe is available for mature bulls or I believe it's 2% of the population. And we have been harvesting within those, you know, those boundaries for a long time, up until we recently increased the harvest quota in 22C to see, as you remember, we went up to 30 and we've been monitoring the effects of that since, I guess two years now, and one of the things you'll see in this supplement here -- the bull cow ratio is actually -- the number of mature bulls per 100 cows is the metric we kind of look at in addition to that proportion that Letty mentioned. And we've got 46 mature bulls per 100 cows. Our management objective is 40. So, basically that means we're doing really well as far as like the mature bulls that are available. So, I interpret that as the harvest rates are doing well. We're doing okay as far as what we're harvesting, and

we are harvesting kind of what's available. So, just wanted to expand on that. I'll bring that paper about the mature bulls next time, Brinn will give a presentation, she's been collecting all these survival rates and the recruitment rates she's been finding in the last couple of years. The survival has been as high as, like, 60% of those young calves, which is incredible. So, really, we're used to seeing, like, with the moose, different story, the survival rate of those calves is like 18%, whereas muskox are surviving at 60%, which basically to me that says that perhaps there's something else going on with the survival of those older classes and that's what Brinn looking into now. So, I'll let her know to come to the next meeting, and we'll try to make a point to get that on the agenda next time. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Sara. So, the harvest rate is just like -- just a -- just below what's coming back every year. So, when does management consider bringing the harvest rate down?

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah, through the Chair. We -- as we monitor all of these metrics that we've got and I think something that would make me want to reconsider the harvest rate is seeing a drop in that mature bull to cow ratio. So, like I said our objectives is 40 per 100, but we've got 46 per 100. So, to me, I'm not really seeing a need to drop that harvest rate at this time. And then, yeah, even the short yearlings -- 43 short yearlings per 100 cows, these things are just kind of collectively telling me that the population is doing well, so.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. Thank you, Sara. Hearing the increase in the 22C, what prompted the increase? The availability or political pressure or...? Go ahead.

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah. Through the a combination of both overwhelming demand from the local Advisory Committee to see more increased harvest near Nome was the impetus behind that and politics was the major thing, but biologically it was there and it was available. So, we allow up to 10 cows to be harvested from 22C now. And yeah, we increased the rates for mature bulls and I won't get too much into subunit specific metrics, but the mature bull to cow ratio in 22C when we did this composition survey was 55 mature bulls per 100 cows. So, and even in the last survey it was high as well. So, there was definitely additional bulls

available for harvest and this was kind of an experimental thing for us to see if we do harvest this kinda local increased rate, what it would do to the population. And so far it kinda seems like it's been okay.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. Thank you for that, Sara. I know I'm asking a lot of questions. There's — there was talk with Tony — I know that he considered that the bear pressure, the predation pressure was so much that was pushing animals towards town. So, what have we done in the State side to back that pressure off? There's — I'm a hunter, so, anyway, there's bears, there's wolves in there in the area. So, what is the State done about that? Just trying to catch up.

15 16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2526

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah. Through the Chair. I think we -- we've learned a lot more about the things that are moving muskox around. And again, Brinn, I really encourage us to try to get her here to the next  $\operatorname{RAC}$ meeting because she has studied this extensively and we're putting GPS collars on muskox now. So, every day we get a point of where they are. So, what we're finding is these muskox, they don't really just come into town and stay like a lot of folks used to think like, these muskox aren't coming into town in the summer, staying all summer long because of bears, perhaps, which is what kind of Tony and my predecessors kind of thought before. And Bill, when he was the area biologist, a lot of work he did was observing and seeing bears were pushing muskox into town. So, that was one of the theories, but now it seems like with these GPS collars, we're seeing the muskox come into town and then leave sometimes. They just kinda seems like there's three different groups where they're coming and going, like it's a revolving door where it's not the same individual. Perhaps from day to day, week to week, these muskox come, they go. And just exploring why that's happening has been Brinn's focus of her research with these GPS collars and it's been pretty interesting, these muskox, they might come and stay for a day, they might come and stay for a week, or they're just passing through. But the ones you see in your back yard are maybe not the same that you saw a few days earlier.

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: That's interesting, I was wondering about that. I know we only have so many around us in the local area. So, going back to the political side of things, I know that at one point in time, there was one that was a defense of life and

property taken in the (indiscernible) area about two weeks before that. That's probably the same one I was beating away from my dogs with a stick. So, it was handled one way, and then two weeks later it was handled 5 with the final end. Then we had this young man get gored 6 to death so, I see the political side of things. What I'm worried about is herd health and when you remove the 8 leaders out of there, there's, you know, you're taking bulls out. So, what have you figured on when bulls are 10 removed from the herd, does that tend to create this wandering in through the communities? I mean, are we 11 12 having young leaders so to speak or do you have any kind 13 of a concept there on that? Any thoughts?

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah, sure. Through the Chair. I think there are so many mature bulls that I've seen in groups, at least in the springtime. Like you'll see bachelor groups, I'm sure you've seen out in the in the winter in April, where there's only mature bulls. So, to me, I think there's plenty of mature bulls. Usually in these groups I see multiple mature bulls. So, that to me says if we remove one, there's still plenty to take the place of that one that was removed. But most of the harvest is happening in the winter months anyway, when there's not necessarily that movement into town. And I can't say that I believe the mature bulls are what's leading them in, I think it's a mixed bag of, it could be mature cows, the calves kind of straying and the herd following them, mature bulls maybe. But we don't know enough about who's kinda leading the cavalry there in the summer months to say. But from what I've seen, it's kind of a mixed bag of whatever muskox kinda gets a wild hair and they all follow. So, yeah.

33 34 35

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. Thank you, Sara. Councilman Gray, I think you were.....

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. GRAY: Tom Gray. You know I've been hunting these things for -- since the beginning of the hunt, and I've seen the good, bad, and ugly. I mean, I -- one time I left here to go hunt animals by Crater Creek, and they had wiped out the whole herd and the word was go to Golden Gate. So, I went to Golden Gate and we were shooting cows and bulls at that time. I went to Golden Gate and the whole herd had been wiped out. I went to Kuzitrin and we got our three bulls, but you know, we're a killing machine. We're just as bad as bears and whatever. The concern that I have is, you know, I've hunted a lot, I'm a hunting guide, I've seen a lot of animals. White Mountain -- I hang out in White

1 Mountain and people are hunting and I get word, yeah, there's two bulls down there in that herd, let's go get mine. And by the time it's over with, there's no bulls left, adult bulls. And I've seen that time and time 5 again where the adult bulls are wiped out. And maybe 6 this is part of the problem, you know, we all assume, yep, bulls get kicked out of the herd, and there's 8 satellite bulls that will move in and take over. But is 9 that reality? I just -- I -- you know, I think we need to know, yes, that is -- it works. But what I've seen, 10 I -- there's a gray area here that needs to be worked 11 12 on or -- and the reason I bring this out is we're at a standstill. I mean, we've been 2,000 animals since 13 14 whenever there's -- it's probably a barrage of a bunch of reasons for that being. And it's already been proven 15 16 that if you take the bulls out of the herd, you got --17 a lot of damage gonna happen. So, anyway, I'm trying to 18 plant seeds and see where it goes.

19 20

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Tom.

21 22

MS. FREYTAG: Mr. Chairman, this is Mary

Freytag.

232425

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Mary, go ahead.

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

MS. FREYTAG: Yes. Just based on the population surveys that you do, I see every two years, is that correct? Or is it every year? The data here just shows every two years on the graph. Is that based -these numbers are based on the population survey? Okay. And then from the population survey the State issues the license to the residents that apply for these hunts. Based on the population, is there like a scientific formula that you guys use to determine the number of license that you issue? Like he was saying, even in a decline, you're still handing out license to go hunt, and then that was my first question. And then my other question would be Leo, he mentioned the predators. I mean, we as human are predators for the muskox, and so are the wolves, and so are the bears. Have you guys looked at their population because they do impact the population of the muskox. Thank you.

43 44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. HUGHES: Yeah. Through the Chair to member Mary Freytag. So, you are correct so, in you know, you're looking here at from 2012 to 2024, the surveys are, you know, about every two years, sometimes three years depending of weather or stuff, you know, hinders it. So, the second question you were asking is

like, you know, how are the number of permits, you know, issued? So, that's -- so they are actually the Federal permits that BLM, National Park Service hand out and then the tier two permits of the State. That is a you know, it's a dual, you know, quota. So, we all work together that it's just one, you know, it's a quota for everything that we all just kinda divvied out, that we talk about. So, these surveys that we get for sex, age, composition, population survey, you know, that's, you know, where we look at the, you know, 2% of the overall herd that Sara has mentioned, 10% of the mature bulls. So, that's how you know, it's determined how many permits that we're actually gonna be handing out, we look at that harvest bull surplus, how many animals are available. And then your third question that you had asked about looking at population of like predators. So, National Park Service and Fish and Game and BLM have conducted a couple brown bear surveys out here on the Seward Peninsula. The last one was, I think 2021 and compared that to 20 -- boy, I think it was like 2016, was it 15? So, enough time starting to pass, 2015. And comparing that to the 2021 survey and the results that they showed, it was at, you know, the brown bear population was stable. Now, I know there's lots of opinions on that, but that is, you know, what we've looked at, in terms of brown bears out here on the Seward Peninsula.

MS. FREYTAG: Any surveys on the wolves?

MS. HUGHES: Through the Chair to member Mary, not through the Park Service side at this point.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Mary, for the questions. And move on over to Councilman Seetot, go ahead.

MR. SEETOT: (In Native) Elmer Seetot, Brevig Mission. Alaska Department of Fish and Game has management over muskox and most of the time we just talk about harvest take and regulatory stuff. Has top management in ADF&G have a policy on muskox that come into town and then be in and around human population because they had some gorings [sic] over the past years. I'm not too sure if you guys -- I do have policies, do not harass the animals, period. What is that -- what their -- what any wildlife harvest, do not harass animals. You go walk to the places, I think that you -- Nome has a wide variety of people, some are hunters, and many, I think are, you know, photographers, you know,

nature lovers. But in our communities, for any animal 1 to become used to our area, our elders used to say that, remember, you're the top predator. Top predator of all the animals you can think, you can do whatever. But always remember who you are and then these -- the 5 6 gentleman over here, we're talking about removal of mature bulls from the herd. I think with any herd, the 8 caribou, the reindeer, the marine mammals, you know, 9 they have hierarchy that they kind of go to. Right now, 10 Mr. Chair is our, you know, top leader in our group. So, that's what I would kinda compare it to. And then I'm 11 12 not really too sure, state of Alaska does not have 13 anything about harassing the animals. Only way to let 14 them know that you're top king is get them off there -get them off your property. Even though we know that 15 16 they like certain plants in certain times of the spring or certain times of the season, that's what they kind 17 18 of go for. There -- no smelling is, you know, with all 19 the ungulates, their smelling is a lot better than we 20 do, you know, ours. So, they know where the food is at, 21 they pretty much go with the seasons. And then to think 22 that, you know, they just have only one source of food, 23 the lichen, I don't think so. I see them throughout my 24 range or I seen caribou having berries, maybe they taste 25 good, you know. You know, they have all different kinds 26 of plants to promote their growth in each part of their 27 season. So, if ADF&G or whoever's in charge of management 28 of animals would go out into the communities and say we 29 do have a problem animal. What do we do? What do we do 30 with it? I think ADF&G, and those in charge need to be 31 more proactive in scaring away the animals instead of bowing into peer pressure. Oh, don't bother them, we're 32 33 animal lovers. You know, each of them have their own 34 place. We praise our ushak, our walrus, fermented walrus 35 that we had dug pits to put our koukan, our meat in last 36 spring.

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

One bear so far, I think it's a yearling that -- we do not pretty much care about grizzlies or bears in our area. We just talk about them. How many tickets have ADF&G have seen from the village of Brevig? Very few. Teller, maybe. I think that same bear, I have a walrus pit that is outside the airport. I was excited, oh, the bear just dug out my pit, took out a slab, and I mentioned that to the other person's pit. They said, oh, they already dug mine three times. On the other side of the creek, maybe that bear went to the person's larder and got maybe about seven or eight times he's been there. So, we're not really proactive about bear harvest in our area because we're not very accustomed to, you know,

having either, like, fat up Kotzebue area or bear steaks when I was growing up, that went by in the way of microwaves and freezer food, you know. But the situation with these predators is that they're out there right now -- our committee members went out to a well known place, and they said, poor wolf tracks and two wolverine tracks so far. They talk about wildlife resources, birds, shearwaters, stuff like that. I seen them, like a gentleman said yesterday that, you know, they're dying from starvation, and they are. You can see that in the way that the birds act, the way they are not really flying around away from you. So, everything that we try to deal with, oh, I think we need to put it out that AF [sic] or the managing agents need to be more proactive in trying to deter muskox from you know, another tragedy. I know what an encounter with muskox will do. My hair went up, I was right there, he was ready to get me. I just took off, took a quick about face and got out of there and ran to the boat. Where is that muskox now? So, they're dangerous, they're natural. You don't -- you seen people, you know, try to get within close range you know, taking pictures, stuff like that. Leave nature to naturing, but all these gorings [sic] that you talk about or that a person Nome Nugget it's happening. But I think the managing agencies need to be more assertive in how they deter these animals from coming in.

 They -- I think they have to kinda tweak their own regulations in order for man to be in above all creatures, not the creatures. Like we're supposed to be in charge of the animals, not the animals in charge of us. So, we got the capability to think the right way instead of trying -- oh, our hundred year old regulations said do not disturb the animals. This is contrary to what was taught to us at a young age. If you want to be a successful hunter, respect the animal, respect the environment, but always know -- let them know your top predator or else they will recognize your weakness. I've seen that with marine mammals, I've seen that with walrus. When you're -- they smell this -- they smell the fear factor from you. I know they'll go after you, period. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Elmer. Mr. \\ \text{Moses, Council Moses.}$ 

MR. MOSES: Robert Moses. I had a question. Are you saying that decline in the young muskox a few years ago I read in the Nome Nugget, maybe it was your guys' study, for you guys radio collared them and

1 you guys found the dead ones on the ridge. That was pretty interesting. And maybe during that time, too, maybe the weather played a big factor in that part, during those years where the muskox crashed, maybe. 5 Cause a few years ago in our region Golovin, White 6 Mountain we got a lot of rain and there was like that much water in the river going to White Mountain. We had 8 to drive through from Golovin to get to White Mountain, 9 had to go through that much water on the ice to get to 10 White Mountain. And another question I had was during your lottery muskox where you draw people to hunt, is 11 12 it only for the people in our region or are other people 13 like from Anchorage or Kotzebue or Bethel area coming 14 here to muskox hunt?

1516

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thanks for the question, Mr. Moses. Go ahead, Letty.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. HUGHES: Through the Chair to Robert Moses. So, for Federal permits, it is, you know, the Federal public lands are closed to non-federally qualified users. So, all of you sitting here at the table are federally qualified users. And so, would be able to apply for Park Service or a BLM permit and then I'll let Sara answer for the State side.

252627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah. Through the Chair, this is Sara. The State permits are through what's called the Tier 2 system, which is pretty much restricted to just subsistence use only. So, without getting into the boring details of how the scoring works, our permits end up going to all basically Unit 22 residents. So, on that note, we do have an application period starting on November 1st. So, if you're interested or you know, folks in Golovin that might be, I'd encourage them to call us or apply online. You could do mail in permits, too. We'll send flyers out to the villages, and we try to visit as many villages as we can during that November 1st through December 16th period. But yeah, come find myself or Alicia and we'll write your number down or anyone that's interested, and we'll call you and get the application squared away. Usually, the folks in the villages that apply, I've seen they end up getting permits, kind of what sets you apart from others is how many days you've spent hunting or fishing in an area. So, as long as you've gone out for 30 plus days, which seems to be the majority of folks in the villages, chances are pretty good of getting a permit.

MS. FREYTAG: Mr. Chair, this is Mary Freytag. Based on the amount of applicants that do apply for these permits -- muskox permit to hunt them. I know that because my oldest daughter many decades ago applied and was given a muskox hunt. But the -- do you guys do, like, a lottery? You pick out of the hat all of the ones that qualify that applied for these permits. Are you guys picking out of a hat, or is there a point system that you guys rate?

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah, through the Chair. So, on the Federal side, it's a pulling out of a hat according to the local residents that apply, and for the State side it is more of a scored system. So, it depends on what -- where you live. So, for example like, Unalakleet is gonna score higher on what we score for cost of gas and food for an area rather than someone in Nome. So, I'm just talking about Unalakleet muskox permits right now. They will score -- Unalakleet residents will score higher there and then it'll also give you points for how many days you spent hunting and fishing in an area. So, the highest you can get for that score is 70 plus days -- is the highest point system. And then if someone in your household is also applied for the hunt, they get points as well, and you get points for how many years you've applied for that permit. So, ours you put in all these numbers. It's a quick application. Usually, it takes five minutes to complete and Fish and Game is available to help anyone who's trying to apply for the first time or just wants help with getting -- if they haven't gotten a permit and they don't know why, we can help with all of that. And at the end of your application, we will get scores according to like who's got the highest score and we'll issue permits accordingly to the highest score per area. So, if that makes sense.

MS. FREYTAG: Yes, that does actually. So, there's a twofold there where you pick out of a hat and then you distribute the harvest tickets for the ones that -- with the most points. So, okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you for the question. I think we got Martin. Did you want to speak too Tommy in a minute?

(Simultaneous speech)

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: You're first.

MR. AUKONGAK: Oh! So, Martin from Golovin. So, we're playing basketball with every village, basically?

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah, through the Chair. So no and yes, I guess. So, it's according to the Unit that you're applying for the permit in, right. So, for you, you're applying for the TX105 permit, that's for Golovin, White Mountain, Koyuk, Elim area. So, you are playing basketball with Koyuk, Golovin, Elim, White Mountain, and basically everybody's gonna score the same for the food and gas cost for those villages. But what sets folks apart is how much time they spent hunting and fishing and how many years they've applied for the permit or you also get points the same -- tou either apply for the permit or you eat muskox meat also counts. So, that's what sets folks apart. So, yeah, I encourage if you wanna apply, you should absolutely call us and we can walk you through the process, and I -- at least in my experience, I think a lot of folks are claiming as many points as they deserve. So, when they call us and we go through the application, usually they're like, oh, I didn't realize I do get 70 plus days and I haven't been claiming three years of application time, even though I was eating muskox meat at potlucks and things like that. So, I'd encourage you to reach out to Fish and Game. We'd love to help folks get the applications figured out so.

MR. AUKONGAK: And until the herd crash or get better, then you guys change your basketball game. Is that what you're saying?

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah. Through the Chair. The harvestable surplus would have to go up to 100 muskox to go to the Tier 1 system. And that's getting back into the -- what Tom was describing, is like everybody going out on January 1st and you just line up and shoot muskox like -- not that that's what would happen again, but that's what did happen historically. So, that would be Tier 1, and we're in Tier 2 right now. So, right now the harvestable surplus for our area is 60 overall, it's gonna [sic] take a whole lot to get to that 100, and I don't see it in the future. And then our next survey is planned for 2028. So, for the time being, this is what we've got.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you for your questions, Martin. Thank you, Sara. Mr. Gray.

1 MR. GRAY: So, sometimes I read things a 2 little different and this is for your person that's gonna come in and talk about the muskox at the next meeting or whatever. I hear status quo, status quo, we're at 5 2,000 animals status quo in, you know, I guess in my mind, there is no status quo. 2,000 animals at one point, we were in a decline, we're still in a decline. I -- you 8 know, status quo is -- makes things all cushy and warm, 9 and all that. But if you look at the Seward Peninsula, 10 you can probably put 50,000 animals on there. And, you know, I know we can put a lot of reindeer on there, and 11 12 we're kinda in the same game in a sense. But, you know, 13 the challenge I'm throwing out is, no, we're not in 14 status quo, we're in decline and that's why we have a 15 Tier 2 system. That's why things are the way they are. 16 And how can we work on making this better? You know, I struggle with, we just leave it up to the elements, 17 18 we'll leave it up to the wolves, and the bears, and the 19 whatevers [sic] and roll the dice and whatever it is we 20 go on in life. Well, you know it -- there's times that 21 -- and there's times you have to manage the players in 22 there. And I understand you've done everything you can 23 to take the predators out of the system. But, you know, I threw out the idea of the bulls, I mean, we can have 24 25 a moratorium if that's what it takes to help the animals 26 increase, whatever it is. You know, I think something 27 different needs to be in the game plan sort of speak. 28 But again, my feeling is we're not in status quo, we're in a decline and we've had 3,000 animals before. There's 29 30 no reason we can't get back there.

31 32 33

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you for your comments, Mr. Gray. Do you have anything, Sara? Okay. Elmer is.....

343536

(Simultaneous speech)

37 38

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: I was gonna let her respond first. Yeah.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. HENSLEE: Okay, through the Chair. Yeah, I don't really like status quo either. I think it implies that we, you know, get this number and hang our hats up and don't think about it again for another year or several years. And I -- we are doing a whole lot outside of these surveys. It just -- it doesn't end with the spring surveys we do once every few years. And I really wish Brinn could talk before all of you and present all of the research that's going on. And she was hired with the intent to help us figure out what's going

9

on with the muskox, because it's been 14 years, 12 years 1 at this point, and we've seen no change in our population, even though we're harvesting at this 2% of the population, 10% of the mature bulls, it's -- so why 5 isn't it working? Like we have a lot of questions right 6 now as -- and you know, hunters want muskox, like you said, like why are we harvesting so few if it's not changing the population? And she's got a lot of projects up in the air, and she's been finishing up the calf 10 project and finding a lot of interesting things, and it -- just the numbers don't really match with what we're 11 12 seeing in the population data. So, I think it's really 13 interesting to kinda delve into that more with like, our 14 survival is pretty good, the adults usually with adult 15 females, anything below 80% survival, you're looking at 16 a decline in the population and she's finding 90% survival and up which is incredible. You really want 17 18 your adult females to be doing well and they seem to be, 19 and our calves seem to be doing well. So, perplexing, it's kind of a contradictory information 20 21 there to see our survival data of her collars doing as 22 well as they are. But yet the population seems to be 23 stable, and it raises a lot of questions about things 24 such as like, are they moving out of the area? As we 25 keep seeing the muskox that are moving towards Unalakleet now we have that hunt open in that area. We 26 27 keep expanding our survey area. It used to end at Koyuk 28 basically, and now we're going all the way down to --29 gosh, we're almost near the Golsovia now with how far 30 south we're on and we're finding muskox there. So, I 31 think with this population data, it doesn't end there, 32 there's a lot of research we've got going on, and it 33 seems like we keep getting new questions every day. So, 34 yeah, again, I hope Brinn can come talk to you next time 35 because it seems like everybody has a lot of really good 36 questions that she could answer.

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Sara. We -- at one point in time, we had a muskox expert sitting at this table named Tim Smith, and one of the things that I remember him talking to me about was the health of the herd. And there was the 70s transplant original, and then I think it was in the early 80s there was a second, he said, when that second batch came in here, it really pumped up the herd. So, here we are. Tommy is tired of status quo and so am I. Not throwing any nasties at anybody, but, you know, as transplants, any -- is that even in the air with the Department or the Parks? I mean, why not? It worked. You said that everything's kinda moved that way, and you're going all the way to

Golsovia. So, you got critters going and moving on their 1 own. Why? We don't know, maybe predation. I don't know if it's -- I don't think it's food, because I think that they had a excellent abundance amount of food in the 5 range that -- when they started here on this part of the 6 country here. They're migrating the other way. Why not put some more in this area here and see what they do? 8 Instead of waiting for something to change, make the 9 change. It's already done -- it's been done twice now. 10 They were here, they weren't here, they were planted here, they were -- there was an additional transplant 11 12 here that seemed to work really well. Why not look into 13 that again to build the herd back up?

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

What's interesting is that he, Tim Smith, had a master's degree in game biology, and he learned how to run a hatchery through, you know, working with Fish and Game. Did a lot of extensive bear tags. People didn't know a lot of what he did, he did quite a bit of stuff. So, when he talked about the muskox herd, it kind of, you know, that kind of comes back to me like, shouldn't we look into that if we're not seeing anything indicating there's a reason why they're going down? How about putting some more new animals in the system to see what that does? And I'll go back to what he told me about the Lower Yukon. He tried to get Fish and Game to transplant moose down there. He said it was excellent habitat. Excellent. Guess what? Louie, the moose did it all by themselves, I tried to get Fish and Game to do this. And I've flown over there in 2012, I know what was happening over there then. Now you're trying to get you to shoot three, you know, for a hunter, he was right. So, I'm going back on his expertise of the muskox. Shouldn't we think something like on those lines, maybe what he said to me makes sense. Maybe we should be trying something like that to build a herd back instead of waiting to see what happens. And so, I thought I'd bring that to the table. I know Elmer has something. I'm -- I guess the question is, and I -seems like you added it, you know, answered it by saying there's no talk of transplants. So, thank you. And Elmer, go ahead.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. SEETOT: Elmer from Brevig Mission. These two gentlemen on the left talk about decline in muskox. I have a different point of view, I live close to the village, I've been raised from diapers on up, cotton diapers to in fact, all the way up and I seen all kinds of activities that were even told to me that I experienced, that I witnessed. Muskox were transplanted

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

or they were offloaded at Port Clarence, Coast Guard Station there and then the people with snowmachine they transplanted the -- I mean, they brought the first muskox west of California Creek. And that was a -- being a youngster, I didn't know, you know, state of Alaska did that without our -- without consulting. I'm not talking about the present managers, but during their time, they were pretty strict when State, you know, when Alaska became a State because the biologists at that time were trying to go -- do what their regulations. And I keep hearing that question, why? Why? Come to the villages and ask these people why? Why are they declining? They got eyes, they got information right out front -- right out their front door.

141516

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

Mr. Green, our Chairman, said that they're on decline. They -- everything moves forever. They don't just stay in one place. If you get harassed in one place, you're not gonna stay there. You can move out there because of the bear and the wolf predation up and around our area. I seen them -- I seen dozens of moose kill just by a pack of roaming wolves, just by following their tracks. So, they're kinda destructive in that way, other than that, you know, they have -they need to eat. And Mr. Moses here said that ground saturation from water, that changes the feeding patterns of the animals. If you -- we -- I know we don't like wet sandwiches, you know, we try get [sic] the best of food that is available during the time of the season. That's what these animals are doing. When they drop them off, that area doesn't have all the food necessary for them to go through their life cycle. They don't just eat in one place and have, well, lichen all day, I mean, all year. They have to have other nutrients in order for them to be able to build to a, you know, good herd. And like Mr. Gray was saying about the removal of bulls, I think those are the driving factors, you know, in every species because with big herds -- at least with marine mammals, I don't know of -- if any of you have heard this, but I heard from an elder saying with a walrus with big herd, they have a ice master or something like a large shell that barks like a dog. I never heard of that, but you know, these things happen that you -- we need to be aware of and some of the things that they tell me, you know, it's surprising. But from my point of view, I don't think they're declining, they're just moving, moving on to better pastures away from predation, and maybe human interaction. Why -- I seen the natural side of the muskox so, I wouldn't -- kinda welcome them back. We talk trash about them, they eat

our sourdock, they eat our plants. But the thing is, the more you use the resources, the more it will be for you, that's TEK. It's not in your computers, but some something that we carry along. And it's not only for my region, I heard from another region, in a different way, but the same thing that was passed on. And for you to answer your questions of why, try to talk to some of the hunters, try to talk to some of the elders, they know what's in there. I don't know even know how to operate a computer. I say, help me -- my son, help me with my phone. Oh, I know how to call that pretty much basic. But to get into something so complex, you know, as to how the animals react, you just kinda pretty much say, oh, this is what I would do if I was kicked out. So, interaction between animals and I mean, the prey and the predator, you know, they have an instinct to survive, they know what to do. And here we talk about these animals to death and then that (indiscernible) more you talk about animals, the more they won't be there for you in that sense. So, that's okay. 

I tried to speak carefully, but sometime my words just kinda explode in a way that it's not being nice to anyone, but for you to be a successful animal - respect the animal and respect the environment, and whatever you're trying to get. But like Mr. Green was saying most -- or -- and Mr. Gray was saying, we've been talking about these issues for a long, long time. Pretty soon we're gonna have a little, you know, little cloud burst every time we talk about it. But I need to get action done, you know, quit asking the question, why? Go to the root of the problem and we can move on. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Councilman Seetot. Anyone else? Mary.

MS. FREYTAG: Mary Freytag, Unalakleet. Just a suggestion, maybe to the muskox lady. We humans are the top predator, and then, like, they're talking about the other predators, the wolf packs and the bears. It would be great to see numbers and that sort of thing. How much predation -- results from these other predators? I mean, we as humans were being regulated on when we can go hunt them and how many, because we are a predator. Okay, what about the wolves? And what about the bear? We need to, you know, like he has saying, we all got to be on the same page. So, that was just a suggestion. Thank you.

2

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Mary. We've got Chuck out there. I did have a question about food sources for muskox and reindeer. How similar are they?

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HENSLEE: Through the Chair. We have to get Brinn here next time, but she has the best analogy about how muskox, like everything pizza, were caribou and reindeer, like pepperoni pizza. So, where caribou are very specialist with their lichen and, you know, forbs in the summertime. The muskox are pretty generalist with what they eat, I mean, we'll do browse surveys for moose in the wintertime, and we'll know a muskox tasted these weird musk willows because they'll try to strip the bark off the willows, and it's like a little child trying to eat a willow branch. It's the weirdest thing I've ever seen, but muskox will try anything from my experience, and it seems like they don't have a particular preference necessarily. But again, Brinn is the one that would be able to speak to this best, and I've got this mental list going of the presentation I've gotta give to her and that she can give you guys, which includes the predation causes for like bears, wolves. She's got all of that data, on calves, yearlings, two year olds, three year olds, she's got it all.

262728

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: So, she's jumping, she's jumping up and down, Louis?!

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Yeah so, the reason why I was asking about food sources, I guess I can bring that to her. But you know this -- at one point in time, I wanted to point out that this Seward Peninsula supported 600,000 reindeer when the Lomen Brothers had their thing going on, and there was plenty of food back there for reindeer. So, that brings back to mind that I always hear that muskox are eating reindeer food, and I'm thinking it can't be that bad. People have interactions with them. Like I said, I had an interaction with a muskox in my yard, and the stick was all I needed to get rid of it, but the idea that they're competing for food kinda doesn't sit right. And you just open the page for me that says, Louis, your mother raised goats, they ate plastic bags, they ate flowers in the yard, they ate buds off of cottonwood trees in the springtime. They ate whatever they could eat and of course, I had a younger brother of mine that ate everything the goats ate when he was two or three years old at Pilgrim Springs. That brought my, you know, that picture up there

brought that to mind. So, I don't see the muskox being such a nuisance when it comes to a food source. So, you know, you just turn my light on, they're, you know, they're a giant goat. That's all they are so, thank you for that. And we will get her up to the table when -- but right now, Chuck had something to add there, and thank you. Mr. Menadelokk. Thank you, Sara.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5

6

MR. MENADELOOK: Thank you, Menadelook. I just wanted to say, does public opinion play any factor in your decision? Because I have family in Teller, I have family in Brevig, I have family in Wales, and they all complain about muskox. They all been chased by them, you know. I have a sister that got chased almost ten miles. She was in her car, and that muskox followed her, it maybe not have chased her, but it -she couldn't go picking around those things, you know they're dangerous. I'm just saying I have no problem with, you know, growing the population or doing better than status quo but, you know. People need to go picking, do a lot of them and go picking (indiscernible), you'll see it in August, I've been out in the tundra and the coast all summer long and you see muskox all over, grizzlies too. And before we start thinking about introducing more, maybe we should say, well, whatever they gonna do to ladies picking, you know. One of these days, one of them could get gored, just like that guy that, you know, and he was armed. So, that's just a question I had. Thank you.

293031

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Chuck, for bringing that to the table. I -- it's not that I don't know that I -- for myself and the others here, you know, we all are on that page. It brings to light that something you just made me think about an elder that we had named Jobe (indiscernible). He told me that back in the day that if there was anything that was close to the communities, like bears -- I remember when there was hardly any bears on the Seward Peninsula. I grew up -shoot, I got in a rowboat when I was eight years old and rode up the Kuzitrin River to go hunting by myself. That -- in that day was -- there was no problem. You know, I was gone a longways away from everybody. Today we have the idea that you just brought to the table was the muskox being dangerous and I -- I've dealt with them myself so, I know what you're saying. But what Jobe told me was, they went after whatever it was to remove it from the area. And maybe that's what we need to do. Like Elmer says, we need to move these things, we can go out there and move them, and Fish and Game needs to

understand that if that's what we're doing in our communities, it's because of the safety of our families and animals, whatever that case may be, cause [sic] there's already been that defense of life and property here in Nome. That was a big issue. It got taken care of through the courts. So, how do we manage it? It's a good point, there's two sides to the story.

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

5

6

What this Council does is it's about food resource. So, there -- my thinking is about what to get and make it abundant enough for people to have. Because we're really in a tough position here right now in the Seward Peninsula. We don't have any caribou, we don't have -- our muskox herd is down. These are all our sources of protein. Moose, I mean, we're down to a threeday hunt, my goodness, I'd never thought I'd see that in a day. I mean, I -- before, it use to be months. We had -- we could go out there and select what we wanted, when we wanted, everybody was on step with that. That was a, you know, good time. Area 18 down there, they're experiencing that, they got more than they need. So, they're trying to have people come and get your three, you know, any time. I would like to see a moose -- the abundance of moose be like that. So, then we don't -so much have to have like muskox. But at this point, the reindeer, they're gone. I mean, we're down to nothing, and it costs a lot of money to get out there and do that, and then experiencing what happened in 22C his year with mus [sic] -- with the moose jumping up and, it was a 40? I mean, you're -- that tells me the gas is killing people. They're gonna put gas in their rig or whatever it is and go just a little ways over the hill to go hunting. So, that's what this Council is about, is about subsistence resources and so, I quess that's where I'm thinking. I know the -- what the muskox is like. So, I got people waving at me. Was that you and Tom? Go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  AUKONGAK: So -- Martin. So, what do you pay attention to more, a lot of the right wording or the price of fuel?

41 42 43

44 45 MS. HUGHES: Through the Chair to Council Martin, could you clarify? Are you talking about the scoring process? Okay, I'm gonna let Sara talk to that on the State side.

46 47 48

49

50

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah, through the Chair. Sara, again. They are scored equally. So, price of food and price of gas. You can get a maximum of 30 points for

5

6

8

each. So, they score the same but then the prices will vary by community, but essentially from the scores I've seen, you can get the highest score everywhere except for Nome. Nome is gonna score half as good as Golovin, which is why a Nome resident is gonna have a lot harder time getting a muskox permit in 22B, compared to Golovin who's there, and they would score higher on food and gas, and they'd have a higher score for how long they've hunted to fish that year.

9 10 11

MR. AUKONGAK: Until the Renda comes.

12 13

14

15

16

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: He's talking about the Russian fuel tanker that showed up in 2012, that I went out there and chopped all the ice, all the way out there to -- anyway. A little humor is always good, especially when everybody's like.....

17 18 19

(People laughing)

20 21

Thank you, Martin. Okay. Who's next? Tommy or Elmer?

22 23 24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. GRAY: I want to touch on a couple of things. You know, these guys have brought up the village outlook on muskox and I have to agree. Villagers don't like muskox, they don't want them around their towns. And Nome loves muskox, they have a policy that we want muskox around our towns for the tourism and people to go look at them. So, somehow that needs to be addressed and dealt with. The other side of the coin, you know, we talk about this permit system and hunting and la-ta-da . My son -- I hunted with my son this fall, moose hunting. He never did get a moose. When you go muskox hunting, you might burn five or ten gallons of gas. My son burned on this moose hunt, this last fall 2,000 dollars worth of gas and never got a moose. And the majority of the people in White Mountain that moose hunted burned between 1,000 and 2,000. A lot of people spend a lot of money so, there's gonna be a lot of appeal for this 10 dollar or ten gallon of gas hunt. Just saying, you know, it's -- but the biggest thing is the contrast between Nome and the villages. Villages don't want muskox around their towns. I mean, I saw on Facebook they had a bear at the dump just after this last snow. And you know, White Mountains attitude is, if it's at the dump, we're gonna kill it. And that's the way it should be. I have no problem with that, but I do have a problem with people wounding them and not following up on 'em [sic].

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Gray. That brings to light about what I was mentioning earlier, that Fish and Game and any regulatory system needs to consider that to be, you know, it's our domain. It doesn't belong to the animals. Mr. Seetot talks about what the elders say, I bring to the table what Jobe (indiscernible) talked about. You just -- you have to remove them and there has to be some kind of mechanism that makes it safe for somebody to pull a trigger. And like Tommy says, he doesn't like seeing them wounded, and a lot of people will do that. They'll shoot them in the -- a bear in the stomach just to get it to run and go hide and die somewhere. Well, I'm above that myself, just like he is. Pull the trigger, put it down, and report it. So, like I said, there was at one point in time here with the Northern Norton Sound Advisory Committee back in early 2000s. Elmer asked a question; I was Chairing [sic] the meeting at the time. What's gonna happen if there's too many bears? And my answer was, unfortunately, people are gonna take the law into their own hands, and break the law, and do away with the bears, and we've seen that. So, how do we deal with that through the system? The elders said this way. That's the way most of us think. We understand that cause that's where we've brought up from. What is the department and the Federal Government gonna do about it to make it where we could do something like that and manage our domain. I shouldn't have to get up early in the morning at two o'clock cause my dogs are barking and there's a young bull muskox across the yard, I run down in my slippers and grab a stick to fight off an animal, understanding that animals do come and go. But how do we manage? Elmer talks about the villages, they don't like muskox. Well, rather than calling it harassment, call it herding. We're gonna herd these animals away from the community without any repercussions from the government, protect ourselves. So, I think I have Elmer and then Martin. But before we -- before I wanna move this along, because these ladies have a couple more reports. But go ahead and do what you're gonna do here. We got a couple more reports from you or not? I just wanted to make sure.

43 44 45

46

47

MS. HUGHES: Through the Chair. I just need to mention some IRA funding that's Bering Land Bridge is doing with some of the communities. That's the last -- the only thing I need to mention.

1 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. Thank you, 2 Letty. How about you, Sara, did you have more?

MS. HENSLEE: Fish and Game is on the online agenda, but not the paper one so, I'm not sure that we have time to give a report. If invited, we will, but.....

 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay, thank you for your answer. Yes, and there is an invitation for you to give a report so, all right. I said, Tommy didn't say yet, but I said, we have somebody from the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council Advisory panel also coming up. So, that would be Mellisa Johnson. So, let's go briefly. Elmer.

MR. SEETOT: Elmer from Brevig Mission. I -- you mentioned briefly about the declining numbers of caribou, moose, muskox, stuff like that, and then on the back page of their report, they say they [sic] gonna participate in International Beaver Day, which was held April 7th, 2023. We do have a lot of beaver in the northern Imuruk Basin in Goose Green River, I would think to Pilgrim River. So, that's another food source that we're not very familiar with, but I would assume or think that the -- point National Park Service to that idea that, you know, they would have that Beaver as a mascot, even though it's, you know, it's doing wonders for the waterways. But I don't think, you know, it's something very new for us to see beavers in and around. Now we can't even drink the water, we got to carry our own water, this and that. So, they're -- something new species that you mentioned were declining food sources, we got to start, you know, like the muskox was introduced. They were able to use that. I know interior villagers use beaver, not so much our communities because we do have other land or marine mammals that we depend on. That was what I was commenting on. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Elmer. That brings to mind of a potlatch I went to for the folks in port -- Prince William Sound. There was beaver, moose, and everything, so, I got a -- I'll taste this beaver but I'm a moose guy. When I got done eating, I went back for the beaver, it was that good.

So anyway, and to talk about, reflect back on what Jobe (indiscernible) said. He said that they removed the beaver from the system because they

thought they were competitive -- causing problems for their salmon. And there's an area on the Fish River, I think was the last stand of the beaver, I don't remember the name. So, it actually -- beavers at one point in time were starting to populate, and the people removed them way back when -- I thought when I saw him in the mid-70s down below the Pilgrim Springs down there, I thought, oh good, we'll be able to trap beaver. But now they're everywhere, so. Martin.

 MR. AUKONGAK: Real quick. So, it's 2024 both of you know that there's problem if you -- I go home tomorrow. I gotta get oil for my house, but if there's a muskox outside of my house, I get it. I have no time to call anybody, I wanna keep my home heated. So, on paper, finding a solution, you know, it's Saturday, you don't wanna be bothered. What have we got to put on paper right now or what are we gonna do, you know?

## CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Go ahead, Sara.

MS. HENSLEE: Through the Chair. Yeah, I think one of the themes I've been hearing from a lot of this conversation, is questions about what's called defense of life and property. And I think in your situation, I would get a hold of the VPSO in Golovin. Is it Carlos? No.

Oh, I see what you're saying.....

(Simultaneous speech)

MR. AUKONGAK: I'm just saying, I mean, I'm saying, yeah, I mean, where you got a gun, but like, boom. And then, you know, I'm -- I already got my oil right there. I have no time for anybody.

MS. HENSLEE: Okay.

MR. AUKONGAK: I mean, you're not gonna keep my house heated.

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah, so, that is defense of life and property. So, if a muskox is causing you just imminent threat to your public, or safety, or your property, you are absolutely justified in taking an animal, muskox, bear, moose in defense of life and property. So, yes, absolutely. If an animal is threatening you or your property, damaging property, you

5

8

10

11

can shoot that muskox. So, I was talking with the — where the VPSO comes in is, if after the fact, like if you're wanting to report it and we are on call on the weekends, you call Nome PD, not that that's really available for you, but that's just like reporting it. With DLP regulations, you are required to report as soon as possible, and yeah, the VPSO could help in that situation, but yes, absolutely. If in the moment, if a muskox is, you know, threatening you, attacking property, damaging property, threatening a dog, you, family, you can take that muskox. Keep yourself safe, by all means, so.

12 13 14

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. Is that good?

15 16

17

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  AUKONGAK: Yep, and then you got Facebook, and then you guys didn't hear it all over before even me.

18 19 20

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. Sara, Letty

21 anymore?

222324

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

MS. HUGHES: Through the Chair. I just have one last item that is actually not on this paper, but I'll just verbally mention it. And this was a reminder as it came by way of Janette our superintendent. So, Bering Land Bridge received some, we call it IRA funding, but it's Inflation Reduction Act funding that we receive that's used for subsistence purposes. And so, we have done, like tribal consultation with Shishmaref, Deering, Brevig. We haven't been able to meet with Kotzebue or Wales as of yet, to see like for the subsistence food security funding sources, you know, what would they like to put it towards. So, like Brevig for example, said that they would like some of those subsistence funds to go towards culture camps, like with the youth. You know, that was a big discussion like yesterday. Some of the other ones was like food preservation in terms of maybe not so much drying because the way that our weather has been going into canning. So, having the supplies to, you know, to start doing some of the canning. So, we are actually gearing up to send out tribal consultation letters to communities again. And we're picking the discussions back up then. So, how we can start getting the funding to them for culture camps and food security. Thank you.

46 47 48

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you. Is there any questions for Letty on this from Council?

00033 1 (No response) 2 3 Do we have Council on the phone? 4 5 MS. CHAPA: No. 6 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: No, okay. Thank you, 7 8 Gisela. Well, that sums it up there for you. Thank you, 9 Letty, for your report, and thank you too Sara. 10 11 MS. HENSLEE: Thank you. 13

12

14

15

16 17

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: For answering all the questions, both of you ladies, thanks. We're moving on to -- we have Mellisa Johnson on the line with North Pacific Fisheries Management Council. She's on the Advisory Panel, she has something to report to us. Are you there, Melissa?

18 19 20

MS. JOHNSON: I am, can you hear me okay?

21 22

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: You're coming in five by five. Go ahead, you have the floor.

23 24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50

MS. JOHNSON: Okay. I don't know if you want my video. But first, (In Native) Maktuayak (in Native). So, my Inupiaq name is Maktuayak. My English name is Mellisa Johnson, I'm originally from the community of Nome. I am a Nome Eskimo Community tribal member. I'm also a descendant of Johnson and others, you know, in the region. I work for the Arctic Yukon-Kuskokwim Tribal Consortium as policy director, and I am in my second term on the North Pacific Fishery Management Council Advisory Panel in a regular public holding seat. And I had emailed to your Coordinators yesterday evening or early this morning, combination of the two -- a few items so, I'm not sure if they will hopefully share that information with you. The first thing in the email that I included is the draft, North Pacific Fishery Management Council schedule for February 2025, and this is related to the special meeting related to chum bycatch. And so, the second link that I included was the Council motion that was carried through this past April 2024, and then a Power Point that was presented to the SSC, the Science and Statistical Committee, the Advisory Panel, and to the main Council body on their outreach and engagement. And then the word documents that I included were -- the first one is a one pager and hopefully your staff have access to the document, but it's a one pager to share with your

community members. And I'm not sure the extent of the 1 outreach to, you know, to our home region. Is that this special meeting that's being held here in February, it's scheduled for the 3rd through the 10th at the Egan Center 5 and it's to review the second initial draft of the Chum 6 Bycatch Environmental Impact Statement. And it's a inperson meeting as well as a hybrid opportunity if you're 8 not able to travel in. At our last meeting, we had 9 roughly 100 testifiers, and then last April 2023, we 10 had, I think close to 300. But during this meeting, the Council will focus on reviewing the chum bycatch EIS, 11 12 and it's a crucial document assessing the environmental 13 impact of bycatch management measures. And the Council 14 further develop alternatives and address management strategies. And in still speaking to this one 15 16 pager, I put the meeting dates for the SSC, they are 17 scheduled February 3rd, 4th, and 5th. The advisory panel, we are scheduled for the 4th, 5th and 6th -- 4th, 18 19 5th, 6th, and 7th and then the main Council body is 20 scheduled for February 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th. And I 21 just wanted to put, you know, like in -- cause I'm a 22 visual learner and I do better sharing visually to help 23 members of the public see the overlap between the SSC, 24 the AP and the Council. So, it's hard to, you know, to 25 split us all up and to participate in each area.

26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

And again, the summary of the recent action from the April 2024 motion is that the Council received the initial analysis and modified alternatives. Any additional analysis will be reviewed at this special meeting. A key date to pay attention to is that the updated analysis is expected to be posted by December 20th, 2024, to give members of the public an opportunity to thoroughly review this document prior to the special meeting. The previous document is about 175 pages. So, if you do -- if your community would like to see, you know, like a hard copy, you could probably request it from Council staff or reach out to me. I'll put my email and contact information there. But I think it's important you know, to see the iterations, you know, of the changes that the Council has presented. And again, the Council may identify a preliminary preferred alternative although it's not required. And, you know, I've been listening to your conversation regarding status quo. So, their concept of, you know, they may just stick with their previous, you know, status quo options. But then again, the Council may revise alternatives and or recommend the agency so, NOAA NMFS, to publish the draft Environmental Impact Statement. And the tentative timeline of this after the special meeting

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

in February is they're looking to maybe send out, you 1 know, information in October 2025 or December 2025. And at that time, final action by the Council to publish that the DEIS comment and report and then Council staff 5 that are working on the chum bycatch issue are Kate 6 Haapala and Diana Stram. And again, that's listed on the bottom of that one pager, and then the second attachment 8 that I had included to your staff -- if you look on the 9 Council's website regarding the chum bycatch motion that 10 was passed on April 8th, 2024 under Charlie 2, there are -- any additions are in bold and underline and then 11 12 deletions are in strikeout. So, I took the PDF document 13 and converted it to a word document. The first four 14 pages are all of what the Council had listed under their 15 PDF. I just used, you know, the bold and underline for 16 the additions and then the red for the strikethrough. 17 But then if you go to -- starting on page five of the 18 document that I created this morning, I have it listed 19 on the top heading version, removing deletions. And so, for me as an advisory panel member, it makes it easier 20 21 to follow without all of the, you know, the different 22 strikethroughs going on. And just one final thing in 23 regards to -- where is my other document here? Sorry, I 24 have too many screens or too many things going on here. 25

So, and Mr. Chair and other Council members, you know, I am speaking not on behalf of the Nome Eskimo Community Tribe, nor on behalf of the Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim Tribal Consortium, of which I am employed by. But I am speaking as a -- and definitely not a staff, you know, or representation of the North Pacific Fishery Management Council. I am speaking as a individual tribal member who has participated in this process for about five years. You know, as the salmon -- all the salmon species are important, you know, as a tribal indigenous person. So, just -- and I don't know how much time you all have, but I was just gonna go, just real quickly under the alternatives to that document or its alternatives, one through five, just again, through my understanding and moving forward with the alternatives, how it could impact our tribal sector and also our subsistence users for chum. And so, under alternative one where it says status quo, the -- there's limited progress in reducing bycatch, meaning that our western Alaska chum salmon populations may not see additional protection. Potentially affecting subsistence harvests and cultural practices. Under alternative two, which is the overall bycatch, or the PSC limit for chum salmon. By limiting the total chum bycatch, this alternative could help protect the western

Alaska chum stocks vital to our tribal communities --1 our tribal and subsistence communities, I should reword that. It could provide flexibility with options for setting bycatch limits based on different historical 5 data periods, allowing tailoring of those limits to 6 address conservation needs. And then sector specific caps might reduce bycatch by certain groups. But since 8 bycatch can transfer among sectors, its effectiveness 9 depends on compliance across all participants. Under 10 alternative three, related to the bycatch limit for chum salmon triggered by western Alaska chum abundance index. 11 12 The impact to our subsistence and tribal sector is that 13 this alternative directly ties bycatch limits to local 14 salmon abundance, potentially creating a more responsive 15 management system that aligns with tribal conservation 16 goals. Higher chum salmon population in rivers would 17 result in no bycatch limit, while lower population would 18 lead or could lead to stricter caps. And adaptable approach could enhance protection during low abundance 19 20 years, aligning with tribal and subsistence priorities 21 protect our resource. Under alternative four 22 regarding the additional regulatory requirements for the 23 Incentive Plan Agreements or otherwise known as IPAs. There could be increased transparency through weekly 24 25 reports provided to our Western and Interior Alaska 26 salmon users. And that could help, you know, with better 27 data on bycatch levels, possibly provisions to restrict 28 fishing in high bycatch areas would help address our 29 concerns regarding local depletion of salmon stocks, and 30 regular monitoring and avoidance measures coupled with 31 salmon excluder technology may reduce bycatch and 32 provide protection mechanisms for salmon stocks, 33 significant to tribal communities. And then the fifth 34 alternative related to in-season corridor cap, in-season 35 closures in specific areas could prevent the depletion of salmon stocks in critical migration corridors, 36 37 allowing for more salmon to reach spawning areas in 38 Western Alaska rivers. The corridor-based approach 39 provides a targeted mechanism to protect our specific 40 habitats and fish passages aligning with our subsistence 41 and tribal priorities to ensure salmon survival. And 42 finally, the fifth alternative, how it could impact our 43 user groups is options for portioning caps among sectors 44 ensure that the burden of bycatch reduction is spread 45 across the fishery. And yeah so, there was a couple of 46 other things that I wanted to, you know share, and all 47 of this information is on the Council website and I'm 48 happy to also provide further information via email or 49 phone conversation. But the other part is, The North 50 Pacific Fishery Management Council at our October,

5

8

meeting a couple of weeks ago here in Anchorage, is that the Council was committed to winter 2024 meetings for the Eastern Interior, the Western Interior, and the Yukon Delta RACs. And they may participate in the Kodiak Aleutian RAC. But again, it's dependent on staff -- on Council staff's availability. And there was a invitation from Tanana Chiefs Conference to a fall special convention related to this agenda item. That was sent out to staff to participate, and there's like a whole lot more information related to that. And it's been a continuous work in progress.

11 12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

10

But one of the key areas that I wanted to also share, Mr. Chair and Council members, is that if your community members are not aware of this management process, that it's important that we as tribal and subsistence communities be either engaged in person or if travel is not, you know, like if we're not able to travel, to participate virtually in this decision making and that -- I -- and I don't know if any Council staff are on, you know, on our call today, but or -- and or Council members. But as a -- as an advisory panel member, and then, you know, in my role with AYKTC I really feel like members from the Council body should have been -- should have made time to participate in this meeting as you know, the -- our communities in this region are closest to the Bering Sea when it comes to salmon. And you know, that more emphasis should have been placed on, you know, on the coastal communities as well as, you know, the nursery grounds for our salmon species. And so, with that, you know, I really appreciate you all taking the time to listen. And in the chat, I will put my contact information for my email and my phone number. And if any of your tribal communities there in the Seward Peninsula would like for me to come out and share more information, just send me an email invitation, and I'm happy to work with my leadership on continuing to engage, you know, with our subsistence and tribal communities especially being from and of the region. So, with that Mr. Chair and members of the Council, I'm happy to take any questions.

41 42 43

44 45 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Well, thank you for that, Melissa. I'm looking out here in the -- on -- while I should ask if there's anybody online that would have any questions for Melissa?

46 47 48

(No response)

1 Sounds like there's no one on the line. 2 Anybody in the room here? 3 4 MS. FREYTAG: Mr. Chairman. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay, I forgot to ask 7 this young lady sitting over here so quiet and paying 8 attention. Can you introduce yourself cause you're new 9 in the room, yes, we do that with everybody. You're not 10 the only one. 11 MS. JOHNSON: Good morning, I'm Allison 12 13 Johnson with Nome Eskimo Community. I am the current 14 president, and I am here observing. Thank you. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: And thank you for 17 doing that for us and letting people know who you are, 18 and who you represent. And we have another -- hh, Tom. We got a guy over here just quietly. It's Tom Sparks, 19 20 BLM, Nome. He kind of quietly came in here during all 21 the discussion. Oh, Mr. -- is that Mr. Ashenfelter? 22 Those two guys have been together so long, they kind of 23 blend together and you just never -- thank you. And for 24 the record, Roy, who are you here under? 25 26 MR. ASHENFELTER: I'm just representing 27 myself. Thank you. 28 29 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: All right, thank you. 30 Okay. So, Mary Freytag, I think you had something. 31 32 MS. FREYTAG: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair. 33 This is Mary Freytag, Unalakleet. Just a very quick question. You're talking about the five alternatives at 34 35 addressing the decline of the chum. And I was just curious on how your agency comes up with these 36 37 alternatives and what comprises -- do you guys get all 38 of the information from the other agencies to make a 39 determination on what the alternatives include? Cause 40 it's -- a lot of the alternatives are really good 41 information wise and to address that decline. I guess 42 my question is, who makes the decisions on these 43 alternatives and what they comprise of? Thank you. 44 45 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Mary. 46 47 MS. JOHNSON: Through the Chair, Ms. 48 Freytag, thank you for your question. So, I -- again, I 49 do not work for the North Pacific Fishery Management

Council. I serve as a advisory panel member. But the

components of the motion that were carried through in 1 April 2024. Those figures more than likely came up with a collab [sic] -- from a collaborative effort through the state of Alaska and the National Marine Fisheries 5 Service and probably members of the industry. So, the dynamics between the Advisory Panel and the Council are 6 very unique within all fishery management Councils in 8 the United States. But for this one, the -- any pre-9 conversations that may have had occurred may not have 10 included some of the advisory panel members. So, I'm not entirely sure how to answer your question in full. But 11 12 reaching out to Rachel Baker and John Kurland, you know, those are the two key individuals that have been working 13 14 with our subsistence sector -- subsistence tribal sector. And then, I think -- I see Boyd is on as well, 15 he also sits on the Council, but that having different 16 17 meetings -- and there was also a salmon bycatch committee 18 through the Council where some of these figures may have 19 been, you know, worked on as well as what the Alaska 20 Bycatch Committee -some Salmon of 21 recommendations, you know, from the state of Alaska to 22 this Federal fishery management body. And yeah, in --23 again in that one pager, hopefully your staff are able to print, at least for you all or send via email. Kate 24 25 Haapala is -- and Diana Stram are staff members with the 26 Council, and they can provide more in-depth detail on 27 how those numbers came about. And they can also explain 28 more what they mean, you know, as far as like from a 29 Western side, scientific lens.

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43 MS. FREYTAG: Mr. Chairman, Mary Freytag I just wanted to thank you for all of the information. But I just want to point out a very important suggestion that Councilman Seetot mentioned yesterday about our knowledge as a Council and as residents of our areas, we don't have all of the information down on paper. It's up here and in our hearts. So, I'm really happy to see tribal seat open or tribal seats opening up, you know, to come to the table because it is very important. The locals and the residents of the areas that are impacted by these declines — they have that knowledge, and it's not down on paper. It's up here. So, I really do appreciate all the efforts that you guys are doing. Thank you.

44454647

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Mary. Is there any other Council have any questions of Mellisa? She's easily -- you could reach out to her. She was -- did you give a, like, a phone number, Mellisa, and an email address so people would have that?

2 MS. JOHNSON: Through the Chair, yes sir. I put my email information in the chat and looks like Ana or Anna. I'm not sure how you pronounce your name, 5 but that she will share the documents with you all and 6 Ms. Freytag, I really appreciate your comments. You know, as my -- I was raised a very traditional subsistence lifestyle. My parents -- my grandparents 8 9 were the late Louis Jack and Daisy Noyakuk. They lived 10 there in Nome. My grandfather was originally from Mary's Iglu and my grandmother from Shishmaref, and I also have 11 12 family -- the Johnson family down -- France. The late 13 Francis Johnson was my great, great grandmother -- great 14 grandma from White Mountain so, and then I have, you know, I'm hearing -- it's good to hear Chuck Menadelook's 15 voice there as well. You know, I have also family from 16 17 Wales and Little Diomede. So, and I 100% agree that the 18 knowledge that we carry, we find it hard to justify it 19 because it is not written down in the Western context. 20 And also Ms. Freytag and members of the Council that it 21 kind of ties in, you know, the -- as mentioned yesterday, 22 towards the end of the call during public comment that 23 the North Pacific Fishery Management Council, they do 24 have the nomination period open for the advisory panel 25 and the Science and Statistical Committee open until next Thursday, the 31st, 5:00 pm Alaska time and I highly 26 27 encourage any tribal member, any subsistence user from 28 the region to put their name in. And again, if you have 29 further questions on some of the Council-related 30 processes I can be again reached, you know, via email 31 and my phone number. My email is Mellisa M, E ,L, L, I, 32 S, A, @ B as in Bravo, S as in Sierra, F as in Foxtrot, 33 A as in Alpha, A as in Alpha, K as in Kilo dot O, R, G. 34 And then my phone number is area code (907) 301-5798 and 35 again, I'm happy to come out to your community via email 36 invitation to share anything related to this special 37 meeting and again, thank you for making time in your 38 agenda to be a little bit more informed than what --39 like I said, I don't know what the Council did or didn't 40 do to share of this special meeting. You know, as all 41 of our salmon species are important to us and our way 42 of life. And so, I felt like it was more of a priority 43 to be on this call than a than another call. That I was 44 scheduled for earlier today, you know, to help share 45 with our community members. And especially from, you 46 know, being from the region.

47 48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Mellisa. We really appreciate it. And I think that this Council needs to introduce people such as yourself that are

involved in this process that the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, because that opens the door to -- and people's eyes to what's happening out there on the Federal side of things. Above and beyond 5 what we actually do here. I think it's an important fact 6 that people like you that are serving in the positions you're serving in at that level, to be able to talk to people like us, your relatives, basically. And people 8 9 from your region it's important. So, I heard you 10 mention...

11 12

MS. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair.

13 14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay, go ahead. Sorry, go ahead.

15 16

> MS. JOHNSON: Just, I apologize for interrupting. I just wanted to mention also on the Council website that there's the marine resource education program. They are scheduled to have an inperson training the third week, I think the third week in April in Kodiak. And if you apply, they will cover all of your travel, lodging, you know, and food expenses for that and I participated, you know, as you all were youth. mentioning curriculum development to our highly encourage folks Definitely, I would participate, you know, apply for that training. Hopefully you get in because you get to like -- you may get to go on a vessel, you know, on a trial vessel to actually see what in the world it is, what they do. They are a Fishery Science Center and just learn more about the North Pacific Fishery Management Council process. So, yeah, I just and I'll email your staff that information to share with you. So, I apologize for interrupting, Mr. Chair.

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Nope. The floor was yours. I had plenty of time to talk otherwise, joke, just to share something that's. You know, I like a little humor, a little entertainment during these meetings and because we're all about we buried ourselves in a lot of stuff here this morning. Knowing that we have this day to finish up. But I wanted to share you. You brought up a couple of names, Louis and Daisy Jake, which became Jack along the way. Were very good friends of my grandmother's, Elsie Nelson Ball. She is from the (indiscernible) family. Her grandmother -- her mother excuse me, was from the (indiscernible) family. Born on the banks of the Tubutulik River, during the time the salmon berries were ripe. So, a little trivia history

there. So, I talked about a rowboat ride when I was 1 eight years old. While I was going around the corner, Louis came out of his cabin and saw me fighting my oarlocks. And he, you know, he had this real gruff old 5 voice, you wait there. I'll be right back, you know, and so I sat there. I am going to listen to this guy. He comes back with some pieces of line or rope, and he 8 proceeds to take the old metal oarlocks out, and he does everything with her -- with this rope and ties it. And 10 he said, now there you can't -- they can't fall in the water. You won't lose your oars. And away I went, and I 11 12 remember hearing him chuckle, you know, as I went up the 13 river, I went up, you know, 2 or 3 miles up the river 14 and about my business and so, that was the little story 15 about Louis. The other one is about Daisy and my grandmother, Elsie. And they'd be in the 60s, probably 16 17 mid-60s. There's a guy named Peter Kakarak down at Mary's 18 Igloo. On a spring day he could hear this airplane coming, and he's out walking around in his yard wondering 19 20 where this airplane is coming from. And he can't see it, but he can hear it. So, it took a little bit for those 21 22 -- for this to take place. But the little airplane came 23 around the corner, it was a little red snow traveler and 24 the -- it was my grandmother. And as they came around, 25 she came around the corner. Behind her was a dog team and it was Daisy and they had to go down -- they wanted 26 27 to go visit their neighbor down there. And so those 28 times are pretty cool. And you just brought that back 29 to mind, and I appreciate it Mellisa, thank you for your 30 time here. I think people in the room here get a little 31 bit more knowledge about the process and I appreciate 32 your ability to reach out to us. And of course, it was 33 a no brainer to allow you to speak because people need 34 to hear what's going on at the Federal level. That's 35 what -- that's who we are. And it's about our subsistence 36 and our food security. So, it's really important. And 37 with that, if anybody has any questions of her any more 38 in the public here? I don't see anybody. Anybody on the 39 line?

40 41

(No response)

42 43

No. Not hearing any. Thank you for your time, Mellisa. It's important. Thanks.

44 45 46

MS. JOHNSON: Quyana.

47 48

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Wait a minute.

Somebody is raising their hand. Oh, okay

1 (Pause)

I think we can have this five-minute, ten-minute break right now. That's Tommy Gray calls it, the five minute break is the ten minute break. It is now 11:18. Come back by 23.

(Off record)

(On record)

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay folks. I think everybody's in the room or close to the door on the way in, and we're going to get back on here. Lunch is getting close. See what we can get done before lunch.

(Pause)

Okay, so we're back online here. I don't know what time it is again. 11:30-4. 11:34. I'm going to call Mr. Tom Sparks up for BLM. Okay. This is a little bit out of order, but I think the man needs to have his say.

MR. SPARKS: Get me home for my lunch hour. My wife will appreciate that, Mr. Chair. Yeah. Tom Sparks, I'm with the Bureau of Land Management stationed here in Nome. Been with BLM a couple of decades. Looking at retirement pretty soon so, you may not see my face around much longer, but just wanted to mention a couple things. You know, last meeting, there was some spirited debate about the public land orders, the D1 withdrawals from the Native Claims Settlement Act. So, the Secretary of Interior did the status quo, which I know there was a resolution here from the Board. So, I want to thank you all for that.

So, just want to reemphasize, I was trying to explain it -- a lot of the meetings that I attended that there are areas that are currently open to metalliferous minerals, that's the gold and silver in that type of thing. So, you got to do your homework as far as what areas are open, and that really will not be affected by the Secretary's decision. But there are a lot of acreages involved as far as that PLO. So, the other thing I want to mention, as far as a big departmental things, is there's a new initiative to have a conservation easements available, and that's very new to the Bureau of Land Management. And I think the future is going to have more and more of those. So, stand by

for that. The other thing is the Veterans Native 1 Allotment program. This is the second one that we're involved with now that's going to end next year. So, we've been doing pretty good as far as outreach and 5 getting people to apply that are eligible. But, you know, 6 please pass that word around that it is -- it at daylights, it has an ending point. So, you have to get 8 your application in. Next, this 25 end of 25. So, it's 9 coming up soon. Yep. And then just the muskox we do a 10 small random draw and it's been going pretty good this year. We -- I don't for some reason the numbers were 11 12 quite low. I've been doing this a long time in the office 13 here, and I think maybe there was some confusion because 14 Letty was out of town, and we put a box out in front of 15 my office for the National Park Service because they 16 give out a few tags and her numbers were tremendous. And I think just people were in the post office and just 17 18 kind of threw things in the box and maybe thought it was 19 the BLM one. I'm not too sure. But anyway, we'll continue 20 that, to do that. And I think Bruce Seppi is on the 21 line, too. He's our subsistence biologist so, I want to 22 recognize him. We haven't had too much staff changes. 23 As far as the Anchorage field office, I'm part of the Anchorage field office. Have been for quite a number of 24 25 years. I originally started with the Fairbanks district. 26 But we did hire a recreation planner for the head of our 27 resource branch. Scott Justin is his name. So, other 28 than that, that's probably the only change we've had 29 since the last time we met. So, just wanted to, again 30 be available for any questions that anybody may have. 31 And met your new Coordinator, so.

32 33

MS. CHAPA: I would like to refer to myself as your neighborhood friendly coordinator.

343536

37

38

39

40

MR. SPARKS: Very good, very good. But anyway, I just want to make myself available if there's any questions that anybody has as far as what BLM is up to or any rumors you hear or whatever I can talk about or dispel rumors or whatever you need, Mr. Chair. Thank you.

41 42 43

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Sparks of BLM. I think Mr. Gray of the Council has something to ask.

45 46 47

48

49

50

44

MR. GRAY: A couple of things. Number one, conservation easements. What's that mean to us? Number two, when's the advertisement going to go out for your replacement so, we have a warm body here in Nome?

2 MR. SPARKS: Both good questions.

3

MR. GRAY: I wanted Bruce Seppi to hear

5 that.

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

MR. SPARKS: Very good. With the first one, BLM is a multiple-use agency. So, a lot of people kind of have a hard time understanding that and I like to kind of put it in terms of we can authorize anything that's not illegal under Federal law. So, you think about that. That's a lot of things we do. We do mining, we do grazing, we do rights-of-way, you know, all kinds of things. But we have never had a situation where an entity could go to the public lands and get a authorization for a conservation easement. So, this is a new thing with BLM, and it took a lot of political will. And so, time will tell how this is going to turn out. But in theory it would be another opportunity as far as multiple use. And generally, its conservation has not really been in that sphere. So, it's very new. So, time will tell Mr. Gray, how that comes to play. As far as my replacement, I have been trying my best to keep this field station alive. We're one of the last ones left in this State, and I think it's really important to have a rural connection. I've been in Nome over four decades and know many of you personally for a very long time, and I think it really helps agencies when they have a connection to the people and the land. So, I'll do my best, Mr. Gray. But as far as when the announcement goes, stay tuned. So, thank you for those questions.

313233

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

MR. GRAY: Well, and I thank you for your partnership to this region. You know, I think it's really important that we have players involved, whether you're State, BLM, Park, whoever that come from this area and understand the people. You know, I've got my hand in big game guiding reindeer industry you name it. And Tom has always been there for us, for this region. So, it's super important that we get a replacement that is willing to stay here and stand by us. Thank you.

41 42 43

44

45

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you for those questions and comments, Tommy Gray, and thank you for being here. I think Chuck wants to -- you have a question for Mr. -- Thank you.

46 47 48

MR. MENADELOOK: Yeah. Chuck Menadelook. I just wanted to ask about the conservation easement.

Does -- is that only land that BLM controls, or is it all Federal land?

3

1

MR. SPARKS: It's BLM.

5

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you. Thank you for the question, Chuck Menadelook. Elmer, Council.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

MR. SEETOT: Elmer, Brevig Mission. Comment -- or do you still have the ranger plot of studies in and around Cape Douglas? I did remember seeing a fence up and around certain areas. Are they still up? And then BLM gives out permits for the ranger herds, even though most of the ranger herds are went with caribou. But they are still valid for Seward Peninsula. As far as I know, there was at least 15 grazing permits throughout the Seward Peninsula.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. SPARKS: Yeah. Mr. Seetot. Through the Chair. Yes. We still have a number of structures out that we were trying to do some collaborative work with the University of Alaska. We're having a difficult time because that reindeer program at the university has been unfunded. So, we're struggling with some of those structures and getting them read, but we're continuing to do that work. We have continued to issue reindeer grazing permits. We have a cooperative agreement, memorandum of agreement with the Park Service and the state of Alaska, and also with the Natural Resource Conservation Service. And the reason why the agencies got together initially, we didn't have a NRCS as part of it. It was land managing agencies. So, it was the Department of Natural Resources, the National Park Service, and BLM. And the reason we did that is because some of the ranges are so large, they have different ownerships. And so, the idea was that whoever had the most land of the land managing agencies, they would issue the permit to the individual herders so. a herder wouldn't have to potentially go get three different permits or two different permits. So, that continues. We've had pretty good success. The reindeer herders are going to have a meeting next week, which I'll be going to on Monday. So, but they've had a difficult time, as you all know, with the Western Arctic Caribou Herd came in and, you know, basically took the reindeer away. But they haven't been on the Peninsula for quite a while. And I know there's efforts being made to revitalize that industry. And so, we're -- I mean, I'm personally very happy to see that take place. I think it's got a bright future. So -- but yes, in short, is your answer.

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. SEETOT: Thank you then, and one last comment. Mr. Gray, Mr. Sparks, I see them up at Mount Bedeleben. I'm from Brevig. He's from White Mountain. They're from Nome. We never make no arrangements to meet up there. But sometimes circumstances happen, and one thing about it is that Mr. Sparks knew that Brevig Mission hunters wear parkees, not jackets, when they go out hunting, so. So, that that's a known fact. But that's something, you know you kind of encounter over the years. I met Mr. -- when I first met him, he was at Mount Bendeleben. You were at Davidson Landing. And so, that is a good, important area for wildlife or natural resource to be had, whether it be from the land, whether it be from the waters or, you know, just flying through. So, that's a good, important place. Kuzitrin River or the lava bed flats is a huge place. I went around it more than once. So, it's very huge and it's a good place for reindeer, caribou to be there and not, not let people know that, you know, they're around. But I just want to put that out. We've seen people, you know, oh, where do you meet them? Oh, out in the country. Do you make a phone call? No. You know, just by chance that, you know, we happen to be hunting on the same day, but you meet all kinds of people in and out that are looking for these animals, not from the area, but in the central place. I thought, I just put that out. Thank you.

272829

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you for your comments there, Elmer. Martin.

30 31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

MR. AUKONGAK: No, you mentioned mining and is there -- with Mr. Chair and this Board and any recommendation, this this Board could help appeal that IPOP mine, you know, because people right down the road here, it's hard to believe that's going to go through. It's going to affect, you know, the people that camp there. But is there any way this Board could join in on that just to stop it?

39 40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. SPARKS: You know, that's located on State land. So, you know, I'm not in a position to, you know, really make a comment on that. But I think generally this Board, if you're concerned about resource that is affecting subsistence, I think, you know, you should engage. And I've seen you do that in the past, and I think that'd be very good. But I know the -- you know, as a local person, I've seen the controversy involved there and there is some concern. But again, that's on State land. It's nothing on BLM land. So, but

-- I did want to mention something about mining that has 1 come up in the past. And we have -- someone stakes a Federal mining claim. The field offices really aren't engaged in that because nothing's happening on the land. 5 Somebody's just staking a claim. But when they do that, 6 they acquire a right, a mineral right that they have. And it's under an old law, you know, 1872 the mining law 8 is very old in this country. And when a miner goes to potentially see what's there. They do it under either a 10 notice level we call it, or a plan level. And a plan is where it's like we're actually going mining, you know, 11 a notice level. They're doing some exploratory work or, 12 13 you know, drilling things like this or some bulk sampling 14 and so forth. And we as an agency, when it's a notice 15 level, we really don't authorize that. The miners still 16 have to -- or the companies involved, they still have 17 to get their Clean Water Act, Clean Air act. There's a 18 lot of permits that they have to get, but they don't get a particular one from BLM. And sometimes that causes 19 20 some confusion out there in the communities. But when 21 things go to a plan level, that's where we as an agency, 22 we do a review under the National Environmental Policy 23 Act, where we'll do like an environmental assessment or 24 an environmental impact statement, and there's a lot of 25 interaction with the public and communities involved. 26 But there's been some exploratory stuff going on the 27 Peninsula, north of Elim that you might have seen in the 28 newspaper and so forth. That particular prospect has 29 been known for decades. And there has been exploratory 30 work out there in the past. But you know, people do get 31 -- think that we have a decision at this point and we 32 don't as an agency. And -- but I just wanted to mention 33 that that so and it's also good I think, to understand, 34 you know, I've been around long enough now. I've seen a 35 lot of changes in the land status over the last 20 years in particular. And so, a lot of lands that used to be 36 37 in Federal ownership are not anymore. And it really does 38 make a difference in terms of the management of the lands. So, yeah. 39

40 41

42

43

44

45

MR. AUKONGAK: Right and just to add to that, you know, they got away with the State and being the Federal you know they're going to oh we got away with this. And now we're going to go to Federal. That's you know that's what I'm saying. You know they already went that far.

46 47 48

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  SPARKS: Thank you very much for that comment. Any other questions? I -- yep.

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. MOSES: Robert Moses, I had a question. You say you deal with mining. Maybe because of the -- we all know people mine outside of Nome. You see all those big boats out there, metal boats, mining and fish are very sensitive to oils. You know, it doesn't matter whether it's motor oil, grease or any kind of oil that has to do with lubricant. Maybe some of them miners out there that are using them dredgers are -- maybe oil is coming off of them. Maybe that's what's making the fish stock crash around our region. And also, like Tom said, there's no coho in Fish River and there's a road to Council and a lot of people go there from here to Council to go fish. And I know it, and we see it and we hear about it along with moose hunting, maybe because of the traffic that's going across from this side to Council, maybe they're still going across with their trucks, and maybe that's where some oil -- or some oils are getting off them, trucks going into the river and killing off some of the fish. Maybe that has something to do with it. And another question is how far down the road, like, say, five years from now, do you know if there's permits coming in our region or around this whole region. How far can you tell down the road that another mine is going to be up? And if you let the other communities around the region know about them?

272829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. SPARKS: Well, a lot of questions there, Robert. Thank you. Through the Chair of the offshore stuff is on State land. So, BLM is -it's not a Federal undertaking as far as it's not Federal public lands. I remember when that first started and I was getting calls from Florida to California, and I was wondering what was going on because my answer was, well, it's not it's not BLM, it's State. You got to call DNR in Fairbanks. And then I poked around on the internet, and I found that the City of Nome had listed me as a contact for status information. So, I had to go down and talk to my city and tell them to get my name off there, because we didn't have nothing to do with it. But so yeah, I think some of the changes that we've seen you know, it's hard to have a crystal ball. Okay. But when I worked with the regional Native corporation and some of the mineral exploration was going on and did that for many years mines are hard to get going. You know, if you can kind of look around especially a big one. So, I my crystal ball, I don't see much on the Federal lands as far as that goes. There's a couple of prospects, but they've been out there for decades and not much has gone

on. But time will tell. There are a number of prospects fairly well advanced on the Seward Peninsula, that's for sure. Some of them fairly close to Nome, too. So, but nothing on the Federal land portion. Most are on the Native lands and on the State land. So, hope that helps a little bit, Robert. But thank you very much for those comments.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Robert. Thank you, Tom. I think I'm starting to think about him. I almost called you Roy. That's just a little joke. Is there anyone else? Mary.

MS. FREYTAG: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mary Freytag, Unalakleet. Just a quick question on the final no action alternative decision that was based after the final EIS for the opening of the Special Areas of Protection under you guys, BLM. How long is that no action alternative going to last before -- is that through each Presidency, or does does that carry over into the next Presidency? Because I know the President does want to change things up. So, I just wanted to see how long the final EIS no action is good for?

MR. SPARKS: Mary, thank you for the question. And through the Chair some of these decisions are political. I'm not going to, you know, state otherwise, you're all educated enough to know that and been around enough to know that as well. So, the public land orders are done at the Secretarial level. They're not done by the State Office of BLM or little old Nome office of BLM. They're done on the secretarial level. So, when you get a new Secretary in there and those are appointed positions, they work for the President of the United States and changes that occur and new Secretaries have new ideas and things can change. But so, how long that stays in place, you know, your guess is as good as mine. But I think having gone through this a couple of times through the Trump administration, when one of the areas was on the Seward Peninsula, it was sued the Kobuk Seward land use plan, which is the entire Seward Peninsula and all of the Nulato Hills and far north as Kotzebue and the Secretary of Interior at that time did sign an order to open those lands. So, I've seen it in quite recent history flop both ways. So, I think it's something that you know, this body was certainly engaged in that effort. And I think we, as an agency, tried to get out and put the word out. So, I think it's something to look out in the future, but yeah, those are decisions that are made at the Secretary level. And when a

1 Secretary changes, it may change that as well. And there's also the possibility of lawsuits that change things where, you know, someone will sue, and then the court will say, well, you -- Secretary didn't have that 5 power or whatnot. I haven't heard any rumblings of that yet. But that's also a possibility. So, thank you, Mary, 7 for that question.

8 9 10

11

12 13

6

## CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you,

Councilwoman Freytag and Mr. Sparks for BLM. Is there any other Council with any questions? Comments? Concerns? Hearing none. Thank you, Mr. Sparks. We'd like to keep you around a little bit longer because we know who you are, but....

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

MR. SPARKS: Well, I'll still be around I definitely you know, if there's a situation where you can't get through to somebody, get ahold of me. I can definitely help. So, my contact down at the still on the Federal building now. So, our post office locally, whatnot. So, my numbers in the phone book under the blue (907)443-2177 but it's and email tsparks@blm.gov and thank you, Mr. Chair and Council members for giving me the opportunity today. Appreciate it.

29

30

31

32

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you for coming in and waiting. And now we got you done. But I do want to say I remember this guy who talks about all the decades. I kind of remember him being out there with the mining company coated with mud I think, probably wearing a yellow rain suit. What do they call you guys? Troglodytes?

33 34 35

MR. SPARKS: Troglodytes. There's not many of us left, but there's a few.

36 37 38

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: And here you are today. Thank you. Thank you for being here for us.

39 40 41

MR. SPARKS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

42 43

44

45

46

47

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay, so it's 12 noon, pretty much. Maybe we ought to take a lunch break. We eat on the run. So, Yeah. What was the -- sas it an hour yesterday or what? What did you do? Hour and 15. Okay. Well, we could be here till midnight. Let's do it till -- let's do it. An hour and 15.

48 49 50

(Off record)

2 3

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

(On record)

4 5

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay, folks, we're at said 1:15. We're doing pretty good, 1:29. We considering. If you're not here, raise your hand. Just checking to see how many people are awake. There's a few. So, alright. So, that's where we're at. We've got Raymond. Oh, there he is, he's seated. Tommy, we know he's on his mission to take care of business. Yeah. Mary's over in the corner making sure the coffee machine works. So, right now, we are at the point of calling Fish and Wildlife. Boyd Blihovde to the mic and give us an oral update on the gravel to gravel.

14 15 16

MR. BLIHOVDE: Hey, Mr. Chairman, can you hear me? Okay.

17 18 19

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: You're loud and

20 21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

clear.

MR. BLIHOVDE: All right. Thank you. Yeah. My name is for the record -- yeah. Boyd Blihovde. I work for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service based in Anchorage on the homeland of the Dena'ina people and really happy to be here. Thanks for allowing me to speak. I'll be quick. Thank you to yourself, Chairman and to other RAC members and to the staff from OSM. Appreciate what you do. I would also just like to say thanks to Mellisa Johnson, who I think she may not be on any longer, but I didn't want to speak out of order, but I do want to just share really quickly some more information about the North Pacific Fishery Management Council. So, if I could just quickly share my screen, I'll just share the website for North Pacific Fishery Management Council. And like Mellisa said, there's a lot coming up in the near future. Important meetings that I agree with her -- her summary that there's a meeting in December and in February that are going to be very important for subsistence users and those that depend on salmon. And at the website here, you see there's a lot of information. But over on the left-hand side of the website, there's the meetings tab. And I would just recommend for anyone that might be interested in these future meetings to go there. There's a lot of links to other information like Mellisa shared. And so, I was going to speak up when she was talking, but I think that's all I really wanted to share was there's a lot of information on the website and it can be very complicated. I'm new to the North Pacific Fishery

Management Council sitting in the seat that's really assigned to the regional director from Fish and Wildlife Service, Sara Boario, and I've attended my first Council meeting at that seat in just the last month. And, and I can attest to it being very confusing and kind of complicated, but anybody on the Council here could reach out to me or Mellisa, like she said, if there's questions or you'd like any help on that process. But I am here to talk about gravel to gravel. And I think that Gisela can share my slides that I had. And if that's okay, I can wait till those come up. I'm mainly going to talk about gravel-to-gravel relationships and the gravel-to-gravel funding that has recently come available. And I'll be quick because I know you're trying to get through the day, but I see the slides there. I don't know if it could be put in presentation mode or slideshow view.

MS. CHAPA: Hey, Boyd, this is Gisela Chapa, and we are seeing the slides on our end. There might just be a slight delay with the screen share.

MR. BLIHOVDE: Okay, great. Well, you can go to the second slide and just what you see in the second slide if it's up, just is a brief overview of what gravel to gravel is. If you've never heard of gravel and gravel, then I'm sorry for that. And this may not be that helpful, but I will give a little brief background about gravel and gravel's, you know, the summary of what it is and then where it sits within the Department of Interior. It is -- a are we on the second slide now?

MS. CHAPA: Yes, we are. And maybe you want to give us a couple of minutes so that we figure out why we're seeing this slide show on our end and not through Teams. Give me just a second.

MR. BLIHOVDE: You know, at least on my end I'm seeing the slide show, but it's at the first -- it was on the first slide still.

MS. CHAPA: Boyd, do you want to go ahead and just share your screen might be easier.

MR. BLIHOVDE: Sure. We'll see if that that works any better. We'll see. And can you see it?

MS. CHAPA: Yes, we can see it.

1 MR. BLIHOVDE: Okay. No, it's not in 2 slide or presentation mode on my end either. One second. All right. I'll be quick here because I know -- all right. So, it's like the end of the presentation there. 5 Here we are on the slide I was trying to get to. This is the second slide that I was mentioning. And, and this 6 is, I know, hard to kind of understand and to go through, 8 but I'll try to explain it. The very top of the slide shows the goals for all keystone initiatives, and there 10 are nine of them from DOI, Department of Interior, and it shows the goals for all of them is to build climate 11 12 change resilience, restore healthy land and waters, and 13 then to enhance communities' quality of life. And I think 14 that's where really gravel and gravel excels is to work 15 in co stewardship with local communities and RAC Councils, everything else like we're doing here today 16 is to really communicate well and to talk to especially 17 18 subsistence users. And so, gravel to gravel, if you can see my pointer here is that sort of the middle of the 19 20 slide. You see resilient freshwater systems. That's the 21 main goal that DOI use for gravel and gravel. But there 22 are more goals for gravel to gravel that you may have 23 heard of. For our Keystone initiative it's really about 24 food security in the face of climate change and then 25 specifically salmon like we've been talking about 26 recently with the North Pacific Fish Management Council 27 and then finally, it's about people and building those 28 relationships in gravel to gravel. The landscape of 29 gravel to gravel includes the Seward Peninsula or the 30 northern Bering Sea region that we're calling it, and 31 the Kuskokwim and the Yukon River drainages. So, that's 32 where we're talking about working together. And I talked 33 about relationship building. That's what we're really 34 focusing on first is to build the relationships and to 35 improve them. There's many good partnerships that have 36 already been established through this process, like RAC 37 meetings and there are other partnerships that are 38 developed across the State. But this is really an effort 39 to try to bring the agencies under Department of Interior and Tribes together to really work hand in hand with 40 41 tribes taking the lead in this effort to really restore 42 ecosystems and specifically salmon. And so, on October 43 16th, a memorandum of understanding was signed and it kind of marks the start of us really formally working 44 45 together. And the folks that are shown on this slide 46 here were the entities that signed that MOU and in 47 particular, interest to this region might be that Nome 48 Eskimo Community was there to sign as well as Kawerak. 49 Now, just because it's been signed, doesn't mean it's 50 done. Anyone, any tribal entity that's within gravel or

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

gravel can sign on to the MOU, and we'd be glad to work with you on that in the future. The MOU objectives is just a lot to read if you see this slide. I bold -- I've got in bold the kind of main points of the objectives of the MOU and I don't have time. I don't think we want to spend a lot of time talking about it, but you can see there that it's about restoration of the health and ecosystem health, especially in the face of climate change, increasing capacity of both tribes and agencies in this effort. Tribal stewardship in this effort and especially tribal leadership, and then working in partnership throughout this whole process in resilience and salmon conservation. So, and finally, just the MOU parties that signed made a mutual agreement to stay engaged in this process in the restoration of Pacific salmon cultural and ecosystem improvement especially as it relates to food security. That's what we've heard from tribes as a main and of main importance. So, that is our goal is to work on those together and so that's what I wanted to share about the MOU really briefly. As I mentioned, it was signed on October 16th. So, it was just last week. And so, we still have a long-ways to go to get to improvement in the regions, especially out in the communities like Nome but it's a step in the right direction. And as I said, anyone could sign on that is a tribal entity or an agency that's in the gravel to gravel region. One thing that we hope to have happen is that the state of Alaska and NOAA or NMFS, National Marine Fisheries Service would maybe sign on one day. They are engaged in gravel to gravel, but they haven't signed the MOU. And that's what gravel to gravel is really all about, is breaking down jurisdictional boundaries to work together. And that's where gravel to gravel comes from, is working on the whole life cycle of salmon.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

So, to really quickly transition, just wanted to talk about funding now. And that's what a lot of people are interested in this effort. When money is available that that gets people interested. So, the funding has mostly come from what's been called BIL, and that's the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law. And so, for the past two years, we've gotten about \$37 million to the agencies. And then that's what this slide shows here. I hope you can see that there's different colored bars. It basically just shows what each of the bureaus or agencies have gotten, including BLM, Fish and Wildlife Service and the Park Service there at the bottom. And then you can see the phases. The phase of funding doesn't matter as much. It's essentially early in the process

1 is phase one and then more recently, phase three just occurred. And we have gotten those funds and it totals about 37 million. And I'll go into a little more detail. There's going to be a lot of information, but I just 5 want to share with you the projects that we're actually 6 working on. You can see the agency that received the funds and this slide shows BLM primarily, and then the total amount of money that has been allocated to 8 9 different projects. And I think Gisela or myself, we 10 could share this with you if you want to take this home 11 and look at it and decipher it a little bit in more 12 detail. But there are about 40 projects. And the BLM and 13 the Fish and Wildlife Service have received probably the 14 majority of the funds for projects and have more projects 15 that they've been working on. But the Park service, too, has gotten a fair share of money. And most of the 16 projects have focused on mine restoration, which I'll 17 18 show in a different way here in a second. But this is 19 the Park Service and the Fish and Wildlife Service on 20 this next slide. The Fish and Wildlife Service up at the 21 top, we have ten projects that the Fish and Wildlife 22 Service and you see the dollar amount there on the right 23 hand side. And the Park service has roughly about ten projects as well and one thing that may surprise you is 24 25 that the Park Service is working on a great deal of mine 26 restoration as well, in its historic mining that 27 occurred before lands were protected for National Parks 28 and Preserves. And so, claims that were made before 1980 29 and when ANCSA was enacted, is why they have so much 30 mine restoration going on, on their lands, like Cole Creek Mine, which is in Yukon-Charley Preserve, that's 31 32 an example.

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

And finally, this is an easier way to maybe look at it is this graph, that shows the different projects broken up by category. I know it's a lot still to decipher here quickly, but the bureaus or the agencies are down at the bottom and then you see the number of projects, they're highlighted in each category. And I just want to highlight quickly these that are circled in red. For each of the bureaus, the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law requires us to focus on restoration, physical restoration of headwater streams for salmon and other species that have been impacted by humans through mining and other efforts. And so, because we're required by Congress to do that, that's why you see more projects highlighting restoration effort. There are other categories that the funds fall into, including invasive species control, Native seed restoration or Native plant restoration. And then finally, one thing that I'm proud

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

of for the Fish and Wildlife Service, through the direction of our Regional Director, Sara Boario, we've placed an emphasis on tribal capacity building, and we're the only bureau that has really focused on that so far and so with \$5 million going directly to tribal entities, we've really spearheaded an effort to increase capacity for tribes to both assess salmon, conduct restoration and research and monitoring efforts and work, and then to also even advocate for salmon at meetings like what Mellisa referred to the North Pacific Fishery Management Council, Board of Fish, and other management and planning meetings such as those. So, that's been the direction for the Fish and Wildlife Service. And that's partly why I'm here today, is to kind of update all of you so that we can start more of the discussions and get more details about the concerns that you all have.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

And through these discussions, understand that there will probably be requests for more funding and so luckily, we have just received more money. This is probably the last phase of funding from the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, we at the gravel-togravel initiative will get \$4.5 million. That has been confirmed just recently through a press release that you're seeing here. And so those funds should get to us within the next couple of months. We don't have them yet. But it's good news and the BLM shared this slide. I should have mentioned that the BLM and the Fish and Wildlife Service work in coordination together on gravel and gravel. And Becky Shaftel is my counterpart at BLM. She couldn't be here, she's out of town this week, but she put together this slide and just wanted to share that there is funding available right now from BLM through the Good Neighbor Authority. So, you could contact us if you want more information about how to find those funds, but there's \$1 million that was allocated for 2024. And then in this new round of money, 2025 funds, there's going to be another million that gets to us soon. And these funds will have a rolling deadline. So, it'll be fairly easy and flexible for tribes and other communities to apply for the funds. And the type of work that they're trying to focus on is, like I said, restoration of impacted streams, collection of data to just determine what the impacts are and trying to assess streams to determine the level or priority of restoration that's needed in in the area. And I know that through the discussions with BLM earlier, there have been significant impacts from mining in this area and there's future impacts that are coming, which is

unfortunate, but we hope that this money will at least help get some baseline information to determine what these streams are like before any impacts may happen so, that one day they can be restored. And, you know, maybe there is a chance that some of these mines won't come in and impact your area. That's the hope. But these funds will help conduct assessment on the streams, which also could be used -- the information could be used to help justify why a mine should not be started in a certain area.

So, Fish and Wildlife Service also has the funds coming to us in the next couple of months. Out of the 4.5 million, 3.5 million will be administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. And as I've stated earlier, our main goal is to build tribal capacity and have the tribes take the lead on much of this salmon restoration and assessment and advocacy effort. So, 2.24 million is going to go directly to tribes and then 1.1 million we hope will have a competitive process to -essentially a mini grant process for those funds. And then \$100,000 is not much, but we'll go to invasive species treatments. And so, I know there might be some interest in funding and, and how to get that and would be glad to answer questions or to have my contact available for anyone that wants to contact either Becky or myself in the future, because we know that times are tough, generally speaking, there's not enough money to go around. And so, this money will probably be very competitive. But we're glad that it's available and I think that's all I needed to share. So, I'll stop sharing my screen. Thanks for the chance to present.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Well, thank you, Boyd. Questions and answers, is there anybody? Roy Ashenfelter.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  ASHENFELTER: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. You know, our region experienced their first salmon crash over 35 years ago.

MS. TELEMAQUE: Can you speak into the mic, please?

MR. ASHENFELTER: Yeah. Our region had experienced a chum crash over 30 years ago or 35 years ago now, or in the early 80s. Because of that, we've had a lot of restoration. We've had a huge amount of work done by biologists to understand our river systems that were impacted by the salmon crash. Several things have

happened since then. The improvement and restoration of 1 Salmon Lake for red salmon has occurred. There was a fish study that done there. Just make it simple, at the end of the day the dilemma was that Salmon Lake itself 5 didn't have enough nutrition. So, what they ended up 6 doing after a number of different things, finding out about whether the Pilgrim River was a problem, whether 8 the Imuruk Basin all the connected waterways between 9 Salmon Lake and all the way to the ocean was kind of 10 studied. At the end of the day, the red salmon determination and restoration occurred through restoring 11 12 nutrition into the lake. That has resulted in excellent 13 return of reds in the kind of varies depending on what 14 year. But at the end of the day, the volume of reds has 15 increased, the volume of red salmon fisherman has quadrupled. And the reason I know is because my wife and 16 17 I and my family live on Pilgrim River. When we were 18 there in the 80s, we were allowed 20 red salmon for the 19 whole year. That has all changed within -- the point I'm trying to make, is that there has been a lot of 20 restoration work in the region. Unalakleet River, on 21 22 many different streams. The data is there, it's available and it should be reviewed to make sure you're 23 24 not spending money on the same things that the work has 25 already accomplished, at least in our region. It's 26 excellent that these moneys [sic] are there, but try and 27 enhance ones where there are specific salmon that needs 28 to be restored. Unalakleet is one of those. There used 29 to be a commercial fishery at one point in time in 30 Unalakleet, but there hasn't been a targeted commercial 31 fishery for king salmon that I know of in Unalakleet for 32 a long time. Fish River had  $\operatorname{--}$  has a small king salmon 33 run that could use help in restoration. I think the 34 other thing that's really prevalent in Seward Peninsula 35 is the amount of mining that has occurred historically 36 in our region. I think of all the different regions, AYK 37 are part of the world, has had the most extensive mining 38 operations throughout the whole Seward Peninsula. So, 39 the idea that that mining is a -- could be a problem, 40 yes, maybe on Solomon River, because they dredged that 41 and they rechanneled the whole river to where it can't 42 sustain any fish because the debris in there all cleaned 43 out. But there is work there that I think and the point I'm trying to make is this. A lot of money has been 44 45 spent in restoration and rebuilding our salmon stocks 46 and Seward Peninsula. Don't ignore that information, 47 please. It's there. It's available. You don't need to 48 reinvent the wheel to do this work. It's important work 49 to do. It's helpful. But you know, I heard Fish and Game 50 and another organization didn't sign on. That's okay,

as long as they show up. And as long as you accept the biological fishery work, the fish biologists that had spent their career here, some of them, and understanding our streams. That's really important and so, tag on to the known data, point I'm trying to make, tag on to the known fishery efforts to restore all the different salmon species and use that data because those people are still around. Some of them may have retired, but they're still available to help enhance the funding needs that would be helpful to restore salmon. But there is this known data and work that has existed in our region for quite a few years. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Roy. I have a Councilman Aukongak. Go ahead, Martin.

MR. AUKONGAK: You have your contact info? I think I like your checkbook, and I'm competitive too.

MR. BLIHOVDE: Through the Chair. Yes, sir. I can put that in the chat. And then through Gisela Chapa, she could forward it on to you -- to all the Council members. Would be great to talk more in the in the coming days and weeks. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you.

MS. FREYTAG: Mr. Chairman, this is Mary Freytag. One quick question on the funding availability. Can any tribe apply for these funding, or do they have to be impacted by the salmon decline or use these funds as a preventive measure to prevent the decline of salmon? That was my first question. And then I'll have another question concerning the investigation on -- or the work being done on the rivers. Has your agency went [sic] all the way to the spawning grounds on the Yukon River for our Yukon fish? I know it might be in Canada. I don't know where they go spawn, but do you guys work alongside with -- or does the work end right at the border? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Mary.

MR. BLIHOVDE: Thank you.

47 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Go ahead, Boyd.

48 Sorry.

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

MR. BLIHOVDE: Yeah. Through the -- yeah, through the Chair. Thank you, sir. If it's okay, I'll just quickly, maybe highlight the back behind me there. If you can see it is the gravel-to-gravel boundary and it does go over into Canada but it -- I will admit that it is a challenge for Federal agencies in the U.S. to work across that international boundary. But that's what tribes have requested us to do is, you know, break down silos. The salmon have no boundaries. They don't care about those boundaries. So, the tribes want us to essentially view this the same way. And so, I hope that helps answer your question. It is a challenge, but we are trying to work across the border on, especially the Yukon. When it comes to the funding, yes. Any federally recognized tribe can apply for these funds as long as you're either within the boundary or close to the boundary that you see behind me. And so please reach out. I put my email in the chat if you would like to find out how to be more involved. Thanks.

19 20 21

## MS. FREYTAG: Thank you.

22 23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay, thank you. I'm looking at it at another direction here. I don't see it in there because the green only goes up halfway through the Seward Peninsula, but the Baldwin Peninsula up there, and the Noatak and Kobuk River, I want to say Koyuk, excuse me. In 1980 through 94, there was a chum salmon hatchery. Fish and Game conducted enhancement and restoration from 80 -- 1980 to 1994. And this place called Sikusuilaq. I insist that you look that up because under that 14-year time -- span of time, there was a successful operation that went on there. And talking to the people up there, some of the elders -- their elders, now that they said they wished they'd had other species introduced other than the chum besides the chum, they wish they would have done more work. It was a success, but you never hear about it and that's above the Arctic Circle. There needs to be some of that kind of type of stuff done in our region. And the Nome subdistrict, which failed first started in the 70s and worked -- and then by 80s the commercial fishery was shut down and then we lost -- completely, started losing our chum runs. I attended many, many Board of Fish meetings into the 90s, into the 2000s. People asking for their chums back, and there was some attempt by the Fish and Game to operate a low tech.

47 48

46

MR. YASKA: (Distortion) lost your audio.

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

00062 1 CHAIRPEROSN GREEN: I'm sorry. Who's 2 talking? 3 4 MR. BLIHOVE: I can't hear him either. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. Are you hearing 7 me? Boyd, can you hear me? There's a mute on this side 8 here. According to the recorder. 9 10 MS. TELEMAQUE: Can -- this is a test. 11 Can you guys hear me online? 12 13 MR. BLIHOVDE: Yeah, I can hear you. 14 15 MS. TELEMAQUE: Okay, great. Thank you. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: I don't know how long 18 I've been talking and you haven't been hearing, so 19 probably from the beginning. So, we'll rewind the tapes. 20 There we go. All right, so I'm just -- I'm troubled 21 because I only see that green line going up to a certain 22 part on the Seward Peninsula. And the reason why I'm 23 concerned is that it's somewhere that you're not going 24 to know anything about, maybe. Maybe you do, I don't know, but the Baldwin Peninsula was Kotzebue, and 25 26 outside of there was a river called the Noatak, and 27 there was a very successful operation for salmon 28 enhancement, where they operated a hatchery from 1980 29 to 1994. It's called the Sikusuilaq Spring Hatchery. The 30 folks up there wish they'd introduce other species, but 31 the chum salmon did quite well. And what was interesting 32 about it, and I want to point it out, is that the last 33 release of chum salmon and it is either 10 to 20 million 34

fry they release a year. The return in 95, all the salmon came back from the sea and found their way to the spring but had nowhere to go. So, instead of just staying there and milling around and keeling over, I guess becoming bear bait they took to finding places on the river to spawn. They naturally spawn there. They -- one river down below there. It's a nickname of called the Agie River, below the Sikusuilaq was a trout river, and the Environmental Impact Study, I think called it that. I know the guy that actually worked on it. His name was Tim Smith. Anyway, that River became a chum run, and it only happened because that hatchery, that hatchery --

all those fish went out and spawned on their own. So,

you could imagine maybe a five-year cycle where the fish

were coming up to the -- nosing into the creek and then

going back out and then going and doing their own thing.

They pioneered new spawning grounds, I imagine, is what

a person would say. So, that's an important fact that needs to be considered when we talk about enhancement in our region. It worked above the Arctic Circle. We have that ability to do that right there in Nome. There's a facility that was being worked on by the Fish and Game called the Hobson Creek facility. So, I just wanted to point that out, because you might want to include that data from that Sikusuilaq facility. Fish and Game ran it for 14 years and it worked.

MR. BLIHOVDE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I will look up more details about that. I knew a little bit about it, but not as much details as you have. So, thank you.

 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Yes, thank you. A friend of mine lived there and was actually a young man growing up helping, and it was something to behold, he said, it was his grandfather's native allotment. Is there anybody in here that -- there you go. Robert Moses, Council.

MR. MOSES: I had a question or comment. You say you need to restore our rivers and our region with fish and stuff. In the past, Charlie Lean has been -- worked for Fish and Game here out of Nome. A lot of people knew him because he was good with fish and he restored -- correct me if I'm wrong, he restored Fish River, White Mountain River with king salmon and they came back for a while. Now they're starting to deplete again. And I don't know if you did that with the coho salmon, but Charlie Lean, his name comes up with ADF& -- Alaska Department of Fish and Game. He's done a lot of rehabilitation with the salmon projects down towards White Mountain area, and maybe we could get him here and ask him how he's done it before. And he could give us some pointers on how to restock the -- or look into the one where Louis said up north. There should be data like that too, around here or up there, and we could look into that and get how it needs to be done or ask them to come here and help us and show NSEDC, the main fishing company out of here in our region, would be good if they had a representative here, too, and they could speak on their behalf also. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Councilman Moses. Do you have anything to add to that, Boyd?

MR. BLIHOVDE: I -- no other than thanks, I appreciate it. Just like the information that you shared, Mr. Chairman, I think that's it's really helpful to get more information about folks to contact, and I will. I'll -- I wrote his name down. Thank you.

## CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you.

Interesting fact here, too and I'll call on you here in a minute, Elmer. I see you're waving your finger there. It's interesting to note that the red salmon restoration project that became into being. I seen a letter in 1997, I want to say it's around February 97th. From the Federal government to Tim Smith about fertilizing Salmon Lake. Tim found out you didn't need a permit to do such an act, and so he took part into actually starting to do it. And then Fish and Game approached him. And it is what it is today. Is there anybody on? Elmer.

MR. SEETOT: Elmer, Brevig Mission. I noticed that there was invasive -- invasive species treatment. Can you elaborate on that a little bit? Because we do have a lot of ships going up and down the Bering Straits. And that's pretty much the important migration route for pretty much all the marine mammals, everything that that goes through the water. And I was just curious about the invasive species treatment that was mentioned on your thing there. Thank you very much.

MR. BLIHOVDE: Thank you, through the Chair, I really appreciate that question, because that has been brought up by staff at Kawerak in our meetings, which is something that we do biweekly. We meet as a group, about 70, 80 people are invited, and we invite any of you if you'd like to join us. But we discuss issues like that. And one thing that Kawerak has brought up numerous times is how ships are coming in to Nome like never before because of ice melt and the passage that is now open to get there and they brought up those invasive species issues. And so, I'm no expert on it. But that is something that those funds could address is either studies to help identify the species that are coming in off of ships or being introduced to the area. Currently the projects that have come forward are concerns over things like elodea, which is more in the freshwater environment. And something that does impact salmon is when elodea takes over, you know, mostly lakes, but it can be in river systems as well and sloughs. When it gets -- when it gets in there, as you probably all know, it really takes over. And then there's really no place for salmon to spawn. There's no free open gravel

beds and things like that that they need. So, that's primarily what has been the focus with the invasive species funds for gravel to gravel. But there's also a lot of work being done on prevention. You know, people come in across the Canadian border. They're bringing in things with them from the Lower 48 and other areas. One of the big concerns is zebra mussels. We definitely do not want to get zebra mussels in Alaska. It's not really close to us yet, but it is moving up this way. You know, in the upper, you know, Lower 48 States. And with climate change, the concern is that with warming waters and things of that nature, it could get here and could take over. So, it's another major concern as well. And so, it's examples of projects like that that we're working on in gravel to gravel so far.

MR. SEETOT: Thank you very much for the information. I'll look forward to working with Kawerak. And these are some of the things that even though we're not part of the governing body in each village, at least we're aware of what the non-profit associations, organizations are doing in our region. Thank you.

MR. BLIHOVDE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Elmer. I'll make another comment about something that, you know, we talk about TEK, T, E, K. Well, I'm 66 years old, and I've lived on the Pilgrim and the Kuzitrin and the Flambeau and the El Dorado systems, and also down in the Imuruk Basin area. And what I've seen since -what I've seen and witnessed in my lifetime is the chum salmon having a problem. Once the chum salmon started failing, the other salmon species started failing. And so that gives me the impression that the chum salmon is a keystone species to all the other -- the other four. I know that pinks are essential with chum salmon in a healthy numbe in a river system and when they're healthy numbers, then the coho salmon reflect those healthy numbers. And so, there's an imbalance right now in our rivers here in Nome -- in the Nome subdistrict, where there's more pinks than there are chum salmon. You barely see any chums anymore you know. The king salmon failed, you know, went away. The Nome River had kings in it. The Pilgrim River had a good run of kings in it. They say that you only -- you need 200 pairs to make a run at, you know, biologically. So, they were higher than that in the Pilgrim. The Kuzitrin had the huge kings in there back in the 60s and 70s growing up on that river, seeing them carcasses, laying on the beach at different times.

the chum and then to compare. Am I -- what I witnessed and what I think happened is that, you know, is it -it's not just my opinion is it - it's actually got 5 science behind it. So, that's just one of the things I would point for you to be looking for when you're putting that money out. And I hope Nome Eskimo gets a bunch of 8 it. Thank you. Anybody else in here? 9 10 MR. BLIHOVDE: Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Anybody, you want to ask him any questions? Madam president. Dip your toe in 13 14 the water. There's the guy right there. But, yeah, I'm not trying to make you feel bad. I'm trying to make you 15 16 ready to go. Yeah. So, anyway, Boyd, do you have anything 17 else to offer folks here? Are there any questions? 18 19 MR. BLIHOVDE: No. Just thank you, Mr. 20 Chairman, and thanks for everything you do and that the 21 RAC does really appreciate all your work. And we're here 22 if you need anything from me, my info is there in the 23 chat so, please reach out. 24 25 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. Thank you. I 26 hope Nome Eskimo gets a big chunk. 27 28 MR. BLIHOVDE: Yes, sir. 29 30 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: I'm putting the word 31 in. 32 33 MR. BLIHOVDE: All right. 34 35 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. It sounds like 36 we got.... 37 38 MR. BLIHOVDE: Thank you. 39 40 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: You're welcome. And 41 thanks for your presentation. I think it's important for everybody to see this. With that, I guess we're going 43 to move on to the next -- yeah, the next group is the 44 ADF&G with Sara. 45 46 (Pause) 47 48 MS. HENSLEE: Okay. Through the Chair. 49 This is Sara Henslee, the area wildlife biologist for 50 Alaska Department of Fish and Game, joined by Alicia

So, to me, it makes sense to look into that for data on

5

6

8

9

Carson, the assistant area biologist. We've already talked about muskox so, I'm not going to get into that again. Unless you really want to, but I think mostly I want to focus on moose today and just give you an update of kind of what we've been looking at with moose and what we've got planned coming down the pipe between now and the next meeting in April. And then maybe answer a question or two from comments that I've heard from members of the Council during this meeting the last couple of days.

10 11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

So, I'll start with Unalakleet moose. So, just to kind of give an overview of the surveys that we've done or got planned coming up, we were able to do a spring browse survey in Unalakleet, last spring. I don't know that I mentioned it last meeting or not, but either way, we -- basically you're looking at the habitat for moose and the Unalakleet drainage and trying to see for the willows -- I don't have a handout for this. Sorry, just my personal one. You're looking at the willows and how much of the willows the moose seem to be browsing on to give us a index of, like, winter habitat for moose. And we ended up finding browse removal rate of 19%, which, just to put that into context, anything above 35% is what's considered bad in the sense that there's too many moose in an area. So, 19% is pretty good. We saw a lot of burned areas that will become more moose habitat, -- prime moose habitat in the years to come. So, we're feeling pretty good about the moose population in the Unalakleet drainage. I know there's a lot of questions about are there too many moose there or can there be more moose? And I think the answer is yes, we can absolutely take more moose in that drainage.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

So, I know there is some conversations about the harvest of bulls in the fall. We have been kind of opening the doors for harvest in Unalakleet the last several years because there are very high numbers of bulls in the Unalakleet drainage that we believe are probably coming in from the Yukon. Whether it's Unit 18, 21, there's just seems to be tons of bulls in the area. And so, we kind of opened things up the last several years to just give residents more opportunity and just most recently, this fall season, we have a reported harvest of 55 bulls. So, really nice to see Unalakleet residents seem to be getting all the moose they need. And we also have a winter season opening, starting on December 1st through February 28th, and that's a newly expanded season. So, just to rewind the fall season opens up August 1st and now runs through September 30th at the

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

request of the southern Norton Sound Advisory Committee to see a longer fall season. It used to start September 1st, now it's open August 1st. We've had a lot of positive feedback with that August season so far, and yeah, we'll open it back up again December 1st and it'll run through the last day of February, and we don't have a quota necessarily on that season either. So, a lot of opportunity in Unalakleet, it's gotten nice to see. 55 bulls was the number of moose back in 2004 and that whole drainage. So, really good to see like a positive increase with moose. And we're going to reassess how that increased harvest rate is affecting the number of bulls in the area. This fall, we're hoping to fly into the Unalakleet drainage for 2 or 3 days and count the number of bulls again. And that's going to happen as soon as it starts snowing and we get a couple of nice days. So, fingers crossed, snow -- seems like there's a couple storms in the forecast, maybe early mid-November we'll get that done. If not, we'll get it done the first week of December.

202122

23

24

2526

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

So, then in the spring we'll get in there and get an abundance estimate. So, the last couple of surveys that we've done have indicated stability and I think that's probably related to the fact that we're harvesting more bulls now, just like it seems to be doing good. And with that lack of quota, we'll just take that fall information together with the spring abundance estimate and kind of reassess the Unalakleet population. I don't have any reason to believe we won't see anything -- I don't think we'll see a decline, necessarily. I think it'll be stability again. But time will tell, I guess. And we should have those numbers by the April survey to report. So, I think that's it. The spring survey. We're hoping to bring in a couple of local volunteers from Unalakleet. We've got a couple of young high school kids that we've been talking to, trying to get them signed on as volunteers. So, I'm pretty excited to get some local youth involvement with that survey. And we'll also be working with BLM since a lot of 22A is BLM land. So, that survey should take a week. We've got it tentatively planned for the first week of March, I guess, we'll try to get in right before the Iditarod mushers come through, because we don't want to be flying when all those super cubs are going through the area. So, I think that kind of sums things up with 22A we'll have a lot more information for you in April, as long as weather lets us get out and fly.

MS. FREYTAG: Mr. Chairman, this is Mary Freytag. Can I just share some information with the Unalakleet moose. I just wanted to -- I know I mentioned it yesterday about the self-imposed five-year moratorium that the residents of Unalakleet imposed on ourselves because of the moose decline. And, you know, we did that on ourselves as a community and the area. And it just goes to show, you know, when you take steps like that, you know, the moose increased immensely. So, I just wanted to point that out. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: You know, what was interesting, Mary, is that what you folks did there helped the moose population that was actually local. But at the same time or following that, the Lower Yukon, Unit 18 started expanding so much that they started coming over and helping, I think is what they talked about. So, if I'm correct. Yes, Sara, go ahead.

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah, absolutely. Are there any other questions with the 22A moose? That's all I've got for now, but I'll just pause for a second if anybody else has questions, and then I can move on to kind of our RM840, moose management.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: anybody online have any questions on 22A moose? Hearing none. Sara, go ahead.

MS. HENSLEE: Okay. So, yeah I'll talk next about the RM840 hunt. So, that's moose hunting anywhere in Units 22D, 22C and then 22B west of the Darby Mountains so, that White Mountain-Golovin area. All those hunts are managed to -- according to a harvest quota. And just to kind of wrap up the fall season and what we saw, all of the subunits ended up meeting the quota or coming right next to it, except for 22C. Louis, you talked about it yesterday, I think how the quota was 40. I mean, the quota was 25 bulls, and the harvest was 40 and two days. So, that's pretty interesting to see. We haven't seen 40 bulls get harvested since 2004, when the season lasted two weeks. So, we -- it's kind of interesting. And we are going to plan a composition survey to go count the number of bulls, because it could mean a couple of different things. On one hand, it could mean yeah, like you were saying, the price of gas, maybe it's too expensive, more local hunters in the area. Alternatively, it could mean more bulls. 22C has been seeing increased numbers of bulls for the last several years now and it's possible that along with the population increase that we've been seeing in the area

with the increased number of bulls, there's just more 1 bulls available in 22C, which is a positive thing. And given that hunters now seem to be able to get pretty much anywhere in 22C, it just could be representative 5 of more bulls and hunters can find them faster, they can 6 access them better, and the hunt is just going to levels that we haven't seen before, that 40 bulls or that we 8 haven't seen in a couple decades now. So, we're going 9 to go do a fall survey and we'll figure out if it's like 10 a hunter issue or a biological more bulls on the landscape kind of thing and then we'll reassess how we 11 12 want to respond to this in the future. And I think 13 that'll be especially important, especially as we see 14 like 22C has been a two-day season for ten years now, 15 since 2012 -- what did I say? A two-day season for ten 16 years. And now 22D-Kuzitrin seems to be getting into this three-day season. Used to be two weeks. It's just 17 18 getting shorter and shorter, it seems like every year 19 now. I would have made it a two-day season if I -- you 20 know, the last two years it just seems like things are 21 going so fast and it's getting harder for us to manage 22 the season the way that it's set up right now. It's in 23 any bull bag limit. So, which is pretty much the same 24 story across the whole Unit. So, you could harvest any bull that you find, but which in the past was just kind 25 of a user preference thing, folks didn't want to have 26 27 to leaf through whether a moose was a 50-inch antler 28 spread or a spike fork, they wanted to be able to harvest 29 any moose. And in the past, I think that was just user 30 preference. They'd rather have any bull bag limit, and 31 they didn't mind the two-day season necessarily. But as 32 we get on with this 40-bull harvest, if it doesn't seem 33 like there's more bulls from this fall survey we're about 34 to do, I think it's going to be time to reassess that 35 any bull bag limit and the advisory committee -- if Tom 36 was here, I'm sure he'd be able to speak to how there's 37 been some grumblings from the Northern Norton Sound 38 Advisory Committee about moving at least the 22C bag 39 limit, but possibly 22D-Kuzitrin as well to an antler 40 restriction. So, that would look like something where 41 you could only harvest a bull that was a spike fork. So, 42 those yearling bulls, half of them we expect to be spike 43 fork configuration with the antlers. So, they'd be available for harvest or 50 or more inches. So, those 44 45 mature bulls or four or more brow tines would be the 46 limit on the higher end. And Alicia and I have been 47 running a lot of numbers on that, and it seems like 48 probably every other bull you find could meet that legal 49 definition of that antler restriction. We've been doing 50 a lot of work getting ready to discuss this with the

Northern Norton Sound. So, just a couple of things we've been thinking about and we'll discuss with the Advisory Committees. It's obviously not something we're going to just do and is coming in the -- this next September, but 5 just wanted to bring it to your attention, since I know 6 we've been talking about these 2- or 3-day seasons and what we can do about it that's kind of the idea, I think 8 would be probably best to move forward and we have had discussions with the Advisory Committee where there are 10 a lot of folks that want to retain that any bull limit. And I think a compromise could be this general season 11 12 antler restriction, but also having sort of a limited 13 first come, first serve, any bull permit so, you could 14 reserve ten of the bulls we decide are available for 15 harvest. And then the first, I don't know, 15 people that come by the Nome office can get this permit and 16 they can still hunt any bull. So, we've discussed a lot 17 18 of these options with the local Advisory Committee. We're expecting to have another meeting the first week 19 20 of December to discuss it more. None of this is going 21 to happen right away, but just wanted to bring it to 22 your attention. Just in case, just to consider and again, 23 this isn't going to be something that's affecting the 24 entire RM840 for the area right now. The two areas with the most restricted season is 22C by Nome and 22D-25 Kuzitrin, which it seems like the vast majority of 26 27 hunters in that area are Nome residents. And then there's 28 a handful that are from Teller and even fewer from Brevig 29 from our numbers. So, kind of a Nome issue for the most 30 part. So, just something that's coming down the pipe, 31 and I think I will just stop there for comments and 32 discussion for now.

33 34

35

36

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: One question from me and then Chuck Menadelook. I didn't hear anything talking about Tier 2. There's no discussion about Tier 2 at the committee level or biology side?

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah. Through the Chair. So, that's a great question. And we actually just had a discussion before the statewide Board of Game about that, because Kawerak -- Chuck can probably speak to this as well. They submitted a proposal to reassess that ANS, consider if we are in the parameters of Tier 2. It was a great discussion. We worked with subsistence and essentially the things that we came up with is with our reported harvest in our estimated unreported harvest that we have in Unit 22, because our amount necessary for subsistence, it's a number that we decide kind of puts us in that Tier 2 criterion. The, the harvest that

we think is occurring is above that amount necessary for 1 subsistence. So, until that number drops, that's kind of the impetus that would put us into Tier 2. We're not there yet. So, I think I'll just leave it at that. Tier 5 2 was not really being considered at this time, but it was a huge discussion that just happened at the Board of Game, as if we needed to do some sort of ANS 8 reconsideration. And I believe the Kawerak proposal in particular did address that two-day season. But from 10 what I have seen, thinking about this every day for the last two years now, I think the two-day season is more 11 just an artifact of the any bull bag limit and hunters 12 13 just being able to get pretty much anywhere. I -- side 14 by sides nowadays, I've seen videos of them just driving 15 over alders like it's no-thing and they can just get anywhere in these drainages in 22C. It just doesn't seem 16 like anything's holding back hunters these days and I 17 18 think that access is really enabling harvest to just happen at this really high rate. in those two days. 19 20 There's not any more hunters than there were before. It's just hunters are used to this two day season, and 21 22 they're just getting good at harvesting on that two day 23 season that they've got. So, just kind of my thoughts 24 on that.

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, sir. I'm a lifetime -- well, I've hunted in all areas, but the 22D is my basically bread and butter. It's been a lifetime there living at Pilgrim Springs, living at Kuzitrin. Yeah. My whole life's been there. I watched the moose herd show up, and then I watched the bear herd show up after. So, and the Beaver. So. Anyway, thank you for that. Any questions or comments? Mr. Moses.

33 34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. MOSES: Yeah. My question is, I'm from Golovin, and right across the Knik side is the village of Elim. They have a hunt like Unalakleet in some ways, but the border line, there's a line right there on Darby Ridge. It's that point going straight up between the N and the E. Yeah right there. Darby Ridge going straight up, where Louis pointing. Now on Elim side there, moose hunting. There's an imaginary line right there. They say west of the Darby Mountains for Elim side and that's their border line. And then look at 22C, 22D now there's -- you could see they're parted. But when moose hunting season comes in September there's lots of Nome people that go towards 22D, 22C and some go to 22B. Who has the authority to draw the line on the Darby Mountains for west of Elim for their hunt and why? I don't know how to say it but, say a 22C gets a permit

to hunt moose that fall and he gets it in Nome that's where they get it in Nome are online. Then you go to 22B and go moose hunting, shouldn't there -- wouldn't it be restricted if they were only to get one permit to stay in 22C or 22B or 22D or it's all for the whole thing?

5 6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

HENSLEE: Yeah. So, through the Chair. Thank you for that question. And you actually just made me remember another thing I wanted to mention. So, first off it seemed like your question was -- your first question was about the difference between kind of hunt management between 22B East and West and who has authority to make the different hunts between the two, because one is a general season and one's a registration. So, that is established in cooperation between, you know like Alaska Department of Fish and Game. We -- our job is to say like there's this many bowls available. So, in 22B west we say there's 40 and then one of the considerations with 22B west versus east is the road access. So, we're 22B east a lot of the hunting pressure is kind of limited by access And as such you know Elim and Koyuk are the vast majority of hunters participating in that area. So, there's a lot less potential harvest in east compared to west where you've got that road Nome hunters can access it. So, because of that, we have this quota, because if we were to have a general season, the harvest would go way over what's sustainable. Because yeah, between Nome, White Mountain, Elim, they're just -- I mean, Nome, White Mountain, Golovin there'd be tons of harvest and then we would have no bulls after a year or two. So, that's kind of a lot of that goes into consideration. Fish and Game's role is to just say basically what's sustainable to be harvested so that we can continue to have this hunt year after year and then the public -- so, with the RAC, they work on the Federal land and with our local Advisory Committees, they create these hunt, you know, strategies according to what local residents out of Golovin, White Mountain and Nome want. So, this 22B west season, we've got, for example, the fall RM840 season, September 1st to the 14th. And then there's also that winter season, RM843, that was developed from the Northern Norton Sound Advisory Committee because residents of Golovin and White Mountain wanted to see a winter season, and those permits are only available in White Mountain and Golovin to kind of give those residents a chance to harvest in the winter, because that's what local -- the members of the public wanted to do. So, that's a public kind of informed process there and your second question was about how our RM840, you asked if someone picks up a permit, if they

have to choose which subunit of RM840 they can hunt in. 1 And currently there's nothing stopping an RM840 permit holder from participating in all four of our management areas. So, that's 22D remainder that opens on August 5 10th. There's 22D-Kuzitrin September 1st and 22C, 22 B 6 West all open on September 1st. So, in theory someone could start hunting up in D remainder and then when the September season starts, they could go to D. They can 8 9 hunt 22C for two days till it closes and move so on and 10 so forth. But the City of White Mountain and maybe you've heard about it. They are currently considering what's 11 12 called an agenda change request, and they would like to 13 require an RM840 permit holder to choose which of those 14 four units they're going to hunt in. So, like I as a 15 Nome resident, I pick up my permit and I say I want to 16 hunt in 22C and I can no longer participate in 22B west, 17 22D Kuzitrin or 22D remainder. So, that's something that's being developed by the City of White Mountain. I 18 met with them last month. They're planning to submit 19 20 that as a agenda change request, which I'm not sure will 21 get accepted to get into this Board cycle. But if it 22 doesn't get accepted, it will be considered the next 23 time the Board of Game meets for our region, which is 24 going to be in 2027. So, that's something that's coming 25 down the pipe. And if that's something that the -- you're interested in or Golovin residents are interested in, 26 27 I'd encourage them to like go through the City, go 28 through the local Golovin representative who I want to 29 say is Jack Fagerstrom. I'm trying to think of the --30 yeah. So, I would encourage you to speak with him about 31 that, because if this agenda change request gets 32 submitted or if it gets submitted as a proposal through 33 the regular cycle, the Advisory Committees are going to 34 have a lot of discussion about it. And because it's not 35 a biological issue, it's a -- what's called allocative, which is something where it's kind of the public's choice 36 37 on whether we change it because there's no biology 38 related to whether someone's hunting in only one unit. 39 The Advisory Committees usually have a lot of sway with 40 the Board of Game on whether it gets accepted or not. 41 So, that is something coming down the pipe with the City 42 of White Mountain. And another thing that they've 43 considered while I'm talking about this 22B west area, 44 they're also submitting an agenda change request to move 45 the 22B west season to begin on September 6th. Right 46 now, I believe is the date. So, 10th? Okay, a week to 47 10 days later, perhaps September 10th, and run it through 48 the 20th and they're doing that because -- Tom had 49 mentioned during his public comments how a lot of White 50 Mountain residents struggled to get moose this year

because the moose had not moved down from the mountains 1 onto the river. So, that's another thing that they're considering, is moving only the 22B west season to begin September 10th, is the latest draft we've been working 5 on. And then that -- there's one more thing I'm trying 6 to think of. I believe the last thing they wanted to do was restrict RM840 to only allow one permit per household. And that's another thing that was just in 8 line with only having individuals hunt in one specific 10 area because as if they were to restrict it to where you 11 choose one unit people in the same household could choose 12 different units, and then they could still hunt, and B 13 and C, for example. So, those three things are all being 14 submitted as agenda change requests. I think the one that might get accepted is the season date change to 15 September 10th. But I guess I'll leave it at that right 16 now. What -- the due date is November 1st, and then 17 18 we'll see if they accept it. They'll have a meeting here 19 in a month or two and discuss it then. So, just a couple 20 updates.

21 22

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Sara. Martin and Chuck after.

232425

MR. AUKONGAK: Sorry, Chuck. Go ahead.

26 27

MR. MENADELOOK: Thank you. Chuck Menadelook. I just wanted to ask since we had a 27 -- was it 27 and quarter and 44 count?

29 30 31

28

MS. HENSLEE: Yes.

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. MENADELOOK: Has anybody thought of all of the impact that all of the chopper traffic to Graphite One? I'm not saying that's a bad thing, but, you know, I've hunted Imuruk Basin for, you know, 30 years with my brother. And we always went up there and one year, you know, there was four bears on the line that were going from 22 -- the corner of 22C to Imuruk Basin and there were 40 moose came out of the willows right around where Graphite One is being built. Okay. Now my question is, has anybody thought that -- or thought about the effect that all of the chopper traffic is having on that area? Because it seems like to me anyway, and I have nothing to base it on. But it seemed like to me that all the bulls are going from 22D to 22C, and it has -- it might not have anything to do with the amount of gas or people going out to go moose hunting. Its just that the moose is nothing for them to move 30 miles in a day, you know, they're like horses they have.

1 They'll run like horses. They move, they walk. I've watched a moose walk five miles in ten minutes, you know. So, has anybody thought of that, that all of the chopper traffic going to Graphite One because since I've 5 been working this job every summer, there's been a 6 chopper -- there's been chopper traffic to Graphite One, you know, almost all day long. From Nome to Graphite 8 One, Nome to Graphite One. Does that have anything to 9 do with why we're catching a lot of moose in 22C? You 10 know, I don't have anything. Basically, it's just a 11 question. Thank you.

12 13

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Chuck.} Good question.$ 

14 15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah. Through the Chair, I think. Definitely an a great question. And we aren't --I believe there's some university studies that are assessing the impact of Graphite One through harvest, but no active project on moose movement with that question in mind specifically. We do have over 100 collared female moose on the Seward Peninsula right now that we try to take a look at least twice a year. We do calving surveys of those collared moose every spring. And just anecdotally, from what I've found, these cows have no problem twinning at the base of Graphite One. They don't seem to have any difference in whether their calves survive or not. Bears will find in Graphite One. Bears will find them in the Sinuk. No differences from just what I've seen. And when I've tried to do kind of spatial like differences between survival there. So, the cows seem to be doing fine. They -- we have a maybe 15 GPS collared females now, and they'll move through that corridor, Mosquito Pass and it's incredible. They just -- they'll go pop up. They'll come back down. They whether it's helicopter use making a move or they just feel like going into the Pilgrim, maybe near the Hot Springs for a nice day out on the park, I don't know. But, yeah, we -- we're watching them. I -- and then I think it'll be interesting with this fall composition survey in 22C. That'll give us an idea of what the number of bulls is looking like in that area. And we can compare that with like locations from past years to see like, are there still a lot of bulls in the Sinuk? Are they moving away? And just questions to kind of like get at that for sure. So, yeah.

46 47 48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you. Thank you for the question, Chuck. And thank you, Sara. We'll put this out there about the Mosquito Pass. It's a flyway

 for aircraft. It's been like that. I started flying over 40 years ago, and that's the tunnel. And when you -- let's say you're going west and you're going to Imuruk -- towards Imuruk this side of that -- right at the outset -- the cobblestone there right there. That was a big breeding ground right there. Just it's hard to get to, nobody hunts it. You know, it's a fall-time moose catch. They're all in there just stacked up. You see them all around there. And I just was wondering if that's kind of the same effect on the south side of the Imuruk, where you're talking about. Maybe another site like that that I never really paid attention to because I was over the other way.

## (Pause)

Okay, well. Thank you. What do you got,

18 Martin?

MR. AUKONGAK: It's -- I'm real quick. It's -- anyway. It's cool. It's once you pick, I caution you. You know, I want you to get your moose. Don't get me wrong, but if you did pick in my Unit, you can't go -- you cannot go in corporate land. So, there's guidelines right there. That's kind of a question of maybe Bering Straits. I'm not trying to step on toes or anything. What are their guidelines of letting you know non-shareholder go hunt on their land? Because these bylaws have been around forever since 1971. So, that's something to think about.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: And that's come into play with certain village corporations that advertise in the newspaper, the Nugget. I don't recall all of them, but I know that they're in there. Not sure about Bering Straits, but there may be something in there. Anybody else? Mary.

MS. FREYTAG: Mr. Chairman, Mary Freytag, Unalakleet. Just -- I was just curious on the harvest quota numbers for -- are they based on the surveys numbers that you guys -- is that a yearly population survey you guys do, or is it staggered? And then I -- he touched -- Louis touched on Tier 1 and Tier 2. I have no clue what he's talking about so, I'm ignorant on that. Yeah, I, and then my other thing was days of harvest. Where is that? You mentioned there's two days to harvest moose. And I was wondering why it's only two days open. And is there a quota to that? And why it's only two days?

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you for the questions. They're good ones. Sara.

4 5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah. Thank you. Mary, I think it's a good reminder for us with our acronyms and Tier 2 and statewide jargon to explain to folks. But first, I'll answer your question about the quotas. We bring in a whole slew of data. So, we do these fall composition surveys, which is when Alicia and I will go out with a couple pilots and we'll fly all the drainages that we can as soon as the snow sets up and we will look at the bulls, we'll look at the size of the bulls. So, we'll see who are our big mature breeding bulls, who are the ones that are subadults and those yearling bulls that are smaller than 30inches or spike fork, but well kind of bring that fall data together with a spring survey, which is when we'll go out with a lot more pilots, will fly study areas that are in a grid format. And it -- just there's some boring statistics which I won't mention, but we'll fly this grid and it'll allow us to get an estimate of how many moose are in an area. And we do that in 22C and 22B west. We'll do it in 22A in the Unalakleet drainage and then we'll get into 22D and E. And those are completed every 3 or 4 years now along -- as long as we get the weather for it. There's times where we just get storm after storm and maybe like four years ago, we weren't able to get a survey done because it was just like 20 storms in February. It was just -- we couldn't get it done. But anyway, we've got -- 22A's coming up next. That's what we're going to be doing this fall and spring and then after that we are going to get back into 22C by Nome and we'll count 22B do the same thing fall and spring survey. And then finally 22D and E to see how the moose are doing up there. And we'll bring the number of bulls in an area. We'll consider how many bulls there are relative to how many cows, which gives us an idea of are there enough bulls to breed with cows. And there's certain numbers that we shoot for. So, in 22C, historically we've only wanted 20 bulls per 100 cows is what the metric is. But lately I've been shooting for a larger number. I want more bulls in the area, which I think has really come in handy as we -- our harvest keeps going up. I just want more of a savings account of bulls. I want to make sure all those cows get breed, because that's what's going to increase the population, which everybody wants more moose. So, things like that, I'm looking for -- I just want to make sure there's enough bulls that are available for hunters to harvest, but there's still

enough bowls after the hunting season that they can breed 1 with cows. So, that's kind of a long way of saying, I look at fall and spring surveys to tell me how many bulls I think are available to be harvested in the fall, 5 or in the example of Unalakleet they have that winter 6 season. And in some situations, like by Unalakleet, there's more bulls than cows available. We don't need a 8 quota there. There's just so many bulls. We can't just harvest as many as you can, because at some point the 10 bulls are just eating resources that are available for 11 calves and cows and we got to prioritize the calves and 12 cows. So, we don't need that many bulls on the landscape 13 as -- sorry men, not as important as the females and 14 moose populations. So, Unalaklets got a ton of bulls. 15 We have no concerns about that. That's why there's no 16 quota, whereas in 22C, there's a lot more to think about. There's 3,500 people in Nome and a lot of interested 17 18 hunters. We keep getting -- I think 550 hunters apply for an RM840 permit. The local Advisory Committee has 19 20 worked hard to restrict that. It's an in-person only 21 permit, but there's just so much interest in hunting 22 moose and we've talked about it. There's just not much 23 else meat wise in this area, moose is king here and a lot of interest in hunting moose. So, that is why we 24 25 have kind of a quota in place and it gets hit in two days, because when you have 550 hunters that are going 26 27 out there, there's a road system, you got hunters and 28 groups of 4 or 5 side by sides, six wheelers. And then 29 there's me hiking into rivers, thinking I can find 30 something while there's people buzzing about and it's 31 just so much hunting pressure in a couple of days. Yeah, just a lot of interested hunters getting better and 32 33 better at harvesting moose in the tundra, it seems like. 34 And yeah, I hope it means there's more bulls on the 35 landscape in 2020. But that's why we're going to go out 36 this fall and make sure that there are because otherwise, 37 if hunters can keep shooting 40 bulls every year in 22C, 38 the worry is that, you know, they aren't -- there aren't 39 going to be very many bulls for that much longer. And 40 then we go back to where there's no hunting in a 41 moratorium and nobody wants to see that. So, we have 42 these quotas set in place to give us a little bit of 43 wiggle room to make sure that there's enough breeding 44 bulls in the area for those cows in the rut. And these 45 numbers, there is a little bit of give to it, where if 46 we do go above the quota, it doesn't mean that we've 47 wiped out all the bulls and it's the end of the world. 48 Like there's a couple of these Kuzitrin area, the quota 49 was 27, we harvested 33. Like that's okay. So, we'll set 50 a little bit lower of a number so we can issue that

5

6

8

closure to close the season. And by the time we get all the reports in, it's kind of where we want it to be. And Alicia and I spend a lot of time looking at our crystal ball, looking at weather, guessing when the season's going to close. But hunters have learned there's a 24-hour reporting requirement, and they'll call right at the end of that 24-hours, because their buddies might get a moose if they have the season open a day longer and sometimes, they get me. But it's a circus, so.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Oh, yeah, Tier 1 and Tier 2, just to see how I can kind of make this simple. Basically, tier two. Are you familiar with the muskox hunting? We've discussed the application system earlier in this meeting this morning. That is when in order to get a moose permit, everyone in Unit 22 would have to apply in November and December and kind of do the whole program of, like, how many days you spent hunting and fishing and that's that ranked thing we talked about, where you get scored according to how many days you've hunted or fished, how many years you've applied, and the cost of gas and groceries gets fed into that too. So, some of the shortcomings of Tier 2 is, for example, Nome has a lot more demand than we have moose available. But in Unalakleet, there's no quota and there's tons of moose available. But you would also be restricted to Tier 2, even though that might not be what Unalakleet wants necessarily. Because right now you have -- a let's see, it's five-month season. So, is it appropriate to assign a Tier 2 structure to the entire unit 22? I think folks in the villages might say otherwise. So, it's a difficult conversation and yeah, and it may be -- it's not necessarily in everyone's best interest. And the issue with Nome as well is we don't score as high on food and gas. So, the folks from the villages could potentially get our Nome permits and Nome could be blocked out of the moose hunt completely. Just the way that the scoring works, which maybe some folks would be happy about that, but just Tier 2 is a little complicated. And the thing above Tier 2 is Tier 1, where there's it's a complicated system. Basically, we're about as close as Tier 1 as you can get without saying you're in Tier 1. So, the fact that we have these permits that are only available in person at Unit 22 locations. So, the RM840 is only available in Nome, White Mountain, Golovin, Teller and Brevig. That's pretty restrictive for the State to do because we often don't discriminate against -- we consider all Alaska residents subsistence users. So, to do that sort of restriction to only be in person is -it's kind of getting towards Tier 1 where it starts to

discriminate according to certain groups of residents. 1 And then that's the same thing with the winter RM843 hunting Golovin and White Mountain. You can only get it -- they're very restrictive, where only two villages get 5 it. So, I think we're quietly in Tier 1. I guess you 6 could say, or now that I'm putting this on the record, but we do what we can and Tier 2 it would be -- I think 8 it would not really -- it's not really a situation that would apply to all of Unit 22. And there'd be some 10 unhappy people if we were to go to that point. And I don't know that we're there necessarily. So, yeah, 11 thanks for the question. Sorry for the long-winded 12 13 answer.

14 15

MS. FREYTAG: Thank you so much. That answered my question very much.

16 17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Mary, for the question. Thank you, Sara, for the answers. Something jumped out there on the Tier 2. The Tier 2 salmon that -- salmon Tier 2 that was put on the residents of Nome subdistrict, I think it was '99 by the Board of Fish. They came actually came to Nome and did it, which lasted about close to 20 years. I don't recall the date it was taken out, but it was only directed to take place in 22 Nome subdistrict. And it didn't go outside of that. So, I'm kind of thinking that if it was to do with the game, it might be the same. So, it wouldn't apply to the whole unit. But I could be wrong. It might be a little different than what the salmon were. But anyway, anybody. So, Elmer.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. SEETOT: Elmer from Brevig Mission. Mr. Menadelook touched on Graphite One. I was there in Agiapuk last month, and I'm going to the main river of Agiapuk or going into Imuruk Basin from the Toksook side. From the west side, at least there were some seagulls, some ducks showing along the shoreline. Once you get into main Imuruk Basin. Up, up towards Agiapuk I would assume that the thump, thump, thump of the helicopter blades throughout the summer. When you're near them, you can like, hear the vibration coming from there. And I assume that that's where most of the geese that that usually stay around there and pick on berries and whatever around there. They were pretty much nonexistent from the Agiapuk River qll the way maybe to Cobblestone. There was some from Cobblestone to maybe Windy Cove, where Graphite One is you never see no, no flying ducks around. What -- they were there even though I'm on the substance -- not the Board or Council or

whatever. Advisory Council for Graphite One, I kind of 1 mentioned, you know, you're going to with that kind of noise, you're going to drive all the animals out. Last year. I was hearing that they were seeing snowshoe 5 rabbits up and around Sunset Creek. That's what? 40 miles 6 away. That's on the north side of Grantley Harbor. And you don't see that very much snowshoe rabbits, you know, 8 up and around that area. You can see a lot of hares, you 9 know, the regular, the big -- I get my description of 10 both kind of mixed up, but I know, you know, the snowshoe hare and rabbits, you know, they're -- they were pretty 11 12 much up and around. And like Mr. Menadelook said, he 13 said four bears came out, you know, and all the moose 14 came out. I've been in that situation before. You try 15 to look for a moose, you get -- you can walk right by 16 two feet away without even seeing it. If the underbrush is thick, that's their specialty. That's how 17 18 they survive. That's the one thing that we don't quite understand on our side. We think that they act like 19 20 humans. I don't think so. They got -- they don't come 21 together and convenience. Oh, let's get away from the 22 herders. Let's go up to the high mountain. Stay away 23 from them. Because I know that they don't like -- ice 24 breaking up so, they stay in the hills until after the 25 ice freeze up in our area anyway. But what I've been 26 also hearing speak lately of the animals that you're 27 going to hunt, like you're not -- oh, I'm going to go 28 get me a moose or just, you know, something, something 29 specific like that. I was taught not to, you know, say 30 it out loud in a way that would offend the spirit, the 31 creature, or whatever. But then people that regulate 32 these animals sometimes, you know, they say words, you 33 know, that that are not descriptive of the animals that 34 we rely on. Moose are new species. I didn't hear moose 35 when I was growing up. They say they saw a big reindeer 36 somewhere up the river. And that was before my -- before 37 I started hearing of moose coming in from the Interior. 38 So, like Mr. Green was probably saying the activity or 39 whoever was saying that activity from Graphite One can 40 drive animals away because you can hear the -- you can 41 hear the vibration when they're close in your ears. Would 42 you stay there to sleep? I don't think so. I mean, the 43 animals that are incapable of escaping that area, you 44 know, they got other dangers too you know, predators and 45 such. So, animals like this that we subsist on it there 46 -- the assumption is that from the last meeting, they're 47 still there. They're always on the move. Their food is 48 not in one place or they're -- their predators are not 49 cooperating today so, they leave you alone. So, there's 50 a lot of -- one thing I kind of mentioned quite a few,

1 maybe quite a few years back, I went along one river system and then melting the two, I counted over 20 moose kills within a one month period. So, you know how bad the predators are when, when you're out in the country. You that that are with the notebooks. You're okay. I 5 6 don't think you hear about that episode. You hear about that experience by the hunters. The only way you can get 8 true, meaningful regulation that is meaningful to the 9 people is go to the people. We wait for our time. We 10 wait for seasons to come around. Moose harvest is pretty much already gone now. Most of the people hunt for their 11 12 moose, the first, you know, first opening because 13 they're fat and they're not stressed out in a way. But 14 then to answer you -- I would say to answer your 15 question, not to say why a two-day hunt in Nome if you make your own observation, there's too many people in 16 17 Nome. There's too many nationalities, too many different 18 people. They got the modern toys, they got the truck, 19 whatever. You know, like I say, they got this one right 20 there. This is my international signal for iPhone. But 21 that's what they rely on quite a bit and for us to say 22 -- and for us to -- oh, moose season is already closed 23 in Nome, why doesn't it happen in the villages? Because 24 we in the villages, we have equipment that are not, you 25 know, not the fastest boat, not the -- not a truck. We 26 have to do things way, you know, beforehand -- planned beforehand if you want to have a successful hunt in the 27 28 villages. We got the weather, we got south winds. 29 However, any other factor that comes into being, to 30 prevent you from a successful hunt. It's right in the 31 villages. No, might, you know, they got TVs, radio. Oh, 32 the moose just passed by me. But I know that around the 33 base of the Kigluaik Mountains were Graphite One is 34 trying to mine there is a record antler moose that that 35 was taken a long time. So, I know that the moose like 36 to be there, but when they're -- think that they're 37 going to be -- they're being hunted. Then they'll stay 38 low even though, you know, they're right side you 39 walking. I did that a couple times. Wow. Then my hair 40 raised up. Oh, no. What if it attack me? You know, you 41 know, without any knowledge. That things we have to kind 42 of consider and it's not the same in all regions, but 43 at least we have a season for our moose, our caribou at 44 certain times of the year, you know, certain times we 45 wait for the seasons and then, okay, we have to see what 46 went wrong that last time. But like, no more saying why no two days. There's too many people here, that's what 47 my own thinking. And they got all the modern toys that 48 49 we don't have out in the country. I would, I would like 50 to catch a moose at the right time. But travel was so

5

6

8

bad this past season. Lots of rain. Like Mr. Gray was saying, they had to consume a lot of gas just to ride around and look around for moose sometime without seeing any. Same way -- it was the same way up around 20 -- in around Brevig because of all the high water of all the rain. So, they were just playing hide and seek, even though even though -- the moose are there, not (indiscernible) are there. It's just that they react in, you know, a certain way in different kinds of weather. And that's what I just want to put out. Thank you.

10 11 12

13

14

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Elmer. I'm going to allow one more and then we're going to move on. You haven't asked a question yet. So, I want to hear you talk.

15 16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

MR. HUNT: Good, good. Raymond Hunt here from Shaktoolik. Earlier you mentioned tags on the moose. So, I get, you know, that you're tracking some moose right here. I'm wondering where does the tagging take place. And you know, tracking the movement of them, and then, if possible, you know, I'd like to know if in the future, you know, be fair to have track, you know, each site. Not -- probably not drainage, but somewhere, somewhere in each village, you know, to try to track where the moose go, migrate where they come from and all. But it's not to check and ask, how much are we -where does the tracking takes place at first, and how many has been tagged so far? And if so, you know you know, try to tag each site. So, that way it gives the rest of us kind of an idea of the movement in each village.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. HENSLEE: Yeah. Through the Chair. Great question. So, we have two types of collars on our moose right now. And the first one, it's called VHF. I won't do the acronym. It's just basically you have to fly in a fixed wing plane to go find that moose, and you can triangulate or, I mean, you can locate where that moose is by, you know, we fly at 10,000ft. You get these beeps from the plane through a receiver, and eventually you can hone in on where that moose is and get right on top of them, and that -- we generally look at those collars for calving rates. I was saying, and they've collared gosh, I think it was 100 moose from 2017 through 2022. We collared short yearlings. So, those are moose that are 10 or 11 months old. They're just short of a year and weighed them. That was a project Warren Hanson did. If anybody remembers his name, he left a couple of years ago. He was our moose researcher, and he was doing

that project to understand better what was limiting our 1 population in 22C and 22D in the Kuzitrin. As you know, there used to be double the number of moose back in the 80s. People said they could ride across the Kuzitrin on 5 the backs of moose. Just so lots of questions on why 6 aren't we getting back to that point and how can we -what's holding us back? So, he initiated that project 8 back in 2017 and initially he did those VHF callers. And 9 then we do the calving surveys. We've done them since 10 2020. We've got five years of calving surveys on that. 11 And then in 2021, he started putting GPS collars on 12 moose and he put out 19, I believe. And those GPS collars 13 are a little different to where every day we can log 14 into the computer and we know where these moose are. So, 15 that's what we're putting on muskox nowadays. It's what 16 we're putting on moose. They're a little more expensive, 17 but they give us way better resolution of what the moose 18 is doing. And I really like these GPS collars because, 19 for example, we have this moose right now. She's a 20 female, she's three years old, and she was collared in 21 the Moon Mountains, which is right next to Sledge Island 22 on the map there. Alicia will point it out and we 23 collared her when she was a short yearling, and Alicia 24 will now show you where she's moved since May 2024. So, 25 over the last five months, she's traveled 700 miles and she is now by St. Michael. I don't know why she's doing 26 27 that. I have, yeah -- so, she just decided she was sick 28 of Nome and wanted to go for a greener pasture. She's 29 now going where there's a way higher density of moose. 30 I don't get it. Usually, cows stay in the same area. 31 They like to just, you know, remain in the same small 32 area their whole life. There's been some big movements 33 of, like, 300 miles that researchers documented in the 34 90s in the Interior. But to see a moose move 700 miles, 35 a female moose moved move 700 miles. She actually stopped 36 by Shaktoolik for couple weeks, you might have seen her 37 while you were moose hunting. She was by Unalakleet. She 38 decided she didn't like Unalakleet either and now she's 39 by St. Michael. I don't know where she is these days. I 40 haven't run the numbers, but she's moving like 30 miles 41 a day. Like you were saying. Like, what the heck is she 42 doing? But anyway, my point is, these GPS collars, they 43 let us see a lot more information on what moose are 44 doing in our neck of the woods. So, in the past, you 45 know, you kind of think moose are hanging out in the 46 same area. But now what we're seeing is it's just no-47 thing for a moose to just pop up into the Kuzitrin. 48 Maybe they're going to go to the lava beds. Oh, never 49 mind. We're going to come back to Nome, and they'll do 50 these seasonal migrations where they'll go to the lava

1 beds for the wintertime, and they'll come back to calve. They usually like to calve in the same area year after year. But anyway so, that -- you have one moose in 22A that has a collar on it right now, but that might not 5 last very long because who knows where she's going to 6 end up. So, yeah, the collaring project at this time is done, and the majority of our collars are still in 22C, 8 22D, and a lot of those females from D have moved into 9 E. I'm sure Elmer could corroborate this, but it seems 10 like moose kind of move up and down the mountains on the 11 other side, fall habitat they like to move into -- from 12 the Agiapuk kind of into the Nuluk drainage and then 13 they come back and forth is the movement that we're 14 seeing. So, a lot of movement between subunits, but not so much easterly. There are a few, but they don't seem 15 16 to be going east as much. I wonder if it's just like 17 higher density or usually in a low-density area there's 18 not a lot of incentive for a moose to move, because why 19 move away from where there's unlimited pizzas to 20 somewhere where there's not? So, I still don't know what 21 that three-year-old is doing down by -- it doesn't make 22 any sense to me, but anyway so, done with collaring for 23 now. But of course, public interest is gonna weigh into 24 what inspires future research projects. We have a new 25 research biologist starting on December 1st. We're very 26 excited about him. And you know, public comment like 27 this. We -- I've got an email drafted to our research 28 coordinator about a lot of questions about moose 29 movement near Unalakleet because as we've been saying, 30 there's more bulls than cows there. Why is that? And are 31 they coming to stay? Are they leaving after we do our 32 full composition survey? What is the nature of these 33 bulls? Are they up in Shaktoolik? Are there tons of bulls there? There's just -- there are some questions. 34 35 And that research biologist will be tasked with investigating that, as long as there's a lot of public 36 37 interest. And I have been hearing it from Unalakleet, 38 Shaktoolik. This is the first time I've heard interest 39 in that kind of movement project from there. But we let 40 our research staff know and we kind of prioritize 41 projects according to public interest in like a 42 biological need. And I think at this point, 22C and D, 43 we know what's going on with our moose population there. 44 And its perhaps time to expand. So, that was a really 45 good question. Thank you. 46

47 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Raymond. 48 Thank you, Sara.

48 Thank you, Sara. 49

MS. FREYTAG: Mr. Chairman.

## CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Last one.

2 3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. FREYTAG: Mary Freytag I just wanted to point out when you were talking about the collared female and why they went all the way down to where they went. Several -- well, a couple decades ago, I had the privilege of being on the Golsovia with me and my husband, and we took our three wheelers and just took a little ride from the mouth, and we went over this hump and boom, there was 40 some bulls. I was like, oh my gosh, we didn't have to go anywhere. I mean, we didn't even hunt them. We watched them because we knew they were in a rut, you know, not good to take them when they're in rut because meat's no good. But it was just amazing. I just wanted to share that the bull moose, like St. Michael area. I wonder if they go through Pikmiktalik River from the Yukon. Like, how Louis was saying that, you know, maybe they came up from the Yukon area to that way, the bull moose, anyway. But the females, they know where the males are. So, yeah, I just wanted to point that out.

222324

25

2627

28

29

30

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: So, you just said there she was running away from up there, down there. Okay. All right. All right so, we're done with that, I think Sara has done their job answering these questions, and we need to move on. Yeah, we gave it a lot. So, that brings us to a the Ocean conservancy -- Conservancy, excuse me. Oh, hey. Okay. I guess I missed it in here somewhere. Come on in. We do want to.....

31 32

## (Simultaneous speech)

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. HENSLEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My name is Luke Henslee. I'm the assistant area management biologist for Norton Sound and Kotzebue Fisheries and I'll just give you a quick update, and I'm going to focus on subsistence. Specifically, in Unalakleet, since that's where OSM is mainly focused on. So, this year we had a commercial fishing and subsistence fishing restrictions in the Unalakleet subdistrict. And we didn't allow any marine fishing from June 15th to July 1 to try to allow chinook salmon to escape into the river and get to spawning grounds. On July 1, we opened up subsistence fishing in freshwater using beach seines with no retention of chinook. So, basically, between June 15th on, we didn't really allow any harvest of chinook salmon trying to protect them and let them get up to their spawning grounds at Unalakleet.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

We had a lot of difficulty monitoring escapement this season. So, we get our funding for the Unalakleet weir through OSM specifically to monitor chinook salmon escapement. The river was huge this year. Putting the weir in was -- we have a really experienced team. I don't think it would have happened unless we had the people that we had. And then taking it out in mid-August, I was afraid we might have to let that thing freeze in because that's the tallest I've ever seen the Unalakleet River, it was over the banks, and we were able to get that out. And we were able to get a pretty good estimate of chinook salmon escapement this year. But unfortunately, the Shaktoolik tower in the North River tower suffered a lot of missed passage days just due to those high rivers. The last several years we've been conducting aerial surveys with NSEDC biologists. And again, those high rivers have really made that a challenge to see those fish on their spawning beds. So, we've been trying to investigate other methods. It seems like the last 5 or 6 seasons have just been so difficult to keep our projects in the water. We're kind of looking at alternative monitoring methods. One thing that we've tried for the first time this year was using a sonar specifically to count coho salmon in the Unalakleet River. That was really hard again, since the river was so huge this year. But it's something we're hoping to maybe be able to monitor early season chinook salmon escapement on the Unalakleet in the future. Anecdotally, we heard from residents in Shaktoolik and Unalakleet that they were able to get their subsistence salmon fairly well. We talked to several people that use beach seines to get chum and pinks. And then Shaktoolik and Unalakleet, I've never seen communities that use silver salmon so much, and I heard that they were able to get their silver salmon pretty well this year, even later in the season when the water was high. But when it came back down, we heard reports that people were still able to put away their silvers. And then I was interested to hear about the new priority interest need, I think, introduced by member Freytag for subsistence surveys and Unalakleet to talk about fisheries resources there, because that's one thing that we struggled with in recent years, is to get a good idea of the kind of fish that people are harvesting in Unalakleet. We do a household subsistence surveys in that community, and we only get about a 20% response rate. So, we've been looking into ways where we can get a better idea of if folks are getting the salmon that they need. But anecdotally, we've been hearing good reports from Unalakleet and

Shaktoolik. And that is really all I have for subsistence fisheries for this last year. Happy to take any questions.

4 5

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you. Council Moses.} \\$ 

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

MR. MOSES: I got a question. It relates to commercial fishing this summer and our village with NSEDC. We had two openings that I know of, the first one was 24 hours and during that 24-hour period, there was like seven commercial fishermen, 5 to 7. During that 24hour period and it was silver salmon fishing in one period, one fisherman caught -- that whole 24 hours, he only caught five fish, my son subsistence fish that time two during that time. Whole one day one Silver Salmon. And then a week later, after the 24-hour opened and closed about a week later, there was another opening. And it made the same run and there was like only seven fish in a 48-hour period opening was the most about -my question is, why did they let them open again when the first run of the numbers of the commercial fishermen with the fish were so low? And why did they open it again after, even if the numbers were so low like that?

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. HENSLEE: Yeah. Thank you. Thank you, member Moses. And through the Chair. Yeah, we were generally fairly conservative with our openings this year, and we went into the season knowing and letting fishermen know that we would be managing conservatively, especially for chum. And we had good hopes that we might be able to be a little bit more liberal with our openings during the silver part of the run. And with the high waters, it was such a challenge for us to get a good estimate of the number of fish in the river, the Niukluk River tower, which usually we would rely on for our escapement estimates for that system for coho. I think it only counted maybe seven days this entire season, the river was so high. And we actually did the most aerial surveys we've ever done in one season on the Fish, Niukluk, Casadepaga and the Ophir mainly for silver salmon abundance estimates. And so, I think that one of the reasons that we chose to do another opener was partly to test the waters, almost literally try to see what kind of presence there was of coho salmon by allowing a limited opener. And we use that data to advise whether or not we're going to have future openers. And we also flew surveys that indicated that there might be some escapement that had been missed. And we also get some of those abundance estimates from reports

5

subsistence fishermen. And we were just hearing that people were having such a hard time capturing fish because the river is so high. So, sometimes that means that there are fish in the river, just we're not able to see them in our escapements. Subsistence fishermen sometimes are unable to capture them in nets. And so sometimes, yeah, we'll open we'll do a short commercial opener to try to see what kind of offshore presence there is for silver salmon.

10

8

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you. Question back here from Roy Ashenfelter, public.

12 13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

MR. ASHENFELTER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Council members. Roy Ashenfelter, born and raised over on Fish River. In your data on the Fish River, I'll just let you know that the salmon run -- I could see that the river was high, that you were unable to count. But those of us that made an effort to cut and hang fish through staining, the volume of salmon was numerous. It was really, you know, we just had to make a minimal effort to catch them on the fish we cut and hang, which is 500 for us and I think down around the bend they're doing like a thousand. And they are just, you know, just catching that much in one day. So, you know, maybe some visits with some of us that fish on the rivers would help acknowledge or share with what's going on that we could see. And the other thing is, you know, pinks more than chum seem to what we call (In Native). They show their fins a lot. So, you know, we're able to, you know, sometimes where we see them, we're unable to say. But other places, we know they're there without understanding it this year, you're right. The difficulty of counting salmon in the rivers because of the high runoff is significant. So, I just want to make that suggestion and point out that the opportunity, at least on the Fish River, was successful.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Roy. We'll start with you. You're quiet. Raymond was yelling over there. No, I'm kidding.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

DR. VORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Hannah Voorhees, for the record. Luke, you mentioned the (indiscernible) surveys for Unalakleet for the harvest. And when Mary Freytag and Jarred and I met to discuss the PINs, there was a little bit of uncertainty about whether those are the post-season surveys are still going on, and it sounds like they are. I just wanted to confirm that.

MR. HENSLEE: Yeah. Thank you for that question. Through the Chair. Yeah, it is a little confusing. Because normally, these kind of surveys are conducted by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Subsistence Division. But it's a little interesting here. We kind of take that upon ourselves, the Commercial Fisheries Division, because that's data that we need in a really timely manner. And so, we've actually been conducting those surveys for at least the last ten years. So, when you see those in the subsistence -- the Fish and Game Subsistence reports, those are data that was actually collected by Commercial Fisheries. And that's one of the reasons that I would -- I'm really interested to see this new PIN, because I would love to talk to Fish and Game Subsistence Division. I think the last time there was a comprehensive survey of Unalakleet was 2012? 2012, 2009. I feel like it'd be -- this is a really good opportunity to perhaps get a better picture of the kind of subsistence use and need in that community. But yes, we still do annual subsistence surveys in Unalakleet, Shaktoolik and Koyuk.

DR. VORHEES: Thanks for that.

MR. HENSLEE: And if I could just speak to Roy's comment really quick, we love to hear from subsistence users in in the communities. That is a really valuable source of information for us and I do have a few contacts in different places where people will call me and let me know what they're seeing in the rivers and what they're catching. And that is really, really useful information, especially in a year like this where we're unable to count, unable to see fish and aerial surveys. We really put a lot of value in reports from local harvesters.

 $\label{eq:chairperson green: Okay. Thank you. Mr. \\ \text{Hunt, you're on.}$ 

MR. HUNT: Okay, I got a few questions and a little -- few concerns. Well one was even though the -- we had high waters, you know no one reported it. But, you know, we -- our fish subsistence was good, you know, numerous like Mr. Ashenfelter said. You know, I wish this is a little touchy subject right here, but I wish Tyler and Charlie were here as well or one of those. But you know, this summer with the river being record high, you know, and we had the -- they had the weir out, you know in the river and, you know, the river got

1 flooded. And then next thing you know, the weir fell apart and we didn't know. Nobody knew about it, but, you know, it involved -- I had to go and rescue three of my family members, you know luckily, they were in service, 5 and got the -- was able to make a call out to me, but 6 you know, they, you know, they ended up into a bad, bad boating accident, you know, passing the weir where it 8 was, you know, got hit by one of the posts and all three 9 of them fell out. You know, it was record high water, 10 you know fast, strong current. Just like, I don't know, 11 a surprise that they made it to the shore with that, you 12 know, strong current. But, you know, as soon as I got 13 the call, I just up and go and took off and saw, you 14 the boat -- their boat was up (indiscernible), and I was like, what happened? And 15 ended up being one of the posts, you know, with bad 16 accident like this, you know and whatnot, you know, 17 18 seemed like they should find a better way to try to 19 count the fish or you know, before the -- before floods 20 like this, take it out. You know, I'm sure it's a lot 21 of work, but, you know, it could save a life. You know, 22 it could have almost lost, you know, three of my family 23 members had to go and help out. But yeah, it's just -hope that something like this can be prevented and just 24 25 you know, honestly, I'm -- I would be better off without 26 the, you know, I'm a both subsistence and commercial 27 fisherman. And, you know, I'd go off with just the sonar and not count, you know, in my opinion because the 28 29 counting tower is just a hazard, you know, for the --30 for us all. You know, everyone passes through that river 31 and all, and, you know, it happened this year. I knew 32 it was just about a matter of time, of some kind of 33 accident like this that happens. And I just hope that, 34 you know, everyone takes it seriously and that, you know, 35 consider trying to take, you know, take out the weir, 36 you know, and just go off with the sonar. And because, 37 you know, we get more and more water each year and, you 38 know, that tower wasn't even -- not even half the season, 39 you know, count it for, you know Mother Nature. But it's 40 not to point that out that I hope you know, something 41 like this can be prevented and hopefully no more you 42 know, weir, you know, in my opinion, just the hazard. 43

And then also on the chum part, you know we miss out every year, you know for the -- we have numerous chums, you know, and when our -- you know, the fish conning tower is up and active, you know, we already lose more than half the you know, the chums that went up the river, you know, because you know, wasn't active

yet. And, you know, we miss out, you know a lot of good

chum openings. And when they try to open up chums and we get that 1 or 2 days a week, you know, they think that our chum numbers are low. And honestly, it's just that we miss the run. And that happens every single year, for the past how many years we've been trying to point that out, that, you know, we don't have low numbers of chums. It's just the timing of when they open for chums and the timing of the towers. It's -- like to point that out on that, too. And thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Hunt. Anything from you on that?

MR. HENSLEE: Yeah. I just -- thank you for those comments. And, yeah, these high-water years are really posing a challenge, and we're learning a lot. And we're looking into being able to be flexible and, and kind of pivot with these new seasons that we're experiencing. So, for example, you know, the Kuinerrak River tower, which we've run since the 60s, I've heard, about back in the day, being able to install that entire project wearing extra tufts. And now I have to wear a dry suit, and I'm a pretty tall guy and it's up to my neck. So, yeah, thanks for those comments and definitely duly noted and appreciate that.

Elmer.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. Okay. Go ahead,

MR. SEETOT: Elmer Seetot, Mission. You mentioned something about the counting towers or the weirs, you know, being on high water. I know that 20 years ago or so, ten years ago, in fact, or something like that in our area, Port Clarence district, for trying to get some herring for bait. They were doing some test fisheries. I'm not too sure. How come they're not doing any test fishery? You don't need to go to that place to see how much fish are there. They go right to Port Clarence Bay. They go right through Grantley Harbor. That's what I'm talking about. They're sockeye, the chum, the pink salmon. They go right, right near through Teller. Teller is a hot spot if you want to count fish. If you want to know the amount that are going through there, go by Teller. If you go in front of Brevig Mission to get a count for red salmon. You need to be there when there's westerly winds. Westerly winds. Nome Nugget. Nome Nugget put out a paper June 3 about the cold temperatures that were coming in and around our area. And two weeks later, or Fish -- Alaska Department of Fish and Game went up there to kind of

issue or talk about the seasoning talk a little bit 1 about, about the opening that was going to be and that it was going to be you know, closed for a couple of weeks, I mean, a couple of days at a time. What we know 5 in the villages is that -- I think they need to do their 6 homework more. One, they come in five, six year cycles. You need to go before that time. Before last year, 2024 to maybe 2019, 2018, 2020. How was the fall storms? Did 8 it wipe out the eggs from that time? That's a major 10 thing that I think that -- I kind of counterpoint is the 11 counting towers are not there. You can make an assumption. Once the fish start running in and around 12 13 our area, then you know they will continue. Reason we 14 don't catch very much fish in front of Brevig is that 15 they're channel 1 or 2 miles out of Brevig. And then 16 when south wind comes, you'll see an influx. You'll see it from zero to a couple thousand in just two days, up 17 18 at past the counting tower. That's the main thing that I think gives a false reading for Teller, at least for 19 20 Brevig Mission, is that we're in a cove, but majority of fish pass two miles out. Only time we really catch 21 22 them is when southwest -- is southwest westerly winds. 23 Toksook channel, catch them quite a bit. Oh. We got no more counting tower. Try the test fishery like they did 24 25 for herring. When they were trying to open it up and 26 around our area.

27 28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

I'm not really too sure about the silver salmon. We forget about the silver salmon when we're up there, because why? Our number one fish we have got in the freezer. Chinook, if we're lucky to get one, the pink salmon for the dry fish, and then the red salmon for the freezer or half dry. Those are the three main ones. No, chum show up this year too much. No humpies hardly show up. But for the run for the spring, the count for the reds. I got more red this year than chum or pink salmon combined for this season. So, I'm not too sure what happened to the chum run. I'm not too sure what happened to the pink salmon run, but they're there. And sometimes, you know, I think you got to go backwards using proven techniques to count salmon using modern technology. You know, there's -- just because you can't count the salmon doesn't mean it's the end of the game. You got to look for new ways, look for new ways that have helped regulators in the past. And then I think just relying on calculator create confusion. Get off your rocking chair and then the computer screen to get real life results. Were the ones -- were the front-line people that see it. You give us the data of what's out there. We'll show you what they're doing in and around

their region. That's the best way. And I think, that's 1 what we're lacking, is that there there's coordination between local governments and especially with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. I've been 5 having a lot of beef with the Department of Fish and 6 Game, but, you know, you keep it private to yourself. So, you're not disturb the natural resources way that 8 they go. But that's what I just want to point out. Our 9 salmon are there. The salmon are there. Why do you think 10 there's no salmon? Because we can't see them. We're just assuming. But if you do your research before that time. 11 How is the fall weather? How is this and that? Are they 12 being eaten by predators or other fish that, the small 13 14 fry eaten by other fish eating fish? So, many factors 15 after they spawn. But I think two things that are 16 happening in around (indiscernible) River watershed is 17 that a lot of beavers and a lot of pike. Imuruk Basin 18 and around the tributaries, lots of pike because you see the stain of eggs long and small. Our Kawerak people, 19 20 people that have long gone, they used to fish springtime 21 and then eat the eggs, you know, the roll or whatever 22 they call them, you know, right now. Fancy name sushi 23 or whatever. We've been doing that for many years. You 24 know, the. I think that's what kept the population check, 25 was that people from Teller, mostly Kawerak, Marrys 26 igloo area, they were the ones that were doing most of 27 the fishing in and around Pilgrim (indiscernible) River 28 areas. And when I used to see them up there, you know, 29 get a fresh fish, cut open the belly and then eat the 30 eggs. So, that was their practice of fishing for that 31 time. But now everything has skyrocketed. And then 32 around these areas, even around town in Brevig, even in 33 your places, beavers are there. So, I think they were -34 - National Park Service were saying International Beaver 35 Day, something some kind of curriculum for beavers for 36 the National Park Service in our area, something like 37 that. Something specific like Seward -- I mean, Selawik 38 National Preserve [sic]. They're dealing with caribou 39 out there. We could deal with beaver, you know, brand 40 new hats, you know, stuff like that. But that's what I 41 want to put out. I think be more proactive. What 42 residents, especially Teller, Brevig, were the stewards 43 of the fish that do come in, but were not really the 44 stewards of you know, dirty, you know, filthy areas, stuff like that. We do try to keep track and clean up, 45 46 but everything is outside that we really can't control. 47 Thank you for the opportunity to comment. 48

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you. Council

Seetot. Anything to add?

49

50

MR. HENSLEE: Nope. Just I really appreciate all these comments. And yeah, I would invite anyone to feel free to call, give me a call at the department and let me know what you're seeing out there. I really appreciate that.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: You're a biologist. I had one question about biology. How deep do chum salmon bury their eggs?

MR. HENSLEE: They'll generally, and this is true for all species, bury them as deep as they can. So, that's why you see a lot of pink salmon lower in the river. They're smaller fish. They can't move around bigger gravel. And then you get further up in the river, you start to see bigger fish. So, generally it'll be as deep as they can. And we know that they look for upwelling sites. That is kind of been proven time again. It's kind of recently been shown and I've noticed, you know, in the winter time you can really see those upwelling sites when you get up in the headwaters because they don't freeze. And it seems like it's been shown a lot on the Yukon, especially like it's why do chum love to spawn right here? And it looks like it's upwelling. But yeah, they can generally dig as deep as they can.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Would you say 8 to 12inches just...?

MR. HENSLEE: I mean I'm not -- yeah. I'm not an expert on that but I wouldn't be shocked if it was something like that. But I mean I assume you're -- I mean that's one thing that we worry about is yeah, these big precipitation events and washing out eggs. I would not be shocked to hear that that was influencing populations, but I can check on that too and get back to you with a better answer.

 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. Thank you for that. Upwellings. I've known about that for a long time, so that's why I say that this chum salmon is kind of like a keystone species, because it's got a place where it won't freeze out. Guaranteed that if the water is high and there's silt coming down there and that upwelling is coming up, that's fresh water. You're not talking about dirty water. Okay. The other question — the other comment I would make is that locating and counting salmon on these high-water years. To me, getting up to the spawning beds, cataloging the spawning

beds all the way through when it's real easy to tell and then utilizing that by counting those fish, you can do it with a camera and then go back to the office and count. I know there was one study in the Nome over there where biologists went and did all that actually mapped out spawning beds and whatnot. So, there is data for that.

So, anyway, thank you very much. We've gone a long ways here with this one, and I think we're done with it and we need to move on. Thank you. Thank you very much for standing up and sitting down and letting us know you're here. You weren't on here for some reason. What was your name again? Luke. All right. Hensley. Here you go. Thank you, Luke. Okay, so do we need a break or do we want to keep going you guys? We got some more stuff to do. No, I've heard it's not very long. Oh, let's go to the Ocean Conservancy and let them have their moment here.

MS. TIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. My name is Kristina Tirman. Can you guys hear me okay?

MS. CHAPA: Yes, Christina, we can hear you.

MS. TIRMAN: Okay, great. Yes, I will have just a brief presentation. I am going to share my screen so, just one moment. Are you guys able to see the screen?

MS. FREYTAG: Page 120 of your book.

MS. CHAPA: And yes, we can see your

screen.

MS. TIRMAN: Okay. Great. Thank you. So, like I said, my name is Kristina Tirman, and I work for Ocean Conservancy as the Arctic Marine Debris manager. I am based in Southeast Alaska, in Sitka, and it's really great to be able to join you guys virtually today. I'll be sharing just a little bit of information about the organization, Ocean Conservancy, and about some of our work that we do related to marine debris in Alaska. And I'll share a little bit about a project we have coming up. And I'm hopeful that there might be some opportunities for collaboration with some of you in the future. So, in my role with Ocean Conservancy, I get to work with communities and organizations and tribes

throughout the State and the Arctic region to support 1 their marine debris efforts. And it's been really interesting listening to you guys over the last day and a half or so. And I'm very curious to know if and how 5 marine debris impacts your subsistence hunting and harvesting practices and as -- especially as there is more and more plastic in the ocean and washing up on our 8 shores, it's not only impacting wildlife, but it's of 9 course also impacting those of us who rely on those 10 wildlife for food. And I think it was maybe Chuck that mentioned yesterday about monitoring of marine mammal 11 12 and seabird deaths in your community. And I'd be really 13 curious to know if any of those animals had microplastics 14 in their stomach or tissue samples, or if any of them had signs of entanglement. And I know someone else also 15 16 mentioned that microplastics in flesh was also a concern 17 for them as well. So, I'm definitely curious to hear 18 from you all about what your concerns are and how marine debris impacts you and your communities, and if there 19 20 are opportunities for us to collaborate. But I'll start 21 by telling you just a little bit about Ocean Conservancy. 22 For those who aren't familiar we're a nonprofit 23 organization that is headquartered in Washington, D.C., 24 and we do a lot of ocean policy and advocacy work at 25 both the National and International level, and we have 26 an Arctic team that's based here in Alaska with about 27 13 staff members. Our Arctic team focuses on a number 28 of different ocean related issues, but my focus is on 29 marine debris and plastic pollution. And for those who 30 aren't familiar with marine debris, it is defined as any 31 manufactured solid material that ends up in the ocean. 32 And the most common type and most harmful type of marine 33 debris is, of course, plastic. And Ocean Conservancy has 34 been involved in marine debris removal for 40 years 35 through the International Coastal Cleanup, which uses a 36 network model to rally hundreds of thousands of 37 participants each year to get out and clean beaches and 38 waterways in their local communities around the world. 39 And to date, it has involved over 18 million people from 40 450 organizations and over 350 million pounds of trash 41 has been removed. And we do have a global database that 42 lives on our website that tracks all of this information, 43 and it's used to inform research and advocate for policy 44 change. 4.5

And my work is primarily focused on Alaska and the Arctic region. And so, I'm involved with the Alaskan Arctic Cleanup project and the goal of that is to create a more regionally focused network of groups

50 that are involved in cleanups here in Alaska and the

1 Arctic region. We know that there are a lot of communities that are impacted by marine debris or are concerned about it or also involved in addressing it, but because everyone is so spread out and we're 5 geographically isolated, it's really hard to connect and collaborate and share that information. But as we know, being able to share that information and share strategies is really important and really valuable. And so, one of the things that we have done is we have a 10 Alaskan Arctic Marine Debris Facebook page, and we host quarterly calls for people to share information about 11 12 their work. And they can ask questions of the group or 13 share upcoming funding opportunities or research 14 projects or questions that they have. And we found that 15 many of us face similar challenges and share similar 16 concerns. So, being able to talk about these and connect is really valuable. And our next call is on November 18 13th so, if anyone is interested in joining my contact info will be on the last slide and I'll also type it in 19 20 the chat. So, feel free to get in touch and I can let you know more details about that.

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

17

And right now we work with about 15 organizations in Alaska on marine debris. And we know that there are many more organizations and communities that are involved in marine debris. These are just the ones that we have directly worked with and have supported on various projects, and we always want to make sure that the type of support that we're offering is tailored to the wants and the needs of the communities, which means that the type of support that we offer really is dependent on what the community needs. So, for some communities, we offer small grants for cleanups, and our grant process is very simple, and it can go towards pretty much anything that you need. It can go towards equipment or staff time materials. It can go towards snacks for volunteers or prizes. We can also help with logistics for actually planning a cleanup. So, in some communities, putting on a cleanup can be quite simple and just getting people out to the beach together. But for some, there can be a lot more logistics that go into it and it can be quite challenging. And so, we're always happy to help with the planning side of things. We also have some place based educational materials curriculum that we can share. And we've also traveled to communities to help community members sort of go over how to do this curriculum and education programs themselves. And we also have data collection protocols and can offer support with data management. We can ship clean up materials to you. We have gloves and bags. We

5

8

9

10

can also offer in-kind support through staff time and resources. So, many of the Federal grants require a match, and some require a 1 to 1 match, which can be challenging to meet sometimes. And so, we can help support that in grant applications by offering funding in-kind support through match. We also have a large global platform and reach. And so, we can help elevate local community stories to help drive more funding and help raise awareness. And then one of our newer projects that we've been helping support is disposal and backhaul of marine debris.

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

So, disposal and backhaul is definitely one of the most challenging parts of cleanups. So, this is kind of what we typically find during cleanups and I'm sure many of you are familiar with these sites, seeing large amounts of fishing net and line and buoys washing up on shores, which of course these are all entanglement hazards. And these can also break down into smaller and smaller plastics, which then become ingestion hazards for wildlife. But one of the problems we continue to face, and we continue to hear from communities is that they, you know, they can do these cleanups, they know how to do these cleanups and can hire local people to help with that. But then they just don't know what to do with the garbage, and it just sits in their communities with no realistic opportunity for disposal. And this -- these are photos. This is an example from Yakutat, the Yakutat landfill, they did a large cleanup over the past couple of years and are now in the process of figuring out how to recycle all of this debris so that it doesn't end up in their landfill. Because most of this debris, if not all of it, didn't actually originate locally, and so they don't want it taking up space in their landfill, which is completely understandable. And so, one of the things that we're really excited about is marine debris backhaul project that we're going to be starting this fall. So, we'll be receiving \$1.7 million from the EPA to develop a pilot program to transport and recycle marine debris from communities around Alaska to various facilities where there are recycling centers for marine debris and those exist in Anchorage, Kodiak and Seattle. And then at the end of the project, we'll be putting together a report outlining what worked and how we can create a sustainable marine debris backhaul program in the future. So, we'll be starting this fall with projects in Nome, Port Heiden and on St. Paul Island, and Nome will be working with the Kawerak Environmental Department to sort and stage marine debris that was collected and shipped from St.

Lawrence Island earlier this fall. And in Port Heiden will be working with the native village of Port Heiden to transport 30,000 pounds of debris to Kodiak, where it will be recycled. And in Saint Paul, we'll be working with the Aleut community of Saint Paul Island to transport marine debris from islands to Unalaska, where it will be temporarily stored and then eventually shipped back to Seattle for recycling. And starting in 2025, we'll be supporting more communities, with the goal at the end of the three years to be able to secure more funding to keep this project going. And we also received additional grant funds through the NOAA Marine Debris Program to support cleanups in Sitka, Yakutat, Wales, and Brevig Mission starting next year in 2025, and the projects in Wales and Brevig are in partnership with NSEDC. So, we applied for the funding through Ocean Conservancy and NSEDC was written in as a sole source partner on that. And so, we'll be giving them funds and then those funds will be going to Brevig and Wales to hire locals and train locals on marine debris removal protocols and sorting protocols. And then we'll also be helping support the backhaul of that debris so that it doesn't end up in the local communities, and instead, it will be shipped to one of those hubs where it can be recycled.

So, we're really excited about that project. And it is still in the early stages and we're looking for more communities who are interested in joining. So, if you have — if you are doing cleanups or you're interested in doing cleanups, please reach out. I would love to connect with you and if you have done cleanups and have debris that is still in your community that you're looking for support to get rid of. This backhaul opportunity would be a great way to partner with us as well. So, with that, that's all I have for today. But again, I would love to hear from you. If you have ideas or specific areas of interest for study, please let me know. We would really love to work with you and thank you again for having me. I appreciate your time. So, Mr. Chair, I'll turn it back to you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Well, thank you very much, Kristina. Anybody have any questions? One from Councilman Aukongak.

MR. AUKONGAK: I guess we're going to have a lot more military activity up here. It'd be great to have them be part of it, too. So, you know, we could

always have a backup, and we all pay our taxes so, we might as well see it go to work.

3

4 MS. TIRMAN: Yeah, that's a great idea. 5 Thank you.

6 7

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: They have

Blackhawks.

8 9

MS. TIRMAN: Yes. We'll take any mode of transport we can get.

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Yes. Thank you. I don't see anybody else in sight -- Jarred. He's taken one step past the starting line already. So, again Katrina, thank you very much -- Kristina. Excuse me. Appreciate you. I think Kawerak already got their feet in the water with you there, so that's good. Thanks. Okay, Jarred. Okay well, he's going to help you. He's going to push the button.

202122

## (Simultaneous speech)

23 24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. GREDIAGIN: This is the moment you guys have all been waiting for, all meeting. The OSM report. So, Lisa Grediagin, wildlife Division Supervisor with OSM. So, on behalf of OSM, I would like to extend our sincerest thanks and appreciation for all the work you all do as Council members on behalf of your communities and subsistence users, and the resources to give up several days of your time volunteering to sit at these meetings and share your knowledge and expertise and make our program work as well as it does. So, thank you very much. So, at the -- as many of you know, OSM has moved out of the Fish and Wildlife Service and into the Interior Office of the Secretary. And so, at the request of many stakeholders made during listening sessions and tribal consultations across the State, Congress has administratively moved OSM from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service directly to the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy, Management and Budget. This move became effective July 15th, 2024. This move is one of the many efforts that the Department of the Interior is making to strengthen Federal subsistence policies and structure, and to ensure that federally qualified subsistence users and Alaska Native people have an opportunity for meaningful engagement in the Federal Subsistence Management Program implementation. This move is a response to the concerns and input of Alaska Native communities and people, many of whom rely

9

on subsistence use and to the need to enhance program 1 operations for all federally qualified subsistence users, particularly in the face of a changing climate. OSM responsibilities and functions of administrative 5 support to the Federal Subsistence Board and Subsistence 6 Regional Advisory Councils remain the same following 7 this move to the office of the Secretary. Federal Subsistence Management Program operations continue seamlessly, and the regulatory cycle is going on without 10 any interruptions. If you need to reach out to OSM staff, we now have new email addresses that have been updated 11 on our website. The main contact phone number remains 12 13 the same (907) 786-3888 and our main email address is 14 subsistence@ios.doi.gov. That's subsistence at Interior 15 Office Secretary dot Department of Interior.gov. So, an update on the Wildlife Final Rule and Regulations 16 17 booklet. The final rule for the Federal Assistance 18 Management Regulation for the harvest of wildlife on 19 federal public lands in Alaska for the regulatory years 20 2024 to 2026 have been published in the federal register 21 and went into effect on August 29th, 2024. 22 regulations booklets are available online or we have 23 hard copies available for anyone here in person and also 24 hard copies could be mailed to you upon request.

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

An update on the regulations regarding the Federal Subsistence Board composition, and this is what Gayla was mentioning yesterday, is that based on requests during joint consultations, the Departments of the Interior and Agriculture or Departments are in the process of revising regulations concerning composition of the Federal Subsistence Board. In the proposed rule, the departments proposed to add three public members to the Board. These members -- so, I realize this probably should have been updated like this rule actually was published, which I think these talking points were written right before the rule was published, but now it's actually out there. So, the Departments will add three public members to the Board, and the members will be nominated or recommended by federally recognized tribal governments in Alaska, and will be required to possess personal knowledge of and direct experience with subsistence uses in rural Alaska, including Alaska Native subsistence uses. The final rule also defines requirements used to select the Board Chair and affirm the Secretary's authority to replace Board members in the Secretary's responsibility and oversight regarding Board decisions. The final rule regarding these proposed revisions has been published. It was announced at AFN last week, and will become effective

30 days after its publication. Tribes are welcome to nominate or recommend public Board members for these three new seats, and you can direct any questions and nominations or recommendations to Raina Thiele and Sara Taylor. Their email addresses are raina thiele@ios.doi.gov and sara taylor@ios.doi.gov.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

29

5

An update on the deferred wildlife proposal, WP24-04, which was a request to be able to sell brown bear hide statewide. At its public meeting on April 3rd, 2024, the Board deferred its Action on Wildlife proposal WP 2401, a request to allow the sale of a brown bear hide taken under Federal regulations. The Board's justification for deferring the proposal was one, to allow time to explore options for a fully qualified subsistence user to obtain a permit allowing the sale of a hide from a Federal agency, and two to potentially establish a work group to negotiate with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game concerning the use of its permit to sell a brown or grizzly bear, hide and skull. Our update is that ADF&G is not able to permit the sale of brown bears on behalf of the Federal Subsistence Management Program if the proposal is passed as submitted. In other words, ADF&G cannot permit sale of brown bear hides taken in units with one bear -- one brown bear harvest limits under Federal regulations. OSM is therefore exploring opportunities for fully qualified subsistence users to obtain a permit from a Federal agency. We will update the Board on this option at the Fisheries Regulatory Meeting in February 2025.

30 31 32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

And now some OSM staffing updates. Since the All Council meeting held in March of this year, OSM welcomed our new Acting Director, Crystal Leonetti. She will be in this acting role until the end of November. In her permanent position, Crystal is Alaska Native Affairs Specialist at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Among regular OSM director responsibilities, Crystal has been leading OSM through its administrative move and is doing a wonderful job. The OSM director's position was advertised on September 5th and closed on October 2nd. OSM also had some staff departures. Theo Matuskowitz, OSM supervisory regulatory specialist, retired in July after over 20 years of faithful service and OSM staff will greatly miss his in-depth knowledge of regulations, his kind advice and wonderful sense of humor. Justin Kohler, OSM fisheries biologist, has been acting as a supervisory regulatory specialist in the interim and was able to jump right in to ensure that OSM's final and proposed rules, as well as meeting

1 announcements, are seamlessly moving through Approval and Federal Register publication process. This position was just advertised, and I forget when it closes, I think it's still open. So, if anyone knows 5 anyone that might be interested in applying for their 6 regular -- regulation specialist at OSM, please let them know it's open and encourage them to submit an 8 application. Kayla McKinney, OSM Subsistence Outreach 9 Coordinator, left OSM due to a move to another state. 10 Leigh Honig and Sherry Goldfarb are covering some of this position duties in the interim while performing the 11 12 regular duties, and they are doing a superb job. The 13 vacant positions of the Subsistence Outreach Coordinator 14 and the Records Management Specialist will be advertised in the near future, and we encourage all individuals 15 16 with diverse outreach skills and records management skills, and interest in working with subsistence issues 17 18 and rural communities to apply. In August, we are also saddened to learn about the departure of OSM Deputy 19 20 Director Amy Howard. She left Federal service for a new job with the Aleut Corporation as the Director of Lands 21 22 and Natural Resources. Her last day with OSM was August 23 30th. While congratulating Amy on her new job, we will 24 sorely miss her inclusive and fair management style, her 25 great support for the entire OSM team and her smile. 26 Katya Wessels Council Coordination Division Supervisor 27 is serving as the Acting Deputy Director until the end 28 of October, and then Brent Vickers, Anthropology 29 Division Supervisor, will serve as the Acting Deputy Director for the next two months so, during November and 30 31 December.

32 33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

detailed litigation Α update provided to the Councils at the March 2024 All Council meeting. Since then, in the Kake Emergency Hunt matter, briefing is now in progress before the Ninth Circuit Court. In the Kuskokwim matter, the district court found in favor of the U.S. on all claims and enjoined the State from taking any further actions in violation of Title 8 on the Kuskokwim River. The State has appealed, and briefing has just recently commenced before the Ninth Circuit Court and a few more updates on a correspondence which you might find useful. I know, correspondences. Another agenda item on -- another item on the agenda. So, the Secretary's office is reviewing the Council's letters that were forwarded by the Board. The letters cover a broad array of issues, such as financial compensation for Council members, salmon fisheries and transboundary mining issues, among others. Some of the issues are straightforward and will be

resolved more quickly, while others are more complicated and will take more time to address. Some cover multiple regions, and others are specific to 1 or 2 regions. The Secretary and her team are working on responses to these letters and the next steps forward for all of these issues. As these issues are resolved, the Secretary or her staff will provide responses to the Council's 8 including next steps forward where appropriate. The Secretary thanks the Councils for their diligence and 10 thoughtfulness in discussing these issues and continuing to elevate them. With the elevation of OSM to the office 11 12 of the Secretary, the Secretary looks forward to 13 continuing active engagement on these and other issues 14 affecting subsistence users. So, thank you, Mr. Chair. That concludes my OSM report. 15

16 17

18

19

20

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay, I have about ten questions. No kidding. I was just throwing that at Jarred. He's over there like what? All right. Well, Lisa, I appreciate your read. Anybody have any questions, comments or concerns? Mr. Martin.

212223

24

25

MR. AUKONGAK: When you -- I understand you wanted the bear skull, but where does that go? Like with Mystery Vault you got or something or...? The skull of a bear.

262728

29

30

MS. GREDIAGIN: So, in reference to the deferred proposal and sorry, what's your question about it? You can keep it. The proposal is to sell it. All right. Yeah. So, if you...

31 32 33

MR. AUKONGAK: All right, I thought I heard you said you send it, to send it to you or whoever. No?

35 36 37

38

39

40

34

MS. CHAPA: For the record, this Gisela Chapa. I think what Lisa was talking about is that during the wildlife proposal cycle, there was a proposal from -- I'm not sure which Council to sell the hide of a brown bear.

41 42 43

MR. AUKONGAK: But they wanted the skull.

44

MS. CHAPA: With the -- with the skull.
Right? Yeah. Start from the beginning.

47

MS. GREDIAGIN: The proposal was to allow the sale of a brown bear hide and/or the skull, so as to allow the sale of the hide and skull.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Is that good enough?

All right. Thank you. Thank you, Lisa. So, we have Jarred up next.

MR. STONE: All right. I'm going to be.....

9 (Simultaneous speech)

10

CHAIRPERSON GREEN Can you hurry up? No,

12 I'm kidding.
13

13

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

MR. STONE: I'm going to be very brief. This is going to be the shortest presentation. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Members of the Council. Again, for the record, my name is Jarred Stone, fish biologist with OSM and I'm going to be giving a guick update on our Partners for Fisheries Resource Monitoring program. This is different than what we talked about yesterday where we talked about the Priority Information Needs and that was the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program we sometimes call the FRMP. This is our partners program. The Office of Subsistence Management will soon post a notice of funding opportunity for the Partners for Fisheries Monitoring program later this year or possibly early winter. This is a competitive grant for Alaska Native tribes, tribal organizations, or rural nonprofit organizations. The intent of the program is to strengthen Alaskan Native and rural involvement in Federal subsistence management by providing salary funds to organizations so that they can hire a professional biologist, social scientist, or a educator. The grant also provides funds for science and culture camps and paid student internships. More information on this funding opportunity is available on grants.gov or grantsolutions.gov. And the key person in our office -the key point of contact is Karen Hyer who used to work in this region. You likely know Karen. Karen's contact information can be found on OSM partner's web page and if anyone here is interested to learn more about the program, feel free to come find me and I'll give you the lowdown as to how the program works, what's involved, how to apply. And I guess with that, I'll conclude my presentation. But maybe real quickly just show you where you can find that information on our website. I'll take any questions if you have any.

47 48 49

50

1 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: You're smiling. 2 Thank you, Jarred. Nobody else. Looks like there's no 3 questions. Let's go.

MR. STONE: Through the Chair. Thank you. Thank you for your time. And just real quick. So, here's our web page. And this is our home screen. And when you scroll down here, you'll see both the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and then you'll right below it, you'll see the Partners for Fisheries Monitoring Program. And when you click on this if you're interested in applying for funding, keep an eye on this page right here and sometime, I'm guessing maybe December, maybe January you'll likely see this be updated with the with the updated NOFO. So, that's the notice of funding opportunity. And that's the opportunity that you apply and get that money. So, you're allowed to apply if this is for four years of funding, and you're allowed to apply for up to 167,000 per year, and that's capped at 668,000 for each tribal organization.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Looks like you got a fan over here. Of course, we're fans too. All right, well, thank you.

MR. STONE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: More money. Okay, Gisela, it's her turn. She's been waiting and waiting and waiting. Okay.

MS. CHAPA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: 805.

MS. CHAPA: Yes. Good afternoon, Council members and my name is Gisela Chapa. And we're on agenda item 14B. I'm just going to give you a very quick overview of the 805C report. The report can be found on page 135 of your book, and I want to remind the Council this is not an action item. Basically, what you see in this report is the action taken by the Board on all the proposals affecting the residents of the Seward Peninsula region, and the Board agreed with the Council on the Council's recommendations, in whole or with modifications on 11 of the 12 wildlife proposals and closure reviews considered by this Council. The only proposal that the Board did not take action was on Wildlife Proposal 24-01 because it was deferred. Does the Council have any questions?

50

2 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Hearing none. Continue. Thank you. 5 MS. CHAPA: Excellent. I'm going to move 6 on to item 14C, which is the 2025 Council application and nomination open season. And I basically want to remind the Council that for this cycle, the Seward 8 Peninsula RAC has two vacant seats that I believe we're 10 going to try to backfill with this previous cycle. But we have three seats that are expiring within this cycle. 11 12 Oh. 13 14 MS. FREYTAG: Sorry. You said we're on 15 agenda item 14C National Park Service? 16 17 MS. CHAPA: No member Freytag. This is 18 under other business. I apologize, 15. 15C, under other 19 business. Thank you for the correction. And I want to 20 remind the Council that we have three expiring seats 21 within this cycle. And those are the seats for Chair 22 Green, Vice Chair Gray and Mr. Hunt. And technically, 23 the seats expired on December 2nd of 2025. But if you 24 want to continue serving on the Council, you'll need to reapply within this period. And the application period 25 26 will open in January. So, it's not open yet. I can give you applications if you're interested in just filling 27 28 those. And you can send those back to me, and I will 29 hold it until the application period opens. 30 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Airdrop it. Okay, I'm 31 32 not that technical. I looked at him when I said it, like 33 him. Okay, so is that..... 34 35 MS. CHAPA: That is it on 15C Council 36 application nomination open season. 37 38 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. So, thank you 39 for that. And we're on D? 40 41 MS. CHAPA: Yes. Next, I'm going to 42 provide an overview to the Council on all of the 43 correspondence that was sent or produced since our last meeting. If you all recall, the Council met in Anchorage 44 45 for the winter meeting during the All Council meeting, 46 we're going to start reviewing all of 47 correspondence which starred on page 137 of your meeting 48 book. The first update is a letter that the -- it was a 49 Joint Council letter that was sent on behalf of the

Bristol Bay, YK Delta, Western Interior Alaska, Seward

Peninsula, Northwest Arctic, Eastern Interior Alaska, and North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils to the Board, and this was regarding concerns over bycatch. This letter was sent to the Board on March 28th, 2024 and what you will see on page 137 is that the Board actually sent a letter elevating the concerns to the Secretary's as requested by the Councils, and that was sent on July 29th, 2024. And there is a lot of enclosures included with this letter that was elevated to the Secretary's. And you will see -- that you will see in all the way to page 166.

I'm sorry. I apologize. I'm pausing here just to give the Council members time to look at the correspondence and see if there are any questions.

## (Pause)

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: The newspaper lady, she might want to get these, like, these names. And who signed from what RAC? It'd be good for the newspaper. There's seven of us that wrote that went along with that letter.

 $\,$  MS. CHAPA: Okay, Mr. Chair, if there are no questions, I can keep going.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Any questions? Hearing none. Seeing none. Okay. Mr. Moses, you got any questions about this letter on bycatch? Thank you. Okay.

MS. CHAPA: Okay. The second update is another Joint Council letter. Again, as a result of the All Council meeting. It was in regard to Council member compensation. And there is more traction on the subject, like, there's all the requests sent to the Board, I think have been elevated. The Joint Council letter was sent to the Board on April 3rd, and the Board elevated the concerns to the Secretaries on July 29th, 2024 and they start on page 167 of your book.

#### (Pause)

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: If I had a pin, I'd

drop it.

MS. CHAPA: If there are no questions on the -- on this update, I can move on. The next is a Joint Council letter to the Board to address issues in Council correspondence. And that starts on page 192 of your book and this has been forwarded to -- I don't have the date. Okay. Yeah. The letter was sent to the Board on April 3rd and the Board elevated the concern to the Secretaries on in July. And I think, as Lisa mentioned earlier today, since OSM has moved from under Fish and Wildlife Service to the Office of the Secretary, they're actively trying to find for efficient ways to route Council correspondence.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Meaning, we wanted to hear back when we wrote something, sent it uphill. We wanted it to come back downhill so we'd -- in a timely manner. Thank you.

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll move on. The next update was a joint letter requesting the issue of the ANCSA 17D1 lands withdrawals to the Secretary of the Interior and you heard from Tom Sparks, I believe, from BLM, who also shared that there was an actual record of decision on the ANCSA 17D1 land withdrawals that was issued on August 27th, 2024, retaining the protections. And I included a copy of the press release by the BLM. And it's in page 118 of your books.

#### (Pause)

And if it's okay, Mr. Chair, I will move

29 on.

 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Yes, please.

MS. CHAPA: Okay. The next update is on a joint Council letter sent regarding caribou concern statewide. If you look at page 210, you will find this Joint Council letter to the Board, and more specifically, it included concerns over issues affecting caribou herds across the State and their recovery, and requested action from the Board to address these concerns. The letter was sent in July 12th, 2024, and so far we've received no response and I have not seen any other action on this.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thus, the request of the last thing she just mentioned. We want to hear an answer in a timely manner.

MS. CHAPA: Okay. And the next is again, during the All Council meeting, the Seward Peninsula Council had an opportunity to meet with three other

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

Councils to discuss wildlife proposals 24, 28, 29 or 24-28, 29 regarding Western Arctic Caribou Herd and as a result of the joint meeting, the four Councils wanted to send a letter to the Board, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Commissioner, and the Alaska Board of Game with these concerns or regarding these concerns. This joint letter was sent on July 12th, 2024, and it basically asked for all of the -- these entities to work collaboratively to address the concerns about the continuing decline of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd through regulatory changes and increased funding for additional herd monitoring. There's been a little bit more development of this on this. I received a response from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Commissioner regarding the letter that was sent, and you will see a copy of the letter that I placed at your spot so that you will also have a chance to review it. And this was sent to OSM last week, October 17th, 2024.

18 19 20

### (Pause)

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

Okay. And I have a couple more updates. These are not necessarily letters requested by this Council, but that might be of interest to the Council. The YK Delta and the Eastern Interior RAC submitted a letter requesting urgent revisions to the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery and Conservation Management Act, and a copy of this request is included in your supplemental materials. So, that is the packet that is stapled right here. Yeah, and it's on page 18. And it -- in this letter, the Council's request the Board to urge the Secretaries to liaise with the Department of Commerce and National Marine Fisheries Service to publish the proposed rule with updates to the MSA and the national standards. And I also wanted to share that during the Eastern Interior RAC meeting, the Council requested that this letter be shared with other Councils. And that's why you are looking at it. And suggested if perhaps this Council could consider submitting a letter on the subject if so desired.

40 41 42

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Are we wanting to sign on to their letter or create one?

43 44 45

46

MS. CHAPA: Yeah. This letter was already sent in July of this year, so it would be a new letter, basically restating the need for revisions to the MSA.

47 48 49

50

36

37

38 39

40 41

42 43

44 4.5

46

47

48 49

50

000113 1 MS. FREYTAG: Mr. Chairman, this is Mary 2 Freytag. Do you need a motion for that letter or just will be ...? 5 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: I think it's an 6 action item. 7 8 MS. FREYTAG: Okay. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: So, it would be a motion, but then we'd have to have the verbiage in there, 11 too. How would we do that. Gisela? 12 13 14 MS. CHAPA: Yeah, I think if the Council 15 and I'm looking at my computer because Brooke McDavid, who is the Council Coordinator for the Eastern Interior 16 RAC is online and she could help address or provide a 17 18 little summary of what the letter entails. But if the Council has reviewed this letter and is in agreement 19 20 with what is in the letter, and you -- we might be able 21 to generate some of that content from that. 22 23 MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair. 24 25 CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Go ahead. Thank you. 26 27 MS. MCDAVID: Hi, good afternoon. This 28 is Brooke McDavid. As Gisela mentioned, I also Coordinate for the Eastern Interior and YK Delta RACs 29 30 who submitted this letter to the Board asking them to 31 elevate this issue to the Secretaries. This topic was 32 discussed during the All Council meeting. Because there 33 was so much going on at that meeting, there wasn't a 34

chance to get a draft letter before all of the Council's to get the opportunity to ask everyone to sign on to it at that time. And so, the Eastern Interior and YK Delta Councils went ahead and signed -- drafted and sent this letter. And so, you could kind of go about it in in two ways. It's up to you. You could basically just send a letter to the Board saying that you support the ask of the YK Delta, Eastern Interior Councils, as you know, written in this letter or you could write your own letter on subject if you desired.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: I guess, since we just received it as a supplement here to this booklet. I think we'd probably prefer to read over it, but is there any way we can take action on it to fall in line with this at this point in time? How would we do that? So, I mean....

MS. CHAPA: Yeah, I think it would just require a motion from the Council if you want to take one of the two options, as Brooke suggested, just basically take action on sending a letter to the Board supporting the -- what the Eastern Interior and the Yukon Delta RACs submitted or if the Council wants to take some time to look at the letter and develop our own content, it would also require action from the Council.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Considering that the folks did it -- oh. Go ahead. Is that Brenda? Brooke. Excuse me.

MS. MCDAVID: This is -- yeah, this is Brooke, Mr. Chair, sorry. I just wanted to add to I mean, if you don't want to take action at this meeting, that's okay as well. Don't really anticipate this you know, this is going to be a big ask. And so, it might take a little bit of time to see action on this at a higher level. So, if you wanted to, you know, postpone action on this till your winter meeting. It doesn't have like a really tight deadline necessarily.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Anybody on Council think otherwise? We're -- I'm thinking that we are able to read, digest and decide that either we're going to back it or do our own letter. Is there anybody opposed to that? Seeing none. I think that's what we'll do. We'll either back the one that's there or we'll put together our own. Appreciate your coming to the table there, Brooke.

MS. MCDAVID: You're welcome, Mr Chair.

MS. CHAPA: Okay. Thank you. And again, this is Gisela Chapa. And then the last update is also a topic of interest to the Council. This is information that is included in your supplemental materials on page 21. Mostly for your information. So, in the October meeting, the National Pacific Fishery Management Council discussed an outreach and engagement plan on chum salmon bycatch. And it was also briefly mentioned by Mellisa earlier today, and on this issue or on this topic OSM had an opportunity to submit a written comment and that is what you see on page 21 of your supplemental materials for reference. On page 23 of the packet, you have a copy of the Outreach and Engagement plan that OSM submitted comments on. And I wanted to point out that the -- in this outreach plan, the North Pacific Fishery Management

Council plans, excuse me, identified RAC meetings as a form of outreach and engagement on the topic and more specifically, attending the Winter 2025 meetings for the Western Interior, Yukon Delta, Eastern Interior RAC meetings as part of these outreach efforts. And that is it. An update to the Council.

6 7 8

5

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Okay. Anybody got any questions, comments or concerns off the Council?

9 10 11

(No response)

12 13

Seeing none. Hearing none. Thank you. You got one more? You went like that.

14 15 16

17

18

19

MS. CHAPA: I went, oh, wait a minute. Well, this is -- these are all of the updates on Council correspondence. And if there's no other comments, I just have one more item to go over. And again, it's just for information to the Council.

202122

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Please do.

23 24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. CHAPA: All right. This is in regards to declining balance cards. And I can provide as much detail as the Council wishes, but again, as you know, OSM has moved from under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to the office of the Secretary of Interior. And this transition is giving us an opportunity to revise some of the administrative processes that we do, such as the way that we process travel for Council members on official travel. And we're simply trying to consider ways to make the process more efficient and more user friendly. And we wanted to solicit your feedback on this issue. Not an issue, excuse me on this matter. And basically, the way that we process travel right now, Council members receive about 60% of your estimated per diem in the form of a check. And that's what I handed earlier, at the beginning of the meeting. And it's in the form of a travel advance and then the remainder of the per diem is mailed to you in a second check once we travel all of -- once we process all of the travel vouchers after the meeting. And so, one way we thought we might make this process a little bit more efficient is if we issued declining -- what's called what are called declining balance card to Council members. So, rather than giving you a check, we would issue one of these cards and we would deposit the or -- yeah, I guess the funds for travel. And if we do this it would be the responsibility of each Council member to make sure that

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

24

25 26

you keep your declining balance card in a safe place and bring it to each meeting and if the card is lost, we can always replace it. But of course, it would take a couple of days to get a new one in the mail. And so, it shifts a little bit how we do business. You could use this card while on travel status or use it to withdraw funds from an ATM. And we -- our coordinator -- your coordinators would tell you how much per diem has been deposited to the card, and the cards can be used to pay for expenses like meals, cabs, groceries, etc. and we might still need some receipts for some of those expenses. And we -- OSM would continue to book the flights and continue to make arrangements for your lodging, but you would use the declining balance card to check into a hotel or your accommodation, and then the remaining per diem owed to Council members after the meeting would be sent directly to your bank account. And basically, the reason why I'm sharing all of this information on declining balance card is that if the Council would be interested in pursuing this type of option to get reimbursed for travel, we just need you to submit some forms with some 22 personal identifiable information. And also, maybe get 23 some forms with your bank account so, that we'd be able to do a direct deposit. And again, there's no decision that has been made. And we're just sharing this to hear your feedback and see if you -- and to see if you have any questions on that potential new process.

27 28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Gisela. I don't have any questions right at the moment. Does anybody else have any questions of this? I guess we got time to approach you with it, down the road. So, everybody's good with that? Looks good. Okay. Well, thanks for the info. And we'll individually, I guess we can reach out to you if that's the case. Okay. I suppose you'll shoot somebody an email, like all of us. And then we will react to that about it. Would that help?

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

MS. CHAPA: Well, I mean, if you just want to share, you know, if there would be an issue, if it's something that the Council would be -- or Council members would be interested in considering, you know, it might make the process a little bit more efficient for you all. Instead of handing out paper checks and having to go cash them.

45 46 47

48 49

50

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: I don't have a problem either way myself. It's probably -- would expedite things a little guicker. You'd have a cash card, basically, is what it sounds like to me, and work off

of that in the beginning and then the balance, whatever's left over or whatever is due at the end, gets mailed to you in a paper check, or is it -- oh, no, excuse me. Direct deposit. So, banking information would be needed for that. Okay. Sounds streamlining to me. Anybody else feel the same way or different -- or actually different? I guess we'll deal with it that way then. Okay. Thank you.

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Those were all of my items.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: And that brings us to the end, right. Okay, so before -- I guess we're going to do a closing comments, but I wanted to highlight individual here in the room. Maybe, I think it's appropriate to do it right now. So, what I want to do is I want to -- I see that Tommy Gray has, you know, has 21 years in here, that he's the Cice Chair. He's been around for a long time. And then we have Mr. Elmer Seetot, who's been around for 30 years. I'd like to say thank you to them both, but the one individual that stands out in my mind is Ken Adkisson. He's been here forever, almost. How many years is that, Ken? Yeah, well, if it's 95 since the world was invented. Yeah. I mean, he's been here from day one, I think. And so, I wanted people to recognize this man for his time and didn't want to miss a chance to be the one to at least bring it up. Thank you, Ken, for your time here. You have a lot.

# (Pause)

Somebody's on the -- go ahead.

(Distortion - simultaneous speech)

MS. KOELSCH: Hi, Louis. This is Jeanette on the phone. Still sick, but just wanted to thank you for calling out Ken's service. He's still an employee of the Park. This last summer we celebrated his 50 years of service to the Park. His combined service to the Federal government is, I think, 55 years of service. So, just thank you so much for recognizing Ken. He still works for us. He's not going anywhere. But I really appreciate you thinking about him and all of the great things he's done during his career. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Park Superintendent Jeanette for saying that. Anyway, it

can't be said any other way. Thank you, Ken. It's amazing. I've worked so many different places in the last 45 years. I commend you for doing it in one spot for so long, and you are a resource that is something to behold because we can talk to you about a lot of things and a lot of decades. So, thank you for your time and your service.

#### (Pause)

MR. ADKISSON: Mr. Chair. Ken Adkisson. I can't tell you how much I greatly appreciate that recognition. And like I said earlier, it's -- I can't say it's been an entirely pleasurable experience, but personally, I find it extremely rewarding, and I really cherish the relationships and the working relationships that have been established. And I think overall, you know, we've made a lot of progress. But I think there's also very clear that there's a lot of things remaining to, I think, trying to fulfill the government's obligations under Title 8 of ANILCA. And, and I might have a few more years to throw at it and try to see if we can continue to make some progress. But there's still there's still stuff that needs to be done. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you again, Ken. And I'm sure anybody knows how to pick up a phone or send an email and has anything that they want to know. You're probably a good resource, probably excellent in other words, thank you. 55 years, I think you started it --

# MR. ADKISSON: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Did you start at the Grand Canyon? No.

MR. ADKISSON: With the Park service? Yeah. Oh, no. No, I put several years in the American Southwest and places like Chaco Canyon, Hubbell Trading Post. I did a tour of duty at -- in northern Texas at Alibates Flint quarries and Lake Meredith Recreation area, and about eight years, I think, at in Idaho at Nez Perce National Historical Park. You know, I've seen a lot in the Park Service related to especially Native American activities. Oh, I didn't mention four years at Sitka, too.

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Again, I'd say thank 1 you for serving so long and still continuing to serve for us here. It's a great thing.

5

6

2

MR. ADKISSON: Like I said, I greatly appreciate it. And you're welcome. I'd like to give it a little more. Yeah.

7 8 9

10

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thanks, Ken. We got closing comments. Mr. Seetot, you're first. It's a good thing Tommy ain't here.

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

MR. SEETOT: Brevig Mission. It's been a great meeting, I think, without the rigid agenda that is before us, I think we're able to put out some of the issues that are very important or pertinent to our area. We see so many changes, I see so many changes over the years land-wise and natural resources-wise. So, it's -we have had real low water, I mean, how many weeks ago? And then we had real high water a couple days ago. Whether it's changing very fast, be sure to kind of remember that what is happening at the present time, probably many of you receive comments from warnings, you know, from community members saying these things would happen. And now we're in the age that these things are happening. Many of the things that have gone on, you know that was already in the works for them. But anyway, I thank everyone for their time. I thank the good information that that kind of came out under two Chairs, one after another. But that's very good. Continue to work what you believe in. And then and then hopefully, you know, some results will come out after that. You are going to -- we are going to continue to struggle with wildlife issues and, and especially, extreme weather events are coming in and around our area, which was pretty much mostly continental USA. Now, now it's -when I listen to weather report, it's pretty much Nome area -- Nome Norton Sound area here. First is the north side. But we still do get the weather so many miles away. But still. Remember you're working for your community members, you're working for grandchildren, and especially hopefully our animals, you know, will be for us to manage for a while. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

44 45 46

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Councilman Seetot. Let's see. I think we'll take Mary.

47 48 49

50

MS. FREYTAG: Thank you, Councilman and quyana for everyone service. I really

appreciate everyone's knowledge that they share both on 1 the Council and the agencies that come here and work with us directly. We're all at the same table. We're all on the same page, which is how it should be. And I just 5 want to thank everyone. This is my last Council meeting here, and I just -- it's been a pleasure serving on this 6 Council. Excuse me if I get feelings, but I just -they're good feelings. I just wanted to be thankful and 8 9 thank everyone for this opportunity to share my 10 knowledge and to ask the hard questions for the betterment of everyone. So, I know this is going to keep 11 12 going, and I really appreciate your long service. You 13 know, that just goes to show there's others that are 14 working up towards that. And it just all of you share 15 from your hearts and that's what it takes, you know, the 16 love of our land, our subsistence and our people. I --17 it's been a pleasure and I thank you. Quyana.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Council member Mary Freytag. I will remark about the All Council's meeting when we were shy of one vote to be having a quorum. Even though she was feeling really sick, she was able to get on there and help us get through that and give us the opportunity to vote when we finally got her on the phone. So, having said that, really appreciate the fact that you've been here the time that you have been here with us. I really respected your side of the story when you brought it to the table, and I will miss that myself. So, thank you for your service, Mary. And so, we'll go next. How about Mr. Moses?

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. MOSES: Robert Moses. Like to thank everybody here for everything and all their information. Everything takes time to get from here to there. Even when you go hunting, you plan way ahead. You plan a day ahead to go moose hunting, duck hunting, any kind of hunt, you plan ahead, know where you're going and pay attention to the weather. I do a lot of weather reading because I'm a hunter. Everybody knows a sun dog when there's a -- like a rainbow around the sun, you know, everybody knows that the weather is coming. There's little signs like that that are passed on from generation to generation and coming together and share this knowledge to save our land and save our wildlife for the food that we live off. It's different from living here in Nome and in a village. And then where you come from, Anchorage and the road system. There's going to be lots of hurdles along the way, but everything takes time and everybody can work together and by then, over time it'll get solved one way or another. But subsistence won't

stop for us that live in a village that have lived off our land for a long time, and from our elders passed down to generation to generation. It's still happening today, and it will until I go, I hope for our kids and everybody else's kids that live off the land and the other people too.

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

5

There's lots of different information being passed which is good and knowledgeable for future use, and I learned some stuff every time I go to this meeting from everyone that says something, and hopefully I'll continue to work together with everybody else and all the entities and the other people that are having meetings in the state of Alaska. Alaska is a great country we live in and we subsist off it. It's a land of plenty, they say, which brings in, not to say the least, but money by hunters that sustain and provide other things for programs in Alaska. Like buying a moose ticket or a fishing ticket. But some things come up like we're losing our fish and our resources that like mining and coming in. And we have to deal with those things along with the weather, along with the tides and the climate change. Climate change has the biggest player in all of Alaska because like these boys said, we live by the seasons. When the time is right, we come and go and harvest and take what we can and then we go to spring, summer, fall, winter, every season has its food, where we take from the land where we could try and live a healthy life. That's what Alaska is all about. All different kinds of cultures and all different things happen in Alaska, all the way from hunting to mining to tourism, which is good in some parts and some not so good. But with subsistence, it's a big role in life in Alaska. Growing up in a village passed down from our grandparents, and I hope we still can do that to pass down to future generations. Thank you.

36 37 38

# CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Councilman Moses. That brings me to Councilman Aukongak.

39 40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. AUKONGAK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you. Everybody in here for volunteering to come here and being here. Great to meet new people. I love our food chain. You know, it's hard to believe, you know, growing up, you didn't need a piece of paper to regulate what you eat. And it's hard to believe, you know. Oh, you can't go get that salmon or you can't go get that caribou. And, you know, that's our menu and it's like Robert said, it's the best food. Very healthy. And nowadays we -- hard to believe if you get in trouble

they're going to take your livelihood away, which is very wrong. And right now, I feel bad for Kotzebue. You know, they got flooded. And keep them in your prayer. Appreciate it.

4 5 6

1

CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you. Mr.

Aukongak. Mr. Hunt, Councilman hunt.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

MR. HUNT: Please. Raymond Hunt here. I'd like to thank you for having me here. And every person that came and, you know, presented a lot of knowledge and wisdom to be learned, you know, at these meetings. And I see it, you know, and can't take you know, each presentation or, you know, each -- somebody who speaks, you know, I gotta take -- don't take that for granted. You know, a lot of knowledge be gained on that. And you know, I'm still learning and I, you know for the subjects that, you know, that mean a lot to us, you know, hunting and fishing and whatnot. You know, we hunt and we fish all year round, and we depend on that and think about the future. And, you know, going to these meetings sure helped out a lot. You know, learning about you know, basically everything. And I think everyone that come and presents, you know, like I said, you learn a lot from these presenters and, you know, the amount of time and effort that they put into, you know, the slideshows and the presentations, you know, thank them for that. You know, kind of imagine, you know not only that, but it's very helpful and very informational that needs to be said, you know, during these meetings, and I thank each presenter that came and presented and thank you for having me here.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

# CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you,

Councilman Hunt. I suppose we don't have Tommy here, so that gives me lots of extra time to talk. I'm not sure who talks more or him or I. Yeah. Cut from the same stone or something like that. I think, you know, our grandmothers raised us on the same river systems like the Pilgrim and the Kuzitrin and whatnot. But you know, I -- my grandmother did a good job instilling in me how important the lifestyle was because she dragged me everywhere. Her grandmother was actually from Kotzebue. (In Native) was her name. My great, great grandmother who took a dog team all the way over to St. Michael's marry (indiscernible). And that last (indiscernible). Everybody knows around here around the Sound. That's part of the people I come from. My father was from Mississippi, the great State of Mississippi. And he came from some hard, tough people from down there

5

6

8

10

11

between Louisiana and the Mississippi. I was fortunate and when we talk about -- where -- he talks about hunting and fishing and everything, I was raised and brought through there by my grandmother, Elsie. Her last name, Ball. All the way back 1964. And I recall all the people that lived out there and how they lived, you know, because that's what we did. We were right next to them. My grandmother tended next to (indiscerninble). Joe Garnie's grandmother. And so those of us that were raised by our grandmothers, you know, we kind of -- we got a real connection to the land and it was always important to me.

12 13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

In high school, I jumped on a trip to Glacial Lake in 1974 and did the first lake study that was ever done there. Fish and Game hadn't even taken the time. 1993, I got on the Northern Norton Sound Advisory Committee, served some time there. Through, I think 13 years, part of it as Vice Chair. 1994 I was looked at by the region as a candidate for the Board of Fish and by golly here I am with Seward Peninsula RAC serving with the folks here since 2010. I never thought I'd be here that long, but, you know, it's I know I'm saying a lot about me, but that's what I'm saying. It's important we talk about the importance of our food and our food security, our people and our families. And you know, Tommy's talked about it and it is important. That's why we're still here taking a part in this thing is because it's part of our life. And it's sometimes gets pretty difficult defending it and Robert Wright, he was on the subsistence committee for AFN, spoke out, and he said, you know, this is a really tough. Gayla (indiscernible) another one, it's tough to be in these positions because very few of us step up to the point of actually taking part in these Councils, and committees and whatnot about our resources. And it takes a lot out of us. I -- you know, it takes a lot out of us to, to take a part in this. So, I recognize all the folks here at the table with me and other committees that I've served on and trying to instill that in the younger generation is our job now, along with continuing where we're at this point. So, having said that, I'd like to say thank you for everybody. Thanks for the staff. Thanks for the public that took part in this, and we'll see you at the next meeting because I'm not done. So, thank you. Gisela, thank you. Want to say something?

46 47 48

49

50

MS. CHAPA: My closing comments. Gisela Chapa. I wanted to well, say thank you to all of you for your time and your dedication to the Seward Peninsula

2	and It's been a pleasure, Ms. Freytag, it was great to
3	at least get to know you for a small window. I hope that
4 5	we continue to stay connected, and that you can continue to stay engaged on the issues in the Seward Peninsula
6	region. And thanks to everyone for attending and
7	providing important information for this RAC to make
8	decisions on extremely important matters. And yeah,
9	we'll see you in the winter.
10	
11	CHAIRPERSON GREEN: It's snowing
12 13	outside. How about springtime? That sounds a little bit better. Yeah. Thank you. Okay, well, is there a motion
1 4	for adjournment?
15	Tot adjournments.
16	MS. FREYTAG: This is Mary Freytag. I'd
17 18	like to motion for adjourn the meeting.
19 20	CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Thank you, Mary.
21	MR. SEETOT: Elmer. Second.
22	III. SZZIGI. ZIMGI. SSGGMA.
23 24	CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Elmer, second.
25 26	MS. FREYTAG: Question.
27	CHAIRPERSON GREEN: Question has beer
28 29	called. All those in favor?
30 31	IN UNISON: Aye.
32	Thank you for that, Mary. Thank you.
33 34	(Off record)
35 36	(END OF PROCEEDING)
37	(
38	
39	
40	
41 42	
42 43	
4 4	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	

I, Rafael Morel, for Lighthouse Integrated Services Corp, do hereby certify:  THAT the foregoing pages numbered 1 through contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the SEWARD PENINSULA SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME II recorded on the 25th day of October;	1 2 3 4	CERTIFICATE
THAT the foregoing pages numbered 1 through 10 124 contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the 11 SEWARD PENINSULA SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL 12 MEETING, VOLUME II recorded on the 25th day of October; 13	5 6 7	I, Rafael Morel, for Lighthouse Integrated Services Corp, do hereby certify:
	9 10 11 12	THAT the foregoing pages numbered $\underline{1}$ through $\underline{124}$ contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the SEWARD PENINSULA SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME II recorded on the 25th day of October;
		THAT the transcript is a true and
		correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced
to print to the best of our knowledge and ability;		
18		to print to the best of our knowledge and astricy,
		THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
20 party interested in any way in this action.	20	party interested in any way in this action.
21	21	
		DATED at Isabela, Puerto Rico this 19th
23 day of November 2024.		day of November 2024.
24		
25		<del></del>
26 Rafael Morel 27 Chief Project Manager		
27 Chief Project Manager 28		Chief Floject Manager
29		
30		
31		
32	32	
33	33	
34		
35		
36		
37		
38 39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48 49		