1	YUKON KUSKOKWIM DELTA SUBSISTENCE
2	REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
3	
4	PUBLIC MEETING
5	
6	VOLUME II
7	
8	YUPIIT PICIRYARAIT CULTURAL CENTER
9	Bethel, Alaska
10	August 22, 2024
11	1149400 22, 2021
12	
13	
14	COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
15	Alissa Nadine Rogers, Acting Chair
16	Jacqueline Cleveland
17	
18	Henry Parks Norma Evan
	John Andrew
	Phillip Peter
21	
22	Myron Naneng
23	
24	
25	
26	Regional Council Coordinator, Brooke McDavid
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	Recorded and transcribed by:
35	
36	Lighthouse Integrated Services Corp
37	787-239-0462
38	Info.@lighthouseonline.com
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	

1 2

PROCEEDINGS

(Bethel, Alaska - 8/21/24)

(On record)

MS. MCDAVID: Good morning, everyone. Thank you for joining us. Quyana for joining us at the fall 2024 Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta Regional Advisory Council. Before we get started today, Mr. Henry Parks is going to give an invocation.

 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Waqaa and good morning. I want to welcome you to the Yukon Kuskokwim Regional Advisory Council here in Bethel at the (In Native) Cultural Center. Today is August $22^{\rm nd}$, current time now is 9:08 and I will call this meeting to order.

(Pause)

At every meeting, I would like to start with a prayer in the morning. Mr. Phillip, did you want to give our prayer for today?

MR. PETER: (Gives Invocation in Yup'ik)

INTERPRETER: Our Heavenly Father, we are gathered here in person, standing in respect of you and we thank you for this day that you have given us. Please lead us as we use this day for our meeting, give us wisdom and be able to discern what is right and wrong and apply it to our jobs and our lives. Please bless our work and also our families back home as they go about their daily lives, preparing for the coming winter. Please keep them safe in your hands, keep them from worries and whatnot. And also, we give this agenda to you; please bless the agenda and guide us, as we were chosen to do this work by you. We ask for your presence and your guidance in this. Please bless the meetings. Amen.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Quyana, Mr. Peter. I am Alissa Nadine Rogers, and I'm the Vice Chair of this Council. I'm going to be Acting Chair as Mr. Oney is unable to attend this meeting this week. One thing we did not do yesterday was a land acknowledgment. The land acknowledgment is that we're on Yup'ik land of (In Native). And we want to honor that we are renting

or borrowing our land from our future generations. It is not ours to take now; it is borrowed from our future generations, and we need to ensure that we are going to be protecting our future generations' lands and resources, quyana. Ms. Brooke, would you be so kind as 5 6 to do our roll call for us this morning? Quyana. 7 8 MS. MCDAVID: Sure thing, Madam Chair. 9 Mr. Henry Parks. 10 11 MR. PARKS: Present. 12 13 MS. MCDAVID: Norma Evan. 14 MS. EVAN: Present. 15 16 17 MS. MCDAVID: John Andrew. 18 19 MR. ANDREW: Here. 20 21 MS. MCDAVID: Walter Morgan. Walter had 22 a family emergency and had to return home. So, he is 23 excused for the rest of the meeting. 24 25 MS. MCDAVID: Jacqueline Cleveland. 26 27 MS. CLEVELAND: Here. 28 29 MS. MCDAVID: Phillip Peter. 30 31 MR. PETER: Here. 32 33 MS. MCDAVID: Wassily Alexie, are you 34 joining us online today? You may have to press star six 35 to unmute. One more call for Wassily Alexie online. Okay, 36 we'll check back. Wass [sic] did let me know he was 37 going to try to call in today. Chair Raymond Oney is 38 excused from this meeting. Myron Naneng. 39 40 MR. NANENG: Here. 41 42 MS. MCDAVID: Robert Hoffman. 43 44 MR. HOFFMAN: Here. 4.5 46 MS. MCDAVID: Let the record show Myron 47 is here, if that didn't come through on the sound. And 48 Acting Chair, Ms. Alissa Nadine Rogers. 49 50 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Present.

1 2 MS. MCDAVID: Madam Chair, with eight of eleven Council members present, we have a quorum. 5 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Quyana, 6 Brooke. At the beginning of every meeting and every day, we open up for public comments or public testimony. Do 8 we have anyone in the room or online that would like to give their public testimony at this time? All right. 10 I'll go ahead and give you guys a couple more minutes if you would like to give public testimony. But at this 11 12 time, could folks who have not introduced themselves 13 yesterday, please come to the mic and introduce 14 yourselves? 15 16 MS. BECK: I've gotta press the button? 17 Good morning, everyone. I'm Laurie Beck. I'm the deputy 18 refuge manager with Yukon Delta National Wildlife 19 Refuge. 20 21 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Welcome. 22 23 MS. BECK: Thank you. 24 25 MS HOOPER: Good morning. Jennifer Hooper 26 AVCP. I was here yesterday but introductions. So, it's good to be here. 27 28 29 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Welcome. 30 31 MS. JOHNSON: Hi, good morning. Ashley 32 Johnson with AVCP Natural Resources as well. I was gone 33 or -- I was late yesterday. Thank you. 34 35 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right, 36 folks, let's go ahead and get people online. If you had 37 not introduced yourself yesterday, can we please get 38 your first name, last name, and your organization or 39 representation? 40 42 reminder....

41

MS. MCDAVID: And Madam Chair, just a

43 44

MR. RISDAHL: Greg Risdahl. Sorry Brooke.

4.5 46 47

48

49

50

MS. MCDAVID: Sorry, Greg, to interrupt. I just wanted to remind folks online that might be joining us by phone, if you would like to address the Council, you can press star six to unmute yourself and get the attention of Madam Chair. Or you can use the

raise hand feature, and that's star five on your phone. 2 Then we can call on you. Thanks. Greg, if you want to introduce yourself again, sorry about that. Thanks. 5 MR. RISDHAL: Thank you, Brooke. Madam 6 Chair, this is Greg Risdahl, the Interagency Staff Committee member for the Forest Service. And I'm joining 8 you online today. Thank you very much. I'm sorry I missed 9 yesterday. 10 11 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, 12 Greq, and welcome. 13 14 (Pause) 15 16 All right. I thought I heard one more 17 person after Greg. We didn't quite catch your first and 18 last name. 19 20 MS. LAVINE: Hello, Madam Chair. Can you 21 hear me? 22 23 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Yes, ma'am. 24 We can hear you loud and clear now. 25 26 MS. LAVINE: Thank you. This is Robbin 27 LaVine, and I'm the subsistence policy coordinator for 28 OSM. And I am listening in, and it's good to hear your 29 voice. Thank you all for having me. 30 31 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, 32 Robbin, welcome. 33 34 MS. PATTON: Good morning, Alissa. This 35 is Eva Patton with the National Park Service Assistance 36 Program and ISE, it's so good to listen in to the Council 37 today. Thank you. 38 39 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, 40 Ms. Eva. Good to hear you, welcome. 41 42 MS. PATTON: You too. 43 44 MS. ESQUIBLE: Good morning, Council and 45 Madam Chair, this is Janessa Esquible calling in with the Association of Village Council Presidents. Quyana 46 47 for having me. 48 49 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Good 50 morning, Janessa, welcome.

1

(Pause)

3

5

6

All right. Hearing no more introductions. I would like to thank you and welcome you all to our meeting today. Ms. Brooke, do we have any more further meeting announcements to get us started?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Madam Chair. Yes, I would like to remind folks here in the room, if you would like to sign up to give a public comment, there are blue comment cards on the table over there in the back. You can just put your name down and you can pass those to any staff member or to me. We'll make sure that the Chair knows that you'd like to comment, and that can be on any of the agenda items. That just helps us keep track of participation in the meeting and make sure that we have a good record of your comments. I'd also like to remind folks in the room that we have Mr. Patrick Sampson providing Yup'ik-English interpretation throughout the meeting. If you would like to listen to him, you can pick up one of these headsets that's on the table. It's on channel one, and we're really happy to be working with Patrick.

242526

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Quyana, Mr.

Sampson.

272829

All right. We're going to jump back into any public comments for folks who want to address the Council at this time.

30 31 32

(Pause)

33 34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. CLEVELAND: Good morning, Council. My name is Jacqueline Cleveland and I'm stepping down as RAC Council member for the moment and speaking on behalf of the Native Village of Quinhagak. I'm just going to read this letter, which will explain what Quinhagak is trying to do now. We've been getting concerned comments from people in the community about the first two weeks of September when we're at the height of our moose season. There are issues with sport fish floaters and other outfits that are interrupting the moose hunt. A lot of times, when someone is about to get a moose, it gets scared away by the next floater coming along. So that's kind of where this came from. In the process, we submit [sic] a proposal. Since it's a closure, we couldn't submit it as a proposal, so we did a special action request instead. Then we learned that we can't

use that process for what we're asking, so we wrote a letter to the Togiak National Wildlife Refuge Area Manager, Kenton Moos, on August 16th. I'll read this letter, it's regarding a request for a commercially guided sport fishing closure from September 1st to September 15th.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

5

1

Dear Refuge Manager the Native Village of Quinhagak submits this request pursuant to the ANILCA to close commercially guided sport fishing on the Kanektok River to protect subsistence moose hunting opportunities. The presence of commercially guided sport fishing on the Kanektok River during hunting season negatively affects moose hunters and interferes with this important subsistence activity. Accordingly, the Native Village of Quinhagak requests that the refuge manager close commercially quided sport fishing during hunting seasons for a twoweek period from September 1 to September 15 each year. Moose are an important subsistence resource for tribal members because of increased food costs, the village's remote location, and changing environmental factors food security is a growing concern. Since 2020, subsistence hunters have not harvested Mulchatna Herd Caribou due to their low population numbers. Hunters have instead become increasingly reliant on moose hunting on the Kanektok River to fill freezers and meet the village's subsistence needs. During the fall moose hunting seasons, tribal members travel far and for long periods, handling variable river and weather conditions to find harvest opportunities. Commercially guided sport fishing on the Kanektok River compounds the difficulty for subsistence moose hunters. Commercially guided sport fishers are often present and proximate to subsistence moose hunters while on the river. Subsistence moose hunters have encountered sport fishers whose presence and conduct have scared away moose just prior to the hunters' opportunity to take the moose. Those missed opportunities have disastrous effects on the tribal members' food security. Without immediate action, commercial guided sport fishing will continue to disrupt tribal members' subsistence moose hunts from September 1 to 15, rendering the sport fishing effort incompatible with ANILCA subsistence preference. Notably, some local outfitters have voluntarily ceased floating on the Kanektok from September 1 to 15, the most important hunting period to allow subsistence moose hunting to without interference. However, voluntary compliance is not enough. ANILCA requires that taking on public lands of fish and wildlife, for non-wasteful

subsistence uses, shall be accorded priority over the 1 2 taking on such lands of fish and wildlife for other purposes. A change in Federal management is therefore necessary and requires Refuge Manager action. Title 5 Eight of ANILCA requires the Refuge Manager to take 6 action to protect subsistence moose hunters from refuge uses, including commercially guided sport fishing. There 7 8 is abundant legal authority for the required action. 9 First, under 50 CFR, the terms of all commercially guided 10 sport fishing permits on the Kanektok River drainage may be amended to restrict sport fishing from September 1 11 12 to 15. The Refuge Manager has the authority to suspend, 13 revoke, or reasonably restrict the terms of a permit if 14 the Refuge Manager determines the use to be incompatible 15 with the refuge's purposes or inconsistent with the 16 service's obligations under Title Eight of ANILCA. Those 17 circumstances are present here. Secondly, under 50 CFR, 18 the refuge manager has the responsibility to close 19 certain refuge uses to ensure that the activity or area 20 is being managed in a manner compatible with the purposes 21 for which the Alaska National Wildlife Refuge Area is 22 established. One such purpose of the Togiak Refuge is 23 to cause the least adverse impact possible on the local 24 residents who depend upon subsistence uses for the 25 resources of the public lands in Alaska, and to manage 26 the taking of fish and wildlife for subsistence uses 27 consistent with ANILCA. When there is a conflict between 28 managing both subsistence uses and other refuge uses, 29 the subsistence priority in Title Eight of ANILCA 30 controls. Thus, the refuge manager must close the 31 Kanektok River to commercially guided sportfishing from 32 September 1 to 15 to prevent the use from interfering 33 with federally qualified subsistence moose hunting. 34 Although the Refuge has previously analyzed 35 potential effects of commercially guided and unguided 36 sport fishing on subsistence hunting and wildlife and 37 acknowledged that there are impacts such as displacement 38 avoidance due to sport fishing activities, 39 environmental and social concerns have changed 40 dramatically since the Refuge's 2009 compatibility 41 determination. That determination does not consider the 42 specific relationship between users and evidence of the 43 adverse effects between users. Where subsistence hunters 44 have experienced lost harvests due to commercially 45 guided sport fishing, and where other sport fishers 46 recognize the potential for interference and have 47 voluntarily ceased their activities during the prime 48 hunting season. Importantly, the Refuge's 2009 decision 49 assumed that subsistence hunting can harvest the 50 Mulchatna Caribou Herd, which has not been available for

hunting since 2021. The refuge manager must take action to preserve subsistence moose hunting opportunities for federally qualified rural residents. ANILCA requires that subsistence uses of fish and game be afforded a priority over other uses, and when other uses interfere with subsistence uses, the incompatible uses must be restricted. Here, the refuge manager must protect subsistence moose hunting opportunities on the Kanektok by closing commercially guided sport fishing during seasons for a two-week period from September 1 to 15 each year. We look forward to your action. If you have any questions, please contact us. Native Village of Quinhagak.

13 14 15

16

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

 $$\operatorname{ACTING}$$ CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Quyana, Jacqueline. Do we have any questions or comments for her at this time? Mr. Naneng.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. NANENG: Thank you, Madam Chair. I just want to add to your comment about needing the Refuge to monitor moose hunting in the region. Every year, we hear from people in the village, especially along the Yukon, about headless moose being found along the riverbanks, sloughs, and sometimes piles of meat left at the airports where moose hunters have gone hunting, sports hunters. And the people from the villages have complained that there is no action taken by those who allowed the permits to be issued. But if a native person is caught with a moose without a permit or a hunting license, I'm sure every means will be taken by law enforcement to ensure they comply with the laws or rules that have issued. So, this concern needs to be addressed. And many of the people along the Yukon River have raised this issue. One of the other things too is that we -our village corporations own land, yet there is no trespass enforcement on sports hunters that are not invited to come to our lands. I know that people have flown down from Nome and from other parts of the state, and they have gone on to hunted moose on our lands around Kusilvak, because that is reported to us by a guiding operation permitted to hunt in our areas by the village corporation. So there needs to be action taken to comply with what Jackie has stated -- cited by the Fish and Wildlife Service or whoever's monitoring that. I know that the excuse will be its navigable waters. The state of Alaska issues permits, hunting licenses, and things like that, but that should not be the excuse. So, I would request Fish and Wildlife Service, because we're within the National Wildlife Refuge, take action and with any other federal agency involved within our area

here, to be involved in providing law enforcement to those sports hunting camps and sports hunting operations that come out of Bethel, Nome, and other areas. Because there's a lot of meat brought here to Bethel by sports hunters. What do they do with the meat that they're not able to give out to those that might be in need? The only thing that you see departing from Bethel are the number of antlers. Trophy hunters, you don't eat antlers, yet that's the only thing that comes out of our airports here in Bethel. That's an observation that I've seen, packaged antlers being shipped out of our area. I would request our Regional Advisory Council to write a letter to the Board -- the Federal Subsistence Board to request Fish and Wildlife Service and other agencies that are with in our region to put law enforcement people that would monitor this, as many of our people in our villages call want and waste. So, we really would appreciate - I know it's not a laughing matter or a discussion that people take lightly in the village because I've heard it year after year, it happens. And if RITs can be utilized to help with this enforcement, I think that would be one plus. Because in the communities, we have VPSOs that try to help law enforcement in the communities, but let's utilize our Refuge Information Technicians to help monitor what is going on during the month of September with sports hunters that come to our region. With that, thank you very much, Madam Chair.

28 29 30

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Nanuk. Mr. Hoffman.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, this issue is a very serious issue because of the state regulations on hunting. The state regulations say in there; if you are an Alaska resident, you can do these things. The problem that I'm having is we the tribes, don't have any say so in the matter. Since we don't have any say so in the matter about what we the tribes would like to see, this is what is happening. The point I'm trying to make is someone else, rather than the tribes, writes the rules and regulations for the state of Alaska, and in there it says if you are a resident of Alaska, you can do these things. What we need is tribal requests that are also involved in the state regulations. That's what we need. We need some way to insert our information and our hurts that we're having along with the state's regulations, so that we have at least some say so. Again, again, like I said yesterday, if it continues to do this, we're going to do what we're told again. And the

guided hunters, because of the rules that the state makes, if they're a resident, they can come and hunt. Something's got to be done about this. We gather here to help our tribes, not to just come in here and get their information and take it to our tribal meetings. It's sad, we're just wasting money, the tribes are wasting money allowing their tribal members to go to these meetings and try to hope and pray that they have some say so. And so far, I haven't seen any. This is what I've been seeing. Somehow, some way, the tribes got to have their insertion of what their needs and wants are prioritized because they're the ones that live in the region. And I don't want to hear, like I said yesterday, and I don't want to hear this, that it just so happens you want to live there, but the state makes the rules. It's not fair to us tribal members to continue year after year after year, this happens. We gotta stop this, somehow allow the tribes to have a little information of the harm that they are getting at this time, and I don't know how to do that. I know somebody does, how to have a -- someway put in the tribe's request in the state regulations. The state is the one that does all this to our people, and we don't even talk about them. It's sad. Okay, that's all I got Madam Chair. Thank you.

252627

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

 $\label{eq:ACTING_CHAIRPERSON_ROGERS: Thank you,} \\ \text{Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Parks.}$

28 29 30

31

32

33

MR. PARKS: (Indiscernible) Chair. Henry, for the record, from Nunap. You stated that the sports fishermens are in your area. So, my question --who's the primary owner of the land around your area? Question.

343536

37

38

39

40

MS. CLEVELAND: Along the Kanektok River, it's kind of complicated. It's -- so its state jurisdiction up until the refuge, (indiscernible) refuge and then it's refuge after that, refuge waters. But the land along the waters is a mix of corporation, native allotment, until you get to the refuge.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. PARKS: Okay, thank you. So, in this case, earlier Myron brought it up the land uses, because right now we all have land selections under corporation. So, in that case I'm gonna make an example, like if I go to my cousin's or my friend's land here, she's going to say you're trespassing. So, you know, so in that case, do the corporation landowners enforce the land in your area?

1 2

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MS. CLEVELAND: Through the Chair. On the Kanektok River, since it's under state jurisdiction, even though there's corporation and allotment land, the water itself is still fully navigable by anyone or for use. But on the Arolik River, it's different. If I'm not mistaken, it's one of three unique rivers in Alaska where the state doesn't have navigability on that river because it's not navigable to the lake, only on high water. And then there is where the corporation, Kanektok Inc., has control of the -- like the waterbed, the lands, and they actually issue limited permits for floaters who want to use that river, but they pay a fee to the corporation. And then yeah so -- but with that river they've already -- the corporation has already ceased issuing any permits from September 1 to 15, so that river is fine for -- if that answers your question. It's just complicated, we have two rivers, and they're both very different and both under different jurisdictions, but we have better luck on the Arolik because the corporation owns most of it or part of it. Yeah.

212223

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Hoffman.

2425

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50

MR. HOFFMAN: Like I stated in the regulations, that the state makes they put -- they have inserted in there, what is called and it'll state that, the high water level mark is permittable even if it's on my land, on the edge of my land, and they shoot a moose, they are gonna walk up there and they say no the high water is up there they could shoot. And that's just what they have told us, when I -- we asked them about that while I stood in my fish camp. They said they are allotted to shoot moose up to the high-level water mark. Even though it's not high now, they said that's where the high-water mark is. And what has happened is these people are shooting moose, tying it up with a rope and pull it right down to the high-water mark. We gotta have some say so in the matter of the state regulations. Either we move that high water mark which they have inserted to allow this to happen and deny our people that animal or that whatever to take. We have to -- what I'm getting at is we have no say so, no say so in the state regulations which I would like to see. And if somebody could bring that forward to the state of Alaska or the Federal government, I'd really really appreciate it rather than coming here just to talk and bring this message back to my tribe. The authority people, I would like to talk to, the people with authority. No offense honestly, being here we do a good fine job and were

trying, at least we are trying. But in there it's what the regulations state, the high-water mark. And it's written in there, and that's not a tribal way to do's [sic]. Somebody shooting animals on our land, its not good. And it hurts the people that the residents are trying to get some kind of subsistence for themselves for the winter because of the cost of living in the stores are so high. You live from paycheck to paycheck and yet since we have no say so in that matter, we have to purchase what we need even though it's with no says so. It's a very sad situation here, our tribal members. I have seen it that this is the hub of the region, they come, their tribal members come to purchase because in their villages they either don't have any or the cost of living is like we heard yesterday, 18 dollars a gallon somewhere. And it's only seven dollars here in Bethel. That's all I got, thank you ma'am.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Hoffman. Ms. Cleveland, I had a question for you. Did they say why it couldn't go through the special action request? Because it doesn't seem any different than the way we did with the chinook salmon. So, if someone could help, please clarify why it was denied, that would be greatly appreciated.

MS. CLEVELAND: Through the Chair. I'm glad you brought that up. That's a detail I forgot to mention, is that we haven't received the -- is it the analysis? I'm not sure what it's called but the reasons why it couldn't go through the Special Action request. We have not received those reasons from OSM yet. So -- but since September 1 is just around the corner, we just decided to write the letter anyway, even if we don't have those reasons.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Cleveland. That was -- go ahead but, regardless of an analysis being done, there's a need that needs to be met, and I believe that OSM should have taken action and continued to work on these things while you're waiting. There's a dire need, and with moose hunting right around the corner, something should have been done immediately. Go ahead.

MR. AYERS: Thank you, Madam Chair. This is Scott Ayers, for the record, the Fisheries Division supervisor at the Office of Subsistence Management and thank you for the question. And I just wanted to let the Council know that we actually have correspondence that's

in review and we're hoping to get it out the door probably today or tomorrow. Related to this topic to get back to the concerns were raised here. Unfortunately, as has been the case with our correspondence for a while now things take time and with the transition of our office from the Fish and Wildlife Service to the Department of Interior, we've been -- it's slowed down our process a little bit, and unfortunately some of these items that normally would go a bit faster have been delayed for that and a couple of other reasons. But we're hoping to try to circle back around and get responses to those things quickly. I don't want to speak to the reasons on the record here because that letter is not finalized, and I don't want to harsh things out or say thing incorrectly on the record, but I did want to let the Council know we're working on it and hoping to get that message out here very quickly.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, This is towards the Office of Subsistence Management, both the Department of Interior. That's an excuse, and it's not geared toward you, Scott. It's geared toward your paygrades above you. That's an excuse, that's not our fault, that's not our issues. You provide a service for us, and you need to follow through with it. They need to follow through with it. Telling us that it's slowing your process, well that's not on us and you're just pulling our legs, pretty much. I apologize to you, Ms. Cleveland, and to the village of your [sic] Quinhagak in regards to what you're going through. This should not have happened. You should have had a timely response, and I need you guys to write a letter to the village apologizing for your delay in responding. Thank you.

343536

MR. AYERS: Noted, Madam Chair. Thank

37 38 39

40

you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. We're going to move on to our next item. Mr. Sampson.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. SAMPSON: Good morning. My name is Pat Sampson, and I'm here maybe behalf on myself and especially on my hunting buddy, that he always comments on this issue. I've heard many intelligent, brilliant ideas have been written on napkins before, so I wrote that and see I wanna test that theory. The issue I'm going to bring up is not a new one; and we've heard it all before. And it's about the beaver, the rodent that really does not have any natural predators in this area.

And I want to focus on the community of Bethel regarding the beaver dams. That -- the Brown Slough goes from up there and ends up behind my house, about 2 or 3 miles up. And when I first moved there, there were no beavers in that little creek, and now there's like about ten beaver houses in there. And during that time, the BIA Tundra Ridge Road has -- especially last year they kept -- the beavers kept damming the culverts there. And then every time you have soil on the ground and then water hits it, that softens that whole foundation. And with the vehicles passing on it and with the vibrations, that just weakens it, and so there were holes that last summer kept forming there, every time there was a storm, the area would wash out and it's because the water inundated on the foundation caused by the beaver dams, was weakening it. And that does not even begin to say out further from Bethel, where there's beaver dams there's more water accumulation -- accumulating in these beaver ponds. Because beaver ponds get bigger and bigger every year. And when you put more water in the tundra, it facilitates the defrosting of the permafrost. And so, with these rains and these floods and -- I don't want to belliger [sic] that point though. And these beaver dams get bigger and bigger every year, and each year the fish that are landlocked by these beaver dams are being - are not able to come out of -- from the beaver ponds, and during cold winters, the ponds freeze down to the bottom and that -- therefore killing all those fish there. And I'm just reiterating what people have said. But my hunting partner, like I said wanted to remove from this one section that we always go hunting at, he wanted to remove a beaver house but I said the state and Federalregulations prohibit you touching beaver houses. It doesn't say anything about the beaver dams. And so, I know that we take off enough beaver dam to be able to float our boat across, and the next morning when we come back through it, the beaver damn is again the same as it was before we took it off. And so, he wanted to remove a beaver house, but that's against the state and Federalregulations. And if there is a proposal to be able to allow people to take out beaver houses, that would be my wish, my hunt. A lot of people out in the villages would be able to eradicate the beaver population a lot more effectively if we took out the beaver houses. And that's all I had for my brilliant idea. Thank you.

1 2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you,
49 Mr. Sampson. Any further comments or questions regarding
50 Mr. Sampson's? Go ahead, Brooke.

1 2

4

5

6

8

9

10

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Madam Chair. I just wanted to let the Council know there is a group called the Arctic Beaver Observation Network, and they mostly work a little further north, but they are documenting similar types of change and expansion of beaver and the impacts of beaver. If the Council is interested in hearing from them at a future meeting, we could schedule that. You could also talk to them about what you're observing in your region. I just wanted to put that out there. Thanks.

11 12 13

 $\label{eq:ACTING_CHAIRPERSON_ROGERS: Thank you,} \\ \text{Ms. Brooke. Mr. Hoffman.}$

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. HOFFMAN: You know, Pat is absolutely right. But what we don't know is the will and the energy and the strength of a beaver. I too removed a whole dam, all day chainsaw, axe, me and my boys in a little creek near my fish camp, so I could go up there. Cause that beaver dam was way over here, not down there, it was way over here. So, I did remove that and the next morning, in the kind of dark, I took off full boar and I bumped Them beaver are so energetic they will another wall. rebuild what we destroy. What we need to do; I think, my recommendation would be to ask the authority people, the state of Alaska, since they got the authority to open up a beaver hunt because these beavers are not only plugging up the streams, they're harming the fish that go up these little sloughs to lay their eggs. And they're not able to anymore because of the beaver. What we really need to do is contact the Arctic Beaver people and to get some recommendations on how they have some say so in the matter and formulate our own beaver hunting thing so that we can eliminate these beaver because a long as they exist, they'll build what they want. I've seen them carry trees on their shoulders, walking. They're so tough, and they're so willing to live these little guys there. And I you go to one you get slapped by a beaver tail, your gonna feel it. They slap very, very hard, I got slapped on the head one time, I thought I was gonna have to have surgery. So that's what we need to do, it's get hold of these people; the artic beaver people and see how they -- and if they created their own so that we could do that in our own tribal region here, to get some help. To ask the state of Alaska if they can open a beaver hunt, like the wolves they kill and brown bears they get to kill. Let's not wait for them to do it; let's ask if we could do it. Thank you.

1 2 3 4	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Hoffman. Currently, right now beaver hunting is open year-round.
5 6 7	MR. HOFFMAN: Can we?
8 9	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Yeah!
10	(Simultaneous speech)
11 12 13 14 15 16	And to answer your question, Mr. Sampson, yes, we can do something about it. And the other thing too, according to delta not delta, discovery, according to the Discovery Channel, they use stick of dynamite.
18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SAMPSON: Mr. Chair, what I wanted the proposal to be able remove beaver dams because that currently is not allowed in the hunting regulations. We can hunt; the beaver hunt is open year-round, but you can't touch the beaver dens.
24 25 26	$\label{eq:ACTING_CHAIRPERSON_ROGERS: We can get that fixed.} \\$
27	
	MR. SAMPSON: Thank you.
28	
28 29 30 31	MR. SAMPSON: Thank you. ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. Thank you. Anybody else online who would like to address the Council at this time?
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. Thank you. Anybody else online who would like to address
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. Thank you. Anybody else online who would like to address the Council at this time? MS. MCDAVID: As a reminder, you can press star five on your phone or raise your hand if you'd like to give a comment online. We are still on
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. Thank you. Anybody else online who would like to address the Council at this time? MS. MCDAVID: As a reminder, you can press star five on your phone or raise your hand if you'd like to give a comment online. We are still on comments on non-agenda items. ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right, hearing none. Let's go ahead and take a five-minute break
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. Thank you. Anybody else online who would like to address the Council at this time? MS. MCDAVID: As a reminder, you can press star five on your phone or raise your hand if you'd like to give a comment online. We are still on comments on non-agenda items. ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right, hearing none. Let's go ahead and take a five-minute break really quick. It is now 10:00.
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. Thank you. Anybody else online who would like to address the Council at this time? MS. MCDAVID: As a reminder, you can press star five on your phone or raise your hand if you'd like to give a comment online. We are still on comments on non-agenda items. ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right, hearing none. Let's go ahead and take a five-minute break really quick. It is now 10:00. (Off record) (On record)
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. Thank you. Anybody else online who would like to address the Council at this time? MS. MCDAVID: As a reminder, you can press star five on your phone or raise your hand if you'd like to give a comment online. We are still on comments on non-agenda items. ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right, hearing none. Let's go ahead and take a five-minute break really quick. It is now 10:00. (Off record) (On record) ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right,
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. Thank you. Anybody else online who would like to address the Council at this time? MS. MCDAVID: As a reminder, you can press star five on your phone or raise your hand if you'd like to give a comment online. We are still on comments on non-agenda items. ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right, hearing none. Let's go ahead and take a five-minute break really quick. It is now 10:00. (Off record) (On record) ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right, folks, can you please go ahead and take your seats?
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. Thank you. Anybody else online who would like to address the Council at this time? MS. MCDAVID: As a reminder, you can press star five on your phone or raise your hand if you'd like to give a comment online. We are still on comments on non-agenda items. ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right, hearing none. Let's go ahead and take a five-minute break really quick. It is now 10:00. (Off record) (On record) ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right,

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Quyana, folks. Thank you for the long-extended break. Everyone's hopefully got their food, coffee, and is ready for the long haul. We're going to go ahead and get jumped right into our agenda item where we left off. It is going to be B-2026 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Programs and PINs development on the Office of Fisheries and Anthropology. You have the floor.

9 10 11

(Pause)

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. FOLEY: Madam Chair, members of the Council. For the record, my name is Kevin Foley, and I'm a fish biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. And I'm joined here today with my colleague Pippa Kenner, an anthropologist also with OSM. Before we dive into the Priority Information Needs discussion, if it pleases the Council, I would like to lead off with a brief update on the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. There's no materials for this should you decide to hear an update. Thank you. So, as you know, no new projects were funded for the FRMP for the 2024 fiscal Previous decisions on funding in OSM unfortunate circumstances did not allow us to proceed with any new projects. However, there were nine projects submitted in response to the 2024 Notice of Funding Opportunity for the Kuskokwim region. When asked if investigators wished to keep their proposal packets in processing for a possible 2025 start, all said yes, but we won't know funding until sometime after the new fiscal year, October 2024. So currently, there are four ongoing projects in the Yukon region and six in the Kuskokwim region, beginning with the Yukon region. The four ongoing projects from 2022 are the East Fork Andreafsky River weir chinook and summer chum salmon. The Gisasa weir chinook and summer chum salmon. Presence and use of salmon in the Pastolik and Pastoliak Rivers, and whitefish other non-salmon humpback and traditional ecological knowledge and biological sampling in the upper Koyukuk region. Moving into the Kuskokwim region, the six ongoing projects from 2022 are Takotna River Weir, Salmon Run Timing and Abundance; Kuskokwim River Broad Whitefish Subsistence Harvest and Spawning Abundance, George River Salmon Weir, Bethel Subsistence Harvest Survey, Kuskokwim Management Area Postseason Subsistence Salmon Harvest Survey and Community-Based Harvest Monitoring Network for Kuskokwim River chinook salmon. The Office of Subsistence Management administers the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and will

announce the 2026 Notice of Funding Opportunity for the 1 2 monitoring program this winter. We will be seeking proposals for projects that gather information to manage 4 conserve subsistence fishery resources 5 Federalpublic waters. The monitoring program is also 6 directed at supporting meaningful involvement 7 fisheries management by Alaska Native and 8 organizations and promoting collaboration among Federal, 9 state, Alaska Native, and local organizations. The first 10 step in this process is for the Regional Advisory Councils to identify the Priority Information Needs for 11 their respective regions. These are research needs or 12 13 gaps in information needed to manage Federal subsistence 14 fisheries. These priority information needs to provide 15 the framework for soliciting, evaluating, and selecting 16 projects for funding through the monitoring program. The 17 development of the Priority Information Needs is an 18 important process for the Council. Your final version 19 of the Priority Information Needs determines the types 20 and subjects of project proposals that are sought for 21 your regions. Three primary types of research are 22 requested; harvest monitoring, traditional ecological 23 knowledge, and stock status and trends. Harvest 24 monitoring and traditional ecological knowledge projects provide information directly from subsistence users, 25 26 including descriptions of fishing effort, and harvest 27 and use patterns. Stock status and trends projects address fish abundance, migration, and behavior in 28 29 specific fisheries. Research priorities that fall 30 outside of that scope of the monitoring program are not 31 considered or funded, and they include projects focused 32 on habitat protection, mitigation, restoration and 33 hatchery propagation, enhancement, restoration, 34 enhancement, and supplementation, and contaminant 35 assessment, evaluation, and monitoring. These kinds of 36 projects are mostly -- are most appropriately addressed 37 by the local land management or regulatory agencies. In 38 addition, projects for which the primary objective is 39 education or outreach, such as sign camps, technician 40 training, or intern programs, are not eligible for 41 funding under the monitoring program. Council Chairman 42 Raymond Oney worked with OSM staff and the Council 43 members from Eastern and Western Interior to exchange 44 information and discuss Priority Information Needs for 45 the Yukon region. Council member Walter Morgan worked 46 with OSM staff and Kevin Whitworth from Western Interior 47 to exchange information and discuss Priority Information 48 Needs for the Kuskokwim region. We will discuss the 49 results of these meetings now and then ask this Council 50 to finalize and adopt the 2026 Priority Information

Needs for the Yukon and Kuskokwim regions. Thank you, Madam Chair. This concludes the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program update. Are there any questions before we begin reviewing the list of Priority Information Needs?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Kevin. Do we have any further comments or questions at this time? Go ahead, Mr. Naneng.

MR. NANENG: Yeah. Thank you, Madam Chair. Kevin, we know that Kwethluk weir was not in operation earlier this year, and I know that there numbers and results will probably be known later of salmon escapement. Can there be a follow-up report? I know Fish and Wildlife and Fish and Game worked together on that Kwethluk Weir along with the tribe of Kwethluk. If we can get a report as to the escapement levels with the absence of that weir. If the escapement improved, or was it any different than when the weir was there? It would be good to know it, to see if the numbers have increased or decreased, depending on that weir that was there. Thank you.

MR. FOLEY: Through the Chair, Councilman Naneng. I'm not certain, did you say that Kwethluk Weir? Is that right? And it is my understanding you also mentioned that Kwethluk Weir is not in operation this year. Is that correct? Is that what you said?

MR. NANENG: It was not in operation earlier this summer because of, I think, high water was one of the concerns. So, it would be good to at least try and get a comparison of the number of fish that may have made it to the spawning grounds versus the time when the weir was in operation earlier, like in the previous years. You get my question?

MR. FOLEY: Through the Chair, Councilman Naneng, I do understand your question. And there will be a report on the results from the year, whether or not that will specifically address the number of fish that made it past the weir site when the weir was not in operation. That's not very clear to me at this time. We can certainly look at that and maybe ask that or even see if that comes through in the report.

MR. NANENG: Yeah, I understand, but I know that aerial surveys are probably done to do a survey

on salmon that are going upriver, if not probably someone driving a boat or walking the streams and whatnot when they do the counts.

4 5

1

2

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Hoffman.

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. HOFFMAN: (In Native). Okay. We are -- the state of Alaska makes its rulings by what we call escapement, okay, and that's justified. My question is, what about the other tributaries such as Gweek in my fish camp? When I was a young boy, there were no fish in there. We never fished in there in my life, all my life. Now they're drifting right in my fish camp, a mile and a half above, inside Gweek. They're drifting, people. Now, I just mentioned your escapement, and they're making rules on their escapement. What about other tributaries like Gweek? (indiscernible) where they're fishing now? He goes and fishing there. Johnson River, they go in there and fish. If your numbers are wrong on your escapement due to the fact that the fish are going up other tributaries now, why are you still using wherever you go as considered escapement to justify whether we fish or we don't fish without having the information of the other tributaries that the fish are going up now and harming our people by saying escapement was low? Well, they're going up the other tributaries now, and I know why, and I'd rather not get into that because take, for example, Tuluksak River. I used to go up there with 120 horse full blast all the way. Then they started their weir, and the weirs created obstructions to the main flow of water, and which created sandbars down below the weirs. And same way that we learned the example in Brown Slough; they put barges in there, now it's shallow. And the reason for this is obstructing the main flow of water creating sandbars. The Stony River people on radio tried to inform the people that since the fish are not going up the way to the weirs anymore because of the shallow water at the beginning of the tributary, where the fish go way up there and spawn. They think because it's so shallow way up, there is no water at all. That's why the Stony River people informed me that the fish are mingling around the mouth of Tuluksak now. And now, like I stated, they're going up the other tributaries, which hurts what you guys are calling escapement. Now, if you have no machines up in Gweek and down in Kialiq, have you been telling the informing the people of the wrong escapement number because of the fact you don't have no counting systems in Gweek and in Kialig? And I don't want to hear, oh, they're just in there just for a little while, mingling

around. They're fishing in there, mile and a half up now. So, I was just trying to give you a little more information on you guys ruling by escapement, and that you are not counting all the fish because they're going up other tributaries. It would enhance yourselves if you would conduct weirs in all the tributaries now, just to be assured, you're not hurting the people by what you call escapement. Thank you.

8 9 10

1

5

6

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Hoffman. Go ahead.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. FOLEY: Through the Chair. Councilman Hoffman, thank you very much for those observations and bringing that to the attention of OSM. I would also like to respond by saying that that's exactly why we're having these conversations, it's so that we can identify these areas where there are information needs. And from your testimony, it sounds as if there is an information need on the abundance, the numbers, and the types of fish that are going up in these other tributaries that we may not have any information on or very little information on to support what the runs are doing in this area. So that might be something for the Council to think about going forward with developing PINs is whether or not looking at these tributaries, maybe identifying specific tributaries and saying, yes, we would like to have some type of assessment information on this particular river for these particular types of fish. Thank you.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

MR. HOFFMAN: Yeah, that's the reason ma'am. Just to answer his question, that's the reason I'm informing you that they are now fishing in there already and they're down in Kialiq. I have a fisherman over there that fishes in Kialiq. And already by doing this, they already hurt our people by denying us fishing privileges due to your low escapement. And we already got hurt. And that's all? There is no payback or whatever? It's done. No offense, but because of the fact that the state is not counting all the fish as far as escapement, which is the ruling, I would really appreciate it if the state would -- if they want to count escapement, count all the tributaries. And it would be only fair and honest to the tribes of the Yukon and the Kuskokwim, and not just employment for the state of Alaska people.

46 47 48

49

50

MS. KENNER: Thank you, member Hoffman. For the record, this is Pippa Kenner through the Chair. Yeah, so, I just wanted to let you know that there have

been new weirs started on the Kuskokwim River in the last ten, twenty years. One is on the salmon fork of the Pitka River, upriver, where because of the closures to the harvest of chinook salmon, more chinook salmon have been making it up the river and into the Pitka River. And our program has in the past and hopefully will in the future, help keep some of these smaller -- keep weirs on some of these smaller tributaries, like the Takotna and the Tuluksak. And we always have a Priority Information Need for not just weirs, but other ways of assessing escapement. And we invite people to give us proposals that address that Priority Information Need. It's probably the most important information need on the Kuskokwim, and that's kind of acknowledged by everybody, is to get as accurate a count of escapement as we can using good methods, including weirs. So, I just wanted to assure you that that is on OSM's radar. We don't manage, we are a regulatory public process, and we also have a grant arm that provides money to stimulate research into areas that otherwise wouldn't be funded because it's focused on subsistence. And so, thank you very much for your comments. You're making us feel good about what we've got on this list now. So, thank you. Which will be presented, oh, there it is. Yeah. So, it's the one -- oh that's Yukon. Do we have the Kuskokwim?

Rely. It's one, two, three, four, five, five bullets down or now it's one, two, three, four bullets down. It says reliable quantitative or qualitative estimates of salmon run size escapement and harvest in the entire Kuskokwim River watershed, including Kuskokwim Bay tributaries.

MR. PETER: Madam Chair.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Go ahead. Kevin might be answering questions that we're going to ask anyway.

MR. FOLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. I just wanted to draw our attention sort of to the process of reviewing the PINs, if I may. And I just wanted to point out that the group that we discussed earlier, the individuals, the representatives from the RACs that we had worked through some 2022 and the 2024 Priority Information Needs, previously funded projects, and some data gaps. And the resulting draft list is what we're looking at. Or at least one of the draft lists is what we're looking at on the screen, but I believe also has been handed out to the Council members as a handout. And

I wanted to ask the Council how you would like to proceed. We can work through these, I guess the list of PINs by region, one by one, and make final edits as we go. And then I would offer that after the Council has approved any Priority Information Needs for the Yukon, then we move on to the Kuskokwim region. And I would recommend to the Council that after we have reviewed all the Priority Information Needs that the Council put forward a motion to approve the list, including any changes that you would like to see made to the list. At which point the 2026 Priority Information Needs will be final and then published in our notice of funding opportunity. So once again, I'm sort of the question before the Council is whether or not you would like to read the PINs within the Kuskokwim region. I'm sorry, the Yukon region to yourselves or if you would prefer that I read them out loud, enter into a discussion, and then make changes. Thank you, Madam Chair.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Would we be able to stick to our agenda? We had the Yukon first and then the Kuskokwim, if that's okay with you. So, we stay in order of our agenda as approved. Go ahead, Mr. Peter. And then Mr. Naneng.

MR. PETER: Yeah, Kevin -- Kevin and Pippa. You mentioned about these tributaries, Takotna. I know all the tributaries upriver, Devil's Elbow, Swift River and down below is the Stony River and Holitna. One time I went to Holitna, I ended up in the lake. There is a fence at the entrance of the lake. When I see it, me and my brother went up there, and then my brother showed me there a lot of red fish on the -- trying to get into the Lake Fish. And that Lake Fish still operating in the Holitna, Kevin?

MR. FOLEY: Through the Chair. Councilman Peter, can you repeat the name of the creek and or the lake, please? I'm not aware of -- I'm sorry.

MR. FOLEY: Hoholitna?

MS. MCDAVID: Hoholitna, in the Holitna?

MR. PETER: Yea, Hotlina.

MS. MCDAVID: Can you tell Kevin where

48 that is?

1 2 MR. NANENG: It's the only river that 3 flows to the north. 4 5 (Simultaneous speech) 6 7 It's right across from Sleetmute. And 8 it goes -- it's the only river that flows north. 9 10 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Kevin, there 11 used to be a weir up there. I believe they pulled that weir in, decided to use one, one of the weirs that we 12 13 had that was over 20 years of data. And when we had the 14 prices, not our prices, but our grant funding cut, that's one of the weirs that they pulled. 15 16 17 Sorry. MR. MOSES: Ι was gone 18 (indiscernible) I was printing stuff, so could someone 19 repeat the question, please? I was gone. Sorry. 20 21 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: So, the first 22 question was in regard to the tributaries of Gweek. And 23 what's the other one? 24 25 MR. HOFFMAN: Kialiq. 26 27 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Kialiq. 28 Regarding.... 29 30 MS. KENNER: We got that. 31 32 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS:okay. 33 So, he wanted to know -- catch him up. Yeah. So, they 34 were talking about people drifting in those, and if they 35 affect the escapement numbers. So, and right now we're 36 currently talking about the Kuskokwim and the tributary 37 of Hoholitna. If there's a -- we're still currently up 38 there. 39 40 MR. PETER: (Indiscernible) Holitna. 41 42 (Simultaneous speech) 43 44 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Sorry, 45 Holitna, the Holitna. 46 MR. MOSES: Thank you. Just for the 47 this is Aaron Moses. I'm the subsistence 48 record, 49 coordinator. There is a weir up the Holitna on the

Kogrukluk, and that was operating until, I think until August 11th.

MR. PETER: Still operating?

3 4 5

1

2

MR. MOSES: I don't think it's operating right now, but it operated this year.

6 7 8

9

MR. PETER: The other thing, how far is the George River, how far is it the weir from the mouth -- from the George River? How far is it?

10 11 12

MR. MOSES: I'm not 100% sure. It's -- I'll get back to you on that. I'll look it up for you.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

MR. PETER: Yeah, quyana. I know all the rivers, upriver. And then they mentioned -- Bobby was mentioning about those tributaries. They're tributaries. The Gweek River is not a spawning ground. And the Johnson and the (In Native) Kialiq, they're not they're not tributaries, they're not spawning. And then, I always wonder in August when I start berry picking, I see Department of Fish and Game going up there. I don't know why. My question is, what they're doing in that Gweek? Those two people going up to, maybe they're observing something. That's why I always wonder. I always wonder, and sometimes I was thinking about maybe they're tracking whitefish or something, salmon. And these not spawning tributaries, they open up only for the consumption and also for the fish which we keep. We set our net and drift. Me and my cousin, they used to have a fish camp by the mouth of the Gweek when we were young. We used to drift in there to catch chums and reds and sometimes king salmon. We used to drift when we -they got -- they used to have a fish camp up there. So, my father brought me down to help my iluq to fish for the family of my iluq. And then, the other question will be the operation of the weir in Tuluksak. I never heard of it for quite a while about weir in Tuluksak.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. Yeah, as for the non-spawning tributaries, Fish and Wildlife doesn't have any projects going on there. We did -- we do have law enforcement that would go up and check, go up into those areas just to do patrols. And so, I'm pretty sure that's what, what that is, is just people -- our law enforcement guys going up and doing regular river patrols. As for the George River, I just looked it up. It's four miles from the mouth of the George River. And as for Tuluksak Weir, it hasn't been running in at least five years, it ran out of funding. And this

year, we to -- this year the Refuge, along with Kenai FES, we pulled almost all the infrastructure out of Tuluksak this summer, and so we have no plans at this point of restarting it unless we are able to find funding for that. So, yeah.

5 6 7

8

9

2

MR. PETER: And the other one, there's no weir in Kisaralik and Kasigluk, but I know that the flyer plane and survey those fish that are going spawn, they still do that? They still do that?

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. Yes, Fish and Game, Sam Decker -- I don't think she's here. Oh, she'll be back, and she'll be able to -- she's the one that does all those aerial counts. And she -- they also fly rivers that have weirs just to proof and get numbers for that. So pretty much every single, for the most part, tributaries, are aerial counted.

18 19 20

MR. PETER: Quyana Mr. -- Madam Chairman.

21

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Hoffman.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

MR. HOFFMAN: You know, that's what I've been talking about, escapement. And we are justified whether to fish or not to fish due to what we call escapement. Okay, you know how far Tuluksak and Holitna and all them other weirs are? The fish isn't going to jump on a plane and fly up there. It takes them weeks to get there. And yet we hear the regulators stating,, oh, you can't fish low escapement. They never got there yet, they're so far up there. And that's another problem that we have, the ruling, the regulations, and versus the time and distance to get there. You know, it harms the tribes down way down here below. And by the time they get their escapement count, the fish are gone. And you already harm the tribes below. It's so sad the way they do it. And we have to take what we're told to do. It's again, it's so sad. But we're justified because that's the truth. And as long as it's the truth, you can't take it out. I'm an elder, use my knowledge. No, that's too much. So, I'm just trying to say that the distance between the escapement counts and where we are; the tribe's lower end are getting hurt because of the fact that the escapement count hasn't even started yet and the fish are passing by to let us -- allow us to fish. And it gets so far down the line it's already fall, and I don't want to -- I'm sad to hear you can fish all you want now when we don't need them anymore,

it's too late. Well, that's another harm, sad but it's okay. No offense. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Yeah.

(Simultaneous speech)

Go ahead.

MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. Yeah, I'll be giving my management presentation later on, so I'll be able to answer a bunch of questions for you later. And -- but I do know from working up on the Kwethluk that it does take two weeks for shinook salmon at least to go to make it from the Johnson River to Kwethluk River. We did telemetry on them about almost ten years ago now. So, we do know how long it takes for fish to make it to certain areas. I don't know how long -- I know it takes a lot longer to get up to Holitna and that, but a lot of the weirs that we do use is -- we don't use them in-season. We use them post-season to see how successful we were. And so, this year a lot of the weirs were underwater during their peak passage. So, we probably won't get a real picture of what this summer looked like. But we have other projects that we use, like the Sonar and Bethel Tester to figure out how it went. And I'll get that -- into that when I do my presentation later on.

MR. HOFFMAN: Yeah, we're hearing you're digging a deeper hole, so we better stop there.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Okay, folks, I'm gonna call this meeting back to order. That way we on track. We want to make sure we're staying on schedule. Let's go ahead and I -- you were -- I did have a question. I heard you over say hatchery.

MR. FOLEY: Madam Chair. Yes, I was in my explanation of projects that are or are not funded. I did use the word hatchery, and it is, in fact, one of the research priorities that falls outside of the scope of our managing program. So therefore, the FRMP would not fund projects that -- let's see, where do we have here? We have restoration and enhancement or hatchery propagation. So that would not be considered under the FMP funding. Thank you, Madam Chair.

 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you for the clarification. You made my heart skip. All right. Did you want to go ahead and move into the Yukon?

MR. FOLEY: Madam Chair. Yes. I'm wondering if you would -- if the Council would like for me to read the PINs individually and address them, or if you would prefer to read them on your own and come forward with discussion on PINs.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: What's the word of the Council? Okay. If you could, go ahead and read them and do the description of them or do a summary and then your review. That way everyone can understand and ask questions as we go.

MR. FOLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Council. I will now read through the Yukon Region Draft Priority Information Needs for the 2026 cycle, which are -- may be found in your handout. The -- and I'd like to point out that these are not in any particular order of importance, they just are. First in the list is impacts of climate change to harvest and use of fish, and impacts of climate change on fish, for example, impacts on fish migration, spawning, and life cycle.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Naneng.

NANENG: Yeah. Thank you, Chair. I fished at Hooper Bay and also at Black River and at Scammon Bay. And Hooper Bay is impacted by the winter winds, if it's northwest winds during the majority of the time, it also impacts the lower Yukon, especially Black River, because the winds are blowing the Yukon River water along the coast. At times at Hooper Bay, we don't catch any salmon because if it's easterly winds or south winds for most of the winter, that water, Yukon water, is not going along the coast. It misses Hooper Bay, Black River, and most of the fish end up migrating up either through the middle mouth or the north mouth. So, there's historical records about that. And that's one of the impacts of migration of salmon that go to the Yukon River. So, that's something that is historically known. And I think that if you take a look at the predominant winds during the wintertime, you'll find out that the villages of Hooper, Chevak and Scammon got enough salmon that summer and the number of salmon that may have been harvested commercially when the commercial fisheries was open on the Yukon, if Black

River was catching quite a number of fish. But I've seen cycles where you have and/or you have not, and it's impacted by the winter winds. So, keep that in mind as part of your climate impact studies. It's not just the

river system itself, but how the water flows out of the Yukon into the Bering Sea.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Hoffman. I have a question for you. How many of these PINs are you going to approve this year? All of them? Go ahead.

MS. KENNER: Thank you, Madam Chair. We -- this is Pippa, for the record. We suggest not having a long list because it's hard for people to focus on a long list. But it's up to you. It's up to the Council.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: I guess what I'm trying to get at is how much funding do we have in order to -- how much can we provide in regard to these projects? And if it's possible, could we start getting how much an estimate for these projects are so we know which ones we can start evaluating and being able to understand how much money we have in order to prioritize our projects, not just based on description?

KENNER: Yes again, through the Chair. This is Pippa. So, your point is well taken. So, we have to remember that we have to receive applications based on these priorities. So, what we suggest is, well, excuse me, let me back up. We do not rank these priorities. These are supposed to be the top priorities. And that's why you see so much Priority Information Needs around salmon. Because that is considered the priority information about salmon runs, are considered the priority. And within that we need to know run size. We need to know escapement, and we need to know harvest. You need those three pieces of information to then forecast what run size will be in the future. So, we as an organization, our funding fluctuates, so first, what we do when we get money is we fund the projects that are already in the water. So, projects last from 2 to 4 years. So, when we get money, what we do is we first fund projects that are already ongoing and have been approved and have been funded for a first year, and we fund their second year. With money left over for the Kuskokwim, about 29% of it, about 30% of it is a guideline amount that will go to the Kuskokwim projects. And depending on how much those projects cost, we may

be able to fund maybe 2 or 3. That could change, but that's generally what we can do. Maybe one more, depending on how much those projects cost. From year to year, we don't know what our funding level will be, but

that -- we're considering that maybe a good estimate for you.

 $\label{eq:ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you for the clarification, Pippa. Next bullet. \\$

MR. FOLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. The next bullet in the list of Yukon Priority Information Needs is knowledge of population, reproduction, and health of spawning habitat for Bering cisco and humpback whitefish.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Naneng.

MR. NANENG: Yeah, thank you, Madam Chair. Is this only for the in-river system, or do you include the whole district, why one? Meaning, does it include also what's being cut down at Black River because it's considered to be part of the Yukon River? And also, for the last five years or so, Fish and Wildlife has been doing catch studies around two -- south of Hooper Bay or west of Hooper Bay for fish that are migrating towards the Yukon River.

MS. KENNER: Thank you. Through the Chair, this is Pippa Kenner. So, the big picture view of these whitefish studies is that there -- about 20 years ago, there began to be an acknowledgement that whitefish in some areas are even more important than salmon, and that we need to focus some funding to whitefish. And so, we at OSM funded a strategic plan that went around and talked to people in the villages about what they're seeing and where the need is for assessing whitefish populations. And so, this is a general Priority Information Need. It's not directed towards any one place on the river. What we require is that the person who applies for that funding, they tell us where the funding needs to go, and they have to make an argument about why this is the project to fund on whitefish. And that includes requirements that they confer with villages when they're doing this. So, thank you for the question.

MR. NANENG: I have a follow-up question. Yukon River is not limited only to the south mouth, the

middle mouth, or the north mouth. We got up Aphrewn and Kashunuk River that go all the way up to Pilot Station. And I think that if we're going to try and do some studies regarding some of these, Aphrewn and Kashunuk

5 6

1

2

should be included because they're part of the Yukon River.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

MS. KENNER: Myron, member Myron, through the Chair, this is Pippa Kenner. Thank you for that question. So, the Yukon area, what we're doing is we're proposing information needs for the entire Yukon management area. And so, what happens is we bring the three Councils together, Eastern Interior, Western Interior, and YK Delta, to develop PINs on the Yukon. So, these are not just for the lower river. They are for the entire river. And the reason why we do that is because fish at the mouth are going to, you know, people are harvesting upriver, fish that come in at the mouth. So, the information we have about them at the mouth is important to -- for management of upriver fisheries. So, we bring volunteers from those three Councils together. Currently, we have -- our technical review panel that looks at these proposals, they've approved a project to do an assessment of the salmon in the Kong [sic] and Kashunuk River because there are questions about where are the salmon in those rivers? Do they spawn in those rivers, or are they really headed for the Yukon? And therefore, those communities in that area must be managed with the Yukon, which means that those communities, that area must be closed when the Yukon closes. So, we want to find out what is the status of those salmon. So, currently we have an approved project for that area. Thank you to member Slats and others, and -- but we ran out of money, but those are still priorities to be funded if money becomes available this year.

41

42

43

44

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Naneng, I just want to let you know if you or your tribe wants to put in a proposal to work on finding that information you're asking about, you're going to have to put an application in proposal into the Office of Subsistence Management requesting that information for those specific rivers.

45 46 47

48

49

50

MR. NANENG: And the reason why I'm asking these questions is because sometimes Hooper, Chevak, and Scammon are forgotten as part of being the Yukon River villages that are restricted or monitored

by either the state or the feds in the monitoring of salmon that are going up the Yukon River. So, I don't want our villages to be what you call the forgotten communities when they're included in the restrictions that are being placed on the whole Yukon River system.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right, let's go ahead and move on.

MR. FOLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. The next bullet in the list. Estimates of chinook, summer chum, fall chum, and coho salmon escapements and/or harvests.

ACTING CHAIR ROGERS: Next.

MR. FOLEY: Distribution, abundance, condition, and survival of juvenile and out-migrating salmon in the Yukon River drainage. Increase understanding of the abundance, distribution, migration patterns, and spawning locations of chinook and chum salmon in the Innoko River.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Question. I'm -- so I'm looking at this. And what why is that bolded out compared to the rest of them? Is there a higher priority for this PIN or was it just a printing error? Go. Go ahead. Brooke.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Madam Chair. The bolded language represents PINs that are new that weren't on the list last year. The other PINs are carryovers from the previous year, or PIN -- or parts of previous PINs that have been slightly modified based on the working group that met a couple weeks ago. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you for the clarification. You may continue.

MR. FOLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. So, the next item in the bulleted list is non-lethal estimates of quality of escapement for chinook salmon, for example, potential egg deposition, age, sex, and size composition of spawners, weight and girth of spawners, percentage of females, percentage of jacks, and spawning habitat usage with an emphasis on Canadian origin stocks.

 Next bullet. Community-based monitoring of salmon and resident species, presence, abundance, life history patterns, harvests, genetics and age, sex length, composition, incidental and delayed mortality from entanglements and dropouts, habitat restoration needs and/or environmental variables in tributaries to better understand fish and keep users engaged during years of limited fishing opportunities.

Next bullet, in-season estimates of genetic stock composition of chinook, summer chum, and fall chum salmon runs.

Next, traditional ecological knowledge of fishes, for example, to identify salmon spawning and/or rearing locations and expand the anadromous waters catalog.

Next, advance genetic baselines for chinook, summer Chum, fall Chum, and Coho salmon by screening additional populations and novel genetic markers to improve the accuracy, precision, and scale of stock composition estimates to inform stock assessment for Yukon River fisheries at the tributary level.

Next, funding to facilitate interagency, tribal, and stakeholder forums for gathering and sharing input on fishery management issues, including cross-jurisdictional and comanagement of salmon.

Next seasonal salmon life stage usage of tidal tributaries, draining the Yukon Coastal District through an interdisciplinary approach documenting traditional ecological knowledge and biological surveys in order to update the anadromous waters catalog and improve management's understanding of salmon in these streams.

Next, and the final bullet in the list of Yukon PINs Priority Information Needs is a meta-analysis of existing information and research examining the relative importance of freshwater, for example, predation, stranding, heat, stress, and marine, for example, environmental conditions, bycatch, interception factors in causing declines of Yukon River chinook and chum salmon and/or resident species to present at relevant Regional Advisory Council meetings. So, Madam Chair, that completes the list of PINs of the

Priority Information Needs for the Yukon region. Are there any questions the Council has about this list or changes that you would like to make?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Naneng.

MR. NANENG: Yeah, thank you, Madam Chair. The reason why I brought up Kashunuk and Aphrewn as part of being part of the Yukon River is the Sonar is located at Pilot Station, which is about 80 miles upriver from the mouth of the Yukon. Meaning the north middle mouth, south mouth, and north mouth, they're about 80 miles upriver. And we know due to the people that have gone subsistence fishing at Aphrewn and/or Kashunuk, they catch salmon. And nowadays, the test fisheries that's operated by state of Alaska, is located at Big Eddy, which is right across from Emmonak. It's from the years and observations of traveling through the Yukon in the past I've seen a lot of changes of sandbars where they weren't there before, but the following year they were there. And I'm sure the Big Eddy at Emmonak is not like it used to be. Are those being monitored or still being used as a way of monitoring the salmon when changes have occurred?

I know they can't move, but it's similar to here in the Kuskokwim. Strait Slough, here on the Kuskokwim, you catch sometimes more salmon than you do on the main stem, where the test fishers are being run by Fish and Game. But they say they're using those test sites because of their historical records. And it may not be a true picture, because sometimes those test fisheries show a lower number than what is being reported at the Sonar at Pilot Station. And it impacts our people on lower Yukon and on the coast. That's why I'm bringing these questions up.

 $\mbox{ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you,} \\ \mbox{Mr. Naneng. Ms. Carol.}$

MS. CAROLL: Hi, Myron, I might have you sort of restate your question, but one of the things I want to first address is the test fishery data will always be lower than the count at Pilot because it's not a count. It is just a measure of relative efficiency of catch. So, they're incomparable. And the other thing is that because of our concerns about salmon mortality in these really, really poor runs, we've reduced the fisheries greatly, the test fisheries. So, for instance, there is no chinook test fishery at the lower Yukon Test

Fish. And that was -- excuse me, there's no chinook setnet anymore. And that was really the only truly effective net at catching the chinook and giving us a pretty good CPUE. So, you're going to see pretty poor catches there. But you're right, we're always going to have issues, especially during high water or changing water flows, catching fish effectively. But when it comes to managing the fishery in the current state of affairs, where we're literally looking at full closures, there would not be any commercial opportunity. We don't need those test fishery data, quite frankly, to manage the fishery effectively. What we do need is to count at Pilot and those counts are good enough to indicate what type of surpluses might be there, which is almost no surplus. When we needed those test fisheries the most was when there were commercial fisheries being managed. And we need to know exactly when pulses were coming in and where. And so, quite honestly, I don't think that how the test fishery is run at Emmonak is affecting subsistence harvest. But I wanted to circle back and address something you were saying about these questions, this complete lack of data that we have about these coastal communities, a lot of these tributaries that are changing. And I don't know if you missed the update yesterday from Alida Trainor, but there's a very welldesigned study to look at the Chevak area, the Kashunuk and Kong [sic] Rivers that would look at using oral history data, interviews with locals, use patterns, as well as doing some standard anadromous waters testing. And that project is a top priority for me as a manager because we are unable to effectively address these questions the coastal communities are asking for. And so, I was pretty bummed to see that OSM didn't get the opportunity to fund that this year, it was going to be. As they might have explained, I'm currently looking for other funding to fund it if it's not funded by OSM because it's that much of a priority. And then on the side, we've been talking with basically Chevak and Hooper about could we conduct studies? They'd like to conduct studies. And I've been in touch with them saying that if they're willing to have a meeting and discuss what questions they want answered, we could probably work together to design something and find funding. It's been, not much follow-through on finding a meeting and having those discussions, but we've definitely been in contact with at least two of the communities off and on about doing that. So, we hear you about the priority. Do you have a further question about the test fishery that I might not have answered?

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. NANENG: Well, the test fishery I know is important, but being located like 80 miles upriver can have an impact on what may be allowable for subsistence fishing for those on the coastal villages, as well as those around the mouth of the Yukon. So, I know that around here we try and fish as much as we can before they close it on June, depending on June 1 or June 1st. But also, you know, the monitoring that goes all the way out to Hooper Bay by state of Alaska or Fish and Wildlife Service, that impacts the people's ability to harvest salmon for food and that's the reason why I raised these questions and concerns, because using both the in the past use of the Emmonak test fishery as well as Pilot Station, they used to announce what may be available for commercial fishing, but the commercial fishing is closed. I haven't fished there since 2016 as a commercial fisherman. I haven't fished there as a subsistence fisherman since 2018. Not as a commercial, but subsistence fishing. And so, it impacts our people. I know a lot of people got a lot of chum salmon this summer using deep nets because of more abundance than there was a year or two ago. So, but there were times when that Hooper Bay, they were completely nothing that was harvestable because of the -- what I stated earlier, the predominant winds being from the south or even from the east. So, but on one more item that I see on your list regarding genetic studies, are there comparisons being made of salmon that may be intercepted bound for these river systems like the Yukon or further north? That impact -- may impact the return of spawning salmon to the river systems, or is it just limited to the inriver genetic studies?

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

1 2

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

MS. CAROLL: Yeah, through the Chair. So currently most of the genetic research is done in-river, but we actually want to do some unique research to answer a couple different questions. So, one would be like, if we could design a study to test the genetics of the fish in the coastal communities, that would get to some of these answers. Where are they heading? Or similarly, we're struggling with being able to pull out our chum from the western Alaska stocks. So, Bristol Bay, Kuskokwim and the Yukon are lumped into one genetic group currently. We don't have the technology to sort of say, oh, that's a summer chum headed for the Yukon. So, there are some studies sort of being thought about and designed to try to address that. But so far, it's kind of a conundrum that we don't have the ability to pull that out. So, leaving that as a priority need allows, if that study is designed and we're thinking about one from our

office it, it allows people to put in for this funding, and it is one of the main problems with the bycatch issue is that we can't separate out our Kuskokwim chums or our Yukon summer chums. So that is an area where we need to improve baselines. But currently most of what we do is in-river.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Due to the time constraint. We're going to go ahead and pull --Myron you can talk with Holly aside on the different. We're going to go ahead and do the Kuskokwim. We are on a time constraint, and I want to make sure we're going through our agenda. This is the first item on our agenda today, and we have spent roughly 2 to 3 hours on it already. Let's go ahead and move on to the Kuskokwim. I would like you to go ahead and read the PINs. And then if we have any questions or answers in the end, please hold your questions or comments at the end after he's done giving the description of the PINs. Thank you.

MR. FOLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. If I may, this is an action item, and I would I'm wondering if the Council would prefer to make a motion to approve both the Yukon and the Kuskokwim at the end, or if you would prefer -- yes, I'm seeing a head nod; therefore, I'm moving on. Thank you, Madam Chair. So, now that we've made it through the Upper Yukon list, let's jump into the draft Kuskokwim Priority Information Needs for 2026 cycle. Once again, these are presented in no particular order. First in the list: Drivers of chinook, chum, and coho salmon population declines in the Kuskokwim River drainage, including Kuskokwim Bay tributaries.

Next, chinook, chum, coho, and pink salmon, inter [sic] and intra-species specific competition for resources in freshwater and marine environments.

Next, northern pike distribution, abundance, habitat preferences, and predation patterns upon juvenile salmon and/or rainbow trout in the Kuskokwim River watershed, including Kuskokwim Bay tributaries, for example, the Kanektok River.

Next, establish, develop, maintain, and collect long-term data sets of watershed-scale environmental variables to better understand their effects upon chinook, chum, and coho salmon productivity

within the Kuskokwim River watershed, including Kuskokwim Bay tributaries.

Next, reliable quantitative and/or qualitative estimates of salmon run size, escapement, and harvest in the entire Kuskokwim River watershed, including Kuskokwim Bay tributaries.

Next, explore new and cost-effective methods for conducting in-season salmon run and harvest assessments in the Kuskokwim River drainage, with an emphasis on community-based monitoring.

Next, distribution, abundance, condition, and survival of juvenile and out-migrating chinook, chum, and coho salmon in the Kuskokwim River drainage.

And the last bullet on the list, impacts of environmental change in continued harvest and use of fish, and impacts of climate change on fish, for example, fish migration, spawning, life cycle, and abundance. Madam Chair, that completes the list of information needs for the Kuskokwim region. Are there any questions the Council has about this list or changes that you would like to see made?

 $\label{eq:ACTING_CHAIRPERSON_ROGERS: Thank you,} $$\operatorname{Mr. Kevin. Ms. Clevel}$ and.$

MS. CLEVELAND: Thank you, through the Chair. Kevin, I wanted to point out bullet number — it's not numbered, but it's three, northern pike distribution, abundance, habitat preferences, and predation patterns upon juvenile salmon and rainbow trout in the Kuskokwim River watershed, including our river, Kanektok River. I just wanted to point out that by saying rainbow trout, it's very specific because we have other species such as dolly varden, whitefish, grayling in the mix. So, I'm not sure how to reword this; trout, char, whitefish, grayling, I don't know. Or do you want the, you know, rainbow trout, dolly varden, whitefish, grayling. Just depending on — but I wanted to add those other species. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Cleveland.

MR. FOLEY: Through the Chair. Councilwoman Cleveland, we can make those changes. We

can have them specifically point out, I believe we're taking notes presently on those species you've indicated. Or if the Council prefers, we can simply state other fishes such that.....

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Sorry to interrupt, but I believe that her point is valid in regard to other species. And I think that keeping it sweet and simple of "other species" instead of having them detailed out is going to be a lot more, give a lot more room and space for people to be specific about what the projects are. Thank you.

MR. FOLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. With the permission of the Council, may I read back the PIN as suggested? Thank you. Northern pike distribution, abundance, habitat preferences, and predation patterns upon juvenile salmon and other fishes in the Kuskokwim River watershed, including Kuskokwim Bay tributaries, for example Kanektok River. Does that sound correct?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you. Any further comments, questions, or changes? Go ahead, Mr. Parks.

MR. PARKS: Madam Chair, quyana. Henry, for the record. On bullet number one and bullet number two, and number seven. Can't we include the red salmons? Because all I see is chinook, chum and coho. Quyana.

MR. FOLEY: Quyana through the Chair. Councilman Parks. Yes, we can, Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Foley. Any further comments or questions at this time? Okay. Hearing none. Thank you, Mr. Foley. We're going to go ahead and go on to our next -- oh, wait. I'm sorry. Go ahead. Oh, sorry. I need a motion on the floor to accept the Yukon and Kuskokwim region draft Priority Information Needs, as amended. I need a motion on the floor.

MS. CLEVELAND: I move.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Cleveland.

MR. PETERS: Madam Chair, I'd like to make a motion to accept those two reports.

1 2 a second? ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Do you want

3 4

MS. CLEVELAND: I'll second.

5 6

7

8

9

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you. We have a motion on the floor by Mr. Peters to accept the Yukon-Kuskokwim region draft Priority Information Needs, as amended. And the second by Ms. Cleveland. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

10 11 12

IN UNISON: Aye.

13 14

15

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All those opposed signify by saying nay. Motion passes. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Foley.

16 17 18

MR. FOLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, members of the Council.

19 20 21

22

23

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Next on the agenda item, we're going to go to item number C annual report. Our Council coordinator Brooke, you have the floor.

24 25 26

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'm just going to pass out some documents real quick.

27 28 29

(Pause)

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

So, for folks online, we are passing out a copy of the draft annual report reply from the Federal Subsistence Board to the Council's FY 2023 Annual Report, and that can be found on our website under the YK Delta meeting materials. And that address is doi.gov/subsistence; and you can navigate to the regions to Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta and then meeting materials. This report has not yet been finalized, or this reply has not yet been finalized by the start of this meeting. But the Council will be receiving a final copy by mail or by email in the coming weeks. But we wanted to go ahead and go through last year's report with you and also talk about FY-24 report. Before we get into going through the replies, I did want to remind the Council this first handout is about your annual reports and the authority of the Council as stated in ANILCA, to bring regional subsistence uses and needs to the attention of the Secretary of Interior through preparation of an annual report. And the Secretaries have delegated to the Federal Subsistence Board the responsibility to consider

this report and recommendations of the Council. So, some of the things that you can include in your annual reports are listed here in the bullets; identifying subsistence uses and needs of Fish and Wildlife, evaluating current subsistence and anticipated needs, recommending strategies for the management of Fish and Wildlife populations, recommending -- recommendations concerning policies, standards, quidelines, and regulations to implement those strategies. Our leadership has been discussing ways to possibly make the annual reports a little more efficient, and they have some suggestions for the Council as you're developing your report for this coming year. And that would be to indicate clearly whether a topic is informational to the Board or if you are asking for an action from the Federal Subsistence Board. They also have asked you to consider if there are actions that an agency represented on the Board could take, that perhaps it would be better to direct correspondence directly to that agency. And then you can just -- oh.

202122

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

1

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Are logging back in? Sorry, folks, we are having some technical difficulties. Let's go ahead and take a fiveminute break at this time. We do want to make sure we're running through our agenda items. Please be thinking about items you would like to discuss directly with the Federal Subsistence Board, items that you want to address, and then we'll take it up at the end of the meeting, all of our topics, and we'll go ahead and do that because we have a lot more stuff to go through. And I'm sure you're going to be brainstorming and needing to put more information into our letter. So, we'll go ahead and take a couple minutes break here while we're getting logged back in.

35 36 37

(Off record)

38 39

(On record)

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Folks let's go ahead and get started with our agenda. Go ahead and take your seats at this time. We currently only have voice capability at this time. We are unable to share our screen due to technical difficulty and internet connectivity. We are going to continue trying to share our screen so folks online can see. The time now is 11:44. We're going to go ahead and resume our meeting. Go ahead, Brooke.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Madam Chair. Before we got cut off, I believe I was just making -passing on some recommendations about report clarity that came from the Board and our leadership team. And that was for this year's annual report to please clearly indicate whether a topic is informational, just for the Board's awareness or if you would like a response or action from the Board. And if a response is requested, the Council should clearly articulate what it is that they are asking for, whether it's action or information. Also, if the Council could consider whether or not it would be Board action or response, or if you would like a specific response from one of the agencies represented on the Board, it might be more prudent to write directly to the agency and then just let the Board know that you did send that letter. They're just trying to avoid getting letters sent to the Board and also included in the annual report and asking for a reply to the letter and a reply to the annual report. So just trying to make that a little more efficient. And let's see. Also, there was a recommendation that if you want something elevated to the Secretaries that that be sent as correspondence because there isn't currently a clear process to elevate topics that are included in the annual report. Whereas there is a process in place to elevate correspondence. So those are just a few things to note as we work through this year's annual report. But before we get to that, I did want to review with you the draft response from the Board for your last year's annual report. And that's that thicker packet that stapled together. You all had thirteen topics of concern in your FY 2023 annual report, and I did put those up on the screen just as a summary, because this letter is quite long. But I'll just go through each of them real briefly and say a couple things, and then perhaps at the end, if you would like more information on some of them, you could ask questions. Okay. So, the first one was about the Arctic Yukon-Kuskokwim Salmon Crisis and as you know, this is not a topic that is new to the Council or to the AYK region. You requested several specific actions of the Board and elevation of issues. And also, at the joint Council meeting, there was a lot of discussion on this topic. And seven Councils submitted a letter together to the Board requesting elevation to the Secretary on this issue. The Board did meet with the Secretary in November 2023, and this topic was discussed at that meeting. And those letters were elevated after that meeting to the Secretaries again. The Board is still waiting on a response and guidance from the Secretaries. They're hoping to be able to meet with the Secretary of

1

2

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

Interior again. And the Counsel's in the joint Council 1 letter had also requested that the Chairs of the Councils 2 able to meet with the Secretary or representatives to discuss this issue. So, we still 5 haven't got a response back. We're hoping that there 6 will be an update by the next meeting. The second topic 7 in last year's report was Council member compensation, 8 and that was something that you all had requested to be 9 elevated previously. It was, and then it was elevated 10 again following the joint Council meeting. And that is 11 another topic that is with the Secretaries Offices' and 12 is being reviewed, and we're still waiting on a response. Topic three was Mulchatna Caribou Herd, and you let the 13 14 Board know about your continuing concerns about the herd 15 and about the proposal to Board of Game and your support 16 of the moratorium and about predator control. Topic four 17 was about lead shot distribution and outreach. You did 18 send a letter to -- oh, gosh VF Grace requesting that 19 they cease distribution to communities and storesa in 20 the region. The Refuge did respond that this is a topic 21 they've been working on doing outreach about. Also, 22 educating people about the harmful nature of lead shot. 23 And also, I believe the state of Alaska is working also 24 on a new outreach effort on lead shot. And unfortunately, 25 they weren't able to present about that at this meeting. 26 But hopefully in the spring or the winter 2025 meeting, 27 we could get them on the agenda to talk about that work. 28 Topic five was about the Donlin Gold mine, and you all 29 requested that there be a supplemental EIS completed 30 because of outdated information in the EIS and the lack 31 of tribal -- meaningful tribal consultation. You also 32 sent a letter to BLM about this, and BLM did respond. 33 There's a copy of their response in the back of this 34 report as a as an enclosure and BLM noted that the lead 35 agency for this was actually the Army Corps of Engineers 36 and that they also noted that this project is currently 37 under litigation and that they're not really able to 38 share any additional information at this time while 39 that's litigation is ongoing. I tried to reach out to 40 the Army Corps Engineers about an update, but I did not 41 hear back. I would assume that they would have a similar 42 response about the pending litigation and waiting for 43 the outcome of that before being able to address the 44 Council's concerns. Topic six was about ANCSA D1 land 45 withdrawals, and there is an update on that in that the 46 administration made a record or published the final EIS 47 and that chose the -- what's the word I'm looking for? It was like the no -- the status quo. So, to continue 48 49 to keep those protections in place and the final record 50 of decision has not yet been published, but it will --

1 it should be published this fall. It was expected this 2 month, but I haven't heard that it has come out yet. So, that's still pending. But it looks like it's going in the direction that the Council had hoped. Topic seven 5 was about spring flooding on the Kuskokwim and the timing 6 of subsistence fishing openers. The Board suggests that the Council or any Council members work with the in-8 season manager and the Fish Commission to address any 9 of those issues. But they thanked you for that 10 information and passed along your concerns to the inseason manager. Topic eight was about the four-inch mesh 11 12 size impacts to salmon and other fishes; the drop out 13 and the delayed mortality. And as you just heard on the 14 review of the PINs this primarily is an issue on the 15 Yukon, where four-inch mesh has been a gear type that's 16 been utilized in recent years during salmon closures. But the Board suggested that studies could be done on 17 18 the Yukon, and they provided some information about 19 studies that have been done in other regions. But there 20 isn't currently a study that or there hasn't been a 21 study yet on the Yukon on this. So, we'll have to wait 22 and see if any proposals are put in to do research on 23 that topic. Topic nine was about Leave No Trace and the 24 need for more outreach in Yukon Delta and Togiak Refuges. 25 The Refuges responded with how they currently do outreach on Leave No Trace, and they did say that they 26 27 are hoping to look for funding to develop a Refuge Leave 28 Trace educational video. Topic ten was about 29 increasing competition with non-local moose hunters and 30 concerns about trophy hunting. And we did hear more about 31 this topic from some of our Council members during this 32 this current meeting. So, one thing that the Refuge noted 33 that they have done to help address this is to limit --34 I'll just read one sentence from here. The Refuge limited 35 the commercial transporter air taxis on where they can 36 drop off hunters, typically non-local hunters, to areas 37 that are not boat accessible to try to avoid conflict 38 with local hunters traveling by boat. So that is one 39 direct action that the Refuge is currently taking. Also, 40 the Board wanted to note that at the last Board meeting, 41 they did keep the closure in place and the Kuskokwim 42 hunt area in Unit 18 as recommended by the Council, and 43 the Board also adopted the proposal that added the communities of Kipnuk, Kong, Kwig and Kwinhagak to the 44 45 list of communities that are eligible to hunt in that 46 area. Topic 11 was in regards to the loss of cranes near 47 Marshall. And although there hasn't been a specific study about the cranes at Marshall, the general data 48 49 about sandhill cranes in the region suggest that the 50 population is stable and that perhaps, maybe the cranes

have just found another area to utilize within the region. Topic 12 was about the use of technology at Council meetings, and OSM responded that we are currently trying to make improvements to the technology that we use. Sometimes we are limited by where we meet, and we are going to keep continuing to be able to integrate more video and visual presentations at the meetings. And topic 13, the Council has several suggestions for improving outreach and also presentation of analyses and a request for more Yup'ik translation. And this is something that OSM is also continuing to work on and improve. This summer was a big shift for us. There was a lot of changes happening with our move from U.S. Fish -- excuse me. I'm sorry, Madam Chair. It's hard to concentrate. Thanks. I just -- this is the last topic -- is that we will continue to try to work on these efforts, and we're always open for recommendations from the Council about better ways we can work with our interpreters, work with translators, and provide more meaningful engagement at meetings. So that concludes my summary of your FY 2023 annual report. Thank you, Madam Chair.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, madam. Sorry, madam coordinator. Do we have any comments or questions for Brooke at this time? I did have a couple, Brooke if you might have a little more detail. So, in regards to the no response from BLM lands they're already going through with the actions that they had planned. I'm not sure if our letter had any impact on what they're currently going through, because we haven't heard anything back from them. I'm worried that they're going to take action before they respond to us. Do you know more information in regards to that BLM letter and where the status is? I know you said status quo, but that's pretty alarming.

MS. MCDAVID: Well, I believe that the decision or that was in the EIS, it's not -- so, I guess there's two processes, and we might have someone from BLM that can speak better to this, but in the EIS, it was recommended that they keep the current protections in place. But the final record of decision is issued separately, and it just hasn't been signed and published yet. So, those protections should remain in place, but because that final record of decision hasn't been published yet, it's just, it's pending.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Okay, and the other question I had is in regards to the cranes. We

wanted the Federal Subsistence Board to take actions regarding Calista's Quarry, because those are the natural traditional areas where cranes and habitat are for cranes and for them just to say, oh, maybe they went to another place. Of course they went to another place. That's given, that's common sense. But what we want is we want those lands back for those cranes to return, because those are traditional hunting grounds and those are habitat that is specific, sorry, specifically chosen by the cranes, because that's best habitat that they had found as their homeland or their migration land. And those need to be returned back to the cranes. Thank you, Brooke.

MS. MCDAVID: Thanks for that, Alyssa, Madam Chair. I am probably not the best person to speak to this one. And so perhaps someone from OSM leadership could jump in, but I believe that the Board, you know, doesn't really have any jurisdiction on Calista lands and can't really control what Calista does if they choose to develop, have development projects such as rock quarries. But perhaps, yeah, someone else from the Refuge or somewhere could, if you have additional questions, might be able to address that.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: As Subsistence Board in the Federal Subsistence Board do have weigh in on decisions and how to protect lands. It is within their ANILCA and within our Constitution that those lands need to be protected. And if we're not doing our job then I don't see how we can just say, oh, they just moved somewhere else. It doesn't work that way. We have lands that we need to protect, and we need to protect our lands for our future generations. So I would like to put this back on the list as well, that we get a little more into detail of exactly what we're requesting, who we're requesting it from, and ask that the Federal Subsistence Board use their weight that they have, and the power that they have within the Federal Subsistence Board to request Calista to move their site because of the fact it displaced the cranes that naturally and historically had used that lands. Thank you. Go ahead, Jackie.

MS. CLEVELAND: Thanks, through the Chair. I had maybe a suggestion for number 13, which says suggestions for improving outreach and presentation of analysis and a request for Yup'ik translation of documents, in the response its -- they cannot promise to help us now, but I figured in the meantime, we could

utilize RITs in community to help translate and help get that information distributed. With the Yup'ik language if needed. Quyana.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Any further comments or questions in regards to our responses back? All right. Hearing, seeing none. I'm going to go ahead and call this meeting for a break, for lunch break. Let's go ahead and meet back here at 1:30. Thank you

(Off record)

(On record)

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: We are just waiting for our members to come in and have a seat. So, let's go ahead and have our members come in and sit down. After you get your yummies at the table. Yeah. Thank you.

(Pause)

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Good afternoon. Sorry, let me start over. Good afternoon, folks, and welcome to the Yukon-Kuskokwim Regional Advisory Council. The time now is, what time is it? 1:42 pm in the afternoon, and we're going to go ahead and reconvene our meeting. Currently on deck right now we have identifying issues for FY-2024 annual report. I did want to backtrack and see if you had any more in regards to the Board FY-2023 Annual Report Reply, Brooke. If not, we can move on.

MS. MCDAVID: Madam Chair, I don't have anything else to add unless Council members have any more questions about the reply. Like I mentioned before lunch, you will be getting the final draft of the reply via email or by mail shortly. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Naneng.

MR. NANENG: Yeah, thank you, Madam Chair. I think for FY-24, there needs to be more representation from the Yukon as well as the coastal villages. You know, not only Hooper Bay, Chevak, but also probably Nelson Island, because I don't see anyone here from Nelson Island area. And we're not -- yes. And we're not including those villages that are also subsistence users. And another request for 2024 is that YK Delta region is the highest subsistence use area

within the state of Alaska for many of the resources. I think the request should be made to the Federal Subsistence Board that we have representation on the Federal Subsistence Board to represent a lot of issues that are impacting our people here in the YK Delta. So those are the two that I would like to request.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Naneng. For clarification, are you asking that the Federal Subsistence Board appoint someone to their Federal Subsistence Board, or because we currently do have current representation on the Federal Subsistence Board during our meetings.

MR. NANENG: Who's that?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: The

19 Chairman.

MR. NANENG: No. I want someone to sit directly with the Federal Subsistence Board. The Chairman may be at a meeting to make a report, but he doesn't participate in any discussion directly or make decisions on behalf of our people. So that's why I'm asking that it should be -- there should be representation from the AYK Board.

 $\label{eq:acting_chair_person_rogers:} \mbox{ Go ahead,} \\ \mbox{Brooke.}$

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you. Through the Chair, member Naneng. Those are two great things to include. For the first suggestion, you mentioned more representation from -- making sure that we have good representation from the different regions within YC, including coastal, Nelson Island, Mekoryuk and the Yukon and balance -- making sure it's more balanced. And I did mention this to Myron during a break, but next year, you will have the opportunity to review your charter every two years. That's something that the Council does. And some regions in their charter, they have added requirements for geographic representation within their regions. And so, you could notify the Federal Subsistence Board in your annual report that that is something that you're interested in and would like to see and that you will be making probably making those recommendations when your charter review comes up.

MR. NANENG: Madam Chair.

1 2

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Go ahead, Mr.

Maneng.

Brooke.

MR. NANENG: Yeah, thank you. I think the charter should be reviewed sooner than later. If we want to have fair representation of members on the YKRAC, it should be done sooner than later rather than waiting for a couple of years. So that will be my motion if anyone is willing to second it.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Go ahead,

MS. MCDAVID: Just to clarify the process, Myron. So, for the -- you're asking this to be included in the annual report to the Board, this topic?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ NANENG: Yes, but I think action should be taken sooner than later regarding representation of the Board from throughout the YK Delta.

MS. MCDAVID: Gotcha. So, on the second topic that you said about having a representative on the Federal Subsistence Board, currently, there was a Federal -- a proposed rule that was released earlier this year to add three more public members to the Federal Subsistence Board, and the Council and other Councils provided comments on that this last meeting. And a lot of the comments were exactly what you're saying. We want to make sure there's representation from all the different regions, and we still haven't heard -- The final decision on that hasn't been published yet, but we can definitely reiterate that. And hopefully, I mean, we should be hearing within the next month or two back on the results for that. Thank you.

MR. NANENG: Okay, thank you.

 $$\operatorname{ACTING}$$ CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Ms. Pippa, you have the floor.

MS. KENNER: Thank you very much, Madam Chair. This is Pippa Kenner. What I think I heard member Naneng say is that, you know, representation from this region at the Board meeting. And I do want you to know that the Chairs of all the Councils are -- sit with the Board during Board meetings as advisors, and they do participate in discussion. And the Board would not be

as effective as it is if it weren't for that. So, they're there. And the other thing though, I want to put a plug in for the Council. We have to get applications or people can be nominated. You can nominate somebody to be on the 5 Council. We have to get those applications in order to 6 process them. And with member Slats gone now, we do need 7 8 representation from Nelson Island. And thank God we have 9 somebody from Kuskokwim Bay. And so, thank you. 10 11 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, 12 Ms. Kenner. 13 14 MR. NANENG: Just one final comment. I don't think just being advisors is fair to our region 15 out here with the many of the issues that we have. I 16 17 think we need full participation in the discussions of 18 the Federal Subsistence Board. And I'll just say that 19 you are there to advise us. That's my comment. Thank 20 you. 21 22 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, 23 Mr. Naneng. Let's go ahead and move on. Do you want to -- Brooke can we take up item number identifying issues 24 25 for 2024 annual report. So, Council members..... 26 27 (Simultaneous speech) 28 29 MR. NANENG: Madam Chair. 30 31 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS:I'm 32 sorry. Let me finish. 33 34 MR. NANENG: Point of order. I made a 35 motion that action -- that the request for action on 36 these two items be taken as soon as possible. That's, 37 that was my motion. 38 39 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: There is a 40 motion currently on the floor. Do we have a second? 41 42 MR. PETER: Madam Chair, second the motion. 43 44 45 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Motion has 46 been seconded. Can you restate your motion, Mr. Naneng? 47 48 MR. NANENG: I think the motion is to

have representation from throughout the region on the

Regional Advisory Council. And also, the second one is

49

to have a representative from this YK RAC to be able to be full participants, not just advisory on the Federal Subsistence Board. So those are two things that are made as part of the motion. And it's been seconded.

MS. MCDAVID: And just to clarify, this is to add these topics and requests to the annual report so that they -- okay.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Motion on the floor by Mr. Naneng and seconded by Mr. Peter. Any further discussion or comments at this time? Question has been called. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All those opposed signify by saying nay.

(No response)

Motion passes. Go ahead, Brooke.

MS. MCDAVID: Yeah. As far as I just wanted to make a comment about the process. We can --you guys can keep adding topics, and you can just vote on them all at once. You don't have to necessarily do what we just did and vote on them, like in small pieces. If you just want to continue adding to the list and then we can make one motion at the end, it might just simplify things a little bit. Just a suggestion. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Brooke. All right, if you guys have any more ideas or anything else that you would like to put onto our annual report, go ahead and let Brooke know and announce it on record. If we're going through our processes and you have a decision that you would like to add it to the annual report, we can take it up at that time. At the end of the meeting, we're going to go ahead and make a motion to approve all of the topics and items that we want on the annual report at the end of our meeting, so that we can keep thinking about things and if something comes up, we can continue to continuously add into the annual report. All right, we're going to move on to item number D, future meeting dates. Item number one is to

confirm the 2025 meeting date and location. It's going to be on page 107 of your meeting book.

(Pause)

All right for the Winter 2025 Regional Advisory Council meeting calendar for the Yukon Delta Regional Advisory Council. We currently have March 4th and March 5th currently on dates, so we need a confirmation that those are the dates that we would like to have, is there any other changes to these dates or any conflicting dates that might not?

(Pause)

MS. MCDAVID: Through the Chair. We were just going to check and see if member Wassily Alexie was able to call in this afternoon. Wassily, if you're online, if you could press star six or unmute yourself and let us know, we'd appreciate it. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: What say of the Board is March 4th and March 5th work for everyone. I'm seeing a lot of gavlaks go up.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right, it's set in stone for March 4th and March 5th for the Winter 2025 Regional Advisory Council meeting. Next on the agenda is for the Fall 2025 Regional Council Meeting calendar on page 108. Brooke.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Madam Chair. Yeah, as the Council can probably see you selected October 21st through the 23rd for your meeting dates and the location that you previously suggested was either Anchorage or Bethel. And I do have an update on the Council's ability to meet in Anchorage. It is because it is outside of your region. You will have to put in a request to OSM to be able to meet there and provide some justification for why you think it would be important for the Council to meet outside of your region. So, I just wanted to throw that out there. Thanks.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Ms.

 Cleveland.

MS. CLEVELAND: I just wanted to double check to see if these dates were during youth and elders or AFN. Which could be a conflict. Thanks.

1 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: For folks 2 online, we're going to double check these dates. If you can, go ahead and stand by for a minute. 5 (Pause) 6 7 MS. MCDAVID: For the record, you can 8 always at your winter meeting. If the dates for AFN have 9 come out, you can always move your fall meeting dates 10 at that meeting. 11 12 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you for 13 the clarification, Brooke. As of right now, as it stands, 14 does these days currently work for everyone? Seeing nods head and gavlaks go up. All right, hearing no changes 15 16 to this, will go ahead and continue to keep October 21st, 22nd and 23rd. We do need a motion on the floor 17 18 to have our meeting in Anchorage. And if I get a motion, 19 I can do a justification. 20 21 MR. PETER: Madam Chair, I would like to 22 make a motion. October 21, 22, 23 for Anchorage. 23 24 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, 25 Mr. Peters. Can I get a second. 26 27 MS. CLEVELANG: Second. 28 29 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, 30 Ms. Cleveland. There's a motion on the floor by Mr. 31 Peter to have our meeting October 21st, 22nd and 23rd 32 in Anchorage and seconded by Ms. Cleveland. It is --33 floor is open for discussion. Why should we have our 34 meeting in Anchorage is the question. Mr. Parks, why is 35 it a good idea to have our meeting in Anchorage? 36 37 MR. SPARKS: Madam Chair. (In Native). 38 39 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Phillip, 40 do you have any idea of how good it is to have a meeting 41 in Anchorage? 42 (Simultaneous speech) 43 44 UNIDENTIFIED: The question of why they 45 should meet in Anchorage. 46 47 (Simultaneous speech) 48 49 MR. PETER: We had the meeting

Anchorage, last year. And even though there are not many

people we can make actions what people need in this area, Kuskokwim and also other communities. That's why I like the meeting in Anchorage, less expensive for hotels and there are more restaurants. Quyana.

 $\label{eq:ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Quyana, Mr. Peter. Ms. Cleveland, why should we have our meeting in Anchorage?$

MS. CLEVELAND: I think that around that time in October, there's a lot of gatherings besides the native gathering, AFN, and it's a good time to network. So, network is my reason why.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Quyana, Ms. Cleveland. Mr. Naneng, why should we have our meeting in Anchorage?

MR. NANENG: I think the people from Fish and Game that are not here will be present at that meeting. I know a lot of them don't like to travel out because of lack of space and availability to stay here in Anchorage, unless they camp at Fish and Wildlife Service Offices in their camp. So that's my excuse for having the meeting in Anchorage. Quyana.

MR. ANDREW: My name is John Andrew. I like it better in Anchorage because we have excellent staff service over there, and shoppers are always ready to go on the back streets. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Andrew. My justification for having the meeting in Anchorage is A, the cost efficiency is -- the cost effectiveness is a lot more beneficial in regards to having more staff available, the resources, the network and connectivity, and the ability to connect with folks that are in Anchorage that would like to attend our meeting that are from our region. It also gives not only the availability for them to attend our meetings and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ voice their opinions, but also give us insights to when they come out here and how they experience subsistence out here and how it's affecting them as well, because they still come out in subsistence with us and our families, and also because of the higher up people who we are asking for to attend our meetings and those above our pay grades of our staff here, who can attend our meetings and answer those really hard questions that we have and be able to talk to them and tell them our concerns and issues that we want, instead of it coming

through a letter, we'll be able to be there in person discuss these issues with them. My third justification is that we will have the capability of having more resources. All of the offices and all of the locations that -- organizations that we have to go through and see in regards to Congressional Offices, Alaska Legislation Offices and resources that we need in order to be as effective as possible are located in Anchorage. They're not located in Bethel. They pulled out of Bethel and have moved to Anchorage. Those resources are very important to us for us to be an effective Council. Thank Those you. are justifications. Ms. Evan, why should we go to Anchorage?

MS. EVAN: I think you pretty much covered everything, plus shopping opportunities.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Evan. Is that a good enough justification? All right, thank you. We need a motion on the floor. Oh, there is a motion on the floor, sorry. I need more coffee. Do we have a question on the floor?

MR. ANDREW: Question.

 $\,$ ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Question has been called by Mr. Andrew. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All those opposed signify by saying nay. Motion passes. Thank you, folks, we're going to go on to item number 13 for reports, must be approved in advance and time limit of 15 minutes unless otherwise arranged. Currently on the floor we have Alaska Department of Fish and Game, the Subsistence Division Project updates.

MS. MCDAVID: Madam Chair, I have an update for you on that. The Subsistence Division provided their updates yesterday. It was a time certain item and then the next ADF&G report about the Mulchatna Caribou Intensive Management. They requested to be scheduled tomorrow morning first thing after public comment. So, we did accommodate that because we knew it was an important thing the Council wanted to hear.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Brooke, for the updates. That brings us to item

number B, the Kuskokwim River Inter-Tribal Commission. Theresa, are you going to do the report? No? Okay. MS. MCDAVID: She did request if --Sorry, Madam Chair. ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Go ahead. MS. MCDAVID: She did request if -- I think she said she could be back after 2:30. If we could

MS. MCDAVID: She did request if -- I think she said she could be back after 2:30. If we could skip her and come back just because of conflicting meetings and sorry one more. The Yukon River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission Executive Director Karma Olviq is also busy today. She asked if she could go in the morning after ADF&G predator control.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Do we need a motion on the floor to make that amendment?

MS. MCDAVID: I don't....

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: No?

MS MCDAVID: I think -- yeah.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you for the updates. That brings us down to item number D, Association of Village Council Presidents for the Natural Resources Department. And they're also not here. All right, we're going to move down to the native village of Napaimute, Mr. Gilligan. All right, moving on. Bering Sea Fishermen's Association. Are you guys online? All right, moving on. Item number G, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Yukon Area Salmon Management. I'm sorry, who's online?

 $\,$ MS. MCDAVID: Ashley Johnson from AVCP is raising her hand.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. We're going to jump back to item number D, Association of Village Council Presidents with Ms. Ashley Johnson. You have the floor.

MS. JOHNSON: Hi, good afternoon. Sorry we weren't there in person. We do have other meetings that we are attending and Jennifer Hooper is ending one meeting and we'll be jumping on to this one. Maybe in about twenty minutes. She would be available, if that's

okay with the Council to delay our presentation or our report.

2 3 4

5

6

8

9

10

1

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: That is fine with me. Thank you for the update. All right. We're going to move back right where we were on to item number G, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, item number I, Yukon Area Salmon Management. Oh, there's look like a note for the -- is today the 22nd? Okay. Today is the 22nd. Thank you, Ms. Carroll. I appreciate it. Thank you. You have the floor.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. CARROLL: Thank you, Madam Chair. Yeah, one of the benefits of you being so good at moving us through an agenda is most people aren't ready, but I'm here, and so I'm going to keep it pretty brief. We're actively managing right now. In fact, my team just put out a news release today while I was here. Unfortunately, our salmon runs on the Yukon, as many of you know, have been really, really very poor. And so, I don't have a lot of great news today. So, our chinook salmon run was the total Pilot Station Sonar for the season ended up being just 64,000 fish. And the Canadian component of that that escapes into Canada. The current count up at Eagle Sonar is 24,000 chinook salmon. So, that's an improvement over last year. Last year we only escaped about 15,000, which is one of the lowest on record. 24,000 is better than last year. But for perspective it is 50,000 fish below our current escapement goal at that border, which is 71,000 fish. So, you know, it's very poor. But it is a testament to the fishermen who have stood down voluntarily on chinook as well as complied with all the closures that the number that we were expecting to see at the border with our kind of adjusted in-season forecasts was about right. We were expecting to see about 24,000 there, and we did. But again, it's a terrible situation for the chinook in the, in the sense that they're not recovering to fishable run sizes like you still have on the Kuskokwim. Now, the summer chum run is our one bright spot. It has started to rebound since these very, very terrible runs in 2021 and 2022. And so, this year, we did, again, have a fishable harvestable surplus on that run size. We saw a final count at the Pilot Station Sonar of just under 758,000 fish. Now for perspective on that run size, our drainage wide goal for summer chum is 500,000 to 1.2 million. So, we did meet the drainage wide goal for that species. That's how we knew we had a harvestable surplus, and we were able to allow some selective fishing for that species. So, that means gear where kings could be

released, but summer chum could be retained. So, deep 1 2 nets, manned fish wheels, hook and line. Because of that, we did get some reports from mostly lower river communities that they were very happy to be putting up 5 summer chums for their family, but that's really only 6 the only salmon harvest that occurred. And so, you know, 7 our forecasts for summer chum and chinook were pretty 8 much spot on. The runs came in within the forecast. So, 9 that's at least good that our forecasting methods are 10 working for giving us plenty of warning. The fall species 11 are a little bit different. Our forecast on the fall 12 chum run was for a better run than we ended up seeing. 13 The fall chum are still passing. That run is not over, 14 but we're well past the midpoint of the run. And we're not seeing really large pulses come in. So, our roughly 15 16 current count at Pilot Station Sonar for fall chum is 17 162,000 fish. For perspective, our drainage wide 18 escapement goal for that species is a minimum of 300,000 19 fish. So, for fall chum, we are unlikely to meet any 20 escapement goals throughout the drainage and we've had 21 to keep the fishing for fall chum closed. Coho was also 22 predicted to be very weak and it is so far we're probably 23 only at the quarter point, we're before the midpoint, I think, but we're only counting about 13,000 coho in the 24 25 river. So, we -- today the news release that went out 26 is, is letting people know that even in their selective 27 gears now, they may not retain coho. So, at this time 28 you can't retain any salmon really. What we have been 29 trying to do on the Yukon River, because we do have such 30 a sort of a draconian situation where you can't get any 31 salmon to meet your family's food needs. We have had a 32 very liberal approach to allowing four-inch gear. Four-33 inch gear limited to 60 ft, so it's nice and short. We 34 did limit it also to be used as a set net so that people 35 can be targeting pink salmon in the lower river. Because 36 we did have a lot of pinks, they could be targeting any 37 sockeye, although we have, you know, almost negligible 38 numbers of sockeye but if you got one, you could keep 39 one. And then we do have bountiful whitefish runs like 40 you do here. So, the hope there is that anyone who's still wanting to, you know, maintain some tradition 41 42 around fish, put up food. That's why we allow that 43 liberal opportunity for non-salmon. But what's what we're seeing on the Yukon is that -- actually many of 44 45 our fishermen are so concerned about the salmon runs 46 that we may not be being conservative enough. And many 47 of our fishermen want us to stop allowing four-inch gear. 48 And so, we did get some suggestions of not allowing net 49 gear in certain areas or reducing the use of it. And so, 50 this summer, for the first time, to protect the king

salmon, because the king salmon on our river only come in for four weeks, it's nice and condensed run. For two of the kind of highest passage weeks, we pulled all four-inch out of the river so, no one could be using their non salmon four-inch nets for that two weeks in each area where the kings were passing through. And we did that so that there would be much less incidental catch of the kings, because if you catch a king salmon in your four-inch net, you can keep it if it's dead, because that's lethal gear we can't require people to release salmon from those gears. And so, I also want to point out that some of you, especially I was I was really moved by your comments member Peter. That is the way our Yukon fishermen are getting a taste of salmon. There is incidental harvest in those four-inch gears. There's a very small amount of king harvest in those gears, and there's probably a little bit more summer chum harvest in those gears. And we recognize that. And so that is the way that the occasional family is getting that occasional salmon. But there are some people that, you know, truly believe that when we say there's no harvestable surplus, there is no harvestable surplus. And so, we are getting people asking for us to do more, removing the four-inch. And as your Manager, I am constantly looking for feedback on this because it's a rare situation to be having fishermen tell me I'm not being conservative enough and that is what I'm hearing lately. There are some people that wanted to pull all four-inch use during the fall season, or for the whole king season, and particularly in the upper river. So, if any of your members have feedback on that, we are going to be continuing to grapple with some of those very hard management choices of taking away a little bit of non-salmon opportunity in order to just further protect those kings and fall chum. So that's something that's very tough, that both the state and the Federal team have been grappling with and are going to continue

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

One way that we took a compromise on that is that news release that went out today puts fishermen's four-inch gear on a three day a week schedule so you can fish for your non-salmon on the weekends, and then you got to pull those four-inch out of the water. And the hope there is that less of the fall chum in the coho will be caught in those nets. So, that's the compromise we've found for now. And we will probably leave that in place. And many of these closures will stay in place until the fall chum are known to be completely out of the area. So, for some drainages

1 that'll be closed until -- up through December. So, it's 2 a pretty harsh situation on the Yukon. Like I said, the bright spot is that the summer chum are rebounding a little bit and that we met goals drainage wide for that 5 run. But one update I have is that because you guys are 6 kind of asking really good questions about, you know, why don't you have escapement projects on every river? 8 And, you know, what are you doing about some of those 9 other weak stocks? So, one of the things that's going 10 to come up around summer chum, and I'm getting asked a lot, is that for summer chum we have that drainage wide 11 12 goal I just told you about 500,000 to 1.2 million. And 13 what's interesting is we can meet that goal. You know, 14 we have 800,000 fish in the river. Okay, we met that healthy. We 15 that population seems 16 necessarily know where all those summer chum are going. 17 And we only have two other summer chum goals in the 18 drainage. One of them is in the Anvik River and that 19 goal, I might get it wrong, but I think it's 350,000 20 fish at a minimum, and we have not met that goal for 21 many years, even when our runs are doing well like the 22 last two years when we met the summer chum goal, we're 23 failing that goal. And then we had a very surprising 24 situation on the Andreafsky River, which is down in the 25 lower drainage. That river is below the Pilot Station 26 Sonar, so it is one of the lowest rivers in the drainage 27 where we have spawning chinook and summer Chum. And we've 28 had a really hard time getting the weir in and having 29 it in and counting all season because like you guys are 30 probably experiencing on the on the Kuskokwim, we are 31 having a lot of like flash flooding in our drainage 32 stuff we haven't seen for 20 years. And so, our success 33 rate of installing a weir and keeping it installed is 34 very poor, it's probably less than 50% on that river. 35 And so, we've not had viable estimates in that project 36 costs about \$170,000, and OSM has always funded that 37 project. We have never had the base funding to run it. 38 So, this year, what was very kind of surprising and 39 scary and weird was that we did get the weir in before 40 the fish got there, and only 24 king salmon went up that 41 river and only 190 summer chum salmon went up the river, 42 and we don't really have an explanation for it. We might 43 have missed fish because there was about three or four 44 days when flooding came up and the in the weir wasn't 45 fish tight, but thousands of fish did not pass through 46 that weir when we weren't fully counting. And Fish and 47 Game did do an aerial survey. I know you guys brought that up too and they do some verification by flying 48 49 those drainages, and they found similar numbers of 50 chinook and summer chum. What was interesting is they

found a couple hundred summer chum and 70 kings. But they counted I believe it was like 130,000 pink salmon in that drainage. So, I do think pinks are doing okay, and they might even be competing with our chums. And I think chums are moving to new places, and we don't know why, and we need to start studying them. And so that's something our Fairbanks Fisheries branch is looking into. That's what we've prioritized is studying our summer chum distribution. So, that's kind of on our plan is to develop some good studies around that. But the Andreafsky was last year of operation or funded operation is next year, 2025. And because of the complexity of it, we're probably going to have to just decommission the site. So, we'll work with corporation land and the and the tribes to see how they want it left. But it's a lot of work to kind of pull that infrastructure out. And so, we probably won't be applying for more funding for the Andreafsky River. I think it's time to focus on kind of other questions about where the summer chum are going in the whole drainage. But I just wanted to kind of let folks know that, because maybe people don't know that, you know, everybody watches that OSM pot of money, and we all put in projects, and we basically compete with each other. And as you guys heard, you know, there's all these things you want answers on, and like us, we can only do one or two or four or five projects at a time. And when we put those projects in, we might outcompete something more important. And so, it is one of the reasons we've chosen not to put it in as, for instance, I wouldn't want to compete with that Chevak study. I think that's a study that hasn't been done and needs to be done and so, these are the kind of things I'm just sharing with you guys so that you see kind of how we're thinking through how we prioritize our assessment. From a management standpoint, I think that's all I have for a summary, and I'd rather just keep it short so you guys can ask whatever questions you might have.

38 39 40

41

42

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Carroll, for your thorough report. We greatly appreciate it. Any questions or comments at this time? Mr. Naneng.

43 44 45

46

47

48

MR. NANENG: Thank you, Madam Chair. I know that state of Alaska has a study going on as to where the chinook salmon are heading out to the Bering Sea, that was presented by Doctor Katie Howard and any updates regarding that study?

MS. CARROLL: Yeah. So, I may not be following exactly what study she's got going on. She's got a few. So, there's an on-river chinook tagging study, but there's also a Bering Sea Survey study that's going on, maybe we should ask if Fish and Game is on the line if they want to give any updates on any of their research on Ccinook, or they could do it during their Fish and Game report maybe, it should be coming up on the....

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Okay, we're going to go ahead and wait.

MS. MCDAVID: Just there's no fishing game report from Fisheries on the agenda, FYI.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. Hearing that we don't have one, do we have Fish and Game online? Does anybody have their number so I can call them?

MS. CARROLL: Well, I can certainly follow up to I could actually text some folks or send a quick email and then give the Council an update if you wanted. Are you asking about adult salmon or juvenile salmon in the Bering Sea?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ NANENG: Its juvenile salmon that they were doing a study on a few years ago.

MS. CARROL: That's ongoing.

MR. NANENG: Yes.

MS. CARROL: I can answer that. Yes, that's ongoing and they're doing a lot of research with that. So many things, so they do these trawl surveys in the -- they're doing the northern and the southern Bering Sea and so, some of that will answer some questions about Kuskokwim chinook actually. So, the Bering Sea research they're doing is really great because they've got this long-term data set on the juveniles, and because of that, they actually were able to make a long-term forecast. That's why we know usually two and three years ahead what our chinook runs will be. That's unique to that research and it's actually unique to this river. There's not many rivers that have a three year forecast like that. So, they're doing that every year. And then on top of that they're taking all kinds of data. They're taking health data. They're taking diet data. That's how we've been kind of learning that the -- one of our main

problems with the salmon across the species is poor diet right now in the ocean. So, yeah, that's definitely ongoing. And then some other research that they're doing that's really fascinating as well is we just concluded the third year of our ichthyophonus study on chinook and they've also been studying the ichthyophonus component in the fishes out in that Bering Sea. So, they're looking at pollock and they're looking at other species. So, that's going to be helpful for health. And then they've also been working to look at like egg health, like the thiamine in the eggs from our -- some of our adult projects where we're sending them samples. So, they're doing a ton of stuff on, you know, basically overall health of chinook and these other species. So, there's a ton of collaborative work happening right now between Fish and Game, Fish and Wildlife, USGS and universities.

17 18 19

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Carroll. Mr. Naneng.

202122

23

MR. NANENG: So, it's not climate impact, but all these other things that are caused may be causing a reduction of salmon returning to the river systems.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. CARROLL: I would say it's definitely also climate impact. I think one of our biggest issues, and the way we know is that all the salmon are affected, except sockeye are doing great. But the -- we definitely know that the warming in the Bering Sea is affecting where the food are going. And so, it's affecting the quality of the food. It's affecting the fish size and health. So, I don't think anyone would say it's not climate change. Climate change is a huge factor. But, you know, many of us don't have like the smoking gun. But I think most of us biologists would agree that right now, especially for our kings on the Yukon, it's death by a thousand cuts. So, if there's less of them and then they're smaller and then they're younger, then it means females are coming up, and then you ichthyophonus, for instance. And we're not -- we don't have conclusive evidence, but there's good evidence that if they're getting quite sick, they might be dying before they reach the upper reaches. That's what we've been seeing on the Yukon, this disconnect between what comes in and is counted at Pilot and then what makes it 800 more miles to Canada. So that's one of the things we're seeing. It doesn't super explain why the fall chum did so terribly but they're also when they come back, sometimes they're migrating through record warm water.

And so yeah, I think climate change is definitely at the heart of a lot of these factors.

 $\mbox{ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Any further comments or questions. Mr. Peter.} \\$

MR. PETER: Madam Chairman. You mentioned that they're going to pull off the four-inch there in Yukon.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Sorry, Mr. Peter. For those of you online, we're getting some background noise. Can you please mute your phones or press star six to mute your phone? It is hard for other participants, and we hear heavy breathing. If you could go ahead and please star 6 to mute your phones or press the mute button would greatly appreciate it. All right. Thank you very much for doing that. Go ahead, Mr. Peter. I apologize for the interruption.

MR. PETER: Thank you, Madam Chairman. You mentioned about the four-inch gear in Yukon. They're planning to pull out the four-inch gear, what you mentioned, if I heard you correctly, because we used that four-inch in Kuskokwim. And when they had the big meeting in Fairbanks, I mentioned that -- and I call it the killer net. The catch and kill those whitefish nets. Some are monofilament, right now they're using -they're making monofilament whitefish net, which is disappearing when you set it out on the water. It's really clear and good thing they plan to pull out the four-inch gear in Yukon. And, they should -- the Federal Subsistence Board should change the gear to a five and a half, sixty feet, forty-five mesh or six inches. They're good for catching those salmons, even though they're really short it's good for the consumption and the first arrival of the salmon in Yukon River, also in Kuskokwim, and I think we need to -- using it in both rivers. We're using it in Kuskokwim, but on the Yukon, even though they set it out in the non-spawning grounds, non-spawning river sloughs. They're good, they should -- they catch right size whitefish, sheefish, anything the fish swims. My other question will be about you mentioned about rod and reel fishing, and they still use a deep net in Yukon? Yeah, yeah. What about them, fish wheels? Oh, okay. Thank you Madam.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Go ahead, Ms.

Carroll.

MS. CARROLL: So, for your first comments about the four-inch. So, I do want to clarify a couple of things. For one, we're not going to do away with the four-inch yet, that is undecided. We are being asked to do that, but it would take all food away for three months if we did that. So, we're going to need a lot more public discussion before those are gone. What you are saying about it would be better to use five-inch or six-inch. That's what a lot of our fishermen would prefer as well. They would prefer those bigger meshes because they want the bigger broads, the bigger humpbacks, the bigger sheefish, especially like in fall time when they're coming out of the lakes, they don't want those little ones. Unfortunately, we will not be allowing five-inch or six-inch gear because we can't allow any harvest of salmon, none. We don't have runs that would support people taking those fish. That's why we have to limit to non-salmon gear. We aren't allowing any salmon fishing like you have on the Kuskokwim. We don't even have one opening a week for salmon, not for chinook. So, we have a slightly different situation where we can only allow those larger mesh gear when they will not have any salmon present, and there's not that many streams that we know of that are just non-salmon streams, and they certainly aren't well distributed streams throughout the drainage. So, even if we could open a few here and there, there would be very few people that could benefit from using larger mesh in these non-salmon streams. So, it's a pretty complicated situation because if we want to allow someone to get a summer chum because they swim at the exact same time as the kings, we can't allow any gill nets. Their only option is a rod and reel, a dip net, or a manned fish wheel where they could release that king and keep that summer chum. And so, four-inch now becomes the controversy because four-inch is not salmon targeting gear yet. We all know it'll catch them by the lips, you know, as it were. And there's also people concerned they will fall out of the four-inch and die, and so mortality might occur. There's also those people that are getting a few salmon in those and so it's a real divided river because some people say, pull it out. If you're serious about saving all the salmon, there should be no four-inch. And others are saying, if you're serious about us feeding our families, you will protect our non-salmon fishing. So, nothing is decided, but we won't be allowing five or six-inch on the main stem of the Yukon when we can't allow harvest of salmon, if that makes sense, because then they'd just get more of those salmon.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Any further comments or questions for Ms. Carroll? All right, seeing none. I have a couple for you. For the coho fishing, you said there's no coho fishing, pretty much no fishing at all. What was your estimate for escapement numbers on those?

MS. CARROLL: So, we're still right in the thick of our fall season. And so, I'm not sure if we've even reached the midpoint of the coho run yet. We might be at the quarter point or so, and we've only counted about 13,000 coho at the Sonar. The run should be closer to 100,000 when we're comfortable fishing or 50, 60,000, I think.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Okay and what is your -- I guess I'm looking for the minimum and the maximum if that's.....

MS. CARROLL: That's a great question. We don't have that for coho. There's only one goal and that's on the Delta River and they're not even there yet really. So, I can't remember what that goal is, and it might have just been removed. So, there's almost no goals for coho. We know very little about them and so we don't have great numbers. But the other side of that coin is Yukon fishermen don't actually harvest many coho. I mean, we will see less than a thousand fish, probably 400 fish harvested because they come in so late, and most people are moving on to other activities. So, in that river they're not heavily utilized.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: And one more question. How many years has the Andreafsky been operational? How long of data set do we have on the Andreafsky?

MS. CARROLL: That was a great question. I think it's over twenty or twenty-five years. But like I said, the last ten, it's been real hit and miss whether we got consistent data from it. But yeah, it's been a long-term data set for sure.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: And the last question I have for you, what is your major concerns in your professional opinion at this time right now in regards to the fisheries? Can you put them in bullet points for me?

MS. CARROLL: Yes. I'm going to be completely frank with you, especially since you on the Kuskokwim are still fishing. My biggest concern is that we have lost all our fishing on the Yukon, and we have lost culture, and we have lost connection to salmon. And so, the effects on our tribes, our villages, the way of life there is profound. The amount of hurt and food loss that's occurring is massive. And what we do in our jobs, we don't really have a way to fix that. You know, if I could send funds to villages for other types of food or something like that, that would be a useful thing. So, then instead, we turn to what is the research we can do to answer some of these questions and try to understand what's occurring. And so, for me ichthyophonus has been the number one question. Is it killing our fish before they get upriver? If so, what is the percentage? Because in future years, when those fish come back, we've got to stop assuming that there's a zero mortality, and that has to go into the math before we let people fish and figure out if there's a surplus. So, I'm hoping that this massive collaborative project that we did for three years, and fish were sacrificed for will lead to quantifiable results. It'll take us well over a year to analyze all that data, publish it, and figure out, you know, how it's going to affect management. But then we also need to develop a long-term monitoring program, which I hope will be community driven. I hope we can find a way for the community to monitor this disease going forward. So, that's one kind of main goal of our fisheries branch. But another one is our questions around summer chum. We don't know where they're going and the more we know about where they're going in the drainage and why they're not going to certain drainages will be helpful for management. But one of these questions that, you know, the coastal community is asking, one of the hardest things about studying it is that thing I said about not being able to pull out our individual stocks. And when people are bringing up bycatch, one of the problems with studying bycatch for our chums is that it is hard to pull out our Yukon stocks and say, hey, you got exactly these, this many of our Yukon fish. So, if we could find some breakthroughs on that baseline that that would be huge as well. But then my third top priority that if you asked me like what should be funded. Absolutely, I would say that marine research that we don't do, Fish and Game and NOAA are doing that research, but what they are finding out about what is happening to our fish at the juvenile life stages, at the subadult life stages in the ocean, I mean, that's been huge, and we have more questions and

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

more to ask about it. We used to think the Chinook were surviving out there after they got in the ocean at a certain age. That's why we have that forecast, because there's this reliable mortality factor and that may not be true anymore. So, we need to keep studying that too. So, those would be the things I'd say if we put some focus on, will help us go forward. But the number one thing is we might have to start doing some other form of outreach, science in the schools, getting people into culture camp around other fish so that people are connecting to fish and staying engaged in conservation of fish, rather than getting angry and frustrated and maybe backsliding into just taking what they need or not understanding why we have to stand down for so long, and why it may be so many years before they ever get to catch their first king. So, I think there's a huge amount of outreach and connecting with people that we might need to shift and focus on.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

1

2

5

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Carroll. I greatly appreciate it, thank you for all your hard work. Thank you for sticking in there. It's a tough, tough, tough job and position to be in, especially when you're the face of it. So, I greatly appreciate you and you are very, very respected here.

252627

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. CARROLL: Thank you. I guess I'd like to follow up with another thing that came up in this meeting and that is the Fish Commission. You know, we -- I think the Fish Commission is working out a lot of details, and they're trying to bring together people from the lower river, the middle River, the upper river and then Canada, too, is involved. And that's a huge undertaking. You know, I heard some of the disagreements around this table, just about the Kuskokwim, which is a little bit of a shorter system, right? And yet you have these huge differences in the way that you harvest and how you're harvesting and huge differences in what you would tell a manager you think is right. And so, the Fish Commission has this huge task, and I think they need a lot of support, and I think they need a lot of resources, and they need a lot of people stepping up into jobs and stepping up into being like in-season Fish Managers coming to these meetings. And I guess I feel I'd like to address one of the priority things, and I don't know how to change it. And it's way outside the scope of this body. So, I might get in trouble for this, but, you know, Robert you've been saying a lot of things that if you're just a government employee like me, the state of Alaska this and the Federal government that

could get real offended and shut down. And I've stopped getting offended because I've realized, like, what you're saying is actually true. Like at some point all of your Aboriginal rights were just taken away. And a Western Management System, was put onto these rivers. And I didn't do any of that and it was definitely before I was born. But I'm now one of those people that you are saying is benefiting from these jobs. These, like you said, even if it's closed, even if you regulate us, you benefit, we don't. You're right. I have a job, I get paid to come to these meetings. And one of the things I'm really struggling with when I see RAC members, panel members, fish commissioners, you know, often these positions are not paid positions. There's a systemic inequity where a lot of funding is not going directly to the tribes for them to develop their own fish managers, their own fish biologists, paid resource positions to go to these meetings. And so, I guess what I'm saying is, if there's any way for tribes or agencies to focus some of their time and energy into changing that system, which would, I believe, be congressional and not at our level, I think they would be more useful meetings. But unfortunately, these concerns that we hear are often told to us at the level where we can do nothing about it, and so we can hear the pain and we can sit with it, but we can't really do much about it. And so, I would say a priority is getting the capacity built for all of these tribes and organizations to have more of a seat at the table in some way. But until all of that occurs, I really do hope that the Fish Commission and the Yukon can kind of come together in this time of no abundance and find solutions together. I think that would be really productive.

33 34 35

36

37

1 2

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Carroll. And again, thank you from the bottom of my heart for all your hard work and sticking with us, because it is a thankless job. Mr. Naneng.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. NANENG: Yeah. Thank you, Madam Chair. I know there's a lot of Federal agencies and Federal land between up and down the Yukon from wildlife refuge all the way up to the Canadian border. I know there's been meetings with the Canadians to try and address the lack of chinook salmon, or the declining numbers over the years that started back in the 1990s or prior to that. One of the questions, and I'm hearing you pleading that you are wanting the Regional Advisory Council to support you to contact the -- maybe the politicians to find a way to get these different agencies

to work together to address the lack of returning salmon into of the lack of returning salmon to the Yukon River because it's an international issue. You know, one of the things that has happened in the past is that we worked with the Canadians on having our subsistence migratory bird hunting recognized. And I think if we can get some way to coordinate between different agencies, the state of Alaska and the Canadian side, this can be addressed. Because we don't know what exactly the Canadians are doing, because they may have more liberal opportunity and openings to fish up there, because they're just as much as a subsistence users as we are in the river system. So, if it's okay with the Council, I'd like to request a letter of support for all in the work that they're doing to request that there be a letter written to our politicians, as well as to the Secretaries Interior, Agriculture and other Land Managers, including BLM, because they all sit down at the Federal Subsistence Board to try and find ways to coordinate the need to address this, as well as to the Governor and to the Commissioner of Fish and Game, because everybody seems to be this is my territory, but they're trying to address the one common issue that they should be addressing. So, it requests that the YKRAC write that letter to support the request to work together. And one of the other things that may have to be done that was successful in the work that we did with the migratory birds is to request different regions and their leadership to support this effort of trying to rebuild the stocks. And so, it can be done. It's been done before because the restrictions on our subsistence hunting of migratory birds was enacted back in 1916, but that changed back in 1997. That's quite a long span of years, but we were able to do it because we were working together to make it happen. Involve Rural Cap, involve AFN, Tanana Chiefs, Mekoryuk and all the other agencies that have a common interest in this, because I know people from Unalakleet come down to -- used to come down to the Yukon to go commercial fishing. So, we have a lot of potential partners out there. So, my motion would be for the RAC to write a letter of support, to try and find a way to address what's going on the Yukon. I know it's a tough job, but it can be done.

1

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Naneng. For a point of clarification, you're asking for a letter of support to the politicians, DOI, DOA, ADF&G, FSB to find ways to coordinate and support that they work together and request for region and leadership to rebuild stocks.

1 2

MR. NANENG: Yes.

3 4

ACTING CHAIR ROGERS: Am I hearing you correctly?

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. NANENG: It refuses to turn on. We all know that we've had this issue for quite some time, and it's been coming since back in the late 1980s. The decline in the numbers have been occurring on the Yukon for all of those species. We know that there's been impact by trawl fishing, bycatch or intercept fisheries, as well as our own use on the river system that has impact the returns of salmon, but with a common objective of working together I think that this can be addressed, but it needs coordination by someone to help push for that. And maybe we can ask the Federal Subsistence Board to at least take the lead in trying to get this moving. So, a letter would be included to the Chairman of the Federal Subsistence Board, and that's to be included in that work plan for 2024.

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Naneng. So, just for clarification for the record. We have two things currently on the floor. The first one with the motion that's currently on the floor is a letter of support to our politicians, DOI, DOA, ADF&G, FSB to find ways to coordinate and support that they work together. Request region and leadership to rebuild stocks Restrictions on the migratory bird as an example, and involve Rural CAP, Tanana Chiefs, etc. that they all have the common interest, including Unalakleet RAC or the RAC that is part of the Unalakleet area. And impacts of trawling, intercept fishery and regional use to be addressed by and in coordination and request that the Federal Subsistence Board lead this coordination to get it started. Is that correct?

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

MR. NANENG: I think that goes with my motion, that we need to get it started somewhere. And maybe the Federal Subsistence Board will help address that. But there needs -- also needs to be a coordination between Canada and U.S. So, we may have to ask would they call -- it wasn't just Secretary of the Interior, it was the State Department, State Department that was also involved in the negotiations for that. And we may have to even request International Fish and Wildlife Association, which is a Fish and Game -- comprised of Fish and Games of all the States in the United States.

50 It's a common interest for all of us. 1 2

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Naneng. Okay, I'm going to re-read. The motion on the floor is a letter of support to our politicians, DOI, DOA, FSB, ADF&G, International Fish and Wildlife Association, State Department, to find coordinate and support that they work together. Request region and leadership to rebuild stocks restrictions on the migratory bird as an example, and involve Rural CAP, Tanana Chiefs, etc. and all those who have common interest, including Unalakleet in the upper regions that fall under the Regional Advisory Council from the impacts of trawling, intercept fishery and regional use to be addressed by and in coordination and request that the Federal Subsistence Board lead this coordination to get it started. And include coordination with Canada and U.S. I got a nod from Mr. Naneng. Can I get a second on the floor, please? Someone got to second.

MS. EVAN: I'll second.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Motion on the floor by Mr. Naneng, second by Ms. Evan. We are open for discussion, is there any further discussion or questions or comments? Ms. Brooke.

MS. MCDAVID: Yeah, just a point of clarification if that's ok since I'll be helping draft the letter. So, the letter is going to be addressed to several different agencies, departments, etc. and then it sounds like we want to CC a bunch or regional leaderships so they can get a copy and maybe write letters of support. Okay, I just wanted to clarify. So, some of those you named Rural Cap, TCC, you named Unalakleet but perhaps maybe all the regional Yukon RACs and I don't know, what? Okay, I'm hearing Acs also, AVCP would be for this region, okay. And if you guys think of anyone else, maybe the Fish Commission, okay. BBNC. Anyone else you'd like CC on there.

MR. NANENG: Make sure you add the State Department to the letter. And I'll just say one thing, if we need help in getting to the State Department, Senator Sullivan told me go through him because he used to work for State Department in the past.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Naneng. Can we also add Bristol Bay Native Association onto this? They also are fighting for their

1 subsistence needs as well, so they have a common 2 interest. Any further comments or questions at this time? 4 5 (No answer) 6 7 Hearing none. Can I get a question? 8 9 MR. NANENG: Call for question. 10 11 12 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you. 13 Question has been called. All those in favor signify by 14 saying aye. 15 16 IN UNISON: Aye. 17 18 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All those 19 opposed signify by saying nay. 20 21 (No answer) 22 23 Hearing none. Motion has passed. And 24 we're going to also be adding this letter of support in 25 the issues that we just discussed to our 2024 annual report. So, I just added it on to my list. All right, 26 27 we're going to go ahead and move on to -- where are we? 28 It's the Orutsararmiut Native Council under the agency 29 reports. And we may not be able to get to the native 30 village of Napaimute today. We will try that again for 31 tomorrow, 8/23. ONC, you have the floor. You should bring 32 your whole crew up here so we can meet them. 33 34 MR. HOOPER: Good afternoon, Council. My 35 name is Delen Hooper and I'm the Partners Program 36 Coordinator. 37 38 MS. MATHIAS: My name is Mary Mathias. I 39 am representing Orutsararmuit Native Council as the 40 Natural Resources Environmental Program Coordinator. 41 42 MS. HENRY: My name is Brianna Henry, and 43 I'm a fisheries technician. 44 45 MS. DOMINICK: My name is Kara Domnick 46 and I'm also a fisheries tech. 47 48 MR. HOOPER: Here are а list 49 activities that ONC has done this summer. The potato and

plant starters hand-out to the community of Bethel on

50

1 May 25. The Boat Harbor and Fish Camp surveys started 2 on the first fishing opportunity June 3 to the last on August 16th. 495 Boat Harbor surveys were conducted and there were 58 fish Camp surveys. Fish distribution to 5 the elders, the disabled and people in need, we delivered 6 196 Chinook salmon, 57 sockeye salmon and one whitefish. We lost count of the chum salmon due to delivering 8 numerous to the Senior Services Department within ONC. 9 fish were caught by ADF&G Bethel Test Fish. 10 Collecting otoliths from chinook for the University of 11 Washington. The reason for collecting otoliths is to learn the age and the growth rates of the kings. The 12 13 stones are about pea-sized that are located in the brain 14 socket underneath the brain. Collecting the requested 15 60 ichthyophonus heart samples for ADF&G. The reason why we collected this data was to determine if they have 16 17 this disease, how bad it is, and to gain more knowledge 18 about it. Running the Science and Culture Camp in 19 collaboration with UAF, fourteen students attended this 20 eight-day camp on the starting date, July 17 to the end 21 on July 25. The students earned three college credits 22 doing activities like skeleton articulation, necropsy, 23 ethnobotany, and spending the day at fish camp. I also 24 would like to add that there are two returning students 25 from last year, and one that attended last year and the 26 year before. The ASL Program. People who have returned 27 data, such as collecting three scales the length and 28 finding out their sex, received \$5 per king. And the 29 postseason is coming up, and ONC will be conducting 30 surveys once again on the ideal dates of September 25 31 to October 31. And that is all I -- oh, we did the bug 32 nets distribution, handing them out to Bethel community 33 citizens. And that is all I have to report for ONC. 34

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you. Do we have any comments or questions? Go ahead, Ms.

37 Cleveland.

MS. CLEVELAND: Just a comment. Good job.

MR. HOOPER: Thank you.

41 42 43

35

36

38 39

40

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, ONC. Oh, Ms. Mathias.

44 45 46

47

48

49

50

MS. MATHIAS: I have a report to share as well. I thought it was very important. So, with the new start of ONC's EPA Environmental Program fiscal year, we developed our quality assurance project plan for starting a water quality monitoring. Hang on. I'm a

little nervous. And it goes hand in hand with our other project that we applied for, which is called the Swiffer Grant, to promote recycling and reduce contamination with the environment, like, such as groundwater contamination we've been studying a lot within these recent years. So, with our water quality monitoring, we welcoming neighboring tribal communities to participate in our biweekly meetings. We'll be providing resources and notices of funding opportunities, share environmental assessments based on concerns, needs and community development needs and collect water samples with -- we partnered with Woodwell Climate Research Center Permafrost Pathways who has assisted us with collecting three water samples from three different sites here in Bethel. The reason behind this is because we've been studying the reports that have been distributed from the local municipality. There are a lot of heavy toxic toxins and heavy metals that is being found in the water, and a lot of people are drinking this water and it's having an effect on human health. So, the landfill being close to the schools and close to the water plants; that's another study that will come later on as we continue to collect samples from Bethel and then also collect water samples from five other local communities nearby. And then implement outreach and education on water contamination and assist tribes with developing their co-ops that -- who haven't developed one yet and assist with training. And so, with our Swiffer Grant, our goal is to tackle the climate crisis, reduce emissions that cause climate change, advanced international and subnational climate efforts, take decisive action on advanced environmental justice and civil rights, promote environmental justice and civil rights at the Federal, tribal, state, and local levels that are embedded, embedded environmental justice. And so, I'm sorry, I'm going through my notes here. Ensure clean and safe water for all communities. Protect and restore water bodies and watersheds, safequard and revitalize communities, clean up and restore land and for protective uses and healthy communities, and then the last one is, reduce waste and prevent environmental contamination. So, if y'all have any questions for me.

1

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Mathias. Do we have any comments or questions at this time? Hearing and seeing none. Thank you, ONC, for your presentations and report. At this time, I'm going to go ahead and call for 15-minute break. Please be back here at 3 -- just make it 3:15. 3:15 will reconvene.

1		(Off record)
2		
3		(On record)
4 5		ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: One minute
6	warning.	ACTING CHAIRFERSON ROGERS. OHE MINUTE
7	warning.	
8		(Pause)
9		
10		Good afternoon, folks. Let's go ahead
11	and take our sea	ats.
12		
13		(Pause)
14 15		mba time was in 2.10 Table as aband and
16	reconvene our me	The time now is 3:16. Let's go ahead and
17	reconvene our me	eeting.
18		(Pause)
19		(- 555-2 5)
20		Currently on the floor, we have Ms.
21	Karen Gillis	with the Bering Sea Fishermen's
22		'll go ahead and take your report now.
23	Thank you, Ms. (Gillis. You have the floor.
24		
25	T7 - 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	MS. MCDAVID: Karen, this is Brooke.
26 27		to hear you currently. It looks like our end. You might have to press star
28		n a phone or use the mute button on your
29	computer.	in a phone of use the mate satton on your
30	compacer.	
31		ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: It appears
32	we're having t	echnical difficulties with Ms. Karen
33	Gillis from th	e Bering Sea Fishermen's Association.
34		that figured out, we're going to go on
35		n River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission, Ms.
36	Therese, you have	ve the floor.
37		MC VICENIES O
38 39	Tire invited la	MS. VICENTE: Quyana, Madam Chair. And con Moses with Yukon Delta Refuge up here
40		ll co-present on our co-management. But
41		g to pass out our report to you all, and
42		tional copies for the public on the back
43	table.	
44		
45		ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: If you could
46	have someone as	time constraints.
47		
48		MS. VICENTE: Yeap. And if anyone online
49	wants a copy, t	they can get in touch with Brooke. I'll

send it over her way. Something like that, perfect.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Good afternoon, I'm Therese Vicente, Programs Manager with the Kuskokwim River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission. I'll just be brief in this report, and you can read what's written here. But we begin with a introduction to the Fish Commission. just an currently have twenty-eight appointed tribal commissioners. We have one new one, Native Village of Kwigillingok joined this summer, and we're really excited to welcome them to our compact. We have seven Executive Council members, five in-season managers, and two elder advisors. They're listed in that graphic there, and we're up to seven staff members. So, we've been growing quite a bit since our last meeting. This last summer, we employed over two dozen local seasonal staff and interns for our projects. But we'll begin by talking about our Salmon Co-Management on the Kuskokwim this past season. For the ninth year in a row, under our MOU with Fish and Wildlife Service, we've co-managed Kuskokwim salmon runs. This year, co-management extended from June 1st until August 16th under the Refuge's Temporary Special Action, our five in-season managers and two elder advisors helped make decisions and brought traditional knowledge to the table as we assess the strength of the runs and discussed openers and closures in the fisheries with Fish and Wildlife Service. We created our fourth joint Inter-Tribal Fish Commission and Yukon Delta Refuge Kuskokwim River Salmon Management Strategy that guided us to balance conservation, as well achieve as much local subsistence harvest possible. Every week, we compiled in-season assessment packages for our team, even up to two or three times a week, with the most up-to-date data for our team to have at their fingertips as they considered what to do. And those assessment packages in our meetings all include and begin with updates from fish camps and sharing traditional knowledge. Maybe I'll pass it to Aaron. See if you want to talk about more of the numbers of the season.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. MOSES: Yeah, thank you. For the record, my name is Aaron Moses. I'm the Subsistence Coordinator for Fish and Wildlife Service and part of the in-season management team. I'll just go over a little bit of the harvest. We also have our own, I gave this one out to you guys before lunch, I'll just briefly go over it. This year, we had a total of 498 hours of Federal subsistence fishing opportunities within the refuge, with an estimated harvest of 53,194 salmon compared to last year, where we had 270 hours and an

estimated harvest of 69,809 salmon. This year's harvest is on the last page of this -- of my presentation and on the second page of Theresa's presentation. So, there was more fishing opportunity, but there was less harvest this year. I could briefly go over the -- what we have a lot of the weirs from this year during peak passages were inoperable due to high water, so a lot of the weir counts that we have are minimum counts. And the Kwethluk River itself was not installed this year due to high water from day one. Since April 20th, the water has been too high on the Kwethluk to even possibly put it in. I'll just go over chinook; there on the third page is chum, sockeye, and coho. Right now, because of the inoperable or the weirs being minimum counts, we are unsure at this time if we have achieved our target of 110,000 chinook salmon. But we do -- what's it? We are leaning towards that we have, due to the fact that the Bethel Sonar did count 143,000, roughly, that's the midpoint chinook Salmon at the Kuskokwim Sonar. So, we're pretty happy with that. We're trying to work out how successful we were this summer, knowing that we did have almost not double the hours, but at least 200 more hours of fishing this summer. So, I'll give.....

232425

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. VICENTE: Yeah. So, we're feeling strong about chinook salmon, though the runs are still pretty low. They're kind of in line with what we've been seeing since the crash in 2009. Chum salmon this year, from our preliminary read, were much better than we've seen the last couple of years, ever since they crashed in 2021, but they're still well below average abundance. That's why there were restrictions for chum salmon fishing this year until towards the end of July when we opened it up for about eight or nine days before the coho started coming in. Sockeye salmon were strong again, as we predicted, and helped meet a lot of food security needs for people. You know, in Aaron's report, he talks about how there's more dip netting that's been seen recently on the Kuskokwim we found that's a great way to target sockeye and protect the chum and chinook. And then coho salmon this year was the last species that we managed, and it was kind of a tricky one to assess. The runs -- coho salmon runs have been largely in decline on the Kuskokwim since about 2018. Last year was an oddball, really high year, and it was really good for people after that terrible crash in 2022. And this year, we found the runs were better than the stretch between 2018 and 2022; were the second best in the last five years, but that doesn't tell us a whole lot when they used to be even better before five years ago, you know,

back ten, fifteen years. So, we continued our concern for coho salmon, and as we were assessing the data in season, the run this year kept tracking the lower end of the management threshold that we set for ourselves, which is why we continued our joint Federal management until the middle of August. And then once we believed that about half of the run had passed through the lower river and a lot of pressure on silvers had ceased, people had started moving on to berry picking or were only going to get a couple of fish for dinner or the freezer, we rescinded management, or the refuge manager rescinded, and management went back to the state of Alaska. But the coho salmon we're glad they were better than some of the worst years we've been seeing recently, but we still don't think they're very strong and we have lots of concerns about them. That's all I was going to report on for management. I don't know if Aaron had anything else. Okay, okay.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

1

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

And then we just have a list of the eight different projects our team has been leading or coordinating or partnering on this summer. I won't run through them all, but I'll just name them out. So, we've been doing our community-based harvest monitoring in eight communities of the lower Kuskokwim this year. We employed 17 people, collected close to 600 surveys this summer from those communities. We operated the Takotna River Weir again, which this year was the only headwaters spawner assessment project because the Salmon Pikka Fork did not receive funding. So, we operated that in partnership with Takotna Tribal Council. We partnered on the Kwethluk River Smolt Outmigration project this spring. They counted what seems like a good number of salmon smolt coming out of the Kwethluk River, which is a sign for hope, but we're still in the midst of running that data and comparing it to previous counts from a couple of years back, so more to come on that. As Aaron said, the Kwethluk River weir was not installed this year, and the crew is up there right now breaking down camp and winterizing it. We've been -- here's some new, newer projects; Environmental DNA or eDNA is a new technology we're exploring to be able to measure salmon abundance without having to use a weir, or without having to use an aerial survey. All you do is take a water sample and you're able to kind of look at a filter that the water goes through and analyze the salmon DNA from it, from slime, from excrement, things like that. And they're getting reads on -- definitely if salmon are in the river and what species they're trying to see if it can tell you the number of salmon. So, we've been

piloting that at the Takotna River Weir, up the Kwethluk River, and with Fish and Game, we've been-they've been collecting those samples at the George River. Another new project for us is becoming part of a river-wide water temperature monitoring network. So, we've been setting out these -- they're called hobo loggers. They're just little loggers sunk in the water to take temperature readings throughout the river. We have some installed here around the Bethel area, up the Kwethluk, and up the Takotna. And there's a number of partners on that project, including Native Village of Napaimute and Native Village of Georgetown. And then a really exciting new project is a drone-based stock assessment project. We've -- this is another newer technology, basically flying drones over tributaries and using those to count salmon spawners in the rivers. So, this year, a team of 5 went down 90 miles of the Kwethluk River, took pictures and videos with their drones, and now they're stitching together all those pictures and counting fish from the air. Also using those images to assess the habitat, see if artificial intelligence (AI) can be useful for this sort of thing. Water was really high up the Kwethluk this year, as many of you know, but they were still able to see fish from the air. And we're hopeful that this sort of work -- it's pretty cost-effective. It's easy to get local people trained up and give them -- not give them but train them to have their drone licenses; then they have work, employment, and a license to be able to do this sort of stuff. So, we're hopeful this can be a sustainable, cost-effective, safe, and less invasive way to count spawners in the future. So, we're continuing to explore that.

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

And then we recently received funding to start a Indigenous Knowledge Documentation Study. So, we got some funding from the Lenfest Ocean Program to salmon document knowledge of Kuskokwim through interviews with indigenous knowledge holders throughout the river, as well as pouring through the archives of Western science and other indigenous knowledge. We've also been asking those interviewees for recommendations to improve salmon management, especially in the marine environment. So, this summer we hired an intern from Napaskiak, Kristin Maxey, and she and I traveled to Chuathbaluk, Nikolai, McGrath, Aniak, Kwethluk, Nunapitchuk, and Tuntutuliak and interviewed with some folks. So, we're going through all those interviews and transcribing them and pulling together our final products now. But we're excited for that start in this sort of work. And then the last thing is just on bycatch

1 and intercept, I pulled some numbers for you all, and 2 they were updated just this morning. So, I can provide those as well. But this is on the last page of my report as of this morning, August 22nd, commercial fisheries 5 including the pollock trawl the Bering Sea, 6 fisheries, have caught 8,637 chinook salmon and 25,249 chum salmon as bycatch to date this year. Not all of 8 those are headed back to our rivers. And as many 9 presenters have alluded, it's really hard to tell even 10 when you have the Western Alaska portion to know if they 11 are going to the Yukon, Kotzebue, Kuskokwim. But on average, about 40 to 50% of all the chinook salmon 12 13 bycatch would have come back to Western Alaska, and 14 somewhere between 12 and 20% of all the chum salmon 15 bycatch would have headed back to Western and Interior 16 Alaska. So, we've been tracking those throughout the 17 summer. We've also -- the Fish Commission is what they 18 call a cooperating agency on an environmental impact statement with the National Marine Fisheries Service to 19 20 explore new management for chum salmon bycatch in the 21 Bering Sea. It's National Marine Fisheries Service 22 leading that, but we've been working with Alaska 23 Department of Fish and Game and Tanana Chiefs Conference 24 as other cooperating agencies in that process to bring 25 forward the best available information for their analysis. I won't go into depth on that, though I'm very 26 27 happy to talk about it more, but I'll just let you know. 28 You can mark your calendars for February 3rd to 10th, 29 2025. The North Pacific Council is going to be holding 30 a special meeting on only chum salmon bycatch. It'll be 31 at the Egan Center in Anchorage. It'll also be online 32 through Zoom and YouTube; you can call in; they'll take 33 public comment. And at any point, tribes can request 34 consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service 35 ahead of time. So that's bycatch. And then intercept-36 wise, we also were tracking Area M catches in June, 37 which is when they're catching most Western Alaska fish, 38 and this year their drift netters, set netters, and 39 seiners caught about 417,000 chum salmon in the June 40 fishery. At the different points that the Board of Fish 41 set their kind of step-down caps, they never hit their 42 caps during the season, so they didn't have any closures 43 that we're aware of. And then they've had some widely 44 varying genetic compositions of Western Alaska fish in 45 Area M recently. But in the last 15, 20 years, anywhere 46 from 18% to 57% of all the fish caught in specifically 47 in the month of June in south Area M, are of Western and 48 Interior Alaska origin. So that's why we've been 49 tracking that. And I think I'll just stop there, but 50 happy to take any questions. Quyana.

1 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you.

3 Jackie.
4

MS. CLEVELAND: Quyana, through the Chair. Quyana for everything, guys, and especially for also giving us the opportunity to train with you all this spring for our first year of monitoring. So, quyana for that. I wanted to go back to -- so, documenting the Kuskokwim salmon and people. If that is still ongoing, is it too late to include the Bay? Yeah. I have another question after. Oh, no. After this one, I'll ask the next one.

MS. VICENTE: Okay. Through the Chair. The grant we got for that work is -- it was very, very short. So, we're wrapping up that project next March. We're, like, really trying to crunch what we're doing now, but my hope is that it's the start of being able to find more funding and dedicate more time to this sort of work. So, we can definitely be in touch when we start the next round of everything, because I'd love to include the Bay in that work.

MS. CLEVELAND: Great. And my other question is, I see here under drone-based stock assessment that it'll be used towards artificial intelligence. And I wanted to know how.

MS. VICENTE: I'm going to have Avery answer that because he's been involved in that project.

MR. HOFFMAN: Hello, my name is Avery Hoffman. I'm the Fish Biologist for the Fish Commission. And the AI intelligence is just to help us kind of go through the 60,000 images to kind of distinguish fish from the images that we took coming down river. And then along with that, using those AI images, it can help us kind of sort through and find the fish. And then depending on the water quality, it can show, like, if - what species of salmon it is.

MS. CLEVELAND: And one last question through the Chair. Thank you for that. IA just sounds scary sometimes, so I just needed to know. Oh, and the last one was maybe for Aaron. In the last few years, I know -- well, when Kwinhagak used to have a weir, we had the same issues every start of the season. We couldn't get any numbers until the water went down. And I know since then it's been like 16 years since we last did

that. But I know in those years the storms have become more, the flooding is more. Like this year, the water level never went down, really, and now it's back up again. For the future, I guess, are we or is anyone talking about like the -- our weirs really effective anymore with climate change effects? And if not, is there talk about how to get accurate numbers otherwise? Thanks.

MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. Yeah, that's a good question. That's really been talked about recently between the Fish Management team and the Fish Commission. We're trying — the Kwethluk Weir alone has been successful roughly only 60% of the time in the last 20 years. So, we're trying to find other ways, just like with the drone project and everything, trying to figure out what's the best use of our money to be able to count fish for the Kuskokwim. And we've done this by trying to go put the weir in in April. But we were up there April, what was it? Pretty early in April, right the day after it broke up there and the water was still too high. Normally it's really shallow when it breaks up there. So, we just had a problem from day one this year so.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you. I guess to piggyback off that, is there any anybody looking into the modification of these weirs in order to increase the length or the height of the weir to address the water issues? Because it seems like we're currently going off a based weir and it needs to be modified and then noted in the modification to address those issues. And it seems like we need to be more flexible in order to allow for these modifications. Is there any plans on having that modified so we can get accurate numbers and be able to rely on the Kwethluk Weir?

 MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. As for the weir, it's just the water depth, the pickets and everything, they're perfectly fine. It's just we need seven-foot people now to put the rails in. That's the biggest part of it, but we are looking at different ways of trying or putting it in different spots, there's different, shallower spots. The Fish Commission this summer went up to go assess that. So, we're looking at multiple ways of trying to make Kwethluk successful.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Any

suggestions of scuba gear?

 MR. MOSES: That actually has come up. It's just a lot of training.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: It's well worth it, Aaron. It's well worth it. Get it done. No more excuses. We need numbers.

MR. MOSES: Yeah.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Get Jackie. We need numbers. And it's very important. And having, what did you say, 60% out of the past 20 years that was reliable? That sounds like the Andreafsky. They pulled out the Andreafsky. And I'm afraid we're going to lose the Kwethluk weir if we don't start showing and pulling our weight. Go ahead, Jackie.

MS. CLEVELAND: I just thought of something else. I know I said that was my last before, but -- so I talked with Justin Leone, I think during BIA or something and we mentioned the counting tower, and maybe that may be more effective for us to look into rather than a weir at this point. To start up a weir, it's going to be at least 500K, you know. So, talking with Justin and the reason why I asked that is I was wondering if we're going to go start going back to counting towers, maybe. I guess that's not really a question, but something I remembered with Justin that we may look into a counting tower instead for Kwinhagak. Quyana.

MR. MOSES: (Insicerible) The Kwethluk used to be a counting tower run through AVCP and the Fish and Game and Fish and Wildlife Service, here was a lot of partners in that. High water also affects counting towers, and so it runs into the same issues. It's just it's a lot easier to run a counting tower.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Any further comments or questions, Brooke.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Madam Chair. I just wanted to point out to the Council that Therese and the Fish Commission had included in here about that North Pacific Fisheries Management Council meeting that's coming up in February. And that's when they'll be addressing the chum EIS for bycatch management. And if you all because you all have commented on this before and it's been an important issue. If you wanted to request that a RAC representative attend that meeting,

you could do so. You would need a motion and you wouldn't have to select the exact person at this time. But we could put in a request to OSM and then talk about who might be available at a future date. But another suggestion that I might have, because this Council hasn't actually discussed what the five management alternatives are or no one has presented to them. So, if you wanted to provide a comment on your preferred alternative, we might need some more information. And that would need to happen at this meeting. And I don't know if Therese or anyone would be prepared to maybe share what that might be and then maybe the Council could choose to write a comment letter, and then the person could present that at that meeting, and we could that letter. Of submit course, these are suggestions. But I didn't want you to miss that opportunity because I know it has come up in the past. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Brooke. Do we have a comment deadline for that, or do we know Therese? What a comment, what time, date and time for the comment deadline?

MS. VICENTE: Through the Chair, this is Therese. They don't have that date out yet, but it's usually their meetings start on a Monday at 8 am, and comments are due the Friday before at noon. So, I'm guessing like end of January, probably the last one of the last days of January. And what Brooke was just saying, I do have a preliminary read on the alternatives. So, if there is time at this meeting, I'm around. I'm happy to tell you guys more about them.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you. My recommendation would we -- every morning we have public testimony, and I believe that would be a great time because it's not currently on our agenda. And that would be a great time. So, tomorrow morning, we'll plan to hear those preliminaries so we can add them to our letter of support or comment letter to the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council. Would that be okay with you?

MS. VICENTE: I'll see you guys at nine.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: See you at nine. Mr. Naneng.

MR. MANENG: Thank you, Madam Chair, Therese and Aaron and Avery. A couple of years ago, you know, we had low returns of coho here in the river system. A good friend of mine who monitors the Area M fisheries, even though he's from Bristol Bay. And it's not a Biologist, but he's been really watching Area M said that the run timing of coho down in Area M was earlier than usual, and they got more coho than they ever did before. And that was the year where we had low returns of coho here in the Kuskokwim. I wonder if there's a possibility of checking those numbers and maybe contacting the Fish and Game at Area M to find out about the run timing of what happened a couple of years ago, because I do believe we're still living off that decline, even though we know that salmon most of them have four-year cycles. Note that chinook has at least seven years, so if you can check on that and report back to us, it would be good to know and it would confirm my good friend's assessment or report from one of the area fishermen that the timing of coho was earlier than what they usually were. So, I just want to share that because he definitely told me that's why we did not have a good coho return on the Kuskokwim as well as down in Bristol Bay. So, thank you, Madam Chair.

242526

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Naneng. Anyone else has comments or questions at this time? Hearing none. Thank you. The Fish Commission for all the work that you have done and everything, and you will be doing for us in the future. We look forward to your next report and seeing you at 9:00 in the morning. We're going to go on to Ms. Karen Gillis with the Bering Sea Fishermen Association. You have the floor, Ms. Gillis.

343536

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. GILLIS: Quyana. Thank you, everyone, for your time and attention today. I just want to say to Therese and Avery and the whole Kuskokwim River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission that we're just so excited for the work you guys are doing. It was really great to hear that report, so thanks, everyone. I'm here today just to provide a quick update. We haven't presented to this RAC in a number of years, but we are definitely still engaged in working on issues that affect and working closely partner communities with organizations like AVCP and KRITFC and others in the region and trying to defer to them as much as possible on leadership. But we have maintained a really longstanding role in supporting healthy and vibrant fishing communities within the Bristol Bay, Arctic, Yukon, and

Kuskokwim regions of Alaska since 1979 here. I'm Karen Gillis, and I am on Dena'ina land in Anchorage today. And I'm a Program Director at Bering Sea Fishermen's Association. My boss is Amy Spark from Chevak. She's the daughter of Lucy and Harold, the late Harold Spark. And she's been with Bering Sea Fishermen's Association now for about a year. And we're very excited to have her leadership taking us in some new directions. So, for those that aren't terribly familiar with Bering Sea Fishermen's Association, we are -- we were designed to social, financial, foster greater and political capacity; to access, sustainably develop, and protect fisheries. We call it the BBAYK or Bristol Bay Arctic Yukon Kuskokwim regions of Alaska. We are committed to the sustainable management of Alaska's resources and serve you as stewards of the extraordinary ways of life and cultures of Alaskans. We work to strengthen local communities, support long-term ecological integrity, and stimulate robust economies when possible. And we focus our attention on five different areas: empowering communities, advocacy and responsible stewardship, monitoring salmon, fishery development, interdisciplinary research. We've had strong partners along the way, and it's been very apparent to us that in Western Alaska, organizations like ours benefit the most when we're working collaboratively on the issues that are affecting our communities. So, by joining together, our resources are allocated more efficiently, and we believe everyone benefits. My Board of Directors is made up of residents from Bristol Bay, Arctic, Yukon-Kuskokwim and have been primarily coastal living, but not always. We do have a vacancy in our Kuskokwim area, and if anyone is interested, you can reach out to me. I'll give you my email and phone number at the end of this quick presentation here. So, we'd love to see some new and fresh minds kind of coming onto our Board.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

Today, Ι just want to draw attention to a couple of programs that BSFA is currently supporting. One is the Bristol Bay Arctic Yukon Kuskokwim Coalition. It's a very, it's a very loose coalition, but it's a weekly teleconference where residents from the BBAYK regions come together to identify regional concerns and develop strategies to address those concerns. We work together to prepare for Fisheries and North Pacific Fisheries Management Council meetings, as well as tracking any legislative movement that has the potential to affect your fisheries. We meet every Thursday morning by Zoom, and participation is limited to residents of the Bristol

Bay Arctic Yukon Kuskokwim regions. We don't include any 1 2 agency staff, whether state or Federal. So, if you're a resident and you want to stay up to date on engagement opportunities for fisheries management or subsistence 5 issues or other policy priorities, again, I'll give you 6 my email and phone number at the end of the presentation. But one other effort that we're supporting is the Arctic 8 Yukon Kuskokwim Tribal Consortium, which grew out of the 9 Arctic Yukon Kuskokwim Sustainable Salmon Initiative, 10 which is the largest example of co-management of research funding addressing salmon in Alaska. The 11 Sustainable Salmon Initiative has been in place since 12 13 2002. And the Arctic Yukon-Kuskokwim Tribal Consortium 14 is the recipient of Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund 15 money to pass through the Sustainable Salmon Initiative 16 for research projects. But in the last couple of years, 17 the Tribal Consortium has expanded itself and kind of 18 refocused itself around an overarching goal. But the 19 Consortium members today are the Association of Village 20 Council Presidents, Tanana Chiefs Conference, Kuskokwim River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission, and the 21 22 Yukon River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission. And their goal 23 is to restore, maintain, and conserve the health and biodiversity of the Bering Sea ecosystems, rivers to 24 25 seas, including a focus on restoration of abundance of 26 wild salmon returns, all to provide for our subsistence 27 way of life and other sustainable uses, including 28 sustainable commercial uses for this and future 29 generations. The work that the Tribal Consortium is 30 doing is focused on providing capacity and support to 31 our members and the tribes that they represent on policy 32 and advocacy efforts that safeguard salmon, protect 33 traditional ways of life, and elevate tribal voices in 34 decision-making. And we offer tribes and 35 organizations policy and data support with an emphasis 36 drafting letters, developing talking 37 visualizing data, and preparing for public engagement 38 opportunities. We also offer grant writing support to 39 tribes and tribal organizations that are seeking to 40 apply for grants that support co-management, ecosystem 41 conservation, and salmon science and engagement. We can 42 do more than just that kind of segment of grants, but 43 that's where we like to focus our attention. But we also 44 provide travel assistance to help tribes and tribal 45 organizations to participate in policy-making processes, 46 like the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council and 47 the Alaska Board of Fisheries, or other meetings that 48 your tribe or tribal organization is wanting to 49 participate in but may not have the funds to participate 50 in, as long as it kind of centers around fisheries and/or

ecosystem conservation and those areas. So, we do have some resources that are available to folks in the region. And if you would like more information about these efforts or if you would like to participate in the weekly Zoom meetings, you can reach out to me, and I will provide any details for your engagement. My email address is karen@bsfaak.org, and my phone number is (907) 279-6519, and I'm at extension number two. I think Amy is at extension number one. So, if you want to touch base with Amy, that would be great too. I'll put that information in the chat for the people who are virtually attending today. But I think that's all I have.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Gillis. It's really great to hear your voice.

MS. GILLIS: Yes. I'm so glad to be here. So many, you know, great people.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right, Ms. Gillis, do we have any comments or questions for her at this time? Go ahead, Ms. Cleveland.

MS. CLEVELAN: Quyana, through the Chair. Hi, Karen. I was -- wanting to ask about your comment on restoration of salmon returns. So, I guess we've been trying to find funding to get our escapement back at home, but there's all this money available for restoration projects, and they're so far so specific to like, mines or riverbed damage and things like that. But I was wondering if restoration can include restoring of escapement numbers because we have to start somewhere. And in our case, it would be restoring our numbers since we haven't really had consistent numbers in about 16 or more years. So, I guess would that include restoration of escapement? Thanks.

MS. GILLIS: Yeah. Hi, nice to chat with you. Absolutely. So, I would say one of the best sources for funding for projects like that would fall under the Sustainable Salmon Initiative. There's a request for proposals coming out this fall. And it will be for projects that can be started up next season and if you want to contact me, I can put you in touch with a grant writer and a research coordinator. Anybody who you might feel like you would like support from to draft that proposal. I would love to see some more projects that are funded specifically for restoration goals in your region for sure. So, yes.

 MS. CLEVELAND: Through the Chair. Thank you, Karen. I'll be in touch; I have your number.

MS. GILLIS: Excellent.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Any further comments or questions for Ms. Gillis at this time? Hearing, seeing none. Thank you, Ms. Gillis. I did have I believe, a question in regards to the Bristol Bay connection. What is the form of capacity that we are connecting or communicating our efforts of these common

concerns with the Bristol Bay area and who are we exactly working with? Thank you, Ms. Gillis.

MS. GILLIS: So, at the BSFA level -- and quyana for your question. We have three Board members from Bristol Bay, one from Manokotak, one from Togiak, and one from Dillingham. So, they are very well engaged with the work that we're doing. We also work with BBNA, Cody Larson, Gayla Hofseth, they are our primary contacts, and they are -- I wouldn't say they attend the BBAYK meetings every Thursday, I mean every Thursday, but they are in attendance I would say, you know, almost every time to kind of work with the other regions on similar goals. So those are the primary people that I work with out of Bristol Bay in addition to my Board members. But BBNA is our, yeah, our -- generally our goto partner in the region. And Cody and Gayla and I work very closely together. And it's really nice or helpful and I think it benefits a lot of people to participate in those weekly calls because you're hearing from people from other regions. We also have people from the Yukon First Nations groups as well on the line and it gives you an idea of what the priorities are for individuals and organizations that are working on fishery issues in our regions. So, I would encourage you to, you know, check into those meetings and connect directly with the folks from Bristol Bay if interested. And I hope that answers your question. Quyana.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Ms. Gillis. Yes, it does. It gives us a networking, and capability that we need in order to discuss these concerns because it is a YK, but also Bristol Bay this year they've been having a lot of issues, so we need to start roping them in as well. Even though they do have the commercial industry down there, they're still our counterparts and they're still a part of Alaska and they're still a part of subsistence. And I believe we

need to connect with them together so we can make a stronger voice as people, as one of Alaska, in order to address the issues we need. Any further comments or questions? Thank you, Ms. Gillis, and thank you for joining us.

MS. GILLIS: My pleasure. Thanks

everyone.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. I just have a quick announcement that U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Gravel-to-Gravel would like to be on our agenda for tomorrow, so we're going to go ahead and move them for tomorrow's agenda. Next on the line is Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge. You have the floor. Please introduce yourself as first and last name. Thank you.

MR. ALEXIE: Hello, Madam Chair. I forgot to introduce myself earlier. I'm a Refuge Information Technician for the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge. I'm Christian Alexie Junior. I am stationed out in Eek.

MR. TULIK: (In Native) Christopher Tulik. I'm the Lead Refuge Information Technician for the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge.

MR. MOSES: Hello again. My name is Aaron Moses. I'm the Subsistence Coordinator. We're going to just do a quick update on our waterfowl program and also any staff changes that we've had since we last met.

MR. ALEXIE: I'll be starting off with the avian influenza sampling and monitoring. Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza was a concern coming into 2024. Yukon Delta was unable to sample birds in 2024 due to logistical issues but did find and report twenty-two dead birds from field camps. We have found that emperor geese have really high immunities to avian influenza strains. About 98% have immunities to some avian influenza and 65% have immunities to the current strain of HPAI or Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza. From 2022 to 2023, the proportion of the population of cackling geese that have immunity to HPAI went from 35% in 2022 to 50% in 2023. They are getting HPAI, surviving, and having immunities. Adults seem to be surviving well, but the past two winters, there have been die-offs of juveniles because they do not have the immunities that the older geese do. Brant Colony Photographic Survey for the first time since 2019, on June 4-5 of 2024, we

conducted a photographic survey over the five main brant colonies in the Baird Island, Baird Inlet, Kongiganak Island, Tutakoke River and Kokechik Bay, in order to determine if numbers of brant nesting are increasing, decreasing, or stable. Photos have not been analyzed yet, but visual reports show very low nesting and lots of signs of egg hunting in Baird Island and Baird Inlet colonies, as well as the highest densities of the brant in (In Native) Colony. This is a major concern of decreased nesting in colonies. Emperor Goose Nesting Ecology and Survival; this field season is the eighth year of emperor goose nesting ecology research on (In Native) Island, four crew members searched for geese nests on eight nest plots, 245 emperor goose nests were found and monitored. Nest effort was high in 2024, and apparent nest success was high at 83%, hatching at least one egg. The crew successfully recorded 136 band resites and captured and banded 48 nesting females to further efforts to estimate survival, which calculated to be only slightly declining since 2016. The crew assisted the Endangered Species Program with searching for, monitoring, and capturing spectacled eiders on the nest for mark-recapture survival analysis.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

1

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. TULIK: So those are just some of the $\,$ summary, a few of the summary activity reports the waterfowl biologists are doing out here in the field. So, there are other activities that they are doing, and currently, there is a waterfowl biologist -- what's his name? Randall Friendly and along with the Pathway Students, are they -- I believe they're Pathway Students, they're banding ducks are currently banding ducks up at (In Native) Lake, which is just north of the volcanic mountains. And just recently, we heard that the emperor goose is declining, and the harvest and egging will be closed in 2025. So, as a matter of fact, all goose population on the delta, the YK Delta, are decreasing in and have been declining over the last eight years. And so, the emperor goose concern will be brought before the AVCP Waterfowl Conservation Committee when they meet next week on Monday. And it will also be presented to the delegates at the AVCP Convention, also next week.

43 44 45

46

47

48

49

50

In addition to that, some restrictions on cackling geese may also be discussed at the WCC meeting. And, you know, so brant egging remains closed. So, the 30-day closure that is placed every year in the spring, what the -- the waterfowl biologists monitor the birds, migratory birds that arrive out in their nesting

area. And they will watch when they start nesting and then they will consult with AVCP on that and then determine the closure. But five days after the closure of all other species of waterfowl, the scoters — the black ducks, what they call is closed. And they're closed when they start egging and until they start fledging. But egging for emperor goose and black brant remains closed throughout the year. So, however, the egging is also becoming a problem out on Biard Island. If you don't know where that is, that is just south of Newtok. You know, it's just a muddy flat coastal area just around Ninglik. So that's what's also happening. And that well that's my part.

MR. MOSES: Yeah. Mine's real quickly, it's just a staff update. As you a lot of you know, Boyd left about a year ago, and we have since filled the Refuge Manager position from within. We got Spencer Reardon. He was our wildlife biologist an assistant manager, and he's done a whole bunch of stuff and he's from Bethel, so we're very grateful to have him here now. And he's the in-season manager now for the Fish and Wildlife Service for the Kuskokwim. And that's all I got. If you guys have any questions.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Where is Spencer?

MR. MOSES: He is away on medical.

 $\label{eq:acting_chair_person_rogers: okay. He's excused. Mr. Maneng.}$

MR. MANENG: Yeah. Thank you, Madam Chair. I know I spent many years working with the waterfowl conservation issues, as well as meeting with people from states of Washington, Oregon, and California regarding some of these waterfowl of concern. Since 1987 the numbers have been declining and still make -- trying to make a conservation effort with YK Delta people, as well as use of lead shots. Is lead shots still an ongoing concern or has that been reduced?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Aaron.

MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. We still have a major problem with lead shot. A lot of it was due to the pandemic and production issues. A lot of the stores around here were only able to find lead shot, and they weren't able to find steel shots. So, a lot of them

just bought what they could from distributors. We still have the exchange program. So, anytime someone has lead, its box for box, pretty much shell for shell and through the Friends Group and through our law enforcement guys, we have been able to acquire a bunch of steel shot to be exchanged. And the RITs here are our ears on the ground. And so, they're constantly looking to see where we need to focus our efforts on for lead shot.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Maneng.

MR. MANENG: Thank you, Madam Chair. I know the farmers of Oregon and Washington have had ongoing concerns with the cacklers and the gesse, and I'm sure it's still going on. And I know there's limited opportunity for them to harvest some geese down there, but I hope that they're not trying to kill 50,000 birds like they proposed, like, about ten years ago. I know that's not happening. Waterfowl Conservation Committee, working with the Fish and Wildlife Service, will not allow that to happen. So -- but the mortality still is a concern and impacts of cacklers on farmlands is still an ongoing concern for them, and I hope that before they migrate up north to their nesting grounds, that Fish and Wildlife are still monitoring down there to ensure that there's not a substantial reduction in their wintering grounds.

MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. Yeah, the biggest problem we're still having is the avian influenza. That's one of the biggest reasons our population throughout all the geese are going down. Even though we weren't able to get samples this year, there's just the telltale signs that they had the bird flu. Like, you could just walk up to them, they're swimming in circles, they look weak and so, a lot of what we're dealing with right now is just like we did through the pandemic, trying to get through this bird flu.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Naneng.

MR. MANENG: And I know that the indication of numbers of declines can also be observed with shorebirds like the red, what they call them red. (Indiscernible) Yeah, they used to be large in numbers along the coast, but there seems to be a -- they may have disappeared, I'm not sure, but a few have been observed every once in a while. So, and that also can reflect on some of the issues that the waterfowl are having because of I'm not sure -- I think it's methane

that their lands out on the coast have very high density of. Has there been any effort to try and check to see if methane is having an impact on waterfowl? I know it can be dangerous. If you find out on the ice, a little hole of methane that's bubbled up, you can practically burn it. So just want to know if there's any relationship to that.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Aaron.

MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. We currently don't have any projects in that nature. A lot of ours is monitoring, like Kristin said, is monitoring the emperor geese and the black brant colonies. But that is -- we're always looking for new research. So that's -- we could pass that along. And I could ask our waterfowl biologist, if there have been studies like that around here, he would know. But he's also not available for this meeting.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Tulik.

MR. TULIK: So, yeah, you're exactly right about the other species of birds, like the shorebirds, they are declining. You know, for those of us from the coast, we've seen them before, and they're not as abundant anymore. And just recently, Liliana Navas with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game have been doing this project called, you know, the traditional knowledge that she worked on and collected all that information from a number of villages, particularly out there in Hooper Bay in that area and further here. So, and they're all indicating that the shorebirds that used to be abundant are no longer that abundant or just simply have disappeared. So, Liliana Navas with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game is a good resource for that information.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Quyana, Mr. Tulik. Do I? Okay. I had a couple things, couple questions for you guys. The first question is if we were to write a support letter or a request letter to discuss the closure of the emperor geese, the Canadian geese, the emperor brant egging enclosure, a 30-day closure, the black ducks, discussion of the Lower 48 with Oregon and Washington and other states that were the migration of our waterfowl are going and then the mortality of avian influenza, including shorebirds. Who else would we need to address this letter to? Besides the AVCP

Waterfowl Conservation, Migratory Waterfowl Committee. Do we have any friends of canine's kind of thing down Lower 48 that monitor this? And if so, who else do we need to address this issue so that we can have it be brought up an awareness, and not only including a letter to all these other organizations and identities, but also to request that an immediate special action closure on emperor geese will also need to be in effect for hopefully for special action. Mr. Naneng.

MR. MANENG: Yeah, I think all of that is being addressed by the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council and the Audubon Society and the Fish and Wildlife Service agencies that are located in the Lower 48, as well as the International Fish and Wildlife Association. That structure has already been put together by -- with the Protocol Amendment of 1997, where we have the Co-management Council up here in Alaska, and also coordination with Fish and Wildlife Service and other agencies down in the Lower 48, as well as state of Alaska is participating with their office here. So, it's already in place and whenever the decision to close some of these birds, Fish and Wildlife does not take action on their own, they have to be approved by AVCP Waterfowl Conservation Committee. And also, decision is also passed on to the Co-management Council -- Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council, which has representatives from representatives from Tanana Chiefs, Aleuts[1:11:18/4], Norton Sound, Bering Straits, Bristol Bay, and also someone from, I believe, down in Chuqach area. So, it's mostly western Alaska and Interior Alaska representation. So, it's already in place.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Naneng. We need to show our support for this coming directly from our RAC, since we are a subsistence body. Go ahead, Mr. Tulik or Aaron?

MR. MOSES: Through the Chair. I was just going to say Myron knows way more than we do.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you. Any further comments or questions at this time? Quyana, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. All right, folks, the time now is 4:26. And we're trying to get through our agenda so we can dismiss at the afternoon before lunch tomorrow. Currently, on the phone right now, we have AVCP. Jennifer Hooper, are you currently online still?

MS. HOOPER: Hi, Madam Chair. Yes, I am. Can you hear me okay.?

4 5

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Yes, ma'am. We can hear you. If you can speak up a little bit more, I would greatly appreciate it. Thank you, Ms. Jennifer.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13 14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

6

1

3

MS. HOOPER: Okay, I will speak directly into my phone. I sincerely apologize to the Council for not being there earlier when AVCP was called to report. Thursday is a really busy day for standing meetings and things. And anyways, I just wanted to provide the Council and attendees some updates on activities that AVCP has been engaged in and involved with. Many things are kind of standing items that we normally report on. So, I'll try to go through this quickly in respect of your time and the agenda. So, currently at AVCP, the Natural Resources Department has three full-time staff members, myself, Ashley Johnson, I'm not sure if she's back there in the room. She's our Program Coordinator. And we also have Janessa Esquible, she introduced herself this morning. She's our Marine Resource Specialist. And this summer, we did actually have our first ANSEP Summer Bridge Intern come on board with AVCP. And we had Emma Daniel from here in Bethel as part of our team for a month and a half or so. So, that was a really neat thing to see come to fruition here at AVCP.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

Quick now to updates. I've mentioned before that our program works within the Lands and Cultural Division structure here at AVCP, and we work closely with our Realty Program, the Cultural and Environmental Programs, and then Natural Resources and continue to identify ways that we can build internal capacity. And have been working on several components of a strategic plan that was created in conjunction with our Administration and Executive Board a couple of years ago. Last year, I reported that from that strategic planning, a new component to AVCP was the creation of a within our Subsistence Committee Executive Board structure. That committee has been meeting quarterly and provides guidance to the full Executive Board and our Administration. And I mentioned Janessa Esquible is on staff currently, we shared last year at this about this time that we were restructuring that position and wanted to focus more on attracting candidates with focused education and experience. And we were lucky to have Janessa come on board, and she's been engaging in various Bering Sea issues, and right now is focusing on

implementing a new project that we have going. In the program, we are also still considering options and looking at how to implement a forestry program with some potential projects. We've spoken about that in the past, and that continues to be something we're working on. I'm sure most people have heard updates here in the last month and a half or so about Federal Fisheries Disaster applications for the Yukon and the Kuskokwim. A couple of years for the Yukon and then for the Kuskokwim 2020 and 2021. The application process was finally released and rolled out in late June, and commercial permit holders were mailed, applications and applications were available online for crew members and subsistence households. And the permit holder applications are due very soon, within the next couple of days. And then the subsistence holder applications in a month in late September. We discovered that for the Kuskokwim the eligibility criteria that was used was very short term and basically was going to mean that only permit holders who participated in the commercial openers that were structured for catcher-sellers would basically be eligible for disaster relief. And unfortunately, there's not much we can do for the next round that comes out for the Kuskokwim when it is rolled out. But we did work with the Fish Commission; big thanks to Therese there; getting comments in on the final draft plan for the 2022 Kuskokwim Disaster Request. So hopefully for that, there will be a little more flexibility in how eligibility is determined for the Kuskokwim.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

In late 2023, AVCP created a guidebook. Really nice, really nice book document as a way to provide information about the regulatory processes of the North Pacific Council and then the State Board of Fisheries, and the guide outlines how each body works, what their processes and timelines are, how often they meet, and includes information about how to develop testimony. We sent out twenty copies of the book to each tribe in the region and have shared copies with partners and coalition members and other organizations. We do have more copies available, and after this, I will go and grab some and make sure to bring those back with me tomorrow morning to have there in the meeting room. And we also have an electronic PDF version that can be shared if people are interested. One quick note about the Alaska Board of Fisheries. They are going to have their usual work session in October where agenda change requests will be considered for approval. We assume there will be a similar one like there has been regarding the Area M fisheries and so, we'll be tracking that. And I wanted

to also update, make sure everyone knew that Kurt Chamberlain who is from Aniak, Bethel Anchorage- now works for Calista was successfully appointed to the Board of Fish over the summer, and so he will now be sitting up there with the six other Board members for the next couple of years. You've heard a lot today; thank you to Therese again for sharing updates on the North Pacific Council and where they're at with salmon bycatch. We are part of several coalitions that meet and track and strategize how to best maneuver the Council process and try to make effective change happen. She mentioned that there will be that meeting in February. Back in April, our CEO, Vivian Korthuis and our Subsistence Committee members attended the April Council meeting there and provided testimony. And so, it's really important that groups continue to go in person and advocate and see this through and hopefully, in the end, come out with something that is meaningful. Karen Gillis just a few minutes ago, provided information and updates on the AYK Tribal Consortium, which AVCP is a member of. And with that group, we continue to advocate for sustainable fisheries and look at various policy needs and potential for making, you know, various aspects to our fisheries more positive and improved.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

1

2

5

6

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

AVCP continues engagement in the Bering Intergovernmental Tribal Advisory Council that was developed under the Northern Bering Sea Climate Resilience Area executive order. The TAC has had several meetings with the Federal task force in the last year or so and are now focusing work on kind of developing a structure and an outline for a Salmon Resilience Plan that can be elevated within the TAC and the Bering Federal task force of the White House. Chris and the refuge staff there just mentioned that our Waterfowl Conservation Committee is going to be meeting next week on Monday here in Bethel. We did work with them this summer, this past spring, on scheduling the mandatory 30-day closure that we all are used to. And we'll have a lot of items to discuss, including the current emperor goose population status.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

Lastly, AVCP this spring and summer received funding to develop a fisheries-focused project, and we decided to work directly with some communities and identified a process to discuss inter-generational visions with them. Meeting with community members, elders, adults, and youth, and framing discussions around resilience and sustainability. We've started out with two communities this fall and initiated the project

by conducting large circle dialogues with identified community members. And then our planning to hire some local contractors who will assist in identifying potential next steps for the project and then after a successful completion in the two communities that we worked with this summer and fall, Tuntutuliak and Alagnak, we will kind of evaluate how things worked and what outcomes were developed and then look to kind of restarting the project again next year in a few more communities. So, it's been a while since implemented, you know, on-the-ground, in-the-community project. So, we're really excited for this and excited to see where things go. A big component of it is providing funding directly to community members who assist with the circle dialogues, and then with the hiring of some local contractors. And it was through administration suggestions and recommendations that we try to get as much of the funding directly out into the communities in whatever way made sense for the project. And so, really grateful that we're able to provide that opportunity for -- starting off for a few of our communities. I would be happy to take any questions, and that's all I have. Thank you, Madam Chair.

232425

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

272829

30

31

26

MS. CLEVELAND: Just a quick comment. I'm just happy that Kurt Chamberlain of Aniak was appointed to the Board of Fish. That's shocking and great. Thanks for giving us that news.

32 33 34

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: All right. Thank you, AVCP. It was a good report.

35 36 37

MS. HOOPER: Quyana.

38 39

40

41

42

43

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Alrighty. That's going to go ahead and move us on to the Office of Subsistence Management. I am going to inform you I'm quitting this meeting or closing this meeting for the day at 5:00. We're going home at 5:00. No ifs, ands or buts. Thank you, Scott, you have the floor.

44454647

48

49

50

MR AYERS: Not feeling any pressure after that. Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Council. Again, on behalf of OSM, I'd like to thank you all for your service and the exceptional work that you do on behalf of your communities and user groups. We at OSM

value your experience and volunteer contribution of your 1 2 knowledge and time in the regulatory process and all of the other things that you cover when we're having these meetings. Today, I have four reports on four different 5 subject areas for you, but I promise I'll keep it brief, 6 and it'll just be a few pages worth of information here. 7 First, on the OSM administrative move, at the request 8 of many stakeholders made during listening sessions and 9 tribal consultations across the state and subsequent 10 congressional approval with the enactment of Department of Interior's 2024 appropriations, 11 12 Secretary of the Interior issued Secretarial Order 3413 13 to administratively transfer OSM from the U.S. Fish and 14 Wildlife Service directly to the office of the Assistant 15 Secretary Policy, Management, and Budget, which was 16 effective July 15th, 2024. This move is one of the many 17 that the Department is making to strengthen Federal 18 subsistence policies and structure, and to ensure that 19 federally qualified subsistence users and Alaska Native 20 people have an opportunity for meaningful engagement in 21 its implementation. The move is in response to the 22 concerns and input of Alaska Native communities and 23 people, many of whom rely on subsistence use and the 24 need to enhance program operations for all federally 25 qualified subsistence users, particularly in the face 26 a changing climate. OSM responsibilities 27 functions of administrative support to the Federal 28 Subsistence Board and the Subsistence Regional Advisory 29 Councils remain the same following this move to the 30 office of the Secretary. Federal Subsistence Management 31 Program operations continue seamlessly, and 32 regulatory cycle is going on without interruptions. If 33 you need to reach out to any of the OSM staff, we now 34 have new email addresses that have been updated on our 35 website. The main contact phone number remains the same 36 (907) 786-3888 and our main email address is now updated 37 to subsistence@ios.doi.gov. Second, I have an update on 38 the regulations regarding the Federal Subsistence Board 39 composition, also based on requests during joint consultations with Alaska Native tribes and others, the 40 41 Departments of the Interior and Agriculture are in the 42 process of revising the regulations concerning the 43 composition of the Federal Subsistence Board. The final 44 rule regarding this revision is expected to be published 45 by the end of this fiscal year. The departments will add 46 three public members nominated or recommended by 47 federally recognized tribal governments. Require that those nominees have certain knowledge and experience, 48 49 define requirements used to select the Board Chair, and 50 affirm the Secretary's authority to replace Board

members and the Secretary's responsibility and oversight 1 2 regarding Board decisions. These regulatory revisions are responsive to the primary request made to the departments during consultations. The rule will become 5 effective 30 days after publication in the Federal 6 Register. And again, as we noted earlier, it's in 7 process. We're not exactly certain when that's going to 8 publish, but we think it's going to happen here sometime 9 in the next month or two. The third item is OSM staff 10 updates. Since the All Council meeting held in March of 11 this year, OSM welcomed our new Acting Director, Crystal 12 Ciisquq Lionetti. She will be in this acting role until 13 the end of September. In her permanent position, Crystal 14 is the Alaska Native Affairs Specialist at the U.S.Fish 15 Wildlife Service. Among regular OSM director 16 responsibilities, Crystal has been leading OSM through 17 its administrative move and is doing a tremendously 18 wonderful job. OSM Director's position will advertised in the near future. We're hearing possibly 19 20 as early as next week. OSM has also had some staff 21 departures. Theo Mutuskowitz [1:31:45/4], 22 Supervisory Regulatory Specialist, retired in July after 23 over 20 years of faithful service, and OSM staff will 24 miss him greatly, especially his depth of knowledge in regulations, his kind advice, and wonderful sense of 25 26 humor. Justin Kohler, OSM Fisheries Biologist, has been 27 acting as the Supervisory Regulatory Specialist in the 28 interim and was able to jump right in to ensure that 29 OSM's final and proposed rules, as well as meeting 30 announcements, are moving through the approval and 31 publications process. This position will also be 32 advertised for permanent hire at the same time. Kayla 33 McKinney, OSM Subsistence Outreach Coordinator, left OSM 34 due to move to another state. Leigh Honig and Sherrie 35 Gould Fares are covering some of this position's duties 36 in the interim while performing their regular duties, 37 and they've been doing great. The vacant position of the Subsistence Outreach Coordinator will be advertised in 38 39 the near future, and we encourage all individuals with 40 diverse outreach skills and interest in working with 41 subsistence issues and rural communities to apply. And 42 in August, we were also saddened to learn about the 43 upcoming departure of OSM's Deputy Director Amy Howard. 44 She will be leaving us for a new job with the Aleut 45 Corporation as the Director of Lands and Natural 46 Resources. Her last day with OSM is August 30th. While 47 congratulating Amy on her new job, we will sorely miss her inclusive and fair management style, her great 48 49 support for the OSM team, and her smile. That position 50 will also be advertised here, I believe, in the next

week or two. So, we've got a lot of openings coming up that we're hoping to stock up with great people once again. And then lastly, I have a brief, very brief update on current litigation. A detailed litigation update was provided to the Councils at the March 2024 All Council meeting. Since then, in the kaek [1:33:50/4] emergency hunt matter, which is Alaska Department of Fish and Game versus the Federal Subsistence Board briefing is now in progress before the Ninth Circuit Court. In Kuskokwim matter, the United States versus the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, the district court found in favor of the U.S. on all claims and enjoined the State from taking any further action in violations of Title 8 on the Kuskokwim River. The State has appealed, and the briefing has just recently commenced before the Ninth Circuit Court. And that is my update well before the 5:00 hour. I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Wonderful job. Do we have any further comments or questions at this time for Scott? I know I had a question, and I was going to write it down, but I was hungry, and I forgot. So, I'll probably come back to you after that. I did want to know in regards to the update between the transfer between DOI and DOA. I know it's a current process that's going on right now, but what I'm trying to say is that I would like to see our services continue as normal as DOI in a timely fashion, respected correspondence. If it's going to be this way, that if we fall under DOA and this is going to become the new OSM, then something needs to be fixed. And I believe truly within my heart that our people who -- and people, subsistence people and the people of Alaska and those who choose to live in Alaska, should have that reassurance that they're being represented, their voices are being heard, and correspondence should be in a timely manner instead of leaving them waiting, hoping they'll forget. And that's not directed at you; that's directed above, above your pay grade. Thank you. Go ahead, Scott.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. AYERS: If I may. Yeah, thank you for that input. And yeah, I don't think there's any mal intent with the fact that that correspondence item that we talked about earlier today was delayed. And I just have been working while we've been holding the meeting here. And I believe that that correspondence letter actually is going out this afternoon on that topic to kind of close that circle. But it has been a substantial lift to have this program moved from one agency, the

Fish and Wildlife Service, into a different part of the government structure. It's an unusual thing that does not happen very often, and it's required an extensive amount of effort from folks in the Secretary's office, leadership with the Fish and Wildlife Service, and then staff at OSM to make it happen. And we have tried, to the extent possible, to make it as seamless as possible. And there — we certainly all knew that there were going to be some hiccups along the way and some bumps on the road, but we're trying to minimize those to the extent that we can. I think that's where I'll leave that for now. But I do appreciate the comment. And we're really trying hard to keep everything moving forward without interruption. Thanks.

 $\label{eq:acting_chair_person_rogers:} A \texttt{CTING} \ \texttt{CHAIRPERSON} \ \texttt{ROGERS:} \ \texttt{Thank} \ \texttt{you,} \\ \texttt{Scott.} \ \texttt{Mr.} \ \texttt{Manneng.}$

MR. NANENG: Yeah, thank you, Madam Chair. The request to move from Fish and Wildlife Service directly to the Department of Interior was done in an effort to make sure that our subsistence concerns are also on the table with other Federal agencies. This one would be to also raise the issues that we've been talking about the last couple of days, like fisheries, because North Pacific Fisheries Management Council is not listening to us, and they are they're with their Department of Commerce. And that's the reason why the request to transfer from -- directly from Fish and Wildlife Service to the Department of Interior was done, is that there at the same level with the Department of Commerce. And that would help some of the issues that we're talking about be heard directly from these other agencies that don't seem to have any concern about our subsistence concerns.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Mr. Ayers.

MR. AYERS: Thank you, member Naneng. I agree, I believe that's exactly correct and while we have not yet seen the engagement on that particular topic yet, what I have observed since July 15th, when we've moved, is already engagement on a handful of other topics that the Councils and the Federal Subsistence Board have put forward. There's ongoing discussions with OSM leadership and Assistant Secretaries on a variety of those topics, and trying to find ways to move them forward in ways that I haven't been privy to prior to this. So, I think that we are already seeing dividends paid on that front with being moved, the program being

moved to this level, and I'm frankly pretty excited about it. It'll be nice to see some action on some of these things a little bit faster. We do have regular interaction with leadership, and they are listening, and they have connections with people at higher levels than we've had before. So, I think it's going to be good for the program and good for the Councils and good for subsistence users.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ROGERS: Thank you, Scott. I did have a question in regards to that. If we have our meetings in Anchorage, can these leadership be at our meeting so we can have one-on-one conversations with them?

MR. AYERS: Thanks, Madam Chair. We can try. Much of our leadership is now in Washington, D.C., and so we are having virtual meetings with them by and large. But they have come out to Anchorage at least once already to visit with us and kind of give us information about themselves and what they know about their program and what they want to know about the program, and to have us as a staff voice any concerns that we might have with this transition. And so, they've been very helpful on that front, but we certainly can put that forward. And I know that they are interested in more return trips. I'm sure had the All Council meeting happened post this move that we would have had representatives from those that, at that level at that meeting. Alas, it wasn't until that point in time that we found that this was actually taking place. So, I don't think it's off the table.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Brooke, can we have our meeting in Washington, D.C.?

 $\operatorname{\mathsf{MS.}}$ MCDAVID: Maybe if you provide strong enough justification.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON: We need their one-on-one time. Any further questions? Hearing, seeing none. Thank you very much. At this time, I'm going to go ahead and call this meeting to recess for the night. The time now is 4:55. We will see you all at 9am. We'll see you at 9am tomorrow. Quyana.

(Off record)

(END OF PROCEEDINGS)

contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the NORTH

SLOPE SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING,

VOLUME II recorded on the 22nd day of August 2024;

1	
2	THAT the transcript is a true and
3	correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
4	thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced
5	to print to the best of our knowledge and ability;
6	
7	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
8	party interested in any way in this action.
9	
10	DATED at Isabela, Puerto Rico this 16th
11	day of Sept 2024.
12	
13	
14	Rafael Morel
15	Chief Project Manager - Transcriptions
16	