

From: [Christy Spear](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:42:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Christy Spear
16971 330th Pl
Isle, MN 56342

From: [Cindy Belleau](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 1:28:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Cindy Belleau
10940 Sunset Ave
Forestville, CA 95436

From: [Cindy Campbell](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:47:56 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Cindy Campbell
12 Cleveland St Apt 1
Arlington, MA 02474

From: [Cindy Meyers](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:01:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Cindy Meyers
PO BOX 423
La Selva Beach, CA 95076

From: [Cari Chenkin](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:44:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Cari Chenkin
7244 Linda Vista Dr
Citrus Heights, CA 95610

From: [Claire Flewitt](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:05:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Claire Flewitt
San Leandro, CA 94579

From: [Claire Perricelli](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:14:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Claire Perricelli
2259 16th St
Eureka, CA 95501

From: [Claudia Hamm](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:19:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Claudia Hamm
95124

From: [Claudia Hamm](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:19:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Claudia Hamm
95124

From: [Claudia Leung](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:23:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Claudia Leung
94606

From: [Claudia Leung](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:23:00 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Claudia Leung
94606

From: [Claudia Mackey](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:38:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Claudia Mackey
3415 Admiral Dr
Stockton, CA 95209

From: [Claudia Monahan](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 2:55:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Claudia Monahan
53967 Avenida Navarro
La Quinta, CA 92253

From: [Claudia Wornum](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:27:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Claudia Wornum
11780 Cranford Way
Oakland, CA 94605

From: [Cody Goin](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:12:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Cody Goin
973 Rifle Range Rd Lot 16
Marshfield, MO 65706

From: [C.S](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:34:39 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Absolutely NO more fossil fuel leases!

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

c s

PO Box 7382

San Diego, CA 92167

From: [Dalia Salgado](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:38:47 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dalia Salgado
707 Wilshire Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90017

From: [Dana Monroe](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:16:19 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dana Monroe
2760 East 4th Street Apt 223 Magnolia
National City, CA 91950

From: [Diane Sands](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:24:00 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diane Sands
460 W Montecito Ave
Sierra Madre, CA 91024

From: [Dianora Niccolini](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:46:00 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dianora Niccolini
435 E 79th St Apt 2M
New York, NY 10075

From: [diana.street](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 7:40:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
diana street
2420 kil
modesto, CA 95355

From: [Dogan Ozkan](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:16:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dogan Ozkan
318 noble street 3
Fairbanks, AK 99701

From: [Dolores O'Dowd](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:00:37 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dolores O'Dowd
326 CAROLINE ST APT 3
ALBION, NY 14411

From: [Don Barth](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:23:44 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Don Barth
Ashland, VA 23005

From: [Don Thompson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:16:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Don Thompson
11 Blackstone St Apt 7
Cambridge, MA 02139

From: [Donald Crosby](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:39:36 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Donald Crosby
1120 Old Fort Drive
Tallahassee, FL, FL 32301

From: [Donald Di Russo](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:58:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Donald Di Russo
130 Dana Ave
Hyde Park, MA 02136

From: [Donald Erway](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:31:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Please! Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Donald Erway
77-6455 Princess Keelikolani D
Kailua Kona, HI 96740

From: [Daniel Arendt](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:01:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Daniel Arendt
566 HARRISON STREET
SEBASTOPOL, CA 95472

From: [Donna Davies](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:47:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Donna Davies
1617 Alison Avenue
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94040

From: [Donna Israel](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:43:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Donna M. Israel

Sincerely,
Donna Israel
8020 Ditman St Apt B8
Philadelphia, PA 19136

From: [Donna Leslie-Dennis](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:48:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Congratulations on your confirmation! Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Donna Leslie-Dennis
4747 Matney Ave
Long Beach, CA 90807

From: [Donna Meyers](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:05:35 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Donna Meyers
142 Jay St.
Stowe, PA 19464

From: [donna panza](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 12:39:27 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
donna panza
grass valley, CA 95949

From: [donna panza](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 12:38:57 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
donna panza
grass valley, CA 95949

From: [Donna Sharee](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:49:23 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Donna Sharee
459 Naples St
San Francisco, CA 94112

From: [Donna Shaw](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:32:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Donna Shaw
1720 Ahart St
Simi Valley, CA 93065

From: [Dore Richman](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 10:31:33 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dore Richman
Kenmore, WA 98028

From: [Doreen Domb](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:16:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Doreen Domb
PO Box 3501
Grass Valley, CA 95945

From: [Daniel Benador](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:20:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Daniel Benador
4046 Gros Ventre Ave
San Diego, CA 92117

From: [Dori Please](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:44:44 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dori Please
1940 thayer Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90025

From: [Doris Overmyer](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:08:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Doris Overmyer
750 Appleberry Drive
San Rafael, CA 94903

From: [Dorothy Brown](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:56:00 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. A full and rigorous environmental impact study, if done correctly, will show what the scientists have said: There is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a livable planet.

Our climate cannot afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 degrees Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals and the well-being of the planet.

Phasing out federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health in general, as well as low-income communities and communities of color already experiencing disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help ease the climate and extinction crises by reducing pollution and stopping the destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species including the polar bear, greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pike minnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Indigenous Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly elimination of federal fossil fuel production, resulting in a just and equitable transition for communities economically dependent on and affected by federal fossil fuel development. In addition, in the context of the forthcoming review, please consider the worldwide social, economic, and environmental costs that would probably result from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership with regard to fossil fuel production and other threats to the planet.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dorothy Brown
190 Coleridge Street

San Francisco, CA 94110

From: [Dorothy Freidel](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 1:25:54 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dorothy Freidel
95401

From: [Dorothy Li Calzi](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:29:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dorothy Li Calzi
6609 Lincoln Dr
19119

From: [Dorothy R. SAXE](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:18:48 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dorothy R. SAXE
990 Lassen Drive
Menlo Park, CA 94025

From: [Dorrine Marshall](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:49:53 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dorrine Marshall
5200 Irvine Blvd
Irvine, CA 92620

From: [Doug Bender](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:08:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Doug Bender
261 Vista del Parque
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

From: [doug.scheel](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 9:56:26 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
doug scheel
876 NIPOMO AVE
LOS OSOS, CA 93402

From: [Douglas McCormick](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:14:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Douglas McCormick
23602 Via Paloma
Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679

From: [Daniel D.](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:46:36 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Daniel D.
25923 Streisand St
San Antonio, TX 78260

From: [Douglas Wilson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:54:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Douglas Wilson
455 Egemoggin Rd.
Little Deer Isle, ME 04650

From: [Dr John Brooks](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:04:37 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dr John Brooks
PO Box 1
Tate, GA 30177

From: [Dr. Dennis Fassman](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:06:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dr. Dennis Fassman
372 Post 104
Westbury, NY 11590

From: [Drew Thomas](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:22:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Drew Thomas
94402

From: [Drew Thomas](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:22:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Drew Thomas
94402

From: [Duane Gustafson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:12:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Duane Gustafson
9245 Burris Drive
Cook, MN 55723

From: [Dudley and Candace Campbell](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:01:37 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dudley and Candace Campbell
13167 Ortley Pl
Van Nuys, CA 91401

From: [Daniel Judge](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:05:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Daniel Judge
278 N Cassingham Rd
Bexley, OH 43209

From: [Daniel Lutzker](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:11:12 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Daniel Lutzker
61 W. 62 St.
NY, NY 10023

From: [Daniel McKeighen](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:01:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Daniel McKeighen
711 University Ave, apt#2214
Rocklin, CA 95765

From: [Daniel Medrano](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:34:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Daniel Medrano
1012 W. Cruces St.
Wilmington, CA 90744

From: [Daniel O'Brien](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:25:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Daniel O'Brien
36 Mulberry Lane
Milton, NY 12547

From: [Danny Hill](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:37:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Danny Hill
90028

From: [Dallas Windham](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:02:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dallas Windham
2512 Castle St
Irving, TX 76038

From: [Danny Hill](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:37:26 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Danny Hill
90028

From: [Daphne Anthony](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:48:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Daphne Anthony
3880 Dawsonia St
Bonita, CA 91902

From: [Darcy Bergh](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:20:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Darcy Bergh
1121 Hallam Ave N
St. Paul, MN 55115

From: [Darien Hetherman](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:05:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Darienne Hetherman
91001

From: [Darien Hetherman](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:04:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Darienne Hetherman
91001

From: [Darrell House](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:25:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Darrell House
PO Box 387
Wilkinson, IN 46186

From: [Darren Frale](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 1:54:55 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Darren Frale
875 Rome Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90065

From: [Darren Williams](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:06:01 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Darren Williams
53 Bronze Leaf
Irvine, CA 92620

From: [darynne.jessler](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:45:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
darynne jessler
4408 Gentry Ave
Valley Village, CA 91607

From: [Dave Saze](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:26:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dave Saze
2000 Brightside Dr
Baton Rouge, LA 70820

From: [Damon Brown](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:44:55 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Damon Brown
3536 Cloverdale Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90016

From: [Dave Searles](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:17:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dave Searles
804 17th Street
Brodhead, WI 53520

From: [David Alford](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:05:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Alford
91604

From: [David Alford](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:05:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Alford
91604

From: [David Bernal](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:56:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Bernal
4090 65th St.
Sacramento, CA 95820

From: [David Bryan](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:55:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Bryan
16645 Shoal Rd
Lewes, DE 19958

From: [David Burtis](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:42:37 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Burtis
103 Jasmine Ln
Calistoga, CA 94515

From: [David Doering](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:04:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Doering
1544 Polk
San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [David Farwell](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 2:23:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Farwell
30774 San Remo Rd
Carmel, CA 93923

From: [David Garfinkle](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:19:47 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Garfinkle
6073 Calvin Ave
Tarzana, CA 91356

From: [David Gates](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:43:01 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Gates
20230 Anza Dr
Salinas, CA 93908

From: [Dan Begay](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:46:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dan Begay
2534 e joshua lane
flagstaff, AZ 86004

From: [David Griffith](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:07:00 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Griffith
10450 Lavender Ct
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91737

From: [David Guleke](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:29:47 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Guleke
2320 Chestnut St, Chester, Pa 19013
Chester, PA 19013

From: [David Lawrence](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:10:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Lawrence
51 Davenport Ave
Morris Plains, NJ 07950

From: [David Mazariegos](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:47:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Mazariegos
112 North Granite Circle
Folsom, CA 95630

From: [David Nichols](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:24:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Nichols
5107 NE Couch St
Portland, OR 97213

From: [David Peha](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:24:35 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Peha
13313 Adair Creek Way N.E.
Redmond, WA 98053

From: [David Peterson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:50:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Peterson
92116

From: [David Peterson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:50:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Peterson
92116

From: [David Sarricks](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 8:55:06 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Sarricks
PO Box 452
Running Springs, CA 92382

From: [David Schneider](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:19:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Schneider
4796 Wagon Wheel Ln
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

From: [Dan Kittredge](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:26:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dan Kittredge
7620 Eastridge Dr
La Mesa, CA 91941

From: [David Suarez](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:03:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Suarez
1841 E 31st St
Brooklyn, NY 11234

From: [David TALLEAGLE](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:16:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David TALLEAGLE
11887 FIRE STATION RD
CONROE, TX 77385

From: [David Wendt](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:31:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
David Wendt
430 North Civic Drive #412
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

From: [Dawn Hendry](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:38:30 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dawn Hendry
12 Mountain High Ct
Littleton, CO 80127

From: [dawn.kenyon](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:43:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
dawn kenyon
54 Bumphill Rd
Greenfield Center, NY 12833

From: [Dawn Matta](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:55:42 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dawn Matta
10817 Cedar St
Riverview, FL 33569

From: [Dawn Matta](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:55:27 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dawn Matta
10817 Cedar St
Riverview, FL 33569

From: [Dawn Matta](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:54:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dawn Matta
10817 Cedar St
Riverview, FL 33569

From: [Dawn Matta](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:54:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dawn Matta
10817 Cedar St
Riverview, FL 33569

From: [Dean Clarke](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:48:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dean Clarke
8232 s river rd
Waterville, OH 43566

From: [DAN MCKIRNAN](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:29:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
DAN MCKIRNAN
1404 Law St.
San Diego, CA 92109

From: [Dean Griswold](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:12:23 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dean Griswold
8784 Mohawk Way
Fair Oaks, CA 95628

From: [Deanna Johnson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:07:35 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Deanna Johnson
2318 Clemson Dr
Davis, CA 95618

From: [Deanna Knickerbocker](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:34:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Deanna Knickerbocker
2421 Michele Jean Way
Santa Clara, CA 95050

From: [Deanna Nielsen](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:47:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Deanna Nielsen
1173 Manzanita Dr
Pacifica, CA 94044

From: [Deb Federin](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:21:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Deb Federin
92037

From: [Deb Federin](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:21:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Deb Federin
92037

From: [Deb Lincoln](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:02:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Deb Lincoln
5546 Kirkland Ct
Johnston, IA 50131

From: [Deborah Crosset](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:26:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Deborah Crosset
22 Sawmill Rd
Granby, CT 06035

From: [Deborah Fallender](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:55:35 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Deborah Fallender
2212 Pearl Street
Santa Monica, CA 90405

From: [Deborah Gerson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:36:37 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Deborah Gerson
117 Banks Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: [Dan Morgan](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:16:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dan Morgan
3601 Gold Crest Ln
Rosamond, CA 93560

From: [Deborah Wills](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:09:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Deborah Wills
76 York St
Lambertville, NJ 08530

From: [Debra Rehn](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:29:30 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Debra Rehn
97202

From: [Debra Rehn](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:29:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Debra Rehn
97202

From: [deepali.panjabi](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 7:50:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
deepali panjabi
93401

From: [deepali.panjabli](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 7:50:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
deepali panjabi
93401

From: [Deirdre Boland](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:49:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Deirdre Boland
1507 1/2 N Commonwealth Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90027

From: [Dena Schwimmer](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:04:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dena Schwimmer
1227 S. Genesee Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90019

From: [denine heinemann](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:52:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
denine heinemann
5531 n commercial ave
portland, OR 97217

From: [Denise Brennan](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:38:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Denise Brennan
2692 Patrick Henry, Apt. 101
Auburn Hills, MI 48326

From: [Denise Hosta](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:48:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Denise Hosta
12011 Rock Brook Run
Fort Myers, FL 33913

From: [Dan Perdios](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 7:56:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Congratulations! Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dan Perdios
775 N Plaza Amigo
Palm Springs, CA 92262

From: [Dennis Kreiner](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 6:53:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dennis Kreiner
2307 Arrow St
Carpentersville, IL 60110

From: [Dennis Tracy](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:00:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dennis Tracy
208 Chestnut Ct
Tehachapi, CA 93561

From: [Derek Benedict](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:15:47 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Derek Benedict
Lynnwood, WA 98036

From: [Derek Benedict](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:14:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Derek Benedict
98036

From: [Derek Gendvil](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:48:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,
Derek Gendvil

LV

Sincerely,
Derek Gendvil
9030 W Sahara Ave
Las Vegas, NV 89117

From: [Devi Tong](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:23:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,
Devi Tong RN PHN

Sincerely,
Devi Tong
740 Valencia Rd
Aptos, CA 95003

From: [Devorah Soodak](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:31:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Devorah Soodak
Philadelphia, PA 19134

From: [Diana Kliche](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:25:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diana Kliche
3351 Ridge Pk Ct
Long Beach, CA 90804

From: [diana koeck](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 9:28:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
diana koeck
1000 South Coast Drive., #K-107
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

From: [Diana Morgan-Hickey](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:40:49 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diana Morgan-Hickey
520 Wagman Drive
San Jose, CA 95129

From: [Dan Tobin](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:09:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Dan Tobin
8076 SE Double Tree Dr
Hobe Sound, FL 33455

From: [Diana Shepherd](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:05:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diana Shepherd
7080 Summitrose St
Tujunga, CA 91042

From: [Diane and Tom Mader](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:48:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diane and Tom Mader
3044 Grey Eagle Drive
Walnut Creek, CA 94595

From: [Diane Berliner](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 5:02:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diane Berliner
90046

From: [Diane Berliner](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 5:01:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diane Berliner
90046

From: [Diane Berliner](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:16:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diane Berliner
90064

From: [Diane Bloom](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:02:15 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diane Bloom
2457 Tyler Loop NE
Rio Rancho, NM 87144

From: [Diane Falk](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:35:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diane Falk
PO Box 151
Marysville, WA 98270

From: [Diane Krell-Bates](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:26:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diane Krell-Bates
9115 Judicial Dr
San Diego, CA 92122

From: [Diane Pulsifer](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:52:44 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diane Pulsifer
3835 Blue Heron Way
Greenwood, CA 95635

From: [Diane Rohn](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:45:36 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diane Rohn
6654 Hampton Park Court
McLean, VA 22101

From: [D. Fachko](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:30:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
D. Fachko
7142 Orangethorpe
Buena Park, CA 90621

From: [E. Frazier](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:04:39 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
E Frazier
90278

From: [Edwin Aiken](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:32:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Edwin Aiken
663 Torrington Dr
Sunnyvale, CA 94087

From: [eileen.schatz](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:59:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
eileen schatz
PO Box 991, 161 Central Ave
Woodacre, CA 94973

From: [Elaine Aron](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:59:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elaine Aron
28 Marinero Circle, #12A
Tiburon, CA 94920

From: [Elaine Benjamin](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:17:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Elaine Benjamin

Sincerely,
Elaine Benjamin
2627 Eltinge Dr
Alpine, CA 91901

From: [Elaine Chung](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:50:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elaine Chung
2122 Ocaso Camino
Fremont, CA 94539

From: [Elaine Mont-Eton](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:51:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elaine Mont-Eton
83 Billou Street
San Rafael, CA 94901

From: [Elana Rose](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:00:44 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elana Rose
1 Pond View Cir
Sharon, MA 02067

From: [Eleanor Gomez](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:06:35 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Eleanor Gomez
131 Clover Springs Drive
Cloverdale, CA 95425

From: [Elena Knox](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:07:00 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am very optimistic with you in the role of Secretary of the Interior. Congratulations! I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elena Knox
19650 Shake ridge road
Volcano, CA 95689

From: [Elisabeth Bathgate](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:01:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elisabeth Bathgate
22112 Queen St
Castro Valley, CA 94546

From: [E. P. Sternberg](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:55:23 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

E. P. Sternberg

Sincerely,
E. P. Sternberg
1954 Cider Mill Rd
Shaftsbury, VT 05262

From: [Elise Beliak](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:39:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elise Beliak
875 Chrysopolis Dr
Foster City, CA 94404

From: [Elise Fandrich](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:32:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elise Fandrich
Sacramento, CA 95819

From: [Elise Fandrich](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:31:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elise Fandrich
Sacramento, CA 95819

From: [Elizabeth Burger](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 10:14:45 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Burger
13 Twin Pine Way
Glen Mills, PA 19342

From: [Elizabeth Byers](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:48:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Byers
56 Upland Avenue
Mill Valley, CA 94941

From: [Elizabeth Casanova](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 8:15:42 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Casanova
3401 7th st
Las Vegas, NM 87701

From: [Elizabeth Darovic](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:58:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Darovic
95 Forest Ave
Riverside, IL 60546

From: [Elizabeth Gifford](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:42:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Gifford
20 Summer Street,
Watertown, MA 02472

From: [Elizabeth Levy](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:14:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Levy
5815 Bernhard Ave
Richmond, CA 94805

From: [Elizabeth Moellenhoff](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:22:29 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Moellenhoff
1012 Archer St
San Diego, CA 92109

From: [Eden Johnson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 10:05:45 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Eden Johnson
95926

From: [Elizabeth Roderick](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:06:24 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Roderick
99501

From: [Elizabeth Sullivan](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:16:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Sullivan
Pob 172
Penngrove, CA 94951

From: [Elizabeth Ullrich](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:33:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Ullrich
1239 San Anselmo Avenue
San Anselmo, CA 94960

From: [Elizabeth Watts](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 6:23:10 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Watts
33435

From: [Elizabeth Watts](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 6:22:22 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Watts
33435

From: [Ella Craig](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:18:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

I am very concerned about climate change. Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ella Craig
1634 Myrtle Ave. Apt. 3
Eureka, CA 95501

From: [Ellen Atkinson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 5:39:26 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen Atkinson
1117 Stratford Rd
Lynchburg, VA 24502

From: [Ellen Beschler](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:25:36 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen Beschler
5 E 51st St
New York, NY 10022

From: [Ellen Dreyer](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:30:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen Dreyer
33300 Freedom Rd Apt 14
Farmington, MI 48336

From: [Ellen Franzen](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:11:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen Franzen
970 Jones Street
Berkeley, CA 94710

From: [Eden Johnson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 10:05:24 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Eden Johnson
95926

From: [Ellen McCann](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:17:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen McCann
1262 Amalfi Pl
Escondido, CA 92027

From: [Ellen Peterson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:21:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen Peterson
58 Roble Road
Berkeley, CA 94705

From: [Ellen Schulz](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:01:47 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen Schulz
94949

From: [Ellen Schulz](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:01:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen Schulz
94949

From: [Ellen Segal](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:29:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen Segal
4434 Auckland Ave
Toluca Lake, CA 91602

From: [Ellen Toomey](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:31:24 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen Toomey
94706

From: [Ellen Toomey](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:31:15 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen Toomey
94706

From: [Ellen Wasfi](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:55:55 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ellen Wasfi
2386 Pine Valley Road
Dover, DE 19904

From: [ellene shapiro](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:45:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
ellene shapiro
650 Laurel Ave
Highland Park, IL 60035

From: [Elliot Comunale](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:42:35 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elliot Comunale
58 Menlo Park Dr
Akron, OH 44313

From: [Edmond Green](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:58:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Edmond Green
26512 Las Palmas unit 1
Laguna Hills, CA 92656

From: [Elliott Sernel](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:56:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elliott Sernel
PO Box 301
Palm Springs, CA 92263

From: [emily hall](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:11:55 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
emily hall
07042

From: [emily hall](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:11:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
emily hall
07042

From: [Emily Wade](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:33:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Emily Wade
11008 Twin Pond Ter, San Diego CA 92128
San Diego, CA 92128

From: [Eric Crouch](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:01:15 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Eric Crouch
1516 Delaware Ave., #3
Ames, IA 50014

From: [Eric Moody](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:32:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Eric Moody
1514 Electric Ave
Venice, CA 90291

From: [Eric Nichandros](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:21:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Eric Nichandros
17426 Columbia Dr
Castro Valley, CA 94552

From: [Eric Robinson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:37:02 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Eric Robinson
108 N. Belvedere Blvd. Apt 17
Memphis, TN 38104

From: [Eric Scheihagen](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:39:57 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Eric Scheihagen
75229

From: [Eric Schwartz](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:02:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Eric Schwartz
PO Box 300
Santa Barbara, CA 93102

From: [Edward Cavasian](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:16:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Edward Cavasian
991 El Cajon Way
Palo Alto, CA 94303

From: [Erik Peterson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:47:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Erik Peterson
183 Timberview Drive
Troy, MI 48084

From: [Erika Agnew](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:31:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Erika Agnew
5920 Hollywood Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90028

From: [Erin Garcia](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:37:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Erin Garcia
5930 Reseda Blvd
Tarzana, CA 91356

From: [Erin Gercey](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 11:10:41 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Erin Gercey
90278

From: [Erin Gercey](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 11:10:05 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Erin Gercey
90278

From: [Erline Towner](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:22:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Erline Towner
49 Quarry Cir
Milford, NH 03055

From: [Ethan Krenzer](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:00:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ethan Krenzer
23242 Via Dorado
Coto de Caza, CA 92679

From: [ethan zachadnyk](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:38:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
ethan zachadnyk
1162 prospect st
la jolla, CA 92037

From: [Etta Robin](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:47:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Etta Robin
12219 Winger Street
Bakersfield, CA 93312

From: [Eva Thomas](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 5:41:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Eva Thomas
100 LAUGHING COW RD
WOODSIDE, CA 94062

From: [Edward Fisher](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:07:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Edward Fisher
619 East California Boulevard
Pasadena, CA 91106

From: [Evan Jane Kriss](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:08:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Evan Jane Kriss
26 Cloud View Road
Sausalito, CA 94965

From: [Evelyn Trevethan](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:09:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Evelyn Trevethan
238 Lakeview
Napa, CA 94559

From: [Evette Andersen](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:20:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Evette Andersen
10230 Ridgeview Dr
Grass Valley, CA 95945

From: [Edward Messerschmidt](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:26:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Edward Messerschmidt
12603

From: [Edward Messerschmidt](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:26:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Edward Messerschmidt
12603

From: [E. Frazier](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:05:05 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
E Frazier
90278

From: [F. R. Eguren](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:46:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
F. R. Eguren
608 S Broadway
Hermosa Beach, CA 90277

From: [Frances Rove](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:04:00 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Frances Rove
3404 W. 93rd St.
Leawood, KS 66206

From: [Francesca Rago](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:43:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Francesca Rago
111 Cleaveland Road
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

From: [Francine Kubrin](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:41:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Francine Kubrin
11628 Montana Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90049

From: [Francis Butler](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:50:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Francis Butler
2130 Ten Acre Rd
CA, CA 93108

From: [Frank Bures](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:23:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Frank Bures
224 Lake Park dr.
winona, MN 55987

From: [Frank Landis](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:16:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Frank Landis
14245 Dalhousie Road
San Diego, CA 92129

From: [Frank Margowski](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:07:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Frank Margowski
8802 W Dartmouth Pl
Lakewood, CO 80227

From: [Frank MILLIN](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:19:55 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Frank MILLIN
26 Quicksilver Ct.
Lakewood,, NJ 08701

From: [Fred Granlund](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:08:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Fred Granlund
5619 Lankershim Blvd # 102
North Hollywood, CA 91601

From: [Freda Hofland](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:03:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Freda Hofland
27070 Sherlock Rd
Los Altos Hills, CA 94022

From: [Felicity Hohenshelt](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:19:36 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Felicity Hohenshelt
4321 Sunbeam Lake Dr
Jacksonville, FL 32257

From: [freddie sumilhig](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:59:56 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
freddie sumilhig
203 WHITE WATER WAY
YUBA CITY, CA 95991

From: [Fredrick Seil](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:02:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Fredrick Seil
1 Twain Avenue
Berkeley, CA 94708

From: [Fiona Priskich](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:57:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Fiona Priskich
41 Beresford Gardens
Swan View, CA 90210

From: [Florence Harrod](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 6:50:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Florence Harrod
139 Cerro St
Encinitas, California, United States, CA 92024

From: [Flurry Dowe](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:23:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Flurry Dowe
7821 Linda Vista Rd #32
San Diego, CA 92111

From: [Fran Oberman](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:07:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Fran Oberman
24042 Highlander Rd/
West Hills, CA 91307

From: [Frances Goff](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:09:47 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Frances Goff
37 S Sierra Madre Blvd
Pasadena, CA 91107

From: [frances.lux](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:08:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
frances lux
92109

From: [frances.lux](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:08:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
frances lux
92109

From: [F. Carlene Reuscher](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:21:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
F. Carlene Reuscher
1866 Kinglet Ct
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

From: [G. Simmons](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:43:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
G. Simmons
161 Baldwin St
Meriden, CT 06451

From: [gary charles](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:21:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership. WITH ALL THE SPILLS THEY DON'T CLEAN UP BLAMING SUBCONTRACTORS NO MORE EVER THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR WHO THEY HIRE TO DO THE WORK THEY GET ALL THE PROFIT

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
gary charles
p.o. box 20321
long beach, CA 90801

From: [Gary salata](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:24:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gary salata
1180 River Road
Trenton, NJ 08628

From: [Gavrilah Wells](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:29:30 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gavrilah Wells
811 Diamond Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

From: [Gayle Spencer](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:02:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gayle Spencer
2603 Alpine Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025

From: [Genette Foster](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:01:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Genette Foster
1748 Monte Vista
Pasadena, CA 91106

From: [Geoffrey Peckover](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:37:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Geoffrey Peckover
2157 Ocean Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11229

From: [George Bentley](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:48:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
George Bentley
1198 Churchill St
Saint Paul, MN 55103

From: [George Brewer](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:11:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
George Brewer
314 Estrella
Watsonville, CA 95076

From: [George Brewer](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:11:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
George Brewer
314 Estrella
Watsonville, CA 95076

From: [George Corra](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 8:42:41 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
George Corra
29th Alley SE
Birmingham, AL 35205

From: [Gabriel Sheets](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 9:01:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gabriel Sheets
4955 Yaqui Gulch Rd
Mariposa, CA 95338

From: [George Craciun](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:57:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
George Craciun
12811 Flint Creek Rd.
Thonotosassa, FL 33592

From: [George Erceg](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:40:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
George Erceg
3079 Donnellville Road
Natrona Heights, PA 15065

From: [George Ferrell](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:01:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
George Ferrell
338 15TH ST
SANTA MONICA, CA 90402

From: [George Georganas](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 6:24:11 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
George Georganas
P.o. Box 105
Elk, CA 95432

From: [George Klipfel](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:43:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
George Klipfel
67380 Garbino Rd
Cathedral City, CA 92234

From: [George Lewis](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:19:57 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
George Lewis
1852 6th St
Los Osos, CA 93402

From: [George Ruiz](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:42:24 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
George Ruiz
1321 Hull drive
San Carlos, CA 94070

From: [Georgia Tattu](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:36:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Georgia Tattu
2447 Park Ave
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254

From: [Georgiann Schulte](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:13:56 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Georgiann Schulte
204 S. Maple Ave., #13
Oak Park, IL 60302

From: [Gerald Sircus](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:04:55 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gerald Sircus
11 Hillside Ave
Goldens Bridge, NY 10526

From: [Gaetano De Felice](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:19:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gaetano De Felice
105
Woodacre, CA 94973

From: [Geraldine Martin](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:32:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Geraldine Martin
4054 Carpenter Ave Apt 5E
Bronx, NY 10466

From: [Geri Collecchia](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:03:27 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Geri Collecchia
360 E South Water St Apt 518
Chicago, IL 60601

From: [geri de seve](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:50:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
geri de seve
12180

From: [Geri Ott](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:35:15 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Geri Ott
2643 Clyde St
Matlacha, FL 33993

From: [Germano Brandes](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:06:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Germano Brandes
808 Saint Paul St
Baltimore, MD 21202

From: [Gilda Levinson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:21:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gilda Levinson
8183 NW 14th Street
Coral Springs, FL 33071

From: [Gina Ness](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:12:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gina Ness
2300 B Street
Eureka, CA 95501

From: [Glenn Brownton](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:31:56 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Glenn Brownton
4272 22nd St
San Francisco, CA 94114

From: [Glenn Hufnagel](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:33:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Glenn Hufnagel
1174 Kensington Ave
Buffalo, NY 14215

From: [GLORIA FOOKS](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:01:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
GLORIA FOOKS
450 Park Dr
Saint Clair, MO 63077

From: [Gail Blumberg](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:06:57 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gail Blumberg
204 California St
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

From: [Gloria McClintock](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:21:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

As a taxpayer I am tired of paying fossil fuel energy companies for polluting our public lands, air, and water. Their tax write-offs, special treatment and lobbying, and irresponsibility for cleaning up the environmental toxins left behind from fossil fuels is not capitalism. It is criminal behavior.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gloria McClintock
1411 NORTHVIEW CT
Mount Vernon, WA 98274

From: [Gloria Skouge](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:33:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gloria Skouge
326 NW 182nd St
Shoreline, WA 98177

From: [Grace Neff](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:40:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Grace Neff
800 28th Ave Se
Albany, OR 97322

From: [Grace Strong](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 8:06:55 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Grace Strong
E4635 Lake Road
Ironwood, MI 49938

From: [Graciela Barajas](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:29:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Graciela Barajas
92102

From: [Graciela Barajas](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:29:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Graciela Barajas
92102

From: [Greg Cahill](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 3:17:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Greg Cahill
4234 La Salle Ave
Culver City, CA 90232

From: [Greg Rosas](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:14:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Greg Rosas
4353 Edwards Ln
Castro Valley, CA 94546

From: [Greg Thomsen](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:50:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Greg Thomsen
7155 Linden Ter
Carlsbad, CA 92011

From: [Gregory Freeman](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:14:26 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gregory Freeman
6333 E. Apache Dr.
Pearce, AZ 85625

From: [Gail McMullen](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:05:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gail McMullen
1734 N Kingsley Dr Apt 4
Los Angeles, CA 90027

From: [Guy Perkins](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:41:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Guy Perkins
6155 Plumas St Apt 247
Reno, NV 89519

From: [Guy Zähler](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:05:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Guy Zähler
146 creek drive
Aptos, CA 95003

From: [Gail Roberts](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 4:34:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gail Roberts
PO Box A Pmb 70
Tecate, CA 91980

From: [Gail Weininger](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:13:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gail Weininger
3009 Triumph Dr
Alameda, CA 94501

From: [Garth Casaday](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:42:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Garth Casaday
3740 Black Feather Dr
El Sobrante, CA 94803

From: [gary baxel](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 2:45:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
gary baxel
68249 risueno
cathedral city, CA 92234

From: [G Caviglia](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:50:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
G Caviglia
PO Box 1954
Morgan Hill, CA 95038

From: [Laurie Vallens](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Protect Our Future: No More Fossil Fuel Leases
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:47:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

The Honorable,

Dear Secretary Haaland,

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I am writing to ask you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study. If done correctly, it will show what the scientists have said, that there is no more room for new fossil fuel development if we are to have a chance at a liveable planet.

And that means our climate future can't afford more fossil fuel leasing and development on our public lands and waters. Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields, if fully developed--even without factoring in coal--would push warming well past 1.5 Celsius. That means any new oil, gas, or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with our country's climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health and low income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. It will help the twin climate and extinction crises by cutting climate pollution and stopping destruction of public land and ocean habitat for species like polar bear, Greater sage-grouse, ringed seals, and Colorado pikeminnow.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, Tribes, and state governments to ensure an orderly decline to federal fossil fuel production--one that ensures a just and equitable transition for communities both economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

I urge your agencies, in the context of the forthcoming environmental review, to fully consider the social, economic, and environmental costs of climate inaction, including as may result globally from the failure of the U.S. to demonstrate strong leadership, as with the federal fossil fuel programs.

Thank you for your consideration, and thank you again for your climate leadership.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Laurie Vallens
1050 Pineridge Drive
Cambria, CA 93428

From: [Langdon Page](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public comment, Fossil Fuels
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 11:42:28 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Secretary Haaland,

I welcome President Biden's Executive Order calling for a "pause" in leasing our public lands for oil and gas extraction. However, it is not enough. Methane emissions from oil and gas operations drive climate change, a worldwide crisis that threatens health, safety, and life. Conventional pollutants from fracking poison the air, contribute to multiple diseases, and may increase susceptibility to our "other" crisis, COVID-19.

When multiple crises collide, strong steps are needed. Please take the next steps by making permanent your pause on oil and gas leases on public lands and waters, update the long-outdated Emergency Response Plan to include banning dispersants, fund baseline studies of human health, especially in children, in communities exposed to fossil fuel contamination, and expand community healthcare centers in underserved communities like Benndale, Mississippi.

Should you have any doubt about the toll of fossil fuels on people's health, please watch the film *The Cost of Silence* to see what's at stake in the decisions Interior makes. People around the Gulf of Mexico, especially in BIPOC communities, are still suffering debilitating and often fatal health consequences from the decade-old Deepwater Horizon disaster. BP has still not been held accountable.

We're counting on this administration to stand up to industry pressure, remedy historic and ongoing exposures, pollution, and illnesses, and protect communities from fossil fuels.

Thank you.

Langdon Page

Monkey Puzzle Media

www.mnkpzl.com

(56-9) 935-66-194 Santiago, Chile (cel)

(323) 666-1598 Los Angeles, California (in Chile)

From: rainbow=alumni.utexas.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Rainbow Di Benedetto](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public lands and waters are for the people, not corporate profit
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:40:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing because I value our public lands and waters. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Rainbow Di Benedetto
7708 Waldon Drive
Austin TX, 78750-8264

From: tdquang2000@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Quang Tran Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:35:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Quang Tran

From: tdquang2000@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Quang Tran Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:36:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Quang Tran

From: rsafast@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] R Stan Anthis Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. R Stan Anthis

From: Roger@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] R. Anghis Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:00:27 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. R. Anghis

From: robertmosling49@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] R. Johnson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:23:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. R. Johnson

From: rachel.lero@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rachel Blomgren-Lero Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:55:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Rachel Blomgren-Lero

From: rafaelrabassa@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rafael Rabassa Supports American Jobs
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 11:20:42 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Rafael Rabassa

From: rafaelrabassa@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rafael Rabassa Supports American Jobs
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 11:20:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Rafael Rabassa

From: rafaelrabassa@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rafael Rabassa Supports Energy Security
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 11:20:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Rafael Rabassa

From: toobklyn@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ralph Galati Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 6:44:40 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ralph Galati

From: navvyvet46@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ralph Harris Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:59:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ralph Harris

From: larose1959@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ralph Lacy Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:30 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ralph Lacy

From: cwormc@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Randall Callahan Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:44:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Randall Callahan

From: randallwolson@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Randall Olson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:20:20 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Randall Olson

From: tannerrandall@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Randall Tanner Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:36:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Randall Tanner

From: ranam2@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Randy Freeland Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:31:42 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Randy Freeland

From: ranam2@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Randy Freeland Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:16:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Randy Freeland

From: rkchill@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Randy Hill Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:26:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Randy Hill

From: captrandyhovey@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Randy Hovey Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Randy Hovey

From: Randyhutchison0@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Randy Hutchison Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:30:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Randy Hutchison

From: patriotrj1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Randy Lindower Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:16:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Randy Lindower

From: rprejean3@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Randy Prejean Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:25:47 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Randy Prejean

From: ray@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ray Parker Supports American Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:47:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Ray Parker

From: rayuy2@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ray Uyeki Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:12:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ray Uyeki

From: lynnjames448@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rayette James Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:30:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rayette James

From: tamparay@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Raymond Berkeley Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:12:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Raymond Berkeley

From: therigel@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Raymond Hickey Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:32:42 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Raymond Hickey

From: Rayjzp@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Raymond Perry Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:50:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Raymond Perry

From: twining26@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Raymond Twining Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:48:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Raymond Twining

From: carpintress@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rebecca Israel Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rebecca Israel

From: lmwolfe@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rebecca Wolfe Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rebecca Wolfe

From: littlemissy1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rebekah Martin Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 6:16:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Rebekah Martin

From: littlemissy1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rebekah Martin Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:00:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Rebekah Martin

From: reesadcmc@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Reesa Fisher Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:16:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Reesa Fisher

From: RazVicTC103@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Renee Zuba Supports American Jobs
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:44:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Renee Zuba

From: RazVicTC103@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Renee Zuba Supports American Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 10:39:37 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Renee Zuba

From: RazVicTC103@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Renee Zuba Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:56:44 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Renee Zuba

From: calibercarvings@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Evelyn Mogren](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Responsibility for our Nation and World - Comment Period
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 1:06:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Interior Oil and Gas Leasing Program,

I am long term user of parks and public lands and as a Scout leader and someone who grew up on a farm, I know we Need to improve aspects of the oil and gas leasing program:

- Climate change is an urgent crisis. We (the US and the world) must transition away from fossil fuel - leave it in the ground to stop not only carbon emissions but, keep our soils and water clean.
- If there is any role for continued fossil fuel development on public lands and waters, DOI must let people have time to speak out publicly to let those who have no moneyed influence be heard and ensure that comment periods are long enough so people with ordinary lives have time to respond.
- DOI must engage meaningfully with Tribes and keep our treaties with them.
- DOI must end offshore drilling in the Atlantic, Pacific, Gulf of Mexico, and Arctic Ocean to protect valuable marine and coastal ecosystems, as well the communities and businesses that depend on these resources. Specifically, to protect ocean recreation and tourism industry valued annually at more than \$129 billion in the United States.
- Addressing climate change requires an aggressive society-wide pivot toward renewable energy sources, and public lands and waters will be a necessary component of that transition. That does not mean, however, that every renewable energy project is appropriate in any location, and DOI must ensure that judicious guidance and processes exist to move expeditiously on renewable energy development at scale in a manner cognizant of other resource values.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Mogren
Columbia, MD 21045
calibercarvings@verizon.net

From: [Margaret Braley](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Review of Federal Fossil Fuel Programs
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:28:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Thank you for your climate leadership and for undertaking a long-overdue climate review of the federal fossil fuel programs. I urge you to undertake a full and rigorous environmental impact study to end new federal fossil fuel leasing and implement an orderly, managed decline of production.

If done correctly, it will show what scientists have said: There's no room for further fossil fuel development if we want a livable planet.

Pollution from the world's already-producing oil and gas fields — if fully developed and without factoring in coal — would push warming well past 1.5 degrees Celsius. That means any new oil, gas or coal leasing on public lands and waters is incompatible with U.S. climate goals.

Phasing out the federal fossil fuel programs will benefit public health, especially that of low-income communities and communities of color who already experience disproportionate pollution and climate impacts. Cutting climate pollution and stopping the destruction of public land and ocean habitat for endangered species will prevent the worst of the climate and extinction crises.

I urge you to work with other federal agencies, Congress, tribes and state governments to ensure an orderly phaseout of federal fossil fuel production — one that ensures a just and equitable transition for states and communities that are economically dependent on and impacted by federal fossil fuel development.

Please fully consider the social, economic and environmental costs of climate inaction. The United States must demonstrate strong global leadership by ending new leasing on public lands and waters.

Thank you for your consideration.
Meg Braley
33 Beach St.
South Portland, ME 04949

From: Rwleach76@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rex Leach Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rex Leach

From: rhondac.11_2@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rhonda Cosby Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rhonda Cosby

From: rhonda.tdupre@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rhonda Dupre Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:34:12 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rhonda Dupre

From: horrid52wheels@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rhonda Skelton Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:19:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rhonda Skelton

From: billingsguy@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Billings Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 10:52:23 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Billings

From: billingsguy@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Billings Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:20:55 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Billings

From: thetrades55@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Brown Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Brown

From: ddbuss@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Buss Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 9:02:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Buss

From: lowride@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Cangialosi Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:30:50 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Cangialosi

From: lowride@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Cangialosi Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 6:47:43 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Cangialosi

From: Cumcon@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Cummings Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 7:16:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Cummings

From: richardcurry328@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Curry Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 6:56:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Curry

From: rich_greer@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Greer Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:08:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Greer

From: guyette@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Guyette Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:34:45 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Guyette

From: rphartig@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Hartig Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:44:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Hartig

From: richard.holliday@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Holliday Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:44:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Holliday

From: richard.holliday@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Holliday Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:55:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Holliday

From: Agriickat75@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Holthus Supports American Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:20:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Richard Holthus

From: Agriickat75@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Holthus Supports Energy Security
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:20:23 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Richard Holthus

From: Agriekat75@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Holthus Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:20:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Holthus

From: Agrickat75@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Holthus Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:20:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Holthus

From: Agriickat75@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Holthus Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:20:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Holthus

From: Agriekat75@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Holthus Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:20:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Holthus

From: richhuhn@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Huhn Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:08:26 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Huhn

From: richhuhn@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Huhn Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 7:43:16 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Huhn

From: texirvin@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Irvin Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:03:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Irvin

From: ohiostatebuckeyes1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Kucera Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Kucera

From: rlarri208@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Larrimore Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:41:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Richard Larrimore

From: rlarri208@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Larrimore Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:41:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Richard Larrimore

From: rlarri208@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Larrimore Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:41:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Larrimore

From: rlarri208@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Larrimore Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:42:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Larrimore

From: rich1_magoffin@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Magoffin Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 6:49:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Magoffin

From: rocimize1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Mize Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:03:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Mize

From: richperk@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Perkins Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:47:12 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Perkins

From: Rick.salness@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Salness Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:19:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Salness

From: Rws2911@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Sloan Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Sloan

From: richard.staten@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Staten Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:46:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Staten

From: stottlhydro@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Stottlemeyer Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:47:12 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Stottlemeyer

From: Richard92069@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Walker Supports American Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Richard Walker

From: Richard92069@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Walker Supports Energy Security
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Richard Walker

From: Richard92069@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Walker Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Walker

From: Richard92069@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Walker Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Richard Walker

From: Richard92069@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Walker Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Walker

From: Richard92069@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Walker Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Walker

From: Richard92069@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Walker Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Walker

From: rjz53@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Richard Zeidler Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Richard Zeidler

From: rfattore46@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rick Fattore Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:36:42 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rick Fattore

From: r.e.gruber@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rick Gruber Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:33:54 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Rick Gruber

From: rico07@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rick Oubre Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 4:16:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Rick Oubre

From: rphillips1961@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rick Phillips Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:07:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rick Phillips

From: deerslayer_nwv2012@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ricky Scott Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:23:26 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ricky Scott

From: srphunt@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rita Hunt Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:11:37 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rita Hunt

From: robroe@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rob Hamilton Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:57:26 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Rob Hamilton

From: robroy@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rob Hamilton Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:57:25 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Rob Hamilton

From: robroy@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rob Hamilton Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:57:24 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Rob Hamilton

From: robroy@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rob Hamilton Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:02:57 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Rob Hamilton

From: robroy@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rob Hamilton Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:57:01 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rob Hamilton

From: randers@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Anders Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:49:04 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Anders

From: randers@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Anders Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 5:24:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Anders

From: randers@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Anders Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:15:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Anders

From: canibals@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Ashley Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:09:22 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Ashley

From: Lvratpower@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Babbitt Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 11:31:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Babbitt

From: bballard71@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Ballard Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:50:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Ballard

From: jerry6038@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Bean Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:46:56 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Robert Bean

From: jerry6038@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Bean Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:47:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Robert Bean

From: jerry6038@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Bean Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:47:23 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Robert Bean

From: jerry6038@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Bean Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:46:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Robert Bean

From: jerry6038@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Bean Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:46:55 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Bean

From: rbernsen1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Bernsen Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Robert Bernsen

From: clyne@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Clyne Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 9:48:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Clyne

From: clyne@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Clyne Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:19:10 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Clyne

From: clyne@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Clyne Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:16:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Clyne

From: Captbbc7@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Cooper Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:16:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Cooper

From: recoy46@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Coy Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Coy

From: bobbyd854@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Diamond Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:08:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Robert Diamond

From: rdubos@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Dubos Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:26:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Dubos

From: Redbob99@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Dumas Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:01 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Dumas

From: Redbob99@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Dumas Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Dumas

From: relkins@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Elkins Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Elkins

From: robistheman2@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Foote Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Foote

From: Waltgore@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Gore Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:23 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Gore

From: brincobob38@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Henry Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:26:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Robert Henry

From: herrmann00@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Herrmann Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:16:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Herrmann

From: Shayne@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Holland Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 10:14:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Holland

From: rmkreds@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Koerner Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:47:25 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Koerner

From: rmkreds@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Koerner Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:43:01 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Koerner

From: bobmarcinko@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Marcinko Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 1:27:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Marcinko

From: r_obrien1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert O'Brien Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 9:14:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert O'Brien

From: robpet4him@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Peterson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:14:23 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Peterson

From: rplp77@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Phillips Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:54:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Phillips

From: rplp77@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Phillips Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:36:27 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Phillips

From: anne.bob@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Pohlman Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:21:55 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Robert Pohlman

From: Robert.putch@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Putch Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:25:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Putch

From: Robert.putch@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Putch Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:25:30 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Putch

From: raswiech@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Swiech Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:34:39 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Robert Swiech

From: shadowandbri@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Taylor Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:41:10 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Taylor

From: shadowandbri@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Taylor Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:36:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Taylor

From: runtig@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Untig Supports American Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:03:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Robert Untig

From: runtig@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Untig Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:47:00 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Robert Untig

From: Wyatt.b@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robert Wyatt Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:07:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robert Wyatt

From: roleekennedy@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roberta Kennedy Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 11:25:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Roberta Kennedy

From: bravorc@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roberto Bravo Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 1:27:13 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Roberto Bravo

From: bravorc@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roberto Bravo Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 1:03:39 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Roberto Bravo

From: Robertocastillo1735@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roberto Castillo Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:56:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Roberto Castillo

From: robinjschmidt@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robin Schmidt Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:46:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robin Schmidt

From: robin322@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Robin Shoemaker Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:38:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Robin Shoemaker

From: thereptilian1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rocky Reuter Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:31:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Rocky Reuter

From: artripp@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rod Tripp Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:14:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Rod Tripp

From: rdb61554@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Brandt Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:28:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Roger Brandt

From: rmlaible@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Laible Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 12:07:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Roger Laible

From: rogermiller5@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Miller Supports American Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 4:06:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Roger Miller

From: rogermiller5@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Miller Supports American Jobs
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 11:06:10 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Roger Miller

From: rogermiller5@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Miller Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:47:28 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Roger Miller

From: quarton@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Quarton Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 12:02:20 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Roger Quarton

From: rrecords1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Records Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Roger Records

From: rrecords1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Records Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Roger Records

From: rrecords1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Records Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Roger Records

From: rrecords1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Records Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Roger Records

From: rruble54@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Ruble Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Roger Ruble

From: Rlwsc@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roger Williamson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Roger Williamson

From: rbraasch08@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Roland Braasch Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 9:20:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Roland Braasch

From: ronberti@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron Berti Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:03:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ron Berti

From: rbostick@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron Bostick Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:38:37 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ron Bostick

From: molly1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron Fiscus Supports American Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:33:56 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Ron Fiscus

From: molly1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron Fiscus Supports Energy Security
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:33:56 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Ron Fiscus

From: molly1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron Fiscus Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:33:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ron Fiscus

From: molly1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron Fiscus Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:33:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ron Fiscus

From: molly1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron Fiscus Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:33:55 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ron Fiscus

From: rhowes@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron Howes Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ron Howes

From: koolaide927@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron Lovins Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:04:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ron Lovins

From: koolaide927@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron Lovins Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 5:31:31 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ron Lovins

From: ronmarch32@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron March Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 4:21:39 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ron March

From: rjsearle555@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ron Searle Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:52:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ron Searle

From: ronaldbartsch@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronald Bartsch Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Sunday, April 11, 2021 4:40:21 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronald Bartsch

From: rjhormel@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronald Hormel Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:27:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronald Hormel

From: Easyinkc@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronald Jones Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 6:00:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronald Jones

From: ron.kirkland74@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronald Kirkland Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 4:31:56 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronald Kirkland

From: ronknowles101@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronald Knowles Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:18:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronald Knowles

From: Bugmerj@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RONALD Richard Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Sunday, April 11, 2021 7:03:35 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. RONALD Richard

From: ronaldas@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronald Snook Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 11:46:48 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronald Snook

From: rwynn16@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronald Wynn Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:17:42 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronald Wynn

From: Rsci3@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronda Scism Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronda Scism

From: ronnieemonet@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronnie Emonet Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 12:53:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronnie Emonet

From: ronnieemonet@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronnie Emonet Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:14:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronnie Emonet

From: kwajirmi@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronnie Fordham Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:16:28 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronnie Fordham

From: rjhester50@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronnie Hester Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:28 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronnie Hester

From: Rgwhite07@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronnie White Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:44 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronnie White

From: Rgwhite07@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronnie White Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronnie White

From: r7syxx@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ronny Funderburk Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:03:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ronny Funderburk

From: rosed55@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rose Dembek Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:54:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Rose Dembek

From: ross.gullet@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ross Gullet Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:38:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ross Gullet

From: ross.gullet@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ross Gullet Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:09:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ross Gullet

From: rp3farms@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ross Provencio Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:47:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ross Provencio

From: rossent007@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ross Taormina Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:43:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ross Taormina

From: royce@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Royce Aslakson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Royce Aslakson

From: rubydoan44@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ruby Doan Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:14:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ruby Doan

From: russellr@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Russell Roske Supports American Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:22:28 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Russell Roske

From: reswanson@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Russell Swanson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 3:10:55 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Russell Swanson

From: ruthanncrow@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ruth Ann Crow Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:16:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ruth Ann Crow

From: raj52154.rj@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ruth Jaegers Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 4:10:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ruth Jaegers

From: sally0115@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ruth Martin Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:26:35 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ruth Martin

From: cloughlivestock@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ryan McKenzie Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:01:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ryan McKenzie

From: srglife-misc@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sabdra Good Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:35:37 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sabdra Good

From: route5box755@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sally Marston Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:44:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sally Marston

From: Samiles53@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sam Miles Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:00:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Sam Miles

From: Sam miles53@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sam Miles Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:00:24 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Sam Miles

From: SamMiles53@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sam Miles Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:00:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sam Miles

From: SamMiles53@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sam Miles Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:49:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sam Miles

From: sampic@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sam Pickren Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:25:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sam Pickren

From: swillia041@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Samantha Williams Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:17:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Samantha Williams

From: Ray.sammy@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sammy Ray Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:56 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sammy Ray

From: Ray.sammy@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sammy Ray Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:09:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sammy Ray

From: Slk3824@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sandra Brown Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:17:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Sandra Brown

From: Slk3824@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sandra Brown Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:16:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sandra Brown

From: Slk3824@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sandra Brown Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:17:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sandra Brown

From: Slk3824@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sandra Brown Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:17:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sandra Brown

From: sandrahirt63@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sandra Hirt Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sandra Hirt

From: squattybody@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sandra Imoe Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sandra Imoe

From: djspotsgo@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sandra Leiby Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 4:32:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sandra Leiby

From: sandy.nelson77@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sandra Nelson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 5:27:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sandra Nelson

From: sandrastrout@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sandra Strout Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sandra Strout

From: sandoaz@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sandy Draus Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:33:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sandy Draus

From: shepihs@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sandy Shepard Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:33:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sandy Shepard

From: sbishop7@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sarah Bishop Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:26:28 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sarah Bishop

From: sbishop7@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sarah Bishop Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:29:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sarah Bishop

From: sarah@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sarah Erkmann Ward Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:03:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sarah Erkmann Ward

From: holliday@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sarah Holliday Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:55:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Sarah Holliday

From: holliday@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sarah Holliday Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:55:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Sarah Holliday

From: holliday@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sarah Holliday Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:56:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sarah Holliday

From: holliday@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sarah Holliday Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:55:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sarah Holliday

From: holliday@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sarah Holliday Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:55:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sarah Holliday

From: sscott1012@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sarah Scott Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 11:05:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sarah Scott

From: jettabeau@aol.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Connie Flinders](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Saving the health of public lands and waters
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:43:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing because I value our public lands and waters. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Connie Flinders
440 River Road
Bliss ID, 83314-5051

From: markschnieder4376@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scheider Mark Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 10:09:08 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Scheider Mark

From: slaburns@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scott Burns Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:16:26 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Scott Burns

From: Hopkins1080@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scott Hopkins Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:46:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Scott Hopkins

From: scottrkrippner@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scott Krippner Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:14:15 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Scott Krippner

From: mollanscott@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scott mollan Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:31:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Scott mollan

From: smunczen@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scott Munczenski Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:26:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Scott Munczenski

From: scottapetsch@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scott Petsch Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Scott Petsch

From: scottiedjht@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scott Turnbow Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:46:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Scott Turnbow

From: sdphillips1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] SD Phillips Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 4:43:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. SD Phillips

From: shannondavis1956@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shannon Evans Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Shannon Evans

From: rgsgtg@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sharon Griffith Supports Energy Security
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 7:20:56 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Sharon Griffith

From: windyfcf@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sharon Jenkins Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:30:22 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sharon Jenkins

From: ruthersj@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sharon Rutherford Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:08:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sharon Rutherford

From: crazeeflowerlady@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sharon Walden Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:20:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Sharon Walden

From: shawncbranch@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn Branch Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:10:38 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Shawn Branch

From: Green17842@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn Grammont Supports American Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:03:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Shawn Grammont

From: Green17842@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn Grammont Supports Energy Security
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:03:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Shawn Grammont

From: Green17842@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn Grammont Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:03:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Shawn Grammont

From: Green17842@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn Grammont Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:03:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Shawn Grammont

From: kyoshi747@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn McKeever Supports American Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:07:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Shawn McKeever

From: kyoshi747@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn McKeever Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:56:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Shawn McKeever

From: kyoshi747@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn McKeever Supports Energy Security
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:07:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Shawn McKeever

From: kyoshi747@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn McKeever Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Shawn McKeever

From: kyoshi747@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn McKeever Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:07:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Shawn McKeever

From: kyoshi747@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn McKeever Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Shawn McKeever

From: kyoshi747@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn McKeever Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Shawn McKeever

From: kyoshi747@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn McKeever Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:08:01 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Shawn McKeever

From: kyoshi747@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn McKeever Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:42 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Shawn McKeever

From: kyoshi747@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn McKeever Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:07:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Shawn McKeever

From: fredthreefeathers@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shawn Tilbury Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 10:39:41 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Shawn Tilbury

From: stscoffey61@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sheila Coffey Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:56:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sheila Coffey

From: Sheila.m.estes@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sheila Estes Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sheila Estes

From: safieling1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sheila Fielding Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Sunday, April 11, 2021 12:57:47 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sheila Fielding

From: sheilajones309@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sheila Jones Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:12:31 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sheila Jones

From: sheilarichards00@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sheila Richards Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. sheila Richards

From: fowlershelia39@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shelia Fowler Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:19:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Shelia Fowler

From: serial@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherial Lawson Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:00 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Sherial Lawson

From: serial@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherial Lawson Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sherial Lawson

From: serial@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Serial Lawson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Serial Lawson

From: ts4758@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherman Eastland Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:41:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sherman Eastland

From: sherre.young@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherre Young Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 11:36:11 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sherre Young

From: sherre.young@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherre Young Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:38:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sherre Young

From: shasha49@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherry Hamm Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 9:12:48 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sherry Hamm

From: skittle88@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherry Kittle Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 10:46:28 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sherry Kittle

From: sherry@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherry Marshburn Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 1:25:15 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sherry Marshburn

From: sherrywest343@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherry West Supports American Jobs
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 9:36:35 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Sherry West

From: sherrywest343@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherry West Supports Energy Security
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 9:35:44 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Sherry West

From: sherrywest343@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherry West Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 9:35:42 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sherry West

From: sherrywest343@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherry West Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 9:35:41 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sherry West

From: sherrywest343@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sherry West Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 9:36:33 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sherry West

From: tartjoker@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sheryl Smith Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sheryl Smith

From: shirljames916@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shirl James Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:49:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Shirl James

From: shirljames916@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shirl James Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:38:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Shirl James

From: Shirley.faiella@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shirley Faiella Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:14:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Shirley Faiella

From: sphillips48@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Shirley Phillips Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:51:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Shirley Phillips

From: skmul1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sondra Mulhollen Supports American Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Sondra Mulhollen

From: skmul1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sondra Mulhollen Supports Energy Security
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Sondra Mulhollen

From: skmul1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sondra Mulhollen Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sondra Mulhollen

From: skmul1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sondra Mulhollen Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:00:27 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sondra Mulhollen

From: skmul1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sondra Mulhollen Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 11:46:36 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sondra Mulhollen

From: skmul1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sondra Mulhollen Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sondra Mulhollen

From: Stacymts1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stacy Thatcher Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Stacy Thatcher

From: [Sheree Kerner](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stand up i industry pressure and make permanent the pause on leasing our public lands for oil and gas extraxction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 1:38:01 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Secretary Haaland,

I welcome President Biden's Executive Order calling for a “pause” in leasing our public lands for oil and gas extraction. However, it is not enough. Methane emissions from oil and gas operations drive climate change, a worldwide crisis that threatens health, safety, and life. Conventional pollutants from fracking poison the air, contribute to multiple diseases, and may increase susceptibility to our "other" crisis, COVID-19.

When multiple crises collide, strong steps are needed. Please take the next steps by making permanent your pause on oil and gas leases on public lands and waters, update the long-outdated Emergency Response Plan to include banning dispersants, fund baseline studies of human health, especially in children, in communities exposed to fossil fuel contamination, and expand community healthcare centers in underserved communities like Benndale, Mississippi.

Should you have any doubt about the toll of fossil fuels on people's health, please watch the film *The Cost of Silence* to see what's at stake in the decisions Interior makes. People around the Gulf of Mexico, especially in BIPOC communities, are still suffering debilitating and often fatal health consequences from the decade-old Deepwater Horizon disaster. BP has still not been held accountable.

We're counting on this administration to stand up to industry pressure, remedy historic and ongoing exposures, pollution, and illnesses, and protect communities from fossil fuels.

Thank you.

--

[Sheree Kerner, Notary Public](#)
(504) 812-9076

From: sviccellio@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steele Viccellio Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 6:15:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steele Viccellio

From: sviccellio@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steele Viccellio Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:06:37 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steele Viccellio

From: skl_njohnson@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stephanie Johnson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Stephanie Johnson

From: Skl_njohnson@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stephanie Johnson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Stephanie Johnson

From: Sacaraway@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stephen Caraway Supports Energy Security
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:15:56 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Stephen Caraway

From: spartangreekwarriors@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stephen Carley Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:07:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Stephen Carley

From: Coss@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stephen Coss Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:46:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Stephen Coss

From: Sesponda@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stephen Esponda Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:56:01 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Stephen Esponda

From: geigerusa@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stephen Geiger Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 3:21:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Stephen Geiger

From: stephenleehardy@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stephen Hardy Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:31:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Stephen Hardy

From: slkvr@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stephen Keever Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 1:55:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Stephen Keever

From: jaffo63@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stephen Kernosky Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 5:01:23 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Stephen Kernosky

From: srs.g7space@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stephen Stobinski Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:26:00 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Stephen Stobinski

From: scastleman427@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steve Castleman Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:18:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Steve Castleman

From: scastleman427@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steve Castleman Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:18:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steve Castleman

From: namtegs6@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steve Getman Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 4:16:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steve Getman

From: namtegs6@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steve Getman Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 1:37:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steve Getman

From: steveogle@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steve Ogle Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:36:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steve Ogle

From: peckpops@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steve Peck Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:31:42 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steve Peck

From: svhtrapper@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steve Van Hauen Jr. Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:59:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Steve Van Hauen Jr.

From: stevewhittin@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steve Whittington Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 6:59:18 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steve Whittington

From: becker.steven@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Becker Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:36:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steven Becker

From: s1970c@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Cross Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Steven Cross

From: s1970c@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Cross Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:00:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Steven Cross

From: sdarlington6@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Darlington-Woodman Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steven Darlington-Woodman

From: sjohnson479@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Johnson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:19:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steven Johnson

From: macnos@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven MacLellan Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:47:36 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steven MacLellan

From: sskmaynard@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Maynard Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steven Maynard

From: smeyer2055@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Meyer Supports American Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:55:48 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Steven Meyer

From: smeyer2055@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Meyer Supports Energy Security
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:56:26 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Steven Meyer

From: smeyer2055@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Meyer Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:45:12 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Steven Meyer

From: smeyer2055@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Meyer Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:36:12 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Steven Meyer

From: smeyer2055@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Meyer Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:55:56 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Steven Meyer

From: brkblkboss@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Morford Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:23:27 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Steven Morford

From: brkblkboss@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Morford Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:23:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steven Morford

From: brkblkboss@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Morford Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:23:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steven Morford

From: packwoodsteven@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Packwood Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:11:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steven Packwood

From: packwoodsteven@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Packwood Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 1:37:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steven Packwood

From: pottersk@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Potter Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:41:22 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steven Potter

From: grace.engineering@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Steven Unterseher Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 11:59:40 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Steven Unterseher

From: tomlizkaiser@aol.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Liz Kaiser](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop Extraction of Oil and Gas on Public Lands
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:56:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing because I value our public lands and waters. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Liz Kaiser
1006 S Lake Ave
Au Gres MI, 48703-9498

From: fww=tohono.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Tom Hicks](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop more oil & gas leasing on public lands and waters!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:38:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing because I value our public lands and waters. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Tom Hicks
4950 N. Via Entrada
Tucson AZ, 85718-5844

From: htpepin=comcast.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Bradly Pepin](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] STOP oil & gas leasing on Public Lands & Waters
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:50:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I value our public lands and waters. I am writing because I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fossil fuel extraction is bad for the environment and the overall health of the planet. Frack is the worst of the worsts in its byproducts. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. I don't want this to be the legacy of my generation any longer.

We've got to STOP extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly. And stopping this activity on public lands is an easy line to draw in the (tar)sands!

Keep those fossil fuels in the ground, please!

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Bradly Pepin
5105 Meadow Rdg
Edina MN, 55439-1410

From: paldenlama@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Paul Jacobson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop oil & gas leasing on public lands
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:50:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing because I value our public lands and waters. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to corporations for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

Additionally, in order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Paul Jacobson
PO Box 1931
Willits CA, 95490-1931

From: jmmoore=maine.rr.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Janine Moore](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop oil & gas leasing on public lands and waters
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:39:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing because I value our public lands and waters. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Janine Moore
32 Gilman St.
Waterville ME, 04901-5441

From: weseman68@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lisa Weseman](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop oil & gas leasing on public lands and waters
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 5:13:57 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

As a huge wildlife advocate, I value our public lands and waters. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Lisa Weseman
1111 SE 144th Ave
Portland OR, 97233-2503

From: lindalouise701184951@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Linda Carroll](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop oil & gas leasing on public lands and waters
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:50:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

As an environmentally motivated voter, I value our public lands and waters and their being left as intact ecosystems. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Linda Carroll
215 West Waverly Place
Spokane WA, 99205-3178

From: harlowpp@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Patricia Harlow](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop oil & gas leasing on public lands and waters
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:05:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

Since I value our public lands and waters, I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities. Briefly, fracking is devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground. Therefore, we cannot allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Patricia Harlow
624 Erlen Road
Plymouth Meeting PA, 19462-2427

From: rafust@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Rosemary Fust](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop oil & gas leasing on public lands and waters
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:34:35 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

Because I value our public lands and waters, I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme, and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution, and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Fust
202 E. Hillside Rd.
Barrington IL, 60010-4823

From: sontaron@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Adam Ackerman](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop oil & gas leasing on public lands and waters
Date: Sunday, April 11, 2021 4:05:52 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing because I value our public lands and waters. I am saddened by how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas has been show to be unsafe, detrimental and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It harms people and communities, disrupts wildlife and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

To combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Adam Ackerman
13484 Oxford Rd
Germantown OH, 45327-8772

From: terrysanders@mail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Terry Sanders](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop oil & gas leasing on public lands and waters
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:04:28 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing because I value our public lands and waters. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Terry Sanders
2959 Country Club Drive
Costa Mesa CA, 92626-3606

From: cmaida@aol.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Cecilia Maida](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop oil & gas leasing on public lands and waters
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:21:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

Add my voice to 1000s whom are tired of war on public lands by big oil & politics. I value our public lands and waters. & highly disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking in recent years. It goes against the very reason why we call them "public lands" when our voices are ignored & big oil & cattle grazers interest override citizens whom own the lands. Wild horses & burro are removed using lies of overpopulation & are replaced with 1000s head cattle for their profits... The damage done to public lands is immense & is then blamed on the "silent victims" the wild horses...

We have HAD ENOUGH of our public lands being politicized by profiteering by oil, mining & cattle grazer industries.

Add, fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Alternative fuels like solar & wind should be top priority to protect our public lands forever from future politicians wishing opposite!

This ping pong legislation is destroying our public lands so PROTECT THEM FOREVER NOW.

Thank you for your consideration...

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Maida
3840 Market Ct Apt 41
Shingle Springs CA, 95682-7223

From: schugrule@aol.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Juliann Rule](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop oil & gas leasing on public lands and waters
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 5:59:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing because I love our public lands and waters and I entirely disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Juliann Rule
35002 115th Ave.
Avon MN, 56310-9636

From: fredwills=att.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Fred Wills](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop oil and gas leasing on public lands
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 1:41:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing because I value our public lands and waters. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Fred Wills
11322 Two Wells Dr
San Antonio TX, 78245-2253

From: abbycantorsings@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Abe Cantor](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" DANGEROUS toxic expansion!
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 11:14:23 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Abe Cantor
P.O. Box 247
Ventura CA, 93002-0247

From: elaine=edellproductions.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Elaine Edell](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 11:23:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Elaine Edell
5244 Bridgetown Place
Thousand Oaks CA, 91362-4742

From: a1kayhay@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Holly Huntley](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 6:00:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Holly Huntley
2278 Emmett Ave.
Simi Valley CA, 93063-3522

From: conlyhelen@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [helen conly](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Sunday, April 11, 2021 10:55:40 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

helen conly
699 Larmier Ave
Oak View CA, 93022-9705

From: isbasilva2@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Isba Silva](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 9:54:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

My children attend E.P. Foster Elementary I can't believe you would risk little kids health for profit. I'm am disgusted as a parent and as a client.

I have lost trust in your organization.

I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Isba Silva

335 El Medio Street
Ventura CA, 93001-1765

From: jamiwinsagain@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Jamie Bello](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 11:08:49 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Jamie Bello
8648 Boise st
Ventura CA, 93004-2153

From: infoovdc@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Judy K Nelson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 5:13:00 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Judy K Nelson
601 Grand Ave Apt O
Ojai CA, 93023-2857

From: juliahcoates@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Julia Coates](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 7:58:10 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Julia Coates
219 West Park Row Ave
Ventura CA, 93001-1839

From: julie.hara=patagonia.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Julie Hara](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:00:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Julie Hara
42 W Vince St
Ventura CA, 93001-1337

From: jpimentel82@icloud.com on behalf of [Jared Pimentel](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:56:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Jared Pimentel
2333 N. Ventura Ave.
Ventura CA, 93001-1312

From: jebbaxter@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Jean Baxter](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 8:04:22 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Jean Baxter
2374 Taos Ave
Ventura CA, 93001-0326

From: bongodrum@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Jeannette Welling](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:57:23 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Jeannette Welling
2450 pleasant way
Thousand Oaks CA, 91362-3274

From: jenniferferro1@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Jennifer Ferro](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 5:09:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Ferro
416 Ocean Dr.
Oxnard CA, 93035-4638

From: jesseburgueno@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Jessie Burgueno](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:51:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Jessie Burgueno
3144 Armada Dr
Ventura CA, 93003-4810

From: johnbrooks69@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [John Brooks](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 11:25:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

John Brooks
246 Mountain View
OAK VIEW CA, 93022-9414

From: johnncloonan@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [John Cloonan](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:09:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

John Cloonan
31 N. Laurel St. #1
Ventura CA, 93001-5066

From: joni1glen@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Joni Kusnierz](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:15:24 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Joni Kusnierz
329 Dorthy Ave
Ventura CA, 93003-1720

From: jgbgrow@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [J Brown](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 9:25:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

J Brown
1223 Owens ave
Ventura CA, 93004-2038

From: katelbello@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kate Bello](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:58:36 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Kate Bello
3027 Luna Dr
Ventura CA, 93003-1620

From: kychiro=pacbell.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kristofer Young](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 9:48:27 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Kristofer Young
1129 Maricopa Hwy, #140A
Ojai CA, 93023-3126

From: klawrence1@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kyle Lawrence](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:54:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Kyle Lawrence
318 Burl Ave
Ventura CA, 93003-4419

From: kay56094@gmail.com on behalf of [Katharine Simmons](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 1:51:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Katharine Simmons
40226 Village 40
Camarillo CA, 93012-5601

From: kay56094@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Katharine Simmons](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:56:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Katharine Simmons
40226 Village 40
Camarillo CA, 93012-5601

From: glitterandliterature@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Katherine Peik](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:26:38 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because, as an educator who works directly with community members living in West Ventura, I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Katherine Peik
2 College Drive

Ventura CA, 93003-3443

From: kasiabkelly@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kathy Kelly](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 12:51:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Kathy Kelly
25 N Dunning
Ventura CA, 93003-3003

From: kathyveo@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kathy Yeomans](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 1:29:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Kathy Yeomans
2522 Yuma Court
Ventura CA, 93001-1445

From: greenkitty=outlook.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kitty Merrill](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 5:54:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

As a church goer in Ventura, how we take care of Ventura residents matters to me. I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Kitty Merrill
4411 Beaumont Av

Oxnard CA, 93033-7965

From: kosuke.fujikura=patagonia.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kosuke Fujikura](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:03:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Kosuke Fujikura
1002 Grandview Ave.
Ojai CA, 93023-2014

From: kris.ohlenkamp=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kris Ohlenkamp](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:33:01 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

As a Certified Industrial Hygienist (CIH) and Certified Safety Professional (CSP) I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA after a questionable clean-up of the site is completed. The site has had numerous industrial uses over the decades and is likely contaminated with numerous harmful chemicals (arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), etc.). One of the buildings slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants during this process. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Kris Ohlenkamp
7427 Wilson St
Ventura CA, 93003-2470

From: krselm=alumni.unca.edu@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Kat Selm](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 10:06:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Kat Selm
471 W Gainsborough Rd
Thousand Oaks CA, 91360-2413

From: lee_c_harrison@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lee Harrison](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 5:45:28 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am a citizen concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos. This is a heads-up issue for anyone concerned about environmental safety and the prevailing global climate crisis.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Lee Harrison
8068 Crystal Pl
Ventura CA, 93004-2025

From: lesliepurcell@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Leslie Purcell](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas' rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Sunday, April 11, 2021 8:56:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I have lived on the Westside of Ventura, CA, and am concerned about health and safety issues for the community. SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in west Ventura raises health and safety concerns.

I understand that this facility has been identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, and has a bad record of leaks and violations. I further understand that the property has had numerous industrial uses over the decades, resulting in contamination by such harmful chemicals as arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the top soil layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain adequate safeguards to protect the community from lead dust and airborne contaminants, especially as the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School, and near hundreds of homes with children. The Westside of Ventura is listed by Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community, with already high pollution, in part related to oil and gas production. Thus, this should not be the site for any more pollution.

I understand that many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory in that they have apparently denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Leslie Purcell

PO Box 815
Ventura CA, 93002-0815

From: lildnky@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lisa Adair](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 10:49:55 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Lisa Adair
407 Palomar Rd.
Ojai CA, 93023-2434

From: hookinthewall@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Liz Mitchell](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 11:34:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Liz Mitchell
41 w flint st
Ventura CA, 93001-1623

From: lori.steinbauer1@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Lori Steinbauer](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:20:49 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interests.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Lori Steinbauer
2411 Pima Lane
Ventura CA, 93001-1427

From: hopeshop=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Laurie Hope](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:15:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Laurie Hope
2128 Sumac Dr
Ojai CA, 93023-4149

From: wally97@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Margot Davis](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:21:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Margot Davis
148 w simpson
Ventura CA, 93001-1882

From: 7tonybello7@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Michael Bello](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:05:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Michael Bello
8648 Boise St
Ventura CA, 93004-2153

From: wally97@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Margot Davis](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 8:03:42 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Margot Davis
148 w simpson st
Ventura CA, 93001-1882

From: maricelaorozco28@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Maricela Orozco](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 10:32:19 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Maricela Orozco
180 W. Ramona St, Apt 5
Ventura CA, 93001-1654

From: tcsunnyday3@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Mark Hirshfeld](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 7:20:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Mark Hirshfeld
362 Hadley Drive
Ventura CA, 93003-5205

From: mcmaticlocke=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Mark Locke](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:57:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Mark Locke
2282 Stow St
Simi Valley CA, 93063-3510

From: bellomatthew@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [MATTHEW BELLO](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 5:35:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

MATTHEW BELLO
128 W Vince street
Ventura CA, 93001-1337

From: mayraazurita@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Mayra Zurita](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 2:05:26 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Mayra Zurita
305 N. 13th Street
Santa Paula CA, 93060-2301

From: mayraazurita@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Mayra Zurita](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 2:05:26 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Mayra Zurita
305 N. 13th Street
Santa Paula CA, 93060-2301

From: mbuniverse@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [MB Hanrahan](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Sunday, April 11, 2021 10:43:26 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

MB Hanrahan
4021 N. Ventura Ave.
Ventura CA, 93001-1163

From: peg.strobel=sbcglobal.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Margaret Strobel](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:46:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Margaret Strobel
304 Tulane Ave
Ventura CA, 93003-1939

From: nsord33@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Nancy Sordetto](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 1:51:00 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Nancy Sordetto
136 Kenneth St
Camarillo CA, 93010-7838

From: nikki=west.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Nikki Alexander](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 5:02:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Nikki Alexander
81 N. Katherine Drive
Ventura CA, 93003-1506

From: nowlinhaltom@aol.com on behalf of [Nowlin Haltom](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 12:57:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Nowlin Haltom
1950 Pavin Drive
Oxnard CA, 93036-6323

From: nancyborelli@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Nancy Borelli](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 1:53:37 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Nancy Borelli
11942 Pradera Rd.
Santa Rosa Valley CA, 93012-9387

From: pdnelson22@gmail.com on behalf of [Paul Nelson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 9:02:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Paul Nelson
1053 Garrido Dr
Camarillo CA, 93010-1027

From: fcamposalcoba@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Philip Fields](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 3:06:41 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Philip Fields
P.o. box 247
Ventura CA, 93002-0247

From: pollynelson4@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Polly Nelson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 11:27:02 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Polly Nelson
902 Ayers Ave
Ojai CA, 93023-2911

From: pbutler10=msn.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Patricia Butler](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:17:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Patricia Butler
8266 Solano Street
Ventura CA, 93004-1105

From: rchen.la@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Richard Chen](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:34:15 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Richard Chen
442 Cremona Way
Oak Park CA, 91377-4833

From: rick=larrabureframing.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Richard White](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 5:37:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Richard White
710 Chinook
Ventura CA, 93001-4407

From: yenneyr@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Rob Yenny](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:07:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Rob Yenny
general delivery Ventura
Ventura CA, 93001-9999

From: robertgarven=earthlink.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Robert Garven](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 12:56:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Robert Garven
324 Estrella St
Ventura CA, 93003-1604

From: sdybvig=aol.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Ronald Dybvig](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:54:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Ronald Dybvig
9503 Balboa Street
Ventura CA, 93004-1765

From: ross.heckmann@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Ross Heckmann](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:08:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Ross Heckmann
1214 Valencia Way
Arcadia CA, 91006-2406

From: ricardj1=roadrunner.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [R Jerome Ricard](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 7:22:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

It is your job to protect the people of every community from health hazards due to a variety of chemical substances. If you are not going to do your jobs ethically, then you should resign and allow others to do it.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

R Jerome Ricard
6224 Cory ST.
Simi Valley CA, 93063-4348

From: shannarennna=icloud.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Shanna Renna](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 11:11:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Shanna Renna
1399 East Thousand Oaks Blvd #a
Thousand Oaks CA, 91362-2866

From: fia=roadrunner.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Sophia Santitiro](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 1:03:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Sophia Santitiro
1012 Franklin Ct.
Simi Valley CA, 93065-4300

From: salexfoley@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Stephan Foley](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 1:46:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Stephan Foley
402 South Carrillo Road
Ojai CA, 93023-3607

From: robzsgirl@aol.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Stephanie Zandbergen](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 1:00:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Zandbergen
1794 Camino Vera Cruz
Camarillo CA, 93010-9262

From: sunnyrayspress@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Susan English](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 2:00:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Susan English
124 Stagecoach Road
Bell Canyon CA, 91307-1044

From: gwhsteacher50@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Scott Timmons](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 5:14:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Scott Timmons
3041 White Rock Rd.
CAMARILLO CA, 93012-7722

From: afazoch@calpoly.edu on behalf of [Alan Faz](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 12:04:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Alan Faz
657 Park Ave
San Luis Obispo CA, 93401-2540

From: alanasheeren@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Alana Sheeren](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:28:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA, and within blocks of a middle school and the K-8 school my daughter has attended since kindergarten.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Alana Sheeren
2419 E Harbor Blvd #164

Ventura CA, 93001-3904

From: ada.tadeo@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Ada Tadeo](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 10:19:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Ada Tadeo
209 Mackay Avenue
Ventura CA, 93004-1520

From: tessajsalzman@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Tessa Salzman](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:43:11 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Tessa Salzman
743 Poli St
Ventura CA, 93001-2953

From: trebecchi=fwwatch.org@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Tomas Rebecchi](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 4:07:57 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Tomas Rebecchi
41 W Flint St
Ventura CA, 93001-1623

From: triciakeen@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Tricia Keen](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 2:37:27 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Tricia Keen
120 Chrisman Ave
Ventura CA, 93001-3238

From: tarahdb@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Tarah Brown Gilson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:22:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan may not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest. If there is a lack of public interest, it is only because the public has not been informed of the issue. I only heard about it Wednesday at a community council meeting, and I live a mile from the site. I am concerned for my neighbors who live even closer. I am not saying the cleanup plan is definitely inadequate, but there should be a hearing where the community's questions and concerns can be addressed.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Tarah Brown Gilson
124 W Park Row Ave
Ventura CA, 93001-1838

From: dalestarship@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [West Smith](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 6:37:54 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

West Smith
1085 Moreno Drive
Ojai CA, 93023-3457

From: chocdark@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [William Barclay](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:20:24 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

William Barclay
304 tulane ave
Ventura CA, 93003-1939

From: wlfish7=msn.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Wendy Fish](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:52:26 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Wendy Fish
661 Memphis Ct
Ventura CA, 93004-3769

From: anngro47@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Ann Grodin](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:24:44 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Ann Grodin
1296 San Ysidro Dr
Beverly Hills CA, 90210-2105

From: atbay103@aol.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Angie Moore](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:50:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Angie Moore
6585 Bayberry Street
Oak Park CA, 91377-1206

From: wondershock@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Brian Lee](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 12:08:19 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Brian Lee
471 West Gainsborough Road
Thousand Oaks CA, 91360-2413

From: beleighton@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Barbara Leighton](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Sunday, April 11, 2021 5:50:13 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Barbara Leighton
4102 Greenwood St.
Newbury Park CA, 91320-5227

From: carrie.childs=patagonia.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Carrie Childs](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:37:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Carrie Childs
337 S. Lomita Ave
Ojai CA, 93023-2218

From: christinaciesla@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [christina ciesla](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:19:35 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

christina ciesla
3734 red hawk ct.
simi valley CA, 93063-0214

From: citizenclint=protonmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Clint Fultz](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 9:57:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I'm writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Clint Fultz
787 St Charles Dr Apt 8
Thousand Oaks CA, 91360-3931

From: carol.holly2@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Carol Holly](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 12:38:28 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am particularly concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA. As an elementary school administrator, I have seen the effects of poor air quality and other toxins on young children first hand. Asthma is of particular concern because it makes learning more difficult due to a lack of ability to concentrate and at times to even think clearly. Young children lose sleep when they are struggling to breathe. Without a good night's sleep, school becomes more stressful and difficult. A fence line air monitor must be installed immediately so that if toxic emissions are present, action can be taken immediately. Ask yourself this question: Would you send your child to EP Foster Elementary School?

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion

project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Carol Holly
10508 Sulphur Mountain Road
Ojai CA, 93023-8358

From: realventuralocal@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [David Swaffar](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:09:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

David Swaffar
335 w mission ave
Ventura CA, 93001-1861

From: realventuralocal@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [David Swaffar](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 9:49:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

David Swaffar
335 w mission ave
Ventura CA, 93001-1861

From: morrispartyof4@aol.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Deborah Meyer-Morris](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 9:58:44 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Deborah Meyer-Morris
109 Tuolumne Ave.
Ventura CA, 93004-1205

From: diannaburdick22@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Dianna Burdick](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:21:30 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Dianna Burdick
50 Loyola Ave
Ventura CA, 93003-2314

From: donmjohnson49@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Donald Johnson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 6:24:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Donald Johnson
750 Petit Ave. Apt. 303
Ventura CA, 93004-2260

From: donnafree=roadrunner.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Donna Freiermuth](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:08:57 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Donna Freiermuth
410 Church Rd., Unit 28
Ojai CA, 93023-3152

From: dakotacorey@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Dakota Corey](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 9:03:30 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Dakota Corey
2191 James Ave.
Ventura CA, 93003-6734

From: elisabethlamar@hotmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Elisabeth Lamar](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 9:40:18 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Lamar
354 E Bard Rd
Oxnard CA, 93033-7195

From: GeneZub=aol.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Eugene Zubrinsky](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 9:53:57 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Eugene Zubrinsky
559 Pala Drive
Ojai CA, 93023-3547

From: ebbaviolin@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Ebba Herritt](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 1:43:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Ebba Herritt
760 Alderdale Court
Newbury Park CA, 91320-4952

From: fransamohi@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Fran Brooks](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 4:20:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Fran Brooks
246 Mtn View
Oak View CA, 93022-9414

From: franco8805@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Francisco Figueroa](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 6:12:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Francisco Figueroa
112 e. Ramona st
Ventura CA, 93001-1907

From: fenixvalle16@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Fenix Valle](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:09:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Fenix Valle
6507 Bristol Rd
Ventura CA, 93003-6745

From: eniemini@gmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Gloria Rupio](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas" rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 10:24:16 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing to you because I am concerned about SoCalGas' plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil top-layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the communities request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Gloria Rupio
1524 eland ln
Ventura CA, 93003-6319

From: otducky=earthlink.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Judith Duckhorn](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop SoCalGas's rushed toxic expansion!
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 7:49:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I hope that someone I am reaching has a real concern about the poisoning of our populace here in Ventura County, and I am writing to you to say that I am very concerned about SoCalGas's plan to double the size of a historically faulty compressor station across the street from an elementary school in West Ventura, CA.

Double it! When any expansion at all is clearly contraindicated!

Identified as a methane super-emitter by NASA, the facility has had a bad track record of leaks and violations. It has also been home to numerous industrial uses over the decades. As a result, the property is contaminated by a number of harmful chemicals, including arsenic, lead, petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). A building slated to be torn down may also contain asbestos.

The California Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) has plans to remediate the site by removing the soil's top layer. I am concerned that DTSC's workplan does not contain the safeguards needed to protect the community from lead-laden dust and airborne contaminants. This is especially alarming given that the site is directly across the street from EP Foster Elementary School and near hundreds of homes with children. Also, the Westside of Ventura is listed by the Cal EPA as a disadvantaged community already facing some of the highest pollution in all California. This should not be the site for any more pollution.

Many organizations and members of the Westside community wrote to DTSC expressing concern over the inadequate dust controls in the clean-up plan. The response from DTSC was not satisfactory. They have denied the community's request for a bilingual public hearing, stating a lack of public interest.

I urge you to join the community in demanding the Department of Toxic Substance Control hold an inclusive and bilingual hearing to address further questions. Also, that SoCalGas and government agencies conduct a full Environmental Impact Review of the entire expansion project, which is usually required for toxic projects of this size.

Sincerely,

Judith Duckhorn
8436 Edison Dr. #A
Ventura CA, 93001-1010

From: treerap=sprintmail.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Naomi Zurcher](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the destruction No more oil & gas leasing on public lands & waters
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 5:35:53 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

I am writing as a forestry professional and someone who has worked extensively with habitat protection and preservations well as offered support for the cultural heritage aspect of these lands.

I have a great love for our public lands and waters - what an extraordinary gift but a very fragile one. These treasured lands cannot endure the destructive impact of that is wrought by the varied extraction activities. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking as well as the timber harvesting, particularly of old growth, that is so destructive to the entire forest ecosystem.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. Unsustainable timber harvesting disables the entire forest ecosystem - land and water irreversibly impacted. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment. Unsustainable timber harvesting and irresponsible logging practices, e.g. endless skid trails, must also end.

These lands are our collective heritage. They must not be offered up to the highest bidder so they can be pillaged and plundered and laid waste. Instead they must be seen as the generational investment they are for all of those who will follow us. Without a healthy Mother Earth, there is no life. Please protect her for all of us.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Naomi Zurcher
161 Columbia Hts
Brooklyn NY, 11201-2154

From: stuart.ernst@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stuart Ernst Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:27:04 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Stuart Ernst

From: nascarstu2018@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stuart Wright Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 6:01:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Stuart Wright

From: sueaills@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sue Aills Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 11:03:17 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sue Aills

From: sueannjac@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sue-Ann Jacobson Supports American Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 12:23:39 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Sue-Ann Jacobson

From: sueannjac@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sue-Ann Jacobson Supports Energy Security
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 12:23:41 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Sue-Ann Jacobson

From: sueannjac@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sue-Ann Jacobson Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 12:12:35 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sue-Ann Jacobson

From: sueannjac@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sue-Ann Jacobson Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 12:23:37 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Sue-Ann Jacobson

From: sueannjac@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sue-Ann Jacobson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 12:12:13 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sue-Ann Jacobson

From: sueannjac@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sue-Ann Jacobson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:00:28 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sue-Ann Jacobson

From: sueannjac@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sue-Ann Jacobson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 1:36:32 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Sue-Ann Jacobson

From: sundaeluv@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Susan Byers Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 10:19:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Susan Byers

From: Bkbabe3836@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Susan Gallagher Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 7:15:54 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Susan Gallagher

From: sggillingham@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Susan Gillingham Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 6:22:45 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Susan Gillingham

From: Susan.hutzler@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Susan Hutzler Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Susan Hutzler

From: sweezykay@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Susan Smith Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:26:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Susan Smith

From: susansnodgrass@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Susan Snodgrass Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:16:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Susan Snodgrass

From: susansnodgrass@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Susan Snodgrass Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:16:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Susan Snodgrass

From: susansnodgrass@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Susan Snodgrass Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:16:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Susan Snodgrass

From: tcustoner@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Susan Stone Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:47:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Susan Stone

From: tcustoner@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Susan Stone Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:29:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Susan Stone

From: dsweigel@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Susan Weigel Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Susan Weigel

From: Suzannestaiert@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Suzanne Staiert Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:56:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Suzanne Staiert

From: kbandtysmimi@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sylvia Dunn Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 6:56:24 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Sylvia Dunn

From: clarketamie@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tamara Clarke Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:58:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Tamara Clarke

From: clarketamie@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tamara Clarke Supports American Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:23:37 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Tamara Clarke

From: clarketamie@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tamara Clarke Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:58:26 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Tamara Clarke

From: clarketamie@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tamara Clarke Supports Energy Security
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:23:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Tamara Clarke

From: clarketamie@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tamara Clarke Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:24:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tamara Clarke

From: clarketamie@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tamara Clarke Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:23:42 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tamara Clarke

From: clarketamie@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tamara Clarke Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:58:28 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tamara Clarke

From: clarketamie@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tamara Clarke Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:23:36 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tamara Clarke

From: clarketamie@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tamara Clarke Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:58:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tamara Clarke

From: tameranichols61@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tamera Nichols Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:11:36 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tamera Nichols

From: southernmadetam@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tami Buckner Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:27:26 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tami Buckner

From: Tami.dodd@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tami Dodd Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tami Dodd

From: Tammy_907@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tammy Brown Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:38:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tammy Brown

From: chenowethtammy@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tammy Chenoweth Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:25:44 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tammy Chenoweth

From: tammyclark987@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tammy Clark Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 8:30:37 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tammy Clark

From: tammy.sheppard@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tammy Sheppard Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 8:50:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tammy Sheppard

From: tammy.sheppard@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tammy Sheppard Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 8:17:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tammy Sheppard

From: tammywilliamson@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tammy Williamson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:55:17 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tammy Williamson

From: tannergoeke@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tanner Goeke Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:36:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tanner Goeke

From: mntara81@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tara McDill Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tara McDill

From: ted_bush7@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ted Bush Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 1:21:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ted Bush

From: stoverjudge@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ted Judge Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ted Judge

From: Stoverjudge@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ted Judge Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 4:55:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ted Judge

From: elcacti@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ted R Bower Supports American Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:04:11 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Ted R Bower

From: elcacti@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ted R Bower Supports Energy Security
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:03:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Ted R Bower

From: elcacti@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ted R Bower Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:05:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ted R Bower

From: elcacti@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ted R Bower Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:03:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Ted R Bower

From: elcacti@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Ted R Bower Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:04:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Ted R Bower

From: tbtimes2@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Terence Bjerke Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 9:17:14 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Terence Bjerke

From: eagleeyes1968@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Teresa Hathaway Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:44:23 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Teresa Hathaway

From: morristeresa@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Teresa Pearson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Teresa Pearson

From: trinomialproperties@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Teresa Reece Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 5:12:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Teresa Reece

From: trinomialproperties@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Teresa Reece Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:20:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Teresa Reece

From: teresawitt59@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Teresa Witt Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:27:28 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Teresa Witt

From: bdirks@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Terri Dirks Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:41:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Terri Dirks

From: tbuscaino08@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Terriann Buscaino Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Terriann Buscaino

From: twebee7282@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Terry Edwards Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Terry Edwards

From: n.barnes1969@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Terry McCarty Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Terry McCarty

From: tpatti@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Terry Patti Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:09:56 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Terry Patti

From: tdinkelo@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Theodore Dinkelo Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:40:48 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Theodore Dinkelo

From: tztf7@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Theresa Floyd Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:01:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Theresa Floyd

From: miekozarubin@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Therese Zarubin Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 6:45:47 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Therese Zarubin

From: tombaker19@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Baker Supports American Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:30 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Thomas Baker

From: tombaker19@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Baker Supports American Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:16:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Thomas Baker

From: tombaker19@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Baker Supports Energy Security
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Thomas Baker

From: tombaker19@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Baker Supports Energy Security
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:16:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Thomas Baker

From: tombaker19@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Baker Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Thomas Baker

From: tombaker19@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Baker Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:16:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Thomas Baker

From: tombaker19@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Baker Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:16:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Thomas Baker

From: tombaker19@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Baker Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:26 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Thomas Baker

From: tombaker19@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Baker Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:16:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Baker

From: tombaker19@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Baker Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Baker

From: tbennett@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Bennett Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:57:11 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Bennett

From: tbetzy74@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Betz Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 6:22:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Betz

From: thomcms@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Boswell Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:27:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Boswell

From: thomaschmielewski46@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Chmielewski Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:16:24 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Chmielewski

From: thomaschmielewski46@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Chmielewski Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:46:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Chmielewski

From: TDudz@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Dudzik Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 7:17:41 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Dudzik

From: eppersonts@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Epperson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Epperson

From: tom@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Herndon Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:15 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Herndon

From: thomaskalor@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Kalor Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:32:58 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Thomas Kalor

From: thomaskalor@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Kalor Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 10:51:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Thomas Kalor

From: thomaskalor@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Kalor Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:32:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Thomas Kalor

From: thomaskalor@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Kalor Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:33:01 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Kalor

From: thomaskalor@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Kalor Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:32:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Kalor

From: keasler5@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Keasler Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 11:05:35 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Keasler

From: keasler5@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Keasler Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:47:29 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Keasler

From: keasler5@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Keasler Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 12:19:46 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Keasler

From: tmharper@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas M. Harper Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:48:15 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas M. Harper

From: tgmann@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Mann Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:43:02 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Thomas Mann

From: tgmann@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Mann Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:58:49 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Thomas Mann

From: tommy_marise@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Marise Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 1:13:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Marise

From: Islanders2t@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas McCormack Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:16:08 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas McCormack

From: thomasm335@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Moore Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:09:20 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Moore

From: bonehead497@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Morley Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 10:52:02 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Morley

From: bonehead497@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Morley Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 10:39:44 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Morley

From: thomaslvanness6@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Van Ness Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 11:57:39 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Van Ness

From: roadking2222@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Vette Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:47:09 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Vette

From: roadking2222@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Thomas Vette Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 7:25:05 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Thomas Vette

From: theohtertimallen@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tim Allen Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 12:53:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tim Allen

From: timbiehl@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tim Biehl Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:58:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Tim Biehl

From: timbiehl@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tim Biehl Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:47:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tim Biehl

From: gober41tb@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tim Blackwell Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:08:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tim Blackwell

From: wwwelder@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tim Bogard Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:15:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Tim Bogard

From: wwwelder@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tim Bogard Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:14:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Tim Bogard

From: wwwelder@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tim Bogard Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:14:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tim Bogard

From: wwwelder@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tim Bogard Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:14:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tim Bogard

From: wwwelder@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tim Bogard Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:14:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tim Bogard

From: zimmie420@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tim Drake Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:43:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tim Drake

From: Ctxsales1@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Timothu McGaha Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:30:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Timothu McGaha

From: Gober44tb@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Timothy Blackwell Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Timothy Blackwell

From: mcthias@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Timothy Mcthias Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 11:17:04 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Timothy Mcthias

From: timtrasak@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Timothy Trasak Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 1:37:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Timothy Trasak

From: tinabeal@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tina Beal Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:29:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tina Beal

From: tinaod214@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tina Daugherty Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:16:30 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tina Daugherty

From: tjmccabe888@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tj McCabe Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:56:53 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tj McCabe

From: sykora@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tom Sykora Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:08:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tom Sykora

From: tomturner61@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tom Turner Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 9:47:11 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tom Turner

From: tommielou59@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tommie Lou Rogers Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 2:21:35 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tommie Lou Rogers

From: Tomvalgardner@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tommy Gardner Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:48:56 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tommy Gardner

From: fatpapertiger@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Toni Silcox Supports American Jobs
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 3:20:44 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Toni Silcox

From: fatpapertiger@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Toni Silcox Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 3:20:44 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Toni Silcox

From: fatpapertiger@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Toni Silcox Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 3:20:41 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Toni Silcox

From: fatpapertiger@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Toni Silcox Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 3:21:44 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Toni Silcox

From: bandit51955@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tony Saud Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 11:59:17 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tony Saud

From: tracey.belaire@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tracey Belaire Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:12:03 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tracey Belaire

From: sincerelylyreal@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tracey Smith Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:38:27 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tracey Smith

From: sincerelylyreal@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tracey Smith Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 6:32:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tracey Smith

From: travisa@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Travis Jones Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 5:32:50 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Travis Jones

From: travis452@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Travis O'Neal Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 5:56:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Travis O'Neal

From: Meenstreek@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Troy Brill Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:48:59 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Troy Brill

From: meenstreek@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Troy Brill Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:30:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Troy Brill

From: trubygale@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Trudy Compton Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:16:12 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Trudy Compton

From: tylerapeek@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tyler Peek Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:04:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tyler Peek

From: tylerapeek@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tyler Peek Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 11:28:36 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Tyler Peek

From: tyler.seidler@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tyler Seidler Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 11:15:22 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tyler Seidler

From: tyler.seidler@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Tyler Seidler Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:16:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Tyler Seidler

From: Alatorre.valentin@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Valentin Ala Alatorre Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:17:17 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Valentin Ala Alatorre

From: Onewildspitfire@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Valerie Rowen Supports American Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:26:25 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Valerie Rowen

From: Onewildspitfire@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Valerie Rowen Supports Energy Security
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:26:44 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Valerie Rowen

From: Onewildspitfire@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Valerie Rowen Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:26:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Valerie Rowen

From: Onewildspitfire@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Valerie Rowen Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:26:48 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Valerie Rowen

From: Onewildspitfire@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Valerie Rowen Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:26:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Valerie Rowen

From: vrisher.1267@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vanessa Risher Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Sunday, April 11, 2021 7:25:42 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Vanessa Risher

From: vicollins101@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Verlis Collins Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Verlis Collins

From: Vprobison@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vern Robison Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Vern Robison

From: New2nev@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vicki Amerson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 5:40:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Vicki Amerson

From: vcountz@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vicki Countz Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 1:03:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Vicki Countz

From: vcountz@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vicki Countz Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:21:20 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Vicki Countz

From: Vickiharry5@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vicki Harry Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:56:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Vicki Harry

From: vngmyers@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vickie Myers Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 7:21:17 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Vickie Myers

From: vikielewis@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vikie Lewis Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:34 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Vikie Lewis

From: vilgar_cia@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vilma Garcia Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 11:42:52 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Vilma Garcia

From: babe1948217@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Virgie Swallow Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:55:51 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Virgie Swallow

From: virginia.pyzola@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Virginia Pyzola Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:14:30 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Virginia Pyzola

From: virginia.pyzola@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Virginia Pyzola Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:15:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Virginia Pyzola

From: virginia.pyzola@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Virginia Pyzola Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:14:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Virginia Pyzola

From: virginia.pyzola@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Virginia Pyzola Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:15:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Virginia Pyzola

From: virginia.pyzola@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Virginia Pyzola Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:14:19 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Virginia Pyzola

From: vradebaugh@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Vivian Radebaugh Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:05:06 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Vivian Radebaugh

From: glenanderson=integra.net@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Glen Anderson](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] VOTERS DEMAND: STOP ALL oil and gas leasing on public lands and waters
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 4:19:33 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

If you value our public lands and waters, you will STOP letting oil and gas companies hurt them with gas and oil drilling and fracking!!!!

VOTERS DEMAND YOU PROTECT ALL PUBLIC LANDS from oil and gas drilling and fracking!!!!

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Glen Anderson
5015 15th Ave SE
Lacey WA, 98503-2723

From: edaw7@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wade Dillard Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:52:15 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wade Dillard

From: waltercorbett@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Walt Corbett Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:54:31 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Walt Corbett

From: realpipefitter@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Walter Bennett Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 11:46:41 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Walter Bennett

From: wjj53jackson@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wanda Jackson Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Saturday, April 10, 2021 8:30:40 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wanda Jackson

From: cameljockey8@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Warren Joseph Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Warren Joseph

From: wayneb57@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wayne Bond Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:39:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wayne Bond

From: texascoastie@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wayne Holleman Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 6:23:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wayne Holleman

From: guerillawordfare@yahoo.com@mg.gospringboard.io on behalf of [Aleks Kosowicz](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] We cannot afford oil & gas leasing on public lands and waters
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:38:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Dear Haaland,

Our few remaining wild places are more precious, irreplaceable, and vital to the health of life on earth than ever before! We must protect every single last one we've got. I am writing because I value our public lands and waters. I disapprove of how our public lands have been given over to oil companies for gas and oil drilling and fracking.

Fracking for oil and gas is unsafe, extreme and dangerous. It causes massive amounts of methane leakage, water contamination, air pollution and even earthquakes. It disrupts wildlife, harms people and communities, and it's devastating public lands. It must end.

In order to combat the climate crisis, we must stop the extraction and burning of fossil fuels. This requires taking a stand against the oil and gas industry directly.

We must keep fossil fuels in the ground — we can't allow any further drilling and fracking on public lands. The inherent danger of fracking means that no set of regulations can ever make it safe for public health or the environment.

Ending fracking on public lands and waters is a no-brainer. I urge you to keep our public lands and waters protected and not in the hands of oil and gas companies.

Sincerely,

Aleks Kosowicz
1745 Roberts Ln
Abrams WI, 54101-9422

From: gopher69@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wendy Panagia Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 10:09:32 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Wendy Panagia

From: gopher69@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wendy Panagia Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:36:15 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Wendy Panagia

From: gopher69@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wendy Panagia Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:55:38 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Wendy Panagia

From: wrenkes@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wendy Renkes Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 5:39:47 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wendy Renkes

From: wayoutwes@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wendy Stewart Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:17 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wendy Stewart

From: wayoutwest65@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wendy Stewart Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 4:00:43 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wendy Stewart

From: wendytarrant@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wendy Tarrant Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:04:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wendy Tarrant

From: wes@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wes Trammell Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 7:53:39 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wes Trammell

From: [Tripp Parks](#)
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Western Energy Alliance Comments on the Federal Oil and Natural Gas Program Review
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 2021 4:06:10 PM
Attachments: [Western Energy Alliance Comments on the DOI Review of the Federal Oil and Natural Gas Program.pdf](#)

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Good afternoon,

Attached please find comments from Western Energy Alliance on the Department of the Interior's ongoing review of the federal onshore oil and natural gas program. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions.

Tripp Parks
Vice President of Government Affairs
Western Energy Alliance

From: Connie07470@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wieslawa Rajszel Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:07:51 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Wieslawa Rajszel

From: Wortham@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wilbur Wortham Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:12 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wilbur Wortham

From: steinberg@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Will Steinberg Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:03:05 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Will Steinberg

From: bill_drozda@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Drozda Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:26:44 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Drozda

From: weisel@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Eisel Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:31:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. William Eisel

From: pineman@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Eubank Supports Energy Security
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:27:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. William Eubank

From: pineman@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Eubank Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:26:47 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. William Eubank

From: pineman@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Eubank Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:26:46 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Eubank

From: bhmasterpiece@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Hassett Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:27:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Hassett

From: bil3215@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Heyborne Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:56:07 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Heyborne

From: onealhicks@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Hicks Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 6:24:21 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Hicks

From: billsr@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Kelley Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 9:18:51 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Kelley

From: bill522@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Lubera Supports American Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:20:57 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. William Lubera

From: bill522@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Lubera Supports American Jobs
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 12:31:37 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. William Lubera

From: bill522@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Lubera Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:58:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. William Lubera

From: bill522@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Lubera Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:21:01 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Lubera

From: bill522@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Lubera Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:58:24 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Lubera

From: bill522@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Lubera Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 1:27:13 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Lubera

From: bill522@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Lubera Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 12:31:36 AM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Lubera

From: Besibill@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Mahlum Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 4:21:39 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Mahlum

From: slcabinets@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Nesbitt Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:22:40 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. William Nesbitt

From: slcabinets@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Nesbitt Supports Energy Security
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:22:39 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. William Nesbitt

From: slcabinets@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Nesbitt Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:22:52 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. William Nesbitt

From: slcabinets@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Nesbitt Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:23:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Nesbitt

From: pekkanenjr@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Pekkanen Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:14:24 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. William Pekkanen

From: bsteve308@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Stevens Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:43:16 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Stevens

From: wwalter@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] William Walter Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:23:18 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. William Walter

From: wsmmyers@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Willie Myers Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:36:31 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Willie Myers

From: simmonsw7@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Willie Simmons Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:26:39 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Willie Simmons

From: Willie.verret77@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Willie Verret Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Thursday, April 15, 2021 3:16:09 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Willie Verret

From: Steppwilma@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wilma Stepp Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:08:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wilma Stepp

From: Wmlima@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Wilson Lima Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 12:03:10 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Wilson Lima

From: hayesw@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Woody Hayes Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 1:57:29 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Woody Hayes

From: zmarah@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Zybejta Marashi Supports American Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:59:22 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello- I am proud to be part of America's labor force not only because it provides a high quality of life, but also because my work produces the energy needed by millions of American homes and businesses. But I worry that continuing President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leasing on federal lands and waters could put all of this at risk. Labor, and in particular union labor, has helped the U.S. natural gas and oil industry become a world energy leader, and the country be a net energy exporter for the first time in decades. A big reason for this is development on federal lands and waters, which account for 22% of oil production and 12% of natural gas production. Suspending federal development would also be bad for the environment. Without leasing and development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030 because we would slow the transition away from coal. In an Obama Administration Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions, experts found that U.S. GHG emissions could increase slightly due to the transportation costs of importing more foreign oil. I encourage the Department of the Interior to take these facts into consideration as you conduct your review under the executive order. The administration said publicly that this pause would only be temporary. It would be a bad policy in normal times, but it could prove to be a devastating action as the country recovers from the pandemic. We cannot risk the more than 1 million jobs and \$9 billion in federal revenue that could be lost by 2030 if there is a permanent leasing and development ban. Thank you. Zybejta Marashi

From: zmarah@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Zybejta Marashi Supports Energy Security
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:59:23 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: As a veteran, I am concerned about the impact President Biden's pause on new natural gas and oil leases on federal lands and waters will have on both our national security and our economic recovery, especially if the pause is made permanent. American-made energy provides affordable, reliable power to American families and businesses. This reliability has been even more important during the pandemic. I know that energy demand will continue to rise as the economy recovers. We have two choices on how to meet this demand: produce that energy here in the United States or rely on foreign countries that could be hostile to American interests. I know what my choice is. Federal production provides enormous benefits beyond household energy costs and national security. Without new development on federal lands and waters, our country could stand to lose more than 1 million jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. The Gulf Coast region alone could lose more than \$220 million in revenue. This pause on new federal leasing is the last thing this country needs during these challenging economic times. Thank you. Zybejta Marashi

From: zmarah@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Zybejta Marashi Supports Federal Leasing
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 3:00:01 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To Whom it May Concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Zybejta Marashi

From: zmarah@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Zybejta Marashi Supports Natural Gas and Oil Jobs
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:59:27 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

To whom it may concern: I am proud to be a member of the natural gas and oil industry's workforce. Our industry provides American families and businesses with the affordable and reliable energy they need every day. This was true prior to the pandemic and will certainly be true as we begin to recover. That's why I oppose continuing President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. This executive action to pause new federal leases is a step backwards for our nation's economic recovery and environmental progress. Without new development on federal lands and waters, coal use could increase by 15% and CO2 emissions in the power sector could increase by 5.5% by 2030. An Obama-era Bureau of Ocean Energy Management report analyzing the effects of offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions could actually increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported overseas. I do not think a policy that costs jobs and government revenue and provides little, or even negative, environmental benefits is a good idea. If the pause is made into a permanent leasing and development ban, the United States could lose more than 1 million American jobs by 2030 and \$9 billion in government revenue. That's the last thing this country needs in these challenging times. I hope you listen to me, the millions in our industry - and to President Biden's original promise that this was a temporary pause - and change course on the federal pause. Thank you. Zybejta Marashi

From: zmarah@grassrootsmessages.com
To: [Energy Review](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Zybejta Marashi Thinks a Federal Leasing Ban is a Step in the Wrong Direction
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:59:45 PM

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

Hello: Why turn away from one of America's strengths, especially as we try to recover from the pandemic? Economic recovery will be made easier because the United States has relatively low household energy costs, in large part because of the domestic energy revolution that has occurred over the last decade. This energy boom has happened in large part due to development on federal lands and waters. But continuation of President Biden's pause on new federal leases puts this all at risk. Restricting development on federal lands and waters is nothing more than an import-more-oil policy. It will send U.S. dollars to foreign countries, whether they are our friends or not. We can meet our energy needs right here in America -- which will employ the US work force at a time when every good job counts. That's something President Biden talks a lot about, but we need him to take action to protect and grow jobs. It's more than just energy jobs at stake. An Obama-era BOEM report analyzing the effects of potential offshore leasing restrictions found that U.S. GHG emissions will be little affected positively, and could very well increase slightly due to increased foreign imports transported from overseas. Fewer jobs and an increase in emissions? If the leasing pause turns into a long-term ban on development America could be facing even more challenging economic times. Please end President Biden's pause on all new natural gas and oil development on federal lands and waters. It's the wrong policy at the wrong time. Thank you. Zybejta Marashi