```
0111
 1
                YUKON KUSKOKWIM DELTA SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
                            VOLUME II
 7
 8
 9
                  Gordon Watson Conference Room
10
                  U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
11
                        Anchorage, Alaska
12
                         October 11, 2023
13
                            9:07 a.m.
14
15
16
17
    MEMBERS PRESENT:
18
19
    Raymond Oney, Chairman
20
    Wassilly Alexie
    John Andrew
21
22
    Jacqueline Cleveland
23
    Norma Evan
24
    Robert Hoffman
25
    James Landlord
26
   Walter Morgan
27
    Henry Parks
28
    Phillip Peter
29
    Alissa Nadine Rogers
30
    Richard Slats
31
32
33
34
    Regional Council Coordinator, Brooke McDavid
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
    Recorded and transcribed by:
42
43
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
44
     329 F Street, Suite 222
45
    Anchorage, AK 99501
46
    907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
47
48
49
50
```

0112	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Anchorage, Alaska - 10/11/2023)
4 5	(On record)
6	
7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Good morning, everyone. Thank you for coming to our second day of our YKRAC meeting. I'll go ahead and call the meeting back to order. The time now is 9:07 a.m. Before we get started I'd like to have our Secretary do a roll
12 13 14	call. And then if there's any housekeeping items that need to be announced we'll go ahead and do that and do a recap of what we did yesterday. Brooke will do that.
15 16	So at this time I'll go ahead and ask our Secretary to do a roll call to see who all's present.
17 18 19	Thank you.
20 21 22	MR. SLATS: Roll call for day of the Regional Advisory Council. Those present say aye.
23	Henry Parks.
25	MR. PARKS: Aye.
26 27 28	MR. SLATS: Norma T. Evan.
29 30	MS. EVAN: Aye.
31 32	MR. SLATS: John W. Andrew.
33	MR. ANDREW: Here.
34 35	MR. SLATS: Walter A. Morgan, Sr.
36 37	MR. MORGAN: Here.
38 39	MR. SLATS: Jacqueline K. Cleveland.
40 41	MS. CLEVELAND: Here.
42	MR. SLATS: James C. Landlord.
44	MR. LANDLORD: Here.
46 47	MR. SLATS: Alissa Nadine Rogers.
48 49 50	(No comments)

```
0113
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa will be joining
 2
     us shortly and we can let the record know.....
 4
                     MR. SLATS: Oh, okay.
 5
 6
                     MS. McDAVID: .....when she arrives.
 7
 8
                     MR. SLATS: Okay.
 9
10
                     MS. McDAVID: Thanks.
11
12
                     MR. SLATS: Thank you. Philip K.
13
     Peter, Sr.
14
                     MR. PETER: Here.
15
16
17
                     MR. SLATS: Wassilly B. Alexie.
18
19
                     MR. ALEXIE: Here.
20
21
                     MR. SLATS: Raymond J. Oney.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Here.
24
25
                     MR. SLATS: Myron P. Naneng, Sr.
26
27
                     (No comments)
28
29
                     MR. SLATS: Myron P. Naneng, Sr.
30
31
                     (No comments)
32
                     MR. SLATS: Robert J. Hoffman.
33
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
37
                     MR. SLATS: Robert J. Hoffman.
38
39
                     (No comments)
40
                     MR. SLATS: And Richard B. Slats is
41
     here. Robert, are you online?
42
43
44
                     (No comments)
45
46
                     MR. SLATS: Robert Hoffman, are you
47
     online.
48
49
                     (No comments)
50
```

MR. SLATS: Okay. Mr. Chairman, we have 10 present, three absent, one on the way. That makes sense, Alissa's on the line. CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. MR. SLATS: Ten are present so we have quorum, over half. CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you, Next on the agenda if we could maybe do..... MR. SLATS: Mic, your mic. CHAIRMAN ONEY: ....could do housekeeping, what we did yesterday. Brooke. MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And good morning, everyone. Welcome to day two of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta RAC meeting. I don't have any particular housekeeping announcements, but I can quickly recap where we left off yesterday or what we went through and where we left off yesterday. So we started out the meeting with all the standard items that we always do at every RAC meeting. We went over the agenda and adopted it, we reviewed the meeting minutes from the previous meeting, we heard reports from all our council members and our Chair. We recognized four Council members for their service on the Council and we received a couple of public comments on non-agenda items. 

As a reminder we'll have another opportunity for public comments on non-agenda items shortly here this morning. So if you would like to sign up for a public comment here in the room, there's some blue cards on the back table there, you could fill one out and pass one of those to me and I'll make sure the Chair knows you'd like to comment. If you're online the Chair will announce when it's time for online comments for non-agenda items and you can just speak up by saying Mr. Chair and he'll recognize you.

 $\label{like to submit written} If you would like to submit written comments you can pass those to me or you can email them to subsistence@FWS.gov.$ 

After non-agenda item comments yesterday we moved into old business and we went over the .805(c) report cover letter, the Council received an update on Federal Subsistence Board's summer work session and the Board's replies to the Council's annual report from FY22. The Council also received a summary about the correspondence that had been sent and received since the past meeting and heard several special -- fishery special action updates from our Fisheries team. The Council reconfirmed their representatives for the Kuskokwim River Salmon Management Working group and that was the end of the old business items.

We moved into new business and began some wildlife reports. At the end of the day we heard from Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge and we also had a report from the Bureau of Land Management about the D1 land withdrawals. And I believe where we will be picking up today is with -- back in wildlife reports once we wrap up the public comments on non-agenda items this morning, and that will be Togiak National Wildlife Refuge up first.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you. Thank you, Brooke. Maybe for the record maybe we could reach out to see who might be with online this morning.

(No comments)

MS. McDAVID: As a reminder folks online, your line has been muted to start out so you'll need to press star, six to unmute.

Thank you.

(No comments)

41 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Can anyone online hear 42 us this morning?

(No comments)

MS. McDAVID: Good morning to folks online, I hope you can hear us now. Our apologies, it looks like our phone line here in the room was muted. Could someone online confirm that you can hear us now?

```
0116
 1
                     Thank you.
 2
 3
                     MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, ma'am, can you hear
 4
    me?
 5
 6
                     MS. McDAVID: Yes, good morning,
 7
    Robert. Thank you for confirming your attendance and
 8
     that you can hear us.
 9
10
                     MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you, I can hear you
11
     loud and clear.
12
13
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Great. And just a
14
    quick recap for folks online. We just went through
15
    here in the room where we wrapped up yesterday and that
     was -- we had started new business and heard one
16
17
    wildlife report from Yukon Delta National Wildlife
18
    Refuge. The Chair has just asked if folks online could
19
    maybe identify themselves so we know who's joining us
20
    today. And then we'll move into public comments on
21
    non-agenda items.
22
23
                     MR. SLATS: Mr. Chair, for the record
24
     we have 11 present, one absent -- two absent, one on
25
     the way.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you.
28
29
                     MR. SLATS: Thank you.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Once again
32
     reaching out to the people online. If you could call
33
     in and let us know who's with us this morning, identify
34
    yourself.
35
36
                     Thank you.
37
38
                     MR. SVOBODA: My name's Nate, I'm
39
    online. I'm the Fisheries Biologist at Yukon Delta
    National Wildlife Refuge.
40
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you.
43
44
                     MR. RUNFOLA: Dave Runfola, Alaska
45
     Department of Fish and Game calling in from Fairbanks.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Morning, Dave. Anyone
48
     else.
49
```

0117 1 (No comments) 2 3 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, hopefully 4 they'll be able to tune in when we reach the reports 5 that are -- that are online. As Brooke mentioned we could go ahead and start off this morning with public 6 7 and tribal comment on non-agenda items. 8 9 Do we have anyone here or online that 10 wants to provide comments on non-agenda items at this 11 time. 12 13 (No comments) 14 15 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, we could go ahead and move on with the agenda. I believe next 16 17 item we have on the agenda is Togiak National Wildlife 18 Refuge update with Andy Aderman. 19 20 Andy, if you're with us you have the 21 floor. 22 23 MR. ADERMAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Can 24 you hear me? 25 26 CHAIRMAN ONEY: I can hear you. 27 28 MR. ADERMAN: Okay. Great. 29 My name is Andy Aderman, I'm a wildlife Biologist with 30 the Togiak National Wildlife Refuge. I have a report 31 in your meeting materials. It's volume II, the Page 32 number is 352, 3-5-2. I'm just going to talk a little 33 bit about Mulchatna Caribou and moose on the Togiak 34 Refuge. 35 36 So starting near the bottom of Page 352 37 with Mulchatna Caribou. The Togiak Refuge is a 38 cooperator with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 39 Fish and Game has the lead on Mulchatna Caribou and we 40 try to assist them with a number of items, telemetry 41 flights, law enforcement flights. We also help with 42 capture and collaring and this past March we helped 43 catch 14 adult cows in the area north of Goodnews Bay. 44 Those caribou, all of them looked in very good 45 condition and they looked very healthy. 46 47 We attempted a population count in 48 early July, we came up with a minimum of 639 caribou. 49 One of the things that is different from other parts of

the Mulchatna Herd is the caribou don't group up very nicely or they're in small groups, but the biggest group we saw was maybe 130 caribou, but lots of just small groups, single digits to, you know, 20, maybe 30. And so they're just spread over the landscape and it's really hard to get a good estimate on how many there are

And then here recently we conducted a sex and age composition survey, that was -- that was actually done this last Saturday. I don't have the results of that yet, but I think they'll be pretty good numbers of bulls and calves per hundred cows. Well, we know that the hunting season's been closed for a couple -- last couple years.

Yeah. That's all I have on Mulchatna Caribou. The other thing that I mentioned is moose and that's on the next Page 353. Our collared moose continue to be very productive, most of them having twins. And this is across the Refuge which the eastern half is in 17, the western half is in Unit 18. Hunters did not as well this last -- this -- the previous season, not the one here in 2023, but I think it was just poor travel conditions in the winter hunt that people weren't able to get where they wanted to in many instances.

We did a survey in 2022 that included 17A, I won't bother you with that, but it also included the Goodnews hunt area. And what we found was an estimate of 464 moose in that area. And that's pretty close to what we saw back in 2020, 450 moose.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$  with that I will -- I will stop and ask for any questions.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Andy. Do we have any questions or comments for Andy at this time from any of the Council members.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  PETER: Mr. Chairman, I got a question.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Mr. Peter, go ahead.

MR. PETER: About the Mulchatna Caribou. In Togiak Refuge those herds in 2023, this year, Mulchatna Caribou Herd and five or seven,

```
0119
     slightly up 12,000. That's the estimate in 2022. And
     objective is 30 to 80,000. And I got question, those
    Mulchatna Caribou up in (in Native), Unit 18, they
    usually go down to Three Step Mountain this time of day
 5
    or and I'd like to know about the -- how many are
     caribous crossing from 17C to 18 -- Unit 18?
 6
 7
 8
                     That's my question.
 9
10
                     Thank you.
11
12
                     MR. ADERMAN: Through the Chair, member
13
     Peters. That I don't know for sure. I think the
14
    Alaska Department of Fish and Game might be able to
15
     answer that question if John Landsiedel's online
    possibly or Patrick Jones might -- might have some
16
17
     information on that.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Phillip.
20
21
                     MR. PETER: Mr. Chairman, the Nushagak
     Peninsula Caribou, they're -- I want to note the
22
23
    population estimates like 511 plus or minus eight. And
24
     caribou at the 95 percent interval. Could you explain
25
     to me about the figures, how you get the figures on the
26
     estimate?
27
28
                     MR. RINALDI: Good morning this is Todd
29
    Rinaldi....
30
31
                     MR. ADERMAN:
                                  Through the Chair.
32
33
                     MR. RINALDI: .....with the State of
    Alaska. There's a number of callers that are unable to
34
     call in this morning, including Refuge Manager Kenton
36
    Moos and Bristol Bay Area Biologist John Landsiedel.
37
     So just as a heads up there's people that are having
38
     trouble connecting.
39
40
                     I understand there was a question that
41
    was directed towards the State possibly, but I can't
42
    hear much of the conversation that's happening in the
43
    room.
44
45
                     MR. ADERMAN: Yeah, Todd, can you hear
46
    me?
47
48
                     MR. RINALDI: Yes, Andy's coming in
49
     loud and clear.
50
```

0120 1 MR. ADERMAN: Okay. Member Peter had a question first about the number of caribou moving out 2 of Unit 17 into Unit 18. And I told him I didn't have a very good feel for that and said that possibly John 5 or Patrick Jones might -- might be able to address 6 that. 7 8 MR. RINALDI: Yeah, right now -- thank 9 you, Andy. Through the Chair. Right now I have John 10 Landsiedel patched through my phone to another phone, 11 but I don't think.... 12 13 John, do you want to say something and 14 see if people can hear you. 15 16 MR. LANDSIEDEL: Yeah, (indiscernible -17 distortion).... 18 19 MR. RINALDI: Well, I can take a stab 20 at the question. I think the -- my understanding is 21 caribou movements from Unit 18 into 17 and vice versa. 22 As you know, there's the bulk of the population right 23 now and -- and I guess I should take a step back. I 24 have a presentation that's going to talk about all this 25 information and I think we're next on the agenda. Do 26 you want me to just go into this presentation or 27 address this question specifically? 28 29 MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Todd. Member 30 Cleveland just translated for member Peter and he said 31 it's okay to wait. I would ask if we could take a five 32 minute recess so that I can send out an alternative 33 phone number and the Teams meeting link so folks can 34 start passing that around that are having trouble 35 calling in to the meeting today. We're sorry about 36 that, we've never experienced the toll free number not 37 working before so look for an email from me in a few 38 moments and hopefully that'll give folks online a 39 chance to join us. 40 41 Thank you. 42 43 CHAIRMAN ONEY: So we'll go ahead and 44 take a five minute break. 45

MR. RINALDI: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: We'll go ahead and take

46 47

48 49

50

stand by.

0121 a five minute break and come back at 9:35. 3 (Off record) 4 5 (On record) 6 7 CHAIRMAN ONEY: I believe we still have 8 Andy online. I didn't know if you were done with your presentation, if not do we -- is there any Council 9 10 members still have questions for -- questions or 11 comments for Andy at this time. 12 13 MS. CLEVELAND: Mr. Chair. 14 15 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead. 16 17 MS. CLEVELAND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 18 Andy, if you can hear me this is a question on caribou. 19 So one of my friends I work or one of my colleagues 20 from Iliamna Lake had mentioned that their herd of 21 caribou is not really part of the Mulchatna Herd, but is identified as Mulchatna. So even though it's 22 23 another herd and their herd is doing better according 24 to them, just felt like they shouldn't be included in 25 the restrictions because it's another species and I was 26 wondering if you had anything that you know about that? 27 28 Thanks. 29 30 MR. ADERMAN: Yes, can you hear me? 31 32 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yes, we can hear you. 33 34 MR. ADERMAN: Okay. Yeah, I'm not real 35 familiar with that, that herd. I believe it's closer to Kanektok and it's my understanding there's about 300 36 37 to 400 caribou in that herd and they seem like they're 38 a resident herd, that they kind of stay put within the 39 certain area there as opposed to a migratory herd that, 40 you know, would move to different areas depending on the seasons. Like caribou now are probably going to be 41 42 looking to go where they're planning to winter. 43 There's some radio collars that were deployed in that 44 herd, but I'd let Fish and Game talk more to that. It's a little bit similar maybe to the caribou that are 45 46 just south of Quinhagak. Those caribou seem to stay in 47 there throughout the year. Some of them move over to

the east, north of Goodnews Lake, but the majority of

them stay kind of along the coast there. And we have a

48

49

```
0122
 1
    number of radio collars out in that herd just to see
     are they resident, like a separate herd, or are they
    mixing in with the -- with the greater Mulchatna Herd.
    And I think that's yet to be determined, but we're
 5
     looking at it, we being Fish and Game and the Refuge
 6
     and support from BLM.
 7
 8
                     But that's about all I can tell you on
 9
     it, member Cleveland.
10
11
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Through the Chair.
12
     Thanks, Andy. That makes sense.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Any other
15
    Council members that have questions or comments for
16
    Andy at this time.
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, do you
21
     still have more to report, Andy.
22
23
                     MR. ADERMAN: No, Mr. Chairman.
                                                      Thank
24
     you.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Oh, thank you again.
27
     Thank you for your report, appreciate it.
28
29
                     We'll go ahead and move on to our next
30
     agenda item.
                   The next agenda item we have is Unit 18
31
    muskox presentation.
32
33
                     Patrick Jones, with ADF&G.
34
35
                     MR. JONES: For the record I'm Patrick
36
     Jones, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, I'm the Area
37
     Biologist located in Bethel.
38
39
                     So I'd like to talk to you about muskox
40
     in Unit 18 and more specifically the population we're
41
     calling the mainland population. So on your agenda at
42
     this meeting there are two proposals for muskox. We
43
    have WP24-22 and WP24-23. And the presentation's
44
     actually on the wall behind you so you guys might want
45
    to turn around so you can see what's going on here.
46
    But -- on the screen behind you.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: It's on here too.
```

1 MR. JONES: Yeah, there'll be 2 animation. So.....

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay.

MR. JONES: .....anyway -- so on these two proposals I'll just start out -- I'll cut to the chase. Fish and Game's going to be opposed to both of them. But I'll give you a little history on how we got to where we are today and what I hopefully see for us in the future.

So one of the proposals is to find a C&T, a customary and traditional finding for muskox for mainland 18. And this is the third time a proposal about C&T for muskox in 18's come up in front of the Council and the Board. In '88 the Board found a negative finding for muskox and then in 2014, more recently it came up for muskox on Nunivak and Nelson Island. But before it was voted upon the proponent of the proposal asked to have it withdrawn. And that was a resident of Mekoryuk. So today they still have a negative C&T finding for all of Unit 18.

And just so everybody is aware there are two similar proposals on the State side working their way through the Board of Game process this winter for our January meeting.

So we put this map together and it should have an asterisk next to it. So all the red dots you see are locations that we could find in literature and books about where muskox were before they were extirpated from the State. So just a quick recap that muskox were in Alaska traditionally and then in the late 1800s they seemed to disappear from the landscape. And they were mostly on the North Slope north of the Brooks Range. So the hash marks around these things is because we know muskox move around a lot which is one of the things I'll show you later on in this presentation. So we went ahead and created a 150 kilometer buffer around all the -- all the dots just to give extra credit for where they might be. And then we dropped the hash marks down there on the Baldwin Peninsula by Kotzebue because in the -- in 1826 an individual found a full muskox skeleton on the beach there. And it seems unclear in the literature whether that was a recently dead muskox or if that was a fossil that had just eroded from the -- from beach action. So

we went ahead and included it. So when I'm talking about recent history on this map I'm talking about the last thousand years or so.

So as you can see there's just no historic record for muskox being anywhere near Unit 18 or western Alaska. There is no record of them being used for a subsistence species in Unit 18. Even if we go back to Lent's book which is one of the books that has a lot of information on muskox, they get help for where they've been found. Nome is the furthest south along the western coast where we found fossils for muskox, but even those were dated 10s of thousands of years old, predating humans and most likely from when they crossed over the land bridge during the Pleistocene.

So you'll be asked later in this meeting when you're looking at these proposals to evaluate the C&T based on eight criteria. And our population of muskox down in Unit 18 are not going to fit the eight criteria.

On this map this is -- so I've got Nome there with an arrow pointing to it just to orient everybody to where we're at. So we have muskox in numerous places in Alaska that we've reintroduced, but in Nome we have GPS collars on them the last -- a little over a year now. And so what we're seeing here on the animation is in the upper left-hand corner you can see the month flip by to orientate you to where the dots are. So this animation is showing all the GPS collared animal movements from November of 2021 through September of 2023. Then in November of '22 we added an additional 12 collars so about halfway through the little cycle you see all the dots double.

But this just gives you a rough idea on how — how these animals move and how much they move. So for total distance traveled, the 10 muskox that were on the air for a full year, using their average distance for a year, the average muskox moved 299 miles. Most sedentary of the muskox that we had collars on moved 194 miles, that's about half mile a day and the most adventurous muskox traveled 408 miles. So these guys are moving around a lot more that we traditionally gave them credit for. They are not loyal to their group so they switch groups, groups switch locations. What is becoming obvious is there are

certain features on the landscape that muskox like. So one group will like a mountain, it'll move off and then another group will move in and occupy that same mountain. So it will seem like there's always muskox there, but in reality they're always different animals. So 22 of the muskox that have been on the air for the last 11 months, so he used their data just to boost our sample site a little bit. Still the average distance traveled is 241 miles, the shortest distance traveled 155 miles and our long distance champ is 200 or 413 miles. So some of these guys are moving around a lot.

We see some -- we've been seeing some really impressive movement as we move into this fall which is when they're really moving around. So it'll be interesting to see a year from now how consistent these guys are. So we look more in depth at movements and what they're doing. It's pretty clear in the summer season these guys are crossing creeks a lot, shallow lakes and they're crossing shallow rivers that they can wade across, but when they bump up against rivers that are deeper, that require swimming, they seem to ricochet off of those and bounce back into the mainland.

So Nome, they have a lot of rivers, but they're not anything compared to our rivers. A lot of their rivers can be walked across in knee boots towards the headwaters and that's kind of what we're seeing the muskox do. Over by White Mountain they have a -- the Fish River which is a little bit bigger river, we're seeing muskox kind of ricochet off that one, not cross it and so get kind of bounced back to the mainland. And that's a pretty small river compared to anything we got down in our region.

On this map I've got the home range of five individual muskox. So we took a whole year, every location we had and we basically built a bubble around everywhere that muskox had been in a year. The pink is the largest home range that we've detected so far and the light blue which is in the bottom corner of the pink is the smallest home range we've detected. Just to throw some numbers at you the average home range thus far is 372 miles. So that's this average. The minimum home range is in the light blue is only 33 square miles and the largest home range in the pink is 867 square miles. So the range seems to vary quite a bit based on individuals.

5

6 7

9

And the reason I guess I'm showing all this and hitting it home is just to illustrate that these animals move around a lot. These GPS collars have only been deployed in the Nome area for a few years, we're trying to get research and finally do it down in our area, we haven't secured it yet, but we have a -- we have a grand pending at the moment. So fingers crossed that we're able to do something similar down in our area because I anticipate our muskox are no different.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

So this is a map of Unit 18 I'm sure all of us are familiar with. And this is kind of why I keep on hammering at the movement patterns. Unit 18's a big area, but we're also heavily density of humans. We have the highest -- for a rural area we have a high density of people. We have 38 year round villages, we have just a little under 25,000 people that live on the YKDelta. And then for muskox for management purposes we talk about three populations. I got Nunivak Island off on the -- off out there with Mekoryuk being the only village. And that's the first place we introduced muskox. And then we have Nelson Island with Toksook and Nightmute and Tununak that are highlighted. It's hard to see that it's an island on this map, but it is and that's the second place we introduced muskox in the area. And in the mainland for the purpose of this presentation is everything else in Unit 18, everything that's not those two islands.

293031

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

44

45 46

47

48

So for the mainland how we got to -got there is we introduced muskox just -- I'll just back up and do the history of muskox in Alaska. So they got extirpated in the late 1800s, then in 1930 the U.S. Congress approved funds to acquire a herd from eastern Greenland. After a long journey those animals ended up in Fairbanks and after six years in Fairbanks we moved all those animals -- in 1935 and 1936 we moved all those animals out to Nunivak Island. And then later on in 1967 and '68 we took animals from Nunivak Island and we introduced 23 of them to Nelson Island. In 1974 residents of Schwanik (ph) reported seeing a muskox on the hill near the community and that's our first -- that's our first reporting of a muskox on the mainland. And what's happening is Nelson Island during the winter when everything freezes is functionally not an island, it becomes part of the mainland for the winter season. And during the winter season animals do occasionally wander off and wander back on.

1 So on this map the light color is State managed lands and the yellow is BLM and the dark brown 2 is Federal Wildlife Refuge. And we started in the '70s after that first reporting of a muskox in the mainland, 5 we started keeping a sightings log in the Bethel 6 office, every time we saw a muskox or somebody reported 7 seeing a muskox on the mainland we went ahead and put it in the logbook. And those are the pink dots. So in 8 the '70s we have a few locations, one out there on the 9 10 coast and then a couple over there by the Johnson River 11 and the tundra villages. And as time goes on we get 12 into the '80s and we start getting more and more 13 sighting blogs and the animals are moving inland, 14 they're moving to the north, we even had a few animals 15 cross the Yukon. And we have one animal up there by Kalskag. So in '81, the other thing that happened in 16 17 '81 as we started our first hunt in Nelson Island 18 because the Nelson Island Herd was doing so well. We 19 go into the '90s, again these are cumulative dots, but 20 you can see there's dots -- their pattern's starting to 21 form of where these animals are routinely seen. 22 like the Eskanong (ph) Mountains, they like Kulsivak 23 Mountain, they like the mud volcanos and they like that 24 area a little southeast of Baird Inlet and the Johnson 25 River and tundra. In most of those -- most of those 26 places you can see the dots accumulating are on -- are 27 going to be on State managed lands and a few of them 28 are going to be on the Federal Wildlife Refuge. 29 get into the 2000, you can see their range is expanding 30 even more, now they're into Unit 19 and 21. They're up 31 there by Aniak and Kalskag, there's some by Holy Cross, 32 there's some down there by Eek and Quinhagak.

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

We get into the 2010s, a little more recent history, we're getting more animals, we're seeing more movements, we're getting more locations, but a pattern of where we see them is starting to really become clear. They like certain features, they like the higher tundra, they like the drier tundra and they're starting to really like the -- that area up there on the border of 21E and Unit 19. We have animals all the way up to McGrath, we have animals on the Holitna and it's not on this map, but they're moving south down to Goodnews, Platinum, Manokotak. And so they're -- we're starting to see these animals move around a lot. Normally the first animal we see in a new location is a bull, the bulls have -- seem to have a little more wanderlust than the cows and they take off, occupy an area for a few years then five to

10 years later we start seeing cows show up where those bulls were.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So the Department would like to -- at this point I should say we did a survey and we found 174 animals in Unit 18 in 2019, that's our most recent survey. And so the Department would like to delay opening a hunt for this population until we see a minimum of 300 animals. That's our -- that's been our goal for all the other muskox populations in the State. One of our concerns is that of the 174 animals they're really spread out, we have an extremely low density of animals, Unit 18's a big area. So we can -- there's oftentimes 30 and 50 miles between active groups. And so right -- and right now the Department is actively seeking funding to research these animals better and we want to put GPS collars on them so we can track their movements, further identify what areas are important to them and they're -- where they're traveling to. And even if this one population, because right now it's unclear if the animals in Unit 18 are functionally one population and those ones up there in 19 and 21E are functionally one population or if these are -- it's unclear if these are two populations on the mainland or if they're one.

252627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

And so a little more insight. So we did collar a couple animals in the '80s with the more primitive style of collar, we had the VHS so you have to fly over, you have to get relatively close to detect it with an airplane. So in the '80s they collared some over there southeast of Baird Inlet and they found a cow with a collar. A year later and she was up there by Kalskag and then we lost her again and then a year later she was harvested legally by a hunter on Toksook Bay during the muskox hunt. So we know she went from Baird Inlet to Kalskag, back to Nelson Island in the course of at least three years. But that's our one and only data point for mainland muskox movements. So right now I'd really like more time to put collars on this and figure out -- figure this out better. We know animals leave and return to Nelson Island, but it seems at such a small rate it's -- it's hard to detect most years. We're talking about zero to six animals most years leave or return. The net difference from the island is pretty small. But it -- but it's not zero.

46 47 48

 $$\operatorname{So}\ I$$  know we have members from Lower Kalskag so I'll throw in some Unit 19 and 21 stuff

here. So in 2019 we did a survey of mainland muskox, we found 174. We found that just -- so 102 of those were on State land and 72 of those were on Refuge lands. I guess I got me one more slide. So the red lines here are track logs from all of our surveys. And really the take home message I want people to take home from this is when I survey Nunivak Island and Nelson Island the goal of the survey is to find every single animal, we're trying to do a direct census. It's one of the few populations that Managers actually attempt a direct census on these animals. Most every other population you see that we have estimates for them so muskox are kind of the exception. But the red lines should indicate that our search intensity is really high on those islands.

And then you look at the mainland and those transects get pretty wide. So we've done the mainland twice and both of those were more feasibility projects just to see how -- what the cost was or what time would be -- would it work. So that's why those transects lines are little wider. We went to areas that we knew we'd always seen muskox. We knew -- went to areas that we know they liked and then we tried to expand out from there. But Unit 18's a huge thing. Those lines for the mainland at Unit 18 took two Super Cubs three full days. We have six days of flying to do those lines. So it -- we did put some money and time into it both times we did it and we tried to get the areas we thought had the most muskox. Obviously we recognize there's muskox outside of those red lines, but we thought we captured the majority of the animals on the mainland.

So here's the two surveys of the mainland, we did it in 2017 and 2019. They were markedly similar. We found 175 animals in the 174 animals introduced in Unit 18. But the other thing to take home when we're thinking about this is I count those by sex and age and if we look at age for muskox everything three and older we considered an adult, that's breeding age for a muskox. And if you look at it we got 29 adult bulls and 53 cows that are adults. That's not very many animals when we're talking about a hunt. Everything else is a -- is a juvenile or a calf. So it's a very small population, it's a very young population and there just aren't a lot of adults available for harvest.

So in 2017 we also went up and counted the muskox in 19A and 21E and there was an additional 76 animals in -- up in Unit 19, 31 of those were adults. In 2019 Fish and Game repeated that and found -- again we found -- in May we found 71 muskox in 19A and 21E, but we went back in June and repeated that survey a second time just to see -- we're still trying to figure out when's the best time to count them on the mainland and our methods. So we went back in June to see if they would be pushed towards the ridge tops because of the heat they normally seek elevation, if that would be easier for us to count. And we could only find 34 which kind of illustrates a little bit too is they -- they're moving a lot seasonally and especially during the summer -- spring, summer and fall is when they're really bouncing around. They're pretty sedentary during the winter however.

I guess this my -- really my last slide and I just -- in conclusion the State has population concerns for these animals. We're talking about a 174 animals, very few of those are actually true adults and available for harvest. We would like to see at least 300 before we talk about a hunt. And we also -- they're right in the middle of 38 communities and 25,000 people so when we do have a hunt we got to really talk about how we're going to divvy out those permits because there's not going to be very many of them to start with. Generally when we talk about hunting muskox on mainland we talk about a harvest rate of 1 to 2 percent. So if we had 300 muskox that would be three to six permits.

So I know our -- the demand for hunting muskox is higher than the supply and it's going to be that way for a while. These animals just need more time to grow. And then -- and for the C&T we still haven't -- we haven't had a hunt for these animals yet, they don't have the customary and traditional history and harvest history and finding. Not yet anyway. And so that will come in -- come in time I'm sure, but in the meantime these animals need time -- the population to grow before we start having a hunt and developing that history.

And I'll -- I guess I'll leave it at that unless there's any questions.

MR. MORGAN: Mr. Chair, Walter Morgan.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Walter.

MR. MORGAN: I was wondering, you know, you're talking about Kalskag area and I see you count during the June month, you know. How come you guys don't do it when there's snow on the ground and you can probably get a better count because the summer months, you know, you can't see the tracks. And I do a lot of hunting outside of Kalskag, we go to Portage Mountain and I notice there's a little more muskox building up in the last few years. But, you know, my question is what -- why don't you do it when there's snow on the ground, you know, you can track them better.

## Thank you.

MR. JONES: Through the Chair. That's So we count them in June -- we've a good point. counted them every month of the year historically, we used to count them by snowmachines on Nunivak and Nelson Island in the '70s and the '80s. And then we used a helicopter in the winter and then we used an airplane in the winter. And then we started moving to the summer months out there and it made sense for us out there in the summer months because there's not a lot of brush for them to hide behind or in, they like to be out in the open with the wind on their face. But they also stand out really well on the islands because they're essentially black and everything else is green. So they just pop really nice, they actually pop better in the summer when you're flying from an airplane than they do in the winter against the snow background. But the islands I recognize are unique because they don't have brush and they're pretty open. And then we have really tight transects, we're trying to look at everything.

But if you look at mainland and what people do for muskox on mainland, both in Alaska and Canada and Greenland and everywhere these guys exist circumpolarly. A lot of places do count them in the winter. Nome and Kotzebue and Barrow, they prefer to count them in the winter and that's probably where if I was going to do a third survey for mainland muskox I would try and do it with snow on the ground just to see how it compares. There's just not a lot of animals so it's -- of all the surveys it's a pretty boring one because you might sit in that Cub for a couple hours before you see a muskox group. And then you'll see 30

of them and then you'll sit there for an hour before you see the next group of five. They're so spread out, but it would help to see tracks, that would help narrow us in and then the black on white would really help if we had really good, solid snow cover. When the snow cover's not 100 percent complete it actually makes it worse because all the brown shows up in there and becomes mottled and it's actually harder to see animals. So we need just extremely good snow conditions. But yeah, the next plan — the next survey we're planning on doing will have snow on the ground just to compare it. So that's where it's going I quess.

MR. MORGAN: Yeah. And I had another one, Mr. Chair. I see the last count was in 2019, you know, that's quite a while back. Why do you wait so long to do a count, because of money or, you know, that's my question. I think, you know, you ought to look into that a lot better and get a better head count because I start seeing muskox, we had one come up to the lake. Three times I seen four of them right in the Kalskag area and they -- they're pretty shy, they come out for a little while and they go back in the woods; you know, they don't show themselves. So, you know, that's why I said, you know, winter hunt -- wintertime you can get a better count.

## Thank you.

MR. JONES: Through the Chair. Yeah. So up in your country you definitely have trees that they can hide in. I was up there in July when it was really hot and most of them were on the ridge tops trying to escape the heat, but there's obviously some down in the bottom sitting in the — in the shallow water trying to escape the heat. They — and that's obviously some in the forest trying to be in the shade, trying to escape the heat. So they — these guys really don't tolerate heat well, they start trying to cool off whenever it gets hot. But I agree we're going to try and count them in winter the next time to see if that changes much.

But the other thing, the why the space between there is their growth rate, their population

growth rate is really low. They can only -- the don't twin like moose do, they only have one calf. And these guys live a lot longer than moose and caribou. You know, an old moose or caribou is eight, nine years old, an old muskox is 18, 20 years old. They got a different life history. We've had several cows make it to 18. They have some in captivity in their mid 20s. So they just live longer, they'll have a calf or two, it'll take a year off, we'll have another calf. So they're just -- the growth rate is really slow on these guys and with such a small population there's no way for it to jump up really fast. So counting it every three to five years is kind of what we're doing now just to monitor it until it gets closer to that 300 mark and then when we get closer to having a hunt I -we'll start monitoring it a lot closer. But it's just mathematically impossible for that thing to grow fast enough to need to count it every year at the moment.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Do you have any more questions or comments for Patrick at this time.

Jackie, go ahead.

MS. CLEVELAND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I had a few questions so I was trying to prioritize. In the beginning you were a little fast when you talked about the eight criteria and I was wondering what those were maybe summarized and the C&T for Mekoryuk, why are they withdrawn?

MR. JONES: Thank you, Chair and member Cleveland. When you guys get the proposal you'll go through that eight criteria in -- in detail. So I won't hit it -- hit with -- too hard with you right now.

Let's see, what was the second question.

MS. CLEVELAND: Through the Chair. Why Mekoryuk withdrew from the C&T process.

MR. JONES: So Mekoryuk -- so Nunivak Island that's so Mekoryuk -- is where Mekoryuk's at. So they've had muskox the longest, they've been hunting muskox the longest. 1974 I believe was the first hunt. And Mekoryuk is also pretty special too because even before muskox they had big game guides out there pre

'72, pre the Marine Mammal Act, they had guides out there taking clients out for walrus and things. So they had a local economy based on guiding and bringing people in for money. And then in '72 of course marine mammals got shut down to everybody but Alaska Natives and that ended that industry. But in '75 or '74 when we started muskox hunting those same people that were walrus guides switched over to be muskox guides.

So they've had a really long history and economy of guiding out there. And when this proposal last came up the muskox population on Nunivak was low and the number of permits given out was low compared to historical averages. I think on an average year we give around I believe 45 cow permits and 45 bull permits. The bull permits are allocated to the draw hunt and then the cow permits have always been allocated to -- we give them out -- we give five out in Bethel and they give the rest out in Mekoryuk so they're mostly -- they're mostly going to Mekoryuk residents and if not Mekoryuk residents at least residents of the YKDelta. But it's also a small community, I'd say 180, 200 people and about 40 to 50 hunters is basically what they had. So we're meeting their desires on normal years, but on low years we got down to where we only had five permits to give out in Mekoryuk and that wasn't -- that wasn't enough and they were looking for ways to get more harvest. And one of the ways was they were thinking about tapping into the bull -- taking bull permits instead of cow permits. And so one of the ways they were trying to get to there was with the C&T and a positive finding, but ultimately they decided to withdraw because they weren't sure how it was all going to break down, how many were going to be allocated for C&T purposes and how that was going to affect their guide industry going forward and they didn't want to risk their guide industry.

So we came -- so they went through that proposal on the C&T I believe on the State and the Federal side and then we came to another agreement on the State side that when we're -- have less than 10 cow permits available we will -- but we have bull permits available, we'll allocate the bull permits to the local people. So we came up with another solution I guess the is -- is the long and short answer. I don't know if that helps.

MS. CLEVELAND: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Do you have any more questions or comments for Andy.

Chris, you had a comment. Chris Tulik.

MR. TULIK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Christopher Tulik. I'm looking at the draft analysis on Page 155 of the packet. It says the oxen's have migrated out from Nelson Island and Nunivak Island over the past 20 years. I would like to say that based on my local knowledge and observation that it is not true in -- that Nunivak herds have migrated to the mainland. It's impossible for this animals to swim over 20 miles of the strait. Because Etolin Strait is treacherous especially during the winter. I've known -- because I know that area down there. During the winter it may freeze over, but the ice is always cracking up and jamming and piling up. There is no way for these animals to swim that distance. And if they do walk out into the ice, I mean, they have no chance of surviving in that strait. So it is not true that the herds from Nunivak have migrated out to the mainland, that's not true. I have not seen any one animal out there, out there in the strait, Etolin Strait. These animals can swim, but they don't swim as good as moose do.

The other thing here is that it says over the past 20 years, that's not -- I mean, these oxen have been migrating out from Nelson Island well over 50 years. We -- because I've seen them. I'm speaking from my own knowledge and observation. And I -- we know that the mainland hurt or disbursed and their growth rate on the mainland is pretty slow. But over the past 50 years since they were introduced on the island in '68 or around there, '69, you know, we -- we saw the animal or the population growing from there. And then -- and they've been migrating out of Nelson Island. So I will say that the analysis here is -- where it says Nunivak Island first over the past 20 years is not true.

Quyana.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Chris. Any more comments or questions for Patrick at this time regarding the Unit  $18\ \mathrm{muskox}$ .

Wassilly, when Andy sits down you could ask your question or comment.

Thank you.

Andy, we have Wassilly Alexie. Go ahead.

MR. ALEXIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to ask, you know, we have all this data collected from moose, muskox and caribou. Is there any data collected from the wood bison?

MR. JONES: Yes, I'm not sure I'm the right person to hand -- to answer all the wood bison questions because I don't do those surveys, but yeah, they -- those are monitored very closely. They have some collared animals and they fly the surveys and they do the count for bulls, cows and calves every year. And they've been tracking the -- the birth rate of the new calves and then the mortality. So this last winter they had a pretty big mortality event so that herd's down to I believe 74 or 70 animals. So it's -- they took a really big hit this last winter.

MR. ALEXIE: All right. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.

MS. CLEVELAND: Through the Chair. I guess my other question was I guess how much more time do you -- would we need of data and if the numbers -- you know, like if -- at the rate it's going now with the increase or I guess how much more time if you were to guess at least three I would take it because you do your surveys every three years so maybe four years would be the soonest next available mainland hunt? If that makes sense.

Thanks.

MR. JONES: That's tough. One of the problems, one of the reasons it's taken so long to get to a 174,000 animals, I mean, we started in the '70s, right, and it -- and we've had groups on the mainland for 50 years now and everywhere else we've introduced them they've done well, in that time they've grown to a huntable population in that time frame, but they haven't here. And it would appear that they haven't

got there yet in our country because of illegal harvest. There are numerous cases where people -- in our unit I think the most egregious wildlife crimes are on muskox. We got local people killing those things and leaving them and not taking meat. They're the most wasted animal we have. Then the mud volcanoes, they 6 7 killed I think 20ish muskox and they didn't salvage the meat from any of them. And that's happened numerous times in the history on the Delta. Our illegal harvest 9 10 and poaching problem is a big one. Every time they 11 grow they get knocked back down. So I -- the 12 harvestable surplus we have is taken by illegal harvest 13 most years and it's taken a long time to get to where 14 we are today, I think we're finally getting to the 15 point where they might be over the hump, they might be 16 growing despite of the illegal harvest now, but it's 17 really slowing things down. Last year I saw where 18 people killed five cows and I think if -- we can't 19 afford to lose any cows. If we want to have calves, 20 especially of these cows that live 18 and 20 years and 21 they produce calves most of their life. So I -- I 22 don't know, if people would leave them alone they would 23 probably grow at about 10 percent a year, but people 24 aren't leaving them alone. So.....

25 26

27

MS. CLEVELAND: Through the Chair. Follow-up. You said the poaching and illegal hunts are done by locals, is that for sure?

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

MR. JONES: Yeah. So we don't catch all the people obviously, we find the aftermath and then we don't -- the troopers aren't able to make cases all the time though they have good suspects. So everybody we've caught has been local, everybody we suspect has been local. They're happening mostly during the winter season by snowmachiners near communities. And off -- everybody I remember is like seven, eight years ago, we had a big group of muskox walk into Bethel on Hanger Lake and then the next morning we went out there and there was a bunch of beer cans, about a thousand rounds of .22 ammunition on the ground and a dead muskox and several muskox walking around blind with their eyes popped out. I mean, it's just -- egregious things happen to these animals all the time.

45 46 47

They behave different than any other animal. When you stress them out they group together, they back up against buildings, they back up against

49 50

1 trees, they don't run away. And that emboldens people sometimes to get closer and closer and to harass them. It's -- and it -- and the people that aren't used to muskox aren't used to their behavior, it's a weird 5 behavior. You see it and it backs up to a bush instead of running away. And then so people get mad at them at 6 7 berry patches and stuff and they're just a different critter, they don't respond to stress the same as 9 anything else. They just stand their ground and the 10 more you harass them, the more you stand your ground 11 the more it escalates. So they're just one of those 12 critters if they're -- if they're there you got to back 13 off and give them space and they'll eventually wander 14 off, but a lot of times they walk into communities and 15 people don't know how to deal with them and then, you 16 know, crowd control in a community is pretty hard so 17 all the kids harassing them, all the people harassing 18 them, they back up against a building and then -- and 19 then somebody ends up having to shoot them just because 20 they become a danger to people there. But it's just 21 their behavior's different, people treat them 22 different, it's just you have to get used to them it 23 seems like and they're behaviors. And then you have to 24 have value on them too. People that had muskox the 25 longest truly value them for their meat, they have 26 really good meat quality and then their quivet is 27 really valued. People that are new to them seem to 28 value them a lot less because they're on berry patches, 29 they act weird, their -- they seem aggressive because 30 they won't leave when you show up. They rub on stuff 31 so they've been known to walk in to graveyards in 32 communities that don't have fences and rub on --33 they're itchy, and they're rubbing on stuff and they 34 knock over crosses and tombstones and people hate that 35 understandably. So they get a bad rap and they just 36 have all these things going against them I guess. 37 38 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Are there any 39 more questions or comments for Andy at this time. 40 James, go ahead. 41 42 43 MR. LANDLORD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 44 What do they eat? 45 46 MR. JONES: They're mostly eating 47 sedges and grass. They'll browse on willows a little 48 bit. And then -- and that -- in the winter that's what 49 they're eating, mostly grasses and sedges which is kind

5

6 7

of like a grass. And then -- and then willows. And then in the summer they'll eat just about anything 2 green. It's really common to see them on the edge of lakes and ponds eating the horsetails, the equisetaceae, snap grass some people call it. But that stuff's really high in protein and calcium and a bunch of other stuff. So they're -- for an animal that's terrible at swimming they're normally actually in our region associated in the summer with water because that's where the really good vegetation is.

10 11 12

9

MR. LANDLORD: It seems like they don't -- they don't seem very aggressive, huh?

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

MR. JONES: No, they -- they're not aggressive, they're not out -- not out to get you. I like them, I spend a lot of time around them. But when people haven't been around them they get thrown off because -- because if you walk up to a caribou or a moose it's probably going to leave, right, and then the muskox isn't. The muskox gets really stressed out, they tend to either back up to each other for safety or back up to a tree or a bush or a building. They -they overeat really easy, they only got one little sweat gland down in their back legs so they don't want to run hard for a long distance because then they get really hot then they have a hard time cooling down. So they -- if you let them they'll walk away slowly, but if they're in a situation where they fell like they have to run they're not going to, they're going to just stand there. They also really hate swimming. They can swim, they don't like to do it. And if they're under pressure from you they won't do it. That's one of the tactics we use when we're darting them, we ground dart them and I got from here to the wall to dart them, I'll walk over to them and they'll -- I'll walk them over to a creek or a lake and they'll stand there on the edge of the shore and they won't swim and they won't go away and one or two people can essentially trap a group and dart and collar them. So they're -- once you understand them they've very predictable, they're not very threatening once you understand them, but the first time you encounter them most people misinterpret their body language and behaviors as being aggressive. So I guess it's just how you look to them and how you can read their body language.

46 47 48

MR. LANDLORD: Yes, Chris said they tend to populate very slow?

MR. JONES: They've done really well in 1 areas -- you know, they did really good in Mekoryuk and 2 Nelson Island, but we don't have any predators out there and all those communities out there are really 5 good about letting them grow. And they did really good almost everywhere else we put them in Alaska. One of 6 7 the North Slope places we put them they initially did really good and then essentially there's no more where we initially put them, but they -- some of them moved 9 10 east into Canada and some of them moved west over 11 towards Tulik Lake and everything. So they do really well and over in Canada, Canada, has, you know, 12 13 Victoria Island has 100,000 of them, you know, they 14 have a lot. And what they see has occupied a pretty 15 big area for 20 or 30 years and they seem like they leave that area and just move over for 20 years. They 16 17 seem to like to be in one spot for a while and then 18 move to a different one when -- but they have a lot of 19 muskox over there so they're kind of in a different 20 situation too.

21 22

MR. PETER: Mr. Chair, I've got.....

23 24

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Phillip.....

2526

MR. PETER: .....a few comments.....

2728

CHAIRMAN ONEY: .....Phillip, go ahead.

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

MR. PETER: .....about the muskox. In Aggis when I went tundra behind the -- behind us for hare hunting and I spotted 15 miles northwest of and then I track them on the fresh -- the snow was really fresh. And then I see strange tracks with something was dragging on the snow. There were six, six muskox. I track them from there to Iliik (ph) and then I went up the hill where my uncle got the land down there, that lake on the top of it. I went and I saw six muskox in Aggis. And then after that in 2015 I went berrypick -- I usually go berrypicking Tuntutuliak below (in Native). I go up all the way to the hills -all the way to the hills at (in Native), you know, that place, they got big hills way up -- way up there. And then that I spotted four -- four muskox.

44 45 46

47

48

And then in 19 -- and then 2020 I went up -- I went again to (in Native) and I spotted quite a few herds there. And I was really surprised that the first time I seen really close -- close by. I went

over walking, seen them really close. And I was wondering there's Nelson Island, it got different -- it got diff -- it got those herds. And those herds when they -- the population grows up they separated, right, look to me. To me those herds were separated to (in Native). I -- but they're still -- I bet they're still there in (in Native).

And then I heard the -- my brother told me that they saw when they had -- when they traveled for a spring bear -- looking for bear holes. And he told me that they saw tracks going toward Kalskag, a few herds, you know, few herds. And I was really surprised, maybe they divide it up to me.

And then in 2021 in summer fishing was open for subsistence in 2021. I got fish camp three miles — three miles about Akiachak and from my fish camp I traveled to — three miles and there's a sandbar for like drifting I see strange tracks, strange one. So I asked my brother—in—law — my brother, let's go check those strange tracks on the beach. My brother—in—law is from Mekoryuk and he recognize them right away, these are muskox tracks, but I don't know how many on the sandbar. And then when the moose hunting was open they — he saw one in — one muskox, we call it the (in Native) right above (in Native) he saw one caribou on the beach, right below Kalskag. Right below Kalskag, yeah.

That's my comment.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you. Thank you for your report, Patrick, appreciate it. We'll go ahead and take a 10 minute break and then we could do the Arctic lamprey after that.

Thank you, Pat, for your part. I'll ask for a 10 minute break.

(Off record)

(On record)

CHAIRMAN ONEY: .....get started as soon as we get all our Council members seated. Okay. We can go ahead and get started. Our next item on the

agenda is Arctic lamprey presentation by Carol -- Caroline Brown and Sam Decker.

At this time before we get started I'd like to recognize Alissa for the record being with us at our meeting. Thank you for being here.

Go ahead, Caroline, you got the floor.

MS. BROWN: Thank you, Chair and RAC members. My name is Caroline Brown. I am the Statewide Research Director for the Subsistence Division at Fish and Game and I am located in Fairbanks. I'm pretty happy to be here in person to give this presentation, I'm actually down in Anchorage for a different meeting, but this -- this worked out well. And I really appreciate your flexibility in being able to let me give this presentation this morning.

So I already introduced myself, but the other person who helped put this presentation together for you is Sam Decker. She is a Fisheries Biologist for the Division of Commercial Fisheries and she is also in Fairbanks, works primarily on the Yukon River. And she's also I believe on the phone and so if there are questions about the biology I'm probably going to punt to her.

But this talk is going to explore some of the details of lamprey life history, monitoring efforts and harvest and also the experimental commercial fishery that you guys have expressed some concern about on the Yukon River. Lamprey also run in the Kuskokwim, but I don't personally know of any direct research on them there. There's very little in general reported in the scientific literature about the ecology or harvest and use of Arctic lamprey in Alaska and much of what I'm going to talk about today especially in the first half of this presentation comes from a traditional knowledge research study that I did in the mid 2000s in the -- what the GASH area, Grayling, Anvik, Shageluk and Holy Cross. So even though there's not a lot of scientific literature about lamprey there is as you all probably know a rich body of local knowledge about certain aspects of lamprey or eels as they're usually referred to locally. And many of these observations can yield some important insights for the continued biological study of fish life

history. They also offer some important insights and considerations for management.

2 3 4

So as I mentioned before this study or a lot of what I'm going to talk about came from research that I did working with elders and fishers of lamprey in the GASH area. And there's the GASH area on a map. And so historically most of the -- most of the knowledge we have is was held by indigenous fishers and on -- along the lamprey bearing rivers and passed down through generations to other people who also relied on the resource. And in this particular area some of the earliest documented research comes from Lieutenant Zagaskin who's a Russian merchant explorer who traveled through the area in about the 1800s. He put a lot of effort into documenting what we would now call ethnographic information about subsistence and other things, about traditional practices of all kinds including lamprey fishing.

In the mid 2000s in response to declining runs in the Pacific Northwest the Pacific Lamprey Conservation Initiative helped draw focus to lamprey as a collaboration between Native American tribes, government agencies and non-governmental organizations. It also provides a platform for research and sharing knowledge.

In Alaska Pacific lamprey are present across the Gulf of Alaska or I'm sorry, the Gulf of Alaska coast and Arctic lamprey which are the red. So Pacific lamprey are in that blue circle and the red circle is Arctic lamprey. They're present in the Bering coast including the Yukon and Kuskokwim Rivers, Bristol Bay and Norton Sound. Their ranges overlap in the Cook Inlet area and both species are present in the Susitna River drainage.

So local fishers in this area in the Yukon report encountering lamprey from coast -- from the coast tributary such as the Innoko, the Koyukuk and the Tanana River in Lake Minchumina and into Canada. According to fishers in the GASH area lamprey run thick in most years up the Yukon to about Fox Point above Anvik where Shageluk fishermen set up eel camps on the Yukon in November. While a few lamprey reportedly have been caught in fish wheels further upstream around Tanana. Respondents told us that the run likely thins out and disburses such that focused lamprey fishing is

really no longer efficient or doable. According to Mucklingberg, a biologist, the Arctic lamprey build is circular red with their mouths in gravely substrates, but I'm not aware of the extent any resource that documents major spawning area for Arctic lamprey in the Yukon drainage.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

5

Juveniles burrow in muddy areas as attested by local residents who observe them emerging from the mud along the Yukon River usually at the mouths of sloughs and tributaries. These areas which are often the site of fish camps have attracted children over the generations. At camp between shores the kids like to rake them out of the mud and collect them in old coffee cans.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Generally speaking lamprey migrate up the Yukon River from the Bering Sea around mid to late October through early December. Most biologists recognize one migration of lamprey up the Yukon. residents have observed at least two and in some cases three separate migrations or probably runs are like pulses of the same migration. The first run usually arrives around Thanksgiving in the GASH area and consists of smaller lamprey while the second run arrives shortly thereafter and consists of much larger fish. A small group of fishermen in that area also recognized another run earlier than the Thanksgiving one, usually in early November, but this run is not usually fished any longer because the changing climate patterns don't always allow for safe freeze up in the Yukon that early.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

Another observed characteristics of these migrations is how concentrated and also how inconsistent they can be year to year. The run can last anywhere from 15 minutes to several days or longer and fishermen have to watch very carefully to risk or risk losing them completely -- missing them completely. Today fishermen rely on communication by telephone or CB radio between villages to know when lamprey are passing at any given point and calculate their arrivals based on an average mile per hour. They travel about 12 to 15 miles per hour a day. Historically elders were recalled two methods by which they could estimate lamprey arrival, the emission of blue light and weather. And Anthropologist Cornelius Osgood documented this observation in the '30s, 1930s that lamprey emitted a kind of light as they swim. And the

6 7

8

1 quote on the screen there notes the same observation. At that time in November there's usually minimal to no snow cover on the river, on the frozen river, and certain vantage points or particular locations you can see a long way downriver and fishers would observe a light blue or flashing blue light that signalled the arrival of lamprey. The arriving pulse of lamprey also brought a spell of warmer weather and along with it a 9 bit of wet snow or rain according to some elders. The 10 weather was said to change again once the lamprey 11 passed. It's also possible that weather observations 12 may -- may be how lamprey -- lamprey may choose to move 13 in response to certain weather changes. So that's another aspect of things that people used to understand movement.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

14

Unlike other fish harvest the harvest of lamprey is generally a large group activity likely because of the concentrated nature of the run. Though the run is concentrated it is highly variable and so fishermen must be very patient to observe and not to miss them. Usually fishermen begin to watch for lamprey by talking to other communities further downriver or by camping out by prepared holes and watching for the fish as they pass underneath or what was referred to as eel TV when I was there. Fishermen have -- usually have to prepare and cut their holes well ahead of time, nowadays with chainsaws and ice shovels because to do so during the migration would likely drive the fish away. There -- the -- these holes are usually cut parallel to the bank so that fishers can dip against the current. And sometimes these camps can be quite a distance from the village. Grayling holes were usually about eight to 12 miles downriver from the village, Shageluk fishermen travel over a frozen tributary about 70 river miles or 35 to 40 overland miles from the Innoko to the Yukon. Mountain Village has a good spot about six miles downriver for example. Fishers look for areas near the edge of the river where there's a steep slope. According to local folks the water runs more swiftly in these areas which is a necessary element of productive lamprey fishing spot. They look for glare ice which is an indicator of swift running water under the ice and place where lamprey tend to swim in clumps. Also where the water moves more slowly thicker ice forms, that's more work to cut through the ice.

47 48 49

Once the lamprey hit fishers dip the fish out of

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

the water or through the holes as you can see there in those pictures with a dipnet or eel rakes. Eel rakes or sticks are usually curved spruce poles about six feet long resembling hockey sticks either with or without nails sticking out at regular intervals. shaped curve of the stick comes from selecting a small spruce tree that grows out of a cut bank and grows upward creating a natural L shape. When eel sticks -when eel rakes or sticks are used the holes are cut perpendicular to the bank so that the fisher can swipe through the water against the current. Takes a lot of strength to do this for those of you who have tried and it's usually a two person job, one person dipping and the other person dumping the net. If the run is strong fishers can get 25 to 30 fish per dip. And as you can see there they're tossed onto the ice to freeze.

16 17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Lamprey remain an important seasonal subsistence resource for a lot of fishermen in the area, they arrive after salmon runs and just after freeze up. They're extremely high in oil and can be preserved -- only preserved for long periods of time through smoking and jarring. Frozen eels will last about a month for folks in Grayling before going rancid. Residents don't generally clean lamprey stomachs before processing. Lamprey are cartilage fish so there's no bone removal. Historically -- well, they use all parts except for the heads above the gill pores and the tail. Historically women would prepare a large feast of lamprey shortly after the harvest by pounding them in a special pestle made of alder that would render out the oil. Cooked lamprey would then be dipped in this oil and eaten in a community feast in the (in Native). Their high oil content also makes them a valuable dog food in the winter. And primarily they're just used for people and dog food, however historically they had many other uses. Their oil was used to keep skin boots conditioned, tanned skins were also used to make rain slickers similar to gut parkas found on the coast and the skins were made into decorative or utilitarian bags to hold clothes or other belongings and dried food in caches. And some of these bags are actually still on display in major east coast museums.

44 45 46

47

48

49

50

One of the most interesting aspects of the beliefs that have evolved around lamprey fishing especially in the GASH area is the idea that lamprey are highly sentient or knowing fish that sets them

1 apart from other fish species. It's not to say that local people don't recognize an interactive 2 relationship between themselves and other fish species, only that lamprey are particularly sensitive. 5 sensitivity shapes their continuing relationship with 6 lamprey specifically in terms of harvest practices. So 7 fishers in Grayling often refer to lamprey as smart or superstitious and they're aware of the actions of 8 9 fishermen and they act accordingly. As a result 10 fishers in the area were careful to observe long term 11 practices that would not offend or scare the lamprey 12 away. For example the two most often cited beliefs and 13 practices were the prohibition of women on the ice 14 during the harvest and being on the ice to harvest 15 within one year of losing someone in your family. During the November that I traveled out to the area to 16 17 do some of this research I actually took a male 18 colleague with me because I could not be on the ice. 19 So all those pictures were taken by him.

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Sparked by interest from a processor and fishers in 2003 Fish and Game issued a Commissioner's permit for an experimental Arctic lamprey commercial fishery. The mission statement of the Department of Fish and Game is to protect, maintain and improve fish, game and plant resources of the State and to manage their use and development of the best interest of the economy and the well-being of the State consistent with sustained yield principles. In order to offer a commercial fishery there must be some scientific confidence that there are enough fish to allow some for harvest and there must be a buyer/processor and interested fishers. Our knowledge of the historical subsistence harvest and the capacity of the lamprey run to return year after year despite the harvest pressure from the subsistence fishery gave the Department confidence that a small scale fishery could be successful. The goal is to manage for a very conservative harvest, 10 percent of the total run or not exceeding that, that would conserve the fish population and provide some economic benefits to the people in the region.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

The experimental fishery's also a platform for collecting and processing samples which would allow the Department to learn more about the biology, ecology and run dynamics of Arctic lamprey. The permits have allowed a range of combined total harvest from 5,000 to 44,000 pounds of Arctic lamprey.

The 44,000 pound harvest cap was based on historical subsistence harvest information of run duration and catch rates of lamprey in the lower Yukon area. The experimental commercial fishery provides a platform for data collection, but also depends on knowing enough about abundance to continue providing that opportunity. There are few monitoring efforts that help with this, the lamprey test fishery, commercial fish tickets and harvest monitoring through household surveys and the post-season salmon survey and that latter is for subsistence. I'll talk about each of these and what they tell us about lamprey biology and use over the next few slides.

As noted the commercial fishery allows for a small economic input for the participating locations such as Grayling which is where one of the locations is. The number of fishers range from zero to 38 over the time period that it's been offered with an average of about 12 fishers although there are five years where there were no fishers and you can see those years where there are dashes instead of numbers in the number of fishermen column which is over there on the left. The total harvest on average is about 11,000 pounds or about 49,000 fish with an average weight of about .26 pounds per fish. The approximate economic input on average about 19,000 for the total harvest, approximately 1,500 per fisher though some years the commercial fishers earned only about 200 while in -- on -- in other years fishermen earned an average of about 2,000 to 8,000. Because the run is so variable it's a risky test to invest in and many fishers do it opportunistically while they're doing their subsistence fishing.

As noted earlier the experimental commercial fishery also provides some economic benefit, but it also results in samples that provide opportunities for research to help us learn more about these amazing fish. The samples that we take from the commercial harvest and test fishery include length, weight and sex which provides some basic biological stock information. Partnering with UAF graduate students on this data collection has also provided information about fecundity, gonad weight, liver weight and reproductive status. Age information is an important component of creating spawner recruit models for understanding abundance and sibling relationships, but the unique life history of lamprey makes it hard to

get this information. Age information can be collected from scales, vertebrae and bone -- and otolith, none of which the lamprey have. Lamprey from other locations have a statolith in place of an otolith or an ear bone, but the Alaskan waters have a low pH which prevents the fish from forming them. The current hope is that the lamprey islands will provide a reliable source of age information.

The two boxes there on the slide compare some biological metrics in 2022 between the lower river test fishery near the mouth of the Yukon and the Grayling fishery further upriver. If you compare length, total weight and liver weight you'll see some significant differences. Locals remarked that the fish at the lower Yukon test fishery looked like good, healthy fish, average size while up at Grayling folks reported that lamprey looked pretty small. And this is in 2022. Could this be a difference between the first and the second pulses or do these differences reflect changes in body condition that occur through the migration. So those are the kind of questions that biologists are trying to understand, to piece together more of that history or more of that ecology.

Lamprey harvest data has also been collected on an annual basis through the Post-Season Salmon Survey Program conducted in person and through lamprey harvest survey conducted by postcard. I know these are really tiny numbers on this slide, but the information I think is — that is most useful for you to see here are the trends. If we consider harvest levels as partially a result of abundance levels, how many fish there are swimming in the river, we can see several low and high periods which may further validate that inconsistency that local fishers observe. And so if you look at the overall annual and 10 year averages which I think I've bolded there at the bottom, we see harvest of about eight to 9,000 fish annually. So there's a whole lot of research going on as well.

 The Department has funded several research projects partnered with YRDFA to create the lamprey test fishery program and that experimental fishery is part of that. In this way the experimental fishery also contributes to the body of knowledge about fish species. So I already mentioned -- I already mentioned that 2002/2003 traditional ecological knowledge study that I conducted, but since then a

variety of projects by Fish and Game, Fish and Wildlife Service and NOAA have encountered Arctic lamprey and as I mentioned before with some work with individuals at UAF and support with funding through the Pacific Lamprey Conservation Initiative we have some additional accumulated knowledge.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

5

In 2004 Fish and Game attempted sonar monitoring of the lamprey run at Grayling. That year lamprey traveled nose to tail in a concentrated group for about eight hours. Sonar is very expensive and several logistical challenges remain to calibrate sonar for lamprey including ice conditions because safety matters, site assessment, knowing what the bottom looks like, evaluating high density runs 2004 which when we did this was assessed as a fairly mediocre run compared to or based on what fishermen's -- fishermen's perceptions of the run based on their experience with previous runs. And whether or not the sonar is providing a true picture of the total run. Recall that we learned from local knowledge that lamprey may also run on the other side of the river so we're not really sure we need to know what proportion of the run was typical for one side or the other to calibrate what we're seeing in that one spot.

252627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

From 2016 to 2019 the Department tagged 9,000 lamprey for a mark recapture study to estimate the size of the population, but only 17 tags were recovered. The ratio of 9,000 tags to 17 recovered tags is so low that the study was unreliable. So maybe we didn't look in the right locations for lamprey recaptures or maybe the tags were shed by the fish, but the suspicion of unreliability led to some follow-up studies with the graduate students from UAF. So they conducted a study in 2018 to 2022 looking into tag retention which showed that lamprey heal well and are unencumbered by tags meaning that they swim well and they live out their full natural lives after tagging. This is promising because it shows that lamprey can be tagged successfully, but combined with a large scale tagging study indicates that there's still a lot to learn about how many lamprey run up the Yukon and where and when they spawn.

44 45 46

47

48

In spring of 2023, just this year, four tags were placed under two feet of ice on the Tanana River, not I believe in lamprey, but just placed under the ice to see if the radio tags could be heard by

radio receivers on towers and airplanes. And it was very successful suggesting that telemetry may be a possible or a beneficial way to monitor lamprey movement through the drainage. And that's a technique that we use for other fish as well.

So to sum up traditional knowledge which is developed over time through observing and harvesting these fish for subsistence really provides our baseline of information about customary and traditional uses, but also about the ecology of these fish including run timing and juvenile habitats, but we still have a long way to go including general relative abundance, spawning areas, swimming behavior and location are all examples of information that could and should be further developed to understand a better picture of lamprey life history and abundance assessments which are all necessary for sustainable management.

 And I leave you with this lovely picture of a -- of the mouths of Arctic lamprey versus Pacific lamprey. And I'd be happy to take any questions.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you for your report, Caroline. Do we have any question or comments for Caroline at this time regarding lamprey yields.

MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Through the Chair. Thank you so much for your presentation. This is exciting news. I know we've been trying to get some type of information in regards to lamprey eels because they -- people have been coming to us and asking us about the lamprey eels and why the population is declining and why studies haven't been done yet before the commercial fishery opened. So I'm glad we actually got something finally in our books.

I do have a couple questions. The first question is was there a study in regards to using saws versus -- what are they called, chainsaw, sorry, using chainsaw versus cutting holes in the river because I know that our past couple -- couple past Council members that are from that area had really big

concerns about using chainsaws and having the oil deter the lamprey from being caught in that specific one versus one that was chopped up via ice pick. Was there anything in regards to that as well?

MS. BROWN: Through the Chair. Not that the Department has done. I have heard that as well although it's a little bit harder for me to have some of that information because I'm not on the ice with fishers. But I have heard the same concerns when I interviewed fishers back in the communities and I've talked to people since then about that, and I think it — my understanding of that is, you know, that that kind of varies place to place and fisher to fisher even about their concerns with that. And it might even have things to do with ice thickness at a particular time period or a particular place. But no, the Department has not conducted any — any research having to do with the method of cutting the holes.

MS. ROGERS: Follow-up, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Alissa.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. A follow-up question also. I was looking at what you had for subsistence lamprey harvest and your lamprey harvest estimate from the commercial fishery. I realize that there is significant highs and significant lows in both and when you add them together and then you get a full harvest estimate. Have you, the Department, noticed any type of -- well, I guess the question would be production -- production activity of the lamprey. Do we have enough information to know how long a lamprey will be alive, how successful are their production rates and like how many years -- like you have king salmon would be nine to 12 years and then you have chums which is like two to three years, lamprey in that to see the population fluctuation? What I'm concerned is like during the years of low production population we shouldn't be having openers.

MS. BROWN: Through the Chair. Those are really excellent questions. I'm going to take a stab at it and then I'm going to hopefully invite Sam Decker on the phone to add any more biological context if she has it. I think -- I think one of the -- one of the issues that is important to kind of think about in the beginning here is that when that experimental

fishery went in in 2003 I think it was there was a lot 1 of debate about it for that particular reason, right. Really the only thing we knew about Arctic lamprey was what -- was what people -- what the fishers themselves knew. And but that's also one of the purposes of that 5 Commissioner's permit, it's a special type of permit 6 7 that's a low level fishery to try to collect data. There is -- I don't -- I'm not aware of significant 9 biological research on lamprey prior to that. So all 10 the samp -- a lot of the samples we've -- or a lot of 11 the biological information we have we have because of 12 that low level commercial fishery. There have also 13 been attempts to increase that fishery and I don't 14 believe it's happened because of the experimental 15 nature of it. And not -- and the need to have more 16 information than we do in order to expand it.

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

So in terms of like being able to say predicting like what is a low or a high year, because we don't have any monitoring of the lamprey coming into the river we don't really have any that I'm aware of and maybe Sam will correct me, not aware of any -- any ideas of what's coming to know if it's -- if it's a high or a low year. I think in general it limits itself, right, if you've got a 15 minute run that's going to be hard to hit. If you've got an eight day run that's a little bit easier to harvest on. And those are probably fairly -- abundance probably goes with those. So a longer run is going to be a higher abundance year, a 15 minute run's going to be super low. And, you know, just looking at the commercial data or the subsistence harvest data that we get from the post-season salmon survey or from the postcards, we did -- you do see those highs and lows and it probably has a lot to do with, you know, how many fish are actually there to fish.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

There's another dataset, but it's very limited which is the household surveys that we did and I did not show you those data because we only have a few years of it when we worked in individual villages and those harvest estimates tend to be higher than we get from the post-season salmon survey because it's focused in a different way than the post-season salmon survey which is really about collecting salmon data. But the post-season salmon survey is also great because it really gives us an idea on an annual basis of the harvest of other fish too, so it's really valuable for those reasons as well.

```
0154
 1
                     So that's -- I'm going to stop there
    before I do anything else. But I'm going to invite Sam
 2
     if she knows anything about lamprey lifecycles or some
 4
     of the spawning behavior that you talked about.
 5
 6
                     MS. DECKER: Thank you, Caroline.
 7
     you hear me?
 8
 9
                     MS. BROWN: Kind of.
10
11
                     MS. DECKER: Okay. I'll try to speak
12
     up. This is Sam Decker, I'm in the Fairbanks office of
     the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. And thank you
13
     to Caroline for doing this presentation. I know it's
14
15
     so difficult to do things, you know, through Teams and
     over the phone. So I was really, really glad that
16
17
    Caroline could be there to do that presentation in
18
    person. And thank you, everybody, for, you know,
19
    allowing us to do it on Caroline's schedule so that you
20
     could have the benefit of having an in person
21
    presentation.
22
23
                     So hi, Alissa. We've met before down
24
     in Bethel, I'm glad to hear from you. And these are --
25
     these are sort of really interesting questions that we
26
     are trying to answer about lamprey is these questions
27
     about productivity and their life history. They're a
28
     super....
29
30
                     MS. McDAVID: Sam, we're going to need
31
     to stop you for a second.
                                Sorry.
32
33
                     MS. DECKER: Oh, that's okay.
34
35
                     MS. McDAVID: Oh, okay. Try again.
36
37
                     MS. DECKER: Okay. Can everybody hear
38
    me better now?
39
40
                     MS. McDAVID: Yes, you're clear now.
41
     So whatever you're doing is working okay.
42
43
                     MS. DECKER: Great. Well, I just
44
     wanted to thank Alissa for her questions because these
     are exactly the same questions that we have about
45
46
     lamprey. Their life history is really, really
47
     difficult. You get asked about all of this kind of --
     a number of things that we measure about fish, you
48
49
     know, we get a lot of information from scales, from
```

otoliths, from vertebrae and lamprey don't have any of those especially our Alaskan lamprey. So we -- we're partnering with different agencies and trying to find out what biological part of the lamprey would be effective at telling us more about their life history. And right now the eye lens is something that's being tested in Pacific lamprey because they -- in the lower 48 Pacific lamprey have both the eye lens and the (indiscernible - distortion) and they're able to look at eye lens and judge how closely the age of the fish that's returning from both of those parts of the fish. If that proves successful then that will give information about our Arctic lamprey (indiscernible -distortion) and only have the eye lens. They go through this -- so our Arctic lamprey live in very similar habitat to salmon and then -- and they -- they -- you know, when they're -- when they hatch out of their reds they go downriver and they metamorphose into these ammocoete and the ammocoete are the juvenile stage that live in the mud. That can be for years they can live in those -- in those living sections before they head out to sea probably within (indiscernible -distortion) either.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.

MS. ROGERS: Sam, it's Alissa, you're cracking up and it's hard -- kind of hard to hear. You were giving -- the part that I lost you at was the age between adulthood to or sorry, the rearing to adulthood when they spawn. I think -- I think that's what you said, I couldn't clearly hear -- hear you very clear?

MS. DECKER: Okay. So.....

MS. McDAVID: And, Sam, sorry before you start. If you could turn off your video and -- we actually can't see you here in the room so that might help too with bandwidth or something with the audio.

Thank you.

MS. DECKER: Sure. Alissa, if you'll give me your -- if you'll -- if you'll give me your email address I can also email you this information. Let me see if I have you here in my contacts. I know I can get ahold of Brooke so I can also email this information to Brooke. Can everybody hear me better now, am I coming through a little more clearly?

```
0156
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yes, we can.
 2
 3
                     MS. DECKER: Okay. So basically the --
 4
    they have a -- lamprey have a very flexible life
 5
    history. The amount of time that they spend as an
 6
    ammocoete in the mud.....
 7
 8
                     MS. ROGERS: I'm -- I'm good. Mr.
 9
    Chair.
10
11
                     MS. DECKER: I hear -- I hear talking
12
     in the background. (Indiscernible - distortion).....
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, Sam, you're
15
    breaking up again. You get good for one minute and
    then get bad for another minute. You're breaking off
16
17
     and on.
18
19
                     Go ahead, Alissa.
20
                     MS. DECKER: How about -- how about
21
    this.
22
23
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
24
    Through the Chair. Sam, can you.....
25
26
                     MS. DECKER: If people will.....
27
28
                     MS. ROGERS: .....would -- would it be
29
     just okay that you can send me that information, I can
30
     just share it within the group. It's.....
31
32
                     MS. DECKER: Yeah.
33
                     MS. ROGERS: ....really hard to hear
34
35
     in translation, trying to come through. So if it's
     okay with you, Mr. Chair, we can go ahead and move on
36
37
     and she can just email the information.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yes, thank you. I
40
     think you could go ahead and email the information to
41
    Brooke.
42
43
                     Is there any other questions or
44
    comments for Caroline at this time regarding lamprey
45
    eels.
46
47
                     MR. LANDLORD: Mr. Chair.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: James, go ahead.
50
```

MR. LANDLORD: I always wondered -thank you, Mr. Chair, wondered where they spawn, do they spawn all the way up the river?

MS. BROWN: Through the Chair. As far as we know they spawn into Canada. So and one of the main reasons we know that is not just because every now and then a fisherman might get say for example a lamprey in a fish wheel or upper -- upper river, in the upper river area, but also because they come out of the mud in the -- in the earlier parts of the year or the spring and summer when they're emerging from the mud after the -- the juveniles, after -- you know, after they've been spawned. And so they -- they come out in -- they come out then in the mud. And I mentioned that elders used to tell me stories about collecting them in old coffee cans at fish camp. And I myself saw that once in a -- my family and I just did a canoe trip in the -- it was in one of the rivers around in the Yukon Flats area and upper river Yukon area. And my kids actually found them coming out of the -- of the mud there too. And so that was pretty far upriver. think the only reason I could have even recognized what they were because they were like worms with gill holes, was with having done this research. So it took me a minute, but I was like oh, look, that's juvenile lamprey.

So yeah. So they -- I think they spawn all the way up into Canada, but we know that again from people's observations of them in those -- in those habitats.

MR. LANDLORD: I'd ask if there was a difference between lower Yukon test fishery and Grayling test fishery, these are all Yukon lam -- 423 female, male 409, in Grayling 381 and 332. What -- why did they -- why they shrink?

 MS. BROWN: That's a great -- through the Chair. That's a great question. And one of the reasons I -- Sam included this information for you guys is because what was happening or the samples that we were getting from the lower Yukon test fishery versus what we were seeing further upriver in Grayling showed these differences. And not just differences in length, but also in liver weight and, you know, overall weight of the fish and length as well. And so that -- it's just we -- we can't always answer a question from one

piece of data, but that raised questions like what -for example, I believe the lower test fishery data did
not have -- I wasn't sure about the time, the dates of
that -- of that data collection, whether or not that -the dates are associated with that, but it raised
questions about are these different -- you know, as we
talked about before fishermen told us that in the -- in
the lamprey run there might be up to three pulses say
of lamprey, like there can be different pulses of say
king salmon that come in. The same is likely true for
lamprey because people in Grayling and -- and further
downriver recognized or had observed different sort of
pulses or parts of the run, different concentrations of
fish. And in different locations like on one side of
the river versus the other side of the river.

And so one question this raises for us is whether or not these are different -- the lower test fishery was taking samples from one pulse whereas the Grayling fishery was taking -- was taking samples from a different pulse. And that -- and so you see the difference between pulses in the -- in the size of fish and length of fish. It could also be related to other things like what lamprey go through when they're migrating, how their bodies change.

So those are answers we don't know, but in doing -- in collecting this information we're trying to piece together these different -- different kinds of information that we get about lamprey in different places so that we can piece together more of that life history and understand more about lamprey in general.

Does that help?

MR. LANDLORD: Yes.

MS. DECKER: This is Sam Decker. Can people hear me?

MS. McDAVID: Sam, we can hear you. There is an echo. If you're still on Teams on the computer too maybe make sure to mute your mic on your computer, but we can hear you much better.

MS. DECKER: Okay. I'm trying again.

MS. McDAVID: There you go, that's.....

```
0159
 1
                     MS. DECKER: I've got all of the other
 2
    mics turned off.
 3
 4
                     MS. McDAVID: .....that sounds great.
 5
 6
                     MS. DECKER: Okay. I just wanted to
 7
     thank Caroline, I think you're doing a great job of
     answering these questions. If anybody has anything
 8
     else specific I don't -- I don't think we need to
 9
10
    backtrack unless people have specific questions that I
11
     can answer.
12
13
                     Thank you for your patience with all
14
     the technology.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, James.
17
18
                     MR. LANDLORD: Yeah. Okay. On
19
     human/lamprey relationship, they said they got
20
     feelings. Even in -- even in Mountain Village where
21
     there was an elder, people used to, you know, dip their
22
     nets. And there's one elder when he saw -- even if
23
     they left him on top of the ice he kept telling the
24
     young guys that were dipping you can't leave them
25
    behind, you've got to take them home. If you keep
26
    leaving them behind they're going to disappear when --
27
    when they fish. And they did disappear, they never
28
     came back to that area even in front of Mountain
29
    Village for a long time. I said we were thinking that
30
    they were migrating on the south side, but they never
31
     came back on the north side where -- where the guys
32
     left their fish and didn't take them home. So that
33
    make me think when the elders say, you know, you got to
34
    take them home, you got to -- you can't leave them
35
     there. Even with pikes they -- they will -- the same
36
    thing. You can't leave them on the ice, on top of the
37
     ice, on the beach or whatever, they'll disappear and
38
    maybe they'll go to another route.
39
40
                     And I think Stanislaus Sheppard know
41
     that too because one of the elders kept telling them
42
     you can't leave them behind, you can't leave them
43
    behind, but they didn't listen and we never had eels
44
     for a long -- for a long time on the north side.
45
46
                     Thanks.
47
48
                     MS. BROWN:
                                 Through the Chair. I think
49
     that's a really important observation. And one thing
```

that I would stress based on my experience doing some of this research on traditional knowledge is that it may or may not be true for the entire lower Yukon, but I know that in the GASH area the sensitivity of those fish and their -- how those fish relate to humans is really important to them and it's a really important thing to respect when thinking also about research. So in making sure that, you know, we know about those local concerns and those local knowledge about lamprey. You know, just like for example when I went out there women aren't allowed on the ice so I did not go on the ice. And so but it's not necessarily a fish that --because of their beliefs about the fish and the fish's sensitivity, it's something we need -- as researchers need to be aware of and need to be -- need to -- need to work with to do that research.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: James, go ahead.

MR. LANDLORD: Yes, last -- last year they finally came back and -- after a long time and we were very glad because they came back. We were able to -- able to dip even from people from St. Mary's and Peters Point came down and a lot -- a lot of guys were dipping with Willow Mountain all the way up, maybe above 40mile. So I think -- anyway they got really.....

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead.

MS. BROWN: So just one last thing. I know that there are lots of questions about the life history and the biology of lamprey. We are trying to learn as much as we can as quickly as we can, but I just wanted to ask Brooke if she could give you guys Sam Decker's contact information and my contact information for any further questions. Sam's always a great person to contact or reach out to if you have questions especially on the Yukon. And if she doesn't know the answer she'll find it. So if -- you know, I would encourage all of you guys to reach out with any additional questions you have and if you have any questions about harvest and use and subsistence and traditional knowledge I'd be happy to share any of that.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, thank you. I

believe we have Stanislaus Sheppard that want to comment. I'll give you two minutes.

MR. SHEPPARD: Mr. Chair, members of the Board. My name is Stanislaus Sheppard, born and raised in Mountain Village. Speaking on my behalf, and not representing no -- any organization. Because I participated this last fall on the lamprey eels and I have some knowledge, I don't have a diploma or certificate to prove that my knowledge is to believe, it's what the elders pass down to me. And I try my best to follow. Back home our tradition as we all know is going down. They're switching more to the western world. In all the game and fish we gather there's a special way we do it, taught by our elders. If we don't do it right we'll lose them.

Now you brought up two points that were right. A person that has loss in the family can't go down and harvest. And a woman that's (in Native).....

MS. BROWN: Childbearing years.

MR. SHEPPARD: ....baby.

MS. BROWN: Yeah.

MR. SHEPPARD: Child -- yeah. They can't go down. They'll -- they'll -- they'll sense that and go. So it was -- it's been trying years. I have this cousin up in St. Mary's, his grandkids were born the year we never -- we quit getting eels. Last year they turned six and seven. They brought them in the house, they were scared of those eels. So it's been six, seven years, maybe a cycle. We don't know. But before we lost that run all I know elders tell me we get them three, four days at the most in Mountain Village, dipping.

And before we lose them (in Native) that run, it -- it takes Mountain Village to Pilot Station two days. If we get them in Mountain Village whether it be in the evening or afternoon, two days it'll get to Pilot Station. After -- after two days when they get from Mountain Village it takes five days to get to Marshall. So before that year, that fall before (in Native), before they lost and I've never seen this before, we get them in Mountain Village, people think this point, they start coming down, they

```
0162
     start checking the beats, second day they get them in
     -- they get them in Pilot Station. And then by the
     fifth day they get them in Marshall. We were still
     getting them in Mountain Village. So that was a really
 5
    huge run. Elders tell me something's going to happen
    to these eels, this is not normal. Sure enough every
 6
 7
    year we check, no eels, no eels. I monitor the ice on
     the water, ice on the beach. I just told her a picture
 9
     of how it is when the eels are in (in Native).
10
     Sometimes the water comes up, the weather warms up and
11
     it drifts the ice out, we can't go out there unless we
12
    pull our boat down and go get.
13
14
                     So that's -- I hope that, Sam, if you
15
    hear me and you have that information....
16
17
                     MS. BROWN: Uh-huh.
18
19
                     MR. SHEPPARD: ....now.
20
21
                     MS. BROWN: Yep.
22
23
                     MR. SHEPPARD: And right now if you and
24
     Sam could prepare to think about keeping an eye on
25
    Mountain Village towards the end of this month,
26
     October....
27
28
                     MS. BROWN: Uh-huh.
29
30
                     MR. SHEPPARD: .....is when we start
31
     paying attention to when they start coming up the
32
     Yukon. When we know that YRDFA has those studies and I
33
     seen these pictures up there, they may be catching
34
     like, if I may say, Chairman, he mentioned to me he had
35
     taste of eels last week. That's way too early for
36
    us....
37
38
                     MS. BROWN: Uh-huh.
39
40
                     MR. SHEPPARD: .....but maybe from the
41
    test fishery. So toward the end of this month, too
42
     next month, it depends. And our eels were very
43
     small....
44
45
                     MS. BROWN: Uh-huh.
46
47
                     MR. SHEPPARD: ....last fall.
48
49
                    MS. BROWN: Uh-huh.
```

MR. SHEPPARD: They were small and really bright silver. It might have been the last run, it might be the second run. For sure it wasn't the first run. The first ones were little bigger size, little bit slimmer and mixed with the big kind of red ones. The second run is little bit bigger, silver, bright silver. And then mixed with the big -- not as red as the first ones, okay. And my -- my uncle, we all know Nick Andrews, Sr., right....

MS. BROWN: Uh-huh.

MR. SHEPPARD: ....an elder. He said him and his buddy Al Notertuk (ph) before he passed away sometime 15, 20 years ago maybe, we all know when we travel on the Yukon River they're streams, trib -- not tributaries, streams that we could take for shortcut, all right. From Pilot Station to Marshall there's this one slough we take for shortcut to Marshall, Olive Slough. He said one -- one winter they run in -- they went to that river and they seen open water, nothing but boiling eels in there, lamprey. So -- so they -- that tells me that they don't all go upriver....

MS. BROWN: Uh-huh.

MR. SHEPPARD: ....to spawn.

MS. BROWN: But they have spawning all

31 over.

MR. SHEPPARD: Uh-huh. And I participated maybe one or two times when YRDFA was trying to get purchase of lamprey -- eels in Mountain Village. We had to go through Juneau commercial fishery to purchase freshwater permit. Okay.

Okay. Yeah. So thank you very much for allowing me to speak, Mr. Chair. Quyana.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, thank you. Appreciate it. Brooke, go ahead.

MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Caroline and Sam for your presentations. Sam did send me her contact information and so I'll email it to you Council members who use email. If you have any more questions you can reach out to Sam via

phone or email. And for those of you that don't use email if you want to get in touch with Sam just let me know and I'll give you her phone number.

And I also wanted to remind Council members that next year will be a time when you get to develop priority information needs as part of the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. And if you think that more research needs to be done about lamprey eels then you could include that as a priority information need and folks like Sam and Caroline and researchers at UAF could submit research proposals.

Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Caroline, I} \mbox{appreciate your report.}$ 

MR. ANDREW: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: We have a couple more Councils members. Go ahead, John.

MR. ANDREW: Yeah, quyana. Thank you very much. Caroline I've got a urgent question. Where do I get those big eel dipnets?

MS. BROWN: Where?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  ANDREW: You can bring me a couple of them so I can experiment if I go home. So....

(Laughter)

MR. ANDREW: Yeah, we caught eels on the Kuskokwim too. We usually know because some of our young men always go checking for certain time like in -- sometime in October, they have -- their bellies are full of eels. Again in -- towards the latter part of the month or right before Thanksgiving and sometime in December too.

MS. BROWN: Uh-huh.

MR. ANDREW: But hardly nobody harvests them, but last year a few guys were dipping for them with small -- real small smelt dipnets, they were catching. And across from our village and we heard some guys were catch -- one of the Napoka boys were

0165 catching up at bridge of Tuluksak. And that's 30 something miles upriver from where we are. So you can help us by getting -- getting us some good size dipnets, we'll be happy. 5 6 Thank you. 7 8 Quyana. 9 10 MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair. 11 12 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead. 13 14 MS. ROGERS: Quyana. I have one more 15 questions. Are you planning on doing this same study in the Kuskokwim area? 16 17 18 MS. BROWN: Through the Chair. I think 19 Brooke just mentioned about your priority information 20 needs and if you ask for it probably somebody will try 21 to meet that need. 22 23 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. 24 Chair. 25 26 CHAIRMAN ONEY: I don't see any other 27 hands or -- go ahead, James. 28 29 MR. LANDLORD: Just one small note. 30 When the eels are coming if there's ice in front of 31 Mount -- in our village and it's just ice and when eels 32 are coming in the water usually come up just a small, 33 but you can see the difference when just plain ice. 34 But when they come in the water goes over the ice and 35 there's puddles some water out on the ice. So that's 36 one of the signs too that the young guys know that 37 there's eels in the river. 38 39 MS. BROWN: Thank you. 40 41 CHAIRMAN ONEY: I think I don't see any 42 more hands up. Thank you, Caroline, appreciate your 43 report. I look forward to updates on -- or any reports 44 that you may have in the future. Appreciate what 45 you've given to us. 46 47 Thank you. Yeah, I think it's that 48 time where we could go to lunch. Let's go ahead and

take a lunch break and come back in at 1:30. Break for

```
0166
 1
    lunch.
 2
 3
                     (Off record)
 4
 5
                     (On record)
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yes, good afternoon,
 8
     everybody. We're about to get started here if you can
 9
     seat yourself. Okay. I'll go ahead and call the
10
    meeting back to order. The time now is 1:38 p.m.
11
     We're going to be going right into the wildlife
12
     proposals and closure review. First on the list is the
13
     regional proposal and closure review WCR24-38, Unit 18
14
     Kuskokwim River hunt area closed to moose hunting
15
     except by residents of Tuntutuliak, Eek, Napakiak,
16
     Napaskiak, Kasigluk, Nunapitchuk, Atmautlauk,
17
     Oscarville, Bethel, Kwethluk, Akiachak, Akiak,
18
     Tuluksak, Lower Kalskag and Kalskag.
19
20
                     Tom Plank, OSM, you have the floor.
21
22
                     MS. McDAVID: Mr. Chair.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: One moment. Brooke, go
25
     ahead.
26
27
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
28
     Before we dive into going through the first proposal
29
     that you just mentioned, I did want to direct Council
30
     members to Page 64 of your meeting books. And it's
31
     actually also printed on the back of your name tag
32
     cards. But this is just a reminder about the steps
33
     that we go through for every proposal and closure
34
     review. So our Staff will introduce the analysis as
35
     the first step. If there's a proposal that you're not
     sure, you know, that you want to take up as a Council,
36
37
     we'll need to not get the full analysis and then you
38
     can get a little brief from Tom for some of those
39
     crossover proposals that happen later. But once we
40
     move into present -- presentation of the full analysis
41
     then we have to go through all of the steps listed
42
     here.
43
44
                     And members of the audience, there is a
45
     copy of the proposal procedure steps on the table over
46
     there and it just basically outlines the order in which
47
     we'll receive comments, agency comments, Advisory Group
48
     comments, public testimony and then moving into the
49
     Council recommendation and discussion before the final
```

```
0167
    vote. So yep, those are the steps.
 2
                     If there are members of the public that
 3
 4
     would like to comment on any of the proposals you can
 5
     fill out a blue card and note down
     the proposal name. If you're online when we -- the
 6
 7
    Chair calls for public comments on each proposal you
 8
     can comment at that time.
 9
10
                     And one other thing, the -- Scott has a
11
     note about a change in the tribal consultation process.
12
     So I'll turn that over to Scott.
13
14
                     Thank you.
15
16
                     MR. AYERS: Thank you.
                                             The Federal
17
     Subsistence Board....
18
19
                     MR. BURCH: Mr. Chair, this is Mark
20
     Burch with the Department of Fish and Game.
                                                  I have a
21
     question.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Fish and Game, go
24
     ahead.
25
26
                     MR. BURCH: Yes, for the record this is
27
    Mark Burch, the -- with the Department of Fish and
     Game. I haven't been there in the room so it's a
28
29
    little bit difficult for me to track exactly what's
30
    going on, but I believe there was a Department of Fish
     and Game report for the Mulchatna Intensive Management
31
32
    Program that was to be done before you got into
33
    proposals because it was going to affect I think most
34
     of your other motion as well as the proposals and the
35
     closure review. Is that correct or did I miss -- I'm
36
     just asking the question I guess.
37
38
                     MS. McDAVID: Good afternoon, Mark.
39
     This is Brooke, Council Coordinator. Chair Oney just
     informed me that we -- if it's possible because we
40
41
    don't have any caribou closure reviews for Unit 18 or
42
    Mulchatna Caribou proposals, if we might be able to
43
    have that presentation under agency reports just
44
    because we're moving a little behind schedule getting
45
    into the action items on the agenda.
46
                     Do -- would the Department be able to
47
     accommodate that update tomorrow?
48
49
                     Thank you.
```

MR. BURCH: Well, I can't promise that.

Through the Chair, if I may. I can't promise that.

We've had people sitting around for well, a day and a half so far, waiting to give that presentation and I can't guarantee that they'll be available for another day and a half.

And also there is a proposal that's affected. If not -- I agree there's no closure, but there is a proposal I believe that's affected.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. I'll leave it up to the Council at this time. What is your recommendation, I know we -- we're going to have the Mulchatna Caribou Intensive Management Update, but like Brooke said we are getting a little behind and if we can jump into the proposals I think that can -- we could move the -- this presentation report up to agency report.

 $\label{eq:what is the wish of the Council at this time.} \\$ 

MR. BURCH: Yeah. Mr. Chair, this is Mark again. As I said I don't know that we can have people available, but if we happen to have somebody around we'll give you a report.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Through the Chair. We're going to go ahead and -- I recommend we go for the proposals at this time.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, thank you, Alissa. That's what I was recommending because we're going a little bit -- a little late with -- because I feel that the proposals that we're going to be listening to is going to take up a little more time and we could always get back to the Mulchatna Caribou Herd Management update -- Intensive Management Update during the agency reports.

That's my recommendation. Okay. Tom, we'll let you know when we're ready for you -- Mark. Yeah, I apologize for the change. Like I mentioned we -- the proposals that we have in front of us may take a

0169 little longer than we think. So sorry for the change. 2 3 Thank you. Thank you, Mark. 4 5 Moving on we could go ahead and go 6 right into regional proposals and closure reviews. 7 8 Tom, you have the floor. 9 10 MR. AYERS: Mr. Chair, this is Scott 11 Ayers with the Fisheries Division at OSM. 12 wanted to provide a really quick update related to 13 consultations before we jumped into this. As Brooke 14 noted we've made a slight adjustment. The Federal 15 Subsistence Board is committed to providing Federally tribes and ANCSA corporations with opportunities to be 16 17 meaningfully involved in the subsistence regulatory 18 process. And to that end the Board plans to hold 19 tribal and ANCSA consultations on regulatory proposals 20 after the fall Council meeting cycle. Holding Council 21 -- consultations after the Council meetings provides 22 tribes the opportunity to participate in the meetings 23 and hear presentations about the proposals. We hope 24 that holding consultations later in the year will be 25 less of a conflict with subsistence activities. 26 Currently we've identified the weeks of November 13 and 27 December 15 to schedule consultations. Additionally the Federal Subsistence Board will again host tribal 28 29 and ANCSA consultations at the start of the wildlife 30 regulatory meeting which is April 2nd through 5th of 31 2024. The Board will also schedule consultations with 32 tribal governments and ANCSA corporations on any 33 subsistence issues or concerns throughout the year as 34 requested. 35 36 If you'd like more information on 37 scheduling a tribal or ANCSA corporation consultation 38 please contact the Office of Subsistence Management 39 tribal or Native Liaison, Orville Lind, at 907-786-40 3953. 41 42 So again it's adjustment to our process 43 from where we have had consultations prior to the 44 Council meetings in the past and we're moving them 45 after the meetings at this point in time. 46 47 Thank you for the time. 48

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Brooke, go ahead.

49

MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So just to follow-up on what Scott said, number 2 on the proposal steps you'll see is report on Federal Subsistence Board consultations. And so as we go through these proposals there haven't been any consultations so we'll be able to skip that during this meeting today.

There -- but we do encourage if there are any tribes online or tribal representatives in the room that would like to make tribal comments today during the meeting, there are -- there is still a space under agency comments for you to do that and we welcome you to comment. We also highly encourage everyone to participate in those tribal consultations that will happen in November and December.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 $\mbox{ CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you,} \\ \mbox{ Brooke. Tom, you have the floor.}$ 

MR. PLANK: Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. And for the record my name's Tom Plank and I am a Wildlife Biologist in the Office of Subsistence Management and I'll be presenting a summary of the analysis for closure review WCR 24-38 which begins on Page 66 of your meeting books.

Wildlife closure review 24-39 pertains to the closure to moose hunting in a portion of Unit 18 except by residents of the 13 communities located within the hunt area plus Kalskag and Lower Kalskag. Unit 18 is depicted in Figure 1 on Page 70 and is referenced to as the Kuskokwim hunt area. This closure has been in place in some form since 1991 and at that time all Federal public lands within Unit 18 were closed to non-Federally-qualified users. Then in 2010 the current boundaries of the lower Kuskokwim hunt area were established and the closure within the new hunt area was modified to allow moose harvest only by residents of the 15 communities that were found to be the most dependent on this resource, the 13 communities located within the hunt area plus Kalskag and Lower Kalskag.

In 2022 the Board adopted WP22-44 to extend the fall season in Zone 2 and establish a may be announced winter season with a modification was to

clarify the regulatory language and delegate authority to the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge Manager to announce a winter season via delegation of authority letter. In November, 2022 the Board approved WSA22-04 to amend the delegation of authority to delegate the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge Manager the additional authority to determine the number of permits to be issued and any needed permit conditions for the may be announced winter moose season.

> The moose population was small during the 1990s which led to a harvest moratorium beginning in 2004. The moratorium was effective in allowing the establishment of a harvestable population and it appears that the population along the Kuskokwim main stem and the tributaries continue to grow. Lack of snow cover in recent years precluded population surveys between 2015 and 2020. The survey completed in 2020 showed an increase in the moose population in both zones which exceeded State population objective. surveys indicate that population in Zone 1 is potentially reaching a point that will limit or stop growth and Zone 2 is about one-half of what it could be. Bull/cow ratios have been consistently above the minimum State management objective and until 2020 when it fell to 25 bulls per 100 cows. Calf/cow ratios also remain high which may indicate a growing population.

Harvest has been allowed in the Kuskokwim hunt area since 2009 by State registration permit. Harvest has increased notably since then, doubling between 2014 and 2017. Since 2011 reported harvest has averaged 183 moose annually. Demand still outweighs moose availability and from 2009 to 2019 an average of approximately 1,450 hunters have obtained permits to harvest moose in the Kuskokwim hunt area each year, but only 10 percent of permit holders has successfully harvested a moose.

 OSM's preliminary conclusion is to recommend to maintain the closure of Unit 18 despite recent increases in population size and harvest quotas demand still far outweighs the number of moose available for harvest. Retaining the closure ensures that the 15 communities that have demonstrated the most dependence on this resource continue to have a subsistence priority on public -- Federal public lands.

Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the

```
0172
    Council. I'll be happy to field any questions.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Tom. Do we
 4
     have any questions for Tom at this time.
 5
 6
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
 9
10
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd
11
    make a motion to adopt.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Motion on the
14
    floor to adopt WCR....
15
16
                     MS. McDAVID: Mr. Chair.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Brooke.
19
20
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
    And I'm sorry we don't have this on the back of your
21
22
     cards also. The motions for the closure reviews, the
23
     ones that say WCR are slightly different than for a
24
     proposal. It's -- if you would like to continue to
25
     support the closure the motion would be to retain the
26
     status quo or maintain the closure. So perhaps Ms.
27
    Rogers amend or restate her motion.
28
29
                     Thank you.
30
31
                     MS. ROGERS: Motion to reinstate....
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, to maintain
34
     closure of Unit 18.
35
36
                     MS. ROGERS: Motion to -- thank you,
37
    Mr. Chair. Through the Chair. Thank you, Brooke, for
38
     the correction. I'm going to go ahead and correct my
39
     motion on the floor, motion to retain status quo.
40
41
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, thank you,
44
    Alissa. Motion on the floor by Alissa to maintain
45
     closure of Unit 18. Do I hear a second.
46
47
                     MR. ANDREW: Second.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Second by John Andrew.
50
```

0173 1 MS. McDAVID: Oh, you didn't go through 2 the steps. 3 4 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. 5 6 MS. McDAVID: Sorry. Okay. Guys, I'm 7 sorry, we -- we do have to go through the steps on the back of the card. So if we could get a withdrawal of 9 the second for now and then we'll move into the agency 10 comments. 11 12 Thank you. 13 14 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, if John could 15 withdraw his second then we could..... 16 17 MS. McDAVID: Yeah. 18 19 MR. ANDREW: I withdraw my motion. 20 21 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Let the record 22 show that John Andrew withdraws his motion and we'll go 23 through the process of agency reports and go through 24 the process before we adopt it for discussion. 25 26 MS. McDAVID: Mr. Chair, would you like 27 me to help keep us on track for the.... 28 29 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yes. Yes. 30 31 MS. McDAVID: Sure. 32 33 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you. I always 34 get confused when it comes to motions. So I appreciate 35 your help. 36 37 Thank you. 38 39 MS. McDAVID: No problem, guys. It 40 caught me off guard a little bit too. So we'll get it 41 after the first one, we'll get in a grove. 42 43 Okay. So since there were no Board 44 consultations we'll move into agency comments. Alaska 45 Department of Fish and Game. 46 47 MR. JONES: Through the Council, this 48 is Patrick Jones, Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 49 So the Alaska Department of Fish and Game we -- I guess 50

all of our recommendations today are preliminary and they may or may not change between now and when the Board meets. But as of today Alaska Department of Fish and Game supports rescinding the closure for moose hunting in the RM615 area in the Unit. We are now at 15 years of hunting post-moratorium and the abundance of moose is at an all time high. The hunting season in Zone 2 is extremely liberal, it's September 1 through October 15th currently. And even though we have an extremely long and liberal season we're still not meeting the harvest objective. There's more animals to harvest. Some years that number is 10, some years that number is 25, but it's more moose.

So in an attempt to harvest moose in Zone 2 in December and January of this -- of 2022 U.S. Fish and Wildlife had a winter season hunt for bulls, antlered bulls only. As he stated before -- I won't go over most of the history because that was accurate, there's still -- based on brow surveys there's still room for that population in Zone 2 and the mountains to grow so we don't want to harvest cows there yet, we want to keep it on bull harvest so we grow that population. And to do that -- the easiest way to do that is when the bulls have antlers and they're easy to identify. So they tried an early winter season last year and it wasn't very effective. Lots of people got the permits, I think they gave out all the permits they intended to, but they only had one successful reported moose. And most of the complaints were that it just wasn't good traveling, there wasn't enough snow and the ice was dangerous especially in the mountains where the rivers are open and the water's fast. But as it gets later into the winter those moose drop their antlers so by December 15th about half the bulls have lost their antlers. And it's not a big population of moose to start with, it's a little -- little -- somewhere between seven and 800 animals. So you start cutting half the -- you know, only 30, 40 percent of those are bulls and you cut that in half by late December there's just -- there's fewer and fewer animals to find and it's tough going.

So Alaska Department of Fish and Game would like to rescind this closure, allow all Alaska residents to be in there. And then I think with all Alaska residents in there we would harvest those additional animals in the fall.

2

5

6 7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

As you can see in the other proposals in front of you today there's numerous other villages on the Kuskokwim River that would like to participate in this hunt, but currently can't because they're not Federally-qualified, you know, not to mention all the other Alaska residents that could do that. Right now we have -- it's really common conversation in the Fish and Game office in August and September we're giving out permits, people come in and they want to know if their kids can come back from Anchorage and go hunting with them or their brother can come out and go hunting with them and we have to tell them no, because if they live in Anchorage they're not Federally-qualified any more. So we have a lot of local people that want to come home and do traditional things with their family and they're not allowed to at the moment.

16 17 18

And I guess I'll leave it at that unless there's any questions.

19 20 21

 $\mbox{ CHAIRMAN ONEY:} \quad \mbox{Any questions for Patrick at this time, $ADF\&G$.}$ 

222324

25

26

MR. MORGAN: I've got a question, Mr.

Chair.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Walter, go ahead.

27 28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

MR. MORGAN: Okay. Is this for only bulls or cows too, it -- it don't say male or female? I'm kind of confused. And also we're in tier two, you know. I didn't get a ticket this year so I couldn't hunt with tier two. I -- I think it -- since they opened that tier two I've only hunt two times with it and other times I didn't get a permit. So, you know, I want to look at other opportunities to get a moose. I know I can get one in Unit 18 and, you know, below -on the Yukon side, but, you know, I -- I think there's enough cows out there now, you know, and there's not enough bulls. I think we target too many bulls in my opinion, you know. I think, you know, we ought to take a few cows out. I mean, I'm -- I'm not talking lots, I'm just saying, you know, a few -- a few cows would be exception.

44 45 46

So thank you.

47 48

MR. JONES: Through the Chair to member Morgan. So Kalskag and Lower Kalskag are part of the

closure review for Unit 18, but the tier two hunt is not what we're talking about, we're talking about the moose hunt in Unit 18 in Zone 2. So that's the mountains on the -- on the south and east side of the Kuskokwim. So the upper Tuluksak, Kasigluk, Kisaralik and Eek River and Kwethluk River, that's what we're talking about. So the main stem river by Kalskag and that stuff from Whitefish Lake upriver is that tier two hunt area and that's -- that's different.

So this isn't -- this proposal isn't about bulls or cows, it's just about who can hunt moose in Unit 18 on Federal lands. And currently our management strategy for Zone 2 is for bulls because we're trying to grow that population, but this proposal has nothing to do with bulls or cows. When the population gets big enough we will talk an antlerless hunt or a cow hunt. On the State side we're going to be talking about it for Zone 1 on the main river where we have more moose, But that -- that's a separate issue from this proposal.

MR. MORGAN: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Walter, go ahead.

MR. MORGAN: Well, Lower Kalskag is -we have Unit 18, Unit 19 and 21. So a lot of times we get -- you know, we -- we don't -- I know on 21 we didn't -- we weren't able to hunt when they had a subsistence opportunity there. But, you know, the only time we got to hunt was, you know, towards the Yukon, Unit 18. We're tied in, if you go up to Whitefish Lake on the right side is Unit 18, on the left side is 19. You go to the lake and you go to -- over on the left is 19 and on the right is 18. So we're all split up, you know, we -- it's pretty hard for us to hunt, you know, because we have three -- three different units and a lot of times we get, you know, we don't participate in the openings. So I just wanted you to get the idea of where we're at, you know.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more questions or comments for Pat at this time.

(No comments)

```
0177
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, thank
 2
    you.
 3
 4
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Next in the
 5
    procedures is Federal agency comments.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
 9
                     MS. McDAVID: Any Federal agency
     comments on wildlife closure review 24-38?
10
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
14
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Hearing none, any
    tribal comments on WCR 24-38?
15
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     MS. McDAVID: Hearing none, any
20
     comments from other Regional Advisory Councils?
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                     MS. McDAVID: Fish and Game Advisory
25
    Councils.
26
27
                     (No comments)
28
29
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Summary of written
30
    public comments?
31
32
                     MR. PLANK: Through the Chair. This is
    Tom Plank. There were no written comments for this
33
34
    one.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you.
37
38
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. And now we'll open
     it up to public testimony if there's anyone in the room
39
40
     or online who'd like to comment on the closure review?
41
42
                     (No comments)
43
44
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Hearing none, Mr.
45
    Chair, I'll turn it back over to you and the Council
46
     for your motion.
47
48
                     Thank you.
49
```

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you. Thank you, Brooke. Regional Council recommendation. I believe we did -- Alissa did a motion to adopt -- to maintain the closure of Unit 18.

MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair, I do have a question of how that motion is -- is there a reason why we have to say retain status quo, I mean, like I'm -- motion to adopt so we can open this up for discussion. That's the reason why I wanted to open it up, but it wasn't to put a final say in our -- my mouth.

MS. McDAVID: Okay. I guess I could see how that makes sense. I guess you would just -- you could -- you would vote, you know, if you voted it down then you would be voting to rescind it. And if you're voting to support it you're voting to maintain it just for the record.

MS. ROGERS: But don't we always make our motions in -- in the positive and then make our decisions in the end, right?

MS. McDAVID: There's always been some confusion with these closure reviews every time they come up, but I'm not sure if yeah, Lt or leadership might have some words of wisdom for us.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Scott.

MR. AYERS: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Through the Chair, member Nadine Rogers. It's an excellent question and the closure reviews are kind of a slightly different beast than the normal proposals are. proposal or any motion that you make has to be in the affirmative. With the regulatory proposals you make a motion in the affirmative as you always would and then the Council either votes in support of that or doesn't support that and then that's -- the motion fails, right. But with the closure reviews we don't have the same process in that we have effectively a couple of options. We have a maintain status quo or retain status quo which is the same thing, rescind, defer or modify. And the ability to modify these is almost nonexistent. It's a very, very narrow scope to do so. But because there's a couple of different options there and because it doesn't just refer back to one if the motion fails then there has to be a motion for one of those specific items and then it has to have enough

0179 support to actually pass. If it doesn't pass it goes back and another motion has to be made. 2 3 4 MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair. 5 6 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead. 7 8 MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 9 Through the Chair. So it would be one of those four 10 options we'd make the motion to and then that would 11 open us up for discussion and then we could make our 12 final decision. But what's complicating is that it's 13 setting up to -- for instance if I was going to say 14 motion to rescind..... 15 16 MR. AYERS: Uh-huh. 17 18 MS. ROGERS: .....and then we can open 19 it up for discussion. But since I'm already in the 20 motion form to rescind this proposal and we took action 21 on it and if it does not go the way it needs to go then 22 are we just going to go through all four levels, motion 23 to this, motion to that, motion until we come to 24 something. But if we come to something then it -- it 25 just doesn't make sense if we do that instead. Go 26 ahead. 27 28 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 29 30 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Scott. 31 32 MR. AYERS: Thank you again, member 33 Rogers, Nadine Rogers. 34 35 MS. ROGERS: Right. 36 37 MR. AYERS: Pardon me. No, you're 38 quite -- I think what you're looking at is kind of two 39 different steps. You've made a motion to bring it to the floor for council discussion. And then you're 40 41 looking following discussion to have a second motion 42 specifically to what the Council's action would be, is 43 that accurate? 44 45 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 46 47 MR. AYERS: And I think that that's 48 perfectly reasonable. I think you can have a motion to 49 bring this item to the floor for Council discussion,

```
0180
    have your discussion and then have a second motion
 1
     specific to the Council's action on this closure.
 2
 3
 4
                     MS. ROGERS: Exactly. That's what I
 5
    was looking for.
 6
 7
                     MR. AYERS: Okay.
 8
 9
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Scott. I'm
10
    going to go ahead and remodify it to the original
11
    motion. Motion to adopt for discussion.
12
13
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Alissa.
    Motion on the floor by Alissa Rogers to -- motion to
16
17
     adopt WCR24-38. Do I hear a second. Second.
18
19
                     James, do you second the motion.
20
21
                     MR. LANDLORD: And questions, I wanted
22
    to ask a question.
23
24
                     MS. ROGERS: We need a second.
25
26
                     MR. ANDREW: Second.
27
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Second by John
28
29
    Andrew. Discussion.
30
31
                     Patrick.
32
33
                     MR. JONES: I think a map would help
34
    the discussion in this case. So in your book on Page
    110 there's a map of what we're talking about here.
    And in this the map pink land is the Federal land that
36
37
    we're talking about opening or keeping closed to non-
38
     qualified users.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Can you say what page
41
    was that on.
42
43
                     MR. JONES: 110, 1-1-0.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you.
46
47
                     MR. AYERS: Pardon me, Mr. Chair. I
48
     just wanted to call point of order. If we're in
49
    Council deliberations the Council may call on someone
50
```

0181 to speak if they want to, but folks shouldn't just jump into the conversation while you all are in -- in your discussion and deliberation. 4 5 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you. I'm 6 just -- I think it would be..... 7 8 MR. LANDLORD: Mr. Chair. 9 10 CHAIRMAN ONEY: James, go ahead. 11 12 MR. LANDLORD: Your motion was what, 13 Alissa, due to -- motion to discuss? 14 15 MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. Just to open the 16 floor for discussion and then we'll do another motion 17 after we have discussion to decide what we're going to 18 do. 19 20 MR. LANDLORD: I think a motion could 21 be made to retain the closure. Right now it's closed, 22 right? 23 24 (No comments) 25 26 MR. LANDLORD: Okay. The motion can be 27 made -- the motion can be made to retain the closure and there'll be a second and it'll be a discussion and 28 29 then we vote. Not a motion to discuss, I -- it can be 30 done during the -- during the -- after the second. We 31 didn't have to make a motion to discuss because it's 32 already being discussed from steps one through six. I 33 think those were discussion. So a motion can be made 34 to retain the closure which is closed right now and 35 except for the religious elements and I think that 36 would be a proper way to open discussion after the 37 second. 38 39 MS. ROGERS: To you, Mr. Chair. 40 41 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Go ahead, you 42 have to guide me on this because I'm -- I do get 43 confused when we get into motions because just seems 44 like it be motion after motion and I kind of lose track

46 47 48 appreciate that.

45

Thank you.

of that. If you could clarify that for me, Alissa, I'd

```
0182
 1
                     MS. ROGERS: Okay. So when you make
    the motion to adopt is in the positive of going in
 2
     support of this -- of the proposal. And then if we
     don't want to support it then we would make a motion to
     go the route we want to go and then we vote on it.
 6
     That's -- did you -- are you wanting to do it
 7
     differently?
 8
 9
                     MR. LANDLORD: No, you can vote -- if
10
    you want to -- if you're against this motion to the
11
    closure you can vote no during the vote. If you vote
     yes that means you support the closure, continue --
12
13
     retain the closure. And if you vote no that means
14
    you're against the motion. You don't have to make
15
    motion to you want to close it. You'll make your
16
     statement no when you vote no.
17
18
                     MR. MORGAN: Mr. Chair, I've got a
19
    question.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Walter, go ahead.
22
23
                     MR. MORGAN: If we adopt this, you
24
     know, like they were saying if we have family from out
25
     of town that want to come, if we close it then they
26
    wouldn't have that opportunity to come and hunt, right,
27
     so, I mean, it's something to think about because we've
28
    got a lot of family that lives in Anchorage and other
29
    places that want to hunt, you know, and to support
30
    their family. And they're part of -- the reason they
31
    moved there is because they don't have jobs and we
32
     should consider them before we, you know, decide on
33
     this.
34
35
                     Thank you.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay.
                                            Thank you.
38
39
                     MS. ROGERS: Do you want me to pull my
40
    motion out then?
41
42
                     MS. McDAVID: Mr. Chair.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Brooke, go ahead.
45
46
                     MS. McDAVID: I....
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay.
49
```

```
0183
 1
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, I'll need
 4
    guidance here.
 5
 6
                     MS. ROGERS: Jackie.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Oh, go ahead, Jackie.
 9
10
                     MS. McDAVID: If you guys would like to
11
    move into the discussion on -- the motion in the
12
    affirmative would be to maintain the closure. And then
    you could discuss whether or not you want to maintain
13
14
    the closure, vote in support of maintaining it or vote
15
    no against it, but that would be my suggestion is --
16
    for that language.
17
18
                     Thank you.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.
21
22
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
23
    Chair. I make a motion to support this proposal, to
24
    maintain the closure.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Do we need to withdraw
27
    Alissa's motion first.
28
29
                     MS. ROGERS: I need to rescind my
30
    motion.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, before we do I
33
    believe we do need to rescind Alissa's motion for the
34
    -- and seconded by John. We need to withdraw the
35
    motion.
36
37
                     MS. ROGERS: I withdraw.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: And -- and the second.
40
41
                     MR. ANDREW: I withdraw the motion.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you.
44
     Thank you. And the motion on the floor was by Jackie.
45
     Can you restate that again.
46
47
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
48
     If I can say it all right. I make a motion to maintain
     the closure of the Kuskokwim hunt except for the
49
50
```

```
0184
     villages mentioned on WCR24-33.
 2
 3
                     MS. ROGERS: Second.
 4
 5
                     MS. CLEVELAND: 38.
                                          Sorry.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you,
 8
     Jackie. The motion's been seconded by Alissa. Guide
     me. Guide me, do we need to go into discussion.
 9
10
11
                     (Laughter)
12
13
                     MS. McDAVID: Yes. Now the Council
14
     should discuss whether or not you support and why you
15
     support maintaining the closure.
16
17
                     Thank you.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: So we are on discussion
20
    mode at this time. And like Scott mentioned, we can
21
     call any of the agencies to the floor if we need to,
22
    but we're at this point where the Council are at the
23
     discussion mode on this proposal.
24
25
                     MS. EVAN: Mr. Chair.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Norma, go ahead.
28
29
                     MS. EVAN: I -- sorry, Mr. Chair.
30
     support this because I live in Marshall. It takes a
31
     couple hours, maybe three hours by boat to go to the
32
     (in Native) River and it takes maybe 10 minutes by
33
     airplane to go there. And we do see a lot of out of
34
     State big game hunters and they always stop at our
35
     airport and fly directly from Marshall to here. And
36
     all day long there's airplanes even on the river they
37
     go back and forth. And I think you guys should keep
38
     your area the way it is. I don't know, but I support
39
     it.
40
41
                     Thank you.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you,
44
    Norma.
45
46
                     MS. EVAN:
                                Thank you, Mr. Chair.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more discussion
49
     from the Council.
```

```
0185
 1
                     MR. ALEXIE: Mr. Chair, I got a
 2
    question.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Wassilly, go ahead.
 5
 6
                     MR. ALEXIE: If we do support this
 7
     closure does that means once this pass like what Walter
     was mentioning, people -- our family members that live
     out there in Anchorage they're not allowed to go out
 9
10
     and hunt out there, right?
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Brooke.
13
14
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15
    Member Alexie, so the closure is currently in place and
     that is the case for the Federal hunt. It's only --
16
17
     this is applying only to Federal land. So your family
     from out of, you know, the rural areas could still
18
19
     participate in the hunts on State land.
20
21
                     Thank you.
22
23
                     MR. ALEXIE: Thank you.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more discussion
26
     from the Council.
27
28
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Mr. Chair.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.
31
32
                     MS. CLEVELAND: For me personally I
    guess I'm with Norma on this. I know it was mentioned
33
34
    this would be not so great for our families that live
35
    outside the area, but for me the sport hunters and
36
     competition to subsistence and all the other things
37
     that come with sport anything outweigh the other I just
    mentioned. And like Brooke said it's for Federal lands
38
39
     so we could still invite family to the State lands.
    And I guess let's keep the Federal lands more how it's
40
41
     supposed to be, subsistence has a first priority under
42
     ANILCA.
43
44
                     Thanks.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you. Any more
47
    discussion.
48
49
                     MR. MORGAN: Mr. Chair.
```

0186 1 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Walter, go ahead. 2 3 MR. MORGAN: Yeah, now I see it. So 4 they can come out and hunt on State lands. We're only 5 talking about the Federal lands in pink, right, with 6 Unit 18? 7 8 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Correct. 9 10 MR. MORGAN: So all right. Thanks. 11 12 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more discussion 13 from the Council. 14 15 MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair. 16 17 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead. 18 19 MS. ROGERS: Can I call on Pat to ask 20 him questions? 21 22 CHAIRMAN ONEY: I believe we have that --23 we have that -- we do have it if you want to call 24 someone, an agency that want to come up and discuss 25 this we -- you can. 26 27 MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 28 Through the Chair. I had a couple questions, Pat, on 29 key points of this proposal. It's under my -- it's 30 under -- my understanding A, if we rescind this closure we can open it up to a State cow hunt. Now if the cow 31 32 hunt actually takes place the -- we can decide how 33 those har -- those harvestable tickets are distributed 34 between our villages and only to those villages, 35 correct? 36 37 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Pat. 38 39 MR. JONES: Not under the State system. 40 So the State system is just Alaska residents or non-41 residents. Right now this is Alaska residents only 42 which means everybody in Alaska has the same 43 privileges. We are talking about a cow hunt in the 44 future for Zone 1 on this map. And that's a proposal 45 that's going to go in front of the Alaska Board of Game 46 this year. And it -- it's kind of a placeholder 47 proposal, like we -- the idea of having a cow hunt is 48 good, we're ready for -- on the main stem. How that

hunt is going to -- what that hunt is going to look

49

```
0187
    like and how the permits are given out is yet to be
     decided. That's one of the proposals that's up for
    discussion this year. One of the ideas is a draw hunt
    so everybody would pay $5 to put their name in the hat
 5
     and then we just pull names out of the hat because when
    we start a cow hunt there's not going to be a lot of
 6
 7
    permits to start with, it'll probably just be 50 to a
    hundred permits because we don't -- we want to shoot
    some cows, but we don't want to shoot a lot to start
10
    with so we have to limit that harvest somehow and
11
    that's -- and that's one way we might do it. But like
12
    I said that's not set in stone, that's just a
13
    placeholder proposal and I guess I won't know if the
14
    cow hunt is going to be or how we give out the permits
15
    until the Board of Game votes in late January.
16
17
                     MS. ALISSA: Okay. Thank you. That
18
     clarifies. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Alissa. Any
21
    more discussion from the Council.
22
23
                     (No comments)
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none -- where
26
    are we at now on -- on the.....
27
28
                     MS. McDAVID: Do you want to call for
29
    question?
30
31
                     MS. ROGERS: Question.
32
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Question's been
33
34
    called. All of those in favor of.....
35
36
                     MS. McDAVID: Maintaining the closure.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: ....to maintain --
39
    maintain closure of Unit 18 except for the villages
40
    mentioned signify by saying aye.
41
42
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Those opposed say nay.
45
46
                     (No opposing votes)
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, motion
49
     carries. Thank you. Moving on. We'll go down to the
50
```

next proposal, WP24-21, Unit 18, Kwigillingok, Kongiganak, Quinhagak .804 restriction for moose.

Pippa Kenner, OSM, Anthropology. Pippa, you've got the floor.

MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. And to repeat my name is Pippa Kenner and I work for the Office of Subsistence Management in this region and for this Council. And I'm going to be presenting to you proposal WP24-21. This is a request to add the communities of Kongiganak, Kwigillingok, Quinhagak to the group of communities who are eligible to harvest moose in the Kuskokwim River drainage hunt area in Unit 18. So we're just going to call that the Kuskokwim hunt area, the Kuskokwim area, but we're talking about that Kuskokwim drainage in Unit 18 that we were just talking about in the earlier closure review.

This proposal was submitted by this Council, the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta Regional Advisory Council. And the Council said that the Yukon -- said that the residents of Kwigillingok and Quinhagak hunt moose for subsistence and although these communities are located outside of the Kuskokwim hunt area residents of these communities travel to hunt moose on State managed lands within the boundaries of this hunt area, especially in years when it is more difficult to harvest a moose nearby their communities and therefore they should be able to hunt on Federal public lands as well.

So when this hunt area opened the Board adopted the recommendation of this Council and limited who could participate in that hunt. And the people of the communities that are eligible to hunt in the hunt area are listed on Page 89. And they are all the communities within the hunt area, the Kuskokwim drainage of Unit 18 and plus Lower and Upper Kalskag. So Kwigillingok and Quinhagak are the three communities closest to the hunt area who are not eligible to hunt in the hunt area. The communities that are eligible at this time are residents of Akiachak, Akiak, Atmautluak, Bethel, Eek, Kalskag, Kasigluk, Kwethluk, Lower Kalskag, Napakiak, Napaskiak, Nunapitchuk, Oscarville, Tuluksak and Tuntutuliak. So we're asking to add Kongiganak, Kwigillingok and Quinhagak to that list of communities who would be eligible to hunt in this area.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

And again I want to point out to you the map that's on Page 91. This is the area we're talking about. So the hunt area after the five year moratorium, the hunt area's been open to Federallyqualified users since about 2009 to that list of communities I just mentioned. Since that opening the area has been divided into two zones to allow better cooperation between the State and Federal management regimes. And so Zone 1 is considered more of State managed lands and tends to be managed by our State managers. And Zone 2 is more Federal public lands and is managed more by our Federal managers. But all the pink and yellow that you see are closed to non-Federally-qualified users. All the white area that you see is open to all State residents under State hunting regulations.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

So just to talk about the people who are involved in here, in this proposal, on Page 94 and 95 there's a list of all the communities who are currently eligible and their total population is about 12,500 people. And then if we added Kongiganak, Kwigillingok and Quinhagak that would add about 1,700 people to those who are Federally-qualified. Now there's only a hunter or two in each of those households so we're not talking about 1,600 people, but I just wanted to again outline what we're talking about here. And so especially for Kwigillingok, but also to some degree to Quinhagak, there's not a lot of documentation specifically on people hunting moose in the area that we're talking about, but we do have some reports to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game through their harvest reporting system. In 1980 when there was documentation of hunting in Kwigillingok moose weren't even mentioned, there -- moose weren't even present at the time. But now in -- we have some statistics and one is on Page 97 that shows that in the last five years residents of Kongiganak have said they harvested 28 moose and Kwigillingok harvested 12 moose out of, you know, like 173 in Kongiganak permits that were -- were people who repart -- reported hunting or returned a permit.

44 45 46

47

48

One of the problems with this data is that the general hunt area people report still through harvest ticket and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game hasn't -- it's not always been mandatory that

people return those harvest tickets. And for other reasons we're going to assume that these are minimum estimates. But the point is that we now have documented evidence that people are hunting moose in this area and they do not have alternative populations of moose except for the moose in their area or they have to go all the way up to the lower Yukon. And so for that reason as far as the -- what we're going to be looking at to determine whether or not these communities should be eligible based on ANILCA is that whenever you're asked to decrease the pool of who is eligible to harvest in an area you do so based on three criteria. And these criteria are found in Section .804 of ANILCA. One is you look at the customary and direct dependence upon the populations as a mainstay of livelihood, the second is local residency and the third is the availability of alternative resources to moose that people can use.

So what I'm going to do is talk to you why the OSM preliminary conclusion is to include these three communities in that hunt. So one that I just mentioned is we've been able to see there's a dependency on moose in these areas, they are very close to the hunt area. Let's see, Kwigillingok is about 14 miles away from the hunt area, Kong is about five miles and Quinhagak is about 17 miles. So Kwigillingok can hunt at the mouth of the Kuskokwim River particularly up the Ishkowik River which is State managed lands, but they can't go any further, everything else further east is Federal public lands that they're not allowed to hunt on at this time.

And the other thing, it was in this report that we wrote, it appears that each -- all three of these communities is equally situated with the communities that already are eligible to hunt in terms of alternative resources. They're all subsistence based economies, not a lot of jobs, not a lot of income, not a lot of grocery stores, they're all equal.

So just to remind you that if you support the proposal the residents of Kwigillingok, Kongiganak and Quinhagak will be eligible to hunt on Federal public lands in the hunt area -- in this Kuskokwim hunt area. And if you do not support the proposal they will continue to not be eligible to hunt in that area on Federal public lands.

```
0191
 1
                     And that's the end of my presentation.
 2
     I am available to answer any questions and thank you
     very much.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, thank you, Pippa.
 6
     Do we have any questions or comments for Pippa at this
 7
     time from the Council.
 9
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
12
13
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
14
    Through the Chair. Do we have any biological reasons
15
     not to include them?
16
17
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Pippa, go ahead.
20
21
                     MS. KENNER: Through the Chair.
22
     you. Great question, member Rogers. So adopting the
23
    proposal it would have no affect on subsistence users
24
     or the moose population, we're just saying who's
25
     eligible. If there were problems these concerns would
26
    be addressed through harvest limits and season length.
27
     So here we're simply choosing who's eligible, we're not
     setting harvest limits or seasons.
28
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa.
31
32
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you.
35
    more discussion from the Council for Pippa, questions
36
    or comments.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, go ahead,
41
    Pippa.
42
43
                     MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
44
     That's the end of the information that I have for you,
45
    but I'll stand by as you take testimony from others to
46
     answer any questions you might have.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you.
49
     Pippa, go ahead. I mean, sorry, Brooke.
```

0192 1 MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2 We'll move into agency comments. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 4 5 MS. COLEMAN: Hi, this is Jesse Coleman 6 with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game in the 7 Subsistence Division. I just wanted to make a couple of points on our comments on this proposal. We're 8 9 still finalizing our position so I'm not going to give 10 that right here, but under State regulations there is a 11 positive customary and traditional use finding for 12 moose in all of Unit 18. And the amount necessary for 13 subsistence there is 200 to 400 animals. So these 14 communities are eligible subsistence users under State 15 regulations currently. 16 17 And if Patrick Jones is down there and 18 wants to add anything I'll let him do that, but 19 otherwise those -- that's all I really wanted to say 20 about our comments. 21 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Pat, you were going to 22 23 comment. 24 25 MR. JONES: This is Patrick Jones, 26 Alaska Department of Fish and Game. I really don't 27 have any additional information on it, but I -- I quess 28 I'll stand by if there's any more biological questions. 29 Like Pippa said, it -- this isn't affecting the biology 30 or the hunt, we're managing that with season dates and 31 permits. So.... 32 33 MS. McDAVID: Any comments from Federal 34 agencies. 35 36 (No comments) 37 38 MS. McDAVID: Hearing none, tribal 39 comments. I believe we have one. 40 MS. CLEVELAND: Thank you, Mr. Chair 41 42 and everyone. So the Native Village of Quinhagak

MS. CLEVELAND: Thank you, Mr. Chair and everyone. So the Native Village of Quinhagak supports proposal WP24-21 which would add residents of Kwigillingok, Kongiganak and Quinhagak to the communities that are eligible to hunt moose in the Kuskokwim River drainage hunt area in Unit 18. Quinhagak agrees that those communities should be added because residents travel to hunt moose especially in years where it's becoming increasingly difficult to

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

```
0193
    harvest moose within their own community areas.
 2
 3
                     So that was the written comment from
 4
    Native Village of Quinhagak.
 5
 6
                     MS. McDAVID: Go ahead, Pippa.
 7
 8
                     MS. KENNER: Through the Chair. This
 9
     is Pippa Kenner with OSM reading the recommendation of
10
     the Orutsararmiut Native Council in Bethel. ONC
11
     Subsistence Committee supports this proposal to allow
12
    more subsistence users the opportunity to harvest moose
13
    especially in Zone 2. This proposal will include the
14
    fall and winter hunt in the Federal management areas.
15
    This will allow more moose hunters in that area in
    order to achieve the moose harvest quota.
16
17
18
                     And again that was with ONC -- for ONC
19
     in Bethel.
20
21
                     MS. McDAVID: Any other tribal comments
    from folks online or in the room.
22
23
24
                     (No comments)
25
26
                     MS. McDAVID: Hearing none, other
27
    Regional Advisory Councils.
28
29
                     (No comments)
30
31
                     MS. McDAVID: Fish and Game Advisory
32
    Committees.
33
34
                     (No comments)
35
36
                     MS. McDAVID: And then any other
37
     written public comments.
38
39
                     MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
40
     This is Pippa Kenner again with the Office of
41
     Subsistence Management. And I just checking and no,
42
     there are no written public comments that were
43
     submitted for this during the comment period.
44
45
                     Thank you.
46
47
                     MS. McDAVID: Would any members of the
48
     public like to comment today on this proposal online or
49
     in the room.
50
```

```
0194
 1
                     (No comments)
 2
 3
                     MS. McDAVID: Hearing none, I'll turn
 4
     it back to you, Mr. Chair. Thank you.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY:
                                     Thank you, Pippa,
 7
     Brooke. We are on the Regional Council recommendation.
 8
 9
                     MS. ROGERS: Motion to support.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Motion on the floor by
12
    Alissa to -- motion to support WP24-21. Do I hear a
13
     second.
14
15
                     MR. ANDREW: Second.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Second by John.
18
     Discussion.
19
20
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
21
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa.
22
23
24
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
25
     Through the Chair. Since there is no biological
26
     effects of including these communities and it's
27
     supported by the tribes of this area, I would like to
28
     see that we continue to support our tribes and our
29
     people and our Federal subsistence users allowing them
30
     to have more opportunity in Zone 2 and that's going to
31
    be a....
32
33
                     (Teleconference disconnected)
34
35
                     MS. McDAVID: Sorry, Alissa. We got
36
     dropped. So hold that thought.
37
38
                     Thanks.
39
40
                     (Teleconference reconnected)
41
42
                     MS. McDAVID: To folks online, sorry,
43
     our call back dropped off. And now there's an echo.
44
                     So we're trying to figure that out.
45
46
47
                     (Teleconference reconnected)
48
49
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. It's -- sorry
50
```

0195	5		
1	about that, folks. Technical difficulties. Thanks for		
2	your patience. I believe we left off with a comment		
3	from member Nadine Rogers.		
	Tion member Naume Nogers.		
4	ml l		
5	Thank you.		
6			
7	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ah	ead, Alissa.	
8			
9	MS. ROGERS: Thank yo	u, Mr. Chair.	
10		Sorry, folks, online. I didn't mean to get you guys	
11	cutoff because I just talk too much.	All right. I'll	
12	go ahead and restate what I was sayin	go ahead and restate what I was saying.	
13			
14	Since there are no bi	ological effects	
15	to adding fellow subsistence users in	to adding fellow subsistence users in Zone 1 and Zone 2	
16	I would like to support broadening our hunting lands to		
17	those who have customary and traditional uses in our		
18	lands.		
19			
20	And, Mr. Chair, I wou	ld also like to	
21	involve Kipnuk as well into the villages. They're not		
22	listed so it we're hoping to get Kipnuk also added		
23	to the list, Mr. Chair, as a modification.		
24	to the fist, Mr. Chair, as a modifica	C1011.	
25	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank	vou, Alissa. The	
26		<del>-</del>	
	motion, it's been first and seconded and we're in		
27	discussion mode and the discussion is to include Kipnuk		
28	also in this proposal. Any more disc	ussion on wP24-21.	
29	MG M.DAVID T. h. 1 '.		
30	MS. McDAVID: I belie		
31	motion to amend and then a second for that amendment		
32	and then you could discuss the amendment.		
33	m) ,		
34	Thank you.		
35			
36	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay.		
37			
38	MS. ROGERS: Okay. M	otion to amend to	
39	include Kipnuk. I said that.		
40			
41	CHAIRMAN ONEY: John	Andrew, go ahead.	
42			
43	MR. ANDREW: Second.		
44			
45	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay.	Motion is to	
46	amend WP24-21 to include Kipnuk and s	econded by John	
47	Andrew and we are in discussion.		
48			
49	James, go ahead.		
50	-		

```
0196
 1
                     MR. LANDLORD: Mr. Chair. I was just
 2
     wondering where Kipnuk's located, I don't know where it
 3
     is?
 4
 5
                     MS. CLEVELAND: May I?
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.
 8
 9
                     MS. CLEVELAND: So it's next to
10
    Kwigillingok, but I forgot if it's like north, south,
11
     do you remember exactly where it's at or.....
12
13
                     And there's a map over here. Oh, it's
14
    more west.
15
16
                     MR. PARKS: It's on east side of
17
    Chefornak.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Pippa, you had or
20
     comment or question?
21
22
                     MS. KENNER: No, I -- I just wanted to
23
     follow....
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Did you get your
26
     answer.
27
28
                     MR. LANDLORD: Yes, I said -- they told
29
    me where it's located. It's southwest of Kong and they
30
    go a long ways to go moose hunting. So I think that's
31
     justification for my reason if I wrote yes is support
32
     Kipnuk to be included in the WP24-21.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yes. Any more
35
    discussion to include Kipnuk in WP21 -- 24-21.
36
37
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Mr. Chair.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY:
                                    Jackie, go ahead.
40
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
41
42
     I was going to call on one of the RITs who is based in
43
     Kipnuk. Is he? Oh, sorry, my mistake. I wanted to
44
     ask if he knew what they thought. But it's not, that's
45
     not, that's Kwig?
46
47
                     MS. ROGERS: Kong.
48
49
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Oh.
                                          (In Native).
50
```

```
0197
     Sorry, never mind.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. As you were.
 4
 5
                     (Laughter)
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Any more
 8
     discussion on -- to include Kipnuk in WP24-21.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     MR. ANDREW: Question on the
13
    motion....
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. There's question
16
    on....
17
18
                     MR. ANDREW: ....the amendment.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: There's a question on
21
    the amendment. All those in favor of including Kipnuk
    in 24-21 signify by saying aye.
22
23
24
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Those opposed say nay.
27
28
                     (No opposing votes)
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, motion
31
    carries. Thank you.
32
33
                     MR. ANDREW: You got the main motion
34
    now.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. We're back to
37
    the main motion
38
39
                     MS. ROGERS: Questions.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Question's been
     called on the main motion. All those in favor of WP-21
42
43
    with amendment signify by saying aye.
44
45
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Opposed say nay.
48
49
                     (No opposing votes)
50
```

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, motion carries. Thank you. Okay. Moving on, we are down to WP24-20, Unit 18, modify moose harvest limit and expand delegated authority for winter season.

Tom Plank, OSM, Wildlife. Tom, you have the floor.

MR. PLANK: Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. And again for the record my name's Tom Plank and I'm a Wildlife Biologist in the Office of Subsistence Management. And I will be presenting a summary of the analysis for wildlife proposal WP24-20 which starts on Page 104 in your books.

Proposal WP24-20 is submitted by the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge and requests modifying the harvest limit, permit requirements and delegation of authority letter for moose in the Kuskokwim River area hunt area of Unit 18 during the winter may be announced Federal subsistence hunting season. The modification to the delegation of authority letter includes delegating authority to the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge Manager to announce sex and antler restrictions, determine the permit allocation system and set any needed permit conditions.

The proponent is asking for the ability to set the number of permits and permit conditions to encourage timely reporting. The proponent also requests flexibility in using either a first come, first serve registration permit system or a random draw permit system to fairly allocate a limited number of permits to subsistence users in multiple villages with limited Staff to manage the winter hunt. Additionally the proponent requests flexibility in setting the harvest limit so that they can protect cows when needed, but can also allow cow harvest in the future as the population continues to grow. The ability to set sex and antler restriction also addresses hunter behavior and their ability to identify bulls without antlers.

And I'm going to -- instead of repeating what you've already heard on the regulatory history I'm going to kind of streamline it a little because we're still talking about the same Kuskokwim

0199

1 hunt area as we have been for the last two.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Zone 2 is primarily Federal lands and the Yukon Delta Refuge sets permits -- sets quotas. Zone 2 is much more difficult to access and quotas are not usually met. For regulatory year 2020/'21, emergency wildlife special action WSA20-05 extended the season seven days and in 2022 the Board adopted a proposal as modified by OSM to extend the fall moose season in the Kuskokwim Zone 2 hunt area. And it also established a may be announced winter season from December 1st to January 31st with a harvest limit of one antlered bull by Federal registration permit. delegation of authority does not limit the number of permits that can be issued for the newly established may be announced winter season. The number of moose available to harvest during a may be announced winter season is based on a remaining moose quota not met during the fall season. And in December, 2022 the Board adopted a wildlife special action as modified by OSM to delegate additional authority to the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge to limit the number of permits issued and set permit conditions for a winter moose hunt in Zone 2 for the 2022 and '23 regulatory year.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

The 2020 survey estimates roughly 4,000 moose in the Kuskokwim hunt area as a whole which exceed population objectives. The bull/cow ratios are high as are calf/cow ratios which indicate a growing moose population. Since 2011 reported harvested has averaged 183 moose per year although harvest has increased as the moose population and therefore harvest quotas have increased. Federally-qualified subsistence users account for 95 percent of the moose harvested and demand far exceeds moose availability. Since 2017 average harvest in Zone 2 has only been 78 moose which is well below the quota of 110 moose. Harvests from 2019 to 2020 when the season was extended seven days had a harvest increase of 20 percent with 90 moose harvested in 2020, but dropped back down to 76 in 2021. Zone 2 consists of tributaries to the Kuskokwim River and requires specialized boats to access as well as longer travel times and more fuel. The unmet quota in Zone 2 is likely a function of difficulties in access rather than lack of need for moose meat.

45 46 47

If this proposal is adopted the harvest limit would be liberalized to up to one moose and then the In-Season Manager could determine the permit

49 50

1 allocation system, set any needed permit conditions and determine antler and sex restrictions for the may be 2 announced winter moose season in Zone 2 of the Kuskokwim hunt area in Unit 18. Allowing the 5 flexibility of using either a first come, first serve 6 registration permit system or a random draw permit 7 system to allocate permits would allow the In-Season 8 Manager to utilize a system that is best to fairly 9 allocate a limited number of permits to subsistence 10 users in multiple villages with limited Staff. This 11 would also reduce the chance of overharvest while 12 providing increased equitable harvest opportunities for 13 Federally-qualified subsistence users. Setting permit 14 conditions allow the In-Season Manager to set harvest 15 reporting requirements to track in-season harvest, 16 ensuring that the harvest quota is not exceeded and 17 closing the season if or when the quota is met.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Additionally if the Board adopts this proposal the harvest limit during winter season would be liberalized and the In-Season Manager would have the flexibility to set sex and antler restrictions. And if this proposal is rejected and a winter season is announced the number of permits issued will be unlimited. This could create a conservation concern as the Zone 2 harvest quota could be quickly exceeded leaving an overharvest. Alternatively if a winter season is not announced due to conservation concerns potential harvest opportunities for Federally-qualified subsistence users is lost.

30 31 32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

OSM's preliminary conclusion is to support WP24-20. The delegated authority given to the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge Manager in 2022 was intended to give Federally-qualified subsistence users additional opportunities to harvest moose in Zone 2 when the quota is not met during the fall season. The harvestable surplus of moose targeted for the may be announced winter season is only for the remaining quota not met during the fall season. Liberalizing the harvest limit during the winter season and delegating additional authorities to manage the winter hunt allows for flexible, adaptable hunt management that optimizes subsistence harvest opportunities and conservation. This proposal mitigates the chance of overharvest and allows for additional harvest opportunities for Federally-qualified subsistence users during the may be announced winter season in Zone 2.

0201 1 Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the 2 Council. I'll be here to address any questions. 4 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Do we have any 5 questions or comments for Tom at this time from the 6 Council. 7 8 MR. PETER: Mr. Chairman. 9 10 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Phillip, go ahead. 11 12 MR. PETER: Over the years Zone 2 never 13 met their quota. And then I got question. Those of us 14 who didn't catch a moose this fall maybe we could 15 depend on the availability of -- availability of permits, right, availability of permits to hunt moose 16 17 Zone 2 including the villages residing in like for 18 example Quinhagak, Eek, Platinum, Goodnews Bay. And if 19 I want to go hunt that area I have to go down to Bethel 20 to get permit. What about if I didn't receive any 21 permit, what happen to me. My ques -- we always got 22 confused, we always got confusion on this situation, 23 you know, availability of permits. Like if you 24 allocate 110 and Zone 2 catch 68 and there's going to 25 be 40 available permits. Those who didn't catch any 26 moose. Even though I come -- even though I live in Akiachak I go down to Bethel and get my permit. Maybe 27 28 first come, first serve, what happen if the limit 29 stops. 30 31 That's -- you know, these regulations 32 and proposed -- proposals are getting, you know, 33

complicated to us because some of us don't understand this kind of regulations and proposals, Zone 1, Zone 2. Those people who don't understand that they got confuse, you know. But we need to accurately fix this Zone 2. Nobody -- nobody go hunting to -- for example, Kisaralik, (in Native) Kwethluk, Eek, Togiak area. There are only a few hunters who -- those who didn't catch moose they travel to that area to hunt because we need to fix it clearly by -- we need to fix this clearly, understandable regulations on these proposals, you know. We need to fix it. Some guy's upset in my community, not only in my community, like Akiak, Kwethluk, Tuluksak. We reviewed them, these regulations, State and Federal government, because there's two entities to serving us, to serving us. Two, two entities, one is State of Alaska, one is the Federal government. And these two are really confused

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

```
0202
     systems, you know. One -- like in Unit 18 this fall 10
 2
     day hunt on the State side if I'm correct, Pat, correct
 3
 4
 5
                     MR. JONES: Eight days.
 6
 7
                     MR. PETER: And then there's another
     one on the Federal side. Reversing Federal on the Zone
 8
 9
     1, number 2, the State side. We -- even though we
10
     didn't catch moose we turn in our permit, all of us who
11
     didn't catch any. I bet nobody review who catch and
12
    who was not catching. I'm really, you know, upset
13
    because those two are mixing us up on Unit 18,
14
    especially on the Kuskokwim area.
15
16
                     So that's all my comments, Mr. Chair.
17
     Thank you.
18
19
                     MR. LANDLORD: Mr. Chair.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: James, go ahead.
22
23
                     MR. LANDLORD: Can you explain
24
     flexibility so I can understand why it's so stringent
25
     right now. What would that -- explain the flexibility?
26
27
                     MR. PLANK: Thank you Council member
     Landlord, through the Chair. Your -- the flexibility
28
29
     on this -- the may be announced winter season, say you
30
     got -- just to kind of use even numbers, say you have
31
     110 -- a quota for Zone 2 for the fall season and 60 of
32
     those are harvested so that leaves 50 left. And so if
     we have -- like you have an early winter or, you know,
33
34
    the freeze up comes up faster and it's easier access
35
    into Zone 2, those 50 in the may be announced winter
36
    hunt could be caught -- you know, harvested within a
37
    week or two. And without -- with the flexibility that
    allows them to just issue the 50 permits so they don't
38
39
    have to go out there and, you know, check every single
40
    person to make sure they shut it down before 70 are
41
    harvested. And so just basically let's everybody know
42
    it without -- lack of better way to describe it, it
43
    basically just gives them the ability to let everybody
44
    know here's 50 permits, you have until July -- January
45
    31st to fill this ticket.
46
47
                     Does that answer your question?
48
49
                     MR. LANDLORD: Yes, thank you.
```

0203

1 MS. CLEVELAND: Mr. Chair.

2 
3 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.

4 
5 MS. CLEVELAND: Thank you, Mr. -- thank
6 you, Mr. Chair. So I agree with Phillip 100 percent.

you, Mr. Chair. So I agree with Phillip 100 percent. Maybe the confusion of all the language coming to our communities, especially with the language barrier some communities may have, I mean, when I would issue permits and things, licenses and permits for our tribe, I felt like I had to -- I mean, just go above and beyond to just even tell everyone what these were to me and how they're confusing. And he's right, people can get upset about certain words and even if they don't mean that scientifically or something. But there -- I wasn't there unfortunately to liaison the meeting between Quinhagak and here for this special action request and I thought it went just horribly because of this language barrier. And then the confusion and -and no one wanted to explain these terms until we get to that part or unless you fill out a sheet, you know. So it's -- I mean, I guess there's that part and then there's just the part of on State and Federal lands and different regulations on both. And then on top of that there's like the elder permanent permits or and then there's two different ones for those, one for -- one for Fed and one for State. So like even -- just imagine being an elder and just trying to understand, you know, the two forever permits, but then you need to make sure your hunter has the license and hunting your permit and in the right lands.

31 32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

Another thing that I think that maybe someday needs to change is just the way permits are collected. I know there's different ways like there's localized or first come, first serve or online. And I know we're getting better about broadband, but it's just in the beginning for our region and I want to just not recommend the online first come, first serve permit because of -- I mean, lack of broad -- I guess bandwidth is one. But at the same time I also don't want them traveling, you know, long distances. So I don't know of a -- of a -- like a specialized -- I wish there was some kind of specialized permit system where like everyone understands the language and can participate and have an opportunity as much as someone online or someone who has the best internet and can quickly get that permit if they wanted.

0204 1 I hope that all made sense. Thanks. 2 3 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Jackie. Any 4 more questions or comments for Tom at this time from 5 the Council 6 7 MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair. 8 9 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead. 10 11 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. For the areas 12 I know folks up -- up in our area that have commented 13 from the Kuskokwim villages in regards to wanting to be 14 included in this particular hunt. Because the -- one 15 of the comment -- really strong comment that came 16 through was if we're allowing folks to come hunt up 17 here why can't we go hunt down there. And do we -- do 18 folks in my area have the ability to go hunting down 19 there if they wanted to and they'd go down and just get 20 a harvest ticket? 21 Thank you, Council member 22 MR. PLANK: 23 Nadine Rogers, through the Chair. I'm not sure I quite 24 understood your question, but maybe we can call up 25 somebody from the YKDelta or Refuge or..... 26 27 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah. 28 29 MR. PLANK....or him. 30 31 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Pat. 32 33 Thank you. Yeah. Patrick MR. JONES: 34 Jones, Fish and Game. Member Rogers, you're talking about Quinhagak. So right now in Unit 18 we have four 36 moose hunt areas and they are four different permits. 37 So we have the Yukon and the coastal areas, we call 38 that Unit 18 remainder and that's just a harvest 39 ticket, general season tag. Then moving south we get 40 to the Kuskokwim and we have a joint permit that works 41 on Federal and State lands currently and that's the 42 RM615 moose permit. And those are available at all the 43 villages in the hunt area and they're available online 44 to Alaska residents. And then moving south we get to 45 the Quinhagak area and the Arolik area and that's the 46 moose hunt RM617 and that one is available in the

communities -- only in the communities of Eek and

Quinhagak. Though if those communities wanted it

available online in addition to being available in the

47

48

49

```
0205
    villages we could do that as well at their request.
    And then we move down to Goodnews on the south end of
    Unit 18. Goodnews and Platinum have their own hunt
    area there on the Goodnews River and that's RM620. And
 5
    they actually have a winter hunt in the same area.
 6
 7
                     So does that help?
 8
 9
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
12
13
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
14
     Through the Chair. Thank you, Pat. I just wanted to
15
     get a quick clarification. Unit RM for the Yukon, Unit
     18 remainder, that's available online as well?
16
17
18
                     MR. JONES: Yeah, through the Chair.
19
     The Yukon one, that's GM00 is what we call it or
20
    general season harvest ticket and that's available
21
     online and Fred Meyer's in Anchorage and everywhere
22
     else that Fish and Game gives out permits that's over
23
     the counter.
24
25
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And then
26
     another clarification, follow-up, Mr. Chair.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Alissa.
29
30
                     MS. ROGERS: RM615 is only available in
31
     Quinhagak and where?
32
33
                     MR. JONES: 615 is the Kuskokwim one.
34
     617 is the Quinhagak permit and is available in
35
     Quinhagak and Eek.
36
37
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you.
                                              I'm done.
38
39
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Mr. Chair.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.
42
43
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Quyana, Mr. Chair. So
44
     I just wanted to further respond to Alissa. One reason
45
     I think is because moose are very new to Quinhagak and
46
     our drainages as far as moose history goes, it's
47
     they're -- you know, they're the newest big game we
48
    have there, before it was all caribou. So we're --
49
     these drainages are still in the process of populating
```

the moose pop -- you know, the moose population. Quinhagak did not participate in that five year moratorium back then, but the surrounding drainages did. And then I believe it's from that moratorium that we have our moose population or it's a bigger moose population and it continues to grow might be one reason.

7 8 9

5

Thanks.

10 11

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. If there's no more questions from the Council we could move on.

12 13 14

Thank you.

15 16

 $$\operatorname{MS.\ McDAVID}\colon$$  Thank you, Mr. Chair. We'll move into agency comments. Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

17

MR. JONES: Again Patrick Jones with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. So currently the State has no position on this proposal at this time, but I can go into a little bit of the history of the situation. So there is a harvestable surplus available in Zone 2 most years. Like I said before it's not a very big number harvestable surplus, some years it's probably 10, other years it might be closer to 25. But the current -- so there's a little under 800 moose the last time we counted it in Zone 2. We have a quota of 110 most years so that's about a 13 percent harvest rate if we were to achieve the full 110 animals. That's a high harvest rate for moose. You can only do that on a moose population that's growing rapidly. Luckily this one is currently, but we'd still measure the fact that even though people are harvesting 75, 85, 90 moose in Zone 2 they're still having a measurable effect on that bull/cow ratio over time. when the hunt started it was almost one to one, for every hundred bulls there was a hundred cows. The last time the count was done I believe in 2021 it was down to 55. In 2020 it was 65. So we can tell hunters are having an effect, that bull/cow is coming down the way it is now. Part of that is a high harvest rate for a moose population, even a productive one.

44 45 46

47

48

But so since the beginning of the -- of the hunt season dates have been extended in Zone 2. So we initially started 15 years ago when the -- when the hunt started. Zone 2 and Zone 1 mirrored each other,

they were I think the first year they were about 10 days and then over time when the pattern, the harvest history kind of started revealing itself for Zone 2 and the effort in Zone 2 revealed itself, we kept on extending the time period in Zone 2 longer and longer and longer because it was different than Zone 1. So to put that in perspective, it's one permit for both zones, you can get one permit and you can kill a moose in Zone 1 or Zone 2, just one moose, but it's just one permit. And on average we give about 1,500 permits out and that varies from 1,300 to 1,800 depending on the year. And about 1,100 to 1,200 people report that they hunted at some point during that season.

So it's a very competitive season and Zone 1 is normally -- now it's a seven to nine day season and we're trying to harvest somewhere between 150 and 170 bulls most years. And we're reaching that if not passing that in that time period, in seven to nine days we're harvesting somewhere between 150 and 250 bulls depending on the year. It's very competitive, but the access is really good in Zone 1. There's lot -- that's where all the communities are and that's where the river's the biggest and you can use any kind of boat you want and there's lots of access. So we see fast harvest rates and high harvest rates. The first day of the season we -- we've killed over 75 moose in one day so it happens quick so Zone 1's very competitive.

 Zone 2 is the opposite. People are going to Zone 2, but mostly -- like this year was a high water year so people in props were getting to Zone 2 pretty easily. A lot of years the water's low and you've got to have a jet boat. So that really limits the number of people that can get there. And there's less moose there, the density is lower. So it just takes longer to -- for people to harvest those animals. But it is adding up, we can see the difference when we do surveys.

And we tried adding an additional winter season already, it wasn't very effective. We gave out all the permits, it was very competitive for giving out permits or I guess the Refuge gave out. And then only one person was able to successfully get up there and harvest a bull with an antler. And that was mostly to do with the weather and the travel conditions. And as the winter progressed last year,

```
0208
    you know, it wasn't very good. The snow wasn't good
     and the -- and the tributaries up in the mountains were
    open. And then the further you get into winter the
    less likely you are to see a bull with an antler
    because they start dropping their antlers pretty quick
 6
    in late December.
 7
 8
                     We still believe that there's room for
 9
    population growth as you heard. Zone 1 based on brow
10
    surveys we're getting really close on the main stem of
11
    how many moose we can have. They're starting to really
12
    make a difference on that habitat. And now that our
13
    habitat for Zone 2 is getting a few years old now,
14
     2019, that's probably time to do another survey in Zone
15
     2, but it was only 19 percent. That's really low,
    that's half -- basically half of what they can support
16
17
     there. So there's lots of room we think to grow in
18
     Zone 2. So it's not critical that we harvest those
19
    moose, there's room for them.
20
21
                     And I guess I'll leave it at that
22
    unless there's more questions.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more questions for
25
     Patrick at this time from the Council.
26
27
                     (No comments)
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, thank
30
     you.
31
32
                     MR. ANDREW: Mr. Chair.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Oh, sorry. John, John
35
     Andrew.
36
37
                     MR. ANDREW: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
38
     I just got one question. If you did harvest on the
39
    main stem between September 1 through -- and that's on
40
     the main stem, are you still eligible to hunt during
41
     the winter hunt? That was -- no?
42
43
                     MR. JONES: No.
44
45
                     MR. JONES: No. The....
46
47
                    MR. ANDREW: Thank you.
48
49
                     MR. JONES: Yeah, through the Chair.
50
```

The bag limit's still one moose so once you harvest one moose you're done.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: I don't see anyone raising their hands. Go ahead, Brooke.

MS. McDAVID: Okay. Moving on, any Federal agency comments.

MR. MOSES: Thank you. Through the Chair. This is Aaron Moses, Subsistence Coordinator. I just wanted to comment on last year. Like Patrick said we issued permits last year. We had put in a special action last year because we were bound by trying to figure out ways to limit the hunt because we could only give out I think it was 65 permits. And so the special action went through and then the way we decided last year to make it as equal as possible is we had first come, first serve, but through a phone system. Where they -- we gave them a date, I think it was December 14th and like the phone line opens at 9:00 o'clock, leave your name and phone number and we'll get back to you. That's how we did it last year.

This proposal will make it so that the Refuge Manager can do that without having to have a special action in place and be able to -- he could say that instead of an antlered bull we could just make it an antlerless hunt or anything. So it's giving the Refuge Manager a toolbox to be able to open it up for different -- different requirements.

MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Aaron. Any other Federal agency comments.

(No comments)

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  McDAVID: What about any tribal comments on WP24-20.

MR. PLANK: Through the Chair. For the record my name's Tom Plank with OSM. And I have a comment from ONC. And for WP24-20 this proposal would give additional authority and tools to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Managers for the Zone 2 moose hunt and population control. This would allow additional hunting opportunities for those who didn't harvest moose in Zone 1.

```
0210
 1
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Tom. Any
 2
    other tribal comments.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     MS. McDAVID: Hearing none, I don't
 7
    believe we have any other RAC comments or Fish and Game
    Advisory Committee comments. Any written public
 8
 9
     comments.
10
11
                     MR. PLANK: Again this is Tom Plank and
12
    there were no written comments.
13
14
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you. Moving on to
15
    public testimony. Would anyone in the room or online
    like to comment on this proposal.
16
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Hearing none, I'll
21
    turn it over to you, Mr. Chair, for Council
22
    recommendation.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you. Thank you,
25
    Brooke. What is the wish of the Council at this time.
26
27
                     John Andrew.
28
29
                     MR. ANDREW: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
30
    Motion to support.
31
32
                     MS. ROGERS: Second.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Motion on the
35
    floor by John Andrew to support WP24-20, seconded by
36
    Alissa. Discussion.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
40
                     MR. PETER: Question.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Stand by. Brooke, go
43
    ahead.
44
45
                     MS. McDAVID: Yeah, so we do need some
46
     justification for the record if you all could share why
47
     you're in support or against.
48
49
                     Thank you.
```

```
0211
 1
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
 4
 5
                     MS. ROGERS: I am in support of this
 6
     proposal because it is adding tools for the Area
 7
    Manager and additional hunting opportunities for those
 8
     who didn't harvest a moose in Zone 1 or Zone 2.
 9
10
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Alissa. We
13
    don't need to ask the question.
14
15
                     MR. PETER: Ouestion.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. The question's
18
    been called by Phillip Peter. All those in support of
19
     WP24-20 signify by saying aye.
20
21
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Opposed say nay.
24
25
                     (No opposing votes)
26
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, motion
27
28
     carries. Thank you. Maybe we'll take one more and
29
     then we'll take a break.
30
31
                     You have the floor, Tom.
32
33
                     MR. PLANK: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
34
    members of the Council. Again for the record my name's
35
     Tom Plank, Wildlife Biologist, Office of Subsistence
36
    Management. And I'll be presenting a summary of the
37
     analysis for wildlife proposal WP24-19. And this
38
     starts on Page 130 in your books.
39
40
                     Proposal WP24-19 was submitted by the
41
    Native Village of Quinhagak. It requests that the fall
42
    moose season within the Kanektok and Arolik River
43
     drainages in Unit 18 be extended from September 1st
44
     through the 30th to September 1st through October 15th.
45
    Moose are an important subsistence resource for members
46
     of the Native Village of Quinhagak because of increase
47
     in food costs, the village's remote location and
48
     changing environmental factors food security is a
49
     significant and growing concern. Since 2020
```

subsistence hunters have not hunted caribou from the Mulchatna Herd due to the low population numbers. The Mulchatna Caribou Herd has historically been one of the village's primary food sources thus there is an increased reliance on moose hunting to meet subsistence needs.

The proponent states that the current season dates of September 1st through 30th do not provide an adequate opportunity for Quinhagak subsistence needs. Poor weather during the month of September for the past two years has resulted in a failure to reach subsistence needs before the hunting season closes. The Native Village of Quinhagak proposes that the Kanektok and Arolik River drainages moose season be extended by 15 days to provide a more meaningful opportunity for Quinhagak residents to meet subsistence needs.

In '98 the Board adopted a proposal which modified the hunt area descriptor for the Kanektok/Goodnews area to include the portion of Unit 18 south of and including the Kanektok River drainage. The change clarifies that the hunt area included the Arolik River drainage which is located between the Kanektok and Goodnews drainages as originally intended. The minor changes -- the minor drainages north of the Kanektok drainage remained part of the lower Yukon hunt area. In 2008 the Board adopted a proposal with modification rescinding the closure and established a season in the southern portion of the Kanektok/Arolik/Goodnews hunt area, dividing the Kanektok/Arolik/Goodnews hunt areas into two hunt areas, the Goodnews River drainage and south to the Unit 18 boundaries and south of and including the Kanektok River drainage to the Goodnews River drainage.

In 2019 and 2020 a series of coordinated regulation requests were submitted to the Board and the Board of Game relating to the Kanektok and Arolik hunt areas. In 2019 the Board of Game adopted a proposal to require a registration permit for the State moose hunt in the Kanektok/Arolik hunt area rather than just a harvest ticket. Also in 2019 the Board approved a wildlife special action with modification to delegate authority to the In-Season Manager to open a may be announced moose season for the winter and spring 2020 season. And in 2020 the Board

adopted a proposal to enlarge the Kanektok/Arolik hunt areas to match the State hunt boundary, rescinded the Federal closure and established the current Federal regulations for this hunt area.

And as Council member Cleveland stated yesterday in -- just in September after your books have gone out to print, ADF&G had released an emergency order extending the RM617 moose hunt season to October 15th.

Prior to the early 2000s moose were not commonly observed in southern Unit 18. in 2002 only three moose were observed in the Kanektok and Arolik drainages. Since then the population has significantly increased to 173 in 2018 and in 2020 the minimum count was 236 moose. This represented a 36 percent growth rate between 2018 to 2020. And additionally in the emergency order released by ADF&G it states that the moose population grew another approximately 16 percent to a minimum of 275 moose in 2023. Composition surveys in 2017 yielded an estimate of 43 bulls per hundred cows and in 2017 29 calves per 100 cows. Refuge Biologists believes that these estimates are likely bias high for bulls and bias low for calves.

Between 1991 and 2019 Federal public lands in the hunt area were closed to hunting moose by all users. All legal moose harvested for the Kanektok and Arolik River drainages occurred under State regulations on State managed lands. Between 2003 and 2018 reported harvest was 61 moose. Residents of Quinhagak harvested 70 percent of the total reported harvest during that time period. Since 2019 when State registration permit RN617 was implemented and the Federal land closure was rescinded, an average of 12 moose have been reported harvested each year all by hunters of Quinhagak. In 2023 the harvestable surplus is 25 bulls.

If wildlife proposal WP24-19 is adopted the moose season in Kanektok and Arolik hunt area of Unit 18 would be extended providing Federally-qualified subsistence users with an additional 15 days to harvest moose and this would give Federally-qualified subsistence users more opportunity to fulfill subsistence needs which may be especially important given the reduction in other subsistence resources.

0214 1 Effects of adopting this proposal on 2 the moose population are unknown. On average 12 moose a year are harvested from 61 hunters with a 21 percent success rate. At these current levels the moose 5 population continues to increase. The additional 15 days of opportunity to harvest moose may result in a 6 7 substantial increase in overall harvest and harvest success rates due to better hunting conditions. This 8 9 may curtail the growth rate of the growing moose 10 population. 11 12 Adoption of this proposal would 13 misalign State and Federal regulations. This would 14 increase regulatory complexity and may cause some 15 confusion since Federal regulations require the use of a State registration permit for this hunt. However if 16 17 adopted this would provide a Federal subsistence 18 priority. 19 20 OSM's preliminary conclusion is to 21 support proposal WP24-19. WP24-19 provides an 22 additional opportunity for Federally-qualified 23 subsistence users, there are minimal conservation 24 concerns and as the moose population continues to 25 increases -- continued to increase after 2019 when 26 regulations were greatly liberalized. 27 And thank you, Mr. Chair, members of 28 29 the Council. I'd be happy to answer any questions. 30 31 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Do we have any 32 questions for Tom at this time from the Council. 33 34 MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair. 35 36 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead. 37 38 MS. ROGERS: I have a repetitive 39 question. Are folks from our area able to go hunting 40 in this hunt as well? 41 42

Thank you.

43 44

45 46

50

MR. PLANK: They can.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

47 48 MS. McDAVID: For the record that was 49 answered in the affirmative.

```
0215
 1
                     Thank you.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more questions or
 4
     comments for Tom at this time from the Council.
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing -- Richard, go
 9
     ahead.
10
11
                     MR. SLATS: Just a simple question.
12
     paragraph two under discussion Quinhagak with a Q and
13
     Kwinhagak with a K? I know that's an off the wall
14
     question, but I have to ask that.
15
16
                     MS. CLEVELAND: May I answer through
17
     the Chair?
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY:
                                     Jackie, go ahead.
20
21
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Okay. Kwinhagak with a
22
     K is the tribe -- tribal government and Quinhagak with
23
     a Q is for US what, GS maps. So -- and the postoffice.
24
25
                     (Laughter)
26
27
                     MR. SLATS: Okay.
                                        Thank you. Thank
28
     you.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY:
                                    Thank you for that
31
     clarification. Any more questions or comments for Tom
32
     at this time from the Council.
33
34
                     (No comments)
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, thank
37
     you, Tom.
38
39
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Moving on to
40
     agency comments, Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
41
42
                     MR. JONES: To the Council, Patrick
43
     Jones, Alaska Department of Fish and Game. On wildlife
44
     proposal WP24-19, the State has no position at this
45
     time. So the community of Quinhagak has requested
46
     season extensions the last three seasons. We just did
47
     one for this season because they didn't have gas in the
48
     village for a couple weeks, to October 15th. Also for
49
     your consideration the hunt directly to the north in
50
```

RM615, Zone 2 goes to October 15th. So that would align those seasons. That might reduce some confusion with some of the users.

 But things to remember and consider while you're thinking about this, this is a hunt with a very small moose population. You heard 275 animals and it's growing, but it -- this is a new population, it's just getting its good foothold. We don't have any population concerns around -- about it right now because it is growing, just something for you to consider that it is small. And then October is when moose are rutting and then their super visible and super vulnerable to hunters.

But Tom covered most of the background so I won't repeat any of that, but I am here for any questions.

MS. CLEVELAND: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.

MS. CLEVELAND: Quyana, Mr. Chair. I had a -- maybe I'm confused. In the Board of Game material that -- the proposal book that came out, didn't the State also turn in a proposal to extend our moose hunt, like the same exact proposal or was that -- or is that just the emergency action one?

(No comments)

MS. CLEVELAND: Okay. Thanks.

MR. JONES: Through the Chair. Member Cleveland's correct, there is a -- there's actually two proposals on the State side, one from I believe the community of Quinhagak and one from the State. Yeah, so those are there on both sides. So hopefully whatever happens we can keep State and Federal regulations aligned.

MS. CLEVELAND: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  CLEVELAND: Quyana. I guess my confusion was that if you don't have a position when there's the same exact proposal out.

```
0217
 1
                     Thanks.
 2
 3
                     MR. JONES: No, that's a good
 4
     observation. We don't have a position on this proposal
     at this time. We will obviously before the Board meets
 5
     in April. I put -- I guess the State put it in on the
 6
 7
     State side because we had talked about it at our
     Advisory Committee meetings and the deadline was coming
 9
     up and I -- I was having trouble getting ahold of
10
     anybody. So I wanted to make sure the proposal got in
11
     so they can talk about. I know I tried. But anyway
12
     the -- the time for that came up and I was like {\tt I}
13
     really wanted it to be in because we had talked about
14
     it so much for a while so I put it in just to make sure
15
     it was on the docket and it turned out to be
16
     repetitive. Not necessarily on my part, but I wanted
17
     it there to talk about it because we had spent so much
18
     time talking about it in the Advisory Committee so I
19
     didn't want it to slip through the cracks.
20
21
                     And so that -- that's where we're at.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Any more
24
     questions for Tom at this time from the Council.
25
26
                     (No comments)
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, thank
29
     you.
30
31
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Pat. Any
32
     Federal agency comments.
33
34
                     (No comments)
35
36
                     MS. McDAVID: Tribal comments.
37
    believe we have one from Native Village of Quinhagak.
38
     And maybe -- 24-19. We do have -- also have one from
39
40
41
                     And go ahead, Tom, while Jackie pulls
42
    hers up.
43
44
                     MR. PLANK:
                                Through the Chair. For the
45
     record Tom Plank, OSM. I have a comment from ONC. And
46
     it says the ONC subsistence community felt strongly
47
     about the conservation of the moose population in
48
     remembrance of the moose moratorium. There was
49
     additional discussion over the moose statistics and
50
```

hunting complications. They took no action on their motion on this.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.

MS. CLEVELAND: Quyana. Through the Chair. This is -- I'll just read the letter word for word from Native Village of Quinhagak.

Dear YKDelta RAC. The Native Village of Quinhagak submitted and supports proposal WP24-19 which would extend fall moose hunting season within the area surrounding Quinhagak by 15 days. Although the original proposal requested extending the moose hunting season within the Kanektok and Arolik drainages, Quinhagak has since clarified that the proposal extension would apply to the entire hunt area for the community. Moose are an important subsistence resource for members of the NDK because of increases of food costs and the village's remote location and changing environmental factors, food security is a significant and growing concerns. Mostly since 2020 subsistence hunters have not hunted caribou from the Mulchatna Herd due to low population numbers. The Mulchatna Herd was historically been -- has historically been one of the village's primary food sources thus there is an increased reliance on moose hunting to meet subsistence needs and co-incidently the moose population in the area near Quinhagak is increasing.

The current season dates of September 1 to September 30 do not provide an adequate opportunity for our Quinhagak subsistence needs. Poor weather during the month of September for the past two years has resulted in the failure to reach moose quotas before the hunting season closed. Other areas adjacent to Quinhagak such as Goodnews and Eek Rivers have moose hunts that are open for longer seasons from September 1 to October 15. Quinhagak proposes that its season be extended by 15 days to match those seasons and provide a more meaningful opportunity for Quinhagak residents to meet subsistence needs.

Previously the Federal Subsistence Board has extended Quinhagak's moose hunting season by emergency action request. And that was in 2021 we had -- we were granted a winter hunt and then in -- that was 2022, sorry. And then 2023 we got this extension. But we have asked -- it seems as though the -- someone

```
0219
     in the village it's normal to ask for either an
     extension or an emergency hunt in the past few years.
     So it was recommended by ADF&G actually to -- for us to
 4
     -- we would encourage to turn in -- submit a proposal
 5
    on this.
 6
 7
                     And so yes, all the reasons that were
 8
     said before and our own, hopefully we get this.
 9
10
                     Thanks. Sincerely, the Native Village
11
    of Quinhagak.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you,
14
    Jackie.
15
16
                     MS. McDAVID: Any more tribal comments.
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                     MS. McDAVID: And I don't believe we
    have any other RAC comments. Are there any AC comments
21
     at this time? Probably not, I don't think they've met
22
23
     yet.
24
25
                     (No comments)
26
27
                     MS. McDAVID: Seeing none, summary of
28
    written public comments.
29
30
                     MR. PLANK: For the record this is Tom
31
     Plank, OSM. There were no written comments.
32
33
                     Thank you.
34
35
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you. Would any
36
    members of the public in the room or online like to
37
     comment on WP24-19.
38
39
                     (No comments)
40
41
                     MS. McDAVID: Hearing none, I'll turn
42
    it over to you, Mr. Chair.
43
44
                     Thank you.
45
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Brooke.
46
47
    What is the wish of the Council at this time.
48
49
                     John Andrew.
50
```

```
0220
 1
                     MR. ANDREW: Motion to support proposal
 2
     24-19.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Motion on the
 5
     floor by John Andrew to support WP24-19. Do I hear a
 6
     second.
 7
 8
                     MS. ROGERS: Second.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Seconded by Alissa.
11
     Discussion.
12
13
                     (No comments)
14
15
                     MR. PETER: Question.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: The question's been
18
     called. Oh, sorry. Brooke, go ahead.
19
20
                     MS. McDAVID: Phillip's jumping the gun
21
     again. We need a little discussion on the record.....
22
23
                     (Laughter)
24
25
                     MS. McDAVID: .....as to why you would
26
     support or oppose.
27
28
                     Thank you.
29
30
                     (Laughter)
31
32
                     MS. McDAVID: Don't everybody talk at
33
     once. You'll get a break after this one.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah.
36
37
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
40
41
                     MS. ROGERS: Quyana, Mr. Chair. I'm
42
     going to abstain from this vote. My justification is I
43
     have mixed feelings about this on a biological reason
44
     and also because it's still -- it's still a population
     that's developing. And I understand that the village
45
46
     of Quinhagak might be having issues because of bad
47
     weather, but we go out hunting in bad weather too and
48
     we only have eight days to go hunting and we have to
49
     fight with a lot -- not fight, retract that, we're not
50
```

```
0221
    fighting. We are all hunting to go hunt for our share,
    our fair share in Zone 1. And I don't -- I don't -- I
    don't -- I have mixed feelings because we -- we do the
    same thing too. We have -- we go when we have to go,
 5
    when we need to go.
 6
 7
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: We still need
10
     justification on WP24-19.
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
14
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. And this is a
15
    reminder. This proposal, WP24-19 is to extend the
    moose season in -- to October 15th in the Kanektok and
16
17
    Arolik drainages in the Quinhagak hunt area if that's
18
    what it's called.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, I'll go ahead and
21
    mention. I think as we heard from Jackie, I think due
    to foul weather and gas -- no gas in that village and
22
23
    having to come and ask for a number of extensions to
     the area, I think this will make it easier for them to
24
25
    be able to do their moose hunt after September 30, give
26
     them an extra 15 days for the moose hunt.
27
28
                     Will that be good enough?
29
30
                     (No comments)
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Good enough.
33
34
                     MR. LANDLORD: Mr. Chair.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: James.
37
38
                     MR. LANDLORD: The RAC just adopted
39
    WP24-21 to include Quinhagak to Unit 18. And this
    WP24-19 adding to the two rivers.....
40
41
42
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Extending.
43
44
                     MR. LANDLORD: Extending. Okay.
45
46
                    MS. McDAVID: Mr. Chair.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Brooke, go ahead.
49
```

```
0222
 1
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you for that
 2
     question, James. And someone else might be better to
     jump in, but I think it -- it's not adding those
    drainages to the Zone 2 hunt area that we talked about
     earlier, this is a separate hunt that occurs just
 6
     locally near the community of Quinhagak. So it
 7
     wouldn't extend that hunt that's up in Zone 2, this is
     specifically for the hunt near Quinhagak.
 9
10
                     Thank you.
11
12
                     MR. LANDLORD: Okay. Just for
13
     Quinhagak. Okay.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: We've motioned,
16
     seconded and gave justification, I guess we are -- if
17
     there's no more discussion I think we could ask for the
18
     question.
19
20
                     John.
21
22
                     MR. ANDREW: Yeah.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Fred -- Phillip.
25
26
                     MR. PETER: Mr. Chairman, Quinhagak
27
     alone. My question is Quinhagak alone or that Zone 2
     consist of Kisaralik, Kwigillingok and Kwethluk,
28
29
     they're included with -- included with Quinhagak and
30
     Eek. My question would be it would -- it will include
31
     residents of Akiachak, Kwethluk, Akiak on this proposal
32
    because it's on the -- inside the Zone 2?
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Pippa, go ahead.
35
36
                     MS. KENNER: Thank you, Chairman Oney.
37
     Through the Chair to Phillip Peter.
38
39
                     MS. McDAVID: Sorry, Pippa, I didn't
40
     mean to cut you off. I just showed him the map that's
41
     on Page 133 for this proposal.
42
43
                     MR. PETER: So it's -- is this inside
44
     of the -- Mr. Chairman.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Fred -- I keep calling
47
     you Fred. Phillip, go ahead.
48
49
                     MR. PETER: On the regulatory history
```

```
0223
    if I'm correct the -- showing on the map is the --
     inside the Togiak National Wildlife Refuge?
 3
 4
                     MS. CLEVELAND: (In Native)
 5
 6
                     MR. PETER: (In Native)
 7
 8
                     MS. CLEVELAND: (In Native)
 9
10
                     MR. PARKS: (In Native)
11
12
                     MR. PETER: Okay. Now I understand.
13
    Now I understand.
14
15
                     Quyana. Thank you.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: We are on -- still on
18
    discussion mode at this time on WP24-19.
19
20
                     John Andrew.
21
22
                     MR. ANDREW: Question on the motion.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: John Andrew calls for
25
     the question on the motion. All those in favor of
26
     supporting WP24-19 signify by saying aye.
27
28
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Those opposed signify
31
    by saying nay.
32
33
                     (No opposing votes)
34
35
                     MS. ROGERS: Abstain.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Let the record show
38
     there's one abstention. Motion carries. Oh, excuse
39
    me. Two abstentions. Motion carries.
40
                     MS. McDAVID: And for the record the
41
42
     second abstention was member Cleveland.
43
44
                     Thank you.
45
46
                     MS. ROGERS: Do I need to give my
47
     justification for my abstention?
48
49
                     MS. McDAVID: As previously stated,
50
```

0224 member Rogers. Thank you. 2 3 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Let's go ahead 4 and take a 10 minute break. And then we'll come back 5 and finish up the proposals for our area. Hopefully we 6 get it done by -- and then be excused for the night. 7 8 Thank you. Ten minute break. 9 10 (Off record) 11 12 (On record) 13 14 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Next on the 15 agenda we do have WP24-22, Unit 18, establish a 16 customary and traditional use determination for 17 mainland muskox. 18 19 Pippa Kenner, OSM, Anthropology, you 20 have the floor. 21 MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair, 22 23 welcome, and members of the Council. Before I forget 24 the beginning of this analysis in your Council book is 25 on Page 142. That's Page 142. Okay. So this is 26 proposal WP24-22. My name is Pippa Kenner and I work 27 here at the Office of Subsistence Management in 28 Anchorage. 29 30 This proposal was submitted by the 31 Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta Subsistence Regional Advisory 32 Council and it's a request for the Federal Subsistence 33 Board to recognize customary and traditional uses of 34 muskox in the mainland area of Unit 18 by residents of 35 Unit 18. So the mainland area of Unit 18 refers to 36 those areas that are not on Nunivak or Nelson Island. 37 And in regulation the mainland area of Unit 18 is 38 called the remainder area. So we may be using that 39 interchangeably, but we mean the mainland area that are 40 not Nunivak Island or Nelson Island. So we're not 41 talking about those muskoxen, we're only talking about 42 muskoxen in the mainland or remainder area. 43 44 There's a companion proposal that will 45 follow, WP24-23 and it's going to request you -- the 46 Board to establish a Federal hunt for muskoxen in the 47 mainland area if this proposal is adopted by the Board. 48 So if the Council does not support this proposal we

will not move on to a hunt because you need to have a

49

recognized use, a customary and traditional use determination in order to have a hunt.

2 3 4

So the Council stated that muskoxen were reintroduced to Alaska with the intention that one day the population would grow enough that they could be hunted and provide food for subsistence. Muskoxen have migrated from Nelson Island over the past 50 years to various parts of Unit 18, the mainland area, and have been observed by local residents across various parts of the region to be increasing in numbers and expanding their range. Much of their current habitat is on Federal public lands of the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge. The proponent continues that recognizing the customary and traditional uses of muskoxen by residents of Unit 18 is the first step to allow these animals to be potentially hunted by Federally-qualified subsistence users in the region. The proponent ends by saying that residents of Unit 18 desire the opportunity to harvest muskoxen for subsistence whenever a harvestable surplus is identified and believe the additional opportunity could help alleviate food security concerns.

So at the beginning of the Federal Subsistence Management Program that brought us the Board and our Councils in 1992 the Board — the Federal Subsistence Board adopted most of the existing State customary and traditional use determinations into the Federal regulations. And since then they've been changed by — as we get proposals. At that time the State did not recognize customary and traditional uses of muskoxen in Unit 18 and as a consequence the Federal Subsistence Board adopted a determination of no subsistence priority. These are very rare in our regulations, we do not adopt negative determinations any more, but this is one of those that's still standing. And there's reasons for that and we'll talk about it in a minute.

 In 2018 this Council submitted a proposal requesting the Board to recognize the customary and traditional uses of muskoxen on Nunivak Island by residents of Nunivak Island. And the Board followed the Council's recommendation and rejected the proposal. And part of that justification was that residents of Mekoryuk relayed that the current management of muskox was working fine for local subsistence hunters and expressed concern that

establishing C&T for muskox may lead to complications down the road that could have a negative impact on the local economy and Nunivak Island residents that work as hunting guides and transporters during the State sport hunt. So the Council given that testimony rejected its own proposal and so did the Board.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

5

Now another thing to keep in mind is that on the mainland area that this proposal is concerned with there's never been an open hunting season for muskox. The State has established sport and general hunting seasons and harvest limits for muskoxen in the Nunivak Island and Nelson Island areas of Unit 18. So they've been hunting for decades on those islands, but not on the mainland, there's never been an open hunt. So this is for all the communities in Unit 18 to be able to hunt muskoxen in Unit 18 during open hunting seasons. So there's 38 wild -- widely disbursed communities and about 25,000 people in this area. And just to let you know the population has almost tripled over the past 60 years. So unlike other areas of Alaska where we're seeing fewer people, in our region we are seeing more.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

One of the things I'm going to go over because we have new Council members is what is a C&T determination. We -- the Board adopts customary and traditional use determinations to identify people who have customarily and traditionally used these resources. And a community or area's customary and traditional use is generally exemplified through eight factors. And these eight factors are in our regulations. One is a long term consistent pattern of use excluding interruptions beyond the control of the community or area. I'm on Page 146. The second factor is a pattern of use recurring in specific seasons for many years. Number 3 is a pattern of use consisting of method and means of harvest which are characterized by efficiency and economy of effort and cost conditioned by local characteristics. Number 4 is the consistent harvest and use of fish or wildlife as related to past methods and means of taking near or reasonably accessible from the community or area. Five, a means of handling, preparing, preserving and storing fish or wildlife which has been traditionally used by past generations including consideration of alteration of best past practices due to recent technological advances where appropriate. Number 6, a pattern of use which includes the handing down of knowledge of fishing

and hunting skills, values and lore from generation to generation. Number 7, a pattern of use in which the harvest is shared and distributed within a define -- definable community of persons. And the last, number 8, is a pattern of use which relates to reliance upon a wide diversity of fish and wildlife resources of the area and which provides substantial cultural, economic, social and nutritional elements to the community or area.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

5

6

7

8

So I'd like to continue to talk about these eight factors. So the Board makes customary and traditional use determinations based on a holistic application of these eight factors. In addition the Board takes into consideration reports and recommendations of any appropriate Regional Advisory Council regarding customary and traditional use of subsistence resources. The Board makes customary and traditional use determinations for the sole of purpose of recognizing the pool of users who generally exhibit some or all of the eight factors. The Board does not use such determinations for resource management or restricting harvest. If a conservation concern exists for a particular population the Board addresses that concern through the imposition of harvest limits or season restrictions rather than by limiting the customary and traditional use finding.

272829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

We have very little information about use of muskoxen by people in Unit 18 except on Nunivak Island and a little bit more on Nelson Island. And that's because the State has received proposals for customary and traditional use of muskoxen including use on those islands. And people went in and talked and collected traditional knowledge from residents of Mekoryuk. And so what I've done in this analysis is I've taken that information about how over the decades people on Nunivak Island have incorporated the harvest and use of muskoxen into their seasonal found. Their use is patterned, it's not arbitrary, it's not sport hunting, they have certain things they look for, they use the whole animal, they share the animal. And so what I'm proposing is that we can use that information to show that when people in Unit 18 mainland area who currently don't have access to muskoxen to legally hunt, once they -- once that hunting starts they too will quickly incorporate it into their seasonal round. The fact that there's no muskoxen or very few muskoxen and no open hunting season in Unit 18 remainder is

beyond the control of the people who live there and that's why we're not seeing a lot of demonstrated use.

2 3 4

People in Unit 18, they use a wide diversity of resources that has been documented many, many times through many ways, ethnographic and harvest surveys and sharing is widespread, subsistence resources are not hoarded, they are always shared with others. Sometimes we have hunters and collectors who are really good in a community, fishers who do most of the harvesting and then share it with others and this has been documented too through these harvest surveys, levels of hunting.

And so I am going to now go to Page 150 and talk about what the effects of this proposal is just to make sure we're all on the same page. So if the Board adopts this proposal it would recognize the customary and traditional uses of muskoxen in the mainland area of Unit 18 by the residents of Unit 18. Adopting this C&T -- this customary and traditional use determination would have no immediate affect on people's ability to harvest muskoxen until a season and harvest limit were established which is the next proposal if you choose to support this proposal. If this proposal is adopted then the Board will consider the next proposal, WP24-23, which is the proposal for a season and a harvest limit. If the proposal is not adopted there will be no effect on subsistence users and the Board cannot consider proposal WP24-23 to establish a muskox hunt in the Unit 18 mainland area.

The OSM preliminary conclusion for all the reasons we've just discussed is to support the proposal and I'm available to answer any questions that you may have throughout the rest of your discussion and deliberation.

Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Pippa. Do we have any questions from the Council for Pippa at this time.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none,

48 thanks....

0229 1 MR. LANDLORD: Mr. Chair. 2 3 CHAIRMAN ONEY: James, go ahead. 4 5 MR. LANDLORD: Yes, thank you. Seems 6 like if I remember correctly I seem to recollect their 7 ask before the -- they wanted to hunt muskox here on the mainland. And someone answered or suggested that 9 maybe they had to go through customary and traditional 10 knowledge process first. And this proposal is 11 requesting that, 22. And now the analysis say can't do it that way, right? 12 13 14 MS. KENNER: Thank you for the 15 question. Through the Chair, Mr. Landlord. So this 16 analysis is looking at what are the customary and 17 traditional uses of muskoxen in the mainland area. In 18 our conclusion, the OSM preliminary conclusion for you 19 to consider is that you should support it. There are 20 customary and traditional uses when muskox -- when 21 there is an open season that people are able to 22 participate in, they will quickly integrate these 23 animals into their seasonal round of subsistence 24 harvesting. So our preliminary conclusion for you to 25 consider is to support the proposal. The next step 26 then will be to get a season and harvest limit. 27 28 MR. LANDLORD: Okay. Thank you. 29 30 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more questions or 31 comments for Pippa at this time on WP24-22. 32 MR. ANDREW: Mr. Chair. 33 34 35 CHAIRMAN ONEY: John, go ahead. John 36 Andrew. 37 38 MR. ANDREW: Mr. Chairman, quyana. I 39 have mixed feelings on this because for years because 40 they introduced them down to Nunivak, some of them 41 migrated up to Nelson Island. All these years nobody 42 allowed the subsistence hunt down on Nunivak and Nelson 43 Island and they open it up to sport hunters, but never 44 to the rest of the villages. Like you said 30 of them 45 had -- 38 of them have no C&T history. And if they do open it it'll open up to well over 20,000 hunters out 46 47 this way. There's a good chance of literally wiping 48 them out. They -- they're not like moose and caribou

that always run away, they wait for you to -- when

49

0230 1 they're in defensive mode. 2 3 So I'm pretty uncomfortable with this 4 one, both of these proposals because like I said it 5 doesn't feel right to me. And a lot of us -- we know 6 they're out there, a lot of us were never allowed to 7 harvest any of them since they were introduced to that 8 area, especially down Mekoryuk or Nelson Island. 9 10 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 12 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, John. 13 more questions or comments for Pippa at this time. 14 15 MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair. 16 17 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead. 18 19 MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 20 Through the Chair. Thank you, Pippa, appreciate it. did have a question. So this proposal is allowing to 21 22 identify customary and traditional use of muskox being 23 hunted on the mainland, correct? 24 25 (No audible response) 26 27 MS. ROGERS: Okay. And then the other 28 thing is this would only be on Federal land? 29 30 (No audible response) 31 32 MS. ROGERS: And this would only be for 33 Federally-qualified users, right? 34 35 MS. KENNER: Thank you for the 36 question. This is correct. 37 38 MS. ROGERS: And it's not going to be 39 open to State hunting like Nunivak or Nelson? 40 MS. KENNER: Thank you for the 41 42 question. Through the Chair. This is Pippa Kenner for 43 -- with OSM for the record. Our regulations never 44 apply to non-subsistence uses -- users. They only 45 apply to subsistence users. Now we can close Federal 46 public lands to the harvest of say moose by non-47 subsistence users, people who aren't in the C&T, but in 48 general our regulations only apply to us. So if the 49 State doesn't have an open season then people outside

of the people in the customary and traditional use determination cannot hunt under our regulations. They can only hunt under State regulations. It's complicated.

MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.

8 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.

 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. For a follow-up. So I can hear what John's saying in regards to it being -- becoming something like Nunivak and Nelson Island in which we're not -- that's -- we don't want that. But we want -- that origination of this proposal was to have only those with Federally-qualified subsistence users with C&T allowed to hunt muskox on the mainland because a lot of them can't travel to Nunivak or Nelson Island for muskox.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MS. KENNER: Yeah. So this is Pippa Kenner with OSM. So just to add to that. This would only be open to residents of Unit 18. I think one of the things that member Andrew was referring to is how many hunters are in Unit 18. So what he's asking is how would we distribute permits, I mean, what would there be, like five for 20,000 people. And I can't answer that, that's in the next proposal. If anybody wants to help me, if the Refuge maybe has an idea of how that opportunity would be distributed, it would be — maybe this would — we would discuss it now rather than in the next proposal.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Richard, go ahead.

MR. SLATS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Being from Chevak and it was also mentioned -- let's see, 145 community characteristics and it said that Yup'ik villages or Cup'ig or Cup'ik on Nunivak Island at Hooper Bay and Chevak. You know, as I've grown -- as I was growing up throughout all these years my community members have been cited for shooting (in Native) because it was -- you know, they shot and it -- the -- you know, when they were not allowed to be shot. And it's also that Christopher is right that I believe that muskox are not migrating into the mainland because of the conditions of the ice and things of that nature. But it's also that I wanted to point out that it was

back in the '70s when those -- early '70s that the answer -- that muskox were being transported to Bethel when I was a kid, high school. And they were brought to the island. But then I don't know if that would qualify as a traditional or cultural, but I just want to point out to everyone here that the sightings of muskox are rare and isolated. That's why I was bringing up Christopher's point about I think we would have been seeing a lot more if they were migrating into the mainland.

So I just wanted to point that out.

13 Thank you. 14

15 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more questions or 16 comments for Pippa at this time.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, thank

21 you, Pippa.

Brooke.

 MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Moving on to agency comments. Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Council. This is Patrick Jones, Alaska Department of Fish and Game. So I guess we had a lot of agency comments in the beginning because I -- this is an important to -- I guess both proposals are important for the State, that's why I put that presentation together for you guys to talk about it. There was a lot to go over. But I'll just run over the position I guess of the Department and then maybe we can take questions if anybody has any.

So like I said before the Department is opposed to this proposal. Fish and Game recommends that the Federal Subsistence Board and this Council thoroughly and carefully review the data on the harvest and use patterns of muskox specific to Unit 18, the mainland, in relation to the relevancy to the eight criteria that this Council's asked to look at. And I guess I have an opposing — the State has opposing views from Pippa's version of how the eight criteria should apply to these animals. And in this population

it's not a reintroduction down here. In the North Slope it was a reintroduction where they existed and they had subsistence findings up there. Down here in our region it's just an introduction, they weren't here historically. They were used historically and this population on the mainland hasn't been hunted before so there's no hunt history and there's no use pattern.

7 8 9

5

6

And I guess I'll leave it there.

10 11

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any questions for Patrick at this time from the Council.

12 13 14

Alissa, go ahead.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Have you guys done any population estimate, aerial surveys to find out actually how much moose we have or is that -- is this -- allowing this proposal to go through will work with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to find an accurate or close to accurate of how much muskox we actually on the mainland? I wasn't here.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. JONES: So I guess through the Council, Alissa. I gave a presentation earlier and I talked about all the surveys we have done. So we've done surveys on the mainland twice. I don't know, maybe somebody has a handout they can share with you with a map on it of my talk earlier today, if not I have one you can -- you can look at. Then there if you -- there's a slide -- let me see. There's a slide towards the back that has a map of Unit 18 and it's got a bunch of red lines on it so you can see where I have done a survey. So the long and the short of it is we intensely survey the islands, we're trying to count every animal that is on the island. We do a direct census. The map, Alissa, looks like this one. And so -and so on the mainland we've tried to look at the area twice now. The area that we've looked at is basically all of Unit 18 north of the Kuskokwim, but south of the Yukon so that big chunk in the middle of the Unit. We know there are muskox north of the Yukon by Mountain Village and up there by Kotlik on the east on the Andreafsky. We know there's some -- there have been sightings north of Russian Mission on those Hills and we know there are sightings south of the Kuskokwim towards Platinum, Goodnews and even into Unit 17. There's starting to be a fair number of them upriver in Unit 19 now. The last count was I believe 74 up there

by the Portage Mountains that kind of borders 21E and 19A. We've seen them as far upriver as McGrath and Holitna and on the Yukon we've seen them up there by Holy Cross. So their range is definitely expanding and it's mostly bulls that go off and explore new areas.

But the key point -- one of the key takeaways I tried to bring out this morning in my talk is there's not very many, we've counted 174 and then if you break that down a little further that's 29 adult bulls and 53 adult cows. So there's just not very many adults yet, it's mostly orientated towards young animals which is encouraging to me that that population has reproduction and growth in it, it has the potential to grow if we just give them more time. They would get -- we want to start a hunt when we hit 300 animals. That's what the State is looking for. And the State can have hunts with a negative C&T. We have a negative C&T for Nunivak and Nelson Island for example and we've been hunting Nunivak since 1975 and we've been hunting Nelson since 1981. So a C&T finding -- a positive finding is not necessary for a hunt on the State side. And there is a regulation -- there is a proposal, a sister proposal, I believe put in by the same person on the State side to -- asking for a hunt on the State side as well.

So just so everybody is aware.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more questions or comments for Patrick at this time.

MS. CLEVELAND: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.

MS. CLEVELAND: Quyana, Mr. Chair. Can we go back to the eight criteria now that it's laid out here and can you tell us which criteria that you think is not met?

Thanks.

MR. JONES: I guess we're using the definition of use in -- differently. Pippa mentioned that if this animal is used by somebody somewhere it qualifies for everybody everywhere. The State would

```
0235
     disagree that we go by population by population.
 2
 3
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Which number was that
 4
     then? That's okay.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, did that answer
 7
    your question?
 8
 9
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Yes. Quyana.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you. Any
12
    more questions for Patrick at this time.
13
14
                     MS. EVAN: I have a comment.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Norma.
17
18
                     MS. EVAN: In Marshall area region we
19
     did not see any muskox as we did the previous year,
20
     2022 in the spring. We did not see any this year,
21
     nobody reported. But when they're seen they like to
     tell everybody.
22
23
24
                     That's my comment. Thank you, Mr.
25
     Chair.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, thank
28
     you. Thank you. And go ahead, Brooke.
29
30
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Continuing with
31
     agency comments. Any Federal agency comments.
32
33
                     (No comments)
34
35
                     MS. McDAVID: Are there any tribal
36
     comments on WP24-22.
37
38
                     MS. KENNER: Thank you, Brooke. Yes,
39
     there are and I'll find them in a minute. This is
     Pippa Kenner with OSM for the record. Through the
40
41
     Chair. I'm going to present the point of view of the
42
     Orutsararmiut Native Council in Bethel. And their
43
     Subsistence Committee met and would like to see a
44
    muskox hunt, but they also want to support the muskox
    population sustainability. These proposals will allow
45
46
    the language to be established in the regulations. ONC
47
    wanted to be a part of the hunting regulation
48
     development when the time comes. And that was to
49
     support the proposal.
50
```

```
0236
 1
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Pippa. Any
 2
    other tribal comments.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Moving on, RAC
 7
     comments or other RAC Regional Advisory Councils or
     Fish and Game Advisory Committee comments.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     MS. McDAVID: Moving on, summary of
13
    written public comments.
14
15
                     (No comments)
16
17
                     MS. McDAVID: We have not received any.
18
    Would any members of the public like to testify on
19
    WP24-22 to establish C&T for muskox on mainland Unit
20
     18.
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                     MS. McDAVID: Hearing none, Mr. Chair,
25
    I'll turn it back over to you for Council
26
    recommendations.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Brooke.
29
    What is the wish of the Council on WP22-24 -- 24-22.
30
31
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
34
35
                     MS. ROGERS: I think if -- excuse me,
36
     sorry. Would we able to take both W23 and 24 up at the
37
     same time?
38
39
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Brooke, go ahead.
42
43
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
44
     Through the Chair, member Rogers. Unfortunately no,
    because we didn't start out that way. We've taken one
45
     of them up so we'll have to continue and vote on this
46
47
     one and then we can move into the next one.
48
49
                     And as a reminder this one is for the
```

```
0237
    C&T, it doesn't actually deal with putting a hunt, it's
     just recognizing the customary and traditional use.
    And then if you support this one we can move into the
     next one. The caveat is you do -- you won't take up
     the next one unless you do support this one.
 5
 6
 7
                     Thank you.
 8
 9
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
12
13
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
14
     Through the Chair. I guess that brings me to my second
15
     question. In order to find customary and traditional
     use it doesn't mean that we have to make a hunt, right,
16
17
     it's just find -- this proposal is just to find a
18
     customary and traditional use of muskox on the
19
    mainland. I'm getting hot.
20
21
                     MS. McDAVID: Mr. Chair.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead. Who had
24
     their hand on.
25
26
                     MS. McDAVID: Oh, I just wanted to
27
     respond to member Rogers.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Oh, sorry.
30
31
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Sorry. That --
32
     that's correct, Alissa. This does not establish a
33
     hunt, it's just for the customary and traditional use.
34
35
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you.
36
37
                     MS. McDAVID: Yeah.
38
39
                     MS. ROGERS: Motion to support.
40
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. There's a motion
41
42
     on the floor by Alissa to support WP24-22. Do I hear a
43
     second.
44
45
                     MR. LANDLORD: Second.
46
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Second by James
47
48
     Landlord. Discussion.
49
```

```
0238
 1
                     MR. ANDREW: Mr. Chair.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: John Andrew.
 4
 5
                     MR. ANDREW: On discussion. None of
 6
    the 38 villages never have met the eight required
 7
     criteria in establishing C&T.
 9
                     (In Native) quyana.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more discussion on
12
    WP24-22.
13
14
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
17
18
                     MS. ROGERS: Since we currently don't
19
    have any information of customary and traditional use
20
     in our current -- where would it go, in our law book or
21
    would it be just in cust -- in general to find a C&T?
22
     Sorry.
23
24
                     MS. McDAVID: In regulation.
25
26
                     MS. ROGERS: Can I ask Pippa.
27
28
                     MS. KENNER: This is Pippa Kenner with
29
    OSM for the record. Through the Chair. Could you
30
    repeat your question.
31
32
                     MS. ROGERS: Sorry, Mr. Chair. Through
33
    the Chair.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Alissa.
36
37
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you. My question
38
     was if this does get passed where will the information
39
    be stored?
40
41
                     MS. KENNER: Got it, yes. Thank you.
42
     So it will be in our regulations. It's under customary
43
     and traditional use determination where there's a long
44
     list of area, species and who's eligible to hunt.
45
46
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
47
    This -- I guess for my discussion item in support of
48
    this proposal is to create the customary and
49
     traditional information to have it established in the
```

0239 books. So when we do get to a threshold of being able to create a hunt later down the road, we've already taken that first step. And then if the population gets more in abundance to where it looks like we can get a hunt then we wouldn't have to start all over again. 6 7 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 9 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you. Any more 10 discussion. 11 12 MR. LANDLORD: Mr. Chair. 13 14 CHAIRMAN ONEY: James, go ahead. 15 16 MR. LANDLORD: Yes, it's just a process 17 we have to follow through. There's never been a C&T in 18 our region or the mainland so in the future if you want 19 to establish -- want a hunt you can bring our books, 20 all we'll have to do is set a hunting time. 21 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more discussion on 22 23 WP24-22. 24 25 (No comments) 26 27 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, I think I'd 28 follow Alissa's recommendation that we adopt this C&T 29 just so we have it on our books in hope of having a 30 muskox hunt for the future. And I think that's where 31 ADF&G will be able to at least come to us and see what 32 the population is doing from time to time. So as long 33 as we have it in our books that'll give us an 34 opportunity at some point in time to open up a moose 35 hunt. I mean, not a moose hunt, but muskox hunt. 36 37 Richard, go ahead. 38 39 MR. SLATS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 40 thought that -- maybe I picked up the wrong -- picked 41 something up wrong, but WP24-22 C&T determination for 42 main -- mainland muskox. If we determine that 43 customary and traditional use has been being practiced 44 while on those eight factors, this would -- if we move that would that open up -- establish a hunt for 45 46 mainland muskox?

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Pippa, go ahead.

47 48

MR. SLATS: I thought that was my understanding that those -- these two go hand in hand.

2 3 4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. KENNER: Thank you for the question. And this -- for the record this is Pippa Kenner with OSM. Through the Chair to member Slats. No, it would not. There would -- it would have virtually no effect. However when you receive a proposal that requests a season and a harvest limit you would be able to adopt it or to modify it and adopt it or support it. Whereas if you don't have a customary and traditional use determination in our regulations, in Federal regulations, the Board cannot adopt a hunt, a season or harvest limit. There are exceptions. So beginning of the program the Alaska Department of Fish and Game didn't have customary and traditional use determinations for everything out there. And generally if they didn't have one it came into our regulations that all rural residents are eligible. So we still have people hunting seasons and harvest limits that don't have a customary and traditional use determination, instead all rural residents of the State are eligible. So I just wanted to be accurate.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

The reason why there is a no Federal subsistence priority on muskox in Unit 18 is because the Federal program thought this was -- we were going to be temporary and the State was going to regain the authority to manage subsistence on Federal public lands. And they didn't want to interfere with the State system or adopt regulations that were going to make it difficult for them. For instance there was this thriving sport industry that was growing. And so instead we adopted it with no Federal subsistence priority. So you're in an unusual situation. Usually it would have been open to all Federally-qualified users, instead it came over no subsistence. And but you have to adopt a customary and traditional use determination before you can establish a hunt season or harvest limit.

I probably gave you more information than you wanted, but I wanted to tell you -- explain to you how we got here. And it's not our usual situation.

44 45 46

MR. SLATS: Thank you.

47

48 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more discussion on 49 WP24-22.

```
0241
 1
                     MR. SLATS: So my question, Mr.
 2
    Chair....
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Richard, go ahead.
 5
 6
                     MR. SLATS: ....this is Richard Slats.
 7
     I -- to establish a customary and traditional use and
     that is it -- it's not to move on to the next and then
 9
     establish a hunt. Am I correct to say that?
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                     MR. SLATS: Okay. Thank you.
14
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more discussion on
15
16
    WP24-22.
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, is there --
21
     is there a question.
22
23
                     MS. ROGERS: Question.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Question's been
26
    called. I know before one of the members asked for a
27
     roll call vote. So I'll go ahead and ask for a roll
28
     call vote at this time.
29
30
                     Mr. Secretary. Those that support
31
     WP24-22 signify by saying aye, those opposed signify by
32
     saying nay.
33
34
                     MR. SLATS: Roll call vote?
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Roll call vote.
37
38
                     MR. SLATS: Okay. This is a roll call
39
    vote for WP24-22.
40
41
                     Henry Parks, how do you vote.
42
43
                     MR. PARKS: Aye.
44
45
                     MR. SLATS: Norma T. Evan.
46
47
                     MS. EVAN: Yes.
48
49
                     MR. SLATS: John W. Andrew.
50
```

```
0242
                     MR. ANDREW: Nay.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. SLATS: Walter A. Morgan, Sr.
 4
 5
                     MR. MORGAN: Yes.
 6
 7
                     MR. SLATS: Jacqueline K. Cleveland.
 8
 9
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Yes.
10
11
                     MR. SLATS: James C. Landlord.
12
13
                     MR. LANDLORD: Yes.
14
15
                     MR. SLATS: Alissa Nadine Rogers.
16
17
                     MS. ROGERS: Yes.
18
19
                     MR. SLATS: Phillip K. Peter, Sr.
20
                     MR. PETER: Yes.
21
22
23
                     MR. SLATS: Wassilly B. Alexie.
24
25
                     MR. ALEXIE: Yes.
26
27
                     MR. SLATS: Raymond J. Oney.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Aye.
30
31
                     MR. SLATS: Myron P. Naneng, Sr.
32
33
                     (No comments)
34
35
                     MR. SLATS: Myron P. Naneng, Sr.
36
37
                     (No comments)
38
                     MR. SLATS: Robert J. Hoffman.
39
40
41
                    (No comments)
42
43
                     MR. SLATS: Robert J. Hoffman.
44
45
                     MR. SLATS: Richard B. Slats votes no.
46
     Mr. Chairman, we have nine ayes, two nos, two absent.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Mr.
49
     Secretary. Thank you, Secretary Richard. The motion
```

0243 1 carries. 2 3 Thank you. Moving on to WP24-23. Unit 4 18, establish a hunt for mainland muskox. 5 6 Tom Plank, OSM. Go ahead. Go ahead, 7 Tom. 8 9 MR. PLANK: Thank you, Mr. Chair, 10 members of the Council. Again for the record my name's 11 Tom Plank, Wildlife Biologist with the Office of

Tom Plank, Wildlife Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management and I'll be presenting a summary of the analysis for wildlife proposal WP24-23. And this starts on Page 154 in your books.

14 this starts on Page 154 in your books.
15

WP24-23 was submitted by this Council requests establishing a Federal subsistence hunt for muskox in the mainland portion of Unit 18. And as Pippa pointed out, the mainland portion of Unit 18 refers to the areas not on Nunivak or Nelson Islands. In regulation the mainland area of Unit 18 is called the remainder area of Unit 18.

There is a desire to see mainland muskox hunting opportunities for Federally-qualified subsistence users residing in Unit 18. Muskoxen have migrated from the Nelson Island Herds over the past 50 years to previous parts -- to various parts of mainland Unit 18. Muskoxen have been observed by local residents across various parts of the region to be increasing in numbers and expanding their range. Muskoxen were reintroduced to Alaska with the intention that one day the population would grow enough that they could be hunted and provide food for subsistence uses. It was suggested that harvest limits and seasons be based on the harvestable surplus of the various mainland herd populations. Updated population assessments may need to be completed to determine these amounts. Even if the harvestable surplus numbers are low any new subsistence hunt opportunity could help alleviate ongoing food security concerns in the region.

A muskox hunt has never occurred in Unit 18 outside of Nunivak Island or Nelson Island under Federal or State regulations. Muskoxen were

To ensure that any permits are made easily accessible

herds and like Pippa pointed out this is a companion

and available to hunters in rural communities near the

49 50

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

proposal to WP24-22.

1 extirpated in Alaska by the late 1800s and perhaps hundreds of years earlier on the Seward Peninsula. And 2 in the 1930s ADF&G introduced the first group of muskoxen to Nunivak Island in the 19 -- then in the 5 1960s 31 muskoxen were moved from Nunivak Island to Nelson Island. One of the struggles with populations 6 7 on Nelson Island is that the muskoxen have been documented moving back and forth between the island and 9 the mainland. These movements are believed to be 10 temporary. Muskoxen have been tracked on the mainland 11 of Unit 18 for up to two years before they returned to 12 Nelson Island and in 2010 a minimum count of a hundred 13 muskoxen in Unit 18 remainder and then in 2015 that 14 number was up to 200.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Muskoxen live in small groups and mature bulls are important for predator defense, foraging and group cohesion in addition to breeding. For example mature bulls may protect groups of females with calves against predators effectively increasing calf survival and recruitment. Therefore muskoxen may be more sensitive to selective harvest of mature males than other species. No legal muskox harvest has ever occurred in Unit 18 outside of Nunivak or Nelson Island under Federal or State regulations. We did come up with one alternative which is considering a delegation of authority to manage the muskox hunt to the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge Manager and this would provide the most flexibility and the greatest subsistence hunting opportunity.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

The proposal as submitted did not specify seasons, harvest limits or permit types and currently there is limited knowledge regarding this population of muskoxen. As more information is gathered flexibility will be necessary to address potential conservation concerns while optimizing subsistence hunting opportunities. If this proposal is adopted a Federal muskox hunt will be established for the mainland portion of Unit 18. This will provide greater subsistence opportunity to residents of Unit 18 and could help alleviate food insecurities given the concerns with other species in the region. Effects on the muskox population are unknown as little biological and harvest information is currently available. the lack of data it is unknown if a hunt is sustainable at this time. It should not be assumed that mainland Unit 18 muskox hunting will occur in the near future. Strategies will need to be developed to manage the

```
0245
 1
    Nelson Island population different from the Unit 18
    mainland population with the understanding that muskox
 2
    move on and off Nelson Island.
 4
 5
                     OSM's preliminary conclusion is support
 6
    proposal WP24-23 with the modification to establish a
 7
    may be announced season and delegate authority to the
     Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge Manager to manage
 9
     the hunt via delegation of authority letter.
10
11
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the
12
              I'd be happy to address any questions.
     Council.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Tom.
15
     have any questions for Tom at this time from the
16
     Council.
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, thank
21
     you. Brooke.
22
23
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
24
     Chair. We'll move on to agency comments. Alaska
25
     Department of Fish and Game.
26
27
                     MR. JONES: Patrick Jones, Alaska
28
     Department of Fish and Game. As I stated earlier in
29
     the presentation we are opposed to this proposal, it's
30
     not time yet. We want to see a population of 300
31
     animals before we consider a hunt. That's what we've
32
     done everywhere else we've had muskox. The last count
    was in 2019 and we found 174 animals. It -- it's just
33
34
    too early and it's going to be a while, they just don't
35
    have a fast population growth. And so it's not time to
36
    put a hunt on the books. We have lots of time to see
37
    what happens, hopefully we can get some research money
38
     to figure out where they're going and how many we have
39
     and their movement patterns.
40
41
                     So it's just too early in the State's
42
     opinion.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any questions for
45
     Patrick at this time.
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
```

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, thank

49

```
0246
 1
    vou. Brooke.
 2
 3
                     MS. McDAVID: Any Federal agency
 4
    comments.
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     MS. McDAVID: Any tribal comments.
 9
10
                     MR. PLANK: Through the Chair and for
11
     the record Tom Plank with the Office of Subsistence
12
    Management. And I will repeat the same comment that
13
     Pippa had done for 24-22. And that's from the ONC.
14
     The ONC Subsistence Committee would like to see a
15
    muskox hunt, but they are -- they also want to support
16
     a muskox population sustainability. These proposals
17
     will allow the language to be established in a
18
     regulation. ONC wanted to be part of the hunting
19
     regulation development when the time comes. And they
20
     supported it.
21
22
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you. Any other
23
     tribal comments at this time.
24
25
                     (No comments)
26
27
                     MS. McDAVID: Hearing none, moving on,
28
     Advisory Group comments, Regional Advisory Councils or
29
     Fish and Game Advisory Committees.
30
31
                     (No comments)
32
33
                     MS. McDAVID: Were there any written
34
    public comments.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. No written public
39
     comments. Would any members of the public like to
40
     testify on WP24-23.
41
42
                     (No comments)
43
44
                     MS. McDAVID: No one's jumping up so
     I'll turn it back over to you, Mr. Chair, for Council
45
46
     recommendation.
47
48
                     Thank you.
49
```

```
0247
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Brooke.
 2
    What is the wish of the -- recommendation of the
    Council at this time to WP24-23.
 4
 5
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair, I have a
 6
    question.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
 9
10
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11
     Through the Chair. Brooke, if you could help me.
12
    After discussion in the last proposal can we just go
13
     directly to say that we oppose this and -- or do we
14
    have to go through make the motion to support and then
15
     turn it down?
16
17
                     Thank you.
18
19
                     MS. McDAVID: So the motion does need
20
     to be in the affirmative. The motion would be to
21
     support the proposal and then if you were opposed you
22
     could vote nay against.
23
24
                     Thank you.
25
26
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Mr. Chair, I'd
27
     like to put a motion to support.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Motion on the
30
    floor by Alissa to support WP24-23. Is there a second.
31
32
                     MR. PARKS: I second.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Second by Henry Parks.
35
    Discussion.
36
37
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
40
41
                     MS. ROGERS: After all the agency
42
    reports and data has been reviewed, due to the ADF&G
43
    population count in 2019 there is not enough -- there
44
     is -- excuse me, let me retract. There is not a large
     enough population to sustain a hunt in Unit 18
45
46
     remainder at this time.
47
48
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
49
```

0248	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you. Any more discussion.
3 4	(No comments)
5 6	MS. ROGERS: Question.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN ONEY: The question's been called. I'll go ahead and ask for a roll call vote on this one too, on WP24-23. An aye vote would be in support of 24-23 with OSM's modification and a nay vote would not adopt WP24-23.
14	Mr. Secretary, can you do a roll call.
15 16 17 18	$$\operatorname{MR.}$ SLATS: This is a roll call vote for item WP24-23.
19	Henry Parks.
20 21	MR. PARKS: Aye.
22 23	MR. SLATS: Norma T. Evan.
24 25	MS. EVAN: Nay.
26 27	MR. SLATS: John W. Andrew.
28 29	MR. ANDREW: Nay.
30 31	MR. SLATS: Walter A. Morgan, Sr.
32 33	MR. MORGAN: Yes.
34 35	MR. SLATS: Jacqueline K. Cleveland.
36 37	MS. CLEVELAND: Abstained.
38	
39 40	MR. SLATS: Abstain.
41 42	MS. CLEVELAND: Abstain.
43 44	MR. SLATS: Okay. James C. Landlord.
45 46	MR. LANDLORD: Yes.
47 48	MR. SLATS: Alissa Nadine Rogers.
49 50	MS. ROGERS: No.

```
0249
 1
                     MR. SLATS: Phillip K. Peter, Sr.
 2
 3
                     MR. PETER:
                                 No.
 4
 5
                     MR. SLATS: Wassilly B. Alexie.
 6
 7
                     MR. ALEXIE: Yes.
 8
 9
                     MR. SLATS: Raymond J. Oney.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Nay.
12
13
                     MR. SLATS: Myron P. Naneng, Sr.
14
15
                     (No comments)
16
17
                     MR. SLATS: Myron P. Naneng.
18
19
                     (No comments)
20
21
                     MR. SLATS: Robert J. Hoffman.
22
23
                     (No comments)
24
25
                     MR. SLATS: Robert J. Hoffman.
26
27
                     (No comments)
28
29
                     MR. SLATS: Richard B. Slats votes nay.
30
    Mr. Chairman, we have four ayes, six nays, one
31
     abstention and two absent.
32
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
33
34
     Chairman. Motion fails.
35
36
                     Moving on to -- we -- do we have
37
     crossover and Statewide proposals. It is for Western
     Interior. This would be WCR24-43, Unit 19A remainder
38
39
     closed to moose hunting except by residents of
     Tuluksak, Lower Kalskag, Upper Kalskag, Aniak,
40
41
     Chuathbaluk and Crooked Creek.
42
43
                     Tom Plank, OSM Wildlife. Tom.
44
45
                     MR. PLANK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Did
46
     you want me to start right into this or would you like
47
     a blurb about why it's being brought before you before
48
     I actually get into it.
49
```

```
0250
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead.
 2
 3
                     MS. McDAVID: Mr. Chair, just to
 4
     clarify. I think Tom is just wanting to make sure if
     you guys definitely want to take it up or if you'd like
     to hear just a little snapshot about what it's about
 6
 7
     and why it's coming before you and then you could
     decide if you want to take it up or not.
 9
10
                     MS. ROGERS: Yes.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, take it up.
13
14
                     MS. ROGERS: Listen -- listen to --
15
     listen to -- before....
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay.
18
19
                     MS. ROGERS: .....you decide.
20
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. You got the
21
22
     floor. Go ahead, Tom.
23
24
                     MR. PLANK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This
25
     one here is WC -- wildlife closure review WC24-43. And
     it's on Page 166 of your meeting book. And the reason
26
27
     that this was a crossover for your Council is because
28
    there are a -- a few folks in your area that are --
29
    have customary and traditional use which you can see
30
    that list on Page 168. I do believe it is Tuluksak is
31
     the one village I noticed right off the bat that it
32
     would be a part of this. The others look like they're
33
     in Unit 19. So -- oh, and Lower Kalskag and Kalskag.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead. Do you guys
36
     wish to take it up, Council.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Walter, it's in your
41
     area, any comment, do you wish to take it up.
42
43
                     MR. MORGAN: Well, I think we should.
44
     It's a low population up there, it wouldn't make a
45
     impact. My personal opinion.
46
47
                     Thank you.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Thank you,
```

Walter. We could go ahead and -- go ahead, Tom, move on.

2 3 4

MR. PLANK: Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. And again for the record my name is Tom Plank, Wildlife Biologist, Office of Subsistence Management. And wildlife closure review WCR24-43 pertains to the closure of moose hunting in Unit 19A remainder to everyone except residents of six local communities. And Unit 19A remainder is depicted in Map 1 on Page 168 and includes the western portion of Unit 19A.

Unit 19A remainder was closed under Federal regulations in 2007 because of conservation concerns including low productivity, low bull/cow ratios and high hunting pressures. A Section .804 analysis determined residents of Tuluksak, Lower Kalskag, Kalskag, Aniak, Chuathbaluk and Crooked Creek to be the most dependent on the Unit 19A remainder moose population. Authority was delegated to the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge Manager to annually establish harvest quotas and number of permits. The closure for the Lime Village management area was eliminated in 2020 and in 2022 the Board of Game adopted proposal 171 dividing Unit 19A into two subunits, 19A and 19E. And you can see that map on Page 171.

Unit 19A remainder primarily falls in the Aniak survey area. ADF&G surveys this area opportunistically. While the moose population in Aniak survey area appears relatively stable between 2006 and 2010, in 2017 it increased significantly to 4,100 moose. Density estimates for Aniak survey area in 2017 were well above management objective and the highest ever recorded. ADF&G also surveyed the entire western portion of Unit 19A for the first time in 2017 and density estimates for the larger area were just below management objectives. In 2020 the western portion of Unit 19A increased to 5,200 moose with a density of .9 moose per square mile. While bull/cow ratios are within management objectives they're on the lower end in 2016 and 2017 averaging 21 bulls per 100 cows. Calf/cow ratios have met or exceeded management objectives since 2011. Predation likely influences moose abundance in Unit 19 and may be limiting population growth.

0252 1 In 2019 ADF&G estimated that the harvestable surplus for Unit 19A remainder at 160 to 165 moose per year. And total reported harvest is roughly 150 moose per year. However low bull/cow 5 ratios from 2016 through 2018 suggest few surplus bulls 6 are available for harvest. 7 8 OSM's preliminary conclusion is to maintain the status quo in Unit 19A. No change in the 9 10 closure in Unit -- western Unit 19A is recommended due 11 to bull/cow ratios remaining on the lower end of 12 objectives and because reported harvest approximates 13 the harvestable surplus. Additional density estimates 14 are below management objectives and the Yukon Delta 15 Refuge Manager has delegated authority to adjust in-16 season harvest parameters as needed. 17 18 Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the 19 Council. I'm happy to field any questions. 20 21 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Do we have any 22 questions for Tom at this time on WCR24-43. 23 24 MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair. 25 26 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead. 27 28 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Is this came 29 about because there's a biological reason that the 30 population might be reducing? 31 32 MR. PLANK: Through the Chair, member 33 Nadine Rogers. Are you asking why this closure review 34 is coming up or about..... 35 36 (No audible response) 37 38 MR. PLANK: Okay. The closure review 39 comes up because all of our closures are reviewed every 40 four years and this happened to be the cycle where this 41 closure is actually being reviewed. And in four years 42 from this season you'll see it reviewed it again. That 43 way we make sure that none of our closures remain 44 closed longer than they need to. 45 46 MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 47

comments for Tom at this time from the Council.

CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more questions or

49 50

```
0253
 1
                     James, go ahead.
 2
 3
                     MR. LANDLORD: This proposal would be
 4
     like WCR24-38, continue to be closed, right?
 5
 6
                     MR. PLANK: Through the Chair, Council
 7
    member Landlord, correct. This one -- right now it
     would stay closed unless you -- it is decided otherwise
 9
    by you all's recommendation to the Board.
10
11
                     MR. LANDLORD: Okay. Thank you.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Any more questions or
14
    comments for Tom from the Council at this time.
15
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, thank
19
    you. Brooke.
20
21
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22
    Moving into agency comments, Alaska Department of Fish
23
     and Game.
24
25
                     MR. JONES: I don't know if anybody
26
    else from the Department's on, that's not my area to
27
    manage so I can't -- I'm not going to comment on it.
28
    don't know if Mark Burch or anybody else is online that
29
    can answer those.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Is there anyone online
32
     from ADF&G that could respond.
33
34
                     (No comments)
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, thank
37
     you. Brooke.
38
39
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
40
    Moving on, any Federal agency comments.
41
42
                     (No comments)
43
44
                     MS. McDAVID: Any tribal comments.
45
46
                     (No comments)
47
48
                     MS. McDAVID: Other RAC or Fish and
49
    Game Advisory Committee comments.
50
```

0254	
1	(No comments)
2	
3	MS. McDAVID: I don't think Western
4	<pre>Interior has they're meeting today and tomorrow so</pre>
5	they haven't taken this up yet. Any written public
6	comments.
7	
8	MR. PLANK: This is Tom Plank, Office
9	of Subsistence Management. There were no written
10	public comments.
11	Public Commences.
12	MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Tom. Would
13	any members of the public like to comment on wildlife
14	closure review 24-43.
15	Closule leview 24 45.
16	(No comments)
17	(No conditiones)
18	MS. McDAVID: Hearing none, Mr. Chair,
19	I will turn it back over to you. And just as a
20	reminder since this is a closure review a motion in the
21	affirmative would be to maintain the closure.
22	allimative would be to maintain the closure.
23	Thank way
24	Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, thank you,
26	Brooke. What is the wish of the Council, what is the
27	Council recommendation on WCR24-43.
28	Council recommendation on wck24-45.
29	MS. CLEVELAND: Mr. Chair.
30	MS. CHEVEHAND. MI. CHAII.
31	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.
32	CHAIRMAN ONEI. Jackie, go allead.
33	MS. CLEVELAND: Is it okay to make a
34	motion now?
35	mocion now:
36	(No audible response)
37	(No audible lesponse)
38	MS. CLEVELAND: Okay. So I make a
39	motion to support the closure of maintain the
40	closure of the moose hunt except by residents of
41	Tuluksak, Lower Kalskag, Upper Kalskag, Aniak,
42	Chuathbaluk and Crooked Creek.
43	onachbatan and otooned ofeen.
44	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Jackie.
45	Motion on the floor by Jackie to remain status quo on
46	WCR24-43. Is there a second.
47	moner is there a second.
48	MR. ANDREW: Second.
49	rik. Andrew. Second.
50	
50	

```
0255
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Second by John Andrew.
 2
     Discussion.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     MR. ANDREW: Question.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Quest -- we'll need to
 9
     find justification to support WCR24-43.
10
11
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
14
15
                     MS. ROGERS: The justification would be
     to mirror the justification from U.S. Fish and Wildlife
16
17
     to retain this -- sorry. Yes, it is right. Retain the
18
     status quo, that the moose population has increased
19
     over the years, but remains at the lower end of the
20
     State's population goals and estimates, harvest surplus
21
     is usually met. The existing closure is necessary to
22
     allow the moose population to continue to grow while
23
     still providing for FQSU most dependent on the resource
24
     priority and opportunity on Federal public lands.
25
     affected area should remain closed to hunting -- moose
26
    hunting until the population recovers to allow
27
     increased hunting pressure.
28
29
                     I -- can I also add to that, Mr. Chair,
30
     on -- in the -- as a discussion item?
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: For justification.
33
     Yeah.
34
35
                     MS. ROGERS: Okay. I'm going to ask
     Patrick a quick question. Do you -- is the population
36
37
     out there currently stable or in regards to the
38
    bull/cow ratio?
39
40
                     MR. JONES: Yeah, it's been stable for
41
     a while and it's right on that line whether it meets
42
     the criteria or if it's above that criteria, yeah.
43
44
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Patrick.
45
     Chair, I would also like to add that the population is
46
     still currently stable and we would like to continue to
47
     see it closed.
48
49
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
```

```
0256
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, thank you. Thank
     you, Alissa. It's been motion, seconded and discussed.
 2
     And the discussion has been recorded and the question's
     been called by John Andrew. All those in favor signify
 5
     by saying aye.
 6
 7
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Opposed say nay.
10
11
                     (No opposing votes)
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, motion
14
     carries.
              Thank you.
15
16
                     Moving on, there's two proposals that
17
     are not in our area. And this is Northwest Arctic
18
     which is WP24-28/29 and also a Statewide proposal which
19
     is WP24-01. Oh, I'm sorry, these two.
20
21
                     MS. McDAVID: They're all in one.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah. Okay. There is
24
     two proposals that we have on our agenda. One is the
25
     Western Interior which is WCR24-43 and the other one is
26
     Northwest Arctic which is WP24-28/29. What is the wish
27
     of the Council. Take no action.
28
29
                     MS. ROGERS: I have a question, Mr.
30
    Chair.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
33
34
                     MS. ROGERS: Does this caribou come
35
     through our area and would we be harvesting on this
36
     caribou herd at all?
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Tom, go ahead.
39
40
                     MR. PLANK: Through the Chair, Ms.
41
     Nadine Rogers. This is for the Western Arctic Caribou
42
     Herd and the reason it's being brought to you is
     because there's a few people who have -- a few areas
43
44
     that have customary and traditional tradition
45
     determination in the northern portion of your region
46
     for Unit 22A, but they do not come down this far. No,
47
     ma'am.
48
49
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair, do you -- I
```

```
0257
     quess the next question is do we have any feedback from
     and who -- who has customary and traditional?
 3
 4
                     MR. PLANK: Through the Chair. You can
 5
     see that on Page 198 in your book.
 6
 7
                     MS. McDAVID: I could read that for you
 8
     if you'd like, member Rogers.
 9
10
                     MS. ROGERS: Just for our area, but
11
     not....
12
13
                     MS. McDAVID: Sure.
                                          So residents of
14
    Kotlik, Emmonak, Hooper Bay, Scammon Bay, Chevak,
15
    Marshall, Mountain Village, Pilot Station, Pitka's
     Point, Russian Mission, Saint Mary's, Nunam Iqua,
16
17
    Alakanuk have customary and traditional use
18
    determination for caribou in Unit 22A. So I guess it
19
    would be up to you all if folks hunt up in 22A, if
20
    that's something you want to take up.
21
22
                     And as a reminder 22A is that area up
23
    near St. Michael, Unalakleet, Shaktoolik Rivers, up in
24
     there.
25
26
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
29
                     MS. ROGERS: I'm -- the question that
30
31
     I'm having for this one I guess as my comment for the
32
     last one, anyway for this one specifically if there's a
33
    biological reason why the population -- the hunting bag
34
     limit is being reduced?
35
36
                     MR. PLANK: Through the Chair.
37
     Essentially the population -- let's see, give me just a
     second. So from 2003 when it was at 490,000, the most
38
39
     recent population data has it 164,000 now.
40
41
                     MS. ROGERS: 409,000?
42
43
                     MR. PLANK: 490.
44
45
                     MS. ROGERS: Oh, 490. And then what is
46
     it now?
47
48
                     MR. PLANK: Now is 164, 164,000.
49
50
```

```
0258
 1
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Mr. Chair.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.
 4
 5
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Quyana, Mr. Chair.
 6
    I make a motion to take no action on WP24-28/29.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. There's a motion
 9
     on the floor by Jackie to take no action on WP24-28/29.
10
     Do I hear a second.
11
12
                     MR. PARKS: I second the motion.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Second by Henry Parks.
15
     Discussion.
16
17
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
20
21
                     MS. ROGERS: For the purpose of
22
     discussion I believe that we should take up this
23
     proposal in regards to one, we have customary and
24
     traditional uses in that area and I know a lot of
25
     people from Alakanuk, Stebbins area actually travel up
26
    north to go fishing, getting crab and halibut and
27
    getting other forms of subsistence foods out of that
28
     area. They travel for a couple days to go all the way
29
    up and then they come back down. But also seeing in
30
     the biological standpoint going from 490,000 to 164 is
31
     alarming to me. Knowing that our Mulchatna Caribou
32
    Herd when we start crying for help, no one helped us.
33
    And I believe we should be helping our fellow -- fellow
34
    Alaska Natives regardless of if we are actively hunting
35
    there or not.
36
37
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY:
                                     Thank you, Alissa. Any
    more discussion on WP24-28/29.
40
41
42
                     James, go ahead.
43
44
                     MR. LANDLORD: Mr. Chair, yeah.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: James.
47
48
                     MR. LANDLORD: Yeah, thank you.
49
     action means refer it back to Northwest Arctic?
```

0259 1 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yes, that's my understanding. If we don't take no action then the 2 Arctic -- Northwest Arctic will be the one that'll be 4 making the decision. 5 6 MR. LANDLORD: Okay. Do we have a map 7 of Northwest. I see a map on Page 207. Is that the 8 Northwest? There's no -- it's around Nome, Nome area? 9 10 MR. PLANK: Through the Chair. Mr. 11 Landlord, it's going to be Unit 23 is Northwest Arctic. 12 You can see that on Page 203. 13 14 MR. LANDLORD: Mr. Chair. 15 16 CHAIRMAN ONEY: James, go ahead. 17 18 MR. LANDLORD: Can we say we support 19 them because we -- Brooke. 20 21 MS. McDAVID: Mr. Chair. 22 23 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Brooke, go ahead. 24 25 MS. McDAVID: James, if you would like 26 to support the proposal you can vote down the motion to 27 take no action and then we can move forward, Tom can 28 present the analysis and then you can vote to support 29 the proposal. So if we want to support the proposal we 30 have to go through the steps that we've been going 31 through for the other ones. 32 33 MR. LANDLORD: Okay. And maybe this would support Alissa's concerns about Alakanuk going up 34 and other villages going up rather than saying no 36 action do we have -- we don't -- we don't support them, 37 but if we support them we will support Alakanuk. 38 39 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Richard, go ahead. 40 41 MR. SLATS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm --42 I lean towards moving with whatever Mr. Landlord is 43 doing mainly because Chevak is being mentioned in 44 there. And so are the two other communities from my 45 immediate area. So I -- and it's just what -- Brooke 46 had just mentioned that we can support just I guess for 47 the sake of supporting because of those communities

48

49 50 that are named in this.

```
0260
 1
                     And not only that its customary and
 2
    traditional users have been determined by those
     communities that are listed.
 4
 5
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you. Any more
     discussion on WP24-28/29 from the Council.
 8
 9
10
                     MR. LANDLORD: There's.....
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: James. James, go
13
    ahead.
14
15
                     MR. LANDLORD: ....there's a motion on
16
    the floor for no action.
17
18
                     MS. McDAVID: Mr. Chair.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Brooke.
21
22
                     MS. McDAVID: Yes. So for procedures
23
     if you all agree that you would like to move forward
24
     with taking up this proposal you could ask for the
25
     second to be rescinded and the motion to be withdrawn.
26
     Sorry.
27
28
                     Thank you.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Jackie, go ahead.
31
32
                     MS. CLEVELAND: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
33
    Can I withdraw my motion?
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yes, let the record
36
     know that Jackie's withdrawing her motion. And, Henry
37
     Parks.
38
39
                     MR. PARKS: Yep, Mr. Chairman. Quyana.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: And Henry Parks
42
    rescinds his motion....
43
44
                     MR. PARKS: Yes.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: ....also.
47
48
                     MR. PARKS: Thank you.
49
```

0261 1 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, Brooke. 2 3 MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We 4 can move forward with the presentation of the analysis. 5 6 CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Tom, you got the 7 floor. 8 9 MR. PLANK: Thank you, Mr. Chair, 10 members of the Council. Again for the record my name 11 is Tom Plank, Wildlife Biologist, Office of Subsistence 12 Management. And this is the summary of the analysis 13 for wildlife proposal WP24-28/29 which starts on Page 14 184 in your books. 15 16 WP24-28 was submitted by the Western 17 Arctic Caribou Herd Working Group, requesting a 18 reduction in the caribou harvest limit across the range 19 of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd to four caribou per 20 year per hunter, only one of which may be a cow. 21 Specific areas include Units 21D remainder, 24B 22 remainder, 24C, 24D and all caribou hunt areas within 23 Units 22, 23 and 26A. 24 25 26 27 28

WP24-29 was submitted by the Northwest Arctic Subsistence Regional Advisory Council requesting a reduction in the caribou harvest limit in Unit 23 only to four caribou per year per hunter, only one which may be a cow.

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

The Western Arctic Caribou Herd has continued to decline with the most recent estimate being 164,000 caribou. The proponents are greatly concerned about the precipitous decline of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd and feel that action is needed to slow the decline and prevent the herd from reaching a point of no return.

Northwest Arctic Council recognizes that Federally-qualified subsistence users are already facing food insecurities, but this large reduction of caribou harvest is a means to help protect the caribou herd over the long term while still allowing some harvest.

There is a vast regulatory history that starts on Page 353 and in the interests of time I want to keep this brief. The State and Federal regulation changes in 2016 were the first time that harvest

1 regulations had been implemented for the Western Arctic Caribou Herd and Teshekpuk Caribou Herd in over 30 years. In 2017 the Board of Game adopted a proposal that required registration permits for residents 5 hunting caribou within the Western Arctic and Teshekpuk Herds in Units 21, 23, 24 and 26. This was similar to 6 7 the proposal passed for Unit 22 in 2016. In 2018 a proposal was adopted to close all Federal public lands 8 9 within a 10 mile wide corridor along the Noatak River 10 to non-Federally-qualified users. The Board also 11 adopted a proposal requiring State registration permits 12 for caribou in Units 22, 23 and 26A. In 2022 the Board 13 approved WSA21-01A with modification to close Noatak 14 National Preserve including the Niukluk River portion 15 of the Preserve in Units 26A and BLM managed lands 16 between the Noatak and Kobuk Rivers in Unit 23 to 17 caribou hunting by non-Federally-qualified users from 18 August 1st through September 30th for the 2022/'23 and 19 '23/'24 regulatory years. In June 2023 the Board voted 20 to reject wildlife special action request WSA22-05 and 21 06 which requested the same change as this proposal 22 being presented to you now. The Board stated that immediate reduction to four caribou per year per hunter 23 24 would be detrimental to subsistence needs. The Board 25 acknowledged the need to focus on caribou conservation 26 and that the reduction in harvest limits may be needed 27 in the future. Additionally the Board suggested a more 28 robust discussion of potential alternatives to harvest 29 reduction is essential. The Board stated that the 30 Federal regulatory process -- proposal process is a 31 more appropriate avenue to allow an analysis to be 32 written and reviewed by the public, all of the affected Councils and our Federal and State agency partners in 33 34 the range of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd resulting 35 in formal recommendations.

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

The Western Arctic Caribou Herd, the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd and the Central Arctic Caribou Herd have ranges that overlap in Units 26A and 24B which you can see on Map 1 on Page 314. The Teshekpuk Caribou Herd primarily occupies Unit 26A and the Central Arctic Caribou Herd mostly occurs in Unit 26B. There can be considerable mixing of these three herds during the fall and winter. For the last 10 years the management objective of the Teshekpuk Herd of 15,000 caribou has been met and in 2023 the minimum count was approximately 61,000 caribou. The Western Arctic Caribou Herd population has fluctuated over time from a low of 75,000 in 1970s to a peak of approximately

490,000 in 2003. Between 2003 and 2016 the Herd declined at an average annual rate of 7.1 percent. In 2017 there was an uptick to 259,000 from the previous approximate 200,000 in 2016. This could possibly be attributed to improved photography technology when ADF&G switched from film to high resolution digital cameras in 2017. Between 2017 and 2019 there was an approximate 6 percent total decline to 244,000 caribou. The next photocensus in 2021 estimated 188,000 caribou and this was an additional decline of about 24 percent. The population estimate in 2022 was 164,000 representing an additional 12 percent decline.

As for the composition data in 2017 the bull/cow ratio was its highest since 1998 at 54 bulls per 100 cows. In 2021 that ratio fell slightly to 47 bulls per 100 cows which still exceeded the critical management level of 30 bulls per 100 cows. The patrician rate from 2020 to 2022 was 66 percent which is below the long term average of 70 percent. During the same time period the young -- the short yearling recruitment has remained average at 17 per 100 adults. The adult female survival has been below the long term average of 81 percent since 2017. And although factors contributing to the 2003 to present decline are not known with certainty, increase of adult cow mortality and decreased calf recruitment and survival are likely playing a role.

The potential effects of this proposal span communities and traditional territories of Inupiat of the North Slope, Northwest Arctic, Seward Peninsula, Yup'ik communities in the southern portion of the Seward Peninsula and northern portions of the Yukon region, Koyukon Athabascans of the western and eastern interior. Individual hunters often hunt for more than one household. As we have heard from the Councils generally more people use caribou than harvest caribou because of the cultural value of harvesting and sharing subsistence foods to provide for these -- for those who do not have a hunter in the household. Subsistence surveys conducted by ADF&G gives estimates on caribou harvest in units in this proposal and the average number of caribou harvested per successful household per survey year across all communities in Unit 23 is 8.1. In Unit 26 plus Anuktuvuk Pass the number is 8.8. In Unit 22 the average number of caribou harvested per successful household per survey year was 4.6. In Unit 24 the number is 3.1 caribou and in Unit 21D remainder

the number is even less. However this information is not up to date and gaps remain including the number of individuals rather than households harvesting caribou during past survey years. Of note the availability of bulls during the ideal harvest time in Unit 23 has declined as delayed fall migration means that bulls are in rut once they arrive in traditional harvest areas.

7

6

9 From 1999 to 2018 the range wide 10 average estimated total harvest from the Western Arctic 11 Caribou Herd was about 14,000 caribou per year ranging 12 from 12 to 16,000 caribou per year. Year specific 13 harvest estimates have not been generated since 2018 in 14 part because they are not very accurate. Household 15 survey data demonstrates that local community harvest 16 parallels Western Arctic Caribou Herd availability 17 rather than population trends. Local hunters account 18 for approximately 95 percent of the total Western 19 Arctic Caribou Herd harvest and residents of Unit 23 on 20 average account for a majority of the total harvest. 21 On average 76 percent of the Western Arctic Caribou 22 Herd harvested by non-locals are harvested in Unit 23. 23 Between 2016 when Federal land closures began and 2020 24 reported caribou harvest by non-local hunters in Unit 25 23 averaged 254 caribou. The Western Arctic Caribou 26 Herd Working Group voted to place this herd in a 27 preservative declining level in 2021 and in 2022 which 28 prescribed a harvest of six to 10,000 caribou. With 29 the 2022 population estimate of 164,000 the harvestable 30 surplus is roughly 7,872 caribou. While all these 31 harvest estimates are above the preservative harvest 32 levels specified in the Western Arctic Caribou Herd 33 Management Plan and indicate unsustainable harvest 34 levels actual harvest is unknown and could be much 35 lower due to caribou being unavailable for harvest near 36 local communities.

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

This herd affects a large group of subsistence users and during public hearings for wildlife special action 22-05 and 06 we heard a lot of concerns and recommendations. And we have tried to capture many of the alternatives from the public and our Federal and State partners and these start on Page 227.

44 45 46

47

48

In summary WP24-28 if adopted would apply the same harvest limit reduction across the entire range of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd including Units 22, 23, 26A and portions of Unit 21D

and 24. The decreased harvest limits and more restrictive cow harvest would reduce subsistence hunting opportunity and harvest under Federal regulations, however if the Board of Game does not adopt a similar regulation Federal regulations would be more restrictive than State regulations and all Alaska residents could still harvest five caribou per day under State regulations on most Federal public lands. The reduced Federal harvest limits could also impact sharing networks which are an important cultural component for subsistence users in these areas and contribute to food securities for many families and elders who depend on the super households to provide caribou meat. However designated hunter permits could reduce this impact.

WP24-28 if adopted may cause unnecessary hardship and restrictions for subsistence users in areas on the edge of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd's range where there is overlap with other herds or local caribou populations where reduction may not be necessary. Caribou movements and distributions are highly variable. In recent years no collared Western Arctic Caribou Herd have migrated into Unit 22 or 21D remainder, but that could -- they could return in the future. A resident caribou herd may be present in Unit 22 and harvest limit restrictions -- harvest limit reductions under Federal regulations would curtail harvest of these caribou.

The Teshekpuk and the Central Arctic Caribou Herd occupies Unit 26A remainder and Unit 24B remainder. These herds have not experienced substantial population declines like the Western Arctic Caribou Herd therefore reducing the harvest limits in Unit 26A remainder and Unit 24B remainder may not substantially effect Western Arctic Caribou Herd harvest or conservation and could unnecessarily restrict subsistence harvest from the Teshekpuk and Central Arctic Caribou Herds.

OSM's preliminary conclusion is to support proposal WP24-28 with a modification to exclude that portion of Unit 26A north and east of a line running from the east north bank of Wainwright Inlet to the headwaters of the Ketik River to the headwaters of the Awuna River to the Colville River at Umiat and east of Dalton Highway at Sagwon.

```
0266
 1
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
 4
 5
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 6
     Just so I don't get lost in this huge justification
 7
     thing what was the reason for the modification added,
     if you can make it short, sweet and simple. I'm
 8
 9
     falling asleep here.
10
11
                     MR. PLANK: Through the Chair, Ms.
12
    Nadine Rogers. The reason for it is because it cuts
13
     out the main portion of the Teshekpuk Herd's area where
14
     they primarily are at and the Western Arctic Caribou
15
    Herd are more on the outskirts of that one. So we're
16
     trying to carve out a section that may not be as
17
     productive of providing conservation needs.
18
19
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Can
20
     I make a friendly recommendation, Mr. Chair?
21
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Go ahead, I believe so.
22
23
     Go ahead.
24
25
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. In
26
     light of time and my attention span can you wrap it up
27
     quick so we can make this decision?
28
29
                     MR. PLANK:
                                Through the Chair. And in
30
     closing, thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the
31
    Council....
32
33
                     (Laughter)
34
35
                     MR. PLANK: .....I'd be happy to field
36
     any questions.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY:
                                    Thank you. Do we have
39
     any questions for Tom at this time from the Council on
40
    WP24-28/29.
41
42
                     (No comments)
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, thank
45
     you, Tom. Go through the process.
46
47
                     Brooke.
48
49
                     MS. McDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
50
```

```
0267
    Moving on to agency comments. Alaska Department of
 2
    Fish and Game.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     MS. McDAVID: No comments here in the
 7
    room. If anyone's online with Fish and Game you're
    welcome to comment.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     MS. McDAVID: Any Federal agency
13
    comments.
14
15
                     (No comments)
16
17
                     MS. McDAVID: Any tribal comments.
18
19
                     (No comments)
20
21
                     MS. McDAVID: Advisory Group comments,
    Regional Advisory Councils, Fish and Game Advisory
22
23
    Committees.
24
25
                     MR. AYERS: Hi, good afternoon. This
26
    is Scott Ayers with OSM. I just wanted to let the
27
    Council know that Western Interior has not yet taken
28
    this up. They plan to take it up first thing tomorrow
29
    morning.
30
31
                     MS. McDAVID: Yeah, Eastern Interior
32
    took no action or didn't take it up.
33
34
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair, really quick.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa go ahead.
37
38
                     MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Did
39
     you say they haven't taken it up yet?
40
41
                     MS. McDAVID: Eastern Interior met
42
     already and they did not take up this proposal. But
43
    Western Interior will take it tomorrow.
44
45
                     Any written public comments.
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     MS. McDAVID: None. Okay. Would any
50
```

```
0268
    public like to testify on WP24-28/29.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     MS. McDAVID: Okay. Back to you, Mr.
 6
    Chair. Thank you.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you, Brooke.
 9
     What is the recommendation from the Council on WP24-
10
     28/29.
11
12
                     MS. ROGERS: Motion to support.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Motion on the floor by
15
    Alissa to support. Is there a second.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Do I hear a second.
20
21
                     MS. EVAN: Second.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Second by Norma.
24
     Discussion.
25
26
                     MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
29
30
                     MS. ROGERS: I see that we have
31
     customary and traditional use in that area. Knowing
32
     folks from Alakanuk and Stebbins and those villages
33
     including Mountain Village have actually traveled up to
34
     that region to go fishing and subsistence gathering,
35
     also from a biological standpoint and the population
36
     from -- going from 490,000 to 164,000 decrease, that is
37
     an alarming -- that sends red flags and is alarming
38
    because that's the same thing that happened to
39
    Mulchatna Caribou Herd. But instead when we tried
40
     asking for help there was no help given to us. So I
41
    believe that they're asking for our help to help their
42
    moose -- sorry, caribou population be sustainable
43
     instead of plummeting and going into moratorium like we
44
     are.
45
46
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ONEY: Thank you. Any more
49
     discussion on WP24-28/29.
```

0269	
1	(No comments)
2	
3	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, is there
4	a question
5	
6	MS. ROGERS: Question.
7	
8	CHAIRMAN ONEY:call for the
9	question. The question has been called. I'll go ahead
10	and those are in support of WP24-28/29 signify by
11	saying aye.
12	
13	IN UNISON: Aye.
14	
15	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Those that oppose
16	signify by saying nay.
17	
18	(No opposing votes)
19	
20	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Hearing none, motion
21	carries.
22	
23	MS. ROGERS: Mr. Chair.
24	
25	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Alissa, go ahead.
26	
27	MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
28	Making can I make a recommendation we adjourn for
29	the night, I'm running out of words to or recess,
30	sorry, recess until tomorrow morning. My mind's not
31	functioning.
32	
33	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Okay. Let's go ahead
34	and recess for the evening. We'll start up again,
35	tomorrow is our final day so it's going to be real
36	tight. So
37	
38	MS. McDAVID: Start at 8:30.
39	
40	CHAIRMAN ONEY: Yeah, we could go ahead
41	and start at 8:30. Tomorrow we'll start at 8:30. Be
42	here before 8:30. We'll recess for the evening.
43	
44	Thank you.
45	
46	(PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)
47	
48	
49	
50	

0270	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )
4	)ss.
5	STATE OF ALASKA )
6 7	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
8	state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
9	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10	Reportedly and hereby corelly.
11	THAT the foregoing pages numbered through
12	contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
13	YUKON KUSKOKWIM DELTA FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL
14	ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME II taken
15	electronically on the 11th day of October;
16	
17	THAT the transcript is a true and
18 19	correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
20	reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
21	ability;
22	
23	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
24	party interested in any way in this action.
25	
26	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 22nd
27 28	day of November 2023.
20 29	
30	
31	Salena A. Hile
32	Notary Public, State of Alaska
33	My Commission Expires: 09/16/26
34	
35	
36	
37 38	
30 39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47 48	
40	
50	
50	