```
0001
 1
               EASTERN INTERIOR ALASKA SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
 7
 8
               DENA'INA CIVIC AND CONVENTION CENTER
 9
                        Anchorage, Alaska
10
                          March 7, 2024
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
18
    Robert Wright, Chairman
19
20
    Andrew Bassich
    Eva Burk
21
22
    Linda Evans
23
    Amanda Pope
24
    Dorothy Shockley
25
    Donald Woodruff
26
27
28
29
30
    Regional Council Coordinator, Brooke McDavid
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
   Recorded and transcribed by:
41
42
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
43
    329 F Street, Suite 222
44
    Anchorage, AK 99501
45
    907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
46
47
48
49
50
```

0002	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Anchorage, Alaska - 3/7/2024)
4 5	(On record)
6 7	(Invocation)
8 9	IN UNISON: Amen.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you. We're going to call this meeting to order at 1:45. If we can have a roll call, please. Who's going to do that today? You? Council Coordinator, could you handle that for us or Don can do it. Thank you, Don.
17 18 19	MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'll start at the top of the list. Sue Entsminger.
20	(No response)
22 23	MR. WOODRUFF: Sue, are you online?
24 25 26	MS. MCDAVID: Sue is unable to attend today. Thank you.
27 28	MR. WOODRUFF: Amanda Pope.
29 30	(No response)
31 32	MR. WOODRUFF: Amanda, are you online?
33 34	(No response)
35 36	MR. WOODRUFF: Linda Evans.
37 38	MS. EVANS: Present. Here.
39 40	MR. WOODRUFF: Eva Burk.
41 42	MS. BURK: Here.
43 44	MR. WOODRUFF: Andrew Bassich.
45 46	MR. BASSICH: Here.
47 48	MR. WOODRUFF: Charlie Wright.
49 50	MR. WRIGHT: Here.

```
0003
 1
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Charlie Jagow.
 2
 3
                     (No response)
 4
 5
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Dorothy Shockley.
 6
 7
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Here.
 8
 9
                     MR. WOODRUFF: And I'm here, Don
10
    Woodruff.
11
12
                     MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.
13
14
                     Mr. Chair, we have a quorum.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I wanted to add that
17
    Charlie had to leave a little early for some things he
18
    had to do that were important just so people know.
19
    We're going to start off with welcome and
20
     introductions. Start with Don, please, or we could
21
     start with you. Go ahead, Don.
22
23
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24
     This is Don Woodruff from Eagle.
25
26
                     MS. MCDAVID: Good afternoon, everyone.
27
    My name is Brooke McDavid and I'm the Council
28
     Coordinator for the Eastern Interior RAC and I'll be
29
     the designated Federal officer for this meeting. Thank
30
     you.
31
32
                     MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
33
     Andy Bassich from the Eagle area.
34
35
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Hi. Dorothy Shockley
36
     from Manley Hot Springs in Fairbanks.
37
38
                     MS. EVANS: Linda Evans from
     Rampart/North Pole.
39
40
                     MS. BURK: Eva Burk from Nenana and
41
42
    Manley Hot Springs.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Charlie Wright,
45
     Rampart. At this time if anybody wants to introduce
     theirself in the crowd you can come do so. Come up to
46
47
     the mic so we can have it on record if you would.
48
     Otherwise we'll continue on with the meeting.
49
```

MR. VICKERS: Good afternoon. This is Brent Vickers from the Office of Subsistence Management. I'll be representing the leadership team for Office of Subsistence Management. For the sake of time let me just introduce others who are from Office of Subsistence Management. Cory Graham is fisheries biologist. Brian Ubelaker is wildlife biologist. Tom Plank, another wildlife biologist, will be coming in at some point. They're swapping off between Council meetings right now. Liz Williams as the cultural anthropologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. I believe that's all we have here right now.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Would anybody else like to come and introduce theirselves. You may at this time.

MR. RANSBURY: Good afternoon, everybody. My name is Shane Ransbury. I work for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under Holly Carroll as a fish biologist.

MS. COHEN: Good afternoon to the Council. My name is Amber Cohen, Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. I work as the cultural anthropologist. Also here in the room is Benjamin Pister, who is our team lead for resource stewardship and science.

MR. DOWDLE: Good afternoon. I'm Mark Dowdle with the National Park Service, Superintendent of the Yukon-Charley Rivers National Preserve and Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve. On his way, I don't believe he's here yet, but biologist Matthew Sorum will be with us this afternoon as well. Thank you.

MS. KLEIN: Good afternoon. My name is Jill Klein. I'm with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and I'm the Regional Subsistence Coordinator and also the Interagency Staff Committee member for the Service. I'll be here for about an hour in person and then I'll transition to listen online. Thank you.

MS. CRAVER: Good afternoon. Amy Craver with Denali National Park and Preserve. I'm the

```
0005
 1
     Subsistence Coordinator and Cultural Anthropologist.
 2
     Thanks.
 3
 4
                     MS. KOSBRUK: Good afternoon.
                                                   Deanna
 5
    Kosbruk. I'm with Ahtna Intertribal Resource
 6
    Commission. I'm the regulatory specialist and THPO
 7
    project coordinator. Thank you.
 8
 9
                     MR. CHEN: Aloha, Council members. My
10
    name is Glenn Chen. I'm the Subsistence Branch Chief
11
    for the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Mahalo.
12
13
                     MS. CANFIELD: Good afternoon,
14
    everyone. My name is Gabe Canfield. I work for the
15
    Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association as the
16
     policy coordinator. I'll be here for about an hour and
17
     a half until I head over to the youth subsistence
18
    session at 3:30 in the other room. Thank you for
19
    having me.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Anybody else want to
22
     come up? If not, we'll give a chance to anybody online
23
     that wants to introduce theirself.
24
25
                     MS. CELLARIUS: Mr. Chair.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Yes, go ahead. I
28
    hear you.
29
30
                     MS. CELLARIUS: This is Barbara
31
    Cellarius. I'm the subsistence coordinator for
32
    Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. Thank
33
     you.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead if there's
36
     anybody else.
37
38
                    MR. HERRIGES: Good afternoon. I'm Jim
39
    Herriges. I'm a wildlife biologist with the Eastern
40
     Interior....
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none, we'll
43
    continue on.
44
45
                     MS. MCDAVID: Sorry, Mr. Chair.
46
    believe Jim Herriges was introducing himself. It's
47
     really difficult to hear you, Jim. Real faint.
48
49
                     MR. HERRIGES: Okay, thank you.
50
```

```
0006
 1
                     MS. MAGNUSSEN: I'll jump in. Good
     afternoon. I'm Emily Magnussen, the Assistant Refuge
 2
     Manager with Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuge.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Anybody else online
 6
    please feel.....
 7
 8
                     MR. HERRON: I'll jump in here.
 9
     Good....
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead.
12
13
                     MR. HERRON: Can you hear me?
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Yes. Go ahead.
16
17
                     MR. HERRON: Good afternoon. My name
18
     is Keith Herron. I'm Assistant Fisheries Manager on
19
     the Yukon River and I'm also the tribe liaison for
20
     fisheries. I'm just listening in.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you. Anybody
23
    else?
24
25
                     (No comments)
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none. We're
    going to move on with the agenda. Council Coordinator,
28
29
    do you have any meeting announcements?
30
31
                     MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
32
     Just a couple brief announcements. First, welcome
33
     again to everyone that's joined us both in the room
34
    here in Anchorage on Dena'ina lands and those joining
35
    us virtually online. We are having a hybrid meeting
    today. Hopefully the IT hiccups have been worked out.
36
37
    We ask for your patience if there are any additional
38
     issues that arise with that.
39
40
                     A reminder to folks in the room.
41
     Please sign in on the back table if you haven't done so
42
    yet, especially if you didn't come up and introduce
43
     yourself. That way we just have a record of folks that
44
     attended the meeting.
45
                     I did want to let folks know there will
46
47
    be an opportunity for public comment today. The
48
     Council always welcomes and invites that. If you'd
49
     like to comment on an agenda item or non-agenda item,
```

there are little blue cards on the back table. You can just write your name. If there is a certain agenda item you want to comment on, you can put that topic on the card and I'll give those to the Chair so he can call on you when that item comes up.

The public comment opportunity for non-agenda items today is going to be after the action items on the Council's agenda. Typically we do that first after Council member reports, but because we have a really compressed meeting today, we have a half-day meeting when we typically have two or more day meetings, we do have to be cognizant of time and the Council is required to get through their action items before they can adjourn. So I just wanted to make you aware of that.

If you are online and you would like to give a public comment on any of the items on the agenda or during the public comment period, if you're using Teams you can use the raise hand function or put a note in the chat. We'll be paying attention to that. It doesn't look like we have anyone that's joined via the phone, but you can press *5 on the phone to raise your hand if you'd like to give a comment. If we do have anyone joined by phone, I'll repeat that later.

We have a full agenda to get to today in a short amount of time, so just a reminder to everyone to be cognizant of that. We don't want to cut off an important discussion. I just want to keep that in the forefront of our minds. Charlie is not afraid, if he needs to, to ask you to speed it up a little bit, which he's probably wanting me to do right now.

With that, just a reminder to be respectful. We welcome discussion on all these items. They're all really important. We thank you all for being here.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you, Brooke. So at this time we can review and adopt the agenda. If there's anything that people see on there they want to talk about or changes or anything to add, now is the time. We will review at this time.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. Yesterday at

8000 our All-Council meeting there were a number of bullet points that were identified as possible letters to be written. I'm just wondering if we're going to be addressing those and where those would go on the 5 agenda. 6 7 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 9 MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Andy. We have 10 an item, the first thing under other business, to 11 follow up on any Joint Council action items. If you 12 would like to move that up to another point in your 13 agenda, you could choose to do that. It's currently 14 12(a). 15 16 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Do you want to add 17 that there, Andy? 18 19 MR. BASSICH: Yes. Sorry, I didn't 20 know I needed to respond. I just wanted to identify 21 that that would be on the agenda and confirm that. Thank you. 22 23 24 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you, Andy. 25 26 MS. MCDAVID: I'll just ask this 27 question to the Council. Do you want that -- because right now it's not under Item 10, which is action 28 29 items. Do you want that to be under your -- to move up 30 before public comments or is it okay where it's at? 31 32 33 34 35

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I think it might be good to move it up before public comments. That way people can hear it and maybe have comments about it further down the line. Thank you.

Can I ask you all if there's any other changes you want to make to the agenda.

MS. SHOCKLEY: So do we need to make a motion to add the letters to the agenda?

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: You can just add them and then it will just be one motion at the end when you guys make a motion to approve the agenda.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. Even if we move it up from the public comment, right?

49 50

36 37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

0009	
1	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Yeah.
2	
3	MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. I just want to
4	make sure too that we have (d)(I) and the National
5	Standards for the Magnuson Act on those as well.
6	
7	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you, Dorothy.
8	Anything else?
9	
10	(No comments)
11	(110 00.11.100)
12	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: If nothing else, then
13	we can entertain a motion to approve the agenda.
14	no can entertain a meeron of approve one agenda.
15	MR. WOODRUFF: I'll make the motion.
16	
17	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you.
18	
19	MR. BASSICH: Second.
20	
21	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any question? We can
22	ask for unanimous decision on this. All those in favor
23	say aye.
24	
25	IN UNISON: Aye.
26	
27	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any against.
28	
29	(No opposing votes)
30	
31	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none. Passes
32	unanimously. Moving on. We are now to election of
33	officers. Thank you.
34	
35	MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So
36	in your Charter and per FACA every year in our winter
37	meetings we elect officers that will serve for the year
38	for the meeting. I will be running that for the Chair
39	seat and then we will turn it over to the new Chair to
40	do the Vice Chair and the Secretary elections.
41 42	Anyono con nominata anyona alaa an tha
42	Anyone can nominate anyone else on the
43	Council to serve in any of the seats. There doesn't need to be a motion. There doesn't need to be a
44	second. It's up to you all if you are okay doing an
46	oral roll call vote or if you want to do like a ballot
47	on a piece of paper for voting.
48	on a proce or paper for vocing.
49	Just for the record and so folks online
50	tast for the foota and to form online
-	

```
0010
     know, Sue Entsminger has been the Chair of this Council
    for many years and she has decided that she's ready for
    a retirement role. She is going to remain on the
    Council, but she has requested that she step down as
    Chair. If anyone nominates her, she has decided to
    decline that. Thank you. Also, anyone else that
 6
 7
    wishes to decline a nomination you're able to do so.
 9
                     Thanks.
10
11
                     MR. BASSICH: Thank you. I'd like to
12
     nominate Charlie Wright as our Chair for the Eastern
13
     Interior RAC.
14
15
                     MS. MCDAVID: Any other nominations for
16
    anyone else.
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                     MR. BASSICH: Do we need a motion to
21
    close and then vote?
22
23
                     (No comments)
24
25
                     MR. BASSICH: No, okay.
26
27
                     MS. MCDAVID: All those in favor of
28
    Charlie Wright as Chair please say aye.
29
30
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
31
32
                     MS. MCDAVID: Okay. We have unanimous
33
     consent. Congratulations, Mr. Chair.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you all for
36
     your vote of confidence. I appreciate it. We'll open
37
     the floor at this time for nominations for Vice Chair.
38
    Go ahead.
39
40
                     MR. WOODRUFF: I would like to nominate
41
    Eva Burk.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any other nominations
44
    at this time.
45
46
                     (No comments)
47
48
                     MS. MCDAVID: I'm sorry to interrupt,
49
    Mr. Chair. It looks like Council Member Amanda Pope
50
```

```
0011
     has joined us online. Amanda, can you hear us?
 2
 3
                     MS. POPE: Yes, I can.
 4
 5
                     MS. MCDAVID: Please let the record
 6
     reflect that Amanda has joined us. Amanda, I'm sorry I
 7
     wasn't aware of when you joined, but we have moved on.
     We're on election of officers, on Vice Chair, and Eva
 9
     Burk has been nominated. If you would like to nominate
10
     anyone else as Vice Chair you may do so at this time.
11
12
                     MS. POPE: I don't have any
13
    nominations.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you, Amanda.
16
     Can you introduce yourself for the record and the
17
     people online and in the room, please.
18
19
                     MS. POPE: Yes, Mr. Chair. My name is
20
                  I am from Circle and I'm a Board member
     Amanda Pope.
21
     for this Board.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you so much.
24
     We're still on Vice Chair. Eva Burk has been
     nominated. If there's no other nominations at this
25
26
     time, I will ask for unanimous consent. All those in
27
     favor please say aye.
28
29
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
30
31
                     (No opposing votes)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So moved.
34
     Congratulations. Moving on to Secretary. Do we have
35
     any nominations for Secretary. Please.
36
37
                     MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you.
     like to nominate Donald Woodruff as our Secretary for
38
39
     the Eastern Interior RAC.
40
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any other nominations
41
42
     at this time for Secretary of the Eastern Interior RAC.
43
44
                     (No comments)
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none.
47
    would also like to ask for unanimous consent on this
48
     vote. All those in favor please signify by saying aye.
49
```

0012	
1 2	IN UNISON: Aye.
3 4 5	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: All those against same sign.
6 7	(No opposing votes)
8	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none.
9 10	Congratulations, Don.
11 12	MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you.
12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you for your continued service. Appreciate you so much.
16 17	MR. WOODRUFF: It's been a pleasure.
18 19 20	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: At this time we want to review and approve previous meeting minutes.
21 22 23 24	MS. MCDAVID: For the Council members' awareness, those minutes begin on Page 5 in your Eastern Interior meeting books.
25 26	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead, Andy.
27 28 29 30	MR. BASSICH: Thank you. I'd like to make a motion that we adopt the minutes as presented to us in our booklet.
31 32 33	$$\operatorname{MS.}$$ EVANS: Linda Evans. I'll second the motion.
34 35	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you, Linda.
36 37 38 39 40 41	MR. BASSICH: Speaking to the motion, unless otherwise stated by another Council member, I've read the minutes and feel that they are complete and accurate and would like to enter them into the record, Mr. Chair.
42 43 44 45	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you. I'd like to entertain a motion to accept the minutes from the last meeting, please.
46 47	MS. MCDAVID: Sorry, we do have a motion on the floor by Andy and a second by Linda.
48 49 50	CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Oh, excuse me.

```
0013
 1
                     MS. MCDAVID: You could entertain the
 2
    question.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I'll ask for question
 5
     at this time.
                    Sorry for the confusion.
 6
 7
                     MS. EVANS: Question.
 8
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: The question has been
 9
10
     called. I'll ask for unanimous consent on the motion
11
     on the floor. All those in favor signify by saying
12
     aye.
13
14
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
15
16
                     (No opposing votes)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So moved. Moving on
19
     to reports. We'll start with Donald, please.
20
21
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22
     Welcome, everyone. It's a pleasure to see all these
23
     shiny faces today. For my Council report I would like
24
     to focus on a Federal subsistence meeting that I had
25
     with the Park Service in Eagle.
26
27
                     As the result of that meeting we had
28
     two action items that I thought the Council would be
29
     interested in and one was a Special Action Request by
30
     the Staff of Park Service to close sheep in
31
     Yukon-Charley south of the Yukon, just as it was done
32
     last year because there's still a tremendous loss in
33
     the sheep population in that area. Seventy-nine
34
     percent decrease in the last survey, 2022.
35
36
                     The other action item that resulted
37
     from that meeting was the need for development of an
38
     Eastern Interior sheep management plan that we do not
39
     have now. I talked with the wildlife biologist that's
40
     going to be here today and we talked a little bit about
41
     using the template from the Western Interior Sheep
42
    Management Plan that they just developed to help us so
43
     that we don't have to start from zero.
44
45
                     Thank you.
46
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Amanda, do you have a
47
48
```

report for us, please?

```
0014
 1
                     MS. MCDAVID: Amanda, if you can hear
 2
    us, you're muted.
 3
 4
                     MS. POPE: No, I don't.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay.
                                              Thank you.
 7
     Even something short and sweet would be really nice,
     Amanda. You have to give us something, please. Thank
 8
 9
     vou.
10
11
                     MS. POPE: I'm not sure what you're
12
     asking. I don't have the agenda in front of me.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: It's just a simple
15
     report of what you're seeing in your area. Just a
     Council Member report of any concerns in your area,
16
17
     marten trapping, beaver trapping, what you're seeing.
18
     Just something simple from your area, please, if you
19
20
21
                     MS. POPE: Oh, yeah. I have spoke to a
22
     lot of folks and they have expressed a lot of interest
23
     to find resources and tools to help build their
24
     capacity, their ability to have their own data and to
25
    have a way to be involved more with hunting and fishing
26
     resources and management of the resources that we have.
27
28
                     I also have personally noticed there's
29
     dramatically declined numbers of moose and caribou and
30
     all of the life cycles of the animals are really down.
31
     It's a really big concern not only for myself but for
32
     the people of the area.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Is that all you have
35
     today, Amanda?
36
37
                     MS. POPE: Yes, sir.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you so much for
40
     that report. It's so important to hear from you and I
41
     appreciate your time. Thank you.
42
43
                     I'll move on to Andy Bassich.
44
45
                     Thank you.
46
47
                     MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
48
    have a number of items, but I'll be very brief on them.
     I'll touch on some of them during some of our other
49
```

0015 1 discussions. 2 3 4 my area are extremely low. I've basically mushed over 1,500 miles this year and I've seen two moose tracks

concerns me.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

6

Moving on to fish. Again, no fall chum, which is vital to my lifestyle and many people in Eagle. No king salmon. I believe we're on year number four for that. I spend a great deal of time in the summertime trying to mitigate those loss of salmon with non-salmon species. I've been working to try and develop methods and means for harvesting non-salmon without impacting salmon. I've had limited success.

and no moose, no living moose. So that greatly

I wanted to report moose populations in

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

I will report that it is possible to kind of feed yourself in the summer with non-salmon fish, but it's virtually impossible in our region of the river to put up fish. The abundance isn't there. So that's a great concern. And continue to work on fisheries issues is my highest priority.

23 24 25

26

27

28

29

Yukon River Panel. I'm the co-Chair of the Communications Committee. We've had some very good successes with the communications and outreach in the form of the Educational Exchange and we're developing plans and ideas for the upcoming meeting to formalize that into the future.

30 31 32

33

34

35

I'm trying to push more engagement of youth and culture camps. I believe that's kind of the future of our fisheries and we need to begin to move and develop those plans cohesively on the Alaska side Yukon River.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

About a week ago or so I attended the ABON meeting in Fairbanks. That's the Arctic Beaver Observation Network. A fascinating network. I learned a tremendous amount from scientists all around the Arctic Region and also from scientists down in the Lower 48. The reason I went to that is because of the impacts of beavers moving north due to climate change and primarily on salmon and salmon spawning habitat, but it's also impacting many other aspects of people's lives.

47 48 49

It was really interesting for me

because in my region I always look at beaver as a very sustainable, very reliable resource and probably going to be one of the resources that we're going to have to depend on for food security into the future. However, in other regions, such as Western Alaska, Inuvik, Nunavut, and over into Norway they're being seen as a nuisance and a real obstruction to subsistence lifestyles there.

So it was interesting to see the differences. What I'm realizing is that we live in a really hungry country, compared to most other people, here in the Eastern Interior Region. So that really kind of solidified that reality to me when I hear of all the different resources that people have that they can move to in lieu of salmon. If one species dies off, they have other resources.

Here in the Eastern Interior we oftentimes don't have that abundance. So I just wanted to report that to the Board because I think that's a very important factor in dealing with the Eastern Interior region and our options.

Then finally I just want to report in this entire winter I've seen one caribou. After that caribou left I saw no tracks, no other caribou. So I think it's very critical that we pull the Forty-Mile Coalition back together, that we have a meeting to work on management plans and try and figure out what we can do.

In my region, in Eagle, we rely about 70 percent on salmon and then the vast majority of us rely on the caribou as our second form of protein.

Moose populations are fairly low. Although it's a part of our food uses in the area, it's always been very low. So caribou and salmon are two of the most critical subsistence resources for the Eagle area and I believe also in Circle and they're not available. So I wanted to report that to the Board.

Thank you, Mr. Chair, that's my report.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Dorothy Shockley.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Hi. Thank you. Well, as I mentioned earlier in our All-Council meeting is that because of regulations I can't feed myself.

That's fisheries, moose. So looking at the State to adapt hunting and possibly fishing according to climate change, whether that's moving their moose season hunting season later in the season and looking at our fisheries as well.

I attended another wood bison meeting and when I reported earlier at our meeting in October in Arctic Village we had this great plan. The villages and the State were going to make a plan that -- you know, where we all are equally involved in whatever decisions are made with the wood bison. In the interim the governor directed the Department that he wanted wood bison on the land by the summer.

So instead of trying to figure out work together it was kind of a scramble to decide where would be the best area. He wants it in the eastern part of the refuge around Minto, but we're hoping to —we all got together and thought, well, south of the Tanana River would probably be best. So that's kind of what we're looking at or we're asking, which is really unfortunate. After everybody put their comments in that was probably the best area.

Like I say, I'm very concerned about food security, culture. I think everything that we talked about. I hope that we can make some changes in regards to the process so that we can become more effective in making changes. I was here at an All-Council meeting in 2016 and looked at my notes. Unfortunately, we're talking about the same things still.

 $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ hope we can make some progress and look forward to that.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead, Linda, please.

MS. EVANS: Linda Evans, Rampart. I did go to a bison meeting. I believe it was in October. I don't remember the date, but sometime this winter. Our elder who's passed, Paul Williams, was there and he talked about the need for everybody to work together, you know, because we have no fish, because we have no -- our Native foods are being

depleted or they're not rebounding like they used to. We really need to figure out how to preserve our subsistence way of life and our culture for our younger generations.

That really resounded with me because I can remember growing up in fish camp in Manley, at the mouth of Manley Slough with my father, my mother and my grandmother. Grandmother would always talk about you have to take care of this food, you know. You have to take care of your animals. You have to treat them with respect so we'll have them for the future generations. I don't know. Somewhere along the line we lost track of that, I think.

I think what I'm really concerned about is the way we treat our Yukon River, pollution. You know, we don't take care of our land. It's affecting us now. In Rampart I went around and looked for berries. No berries. You know, I used to feed the birds all the time in Rampart. No birds, you know. Going down traveling around on the river, there used to be ducks and geese and stuff like that. There's not anymore.

I agree with Dorothy. We need to work on something concrete, you know. Year after year we talk about the same things. This is no more, this is no more. We need to make a plan on how to replenish those stocks, you know. I just got done going to a salmon workshop a while ago. At the end of it the presenter said that we need to take care of the habitat and I believe that's what my grandmother was talking about. Taking care of the habitat, taking care of the resources.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you, Linda. Go ahead, Eva. Are you ready?

MS. BURK: Yeah. I was going to talk about the bison a little bit too. I think this environment and this All-RAC meeting has been a lot different from what we see in other spaces. Really like in the state of Alaska. I'm thinking about the bison. They said why are you upset and it's because when they first brought the idea they told us that we would have a say in it. If we didn't want the bison in

communities, they wouldn't bring them there. Well, that's not the truth. This year they got the bison.

2 3 4

I just went and actually saw them up at the large animal research station on Yankovich Road in Fairbanks. I can't say that I rejected them when I saw them. It was kind of cool to see them and to think about what they might look like. I'm still concerned about those bison having a chance because our moose —we just lost 40 percent of our moose I think in Unit 20B. We lost 40 percent of our moose and it was because of rain on snow creating a hardship for the animals in the springtime or late winter months.

And then that same thing happened down in Innoko to the bison. They say it had the same rain on snow and they lost a bunch of their bison. I forget what year they brought the bison into Innoko, but they haven't had a hunt because the bison can't establish themselves in climate change.

To me, if your habitat is not well, your climate is not well or predictable, trying to do things like have hatcheries or put more large animals like bison on the landscape, that's probably not the solution. So I don't know about the bison if they're going to make it.

I don't agree with not working with the people in the region and telling them that it's okay, that they're going to have a voice and released actually within about five miles from where my family owns Native allotments on the mouth of the Kantishna River. I'll be there this summer on the river in that exact area, so I'll probably go over and see them.

We did have some people fishing this fall for chum. We did a little bit in August, whitefish, and then we also went to the Yukon and fished with a 60-foot net and put up whitefish and had a few incidental salmon. A good number of them appeared to have ichthyophonus and were not good.

I think for me too also salmon continues to be a priority. I am a little new to these faces and catching up really quickly. So it's good when Linda and Dorothy are like we've been talking about this forever, what are we going to do. I think yesterday we had some really good discussions about our

asks and needs of Federal Subsistence Board and OSM to support us and help us.

2 3 4

Right now salmon, the decisions that are impacting salmon the most are happening in the marine environment. There's a lot of hatchery fish, a lot of chum and a lot of pink, and they're affecting the habitat. There is a continuation to allow salmon to be intercepted and to be caught as bycatch. Those decision-making spaces are outside of this arena, but we all need to be in all those spaces which takes an incredible amount of time and an incredible amount of resources.

I think yesterday we did have some good discussions. I'm excited to see that in the letter. I wonder about the beavers as well and are the beavers creating salmon habitat in any way. Are the sockeye going to move north. We heard this morning that there's more sockeye in the Kusko than there has been. So I wonder about maybe the beavers making some habitat. I'm not sure, but it's a hypothesis.

I think we did see more little bugs and we saw a lot of grouse, so maybe there's a new cycle that's happening. I do the culture camp for the community of Nenana and we have a lot of people from Fairbanks come in and a lot of different tribal members.

We fly in sockeye for that camp, which I have mixed feelings about it, but the kids are really excited. It gives them a lot of joy to work on the fish. It is the favorite thing for them at culture camp. So I'm of the mind that at least they're touching fish and we're touching their hearts and that's what we need to continue to do.

This year we were able to work with the Herring Protectors out of Sitka who helped raise some funds and got fish to culture camps other than Nenana, so we got some to Minto, Tanana, Rampart, Nenana, as well as Denakkanaaga: Elders Mentoring Elders Camp in Fairbanks. People are kind of catching on to let's try to work on salmon even though it's not idea. I'm hoping that maybe there really will be sockeye one day. I don't know. I really hope that, but I don't know if that's crazy.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you all for your reports. In my mind, in the Eastern Interior, in my area especially, we're at a really huge tipping point. We're losing our salmon, our moose, our caribou. The bears are down. Those animals feed bears. They don't live off just those animals, but we're seeing the bigger bears eating the smaller bears.

Thanks.

We are kind of boxed in by the highways. The amount of people coming into the area it's just crazy. It looks like Kenai fisheries, the trailers everywhere. Small villages of trailers. It's just really hard on the whole system along those roads.

I have seen a lot of big changes in the last 20, 30 years in my life and I'm not even close to being an elder yet. I've seen things go from riches to barely nothing. There's hardly any fur around my area. I know that some parts of the Eastern Interior are kind of fortunate to have a little bit more animals, but it's not really looking good due to climate, overhunting, disease.

I think we need to step back and really assess the moose count and do a better moose count in the Eastern Interior and a lot of places. They're not doing the moose count with a visual, they're doing it off of permits or hunt reports I would say. They need to look at the amount of days it takes for a man to get a moose or a woman. They're not doing that.

Twenty years ago it would take me one or two days to find a moose because they were everywhere like rabbits when they're thick. Now it takes me almost the whole season. Lately I've missed the -- I've not gotten a moose in a couple seasons. That's never happened before in my lifetime. So a lot of people are into the point where there's no other means with nothing to eat.

 This year was an especially cold winter. People have to struggle and decide whether to buy gas, fuel, wood, electricity, because everything costs so much out in the Bush. Some communities don't even have a store anymore or never had one. So things are getting rough on people out there.

I'd like to say a little bit about the bison. It's a really good idea when you first hear about it and then you figure out what the share is going to be in the future. With the uncertainties, it probably wouldn't be in my lifetime before we start sharing any part of it, which would be a really small percentage.

I think there'll be more to look at for a while because of the uncertainties that are hitting the other animals. I feel that the bison are going to get them just the same. With the warming climate even moose are falling through the ice. The bison have to get used to lakes and rivers. Some of them are -- or quite a few of them I understand are falling through the ice and now we're seeing moose falling through the ice in the Yukon River.

I saw a picture not very long ago of eight moose in one place frozen in the ice. There's bulls and cows and there was a herd of them trying to cross the river and the ice wasn't thick enough and they were in too big of a pile and they all fell in together and half frozen and half sticking out of the ice. It's really hard to see. So there's a lot of uncertainties out there that need to start being incorporated in management in my mind.

And the salmon. Salmon is the closest to my heart. It's what raised me, my family, my elders, past elders. I worry about the future generations myself. Are they going to be able to practice that tradition and be part of that being our well-being. Mental, spiritual and physically. It's just so hard to see everything dwindling and going away. We have a big job in front of us.

 I'm really happy about the letter that was crafted yesterday and that's being sent forward to the proper places. I think that was a big milestone and I appreciate everybody that was part of that and crafting that and putting it together.

 Since we've got such a crammed agenda today I'm going to cut myself short there. I really appreciate all you guys's words. Ladies and gentlemen I should say. We'll move forward with the agenda now. Thank you.

At this time we're on Action Item (a),
Deferred Wildlife Proposal WP24-34, Unit 25D West;
Recognize the customary and traditional use of moose by
residents of Circle and Fort Yukon. I'd like to say a
little bit that this was a proposal that was put in
after (whispered conversation). Okay.

So since WP24-35 was pulled and these ones kind of went together I would like to entertain the idea of pulling this one also so we could eliminate the conflict between the communities up there that these proposals — they kind of caused a fight between the villages and that's the last thing we want to do. I think that it would be a really good idea, with you guys's vote of course, to pull this one. The same as the other one was so there's less conflict. I think we'll try real hard going forward in the future to not get ourselves put in this place.

If anybody else has anything to say about it and can agree with pulling it, then go ahead and say so now. I see Andy wants to say something. Go ahead, Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to know if you needed a motion to officially remove that.

 $$\operatorname{MS.\ MCDAVID:}$ That's correct. You would need a motion to withdraw the proposal.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. Mr. Chair, I'd like to make a motion that the Eastern Interior RAC withdraw Proposal WP24-34 for consideration by the Federal Subsistence Board.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ EVANS: This is Linda Evans. I second the motion.

MR. BASSICH: Mr. Chair. Speaking to the motion, I'd just like to say that I'll reference the comments made by the Chair prior to my motion in regards to the reason for pulling this proposal because it was co-aligned with another proposal that had been removed. That's all. Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WOODRUFF: I'll call for the question.

```
0024
 1
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Anybody online or
 2
     anybody else want to say anything to this motion?
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing nothing. I'm
 7
     going to ask for unanimous consent. All in favor of the
     motion please signify by saying aye.
 9
10
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: All opposed same
13
     sign.
14
15
                     (No opposing votes)
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none, motion
18
    passes.
             Thank you.
19
20
                     We'll move on to 10(b), wildlife
21
     proposal to reconsider, WP24-32, Units 12, 19, 20, 21,
22
     24 and 25, extend marten trapping season to March 15th.
23
24
                     MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So
25
     the reason this was brought back on our agenda is
26
    because it came to our attention after the fall meeting
27
     cycle. This was a crossover proposal because -- first
28
     off, let me back up. This was a proposal that was put
29
     in by our Council and it included units that also are
30
     within the Western Interior Region. When it came
31
    before the Western Interior Council they were opposed
32
     to it.
33
34
                     So there is an opportunity if you would
35
     like to modify this proposal before it goes to the
36
     Federal Subsistence Board to potentially remove the
37
    units that are in the Western Interior or even other
     units within Eastern Interior to narrow it down. You
38
39
    have the opportunity to do so now and Andy may wish to
     speak to this a little bit more since it was initially
40
41
    brought forth by him.
42
43
                     Thank you.
44
45
                     MR. BASSICH: Thank you. If I may, Mr.
46
     Chair. Just a procedural question. Do we need to get
47
     an analysis or anything like that or because we've had
48
     that before we don't need to address that right now?
49
     We can speak right to the motion or right to the
```

1 proposal? Sorry.

MS. MCDAVID: You don't have to go through all the procedures. If you wanted to ask if there was any public comments or anything, you could certainly do that.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would like to speak to this. In reviewing this proposal, initially when I made this proposal and brought it before this RAC I was looking at Unit 20 only. The reason why I chose Unit 20 only is because it's a very sparsely populated unit with very few trappers going out there and utilizing it.

There are some concerns with trapping marten in some people's views later in the season, saying that they're a little less prime. I stated in my motion that I am not seeing that due to the fact that winters are protracting longer into the springtime and I'm noticing that fur is staying prime -- marten fur is staying prime longer. It was for an opportunity for trappers that are out with -- trying to go after lynx to also have the opportunity to catch an additional marten or two.

I was a little concerned when I saw all these other units added to it because I think trapping pressures in those areas may be very different from what we see in 20 and 20E, as far as the State area. So I still think this is a very good proposal for people to have additional opportunity without impacting in a negative way marten in 20 and 20E. I would like to see this moved forward.

I would like to maybe strike -- I'm sorry. I'm going to need to have a little help on how we would modify this, but essentially what I would like to see is that this proposal go to the Federal Subsistence Board with Unit 20 as the only unit to be included, Mr. Chair.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{So}}\xspace{1}$$ I need a little bit of help on how we proceed for that.

MS. MCDAVID: I can respond. So you would need a motion to modify the proposal and then a motion to support the proposal as modified. Also, Andy, just for clarification, Unit 20 is pretty big, so

you might want to get feedback from other Council members. I know there was a little bit of concern about some of the areas of 20 that are road accessible. So if you even wanted to narrow it to certain subunits of 20, you could certainly do that.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Andy, I'd like to say I did talk to some people from the other RACs and my experience of living in multiple places in the Interior along the river. It seems like right below Tanana there's a split in the weather. Up from Tanana is colder. Down from Tanana it gets more of the ocean effect. It snows 16 to 30 inches in one night below Tanana on down.

So they get that warmer weather. They said that that's one thing that -- the reason why they didn't want to support it down there or wanted to see it. They were just fine with you doing it in your area. I know that it's way colder in the mountains.

It's just crazy how the weather changes at the mouth of the Tanana River. If you go up the Yukon and the Tanana where they meet together, there's a ridge up the middle. If I go over to the Tanana River side, it's summertime and there's ducks flying around. I go back down to Tanana and I go up the Yukon 20 miles and it's just wintertime. No water at all.

So there's different weather and I support you talking for your area and wanting to redo things there and make changes. So I just wanted to let you know that.

Go ahead.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Brooke, what was the Western Interior's justification for their refusal?

MS. MCDAVID: One moment. Let me see if that's in our book. Okay, so on Page 70 of your EIRAC meeting books the Western Interior recommendation can be found and I'll go ahead and read it. The Western Interior Council opposed WP24-32. The Council members are concerned that within the Western Interior region, marten fur is not good at that time of the year and that more females end up being trapped as well.

0027 1 The population is stable but low, and Council members feel the population couldn't withstand 2 any additional harvest. Additionally, if the fur is not worth using or selling, then there should not be the opportunity offered, even if trappers have been known 5 6 to self-limit their efforts. 7 8 Thank you. 9 10 MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 I'd like to make a motion to modify WP24-32 to be proposed regulations for Unit 20E to be included and 12 13 25B as in bravo to be included in this motion only. 14 15 MS. SHOCKLEY: We're amending the 16 proposal, right? 17 18 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Yes. 19 20 MS. SHOCKLEY: So I second. 21 22 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Andy. 23 24 MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 25 Speaking to the motion. I'm going to reference my 26 earlier comments. The reason why I included 25B is 27 there are no residents in 25B or very few residents. 28 There may be one or two. I'd have to go look at the 29 map again, but it's very, very sparsely populated. 30 31 The main point I want to make is that 32 there are very few trappers currently in 20E operating. 33 Literally less than a handful in our region in 20E and 34 25B that are still active and going out and trapping. 35 It's basically almost a weekend type thing for most 36 trappers now. I believe very strongly that given that 37 situation impacts to marten will be absolutely minimal. 38 39 MS. SHOCKLEY: I'll call for the 40 question. 41 42 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Does anybody have any 43 more discussion in the room or online on this proposal 44 modification? 45 46 (No comments) 47 48 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none. 49 at this time ask for unanimous decision in lieu of time

```
0028
 1
     and ask everybody that supports this to signify by
 2
     saying aye.
 3
 4
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any opposed same
 7
     sign.
 8
 9
                     (No opposing votes)
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none, moving
12
     on. Thank you.
13
14
                     MS. MCDAVID: I might need
15
     clarification for Robert's Rules, if we need to
     actually then vote on the proposal as modified.
16
                                                     You
17
    might want to just do it to be safe.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay, thank you. I
20
     was just trying to speed things up, but obviously I
21
     slowed it down.
22
23
                     MR. BASSICH: Mr. Chair. I'd like to
24
    make a motion that we move forward Proposal WP24-32 as
25
     amended by the Eastern RAC.
26
27
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Second.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: The question has been
30
     called. All those in favor of the modification of this
31
    proposal -- do you guys want to have a roll call vote
32
    or unanimous decision? I want to make sure I don't go
    backwards here. Okay. I'll be asking for unanimous
33
34
    decision. All those in favor of this motion please
35
     signify by saying aye.
36
37
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any opposed.
40
41
                     (No opposing votes)
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none. Moving
44
         We're moving on to 10(c), Wildlife Special Action
45
     Request WSA24-01 Dall Sheep.
46
47
                     MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair. I don't
48
    believe we have a presentation on this from Staff.
49
     Just this past week we did receive a request from Don
50
```

to bring this up at this meeting and Don spoke a little bit earlier about the reasons for him submitting a Special Action Request. There was one submitted last year. The reasons to have it again this year stand the same as those last year. I'd ask Don if he would like to say a few more words about that.

MR. WOODRUFF: Yes. For some years I've noticed that the sheep populations in our area, especially in a walk-in hunt area only in Glacier Mountain, of major sheep population decline. I requested that the Federal Subsistence Board ask all the agencies to increase their cooperation amongst themselves for more surveys because it's unconscionable to deplete a population through harvest if the population is in decline or in total failure.

The Park Service and Fish and Game obviously worked together and did these surveys and they found out that there was a huge decline that they hadn't seen since 2018. Well, they didn't know and now they know. Seventy-eight, seventy-nine percent failure in the sheep population, which is critical.

So Park Service staff asked me to submit the SAR, Special Action Request, through the RAC rather than through them doing it again, over again like they did last year. I agreed that that would be a positive thing and that it would have a very powerful voice if it went through the RAC rather than it came from me personally.

Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Don. Just to follow up on that and explain the process a little bit more. So the Special Action has been validated by OSM and it will go to the Federal Subsistence Board. There will be a public hearing for folks that can call in and comment. That has yet to be scheduled since it was just received this past week. Because it was validated before the EIRAC meeting you all have the opportunity to discuss and provide a recommendation to the Federal Subsistence Board if you support the closure for that Dall sheep population again.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead, Andy.

0030 1 MR. BASSICH: Sorry. Is there information on that in our booklet or anywhere that we 2 can review? Is that something we're going to discuss right now? I'm just a little bit lost here. 5 6 MS. MCDAVID: There has not yet been an 7 analysis completed because just the timeline right before the meeting. You were presented the analysis last year at your winter meeting for the same action that Don is requesting again. I know that's a long 10 11 time ago and hard to remember. We do have some 12 wildlife staff in the room that might be able to speak 13 to any questions you might have. Sorry I don't have 14 more information for you. 15 16 MR. WOODRUFF: I can respond to that. 17 18 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead. 19 20 MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you. Andy, I can 21 respond to that. Maybe we can ask Mark, the 22 superintendent, to step forward and I'll speak a little 23 bit about that. 24 25 MR. DOWDLE: Through the Chair. This 26 is Mark Dowdle. I'm the Superintendent of 27 Yukon-Charley Rivers National Preserve. With me is 28 Mathew Sorum, a biologist with us as well. 29 30 Just a little background. Do you want 31 to just give a brief update on the most recent survey? 32 33 MR. SORUM: Sure. 34 35 MR. DOWDLE: That might be a good place 36 to start. 37 38 MR. SORUM: So, yes, we were able to 39 conduct the survey this summer 2023 and we found that 40 there was a 78 percent decline in sheep populations 41 from the long-term average. The sheep in Yukon-Charley 42 hover around 3-350 sheep and it's kind of the core area within the broader region of Glacier Mountain, Mt. 44 Harper and West Point area. So that's like the major population and then those outer populations are about 45 46 75 to 100 sheep.

the adjacent sheep areas, they all declined by 75

Within our area, and actually those are

49 50

0031 1 percent or more. So it's more than just in Yukon-Charley we're seeing it, but I was just kind of speaking Yukon-Charley. I guess maybe just going forward we have plans of doing future surveys. 5 6 MR. DOWDLE: Based on those surveys in 7 August of last year the Federal Subsistence Board approved Wildlife Special Action 23-05 to close the 9 sheep season to all users in Yukon-Charley Rivers 10 National Preserve within Units 25E and 25C from August 11 10th to September 20th. That's essentially the lands south of the Yukon River and Yukon-Charley Rivers 12 13 National Preserve. 14 15 MS. MCDAVID: Sorry. I misspoke 16

17

18

19

20

earlier. This actually didn't come before your Council to vote on it at a previous meeting because it was an emergency special action they did these surveys and realized, oh, my gosh, yeah, we can't hunt this population this year. So the action was taken prior to your meeting last fall.

21 22 23

I just wanted to correct that for the

24 record. 25

26

Thanks.

27 28

29

30

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you very much for coming up and giving us that information. We appreciate it. Any questions from the Council or anybody online?

31 32 33

MS. SHOCKLEY: The special action is

what?

34 35 36

MS. MCDAVID: Could I get Wildlife staff to please -- thank you.

37 38 39

40

41

42

MR. UBELAKER: For the record, Brian Ubelaker, wildlife biologist with OSM. I believe the request is to close Federal public lands in the area to any and all sheep hunting for this coming year, for 2024.

43 44 45

46

47

48

MS. MCDAVID: I can look it up, but it's a small area that's within Yukon-Charley and the other parts of 20E that are on Federal lands if I'm correct. If that can just be added in their minutes. I'm sorry. The biologist who is in charge of this

```
0032
    particular special action is in another meeting right
     now. So if you guys don't get the clarification you
 2
     need -- go ahead.
 4
 5
                     MR. VICKERS: Can you repeat what the
 6
     specific -- I just pulled up the request. What was the
 7
     specific question?
 8
 9
                     MS. MCDAVID: What units this applies
10
     to and I believe Tom had given Don a call to clarify.
11
     It's supposed to be the same units as last year.
12
13
                     MR. VICKERS: Yes. This is Brent
14
    Vickers for Office of Subsistence Management for the
15
    record. I just opened up the request that I received
     and it's to close Unit 20E sheep to all hunters on
16
17
     Federal lands, which is the Yukon-Charley. So that's
18
     just 20E and all hunters.
19
20
                     Thank you.
21
22
                     MR. BASSICH: Yeah, a question for Park
23
     Service. The area around the upper Tatonduk region
24
     there, part of that is in -- I guess that would be 25B.
25
     So is that also going to be closed, only Yukon-Charley
26
     proper?
27
28
                     MR. SORUM: Only Yukon-Charley from
29
     what -- north of -- south of the river. South of the
30
    Yukon. Not north of the Yukon.
31
32
                     MR. BASSICH: I guess maybe a follow up
33
     is how come you're not addressing that area up in the
34
     upper Tatonduk region? That's still within Park
35
     Service lands, is it not?
36
37
                     MR. SORUM: Correct. Yeah.
38
39
                     MR. BASSICH: And those populations are
40
     healthy enough?
41
42
                     MR. SORUM: So we surveyed the
43
     Ogilvies.
               That's the Ogilvie Range.
44
45
                     MR. BASSICH: Right.
46
47
                     MR. SORUM: And we saw a 34 percent
48
     decline, so it wasn't the 75 percent decline that we
     saw. It's also on the edge of a much broader
49
```

```
0033
    population that's in Canada and we partially think that
    the population is influenced by immigration/emigration
     out of Canada. So we didn't feel like the declines
    were as great or we didn't observe the declines to be
 5
     as great in that area.
 6
 7
                     MR. BASSICH: Thank you. Maybe one
 8
    more follow up. How close are you going to be watching
     this? You know, a 24 percent decline is also fairly
 9
10
     significant and we know the sheep are doing very poorly
11
     all throughout the Interior of Alaska. So I'm just
     curious how you're going to monitor that in the future
12
13
     so that this could be expanded if that population
14
     declines.
15
16
                     MR. SORUM: Yes. So we put a proposal
17
     together and we just were supported or got an abundance
18
     of surveys in '26, '27 and '28. So we'll be surveying
19
     those three years and monitoring. Then hopefully that
20
     will give us information -- to help provide information
21
     to reopen or maintain the closure.
22
23
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: My question is we're
24
     going to continue to close 20E, right? I mean is 25B
25
     open as well?
26
27
                     MR. SORUM: Uh-huh (affirmative).
28
29
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. So right now it's
30
     open.
31
32
                     MR. SORUM: Yeah.
33
34
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: And we're just closing
35
     20E.
36
37
                     MR. SORUM: Correct.
38
39
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay.
                                           So can we --
     Council close that as well or is that something you do?
40
41
42
                     MR. SORUM: Were you saying 25C?
43
44
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: No.
45
46
                     MR. SORUM: Okay. Well, I'll just
47
     clarify too that 25 -- we also lands in Yukon-Charley
48
     south of 25C as well because just a small portion of
49
     that area we survey and there's sheep, so we said all
```

lands south of the Yukon River in 25C, which is like the Cold Creek region, Woodchopper Region, then also 20E. Ours was the only Federal land within Yukon-Charley south of the Yukon.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. That's all you have jurisdiction over.

MR. SORUM: Correct. Yeah.

MR. DOWDLE: Well, additional to your question, I think a portion of Yukon-Charley Rivers National Preserve north of the Yukon Rivers in 25B.

MR. BASSICH: Point of clarification also. You're making this recommendation for the Yukon-Charley Preserve. Glacier Peaks also has BLM land. Is that going to be affected by a Federal closure or are you just talking about within the Preserve boundaries?

MR. UBELAKER: I believe the request was only for Park Service managed lands, not BLM lands.

MR. BASSICH: Okay. Then that begs the question are the -- Don referred to the fact that Glacier Peaks was also showing a reduced amount of sheet. Is that also accurate? Was that surveyed, do we know? Quite frankly from my experience living in Eagle that's probably the most accessible, most hunted area by people within the state. It's much harder to get across the river. It's fly-in only into the Ogilvie Range and Glacier Peaks is one of the few places you can actually walk in for a sheep hunt or go in by horseback.

So I'm just curious if the populations were surveyed there and what the status of that is because if that's BLM land there and this is on Federal lands, I'm not sure how jurisdictions work, but it would make sense to close that down until populations are improved. Just a suggestion. I don't know the extent of the surveys, but that would be my recommendation as a Council member to protect that.

MR. SORUM: I can provide some information. So BLM funds the survey and works with Alaska Fish and Game out of Tok to do the Glacier Mountain survey and they've done that for the last 15,

20 years annually. So normally the population is at 70 to 100 and right now it's 12. There's 12 sheep. I think the last there was no full-curl rams. There was one ram in the population.

MR. BASSICH: So following up on that line of thought, that confirms what I was suspicious of. Would it be prudent then for the Special Action Request to also close the area within Glacier Mountain on Federal lands? I know the State probably won't want to, but we're asking for the Federal program to protect our resources.

MR. UBELAKER: I believe that the requester can ask for an amendment or change it and the analyst will probably reach out to contact to clarify everything that's requested. I believe -- anybody correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe that with the discussion today any input that's going in that changes like that can be considered and wrapped into the new analysis.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie. My point of clarification is OSM called me on the phone and asked me did I mean 20E and 25B and 25C or whatever and I said I want the SAR, Special Action Request, to reflect last year's Special Action Request. I never heard from BLM if they were concerned about the sheep in Glacier Mountain, but I certainly am. That's all I got.

MS. MCDAVID: Sorry, Andy. I just wanted to jump in and say as a Council you can make recommendations to the Federal Subsistence Board to modify this to include other Federally managed lands if you feel that is important. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead, Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you for that clarification. Thank you for your information. Based on the information and testimony by biologist from Yukon-Charley and OSM I would like to extend the Special Action Request for sheep in Yukon-Charley to also be expanded to Glacier Peaks hunting area, which is BLM land.

MR. WOODRUFF: I'll second.

MS. MCDAVID: In what unit?

MR. BASSICH: Speaking to the motion, that would then -- in Unit 20E that would expand not only from Yukon-Charley, which is primarily in 20E, the BLM lands in 20E known as Glacier Mountain, which is a very popular walk-in sheep hunting area. That would afford protection in that area based upon the low sheep counts in the most recent surveys.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I guess, I don't know, do you want justifications for this other than what I did?

MS. MCDAVID: Well, what I just heard is you suggested a motion to modify the Special Action Request WSA24-01 to include the BLM land within Unit 20E in the Glacier Mountain Region. We would need a second, please.

MR. WOODRUFF: I think that this is a smart choice to modify this proposal. I was hoping that maybe BLM would contact us or be present for this meeting. If they are, I would like to have them speak up.

But just as a caveat, I was in the hospital. I got Covid and I was admitted to the hospital overnight. My attending physician said he walked into Glacier Mountain two years ago with his wife and harvested two sheep. You know, in my stunned and sort of demented state I asked him, well, how many sheep did you see and he wouldn't answer me and he walked out of the room. I'm sure if he saw 20 or 30 sheep he would have bragged about it. So I don't think he saw many and he took the two he wanted.

Thank you.

And that's a second if you need one.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I hear that BLM is on the line. Do we need to finish out our motion first or do we let them speak first?

MS. MCDAVID: It sounds like you might want to hear what they have to say as part of your discussion.

```
0037
 1
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay. BLM, if you're
 2
     on the line, you have the floor, please.
 3
 4
                     MR. HERRIGES: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 5
     This is Jim Herriges. Can you hear me okay?
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: If you could speak a
 8
     little louder, please. It's a little muffled.
 9
10
                     MR. HERRIGES: Okay. How about this?
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: That's better.
13
14
                     MR. HERRIGES: Okay. I just want to
15
     point out -- I agree that there's a similar decline in
16
     Glacier Mountain sheep that's concerning and it's
17
     similar in magnitude to what's happening in
18
    Yukon-Charley, but one complicating factor that you
19
     should consider is that only a minority of the lands
20
    around Glacier Mountain are BLM, Federal, subsistence
21
    lands. The rest I believe are -- well, I'm not sure.
22
    I believe they're mostly State lands. There may be
23
     some Doyon lands there as well. That is something to
24
     consider in implementing regulations is how that would
25
     work to have a small piece of lands closed with most of
26
     the area open.
27
28
                     MR. BASSICH: This is Andy Bassich.
29
     Thank you, Jim. I appreciate that. Mr. Chair,
30
     speaking to the motion, recognizing that BLM lands do
31
     not comprise the entire area, I still feel this is an
32
     appropriate modification for protection of sheep. I
33
     think having BLM lands posted as closed will also help
34
     to deter hunters from putting the arduous work into
35
     going and hunting them in that region. My hope is that
36
     the State will also align with this Special Action
37
    Request due to the fact that it is purely biological
38
     and it makes sense.
39
40
                     So those are my thoughts and comments
41
     on this motion, Mr. Chair.
42
43
                     Thank you.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Any more comments?
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Call for question.
```

```
0038
 1
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: The question has been
 2
    called. Can we restate that motion, please.
 4
                     MS. MCDAVID: There's been a request to
 5
     restate the motion. The motion is to modify Wildlife
 6
     Special Action request 24-01 to close the harvest of
 7
     Dall sheep in....
 8
 9
                     MR. BASSICH: I'm just going to help
10
    the coordinator. I'm much more familiar with those
11
     lands. It's in my backyard. So the Special Action
12
     Request is to close the lands within Yukon-Charley for
13
     Dall sheep in 20E within Yukon-Charley Preserve and to
14
     also close off BLM lands in 20E in the Glacier Mountain
15
     sheep hunting area. Walk-in sheep hunting area.
16
17
                     I hope that helps to clarify.
18
19
                     Thank you.
20
21
                     MS. MCDAVID: I think there was a small
22
     portion of 25C south of the Yukon also just for the
23
     record. Okay. I'm getting thumbs up.
                                             Thanks.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Can we do it one more
26
     time?
27
28
                     MS. MCDAVID: Okay. I can try again.
29
     So modify WSA24-01 which requests to close Dall sheep
30
     hunting to all users in Yukon-Charley in Units 25C and
31
     20E, to also include a closure on BLM lands in 20E in
32
     the Glacier Mountain region. That's all south of the
33
     Yukon.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay. The question
36
     has been called. I'm going to ask for unanimous
37
     consent if that's okay with everybody. All those in
38
     favor of this modification please signify by saying
39
     aye.
40
41
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: All those opposed
44
     same sign.
45
46
                     (No opposing votes)
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none. Motion
49
    passes. Thank you, everybody.
50
```

```
0039
 1
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Charlie, I've got a
 2
    procedure question.
 4
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead.
 5
 6
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie. To
 7
    prevent having to put in SARs every time, can we work
    on a proposal for the next cycle or do we have to wait
 8
 9
     for a wildlife cycle?
10
11
                     MS. MCDAVID: You can work on a
    proposal at any time, but you wouldn't be able to put
12
13
     that proposal in until the call for proposals comes
14
     out. So there's not a particular advantage to doing it
15
     right now, but at your next winter meeting this time
16
     next year would be the perfect time to put that in.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I agree. You have
19
     time to craft it the way you want, so you have some
20
    time. Thank you.
21
22
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie.
23
24
                     MS. MCDAVID: One more procedural
25
     thing. We do now need a vote on the amended to support
26
    WSA24-01 as amended. Thank you. So we made the
27
     amendment, but now we have to adopt -- not adopt. We
28
     don't adopt. The Board is the only people that adopts.
29
    We have to support the amended.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay.
32
33
                     MS. MCDAVID: Okay. Yes. Support the
34
     amended Special Action Request should be the motion.
35
36
                     MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
37
     I'd be happy to make a motion to support the Special
38
     Action Request 24-01 in regards to Dall sheep hunting
39
     area closures.
40
41
                     MS. MCDAVID: As modified.
42
43
                     MR. BASSICH: Excuse me. Thank you.
44
    As modified.
45
46
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Second.
47
48
                     MR. BASSICH: Just speaking to the
49
    motion, I'll reference all the previous discussion on
```

```
0040
 1
     the Special Action Request. Thank you.
 2
 3
                     MR. UBELAKER: You can also -- sorry.
 4
     Brian Ubelaker for the record. If you're concerned
 5
     about this in the future and wanting to put in a
    proposal to close this outside of the Special Action
 6
 7
     timeframe, you can also request to extend this until
     the next regulatory cycle for the next two years rather
 9
     than just this season.
10
11
                     MS. MCDAVID: So you could withdraw
12
     your second and make another amendment. Don, could you
13
    withdraw?
14
15
                     MR. WOODRUFF: I could withdraw my
16
     second. Thank you.
17
18
                     MR. BASSICH: As the proposer of the
19
     action, I'd like to modify the action to extend the
20
     Special Action Request 24-01 until the next regulatory
21
     season. Thank you.
22
23
                     MS. MCDAVID: Cycle.
24
25
                     MR. BASSICH: Cycle.
26
27
                     MS. MCDAVID: Let's restate that in the
28
     form of a motion.
29
30
                     MR. BASSICH: For the record I would
31
     like to modify my motion for the Special Action Request
32
     24-01 to extend the closure until the next regulatory
33
     cycle in 2000 and....
34
35
                     MS. MCDAVID: Through 2025.
36
37
                     MR. UBELAKER: It would start in --
38
     yes, through 2025. The next regulatory cycle would
39
     start in 2026. So, yes, 2024, 2025.
40
41
                     MR. BASSICH: For the periods of 2024
42
     and 2025. Thank you.
43
44
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Second.
45
46
                     MR. BASSICH: Again, all the previous
47
     commentary on this topic. Thank you.
48
49
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Question.
50
```

```
0041
 1
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay. The question
 2
    has been called on the motion on the floor. So we'll
    ask for unanimous consent. All those in favor of the
    modified motion please signify by saying aye.
 5
 6
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: All those opposed
 9
    same sign.
10
11
                     (No opposing votes)
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none, motion
14
             Thank you.
    passes.
15
16
                     MS. MCDAVID: I think Don could
17
    reinstate his second for the other motion, which was to
18
    adopt WSA24-01 as modified.
19
20
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you. Yes.
21
22
                     MR. BASSICH: Mr. Chair, I call the
23
    question.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you. As
26
    modified again, I'll ask for unanimous consent on the
27
    modified motion again. All those in favor please
28
     signify by saying aye.
29
30
                     IN UNISON:
                                Aye.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: All those opposed
33
    same sign.
34
35
                     (No opposing votes)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none, passes
38
     unanimously. Thank you. Now we move on to 10(d).
39
     Thank you all for coming up and talking. I really
40
     appreciate you and your time. Review and approval
41
     FY2023 annual report.
42
43
                     MS. MCDAVID: Council members, I'll
     direct you to Page 73 of your Eastern Interior meeting
44
45
    books.
46
47
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Mr. Chair, I have a
48
    question.
49
```

0042 1 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead. 2 3 MS. SHOCKLEY: On the Annual Report's 4 background it says the Secretaries delegated this 5 responsibility to the Board. So it's a little bit 6 confusing, I think, for me when it says -- in the next 7 paragraph. The Board is required to discuss and reply to each issue in every Annual Report and to take 8 9 action. 10 11 MS. BURK: What page are you reading 12 from? 13 14 MS. SHOCKLEY: That's 71. It says the 15 Annual Report background. It's like, you know, we 16 write the letter to the Secretaries, right, and then 17 they give it back to the Board. It goes to the Board, 18 then goes to the Secretaries, then it goes back to the 19 Board and the Board takes action within the Board's 20 authority. 21 22 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: What's the question? 23 You want to know if that's true? 24 25 MS. MCDAVID: Sorry. I was 26 multitasking. Dorothy, I can try to respond and then 27 we might need leadership to jump in. I believe that 28 the Secretary has delegated that authority to the 29 Board, so that means that the Board is basically in 30 charge of reviewing and replying and taking action on 31 your Annual Reports. They go to the Board. I'm not 32 sure that they go to the Secretary because she's 33 delegated that to the Board. 34 35 MS. SHOCKLEY: I just wanted to clarify 36 that. 37 38 MS. MCDAVID: I'm going to ask for some 39 support here, please. 40 41 MR. VICKERS: This is Brent Vickers, 42 Office of Subsistence Management. I was doing 43 something about that Wildlife Special Action that just 44 passed. From my understanding you are asking -- or from what you read, the Council report -- sorry, lack 45 46 of better words -- is going to the Board or does it go

to the Secretary of Interior. Is that the question?

MS. SHOCKLEY: Yes, but also I guess my

47

48 49

ultimate question is who actually takes action.

MR. VICKERS: Takes action on the Annual Report. What kind of action are you talking about? Because the Annual Reports include a lot of things. You know, quite often they ask for the Board to take action, they ask to write a letter to the Secretary, they ask for just comments. I'm trying to get a better idea of what exact action you're talking about. Hopefully that makes sense.

MS. SHOCKLEY: From what I understand the Annual Report is our asks on action, on what we want to have done, because that's our only avenue of taking action. Correct? So when I read this it was like, okay, we write -- well, I don't know. Writing to the Board, write to the Secretary or some things are going to the Secretaries. And then they delegate the responsibilities to the Board. So I mean -- I mean the Board is ultimately responsible for taking action for all of our asks, correct?

MR. VICKERS: So my understanding -- and I'm going to get clarification on this. I'll be honest. I wasn't prepared to respond to these questions right now. The Board takes actions or tries to take actions at the very least on those things for which it can take action. Those things within its jurisdiction. It sees what it can do.

Basically tells the agencies that it affects BLM, Fish and Wildlife, what you are requesting and tries to get feedback and respond to you with what they were able to do with it. It quite often will say this is something that lies outside of our jurisdiction. Here's what steps we think you can take to do this. So ultimately, yes, it's the Board who is given the responsibility of responding and acting on your behalf.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I have a question, Dorothy. Is there another question in your question? Don't be shy.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Well, the way it's written it's just really confusing in regards to the background. I mean -- I don't know. As we get into the report I think it might be more clear as to who actually takes -- who's being addressed and who takes

action or the action we're requiring.

3 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. I think I understand what Dorothy is getting at here and I think from my interpretation, correct me, but she's wondering exactly what these actions are that the Federal Board is going to do and are they actionable items, like meaningful actions by the Federal Subsistence Board or are they informational.

 I think it's kind of a combination of both in areas where they have the ability to affect a change. They will take action, such as a proposal, game proposal or whatever, and then in other areas it's oftentimes informational like the Fisheries Monitoring Program or something like that.

So the reply is not always an action. Sometimes if they can make actions through proposals or management decisions, they can do that, but oftentimes a lot of what's in our report ends up being informational needs to help us guide them. Hopefully that clarifies or summarizes it fairly well.

MR. VICKERS: Brent Vickers, OSM. I think that summarized very well. The Board does what it can. There's a lot of things -- and I'm not speaking specifically to this Council. There's a lot of things in the Annual Report that are just outside of what the Board can do and there's a lot of things, as Member Bassich said, that are good -- keeps the Board updated on information from that region; climate change kind of stuff, changes that you want the Board to be cognizant of. But, yes, things that only really what it can act on a proposal or write a letter to the Secretary on your behalf or something like that that it actually has some capacity to do.

 MR. BASSICH: I'm just filling in on that. I think what's really important for Council members to understand is that for the Federal Board to take action we need to build a record for them, whether it's through our traditional knowledge and/or data collection. It takes both oftentimes to make meaningful actions at that level.

So oftentimes in our Annual Report we

are asking for data to be collected or information to be collected that then builds a record to allow them to make decisions and take actions. But all those things have to happen in unison for them to be able to take action without litigation coming by afterwards. Hopefully that summarizes it a little bit and clarifies it a little bit.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay. I think we were on the Annual Report at this time. So that's what we'll go back to and review and approve the Annual Report. Council Coordinator.

MS. MCDAVID: Thanks, Charlie. This is the Annual Report that I drafted based on your all's comments at the fall EIRAC meeting in Arctic Village. We went through the Board's replies to last year's Annual Report and you all did express some frustrations about the lack of actions. So we resubmitted almost all of the same topics this year and did try to get a little more specific about the actions or information that you would like the Board to take.

We did also decide to write a couple of letters at the last meeting because for some items — and this is a good example, Dorothy. Like you all had brought up the issue of cabin use for subsistence on Federal public lands, specifically BLM lands, and you wrote about that to the Board and the Board let you know that they don't have jurisdiction. BLM said that they didn't have a system in place to allow for the use of cabins for subsistence.

So you all decided to write a letter to the Alaska BLM Director requesting that they give you information on how you could change that so that you could implement a system. We have not yet got a response to that, but we did hear on the first day —the BLM Director, Steve Cohen, was on that panel of Board members and he did reference that cabin issue. So that made me hopeful that they heard you or he heard you and that there might be some discussions happening that we just haven't heard yet.

At this meeting, as you know, it's very compressed and we didn't have time on the agenda to invite all the agencies to give reports, but I'm sure that at our fall meeting they would -- we could invite them to come and discuss that further with us. So

that's just one example.

At this time for this action item on our agenda we could go through each topic if you guys want to make any edits or additional asks of the Board so that we could get this final draft submitted. How it works is after you all submit your final draft of your Annual Report in the summer, the Board holds a work session and they go over all the Annual Reports of all the Councils.

That is a really good time if you can attend that meeting or call in to provide more feedback and let the Board know just how important some of these issues are and be on the record specific at the Board meeting about what your asks are. In the past there hasn't been a lot of participation by Council members during those meeting, so that's something that we could change. Thanks.

So I don't know if it would be helpful if we just ran through each topic item real quick. I'll just read the topic and if there's any discussion from the Council about edits or additions to that topic you'd like to see. The first one is about sheep population declines and the need for coordinated survey efforts.

MR. WOODRUFF: I can speak to that, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. I think this is a real opportunity to put this in our annual letter to show that co-management is working and that more co-management would work better. That's where I think we're at.

MS. MCDAVID: We could also let the Board know that you did support that Special Action that you just voted on and Don had brought up earlier about the need for an Eastern Interior sheep management plan. If that's an addition you would like to add to this topic, we just need you guys to say that on the record.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. I would like added to -- I'm not sure exactly where. Maybe the last paragraph. But to use local knowledge as well.

MR. WOODRUFF: I'm a little fuzzy, but I think what Brooke said was very positive and we

0047 should put that in there. Thank you. 2 3 MS. MCDAVID: So currently we just had 4 referenced that you all were aware of the WIRAC's sheep management suggestions, but it sounds like you would 5 also like to see something similar for Eastern 6 7 Interior, so we can make that addition. Thanks. 8 9 Any more discussion on sheep? 10 11 (No comments) 12 13 MS. MCDAVID: Okay. Next topic, 14 Fortymile Caribou. 15 16 MS. SHOCKLEY: On the first sentence it 17 says the Council remains concerned about management of the Fortymile Caribou Herd or are we just concerned 18 19 about the Fortymile Caribou Herd? Do we want to leave 20 that management in or out? 21 22 MR. BASSICH: In. 23 24 MS. SHOCKLEY: In? Okay. And then on 25 the third paragraph I think it says more conservative 26 harvest strategy. I think that's too general. 27 Council recommends that a more conservative harvest 28 strategy be implemented in the immediate future. So do 29 we want to put a number in there? I mean is that..... 30 31 MR. BASSICH: So our Council has 32 actually two members on the Fortymile Coalition 33 Planning Team, Don Woodruff and myself. Don represents 34 the RAC and I represent the Eagle AC. That body is 35 what makes recommendations to management on harvest and 36 all aspects of the Fortymile Herd basically. 37 38 So I think what we are asking for -it's been two years, I believe, since we've had a 39 40 meeting, so we're asking very strongly through our AC, 41 we can reiterate that here, that that Fortymile 42 Coalition group meet given the population declines and 43 the trends in the Fortymile Herd so that we can 44 hopefully make some adjustment. 45 46 I think this is good wording and I 47 think the only thing we have to make sure that OSM is 48 aware of and the Federal Subsistence Board is aware of

is that we really need that coalition meeting to

49

happen. If they can apply any pressure or help that to come to fruition, that's going to be very important for that herd and our management.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Andy. We do include in paragraph two we request an update on when the coalition will meet. That might be a very delayed update if we wait for the Board to respond to this. We do have Jim Herriges online and he might be able to give us an update from BLM.

Jim, if you're listening online and able to respond, the Eastern Interior Council is interested to know if there are plans to have a Fortymile Caribou Coalition meeting this year. Thank you.

MR. HERRIGES: Hi. Yes, this is Jim Herriges. I have not heard of any plans to get a meeting pulled together. That is something that Fish and Game has always organized. Yes, it's been a couple years. It seems worthy of setting one up, but I can reach out to my cohorts there and get an answer for that.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you for that, Jim. I was just wondering if you could please try and emphasize in your discussion with Fish and Game that this is a very strong request. I'm talking unofficially with a number of people in the Tok region as well and they are also seeking the same thing. We need some pressure applied to make sure that the State of Alaska, ADF&G initiates that process as soon as possible.

Thank you.

MR. HERRIGES: I can say that in discussions with biologists there when they were putting together plans for this year they were considering trying to minimize cow harvest and allow a large enough bull harvest to provide harvest opportunities, but also not so large as to affect negatively the bull:cow ratios. To keep the bull:cow ratios in a good zone. That I think you could say is kind of their management strategy.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{They}}$$ did allow cow harvest in the winter hunt, but expected that that would not be more

than 50 cows. So the harvest has become more conservative, but there is still some cow harvest and there's still a considerable bull harvest.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you. I can give you a little update on the coalition's function. It's a multi-agency coalition. We have the Canadian government, Canadian tribes, the home ACs, which would be Delta and Tok and Eagle and those ACs. Southcentral is part of the Harvest Coalition, but they do not have a vote.

So we talked to Fish and Game at one of our AC meetings and they said the Canadians are not going to come to a Harvest Coalition meeting. So that totally holds us hostage for any progress in the future. The Harvest Management Plan expired in 2023, but I think Fish and Game still have a few tools in the toolbox.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Charlie. I would like to get on the record that the Fortymile Coalition was formed by the stakeholders within the Fortymile Caribou Region and it was formed for the reason that it was identified as such an important part of cultural food security for people in that region that they wanted their input in management directly. They wanted to work with the State and Federal agencies and the Canadians, all stakeholders.

So it's very imperative that the onus of management for the Fortymile Caribou Herd is not purely for ADF&G. It is for the stakeholders and that is that process and that is that process that has been touted as being one of the more successful programs for management in the past within the state of Alaska and they're failing to continue that process and involving stakeholders and that's what we're asking for.

It's a critical food source and it's affecting our region very dramatically with the lack of caribou. There are many of us who feel that management has not been doing a good job with their management decisions and we're losing a lot of confidence in their ability to manage that herd. So we want our input into that discussion on management.

Thank you.

MS. BURK: Andy, didn't they just do a drawing hunt on that, ADF&G, on the Fortymile this winter? There was an application due.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, my understanding was they -- this is a perfect example. The reason why they did a draw hunt is the area where the caribou are hanging out they recognized that it's road access and that they would not be able to control the harvest. So they opened it up for a draw permit to still continue to allow harvest even though the herd is declining and they don't have a full handle on the declines and the long-term impacts.

So it's another perfect example of opening up harvest in an area to allow harvest to happen for people that -- just because of caribou location. So they closed the region originally, recognizing they couldn't control the harvest and then they opened it up again hoping to still allow some harvest yet the herd is still in decline. They don't know quickly it's going to decline.

They can't answer questions for us on what numbers they feel is stable enough to have a long-term sustainable harvest. These are all questions that the Fortymile Coalition stakeholders need to address with the agencies to formalize a long-term sustainable resource for people in our region.

In regards to the Canadians not coming, that's their purview. If they want to come, they are more than welcome and they're an important part of it. If they choose not to come, still we need to make those decisions on that herd and the management strategies moving forward.

 We need a subsistence users sustainable — long-term sustainable access to the resource. We cannot have these up and down. This year you get it, next year you don't. That does not work for subsistence. I've said that for 22 years on this meeting, in this platform at every single meeting, so I'm going to state it again now. That is the fundamental killer for subsistence, not to have a sustainable resource to harvest. It does not work when you have this boom/bust cycling.

Thank you.

MS. BURK: Andy, they said the hunt was because of collisions. Is there actual data on collisions with caribou and traffic up there?

MR. BASSICH: Well, you know, I think it's -- if you look at the long-term history of the Fortymile Herd, both the Steese Highway where there's road access and the Taylor Highway are the areas where they've -- they try to be very cautious, but there have been multiple years documented where they have no control over the harvest because it is not a draw permit. They cannot control the amount of hunters in there. It's high-density hunting that takes place. The only thing they can control is time.

Oftentimes what has happened in the past with these fall hunts is that they open it up and two days later or three days later they have to close it because they've already harvested over the quota for that season. So it's a clear demonstration that in the road access areas where there is State access all users in the state can hunt.

There's such a tremendous volume of people that go into that area there's absolutely no way as a manager you know what your harvest is going to be because of the vast number of hunters in the area. Draw permits help that process, but the point that I'm making on this draw permit is there's still -- even knowing that the herd is declining, they're still allowing harvest to take place instead of taking and putting in place a precautionary principle when there are declines in resources.

This seems to be a systemic problem in ADF&G's management strategies in my opinion. They oftentimes continue to open up harvest even when resources are showing a decline. Then you get to a tipping point and you brought it up -- I'm going to continue for just a second because the point I wanted to make that you made earlier on in regards to the bison is that most species in Alaska that are very, very important for long-term sustainability for subsistence users are high-abundance populations.

Doesn't matter if it's fish or game. If it's caribou, they survive and they do very well because they have a buffer when they have high populations. If it's salmon or other species of fish,

0052 if they have high populations, they can withstand impacts by climate or harvest. Once a population drops down below a certain point, it cascades into a collapse very, very quickly and its rebound takes a very, very 5 long time. That is a fact. That's what we're 6 experiencing and that's what we need to change within 7 the management of Fish and Game in Alaska in my 8 opinion. 9 10 Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay, Andy. Would 13 you entertain the motion to reactivate the coalition 14 and to state that not only the Fish and Game should 15 manage that, but the other management regimes, being 16 BLM and the Feds, be part of it? 17 18 MR. BASSICH: Well, if I was to make a 19 motion, I would make a motion that the Department of 20 Fish and Game -- that OSM and the Federal Subsistence 21 Board strongly encourage the State of Alaska to conduct 22 the Fortymile Coalition meetings so that stakeholders 23 have input into a very important subsistence resource 24 for food security within the region. 25 26 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Is that a motion for 27 a letter? 28 29 MR. BASSICH: Yes, Mr. Chair, that 30 would be a motion for a letter. 31 32 MR. WOODRUFF: I'll second. 33 34 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay. Could you 35 restate that again for us. 36 37 MR. BASSICH: Oh, boy. Okay. 38 motion would be that the OSM and the Federal 39 Subsistence Board strongly urge the State of Alaska to 40 initiate another round of discussions through the 41 Fortymile Coalition so that all stakeholders have input 42 into a very important resource for food security within 43 their region. 44 45 MR. WOODRUFF: Second again. 46 47 MR. BASSICH: Speaking to the motion,

I'm just going to reference my previous diatribe.

48

```
0053
 1
                    MS. BURK: Ouestion.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: The question has been
 4
    called. I'm going to ask for unanimous consent on this
    motion made by Andy. All those in favor.
 5
 6
 7
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead.
10
11
                    MS. SHOCKLEY: I had a question.
12
    we're going to write a letter. A separate letter from
13
    what's in here?
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Yes.
16
17
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Are we going to change
18
    any of this, yes, to reflect that?
19
20
                    MS. MCDAVID: We certainly can and
21
    that's noted for the record, thanks.
22
23
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Yes, ma'am, noted for
26
    the record. It can be added and the letter can be
27
28
29
                    MS. MCDAVID: Okay. Are we good with
30
    Fortymile?
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: We have to vote
    first. We'll ask for unanimous consent on the motion
33
34
    on the floor. All those in favor signify by saying
35
    aye.
36
37
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: All those against
40
     same sign.
41
42
                     (No opposing votes)
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none. Moving
45
    forward. Thank you.
46
47
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: I have one comment on
48
    paragraph four of the Fortymile Caribou Herd in regards
49
    to the safety, hunter ethics. On the last sentence it
50
```

```
0054
    says, "However, due to the sheer number of hunters we
     still feel that more needs to be done in this regard."
    I would like to take out still feel and just say that
    more needs to be done.
 5
 6
                     MS. MCDAVID: We just included that
 7
    specific "still feel" because this is an issue that the
    Council has brought to the Board multiple times and it
 9
    hasn't changed, but it's up to the Council if you want
10
    to strike that or not.
11
12
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. I was just
13
     curious why that was there.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Since we're crunched
16
     for time I think we should move on if you wouldn't
17
     mind.
18
19
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you.
22
23
                     MS. MCDAVID: If you guys do have
24
     small, little grammar things that don't affect the
25
     intent and you want to point those out to me outside of
26
     this discussion, as long as it's not changing the
27
     intent, we can make grammatical and sentence structure
28
     changes.
29
30
                     Moving on to topic three, the Ongoing
31
     Yukon River Chinook and Fall Chum Salmon Crisis.
32
     Perhaps to help the discussion this is a topic that
33
     you've also brought to the Board multiple times. You've
34
     sent joint letters with other Yukon panels. You've
35
     asked the Secretaries to liaise with the Department of
36
    Commerce and you have not received a response on
37
    whether or not the Secretaries did that. You have
38
    requested here in this draft that you get an update on
39
    whether or not the Secretaries did actually meet with
40
    the Secretary of Commerce and discuss those issues that
41
    you raised. So I guess we're still waiting for a
42
    response.
43
44
                     Thanks.
45
46
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Add that too.
47
48
                     MS. MCDAVID: We can add that to this
49
     report. Thanks.
```

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Yes, sir.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. I think what I'd like captured most importantly is that -- I know this kind of sounds redundant, but I think the most important point that we're trying to get across is that we really need OSM to elevate this to the Secretary of the Interior and Commerce because there are a number of other action items such as what will come up in the hatchery discussion in regards to trying to seek international -- open up international discussions on the issues of the viability in marine environment.

So I think it's really important that the Federal Subsistence Board and OSM and all the entities involved on the Federal Subsistence Board through their agencies work together to stress to the Department of Commerce and Interior the importance of this and their hopefully quick action to begin to look into international discussions on the marine environment.

That's critical. That's an absolutely critical part to being successful in many people's view, not just my view. We have a lot of scientific data that shows that the marine environment is struggling and we're going to need this. This isn't something we can do as only the state of Alaska. This is something that's going to require our Federal government at the highest levels to work on. I just wanted to get that on the record.

Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: Okay. The next topic will be number four, OSM's inaccurate characterization of the impacts of Alaska Board of Fisheries proposals to subsistence. This is also a response. We're still waiting on you, voiced some concerns to OSM related to their comments on proposals and the Alaska Peninsula Board of Fish meeting last year for Area M proposals, and you've asked OSM to review their comment policy on Board of Fish proposals. I do believe we have a scheduled -- a really quick LT update later, but Brent could probably let you know now what the status of that is.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Yeah, go ahead.

MR. VICKERS: Brent Vickers, Office of Subsistence Management. Your comments were definitely heard. We've talked a lot about it. Pretty upsetting. So, you know, just to know really here how our policy of trying to keep comments to only direct impacts on subsistence is being heard by you guys.

So we are current -- we are working with the ISC, the Interagency Science Committee, the representative for subsistence from the five land management agencies. It's currently -- we're drafting a new policy. It's not done yet, but we are drafting a new policy to increase our capacity to make comments on your behalf to the Board of Fish and Board of Game for more indirect impacts if you will.

That draft policy is not out yet, but you will -- when we have a draft policy that's ready, it will be open to -- presented to your Councils and open for comments. That's really the only status update I have right now, but I'm here to take some more comments on that from you guys.

MS. BURK: I don't agree that the impacts specific to this Board of Fish last year were indirect. I feel like those were direct impacts.

MR. VICKERS: I'm sorry if I was confusing. We were only -- the policy was to only comment on direct impacts. We heard that you didn't like that and we're trying to change that policy. We're trying to make it as good as we can. Obviously working within the system, but we're trying to incorporate -- we are incorporating your comments. So we'll have a draft what the new policy can look like and you guys can comment on that draft when it's ready. Hopefully at least by your next fall meeting.

MS. BURK: I was at the Board of Fish meeting. Were you there when you heard OSM's comments? Sir, the comment was that this was out of our area and we had no comments at this time. So it was really completely disregarding what we were saying.

And then also I just want to point out and flag -- I don't know if we need to add another number in here, but since you're here and I don't want to make you come up again, similarly in the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council we have a U.S.

Fish and Wildlife seat that could voice and backup and support.

That person has not shown up for at least the past three North Pacific meetings and we have a huge agenda item coming up in April. It would be really great to have somebody present at that April meeting because if you're not, you're probably going to hear about it. So I just want to kind of prepare a little bit maybe and see if there's any way you guys can have some type of capacity.

You know, it is a complicated issue, so maybe -- I am the tribal seat for the advisory panel for the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council and I can be happy to answer any questions you might have or show you some of the data reports, written reports that you might need.

MR. VICKERS: Brent Vickers, Office of Subsistence Management. Thank you for those updates. As I tried to say before, when we heard your comments about the Board -- your comments about our comments to the Board of Fish or let's just say our lack of comments, and hearing it from your voice. Like I said, I read that and that's like, Jesus, like that's pretty hurtful. So we've taken that to heart and we're trying to do our best.

So I look forward to seeing the new policy and I look forward to seeing your comments on it because I'd really like to better represent you guys. I hope we can.

As far as the North Pacific Fisheries, you know, that seat is -- is anyone here from Fish and Wildlife that has any knowledge of that seat on the North Pacific Fishery Management Council? Hey, all right.

MR. RANSBURY: Just real briefly. My name is Shane Ransbury for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. That's definitely on our radar and we are planning on doing something, but I don't know exactly what at this time.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I got a question. Is that the people's seat? Can we take that too?

0058 1 (Laughter) 2 3 MR. RANSBURY: I just want to say real 4 briefly I don't know about that, but it's definitely 5 something that Holly has talked about a lot in

7 8

6

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. BASSICH: I guess maybe this might be appropriate. I was listening in on some hallway conversation with representatives from Fish and Wildlife Service and this was brought up and it was spoken to the fact that the entity that hasn't been showing up was not from Alaska, did not have much knowledge of Alaska issues and that they are working to try to resolve that by finding someone with a little bit more ability. Maybe a representative on the Federal Subsistence Board, but due to scheduling and

any like formal plans at this time.

21 22 23

attend.

24 haven't come up with a solution at this time due to the 25 fact that it may be multiple people, which my thought 26 in that process is if you really want someone to 27 advocate for you you need to have a very well-educated 28

29

35 36 37

34

38 39 40

41

42 43 44

45 46

47 48 49

50

person to advocate for you at that level. MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair. I just wanted to follow up because, Eva, you made a comment about

potentially adding another number to this Annual Report. Unfortunately at this meeting we can't add new topics to last year's Annual Report, but I've written that down as a topic you can add to the Annual Report for this year.

conversations I've had with her, so I do think that is

things like that oftentimes that person is not able to

So they're aware of it. However, they

something she plans on addressing, but we don't have

We can add items to that at this meeting and you'll finish up adding items at your fall meeting. So I've noted that down. Also that topic is included in that Joint Council letter that you guys will be talking about later. So that will be a more immediate way to get that heard by the Board.

Thanks.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. A quick question for you. You know, we are advisors to the Federal Subsistence Board. They are the entity and

OSM is the entity that basically advocates for our positions on a lot of this. So I'm just wondering in this report is it worth putting in under almost all of these bullet points that we seek for stronger advocacy from OSM and the Federal Subsistence Board.

I don't want to say that in a disrespectful way. I want that to be very clear. I really value this process and I really value the attempts and I understand the limitations, but I think as you're hearing over the past two days we're at critical points and we don't have a lot of time right now. Especially in regards to our chinook salmon on the Yukon River.

So we need to elevate advocacy to the extent possible. The highest extent possible. I don't know how to get that through. I can speak those words here. I don't know where it goes from here, but I think everyone in this room would probably agree from our Council that that's what we really need from OSM and the Federal Subsistence Board. We need them to go above and beyond what they're even capable of and challenge some of these systems that are in place so that we can get the decisions and the actions to take place that we need to save our resources.

I guess that's the best way I can say it, but I don't want to come across as you're not doing a damn thing for us because I know they are, but we're asking to elevate, take the next step, take some chances. I think, you know, being a person who lives out in the Bush you get your nose kicked in every now and then, but you've got to pick yourself up and learn from it and keep getting punched in the face sometimes until you get what you want. That's my way of working and I think all 10 RACs, if I could get that clear to them, I think everybody would sign on. That's what we're looking for from this program.

I listened to the testimony from a lot of people and I kind of cringe because a lot of it is direct criticism towards this process, but I think deep in their hearts they understand. They're frustrated and they understand this is the process that's set up to try and protect them as subsistence livers within the state and under the Federal program. They value that but they're frustrated with it.

I think it's because of that lack of deflecting. Oftentimes things are just deflected. Oh, sorry, we can't do that. Oh, sorry, that's a different agency. That process isn't working for us and that's what we need changed. Change is only going to happen at a very high level for it to work for us. That's what we need OSM and the Federal Subsistence Board to start working towards is helping to change this.

All the .804 -- I'm sorry, not .804. All the Federal subsistence processes were developed a number of years ago and things have changed a great deal since this was all ratified and put into place. We need the program to modify with the times is I think what I would like to have on the record and maybe hopefully get the Board and OSM to be aware of, which I'm sure they are.

Thank you. I'll stop there.

Sorry, Mr. Chair, for going on, but I think it's a really important part. I often think about long term. I'm always thinking about five, ten years from now. That's what I'm always working towards is five or ten years from now. Not next week. Not next season. I'm always thinking and working towards what are we going to have to do now because this process takes a long time and I recognize that.

 I've learned that after being here for 20 years. At the same time I've been speaking about hatcheries for 20 years now and we're still not there. We're starting to address it. We're still not there and we're really frustrated with that. Please pass that on in your talks with the people and I know you will. That's the best I can do in explaining some of the frustration I think most people are feeling right now.

MR. VICKERS: Thank you, Member
Bassich. I'll quickly -- I mean I understand. I'm
sitting -- forget everything else outside of this room.
Just sit in this room and you guys don't have
subsistence resources. You want food. So I understand
you wanting more from us.

I'll say that the co-stewardship symposium that was up in Fairbanks, I didn't have the opportunity to go, but Amy Howard, our Regional

Director, who is temporary Regional Director until we get a new one, she attended with Brooke and she came back and had a meeting with us and said basically we need to do more. We need to take more. We need to stop so much deflecting, punting, saying we don't know. She has ideas. She really wants to get into it.

We have this All-Council meeting to really focus on. We're going to make changes to what we can do. If it means making extra phone calls to people and finding out who to contact. Like let's do better. Let's do more. So that is something that's in OSM right now. If you want to make a report of it and add it to the report for next year specifically what we can do more of, suggestions, we'd be happy to hear them.

We can sit in our room in Anchorage and come up with all sorts of ideas, but it's you guys who need -- I mean it's affecting you people. I hear, you know. We want to make this a collaborative process, so your ideas coming from the actual lived experiences of the subsistence users are invaluable to how we're able to approach these things. So continue to comment on these. I will definitely at our next meeting say what you've said.

Kim Jochum from NPS had something she wanted to add that she remembered from a letter, so if you don't mind, speaking of Department of Interior.

MS. JOCHUM: Thank you. Kim Jochum, National Park Service. I'm on the Interagency Staff Committee as well. So I just wanted to maybe share with you that the Federal Subsistence Board did request an in-person meeting with Secretary Holland when she was here in November and she did accept. So they did meet in person for a few hours and it was I think a very meaningful meeting.

We did write a follow-up letter from the Federal Subsistence Board and the ISC and support staff. The number one topic on there was to advocate for ecosystem scale, collaborative salmon management in Arctic, Yukon-Kuskokwim and Southeast Alaska Regions that ensures continuation of subsistence uses. So that was the number one topic we were -- or the Board obviously was elaborating.

I just wanted to share that with you that that happened so we are hearing you and are trying to do more.

Thank you.

MS. BURK: Hi. I was also at the Co-Stewardship Symposium. I get around. One of the comments that I made there was that corporations were co-stewarding the lands. What I meant by that was multi-national corporations and also big local ones, big industry.

One of my recommendations when we had a breakout session with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service that Crystal Leonetti helped facilitate and one of the questions I asked people in there -- I said how many of you have actually gone to Board of Fish? How many of you have actually gone to North Pacific Fishery Management? Because at the end of the day the decisions made in those rooms have some of the biggest impacts on our people.

So even though we're doing all this awesome work to set up co-stewardship, it's not going to work unless it is ecosystem-wide. We're having meetings with NOAA and NPFMC and we brought it up to NOAA and NPFMC too. Like why are you not at Federal Subsistence Board to hear from the people on why you're impacting them. They were like that's a great idea. We should go to the Federal Subsistence Board.

So one thing I've been noticing is like I think there is like recognition that people need to work together, but because of lawsuits and bad history it's made it hard to develop those relationships and trust. It's kind of unfortunate that the co-stewardship talking circles that we're having here is like we kind of do need to have something like that and we do need to have a space to hash some things out. I think that is really important.

When it comes to salmon it's going to take all the people. It's going to take offshore, onshore, Federal, State and Tribal governments to make it happen. So I just wanted to note that. Even in the co-stewardship when we do a Special Action Request and the in-season manager for Federal subsistence program follows what the State of Alaska is doing and doesn't

work with tribes to set openings and closures, that's problematic. Those decisions were not intended to be made in a vacuum when we put in the SAR.

So I just wanted to kind of note the like operational kind of challenges that need to be sorted out as we're thinking about how do we really do co-stewardship and do it well.

So just wanted to add that.

Thanks.

MS. MCDAVID: Eva, I just wanted to follow up because co-stewardship is a topic in here and I think a lot of what you said could beef up that part of the Annual Report. We could certainly add to that based on some of your points there. I did also want to say, because Andy had some really strong comments, and I think that an opportunity to get those heard by others at higher levels is to make similar comments at Federal Subsistence Board meetings.

You know, a lot of times in our regional meetings it's a smaller group of people. There are opportunities for public comments at those meetings. There's opportunities for our Chair to give a regional report and voice some of the Council's concerns. So there is a board meeting coming up next month. There will be a work session this summer. So I just wanted to flag that also.

MR. BASSICH: Is there the opportunity for online commentary? Because oftentimes there isn't and oftentimes a lot of these meetings happen at times when I can't physically leave my place of residence due to environmental conditions. So I'm always happy to voice my opinion, as you all know, probably often sometimes too much.

I agree with what you're saying and I think the most important thing is for these agencies and these people higher up in the process to consistently hear it and eventually it's going to be so consistent that hopefully they won't tune out. They'll adapt is what I'm hoping for.

 $\,$ MS. MCDAVID: I'm going to bring us back to the Annual Report. Really great discussion

```
0064
 1
     everyone, but I want to make sure to keep us on track.
     So the next topic in the Annual Report is about
 2
     hatchery salmon and competition with wild salmon.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Andy.
 6
 7
                     MR. BASSICH: Do I have the floor, Mr.
 8
     Chair? Thank you. You know, I think one of the -- I'm
    happy with what's in here. The only thing I would
 9
10
    maybe add is some reference to some of the
11
    presentations being given at this meeting by Dr.
12
     Shindler and Mr. Rigaroni and McMillen. I think that
13
    might be really important. I think if more people were
14
    to really read that and understand some of those
15
    presentations this would be a no-brainer, but obviously
16
    there's also political aspects of it.
17
18
                     That would be my only addition on
19
    hatcheries is that maybe the inclusion of those two
20
     reports be somehow in here so that if a Board member or
21
     somebody wanted to access that they could.
22
    Thank you.
23
24
                     MS. MCDAVID: Thanks for that
     suggestion, Andy. I do see a footnote for one of
25
26
    Rigaroni's papers, but we can certainly add more
27
    references.
28
29
                     MR. WOODRUFF:
                                   Thank you. On the
30
     second sentence it says particularly concerning the
31
     vast numbers of pink salmon. Can we change vast to 1.9
32
    billion?
33
34
                     MS. MCDAVID: We sure can. Thanks for
35
    the edit.
36
37
                     MR. WOODRUFF:
                                    Thank you.
                                                We all
38
     learned that this morning.
39
40
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Mr. Chair. On the
41
     second paragraph where it says reduce hatchery
42
    production. In my experience I think it's important
43
    that we put a percentage, a number there. If we just
44
     say reduce, I mean that's like -- well, you know, I
45
     think it would have more meaning or more teeth if you
46
    put a percentage.
47
48
                     MS. BURK: I support that, but you also
```

have to look at each hatchery individually. There's

49

also a lot of hatchery chum, especially in the Gulf of Alaska. So it's kind of hard to put that number blanket. One number that I have seen that I still don't know the status of the proposal that was just in front of Upper Cook Inlet at the Board of Fish.

MR. BASSICH: Forty-three.

MS. BURK: Forty-three. And they asked for a 25 percent production. Andy, was that at a specific hatchery?

MR. BASSICH: No.

MS. BURK: No, just in the Upper Cook Inlet area wide?

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. I believe that was statewide reduction. I guess if I could maybe add to that. I think one of the things we have to be very careful of is that if we're going to be successful into the future, we have to recognize that many of the commercial entities who are Alaska stakeholders, Alaska residents rely on hatchery production for their commercial harvest.

So it's going to be a very delicate balancing act to reduce hatchery production and still not cut the feet out from under fellow Alaskans. That's the real challenge that we have in this issue. So I think trying to put numbers on something right now is maybe a little bit premature. I think we need to have some discussions statewide and then address that.

I'm quite comfortable saying hatchery reductions because if I was a commercial fisherman -- I'm putting myself in their shoes. If I was a commercial fisherman and my livelihood relied on hatchery production, I would be very put out by any threat of hatcheries being closed down.

A lot of times when you say hatchery reduction people think you're trying to close them down. That's not what we're trying to do. We recognize the need for that. So I think we're a little premature. We're not quite there where we need to make these adjustments for long-term sustainability of salmon within the region. Within the state I should say.

I don't know. It's a good point and I think in another year, maybe a year and a half, it would be smack on. I think it's a little premature right now. So I'm comfortable with the wording from my perspective, but that's up to the Council.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Just for a little more context. Before the Bristol Bay sockeye were booming most of our salmon fishing economy was because of hatchery. So it's a very touchy subject. I just wanted to add that.

MR. BASSICH: Maybe just for the record if you look at some of the reports that we're having during this meeting you'll see a crossover of hatchery production and then salmon declines. They cross over at a certain point. That happened in approximately 1980, early '80s.

So that's something that my mind draws to as maybe a happy medium, but, like I said, we're not there yet. We need a lot more discussion. I think a lot more people need to be educated and we need to have a lot of discussions with the commercial entities who are Alaskan residents who depend on that. Ultimately we're all going to have to work together on this to create a long-term sustainable salmon fisheries in the state of Alaska.

 So we don't want anybody to go away. We want everybody to get along and we want everybody to benefit. That takes time, a lot of discussion. It's going to take a lot of -- I think it's going to take a lot of introspective thought from everybody involved to really come to the proper way to achieve that.

MS. BURK: If I may. So there is talk about -- the governor and the Commissioner, Department of Fish and Game, are talking about hatcheries on the Yukon. So does this sort of address that?

MR. BASSICH: Well, if you want my opinion I think everybody knows my position on hatcheries. These presentations going on are a direct correlation to me that hatchery production affects wild stocks and the only stocks we have on the Yukon River other than a small mitigation hatchery in White Horse is wild stocks. That's one of the reasons we're —that's probably in my mind one of the largest impacts

as to why we've had this long decline.

I think it's been going on a lot longer than we realize. We're just seeing the end results of it right now. But I think hatchery production, as I said, if you look at that, it looks like it's been having a long, slow impact. We're just at a tipping point now because of climate change. I don't know. I guess that's all I can really say to that, Dorothy, at this point.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Well, I understand. I mean hatcheries have not been good to our natural stocks. In working with the -- what was that plan? Comprehensive Salmon Plan. I mean, you know, we voted and said zero hatcheries. I don't know where the Commissioner and the Governor are coming from in regards to hatcheries on the Yukon, but I just want to make sure that if we can cover that, that's fine.

MS. MCDAVID: Real quick. I just wanted to address what Dorothy -- I think what you're trying to get at Dorothy. Would you like to see the Council add something in here about your all's position about hatchery on the Yukon? If that is what the Council desires, you can do that.

One other thing I want to say is U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service does have a position paper about hatchery on the Yukon in opposition. I believe that work was done by Randy Brown several years ago. That's something that I could definitely send you guys if you're interested and we can reference that in here as well.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Just for clarity, the Comprehensive Plan said no large scale hatcheries for the next 20 years, until the next revision of that Comprehensive Plan. I think that's what it stated.

MS. BURK: Dorothy, I am following this issue that you're referring to. It's with the House Bill 169. It's permitting the take of eggs for in-stream incubation. That I think is where you're getting that idea. They're saying that by doing this in-stream incubation it gets past the large scale hatchery language that's in the Comprehensive Plan. So

it's really important that we follow this bill.

There are people working with the creators of this bill so that they know, one, there's not a lot of money in the State budget to do this project and, two, if you're going to do this taking of eggs there has to be significant money and a set-up to do monitoring and assessment to see how well they do.

So it's definitely an issue, but the venue is there. So if you talk to those people, Maxine Diver and other representatives, one suggestion was to start just talking to the fisheries committee that's at the Legislature and getting information to them from us. The Yukon River Intertribal Fish Commission at this time does not support this or a hatchery.

 MR. BASSICH: I'll just remind the Federal Subsistence Board, OSM and every other entity that we have an international treaty through the Yukon River Panel that states there will be no large-scale hatchery production on the Yukon River. That's in the treaty.

I also just want to make sure that everyone understands it doesn't matter how many hatcheries you build, if you're sending fish out to a desert they're going to come back hungry or they're not going to come back at all. That is exactly why our fish are not returning, why they're returning early, why they're returning hungry and why they're dying off before they get to the spawning grounds. There's a lot of scientific research that's beginning to show that that is, in fact, true.

I think that will be -- well, the problem is a lot of people will take a peer-reviewed paper and even if there's 10 they'll maybe point to the one that says it's not true and there will always be one or two that say it's not true. So that's the problem we're up against right now. It's more political than anything else. Scientific research shows that the Bering Sea, Gulf of Alaska have reached their carrying capacity.

So it doesn't matter how many hatcheries you build, you're going to send fish out there. I think, you know, I've stated in one of these meetings that one fish was close to five or six

```
0069
    thousand dollars to get it back. Well, that's not
     sustainable at all on any level. Anyway. I could go
     on on that topic for a long time.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead, Dorothy.
 6
 7
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. I went on a river
 8
     trip with TCC and other folks to the Lower Yukon and
 9
     the Commissioner of Fish and Game was there. He
10
     brought up hatcheries at every single village saying
11
     this is what they're thinking about to help the
12
     fisheries on the Yukon. So it's on the radar. That's
13
     what the governor is proposing.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I heard stated and
16
     stated myself that we'd just be adding to the problem.
17
     We've seen the science this morning and it's clear.
18
19
                     MS. MCDAVID: Just a point of
20
     clarification. Since you do want to add your Council
21
     position on hatcheries in the Yukon, I just want to be
22
     clear. Do you want to say no large-scale hatcheries or
23
     just none, blank? Could you turn on your mic and get
24
     that on there for the record. Thanks.
25
26
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: No hatcheries.
27
28
                     MR. BASSICH: And I will add no
29
     incubation boxes. We are opposed to small-scale
30
     incubation boxes as well.
31
32
                     MS. MCDAVID: Do we have consensus from
33
     everybody on that? You can just nod your heads.
34
35
                     (Council nodding)
36
37
                     MS. MCDAVID: Okay, I'm seeing yes.
38
     Thank you. Mr. Chair, do you want to move on to the
39
     next topic or do you think we need a little break?
40
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I want to give people
41
42
     the option in the room to get a cup of coffee and use
43
     the bathroom. So we'll just make it as fast as we can.
44
     Run.
45
46
                     (Laughter)
47
48
                     (Off record)
49
```

0070 1 (On record) 2 3 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay, we're coming 4 back to order now. We'll continue with the reports --5 the annual report, thank you. 6 7 MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 Yeah, maybe we can try to speed -- speed up our discussion a little bit. I know these are like the 9 10 most important issues for the Council, but for the next 11 item, Item No. 6, in your annual -- in your draft 12 annual report was the need for updated moose counts 13 along the Yukon River Corridor in Unit 20F. And after 14 the last meeting you did write a letter to Fish and 15 Game asking them to provide information, if possible, 16 and we have also received a report from Nowitna Refuge 17 about recent moose survey data. So I know there's not 18 really time to go through those reports at this 19 meeting, we might be able to schedule an informational 20 call sometime with Nowitna if you're interested in 21 hearing more about what's in this report, or we could 22 certainly invite them to the fall meeting to discuss 23 this further especially since we have a wildlife cycle 24 coming up next year. 25 26 MS. SHOCKLEY: Mr. Chair. I'd like to 27 add Manley if that's possible. Because it says Rampart 28 and Tanana, I'd like to add Manley. 29 30 MS. MCDAVID: Thanks, Dorothy, we can 31 certainly do that. 32 33 Okay, moving on, food security -- food 34 insecurity and food sovereignty. Any additions, edits. 35 36 MS. SHOCKLEY: Mr. Chair. In -- I'm 37 not sure exactly where we might be able to add this but it was brought to my attention earlier today in regards 38 39 to the ANS, amounts necessary for subsistence, and if we could recommend changing it from the lower end to 40 41 middle, medium, middle, like the king salmon is 45,000 42 to 66,000 roughly, and if we could maybe change that so 43 that it's not -- so we're not dealing with minimum 44 amounts, we're dealing with more amounts so that we have more fish to subsistence -- to fish for 45 46 subsistence. 47 48 MS. MCDAVID: Dorothy, are you talking

about ANS for salmon or escapement goals?

49

```
0071
 1
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: ANS for subsistence, for
 2
    fishing.
 3
 4
                     MS. MCDAVID: Just so you know, the
 5
     Federal Program doesn't use ANS, it's a State -- it's
 6
     something....
 7
 8
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Right, I understand
 9
     that.
10
11
                     MS. MCDAVID: ....that's something
12
     used by the State so just to add that on.
13
14
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: I understand that.
15
    Yeah, I understand that.
16
17
                     MR. BASSICH: Mr. Chair. Maybe
18
     addressing Dorothy -- Ms. Shockley's concerns. I'm not
19
     sure if you're aware but the Yukon River Panel
20
    basically has an escapement goal now of 71,000 fish for
21
    the next seven years, which will, in all likelihood,
22
    and almost guaranteed mean that there will be no
23
    directed harvest of chinook salmon on the Yukon River.
24
     So anything referring to harvest needs, ANS, whatever,
25
     are almost a moot point at this point. This is a
26
    severe conservation action to be taken to try and keep
27
    chinook salmon from extirpation.
28
29
                     So just informational but that might
30
    address what your concerns are. There will be no
31
    directed harvest more than likely of chinook salmon on
32
     the Yukon River for one life cycle.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: He's referring to the
35
     agreement from the -- and it's 71,000 for rebuilding
36
     purposes because the egg sacs in the fish and the fish
37
     are so small, I think that was the whole thought behind
38
     it.
39
40
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: But in order for us to
41
     feed ourselves....
42
43
                     MR. BASSICH: Better start hunting
44
    moose. That's sad to say.
45
46
                     (Conversation Shockley/Burk no
47
    microphones)
48
49
                     MS. MCDAVID: We need mics please.
50
```

MS. SHOCKLEY: But we don't want that, we want fish, we want salmon. And I know that the numbers, you know, I mean we tell that story but at the same time if we could recommend, you know, amount necessary for subsistence, a change in those numbers. I mean I know people are fishing, they are getting salmon. I mean we, you know, if you use a 4 inch mesh you can and do catch fish. I mean you catch salmon and people are harvesting them. I mean that's common knowledge. So if we were to change the number, or recommend a change in the number.....

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: You could.

MR. BASSICH: I'll address that.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Dorothy. I think, you know, you are exemplifying the need for subsistence and traditional foods for people, the unfortunate reality is is that resource is no longer even there. It's gone. You can't afford to take any, no matter what. I know people are fishing, that's what we need to change if we want to save them, in my opinion, and in many people's opinion. So the reality of the situation is we have to make a change as people on the river. Do we want to save salmon for the future or do we want to fish them to extinction. Because that's exactly the two decisions we have to make right now, and you can't have both. It's one or the other. And that's what we need to educate the people on the river to understand. If you want salmon now, well, just keep doing what you're doing, but you're not going to have them in one life cycle from now and you'll never have them again because they'll be extirpated. That's the reality of the situation, sadly.

So wanting is one thing, the reality is you have to make that choice as an individual. That's the bottom line for the future, and for the foreseeable future.

MS. EVANS: It's Linda Evans. It's really hard for me to think that I can't put salmon on my table but there's fishermen out in the ocean able to fish, you know, and catch that bycatch, they catch salmon and what they do with it, I don't know. But that's really a hard thing to swallow, you know. We

can't put fish on our table for our families but there's people out there with big boats and trawlers and stuff catching fish for commercial purposes. It seems to me like if we have such a decline in our fish where we can't even fish then I don't know why other people are allowed to fish.

Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: Linda, I think your point could be emphasized in this about the, you know, we keep hearing over and over about this burden of conservation being placed on subsistence users and how that is really causing this food insecurity and we could make that point stronger in this topic.

MS. EVANS: I agree.

MS. BURK: I think it's really important to note too, that where this fishing is occurring, where the bycatch and the intercept is occurring, there are a number of other species that can be fished on. There are a number of other species that can be consumed as subsistence and we just don't have those species in the Interior and that's why the criteria is we have no other means for subsistence. Those are our species that come in and they're all down, moose, salmon, caribou, are all down. So I think that's just important to note that too, like these fishermen that are taking things, there are other opportunities for them, they have another choice and we don't.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ MCDAVID: Thank you all for that discussion.

The next topic is co-stewardship and co-management. I did note in here that you would like to see more co-management and that you request some information on current co-man -- sorry -- co-stewardship projects that the Federal agencies in your region are doing. Also noted that at the fall meeting you guys identified two opportunities for co-stewardship that you'd like to see the Refuges take action on and that is working with Arctic Village on the sheep -- Arctic Village sheep management, and also Yukon Flats working with tribes and communities more because of the complexing of the Refuges and the decreased -- the decrease in their Staff.

0074 1 So any other things that you'd like to 2 add to this. 3 4 Thanks. 5 6 MS. SHOCKLEY: I'd just like to say we 7 discussed co-stewardship in the meeting, the Program as it is now is -- we discussed it in our protecting our 8 9 way of life working group with First Alaskans and then 10 just this morning, again, we talked about it and, you 11 know, the term co-stewardship is not what it says it 12 is. You know I'm not sure exactly -- you know, I think 13 that the Federal -- I don't know the regulations or the 14 policies that are set up for that, but from what we 15 understand and we had an attorney look at it, it's an 16 advisory posi -- or advisory at best and according to 17 the Kuskokwim Fish Commission it really doesn't have 18 any teeth, you know, it's, I think, co-stewardship is 19 being misused and it's, you know, something that I 20 think, as indigenous people we know what co-stewardship 21 means, and from what, you know, the Federal or whoever, 22 you know, the policies, this is not what we have in 23 mind. So. 24 25 MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Dorothy. Does 26 the Council concur that should be reflected. 27 28 (No comments) 29 30 MS. MCDAVID: Okay. 31 32 MS. EVANS: What if we just say 33 stewardship and management. 34 35 MS. BURK: I think you really want to 36 leave co-stewardship and co-management in there because 37 they're referring to the cooperative agreements. 38 like a -- I know for our own terms it makes sense to 39 say stewardship and management, but co-stewardship and 40 co-management have specific definitions for -- co-41 stewardship is like lands and waters, and then co-42 management is like a specific agreement, like the 43 marine -- like the whaling -- the Alaska Eskimo Whaling 44 Commission. 45 46 MS. MCDAVID: So what I'm hearing,

Dorothy -- I think we might have too many mics on, yeah

this idea of co-stewardship, it sounds great if there's

-- so what I'm hearing Dorothy say is that, you know,

49 50

47

```
0075
 1
     actual equal partnership and right now that's not
     happening and more needs to be done to make that
 2
     happen, changes need to be made.
 4
 5
                     MR. BASSICH: Yeah, but I think that
 6
     takes time and we're in the process of trying to make
 7
     that happen in a number of different entities in
     regards to wildlife -- fish and wildlife. So the
 8
     process is in place, we're just emphasizing that here
 9
10
     and asking the Federal Subsistence Board to continue to
11
    work towards co-stewardship and co-management.
12
     think, you know, this is pretty good. So like some of
13
    these other topics we're not quite there yet but we
14
    need to keep pressure and we need to keep the topic in
15
    the forefront.
16
17
                     MS. MCDAVID: Okay. Great discussion
18
     on that and some points that you noted, we can add that
19
     to the new draft -- to the final draft.
20
21
                     Yes?
22
23
                     MR. VICKERS: Whenever this
24
     conversation is done. Just to note that people have
25
     requested to speak closer to the mics, sometimes you're
26
     getting far away and it's not being heard.
27
28
                     Thank you.
29
30
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Just to add, you know,
31
     using local knowledge, local -- well, I don't know if
32
     we can use indigenous knowledge in regards to
33
     traditional stewardship.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So noted. That sounds
36
     good. (No microphone)
                              Andy.
37
38
                     MR. BASSICH: And then on (no
39
    microphone)
40
41
                     REPORTER:
                               Andy.
42
43
                     MR. BASSICH: Sorry, in the first
44
     paragraph, second line there it says incorporation of
45
     local and traditional knowledge into fish and wildlife
46
    management so that's in there right now.
47
48
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Yeah, but (no
```

50

microphone).

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay, let's move on or we're going to be here all night.

MS. MCDAVID: Okay. Hunter ethics. I noted some things in here that the hunter ethics working group of this EIRAC has put forth that they would like to see done. And we were able to have one short working group meeting, there's ideas still in development, we're hoping to meet again before the Board meets this summer. There just wasn't a whole lot of time to make this a big priority prior to this All-Council meeting. So it's definitely still something this Council is trying to keep the ball moving on so there's more work yet to be done on that.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I would like to be included with that next time so if there's some way that it might help through the programs and where I work. We might be able to add something to that.

MS. MCDAVID: All right, Charlie, we'll make sure you get included on that working group, and it's open to any Council members that want to participate.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: Okay. Next is climate change impacts. You guys have talked a lot about this, the need for, you know, more precautionary management and looking at longer term management trends and, you know, changes you're seeing in the region. You've asked to be briefed on how the agencies — the Federal agencies within your region are monitoring climate change impacts and adapting management and how local observations and traditional knowledge is being incorporated into that research and monitoring. So we will hopefully get some feedback on some of the work that's being done related to that.

(Pause)

MS. MCDAVID: Not seeing anyone jumping up. The next is a topic we included last year, support for more culture camps and youths. You've posed a question to the Board about how to potentially get funding through FRMP that's not tied to research projects, funding that could specifically be earmarked for education and culture camps as stand-alone

projects.

The next is the use of cabins on Federal public lands. Primarily BLM lands in your region, but you've requested that BLM -- you've sent a letter to BLM separately of this, you're requesting feedback from them on how to get that process changed and initiated and you've asked them to work with the other Federal agencies to make sure that there aren't inconsistencies on the ability to use cabins on the different public lands.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I'd just like to say that I ran into Steve Cohn in the hallway the other day and he told me -- assured me that they were working on it and we would hear from him soon.

MS. MCDAVID: Great to hear, thanks.

MR. WOODRUFF: Charlie.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Go ahead.

MR. WOODRUFF: I was talking to BLM Staff a couple days ago walking over here, and they admitted that they're not adhering to ANILCA, which is a subsistence priority for their cabin permit process, or any other cabin permits, so they're in violation of ANILCA as far as I'm concerned.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Well, I'll say I don't know what way they're working on it.

MS. MCDAVID: Well, it's great to hear that they're working on it. I know that's something that you guys have really wanted to see so, good job, on helping get that action going.

The next item on the report is the potential impacts to wildlife about the mines, the trucking, the increased trucking on the highways, the Alaska, Richardson, Steese Highways by -- Ken Ross Gold from Tetlin, I know that mine isn't Ken Ross, it's Manh Choh but you're a little bit concerned about increased collisions, wildlife, because of all that trucking and you're -- and you want that to be monitored and mitigated and you're asking how that's going to be

0078 monitored and mitigated. 2 3 MR. BASSICH: Yeah, and I don't know if 4 we need to put this specifically in there but I think it'd be really important to see the creation of a dataset that shows a past history of collision of 6 7 moose. I don't think caribou are so much a problem in that area but moose. So if we could see a history of 9 what that death rate is through collisions, and I think 10 that would serve as a good starting point to 11 potentially, if we need to, have datasets into the 12 future. It's just long-term datasets are really 13 important when you want to track something that's very 14 subtle. So I think it's -- that would be, just in that 15 brief, I don't know if we need to put that in this wording but for those of you here in the room with OSM, 16 17 that -- I think that would be very beneficial. 18 19 MS. MCDAVID: It's good to be specific 20 so I can certainly add that language. Thanks, Andy. 21 MR. BASSICH: I guess to word that 22 23 would be, in the brief, long-term numerical history of 24 moose incidents on the highway between Tok and 25 Fairbanks. 26 27 MS. MCDAVID: And I know there aren't 28 always a lot of caribou crossing the highway but it 29 might be nice to know for that, too, it was -- that was 30 brought up for the fall. 31 32 MR. BASSICH: It doesn't hurt to bring 33 it up but I'm not really sure that there's a lot in 34 that region there. The Nelchina Herd is a little bit 35 farther south and the Fortymile Herd doesn't really go 36 over there, but there may be incidental. 37 38 MR. WOODRUFF: At the ungulate conference, we learned a little bit about barriers to 39 40 migration of wildlife and disruption on the one, two, 41 three, fifth -- fifth line down, if we could insert the 42 disruption to migration. If we could put barrier 43 instead of disruption, I think that will increase the 44 potential impact and also reflect modern science. 45 46 Thank you. 47 48 MS. MCDAVID: Thanks Don. I can 49 definitely change that language, and I can even add a

0079 footnote. You guys have cited those studies before in previous letters so thanks. Noted. 4 All right, the last topic is request 5 for updated technology at Council meetings and, you 6 know, there's been some discussion going on at OSM 7 about how we can accommodate that so we're working on it. That's all I can really say at this point. 9 10 But if there's any changes that you 11 guys would like to see to your annual report, please 12 note them now. 13 14 MR. BASSICH: Do you want a motion, do 15 we (no microphone). 16 17 MS. MCDAVID: Yes, let's have a motion, 18 please, to adopt the annual report with the 19 modifications mentioned. 20 21 MR. BASSICH: Okay, thank you, Mr. 22 Chair. I'd like to make a motion that the Eastern 23 Interior RAC adopt the annual report with the additions addressed in this discussion to be presented to OSM, 24 25 the Federal Subsistence Board. 26 27 MR. WOODRUFF: Second. 28 29 MR. BASSICH: I think we have a very 30 comprehensive annual report and I feel very comfortable 31 with it and I think it's -- I look forward to the 32 responses and hopefully some action out of this. 33 34 MS. MCDAVID: You guys have the longest 35 annual report of any of the Councils. I don't know if 36 that's an award you want to celebrate or not but thanks 37 for all the work you make me do. 38 39 (Laughter) 40 41 MR. BASSICH: I was just going to say 42 we're giving you job security. 43 44 (Laughter) 45 MR. WOODRUFF: I think the Board will 46 47 understand that we're really concerned about food security for our people. We can't just eat rabbits and 48 49 muskrat, it ain't going to work.

0800 1 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: And we're heavily impacted by highway and roads and we are losing all of 2 our food. All of the animals and fish we eat is dwindling fast in our area. So I think you're going to 5 have more work going into the future. 6 7 Can we call for question. 8 9 MR. BASSICH: Question. 10 11 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay. I'm going to 12 ask for unanimous consent for the motion on the floor. 13 All those in favor signify by saying aye. 14 15 IN UNISON: Aye. 16 17 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: All those opposed, 18 same sign. 19 20 (No opposing votes) 21 22 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Hearing none, moving 23 Thank you for all your hard work. forward. 24 25 Okay, we're going to move on to 10E, 26 fisheries special action request, FSA24-01 Yukon 27 salmon, OSM fisheries. 28 29 MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chair and members of the Council my name is Cory Graham and I'm a Fisheries 30 31 Biologist with OSM. I'm presenting Fisheries Special 32 Action Request FSA24-01. The request can be found on 33 Page 111 of your Council book. And we don't have an 34 analysis so we're just going to be presenting the 35 request today. 36 37 So today we're requesting your Council make a recommendation to the Federal Subsistence Board 38 39 regarding this special action request. The special 40 action request was submitted by the Yukon River 41 InterTribal Fish Commission. The Commission is asking 42 the Board to uphold the conservation and priority 43 consumptive use provisions under Title VIII of ANILCA 44 and uphold the Yukon River Salmon Agreement by reassuming management of Yukon River chinook, chum and 45 46 coho salmon throughout the 2024 season. It's 47 requesting the Board do this by closing Federal waters

of the Yukon River drainage to the harvest of chinook,

chum, and coho salmon except by Federally-qualified

48

49

subsistence users in 2024 and requiring Federal subsistence fishing schedules, openings, closures and fishing methods within Federal waters be determined by the Federal Fisheries Manager.

Because you have the request I'm just going to briefly summarize the proponent's justification.

Yukon River chinook, summer chum and fall chum and coho salmon populations have steeply declined resulting in a failure to meet applicable escapement goals and Treaty obligations in recent years. Subsistence opportunity for salmon has been severely restricted and subsistence salmon needs are not being met. Needs are unlikely to be met in 2024 based on preseason forecast and recent years run strength. Salmon runs have not improved since the Board approved a similar special action request in 2022. Any Federal management actions to open or close subsistence fishing in Federal waters of the Yukon River must uphold provisions of ANILCA and the Yukon River Salmon Agreement, and the proponents stated they are very concerned with a precedent set in 2023 wherein the actions of the Federal Manager were solely reactive to management actions by the State of Alaska, an entity, as a matter of State Constitutional law cannot provide a rural subsistence priority.

The proponents continue that preemptive closure of Federal waters to non-Federally-qualified subsistence users and uses and assumption of Federal management is both warranted and required as necessary for the conservation of declined populations of Yukon River salmon while providing a reasonable and sustainable subsistence harvest based on ANILCA, Section .815.

So there was a summary of the proponent's justification for submitting the request. We are presenting the request to all Councils with a customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Yukon River drainage so this includes Eastern Interior, Western Interior, Yukon Kuskokwim Delta and Seward Peninsula Councils and you all are being asked to make recommendations to the Board.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{OSM}}$ is in the process of scheduling public hearings and tribal consultations. A public

hearing has been scheduled for March 20th from 5 to 7 p.m., the public hearing will be held via teleconference and more information regarding this will be posted on OSM's web page and I believe Brooke will forward you the information.

So for the last, we're updating an analysis -- or we're providing an updated analysis to the Board to be presented to them. The Board is tentatively scheduled to act on this special action request on April 15th from 1 to 3 p.m., and you will also be provided more detailed information in the near future.

So thank you for your time. Again, this is an action item and we're asking you to make a recommendation to the Board to either approve, reject, modify the special action or to defer the special action request to the next fisheries regulatory cycle. Again, this special action request closing Federal waters of the Yukon River drainage to the harvest of chinook, chum and coho salmon except by Federally-qualified subsistence users in 2024 and requiring Federal subsistence fishing schedules, openings, closures and methods within Federal waters be determined by the Federal Fisheries Manager.

And I'll standby to answer any questions you may have.

Thank you.

MR. BASSICH: I'd like to make a motion that we support the special action request presented to us here by the InterTribal Fish Commission to the Federal Subsistence Board.

MS. EVANS: Second the motion.

MR. WOODRUFF: I second, as written, right Andy?

41 ri

MR. BASSICH: I guess speaking to the motion I kind of -- I understand why this was submitted. Obviously with the agreement that was done at the Yukon River Panel meeting, in some ways it makes it a moot point because there probably will not be any openings but I do foresee the value of this special action request in law enforcement. So I will be in

support of passing this.

 MS. SHOCKLEY: Mr. Chair. I have a question in regards to Federally-qualified subsistence users. How do you clar -- or how do you -- or how do they qualify, I guess, in regards to that, and -- but the numbers need to be if there's any other -- you know, if there's other criteria?

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: (No microphone)

MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, maybe I'll just take a quick stab at that for the sake of time and, please, correct me if I'm missing something. But basically to qualify for Federally-qualified user, to be one, most of it depends on where you live. So if you live in Fairbanks you will not qualify, if you live in Anchorage, there are some areas in the Kenai Peninsula and I believe down in Southeast, possibly in Juneau, but it's primarily based on your location of your residency.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: So at this time I have a blue card. At this time I have Stanley Pete wants to address the Regional Advisory Council and now he can come up, unless you guys have more to add, but we want to give him a chance to speak because he has someplace he needs to be. Come on.

MR. PETE: Thank you, Chairman and fellow Board members for this opportunity. I've sat through your report as it goes to show the absolute affection you guys have for the people of your region, very impressive, one of the longer reports I had to sit through.

Now, the reason I wanted to speak in regard -- or speak to FSA24-01, it goes to show what the people that rely on a resource are willing to do to their fellow brothers and sisters that live in urban Alaska, to lessen the pool of people that are going to be able to harvest the salmon. What it fails to do is to recognize the other influencers in the decline of salmon, whether it be the intercept fisheries, the bycatch fisheries, even the changes in stock composition, the effects of the hydroelectric power, the hatchery release numbers. You heard the astounding numbers of -- massive amount of fish being released by the hatchery people. It really shows that the people

are willing to let their brothers and sisters who rely [sic] in urban cities, even my brothers and sisters, to deny them the opportunity to harvest because they would not fit in the category of Federally-qualified users. I'm in support of something like this but it really fails to address the real problem of why the decline is happening.

You heard a comment earlier, they did it in past years, it didn't improve the run. You heard Mr. Bassich state earlier they're considering no fishing for the next one full life cycle. Me, personally, if they don't address these other problems that I mentioned earlier, no fishing for one cycle is not going to do nothing if you don't address all the other problems. It's one — one solution is not the solution. Just because you don't let the people subsist, that doesn't address the other problems that are ongoing. I really commend Ms. Evans for her comments saying, she can't imagine not putting fish on the table. I can't imagine putting no fish on the table. It's — its unthinkable.

You know I mentioned earlier I had another Council meeting, you know, salmon heals people. The last time we wanted salmon to honor our elderly dead, requested to the State of Alaska, we were denied, could not even honor a family member, a deceased, we wanted one king salmon, we were denied that opportunity.

Now, prior to the decline of salmon, salmon was a bonding agent for families, and a healing agent for families. If I had a -- if me and my brother were not seeing eye to eye, when the salmon were coming, oh, we were hugs, holding hands and forgiving each other and making amends; there's none of that anymore. It's really devastating.

I thought I wanted to comment on this because I am affected. I'm not from the Eastern Interior, I'm from the Yukon Delta. One of you made a comment earlier, I do have other sources of fish to go after, unlike in Eagle, you know, but I have Bering cisco, smelts, Tomcods, but those are going away also. We finally seen them this year after three years. So even we're affected by climate change, we live right next to the ocean but, still, they're not there anymore and it's -- I heard another comment by another Board

6 7

9

10

11

member earlier, why are they allowed to fish, when I go out into the ocean from where I'm living there they are, there's the big factory trawlers, there's the big longliners chucking my salmon overboard all in the name of the big dollar. You know, as I've gotten a little older I've come to recognize that money's not all that valuable if it's not going to keep the family bond together and we're seeing that bond of family slowly eroding. You know, salmon was the key. It's so unfortunate and I don't know if the seven year moratorium on salmon is ever going to rebound them stocks if we don't address the other problems.

12 13 14

So with that, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. I'd like to just ask you a few questions. I really want to thank you for your testimony because you highlight many of the things that we're trying to address, not only in this room, but throughout the state. You're absolutely correct on your testimony. And I guess the question I would ask you, living in the Delta, you do have a lot of other resources, do you feel that catching summer chum would fulfill, maybe not to the same extent as the traditional fishing of chinook salmon in regards to celebrations of a life or a death of a family member, so I quess the question I'm asking you is if summer chum is available to you as salmon for a potluck or for ceremonial purposes, do you feel that that would meet the needs that chinook would, given that chinook is so low in abundance?

31 32 33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. PETE: When you have nothing, when you're denied the opportunity for even some salmon also, anything's welcome. You know I testified today to Bristol Bay, I said, thank you. Thank you for the sockeye, the red salmon, we're so thankful. I mean I heard the communities up in -- father up the Yukon River got sockeye and I went and thanked them personally this morning and I said, thank you, it meant so much to us. So even salmon that aren't, you know, Yukon salmon, were a big welcome just so we can, you know, practice cutting fish with the youth like one of you Board members said, that they utilize sockeye to bond the people of the river, or to keep that bond that was once there, that we would -- you know, that our community would be willing to take chum salmon if nothing's allowed. It's -- you know, in -traditionally it was king salmon because it was -- it

had so much meaning, it was a sign of prosperity, longevity, endurance, that they -- it was -- it was respected more than chums. It had a place of, I don't know, I think the right words may be honor, to honor someone, you don't want to give them chum, you want to give them king salmon.

I hope that answers your question.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you. really appreciate your testimony and I think -- I hear what you're saying and I think everyone on the river feels the same way about it and the fact of the matter is we're near extirpation of a stock. And from -- I think what the InterTribal Watershed [sic] is trying to do is to make sure that we have the least impacts on the Yukon River on a stock that's near extirpation. And from my interpretation of this it's trying to clean up its own backyard before you start demanding things from other people. So if we're going to ask Area M, if we're going to ask for bycatch to be reduced to almost nothing, or even be reduced, we have to make sure that we're not guilty of harvesting fish. Because if you think about it, all of us on the Yukon create bycatch, because very few of us live on a spawning ground. Right. Very few people live right where the fish are spawning on the Yukon River. Most of us catch fish on their way to spawning grounds. Now, that's a very loose interpretation of intercept fisheries but it is if you want to get down to the nuts and bolts of it, we're all intercept fisheries.

That's not the definition, so I don't want you to think that's my interpretation.

But I do really want to thank you because you did a great job of highlighting how important king salmon is to the culture and to the people on the Yukon River and how important it is to make sure that they don't go away forever. So thank you very much for that, I think you did a great job of highlighting the importance of salmon, king salmon here.

And also just recognizing that we recognize that this isn't going to fix the problem. We all recognize that it's going to take many -- many, many avenues coming together to fix this problem and you did a great job of highlighting that in your

testimony so I thank you for that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

that people from Fairbanks and Anchorage are still able to fish too if there's opportunity. Because they could go -- your brother can go -- if he lived in Anchorage he can go home and you could cut -- set the net, you could check that net and bring it to the beach and you guys can enjoy cutting it together still and create 12 that bond. This is just protecting what we both love 13 the most and cherish the most out of the river, and that's king salmon. It's spiritual. I heard you say it yourself many times, the spirituality of it, the

14 15 well-being for our people. It's all we're striving 16 17 for, is the future generations and to make sure that 18 they can do exactly that, what you say, all the time.

19 20 21

I hear you and I really appreciate your good words every time I hear your testimony no matter where you're at. I really appreciate you.

MR. PETE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I'd also like to add

23 24

22

Thank you.

25 26 27

28 29

30

MR. WOODRUFF: Mr. Chair. I want to thank you very much for your testimony and I really feel your passion. And the other thing I want to ask is what do you see as the one, eel population, doing in the lower Yukon because that's an important food source?

31 32 33

34

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. PETE: I, for one, am a little bit farther down where -- probably where the eels are very, very sparse, I'm in the Delta. Before they -- when they go up the Yukon -- I'm on the Delta, so I'm on the south mouth, so we don't see them -- we don't target them where I'm from because they're so sparse. They're more concentrated up around Mountain Village where the whole Delta turns into one river, that's where they're concentrated. So where I'm from they're so sparse and spread out it makes no sense to go after them, you may never get one. But 50, 60 miles away where all the rivers merge into one that's where they're concentrated and that's where they go after them. But, you know, I've seen them as -- I don't know if you would call an eel a fry, I don't know what's the word for a small eel, but I've seen them in my area, they're like two or three -- three or four inches long, I don't know if I

live in the rearing grounds or not but where I'm from, to answer your question they're just so sparse, spread out, it's really hard to get them. Even if you tried you may never get one. But maybe 60 miles away where the river converges into, you know, half a mile wide, that's where they're really concentrated and that's where they go after them.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you for your testimony. And I really feel your passion, you're very well spoken. Thanks again.

13 MR. PETE: All right, thank you, bye-14 bye.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Okay. So we still have a motion on the table....

MS. BURK: I want to comment, do you want me to do it now (no microphone)

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: Yes, go ahead.

MS. BURK: Can I switch my hats now and be the InterTribal Fish Commission so stepping off for a second. We submitted this SAR, this is the third year that it's been submitted so, of course, we support it. And I just have a couple words that, you know, in response even to what Stanley just said.

You know, for migratory species like salmon we need all management bodies to come together to work across jurisdictional boundaries. The work of protecting and rebuilding salmon populations must be led by tribes through co-stewardship and co-management. Yukon River InterTribal Fish Commission, Tanana Chiefs Conference and the tribes in our region are working together to build the framework for co-stewardship and we are working with Federal agencies and the Secretaries to do that. The SAR is a necessary step for this work and is the only path to conservation based management and protecting the sustainability of wild salmon populations for future generations.

Unfortunately the State of Alaska is failing to meet its own Constitutional requirements to prioritize subsistence and sustainability of wild salmon stocks. Subsistence needs are not being met in Alaska and not on the Yukon River as mandated by the

State Constitution and ANILCA Title VIII. Since 2001 we have not met our subsistence needs for 17 of the past 23 years. Commercial fishing has been continuously prioritized over subsistence fishing. For example, from 2000 to 2023 there are 18 examples of Fish and Game allowing a commercial fishery on a chinook, summer chum or fall chum run and then failing to meet one or more escapement goals on that population in the same year. Along with climate change impacts like marine heatwaves that are affecting habitat quality, Alaska continues to release record amounts of hatchery salmon which compete with wild stock for food sources. Statewide annual hatchery releases from 1995 to 2021 range from 1.3 to 1.8 billion fish mostly consisting of pink salmon from Prince William Sound, 0.5 to .08 billion, and chum salmon from Southeast Alaska, 0.3 to 0.6 billion.

The SAR is only part of the solution. We need a clear strategy to improve the marine environment limiting bycatch, intercept fisheries and hatchery production. We need a salmon rebuilding plan that brings together all user groups and fishery managers. We need the support of OSM and the Federal Subsistence Board in these efforts, it's crucial.

So I just wanted to share, we are in a dire crises and we ask for the action and support from this Federal Subsistence Management Program.

Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: Do you want to say

34 anything.
35

 CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: I'm -- go ahead.

MS. MCDAVID: Just want to note for the

record, Charlie Wright, our Chair has to leave and catch a flight back to Fairbanks and so our new Vice Chair will be stepping up as Chair in his absence. And we thank you, Mr. Chair, for all your work and guidance and leadership throughout this meeting and we hope you have safe travels.

CHAIRMAN WRIGHT: (No microphone)
Thank you. And appreciate you guys who have been here awhile to give her a hand and help her get through the meeting and I really appreciate you all. Thank you.

```
0090
 1
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Thank you, Charlie.
 2
 3
                     (Applause)
 4
 5
                     MR. BASSICH: Do you want a drum roll.
 6
 7
                     (Laughter)
 8
 9
                     MR. BASSICH: Go ahead.
10
11
                     MS. MCDAVID: I can help you out a
12
     little, Eva, if you want with the process stuff.
13
14
                     So we're currently on Council
15
     discussion and there is a motion on the floor so if you
16
     guys want to add any more to the justification of why
17
     or why not you support this, now would be the time.
18
19
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Is there anyone online
20
     that wants to discuss this?
21
22
                     MS. MCDAVID: Is there anyone joining
23
     us online today that has any public comments on
24
     Fisheries Special Action FSA24-01 related to Yukon
25
     salmon and closure to non-Federally-qualified users.
26
27
                     (No comments)
28
29
                     MS. MCDAVID: I'll just note that
30
     Amanda is no longer online so we are down to our
31
     minimum for quorum so no one is allowed to leave.
32
33
                     MS. EVANS: Could you restate the
34
    motion that we're talking about please.
35
36
                     MS. MCDAVID: Sure, the motion, Linda,
37
     is to support the fisheries special action request that
38
     was submitted by the Yukon River InterTribal Fish
39
     Commission which seeks to close fishing on the Yukon
40
     for this season for chum, chinook and coho to non-
41
     Federally-qualified users. So if any fishing were to
42
    be allowed, it would be limited to Federally-qualified
43
     subsistence users within Federally-managed waters on
44
     the Yukon.
45
46
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                  I call the question.
47
48
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: I was just going to
49
     do that.
50
```

```
0091
 1
                     Question's been called, are we ready to
     -- can we take a vote for unanimous consent. Okay, so
 2
     all those in favor say aye of supporting the motion.
 4
 5
                                Aye.
                     IN UNISON:
 6
 7
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: All opposed same
 8
     sign.
 9
10
                     (No opposing votes)
11
12
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Motion passes. And
13
     then are these, are we voting on these next proposals
14
     or is one of them deferred, can you clarify?
15
16
                     MR. BASSICH: It had.....
17
18
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: It was previously
19
     deferred to today, okay, that was what I needed to
20
     know.
21
22
                     MS. MCDAVID: But you also don't have
23
     to vote on them, but, Cory, our Fisheries Biologist
24
     will be able to explain that if you want to turn it
25
     over to him.
26
27
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Go ahead, Cory,
28
     thank you.
29
30
                     MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Madame Chair.
31
     So I'm also here today to announce our call for Federal
32
     fisheries proposals. So essentially the Federal
33
     Subsistence Board will soon be accepting new proposals
34
     to change regulations for the subsistence take of fish
35
     on Federal public waters for 2025 through 2027
36
     regulatory cycle. The Board will consider changes --
37
     proposals to change seasons, harvest limits, methods
38
     and means, customary and traditional use determinations
39
     and -- customary and traditional use determinations for
40
     Federal fisheries, excuse me. If the Council wishes to
41
     submit a proposal you can -- or you need to do so
42
     during this meeting. The public will also be able to
43
     submit proposals to change Federal fisheries
44
     regulations and OSM will publish a news release
45
     describing how this is done. The proposals will be
46
     analyzed by OSM Staff and presented to the Councils
47
    during your fall meetings for Council recommendations.
48
     Proposal analysis and Council recommendations will be
49
     presented to the Federal Subsistence Board for their
```

action during the January 2025 fisheries regulatory meeting.

So I first wanted to ask if the Council has any proposals to put forward and, if not, I can talk more about the closure reviews that are listed on your agenda. But I just wanted to see if you had any other proposals to put forward before that.

(No comments)

MR. GRAHAM: So kind of transitioning to closure reviews, the Federal Subsistence Board has directed us, OSM, to review all closures, existing closures to see if they're still necessary. And these closure reviews follow the same process as proposals do and will be analyzed by OSM Staff and presented to Councils during your fall meetings. But as we've gone through this process a couple of times, we've listened to concerns from the Councils, and based on those concerns we've adjusted the closure timeline to give Councils an opportunity to submit proposals related to closure reviews.

this?

So why would we be asking you to do

Well, submitting proposals will give the Councils more flexibility in shaping the final regulations for the systems that are closed. So for example, maybe the Council wants to remove a closure but also make sure that harvest is sustainable by establishing gear type or harvest restrictions, but these changes to gear types or harvest restrictions cannot be done through the closure review process, so they have to be done through a proposal.

So that's the background.

 And at this meeting we're providing you with background information regarding the closures that we're going to be reviewing next cycle to see if you want to submit a proposal to change those regulations. You don't have to do that but, again, it'll give you more flexibility. And I also want to make a note that the closures that are listed, you're not making a recommendation on those today, again, those are only informational items for a little bit of background if you decide you want to submit a proposal on those. So

```
0093
    if that makes sense I have some background -- like some
     talking points prepared for the closures. They've come
     to the Council before, they were deferred -- one of
     them was deferred from last cycle and the other one was
 5
     considered two cycles ago.
 6
 7
                     MS. MCDAVID: Madame Chair.
 8
 9
                     MR. BASSICH: What cycle, what page?
10
     (No microphone)
11
12
                     MS. MCDAVID: So the fisheries -- the
13
     closure reviews, is that what you're talking about
14
     Andy?
15
16
                     MR. BASSICH: Uh-huh.
17
18
                     MS. MCDAVID: Sorry, I didn't put that
19
    page number on the agenda, I'll find it quickly for
20
    you.
21
22
                     MR. BASSICH: 99 (no microphone)
23
24
                     REPORTER: Andy.
25
26
                     MR. BASSICH: Excuse me. 99 is where
27
     I'm seeing it.
28
29
                     MR. GRAHAM: The....
30
31
                     MS. MCDAVID: 85 for Delta River
32
     closure and 99 for the Nome Creek closure. So just to
33
     follow up on what Cory said was an example. So if you
34
     all are interested at the fall meeting on voting to
35
    rescind, you know, the Delta River closure you could
    put in a proposal now, that would suggest, you know,
36
37
    harvest restrictions or gear restrictions, you know,
38
    whatever is allowed under, you know, for proposals on
39
     that system. So that if you rescinded the closure then
40
     there would be a proposal being submitted at the same
41
     time that would address any concerns related to methods
42
     and means and harvest limits.
43
44
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: So we don't -- so
45
     this is just informational then?
46
47
                     MR. BASSICH: Uh-huh.
48
49
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Okay. So then do
50
```

0094 you -- do we need to move on to the next item? 2 3 MS. MCDAVID: It's up to the Council. 4 If you guys aren't really super concerned about these 5 closures and you think, you know, they'll probably stay 6 in place then yeah. 7 8 MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you. 9 at this point in time I don't feel like I need to make 10 any modifications or any suggestions on these. So I'm 11 quite happy to move on at this point in time. 12 13 ACTING CHAIR BURK: Go ahead Cory. 14 15 MR. GRAHAM: Sorry. I don't -- I don't 16 mean to interrupt but last cycle, the Delta River, for 17 example, was deferred, the closure was deferred and 18 this Council said one of the reasons for doing that was 19 conservation concerns with essentially rescinding the 20 closure and opening it up to all gear types. 21 again, if the Council's comfortable with hearing the 22 closure again, but your options are going to be 23 limited, you're either going to be able to rescind it 24 and it's going to be wide open, you're not going to be 25 able to institute any kind of harvest limits or 26 anything like that, and if that's what the Council 27 wishes to do there is no problem with that, but I just 28 want to make sure that that's clear so we don't get to 29 the next meeting and have you feel like your hands are 30 tied in what you can suggest. 31 32 MR. BASSICH: Okay, thank you. If I 33 can have the floor. I guess I need a little more 34 discussion on that because I'm a little confused about 35 what you're suggesting there. So maybe go through that 36 one more time and maybe I'll pick up on it a little bit 37 better. 38 39 Thank you. 40 41 MR. GRAHAM: 42 43

Sorry. It's been a long day and I'm kind of running on fumes, I apologize.

MR. BASSICH: No, I'm sorry, it was me, I'm just not understanding you, and the process.

MR. GRAHAM: Yeah. So to take a step back, the closure review process, again, we've done this before and because the -- the modifications for

49 50

48

44

45

the closure review process are limited because of public participation and public comment, so the Solicitor's office essentially said that certain modifications to say like we want to put in harvest limits, are outside of that modification, like outside of the scope because the public won't be able to comment on it and because that's such an important part of this whole program, we just can't make those changes.

So next time you'll hear about the Delta River closure, and if you want to remove that to provide, say, a subsistence priority because State sportfishing is allowed, you can rescind the closure but you're not going to be able to say what the harvest limits are, it's going to allow the current regulations in the Yukon northern area which are generally pretty liberal, right, because you've got a lot of remote areas but this is road access system, so you're just going to have fewer options with that.

MR. BASSICH: I'm going to reiterate, I'm not prepared at this point in time to make any changes or recommendations or whatever, it's just not where my focus has been, sorry, I apologize. I'm not prepared to make any changes.

 $\,$ ACTING CHAIR BURK: So, and this is in the upper Tanana River, part of this?

MR. GRAHAM: The Delta River is located near Delta Junction and Nome Creek is located in, I think, the White Mountains about 50 miles north of Fairbanks.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: And right now there's Federal Subsistence Board allowed -- allowed in Delta -- subsistence fishing allowed in Delta because it's in....

MR. GRAHAM: The Delta River is currently closed under Federal regulations for subsistence however State sportfishing is allowed.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: I thought -- so currently State sportfishing in the Delta is still allowed for kings, chinook?

MR. GRAHAM: Salmon -- so salmon spawn

```
0096
 1
     in the lower Delta River but they don't inhabit the
     closure area so we're talking more about non-salmon
 2
 3
     species.
 4
 5
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Okay, that helps me
 6
     a lot so I don't have to panic.
 7
 8
                     (Laughter)
 9
10
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: I feel with Andy
11
     that I'm also a like, like I'm not really sure what to
12
     do here with this one other than just.....
13
14
                     MR. WOODRUFF:
                                   Madame Chair.
15
16
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Yes, please, Don.
17
18
                     MR. WOODRUFF: I would like to make a
19
    motion to maintain the status quo on the Delta River
20
     closure.
21
22
                     MS. MCDAVID: Just to clarify Don, that
23
    will be the motion -- if you want to keep the closure
     at the fall meeting, that's the motion the Council
24
25
     would make and then you wouldn't be worried about these
26
     proposals because you're not opening it up. This would
27
    be if you, as a Council, want to rescind that closure,
28
     since sportfishing is allowed but subsistence not, to
29
     allow some sort of subsistence fishing opportunity but
30
    put some limitations on it because of conservation
31
    reasons, you would need to put in a proposal now, but
32
     you don't have to vote on those proposals or the
33
     closure review until the fall.
34
35
                     I know it's a very confusing process.
36
37
                     MR. BASSICH: I'm beginning to follow
38
     (no microphone) .....
39
40
                     (Council talking)
41
42
                     MR. BASSICH: If I can make a comment,
43
     I know in a lot of game issues we're always trying to
44
     seek alignment between State and Federal regulations
     and I apologize I'm not really up to speed on what the
45
46
     conservation issues are, can you maybe update us on
47
     what the latest data i s showing as far as stocks in
48
     those areas, are they endangered, are they not
49
     performing?
```

MR. GRAHAM: So I will look through my notes here to make sure I give you the right information. So in the Delta River, there's previous research that found that there's actually a really abundant population of grayling in the closure area. The population had one of the highest density estimates ever recorded in the state. There are other species of non-salmon fish, lake trout, Dolly varden, burbot, however, there's just not a lot of information about those species in the area so I can't really say how their populations are doing.

In Nome Creek, the other closure, this closure is limited to grayling. We don't 'really have a lot of information about grayling in the area. There was a study conducted, I think, a little while ago but it wasn't really successful in getting the data that they wanted so ADF&G and BLM are conducting a study right now but the information from that study is not going to be available until, I believe, the fall — it's scheduled to be released — I have it in my notes — the results won't be available until fall 2024. That's when they're scheduled to be released. So we don't have a lot of information about grayling in that system. And currently in that system no Federal subsistence is allowed for grayling but there's a catch and release sport fishery for grayling.

MR. BASSICH: In Nome Creek?

MR. GRAHAM: In Nome Creek, correct.

MS. MCDAVID: And just for the record, also, maybe, Cory, you could let the Council know what the OSM preliminary conclusion is for both of these closures.

MR. GRAHAM: Well, for the closure review which would be coming up in the fall it would be to rescind, but we kind of talk about how there may need to be some in-season management by the Federal inseason manager until a proposal could be submitted to say limited to rod and reel and either match sportfish regulations or increase over their -- have higher than sportfish regulations -- harvest. Sorry.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BASSICH: So the -- I think I'm coming around here so bear with me. If I were to make a motion for the Delta River to align grayling with the

current State regulations for sportfishing, that's what I'm thinking, there's no conservation concern there; is that correct?

MR. GRAHAM: That's correct. And for an example, so we've gone through this process with Western Interior and they put in a proposal to limit to rod and reel only so when you do that you're going to match State sportfishing.....

MR. BASSICH: Uh-huh.

MR. GRAHAM:harvest and possession limits, but then they provided subsistence priority above that and increased the harvest limit from grayling from five to 10. So that's how they decided to take action on it. You don't need to do the same thing but it kind of gets at some of the conservation concerns, lines some regulations but then also provides a subsistence priority above that for grayling, which they felt is the most important species in that drainage.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Cory, when was those numbers, when you said the highest density, what years?

MR. GRAHAM: I'll need to check in here, I believe it was in 2008 or '09.

(Pause)

MR. GRAHAM: And there's been no subsequent research in my understanding.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Quickly, that gives me a little concern because I have seen grayling get leaned on too hard. We've seen whitefish get leaned on a little too hard in Chateneeka, so I -- I'm a little concerned about those numbers being older but I get what you're saying about wanting to align -- giving a subsistence priority when there is sportfishing. But I guess I just wanted to have on the record that I have seen grayling get leaned on too hard, especially if there's no sportfishing for king and I think it's just something to note and be careful with.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BASSICH: If I may, just to clarify. If we just rescind the closure to align, it

0099 1 really is not doing anything, because a person who is Federally-qualified can also fish under State 2 regulations as well so the only reason you would want to modify this is to give increased opportunity for 5 Federally-qualified harvesters, correct? 6 7 MR. GRAHAM: (Nods affirmatively) 8 9 MR. BASSICH: Okay. That being said I 10 -- without really studying this a little bit more, I'm 11 fine with other RACs that may have allowed for greater 12 opportunity for Federally-qualified users and I would 13 -- I just feel like I'd be comfortable with the Board's 14 decision on that. I just don't feel that passionate 15 about it but maybe you can educate me some more. 16 17 MR. GRAHAM: No, and this is -- this is 18 fine, I'm not trying to..... 19 20 MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Yeah. 21 22 MR. GRAHAM:push anything..... 23 24 MR. BASSICH: Yeah. 25 26 MR. GRAHAM:on the Councils. 27 It's just like this is the time to make those 28 proposals. The other ones that I spoke of are in the 29 Western Interior, they're not these proposals, they are 30 different systems, it's just what they came up with to 31 solve some of these problems and provide subsistence 32 opportunity. But, again, if you don't -- if you're 33 comfortable moving forward, you don't need to submit a 34 proposal it's just now is your time to do it. You'll see these closures in the fall, you can make a 35 36 recommendation on them at that time, so. 37 38 MR. BASSICH: Yeah, that's fine. 39 40 MS. SHOCKLEY: If I may. Just note 41 that I have a hard time closing anything to subsistence 42 harvest and then allowing sportfishing. I mean to me 43 that just doesn't make sense so. 44 MR. VICKERS: If you don't mind I just 45 46 want to -- kind of what you just said, because I'm 47 taking from the other Council meetings that I've been 48 to, they're going through this process and it's

confusing why we do this here, and as Cory has said

49

it's because the closure reviews, it's so limited what you're able to do, it's basically rescind or keep, you can't change the season. And so other Councils have gone through this -- Kodiak/Aleutians went through a lot of them, and basically what they considered a lot of the time was well right now there's no Federal season, there is a State season, so maybe we can, as you said, align it with the Federal -- so at least we have a Federal season that we can adjust later, but right now all we can do is -- and, you know, if we rescind it it's unlimited harvest, but as you said since people are -- you're still able to harvest under State, but without an existing Federal season there's no opportunity in the future to create a priority until we go through this all again at some point.

So that's what other Councils reasonings have been and I just wanted to let you know since I've been through those.

Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: I just wanted to note too that, putting in a proposal, if you wanted to, now, you will have the opportunity to discuss that and make a recommendation on it in the fall. So if you get more information between now and then, you hear the analysis in the fall if you do put in a proposal, you can decide to vote against that or vote for it based on, you know, what you hear in the analysis and the other information that you learn.

MR. BASSICH: I guess I'm understanding what's going on here and beginning to wrap my head around the process so I think I'd like to make a motion that we modify the Federal regulations for the Delta River to align with the State sportfishing in the Delta River for grayling.

MS. MCDAVID: Do we need to be specific or do you know what those regs are Cory?

MR. GRAHAM: Well, I would just say that part of the concern are other gear types, right, like driftnets, other things like that, so it would be really hard to set those -- to align those regulations because they don't have gillnet opportunities and it'd be hard if it's five a day to have that kind of -- it would be hard to control your harvest, right, so I

```
0101
 1
     think in the past, other Councils have decided to use
     rod and reel only and then that.....
 3
 4
                     MR. BASSICH: Isn't that in the State
 5
     (no microphone)
 6
 7
                     REPORTER: Andy.
 8
 9
                     MR. BASSICH: Sorry. Isn't that in the
10
     State right now?
11
12
                     MR. GRAHAM: Yeah, yeah, I guess I just
13
     would want clarification on the record that you were
14
     wanting to limit to rod and reel only and then that
15
    would in turn....
16
17
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay. For the record
18
     I'll restate my motion. I would like to make a motion
    that the Federal Program for the Delta River be aligned
20
    with the State of Alaska's methods and means, which
21
    would mean that it would be rod and reel only for
22
    grayling fishing in the Delta River. That wasn't
23
    really well done but you get the gist of it. You can
24
    wordsmith it later.
25
26
                     MS. MCDAVID: And you're also wanting
27
     to align with the harvest limit that the State has?
28
29
                     MR. BASSICH: Correct. I'm sorry, yes,
30
     I left out harvest, yeah, so methods, means and harvest
31
     to align with the State on the Delta River. Does that
32
     work for you?
33
34
                     MR. GRAHAM: Can I ask for more
35
     clarifying, I'm sorry Andy.
36
37
                     MR. BASSICH: Yeah.
38
39
                     MR. GRAHAM: Are you just wanting to
40
     rescind the closure for grayling only or are you
41
     wanting to rescind it for all species within the Delta
42
     River?
43
44
                     MR. BASSICH: Grayling only.
45
46
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: What's the limit,
47
     is there a limit?
48
49
                     MR. GRAHAM: Let me check really
50
```

```
0102
 1
     quickly.
 2
 3
                     (Pause)
 4
 5
                     MR. GRAHAM: I believe it's five per
 6
     day but I want to confirm.
 7
 8
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: For the....
 9
10
                     MR. GRAHAM: Sportfishing under State
11
     regulations.
12
13
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: .....Delta River,
14
     I believe it's all five a day.
15
16
                     MR. GRAHAM: The Arctic grayling, the
17
    bag and possession limit is five fish with no size
18
     limit.
19
20
                     (Pause)
21
22
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: (No microphone)
23
24
                     MR. BASSICH: A question from Member
25
     Shockley is why just grayling and I don't know the data
26
     for whitefish or other species that are there so unless
27
     I get a little bit more information on the abundance of
28
     other non-grayling fish in the stream -- grayling fish
29
     seems to be the most sought after sportfish and I'm
30
     assuming that most people are after that. But,
31
     honestly, Dorothy I just don't have enough information
32
     to really feel comfortable to opening it wide open
33
    because obviously they rescinded it for a reason in the
34
    past and I'm not really familiar with the history.
35
36
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Do you have other
37
     numbers for like Dolly varden and what else, what are
38
     the others?
39
40
                     MR. GRAHAM: I don't believe there's
41
     any population information or abundance information for
42
     the other species.
43
44
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. So you mentioned
     Dolly varden, grayling and what?
45
46
47
                     MR. GRAHAM: So the non-salmon fish
48
     community in the Delta River is comprised of Arctic
49
     grayling, burbot, round whitefish, long nose sucker,
50
```

```
0103
 1
     lake trout, and Dolly varden.
 2
 3
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. And right now the
 4
     State is just sportfishing for grayling or is it all
 5
     species?
 6
 7
                     MR. GRAHAM: I believe it's all species
 8
     within the area.
 9
10
                     MR. BASSICH: Can you educate me why
11
     the closure was put in place initially and when that
12
     was done?
13
14
                     MR. GRAHAM: The current Federal
15
     closure has been on the books since the beginning of
     the Federal Program and it was carried over from State
16
17
     regulations and we don't really have -- I don't think
18
     there's a -- I don't know exactly why it started back
19
20
21
                     MR. VICKERS: This is Brent Vickers,
          I have a little like off the books conversation
22
23
     on how this happened and these happened because -- this
24
    is -- we have this across the state, why there's a
25
     subsistence closure. It's because -- a Federal
26
    subsistence closure. We inherited this from the State,
27
    these all come from the State, and when the State did
28
    this, this -- because the -- for subsistence meant all
29
    methods other than rod and reel, so they would just
30
    close their subsistence to -- their fisheries to
31
    subsistence so that you couldn't use any of the methods
32
    -- other methods, nets and everything, and so we
33
     inherited that and even though for Federal subsistence
34
    you can use any methods, those closures have just
35
    stayed as a legacy and that's why we have these
36
    situations in which the State is open, the Federal
37
    qualified -- or the Federal fisheries are -- are closed
38
    because it's inherited from the State when they would
39
    do -- subsistence meant everything except for rod and
40
     reel.
41
42
                     I hope that makes sense.
43
44
                     MR. BASSICH: And so to clarify that
45
     even more, currently under State it's strictly rod and
46
     reel in the Delta, there is no net fishing.....
47
48
                     MR. VICKERS: I don't know.....
49
```

```
0104
 1
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                  ....or anything like
 2
     that?
 3
 4
                     MR. VICKERS:
                                   .....anything about the
 5
     subsistence.
 6
 7
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay, do we have a second
 8
     on my motion, I don't think we do, do we?
 9
                     (No comments)
10
11
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay. I'd like to change
12
     my motion, modify it, so I'd like to make the motion
13
     that we align the Federal subsistence fishing
14
     regulations with the State for all species on the Delta
15
     River but restrict it to only rod and reel fisheries.
16
17
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Second.
18
19
                     MR. BASSICH: And I guess speaking to
20
     the motion -- thank you for clarifying a lot of this,
21
     I'm just not that familiar with it and thanks, you
     know, we always try and align things, we're trying to
22
23
    make things so that rural Federally-qualified users are
24
     able to harvest and I know this doesn't really give
25
    them an advantage and maybe in the future when we get a
26
    little bit more time to learn a little bit more about
27
    this then we can make it a little bit more liberal for
28
    the Federal people in the fall time or whatever. So
29
    that's my reasoning for the motion.
30
31
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Any more
34
     discussion.
35
36
                     MS. EVANS: So this will -- by making
37
     this proposal that we're doing, will allow us to
38
     discuss this again in October?
39
40
                     MR. BASSICH: Correct.
41
42
                     MS. EVANS: Okay, thank you.
43
44
                     MS. MCDAVID: That's correct, Linda.
45
     It's just a proposal that will be submitted and then,
46
     yep, you'll review them in the fall.
47
48
                     MR. BASSICH: I guess I'll call
49
     question.
```

```
0105
 1
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Okay, I'm going to
     -- question's been called, I'm going to ask for
 2
     unanimous consent to support this motion. All those in
 4
     favor say aye.
 5
 6
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 7
 8
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: All those opposed
 9
     same sign.
10
11
                     (No opposing votes)
12
13
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: All right, motion
14
    passes, thank you.
15
16
                     MS. MCDAVID: Are you guys interested
17
     in doing something similar for the Nome Creek closure?
18
19
                     MR. BASSICH: I would just like to get
20
     a little more clarification because I heard you allude
21
     to the fact that there may be a conservation concern on
    Nome Creek.
22
23
24
                     MR. GRAHAM: I don't know if there's a
     conservation concern per se, but there's not a lot of
25
26
    population information right now and ADF&G and BLM are
27
     currently conducting a study and the information from
     that study is not going to be available until at least
28
29
     fall 2024 and that's for grayling. So we have data
30
     coming in soon, we just don't have it yet to say much
31
     about how the population is doing, it could be doing
32
     really poor, it could be doing really well, we just
33
     don't know at this time.
34
35
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay. So if we were to
36
     make a motion to align with the State with their, then
37
     we could always, if there was an issue we could rescind
38
     our proposal at the fall meeting if that information
39
     came to us prior to that; is that correct?
40
41
                     MS. MCDAVID: You could.....
42
43
                     MR. BASSICH: Withdraw. Withdraw our
44
     proposal.
45
46
                     MS. MCDAVID: Or you could vote to
47
     oppose it for conservation concerns, but Cory has
48
     something else.
```

0106 1 MR. BASSICH: Okav. 2 3 MR. GRAHAM: There's always a 4 complicating factor. So because Nome Creek is catch 5 and release only, if you aligned there would be no harvest, there would be just catch and release only. 6 7 8 MR. BASSICH: (No microphone) 9 10 MS. MCDAVID: So what -- okay, thanks. 11 12 ACTING CHAIR BURK: Moving right along. 13 14 (Conversation with no microphone) 15 16 MR. BASSICH:spent an hour and a 17 half to get wrapped around the axle. 18 19 ACTING CHAIR BURK: Then the next one 20 is to develop priority information needs. 21 22 MS. MCDAVID: I believe it's the 23 selection of volunteers to help -- it's not worded 24 great on the agenda. But Cory can give a real quick 25 explanation. But basically we're -- never mind, I'll 26 let Cory do it, sorry. 27 28 MR. WOODRUFF: Madame Chair. I would 29 like to request from OSM, since we're having so much 30 fun with fisheries that we send someone to the North 31 Pacific Management Council to testify. I went in '06 32 and I think it's somebody else's turn. 33 34 (Conversation - no microphone) 35 36 ACTING CHAIR BURK: I'm on the AP for 37 the tribal seat for the North Pacific and I would 38 really appreciate somebody coming in to testify and to 39 testify at both the AP and the Council if you're able 40 to because I -- it's worth the trip to discuss with the 41 AP members who you're -- who people are going to have 42 more of an ear than you will with the Council members 43 so much. 44 45 MS. MCDAVID: I could just follow up, 46 if you all want to request that you don't have to identify the specific person right now, you know, 47 48 Charlie's not here, Amanda's not here, so you could put

in that request and it could be to be determined if OSM

49

0107 allows that. 2 3 (Conversation - no microphone) 4 5 MS. MCDAVID: I think we're on a side 6 bar, and that's okay. 7 8 ACTING CHAIR BURK: I think that's 9 under other business. Yeah, let's just finish it though. 10 11 12 MR. BASSICH: I guess, Don, do you want 13 to make a motion to send someone, I wasn't sure if that 14 was a motion or just a comment to send a representative 15 from the RAC to North Pacific Council. 16 17 MR. WOODRUFF: I think that we could do 18 that in the fall just so we can move along and get 19 20 21 MR. BASSICH: Okay. You would need a 22 motion to send someone? 23 24 MS. MCDAVID: I actually don't think 25 you have to have a motion for that, it's a request to 26 OSM, you're not voting on something, so, thanks. 27 28 ACTING CHAIR BURK: And just to note 29 for the record, the chum bycatch is coming up, initial 30 review in April and we're fleshing out alternatives to 31 be analyzed in that chum bycatch so there is testimony 32 needed there. But there is going to be more testimony 33 needed in the future too. So to just align that --34 make sure we have an agenda item that we really need to 35 testify on. 36 37 MS. MCDAVID: And just as a reminder, 38 that person that does get sent, they won't be able to 39 speak freely necessarily, you know, there are some 40 constraints about the things that have been discussed 41 at this meeting and in our joint letters and the 42 positions of the Council and the joint Councils have 43 put forward. So you have to kind of stick to certain 44 talking points but you then you could always provide 45 additional testimony of -- personal testimony. I just 46 wanted to get that on the record. 47 48 MS. SHOCKLEY: So, question, if we send

someone from our RAC, do we pay their way and all that

49

0108 good stuff? 2 3 MS. MCDAVID: Yes, ma'am, and that's 4 why we have to request that OSM permission to send someone -- you know, they have to review the budget, it's not like a guarantee, as long as there's -- if 6 7 there's funding available they've generally allowed it 8 in the past. 9 10 MR. BASSICH: I guess to add to Don's 11 request to that I would just like to reference all of 12 the discussion through the joint RAC and this RAC 13 meeting in regards to the importance of getting 14 testimony and action by the Northwest -- or I'm sorry, 15 the North Pacific Management Council to help to resolve 16 our salmon issues. It's highly important that we get 17 as much representation, as much information there. 18 Just putting that on the record. 19 20 MS. SHOCKLEY: Madame Chair, I agree. 21 And, you know, if nobody else volunteers I would 22 volunteer to testify as well so. 23 24 ACTING CHAIR BURK: Are we talking 25 about going for the April meeting or some time after 26 the fall? 27 28 MS. MCDAVID: I think April and fall. 29 30 ACTING CHAIR BURK: Perfect. We will 31 be helping with testimony, just so you know, it won't 32 bite you. 33 34 MS. SHOCKLEY: I've testified before. 35 36 ACTING CHAIR BURK: Yeah. 37 38 MS. MCDAVID: Perhaps, Madame Chair, to 39 get us back on track, Item 10G, the volunteers for developing PINS. Cory might have a few words to share 40 41 with us about that. 42 43 MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Madame Chair. 44 So OSM is currently in the final phase of the 2024 Monitoring Program funding cycle and the Federal 45 46 Subsistence Board met in early February and approved 47 the final Monitoring Program Plan and with this cycle 48 coming to an end it's time for the Councils to begin 49 developing priority information needs for the next

1 cycle.

And priority information needs are a really important component of the Monitoring Program. They highlight and identify issues of local concern and knowledge gaps related to subsistence fisheries and they also guide the Monitoring Program funding process by determining what types of projects are submitted for funding and providing a framework for evaluating and selecting project proposals.

So what we're doing is we're asking for one or more volunteers from this and other Yukon region Councils to meet by telephone sometime this summer to identify knowledge gaps and information needed for the management of subsistence fisheries on Federal public lands. The draft list that's created by the volunteers will be presented to the Councils for your final approval during your fall meetings.

And this concludes my presentation and are there any Council members who would like to volunteer to develop priority information needs.

Thank you.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I would like to volunteer and I volunteer our Chair and I volunteer our Vice Chair. No, seriously I'd be very happy to be a part -- I've been a part of that in the past and it's a great process and if there are other members on the RAC that want to join, I would welcome them for their perspectives is the point I was trying to make. But you can count on me, just let me know when it's happening.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ SHOCKLEY: So where can I find more information on this?

MR. GRAHAM: I'm not sure anything's listed in the books or not. But if you want to find more information about FRMP we can always provide you with background information then and you can decide whether you want to volunteer or not....

MS. SHOCKLEY: (No microphone)

MR. GRAHAM:at that time.

MR. WOODRUFF: Just so you guys know that I volunteered the last cycle, and it was a really rewarding experience but because of my diminished capacity I don't think I could do that now.

MR. BASSICH: Well, and I'd just like to say to that, thank you, Don, for all the things you've volunteered for, I know you've gone and represented us at the Board of Fish and numerous other agency things and you've always done a great job. And I think it's important to always spread those things around our Council to avoid burnout as well. So, thank you. I just wanted to commend you because I know you've done a great job representing our views here in many of these meetings.

Thank you.

 $\label{eq:ACTING CHAIR BURK:} \ \ \mbox{Did you have something Dorothy.}$

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Okay, Andy, and if we have time I would like to be involved as much as I can so I'll coordinate with you on that. I did notice on the FRMP that we had something for culture camps, so to not forget that one. And then also there's a number of projects, monitoring projects and things going on around the state, so to kind of make sure that we might want to align or collaborate even with some of those. So that's some of the type of input I'd like to provide.

Thanks.

And then so it sounds like we have Andy to volunteer and then by support by Charlie -- I'm going to volunteer me and Charlie on that one and anybody else.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Okay. So then we're on to Agenda Item H, the proposed rule to add three tribal seats to the Federal Subsistence Board. And then do we need to make a motion for discussion or.....

MS. MCDAVID: You could -- so all motions by the Council are always made in the positive so you could make a motion to support and then you could discuss.

And I will note, if you guys still have your joint Council books with you there is a copy of the proposed rule that starts on Page 32. If you don't have it in front of you I have extra copies I can pass to you, or if it's not easily accessible.

(Pause)

MS. SHOCKLEY: (No microphone)

REPORTER: Can you turn your microphone

17 on.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Oops. I move to support for -- yeah, support the Federal Subsistence Board's proposal to add three more members to the Federal Subsistence Board.

MR. WOODRUFF: I'll second.

MS. MCDAVID: Madame Chair. So there was a presentation by Raina on the first day of the joint Council meeting and she provided a lot of, you know, background information on this. There are a lot of questions that they're asking for feedback on on how to go about this, that they list out in this so you might just want to kind of discuss some of those things that came up on the first day, you know, about how to make this an equitable, you know, like a fair process, you know, considering there are 229 tribes in Alaska, you know, whether or not the nominated folks should have some background or involvement on the RACs or within the Federal processes, also things like if there should be term limits to get different people cycling through, things like that.

MR. VICKERS: If you don't mind, this is Brent Vickers, Office of Subsistence Management. To add to what Brooke has been saying -- sorry, I'm a little brain -- brain not there. This is your opportunity -- after given what Raina said, and given what you've read, this is your opportunity right now to discuss this here as a Council, thoughts that you have. Also it's your only opportunity to submit a comment as

a Council. You can submit comments as individuals up until April 26th through -- it has to be a written comment. We can make sure you know how to submit the comments, it's on the egovdot thing, I think it's listed on the -- in the book, but if you wanted to make a comment -- submit a comment as the Council, it would have to be -- you'd have to vote on that today, on what comments you want to make and, of course, your amazing Coordinator would help write that comment but the idea would have to be voted here, right now, you don't have another opportunity to do that, since the comment period closes April 26th.

MR. BASSICH: I need a little bit of clarification, my brain is kind of mush right now. But if you could refresh my memory, these seats, are these voting seats or non-voting seats?

MS. MCDAVID: They are voting seats and they can be any member of the public but they -- the current proposed rule is that they should be nominated by tribes but you don't have to be a tribal member, necessarily, a tribe could nominate a non-tribal member if they wanted.

MR. BASSICH: I guess from my perspective, I would like to see that all qualifications would be for a Federally-qualified user who's familiar with fish and game in the region, and what I would really like to see that there be good representation from all regions within the state of Alaska. So certainly someone from Eastern and Northern Alaska, someone from Western Alaska and someone from Southeast Alaska would be my preference. And then identifying that their qualifications would have to be knowledge and experience of subsistence activities, practices and also rulemaking processes.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: I also want to add comments to this one too. Because I do really think that this person should have knowledge of the other State and Federal processes, like North Pacific, so I do want that language in there for them to have knowledge of those other processes, and also -- I'm not sure, when they said like when a person is nominated but how they're going to weigh and evaluate different nominations. I think something that worked well for, being on the tribal seat of the North Pacific was that you have to be nominated by a tribe and then the more

letters of support that you get, that is what weights it

MR. BASSICH: Weights it.

MS. SHOCKLEY: (No microphone)

 ACTING CHAIR BURK: Yeah, and also they had -- and I don't really feel too strongly about this but they also had in there that -- not just like subsistence needs, but that if you had local and traditional knowledge, that that was a priority, and that if you had a science background, that that's even a higher priority and so, you know, when -- I don't want to get too in the weeds about having an education or science, I don't think that's as important as having the knowledge of the processes, being a subsistence user. So.

MR. BASSICH: Clarification on process. Do we need a motion to do this or is this just more of a letter, I'm a little bit fuzzy here.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Well, there is a motion to support the three members, addition, it was seconded and this is just discussion and to get comments on how we want to do it, I guess, right?

MS. MCDAVID: Yes. So this will be submitted in the form of like a letter or a comment so based on your discussion and the points that you would like to include. We don't have to modify the proposed rule or anything like that as far as process goes.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Madame Chair, if I may. I like the idea, during discussion, on the full floor, if you want to call it that, so, you know, it was brought up that each member should represent two regions. I think that's a good idea except, you know, we have four members that are subsistence users, I believe, the Chair is from Southeast, you have somebody from the west, you have somebody from the Interior, and let me see -- let's see, oh, and then somebody from Barrow, yeah, so I definitely agree that, you know, the three members -- or the three additional members that all regions should be recognized. I like that, you know, of course that they be very knowledgeable about, you know, not only subsistence but also all of the other entities that make decisions in regards to

```
0114
 1
     subsistence. Somebody mentioned that the members come
    from the RACs, I kind of like that idea as well because
    there is experience on that so -- yeah, so I think all
     regions should be represented and then -- and then I
 5
     like the idea that the people come from the RACs as
 6
    well.
 7
 8
                     MS. MCDAVID: Madame Chair. Just a
 9
     point of clarification, Dorothy, since I'll be helping
10
     craft this, so when you say come from the RACs, are you
11
     okay with previous experience on the RACs or does it
12
     need to be a current sitting member?
13
14
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: I guess either or would
15
    be good. I mean as long as they have experience, you
16
     know, I think that's the most important thing.
17
18
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay, thank you. Yeah, I
19
     think the other thing I'd like to do is have a term
     limit of five years. I think it's -- the three years
20
21
     is too short, a lot of times it takes a year or two to
22
     just kind of come up to speed, but I don't like the
23
    idea of someone being appointed and then they just stay
     on forever. I think that's -- that can be beneficial
24
25
    but it also makes it really hard to change. So a five
26
     year term limit would be my preference.
27
28
                     MS. MCDAVID: Clarification, Madame
29
    Chair, if that's okay.
30
31
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: (No microphone)
32
                     MS. MCDAVID: Okay. Good point, Andy.
33
34
     Do you think they could be renominated after five
35
     years?
36
37
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                  (Nods affirmatively)
38
39
                     MS. MCDAVID: Okay. So they could
40
     serve multiple terms but there would need to be a
41
     reevaluation or you would allow other incoming
42
     applications or.....
43
44
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                  (Nods affirmatively)
45
46
                     MS. MCDAVID:
                                  .....and then -- okay.
47
48
                     MR. BASSICH: I think that they should
49
    not have the preference or the waiting from being a
50
```

```
0115
 1
    current member. I think the whole process should start
    from scratch and they would need to have letters of
     support, just like a -- put it on equal playing grounds
     is maybe the best way to put it because -- well, I'm
 5
     not going to go down that rabbit hole, but, anyway, I
 6
     think you understand what my perception is.
 7
 8
                     Thank you.
 9
10
                     MS. MCDAVID: Sure.e Thank you for
11
     that clarification, and just to follow up, related.
     These items that you're discussing, how do you feel
12
     that they should apply to the Board Chair and should
13
14
     the Board Chair have term limits, how should the Board
15
     Chair be nominated or selected?
16
17
                     Thanks.
18
19
                     MR. BASSICH: I quess from my
20
     perspective it's a little bit different with the Board
21
    Chair. I think it's really important to have a
22
    relationship and these processes are so complicated and
23
     it does take even longer for a Chair to fall into
24
     familiarity with it, so -- you know, I think the
25
     current process is working for us well. And I'm not
26
     really sure what the process is for removal of a
27
    Chair, do they have to resign, or how is that -- can
28
     you educate me?
29
30
                     (No comments)
31
32
                     MR. BASSICH: You don't know. Nobody
33
    probably knows whether we can get rid of a Chair or
34
    not.
35
36
                     (Laughter)
37
38
                     MR. VICKERS: I have no idea.
39
40
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay.
41
42
                     MR. VICKERS: I enjoy Anthony so I've
43
    never questioned his tenure so maybe in a couple years,
44
     you know. I'll ask and I'll try to get a question
45
     [sic] on that, that's a great question.
46
47
                     MR. BASSICH: Yeah.
48
49
                     MR. VICKERS: So we'll see. But I
```

0116 1 don't know off hand. 2 3 MR. BASSICH: Okay. 4 5 MS. MCDAVID: Just to follow up, do you 6 think the Chair should be reappointed every five years 7 or just be allowed to serve until..... 8 MR. BASSICH: You know, maybe that's 9 10 not a bad idea, if the Chair is doing a great job and 11 people are in support and he's moving in the right 12 directions, it's a pretty easy rubber stamp and if 13 there's issues that are happening then that may be able 14 to be brought to light as well, so, yeah, I guess maybe 15 it would be good to have terms for Chairs, or at least an evaluation if nothing else after five years. 16 17 know it's always good to evaluate performance. 18 19 MS. SHOCKLEY: So guestion. 20 there a term limit now for the Chair? 21 22 MS. MCDAVID: There is not. 23 24 ACTING CHAIR BURK: I was just looking 25 for policies and procedures, there's not -- that's not 26 available. 27 28 MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. Just an FYI, you 29 know, they want to do this before the next election so 30 we have like eight months to recommend for them to seat 31 these three people, so just an FYI. 32 33 ACTING CHAIR BURK: Maybe this is a 34 question for you, but on April 26th, once those 35 comments are in, when is the decision, the final --36 there's no final date for that? 37 38 MR. VICKERS: I don't have a date 39 written. All we know at this point is the proposal --40 when the comments are for the proposal. I suppose --41 there's so many things involved in getting these things 42 to a final rule and everything, and I've heard that 43 this -- that because this is an election year things 44 are going to move even slower, just because of the amount of things trying to get through the process and 45 46 that's affecting us, in how we got our fisheries final 47 book today and reasons why these things are taking so 48 slow [sic]. Maybe this will be prioritized, I don't

49

50

know.

0117	
1	ACTING CHAIR BURK: Thank you for that.
2	
3	MS. MCDAVID: There's a couple other
4	things you guys might want to weigh in on. There's
5	another question in here about whether the public
6	members of the Board should have alternates that can
7	seat for them when they're not available. Currently
8	the Federal agencies that sit on the Board do have
9	alternates but the public members do not.
10	
11	ACTING CHAIR BURK: I would say yes on
12	that and how does everybody else any comments on
13	that.
14	
15	MR. BASSICH: I concur. Yes.
16	
17	MS. MCDAVID: Okay. And there's one
18	other question in here that stands out, do you think
19	that the Secretaries should broadly solicit nominations
20	and recommendations from all tribes, or at their sole
21	discretion one or more specific Federally-recognized
22	tribal governments?
23	ACHTNO CHATA DIDE. The a firm halinger
24 25	ACTING CHAIR BURK: I'm a firm believer that should go wide, I don't like the idea of a
26	backdoor deal. That's what it almost sounds like to
27	me.
28	me.
29	MR. BASSICH: I concur.
30	FIR. BABBION. I Concur.
31	ACTING CHAIR BURK: Is there any more
32	discussion on this item or can we vote for our motion
33	to support it with the comments that we made?
34	11
35	MR. BASSICH: Call for the question.
36	·
37	ACTING CHAIR BURK: Call for question
38	then. Okay, then I'm going to seek to support this
39	with the comments, all in and do unanimous consent
40	please, all in favor say aye.
41	
42	IN UNISON: Aye.
43	
44	ACTING CHAIR BURK: All those opposed
45	same sign.
46	
47	(No opposing votes)
48	ACETHO CHATE DURY 311 '11 '
49	ACTING CHAIR BURK: All right, motion
50	

```
0118
     passes to support that, thank you.
 2
 3
                     And then Brooke, this is probably you,
 4
     uh, future meeting dates. We're getting there guys,
 5
     we're getting there.
 6
 7
                     MS. MCDAVID: We are getting there. So
 8
     let me get you on the right page of your meeting books
     for your calendars. All right, Page 120 of your
 9
10
    Eastern Interior meeting book has the fall 2024 RAC
11
    meeting cycle calendar. And previously at your last
12
    meeting you all selected the dates of October 8th
13
     through the 10th of -- three days -- a possible three
14
     day meeting, it could always end earlier. Fall
15
    meetings tend to go longer because that's when you're
16
     taking up proposals and making recommendations. You
17
     all asked if you can meet in Tanana. Tanana is a non-
18
    hub community in your region. You did ask to change
19
     Tanana to a hub community but OSM leadership has not
20
     approved that yet so Tanana would still be pending that
21
     approval, and OSM leadership would have to take in the
22
     request -- compare that with the request -- consider
23
     the -- I'm sorry, I'm getting lost in words -- the
24
     request of other Councils to meet in rural communities,
25
     in non-hub communities, just to make it equitable, you
26
    know, they can't just allow one Council to continually
27
    meet in non-hub communities and not others, there's
28
     limited budget, et cetera. So you're back up location
29
    is Fairbanks, and if you guys are still okay with that,
30
    and those dates.....
31
32
                     MR. BASSICH: What about Cancun.
33
34
                     MS. MCDAVID: Cancun is not on your hub
35
     list either, Andy, I'm sorry.
36
37
                     (Laughter)
38
39
                     MR. BASSICH: We could change that.
40
41
                     MS. MCDAVID: Ooh, that would be a good
42
     one. So I guess I would just ask if the Council is
43
     still okay with three day meeting October 8th through
44
     the 10th in Tanana, possibly, if we get approval, or
45
     Fairbanks.
46
47
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: It looks good.
48
49
                     MS. MCDAVID: All right, thanks for
50
```

0119 1 confirming. So the next page, Page 121 is the winter 2025 calendar. There have been a couple Councils that selected dates. So the week of October.... 4 5 (Council talking no microphones) 6 7 MR. VICKERS: Winter. 8 9 MS. MCDAVID: Wait, winter, wrong 10 Council -- no wrong calendar. So any of the weeks are 11 still open, there haven't been two Councils that have 12 selected the same week. Just ask that you keep in 13 mind, if possible, to not start your meeting on a 14 Monday or end on a Friday because we have members of 15 this Council that can't travel on weekends due to 16 flight schedules. 17 18 MR. BASSICH: Madame Chair. Yeah, I 19 quess from my perspective, you know, I like the 20 February meeting, March is the prime time for people 21 who live out in the Bush and it really takes away a 22 lot, so the earlier the better, from my perspective. 23 I'm pretty flexible, but the earlier in February the 24 better, from my perspective. 25 26 Thank you. 27 28 So I guess saying that, maybe I would 29 -- I will say -- let's see we probably can't start on 30 the 18th because President Day possibly is no fly 31 zone, but maybe -- maybe we could do a travel of 32 February 8th and meet the 9th -- or the 19th and 20th, 33 sorry, I was saying the 8th -- 18th -- so February 19th 34 and 20, and can we get it done in two days, that would 35 be a preference too. 36 37 MS. MCDAVID: Usually your winter 38 meetings are quicker. 39 40 MR. BASSICH: Yeah. 41 42 MR. BASSICH: So I guess my proposal 43 would be for the Eastern Interior RAC to meet on 44 February 19th and 20th of 2025. 45 46 MS. MCDAVID: And that will be the 47 start of wildlife regulatory cycle, you will be 48 developing wildlife proposals at that meeting, if that -- if you want to consider that when you're thinking

49

0120 1 about location, Fairbanks is an option. Gosh, your other hub communities, I think, are Fort Yukon and Tok 2 or Delta, I can't remember which one, I'm sorry. 4 5 MR. BASSICH: Well, given that our last 6 day would be a Thursday and Friday would be a last 7 possible chance to return home, at least for myself and Don, I would recommend that that meeting be held in 8 9 Fairbanks. It gives us a lot more latitude for getting 10 home than trying to fly in and then connect up or 11 whatever. I spent too many years sitting over the 12 weekend waiting for a flight to get home and that 13 really kills me. 14 15 MS. SHOCKLEY: So I have a question, 16 what communities do they call hub villages, 17 communities, that we can meet in? 18 19 MS. MCDAVID: I'm going to need one 20 moment to pull up a file. 21 22 (Pause) 23 24 MS. MCDAVID: I think it's Fort Yukon 25 and Tok. They changed it last fall that's why I can't 26 remember what they -- they took some places off --27 okay, Katya's there. 28 29 MS. WESSELS: Yeah, Madame Chair, thank 30 you. For the record Katya Wessels. You can actually 31 agree to meet in any communities, hub communities is 32 just something that Brooke will not need to do the cost 33 analysis. And, you know, if you select a non-hub 34 community then you just want to select a back up in 35 case, you know, the budget cannot handle bringing your 36 Council to the non-hub community. Yeah, I think Tok, 37 Fairbanks and..... 38 39 MS. MCDAVID: Fort Yukon is.... 40Fort Yukon, yes, I 41 MS. WESSELS: 42 think those were the only three that we have in the 43 Eastern Interior now. 44 45 MS. SHOCKLEY: Thank you. Well, I'd 46 say we meet in Eagle. 47

MR. BASSICH: Uh? Talk to Tina about

48

49

50

that one.

(Laughter)

MR. BASSICH: That was an all timer. A lot of times when we meet that'll be a wildlife proposal one, usually when we meet in some of these communities, if it's fisheries it's more like Tanana, Fort Yukon, or potentially Eagle, and then wildlife ones in other areas that might be more impacted like Tok, would be a good wildlife one as well. So just throwing that out there.

I'm not opposed to it. It's just the town of Eagle is kind of -- I don't know, what would you say, Don, it's kind of in regress at this point in time but maybe -- you know, maybe the Village of Eagle would want to host something like that, I don't know.

MS. MCDAVID: You might also consider that at this meeting you won't actually be voting on proposals and so like we did, when we met in Arctic Village, that was a good opportunity for the community to provide feedback to you when you were actually voting on proposals, instead of developing them, so take that into consideration as well.

MR. BASSICH: Well, I will say one thing, other than weather, we do have good air service in and out of Eagle now and there is somewhat of a hotel and I don't know, maybe we could always run that by -- we could put that on as a potential and maybe Don and I could poll the community and see what they think about it and the village has expanded quite a bit there, it has a lot more capacity than it used to, a great meeting hall. So it's more of a matter of the housing of people. So -- and I'd put a lot of people up but I only have a couple of snowmachines to get them from my place up to Eagle and that's an hour trip every day so.

MS. WESSELS: You know if you think that Eagle has an infrastructure the Council can also propose to add it to the hub communities list, you know, when we're reviewing it again.

MR. BASSICH: For the record we'll research that and get back to you. You know I think it would be nice to have a meeting in Eagle whether it's a fall or winter into the future here, especially if it was a fisheries meeting. But let us research that and

we'll get back to you. I would hate to impose upon the infrastructure there with people unless they were onboard with it.

 MS. MCDAVID: You'll get to review this at the fall -- at your fall meeting, so if you want to have Fairbanks now, for February 19th and 20th and then at the fall, after you've spoken with folks in your communities, and somebody wants to propose a different location than Fairbanks, you could change it.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ SHOCKLEY: Well, maybe we could even put Manley. It would be a good wildlife place. Okay.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: That's not a bad idea with the bison too. Do -- so are we going to -- do we need a motion, yeah, just pick that day then.

MS. MCDAVID: You don't need a motion, I'm just confirming Fairbanks tentative for now and that can be changed at your next meeting because this isn't until the winter of 2025 that we're talking about, sound okay.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: (Nods

27 affirmatively)
28

MS. MCDAVID: Okay. Let's move on then to fall 2025 next page, 122, there is one week that is not available because two RACs have already chosen, that's that last week there on the calendar, October 27th, so don't consider that one but your other weeks are available.

(Pause)

MS. MCDAVID: And this is a long ways out, I know, so you can, you know, at future meetings, like at this coming fall and the next coming winter, if you, you know, become aware of some conflicts you can change the dates. It's going to be harder to change the dates once other RACs have, you know, chosen their dates because we can only accommodate -- Staff-wise, only accommodate two RAC meetings in one week. But I know there's always lots of things going on in October so.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Do we want to stick

in the same timeframe where it's like the second week of October or like maybe the -- well, this would be October 21st. I guess for us, the thing is -- the thing that gets in the way is Alaska Federation of Natives.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, from my perspective getting out of my place I always count as October 20th my last day on the river, generally speaking, because of ice flow.

(Council talking no microphones)

MR. BASSICH: In October, or is that what you're talking about for next year -- yeah, no, I'm just letting you know, you know, that's -- in my head, traditionally, 20th of October a lot of times the river is -- I can't travel much after that with a boat. It's not frozen and the ice is flowing that's why I've always kind of preferred either earlier October or that mid-October range, you know. What we did last year worked fine and I think with global warmer it seems like it's being a little bit more friendly but you know how the ice is, it's there one day and if I got a boat up in Eagle, the only way home is a helicopter. So for OSM's willing to fly in a helicopter and get me, I'm all in.

(Laughter)

MR. BASSICH: But I could always -- one thing I do want to let the Council know, I can always attend virtually to any meeting. I do have good internet now so that's always an option. So I'll go for whatever works best for Council members, I'll make my end happen one way or another.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Can we -- so do you -- is everybody okay with maybe thinking about doing that same week, the 7th, 8th, 9th.

MS. SHOCKLEY: That's what I was looking at too, and maybe we can put Manley on that one so we could drive.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: That sounds nice to me, 7th, 8th and 9th and I agree with Manley. Dorothy, do we have space in Manley for our people, though.....

0124 1 that are available with the Roadhouse. It just depends 2 on whether the Roadhouse is open. I know that the 5 6 7

resort is expanding. But there are cabins for rent as well through the Council and, yeah, they have a school, it could possibly be a place for people to house as well. MS. MCDAVID: Sounds good. And you

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

could have a -- always have a back -- Fairbanks is probably going to always be your default back up but you'd have opportunity to change this location if you want in the future before it's final. So I have October 7, 8 and 9 preference for Manley Hot Springs for fall 2025.

15 16 17

Okay, thank you all for your comments.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Well, there are cabins

18 19

20

And, just, Madame Chair, we did add the follow up items from the joint Council meeting as the next agenda item. Bumped that up so.

21 22 23

24

25

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Okay. Do -- and then do we want to talk about the letter again, does everybody have the letter, how do we want to do this, do you have any input Brooke?

30

31

MS. MCDAVID: My thought would be if you guys were happy with the discussion that was on the record the other day, you could make a motion to support the letter, reference the discussion that was had and support it that way.

32 33 34

MR. BASSICH: (No microphone)

35 36

MS. SHOCKLEY: (No microphone)

37 38

39

40

MS. MCDAVID: There was one on fish, there's one on caribou and there are a couple of others if you want me to pass them out. If you want to do one big motion or.....

41 42 43

MR. BASSICH: Can we break it up?

44 45

MS. MCDAVID: Yep.

46 47

MR. BASSICH: I'd like to just make a motion that we approve the letters from the full RAC discussions -- let me say that again. I make a motion

49 50

that we sign on to the fisheries and the caribou letters as discussed during the joint All-RAC meeting to be forwarded to OSM and the Federal Subsistence Board.

MR. WOODRUFF: I'll second.

MR. BASSICH: Okay. And then speaking to the motion, I'm just going to reference all the discussion that took place on the development of both of those two letters and that I'm sure we'll see a reviewed copy or a draft letter at some point in time. But I'm quite comfortable with the discussion we had, it was quite thorough and I feel like it represented my views, anyway, on the RAC, and probably the entire RAC here from what I sensed.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ SHOCKLEY: My question was, when can we see a draft?

MS. MCDAVID: That's a good question, Dorothy, and I don't have an answer for you. We're going to try to get a draft out as quick as we can after the All-Council meeting, back to you all. You know, there's a lot of follow up things after these meetings but we do recognize, you know, the North Pacific Fisheries meeting is coming up in April. There's an item in there that addresses, you know, things that are coming up at that meeting, so we want to definitely work to get that, you know, before that, so I mean within a month, if not sooner.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: And just for the record I'm going to state again, the item about -- in the letter that supports putting positions on the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, if that process was similar to selecting tribal seats for the Advisory Panel, then I think that I would support that. Just -because we had a comment in there about we want two more seats added to the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council and the language, which I don't want to have in there because it causes too much controversy, was, not from CDQ or connected to commercial fisheries, so I think if that process follows the same process as selecting the Advisory Panel seat, which is me, then that process will put the right person in the place because the tribes will work it out by their letters of support.

```
0126
 1
                     I hope that was a clear comment.
 2
 3
                     That's the only thing strongly I really
 4
    wanted to make sure that the letter -- that that edit
 5
    was clear. So question.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
 9
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Okay, let's support
10
     it then. I'm going to call a vote for unanimous
11
     consent. All those in favor of supporting the letters
     -- are we going to do both chum and caribou here or
12
13
     chum -- okay, we'll support both chum and caribou in
14
     this motion. All those in favor say aye.
15
16
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
17
18
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: All those opposed
19
     same sign.
20
21
                     (No opposing votes)
22
23
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Okay, motion
24
     passes.
25
26
                     MS. MCDAVID: And just for
27
     clarification, it wasn't just chum, there's other
28
    bycaught species in fisheries, thanks.
29
30
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Oh, I (no
31
    microphone)
32
33
                     MS. MCDAVID: That's okay, just wanted
34
     to clear that up for the record. Dorothy was going to
35
    have a heart attack.
36
37
                                   (No microphone)
                     MS. SHOCKLEY:
38
39
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK:
                                         (No microphone)
40
41
                     MS. MCDAVID: Madame Chair, if you want
42
     there were a couple other things that were brought up
43
     as joint items I can help you work through those if you
44
     want. Two that were brought to our attention, well,
45
     let's just start with the d(1) lands issue. You know,
46
     the Eastern Interior Council didn't have any lands
47
    within your region that came up for review, but other
48
     Councils have submitted letters about the importance of
49
     keeping those lands with Federal subsistence
50
```

```
0127
 1
    protections on them, and if you all wanted to sign on
     to a joint letter in solidarity with those other
 2
     Councils, now would be the time to make a motion for
 4
     that.
 5
 6
                     MR. BASSICH: Maybe -- would this maybe
 7
    be a little faster if you went through the bullet
     points of all the different letters that we discussed
 8
 9
     in the joint meeting and then we could do one motion to
10
     sign on all of them or do you want us to do them
11
     individually. I'm just thinking, if you went down the
12
     list then I can make a motion and we can have some
13
    brief discussion and eliminate any of them that we
14
    don't want to. It might be quicker.
15
16
                     MS. MCDAVID: I like that suggestion,
17
    Madame Chair, are you okay with that?
18
19
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK:
20
     affirmatively)
21
22
                     MS. MCDAVID: Okay. All right. So one
23
     was the d(1) lands.
24
25
                     The second item was dealing with the
26
    national standards in the Magnuson-Stevens Act that
27
    need modified.
28
29
                     The next item was about Council member
30
     compensation when participating in meetings on behalf
31
     of the Council.
32
33
                     And the next item was about
     correspondence between -- Council correspondence, the
34
35
     Board -- okay, let me just read this real quick, it's
36
     like one sentence. Highlighting issues relating to
37
    Council correspondence and requesting the Board to
     address these issues, the issues include the timeliness
38
39
     of Board replies to or transmittal of Council
40
     correspondence and the lack of direct replies to the
41
    Councils from the Offices of the Secretaries of
42
    Agriculture and Interior for correspondence which the
43
    Board forwards or elevates to them.
44
45
                     So basically like a process needs to be
46
     agreed upon for getting replies back from that level to
     you guys when you forward.
47
```

Thanks.

49 50

```
0128
 1
                     MR. BASSICH: If I can, would it be
 2
     safe to call that correspondence response?
 4
                     MS. MCDAVID: Sure.
 5
 6
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay.
 7
 8
                     MS. MCDAVID: And one more thing that I
 9
     have is if you all want to support this House Joint
10
     Resolution about modifying the Alaska.....
11
12
                     (Laughter)
13
14
                     MS. MCDAVID: ....Constitution to
15
     include a rural preference. That's not the exact
16
     language but you could decide.
17
18
                     MR. BASSICH: What was the name of that
19
     one (no microphone).....
20
21
                     MS. MCDAVID:
                                  It's really long.
22
23
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   .....(no microphone)
24
     government....
25
26
                     MS. MCDAVID: It's also really --
27
     HJR22. And there might be one or -- I got to find
     stuff -- if you guys want to have any discussion while
28
29
     I'm looking for -- make sure I didn't miss something.
30
31
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Dorothy.
32
33
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Okay. In regards to the
34
    d(1) lands and I have the map here, it looks like some
     of that land is in the Eastern Interior and that's the
36
     land just below Tanana, south of Tanana. So just an
37
     FYI on that.
38
39
                     MR. BASSICH: Yeah, my recommendation
40
     is I'll make a motion to include these items and then
41
    maybe we could very quickly go through each bullet and
42
     say yes or no and have a brief discussion on it, right,
43
     and then we're getting on the record that we support a
44
     letter to say d(1) -- support d(1) lands national
45
     standards, so on and so forth. And then if there's one
46
    that we don't want to do then we just defeat that -- we
47
    take that off. Does that work process-wise for the
48
    motion or do they all have to be supported or rejected?
49
     That's the point I'm trying.....
```

```
0129
 1
                     MS. MCDAVID: It might be easier if you
 2
    guys decide which ones you want on the list and then
    make a motion to support the ones you want.
 4
 5
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay. Okay.
 6
 7
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: And I was just starting
 8
     the process.
 9
10
                     MR. BASSICH: Yeah, yeah, no, I got it,
11
     thank you.
12
13
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Just (no
14
    microphone) .....
15
16
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: The one that I feel
17
     that we don't have enough information on is the HJR22.
18
19
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay.
20
21
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Given the nature of
22
     the lawsuits that are happening right now about this
23
     specific issue, it could be really dangerous and I've
24
     been trying to talk to some of my colleagues about it
25
     and so right now, not having enough time to do the
26
    homework, I'm not really comfortable just blanket
27
     supporting this HJR22 right now. So that one I'd like
28
     to leave out unless anybody else feels strongly and
29
    wants to talk about that.
30
31
                     MR. BASSICH: No.
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: No. Okay, so
34
     that's the only one.....
35
36
                     MR. BASSICH: We'll go for the easy --
37
     we'll go for the easy route on the motion.
38
39
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Unless, do you have
40
     other stuff?
41
42
                     MS. MCDAVID: No. I mean there's a
43
    bunch of other topics that came up in the joint Council
44
     session but it didn't seem like there was as much like
45
     concurrence on some of those....
46
47
                     MR. BASSICH: Right.
48
49
                     MS. MCDAVID: .....other topics and so
50
```

```
0130
    I think that's the core.....
 2
 3
                     MR. BASSICH: Right.
 4
 5
                     MS. MCDAVID:
                                   .....of the items.
 6
     Thanks.
 7
 8
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay. Madame Chair, if
 9
     you'd like a motion I'd like to make a motion that we
10
     draft letters as discussed and brought up by the All-
11
    Council RAC regarding the d(1) lands issue, the
12
    national standards of the Magnuson-Stevens issue, the
13
     compensation for members of RACs issue and the
14
    discussion on timely correspondence responses to our
15
     letters or request.
16
17
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: (No microphone)
18
19
                     MR. BASSICH: Second.
20
21
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay. So maybe just to
22
     start the discussion, the d(1) lands, is there anybody
23
     opposed to us addressing that in a letter? We don't
24
     need to get into the nuts and bolts so much because we
25
     talked about it at the All-RAC section, right. So
26
    we're basically here, we're just trying to identify the
27
     letters that we will draft, we don't have to get into
28
    the nuts and bolts of it at this point.
29
30
                     MS. SHOCKLEY:
                                    Send (No
31
    microphone) ....
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: And I like the idea
34
     that Brooke said, the aligning with the regions like
     Western Interior and their comments, I think, is
     strong. And then I think just one comment, in Ambler
36
37
     Road, one of the EIS' that came out said the
38
     significant impact to subsistence resources is
39
     necessary to manage the other potential uses of this
     land, and referring to the economic activity and so I
40
41
     think it's just really important that we support the
42
     Western Interior and keep these d(1) lands.
43
44
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: But it looks like we
45
    have some lands in our area as well so.
46
47
                     MS. MCDAVID: Dorothy, there's some
48
     details to this that I don't have complete answers to
49
    but I think there's some issue that because a resource
```

```
0131
 1
    management plan for the Central Yukon area was not
    passed under the past Administration, and some of the
 2
    public land orders that are related to this only
    applied to areas that have those plans in place and I
 5
    may be butchering that but you would need -- we would
 6
    need some clarification from someone from BLM about --
 7
     about that.
 8
 9
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: (No microphone)
10
11
                     MS. MCDAVID: I'm not trying to confuse
12
     it more.
13
14
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Well, do you have this
15
     map?
16
17
                     MS. MCDAVID: Yes, I have this map.
18
     I'm not trying to say there's not BLM lands in our
19
     region because there definitely are but there's
20
     something related to the withdrawals that might not
21
     apply in our region because we don't have the right
22
     plans in place at the moment. But....
23
24
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: As long as we know (no
25
     microphone) and we support it, I means.....
26
27
                     REPORTER:
                               Turn your mic on.
28
29
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Oh. All land.
30
31
                     MS. MCDAVID: All land.
32
33
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Yeah, we support in all
34
     lands regardless of where they are.
35
36
                     MR. BASSICH: So I guess I would ask,
37
     are there any other comments in reference to the d(1)
38
     discussion topic. Any other additions.
39
40
                     (No comments)
41
42
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay. Seeing none, then
43
     the next one I would say is we want to address the
44
     national standards in the Magnuson-Stevens Act, and
     I'll also reference the discussions at the All-RAC
45
46
    meeting. Were there any additions that weren't
47
     discussed during that, or maybe something we want to
48
     highlight?
```

0132 1 MS. SHOCKLEY: (No microphone) 2 3 ACTING CHAIR BURK: I have some changes 4 -- I'm sorry, I do have some specific language, do you 5 want me to read it about the things that we're looking 6 for or do you.... 7 8 MR. BASSICH: I guess that would be 9 helpful just to get it on the record and then, you 10 know, when Brooke starts drafting this and working with 11 whomever from our RAC they'll have that information. 12 13 ACTING CHAIR BURK: Should I (no 14 microphone) 15 16 MS. SHOCKLEY: Just say (no 17 microphone) 18 19 ACTING CHAIR BURK: For the sake of 20 time I'm just going to highlight, national -- we're 21 looking for updates specifically to guidelines for national standards 4,8 and 9. No. 4 should make clear 22 23 the overall benefit must include cultural, well-being, 24 food security, historical dependence, ecological 25 health. National Standard 8, you know, make clear that 26 the burden of conservation for declining fish stocks should be shared by all user groups, including those 28 that catch fish stock as bycatch and measures should 29 provide for continued participation of subsistence 30 fishing communities of the fish stock. No. 9, NMFS 31 should ensure that its implementation guidelines 32 clearly define practicability and emphasize what is 33 achievable in terms of bycatch reductions. And that may 34 not be easy or convenient for fishery participations. 35 36 So it's kind of a quick summary, I hope 37 that helps. 38 39 MR. BASSICH: So I'm just going to keep 40 moving along here. Is there any other additions on 41 that topic from any other Council members. 42 43 (No comments) 44 45 MR. BASSICH: Not seeing anything, 46 we'll move on to the next one, No. 3, was compensation 47 for members. I think that was fairly well covered in 48 our All-RAC discussion, the desire to seek compensation 49 above and beyond per diems. Any other additions there

from Council members. Thoughts.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Well, I don't know if this really -- but it's kind of a side note. At the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council we do get a stipend for being on the AP. It's, I think \$150 per day and I have to say the North Pacific has less budget than us because they can't even offer coffee for the AP let alone coffee for the public as was offered here, so just, I think go for it.

(Laughter)

MR. BASSICH: Okay. Well, yeah, we'll just keep on moving then.

(Laughter)

MR. BASSICH: Go ahead.

MS. MCDAVID: Can I add one thing to that. I just want to say that, you know, a lot of the reason why the YKDelta put this forward was to, you know, to recognize the immense contributions that you all have to this Program and, yeah, making sure that you're recognized for that. So thank you guys, again, for everything you do as volunteers.

MR. BASSICH: I can appreciate that but, however, you know, like on the Yukon River Panel, only Panel members are compensated, advisors or alternates are not, so this would add a tremendous amount to the budget for OSM so I understand.

Okay, moving on, No. 4 bullet was timely correspondence responses to our letters by OSM and entities that they forward these letters to, i.e., agencies, or State Department, or Commerce Department. So any other things we want to add to that in regards to responses to our correspondence.

 ACTING CHAIR BURK: Just a -- I think it's just a point of clarification, when can -- and we were talking about this. When we send -- when we're sending a letter to the Federal Subsistence Board and we want them to send a letter on our behalf, can we send that letter and CC the person that we want it sent to; is that allowed.....

```
0134
 1
                     MR. BASSICH: Unh-unh.
 2
 3
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: ....it's not
 4
    allowed?
 5
 6
                     MR. BASSICH: Unh-unh.
 7
 8
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Okay. I just
 9
     needed that clarification, thank you.
10
11
                     MR. BASSICH: Yeah, no, we've gone
12
    round and round on this for many, many years from my
13
    recollection and it is a little bit of a stone in the
    craw for many of us Council members but it's the
14
15
    process that's in place unfortunately. So I guess what
16
    we're asking for, primarily, is that OSM continue to
17
    send these letters but maybe also maybe follow up
18
    reminders that we haven't gotten responses for some of
19
    these tardy responses. You know it's mainly the high
20
    level government entities that are probably swamped
21
    with a lot of other stuff, but, still we are taxpayers
22
    too.
23
24
                     MS. MCDAVID: And I just wanted to add
25
     to this, I don't believe that currently the Federal
     Subsistence Board has a correspondence policy like the
26
27
     Council's do, you know, like a formal policy, you know,
     we can refer to yours in the book so that could be
28
29
     something you might suggest be developed.
30
31
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: I -- yeah, I would like
32
     to suggest that or -- yeah, suggest that. My brain is
33
     also failing. But I mean we want response to our
34
     letters but do we -- I mean does that include action?
35
36
                     MR. BASSICH: Sometimes.
37
38
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Can we add action as
39
    well?
40
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Well, in these
41
42
    letters we are asking for action and so that's -- I
43
     think maybe the question we should be asking is how are
44
     we tracking, how are we tracking, when did we requested
45
     and so maybe in the letter, maybe we need to be
46
     responded to by a certain date.
47
48
                     MR. BASSICH: I think that the issue is
49
    not necessarily what the response is, it's that
```

sometimes we send letters and we never get a response from them and so that's the issue that we're seeking to resolve, is that we -- we're taking our time and our efforts and using our knowledge to send letters and request actions but we're not even getting a reply that we've even received your request, right, so some kind of -- I guess the word, timely, in this is very important and so I'm not sure how we can work with OSM and the Federal Subsistence Board to do that. Maybe through your inner-office you can brainstorm and add that into the letter or suggest it when we compose.

MS. MCDAVID: One thing that has been discussed is potentially adding an item to Board meetings about Council correspondence so that it has a place on the agenda for discussion and review and action, potentially, depending on what the letters are about. So if you have any comments or feedback on whether or not that should be something that should be a little more formalized as a policy.

MR. BASSICH: Okay.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Formalized as a policy, I like that language.

MS. SHOCKLEY: And I think -- and I'm not sure how this letter is being written, but emphasize that as RACs our roles and responsibilities are to -- I think our only action, really, is to write letters, right, and so it's important that, you know, our roles and responsibilities are being taken seriously and responded to.

MR. BASSICH: Okay. So those four bullet points are what was in the motion and I think we've modified those and made some additional clarification on that. Is there any other discussion on this topic.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Want to call

45 question.

47 MR. BASSICH: Yeah, and you're the 48 Chair so.....

```
0136
 1
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: So then I'm going
 2
    to ask for unanimous consent to support the motion.
    All those in favor say aye.
 4
 5
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 6
 7
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: All those opposed
 8
     same sign.
 9
10
                     (No opposing votes)
11
12
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: All right, more
13
    work for Brooke, great job.
14
15
                     MR. BASSICH: I do have one question
16
     and that's just our procedural, it's super minor but
17
     when you ask for unanimous consent, generally don't you
18
     just ask for any nay votes?
19
20
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Yeah.
21
22
                     MR. BASSICH: And so instead of saying
23
     I'm asking for a consensus vote, then all you're asking
24
     for is a negative at that point otherwise it just --
25
     consensus means that everybody's in agreement.
26
27
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Yeah.
28
29
                     MR. BASSICH: So it's kind of a
30
     technicality but the proper way to do it would be to
31
     then say, I'm asking for unanimous consent, are there
32
     any opposed.
33
34
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK:
                                         Okay.
35
36
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay.
37
38
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Yep.
39
40
                     MR. BASSICH: I just wanted to clarify
41
     that because it might be a little confusing at times.
42
43
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: Yep.
44
45
                     MR. BASSICH: And after we just had our
46
    great training the other day, or yesterday or the day
47
    before it's all a blur, there we go.
48
49
                     MS. MCDAVID: Well, unfortunately.....
50
```

```
0137
 1
                     MR. BASSICH: We got to show Katya we
 2
     listened to her and we're doing what she said we're
     supposed to do.
 4
 5
                     (Laughter)
 6
 7
                     MS. MCDAVID: Well, unfortunately your
 8
    Coordinator did not receive Robert's Rules training
    because I was drafting bycatch letters but thanks for
 9
10
    helping with that Andy. We're all learning as we go so
    we appreciate feedback from folks that have been on
11
12
     this Council and know these processes really well.
13
14
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Okay, so we're good
15
     on that item and then the next item is public and
16
     tribal comment on non-agenda items, right.
17
18
                     MS. MCDAVID: That's correct. And I
19
    think unfortunately we've lost all the members of our
20
    public.
21
22
                     (Laughter)
23
24
                     MS. MCDAVID: We had Melanie Brown, she
25
    wanted to speak to the d(1) and national standards but
26
    we assured her that the Council would be speaking about
27
     that. And then Jan Woodruff also said that it was okay
     if we didn't get to her. So, those were two folks that
28
29
     -- hopefully if they would like to get in touch with
30
     the Council they know how to find us.
31
32
                     I guess before we move on to any non-
33
     other non-action item stuff, if....
34
35
                     MS. EVANS: Can you read us -- can you
36
     let us know what those people's comments were?
37
38
                     MS. MCDAVID: Sorry, if I wasn't
39
     clear....
40
                     MS. EVANS: Or could we acknowledge
41
42
     them or something?
43
44
                     MS. MCDAVID: So just a -- sorry I
45
     wasn't clear, Linda, they had signed up to give
46
     testimony....
47
48
                     MS. EVANS: Oh.
49
```

MS. MCDAVID:orally, they didn't actually submit any written comments to us so.....

MS. EVANS: Oh.

MS. MCDAVID:yeah this was for oral testimony and we just didn't get to that point in time for them to be able to do that, understandably.

MS. EVANS: Okay.

MS. MCDAVID: Yeah, no worries. I guess I would ask if there are any other correspondence that the Council is interested in sending. The only thing that I had noted down that I heard come up was about wood bison because you guys previously sent a letter supporting the continuation of that planning process and it sounds like there might be some new concerns about how that's going. I don't want to like derail the meeting and get on a whole thing but if anyone has any thoughts on that you could decide.

MR. BASSICH: I guess from my perspective, we've invested two decades now into wood bison, we are learning a lot about it. It may not prove to be successful, but I think it's a good road to go down given food security and, you know, wood bison do very well in the Yukon territory to the point where they're almost like beaver now, they're almost a nuisance. So I think we need to give it more time, give it support, let it continue to happen. I don't think it's harming the land. I don't think it's harming the people. It just may not be as productive as what we had maybe hoped it would be at this point in time. But from my perspective I think it's worth still supporting and maybe down the line, you know, if it's going to fail, it's going to fail, the way I see it.

But I think anything we can do to try and put meat on the table for people or potentially into the future. We don't know what's going to happen with climate change in 10 years and we don't know where subsistence living's going to be in 10 years so anything that might benefit that I personally am going to be in support of.

That's my feelings on all those types of programs even though we recognize they may not prove out.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Well, as a land owner and for our village corporation lands, we strongly oppose this in our area. And it does disrupt land. We are getting reports that they are, you know, tearing up land. And so, yeah, I totally oppose this. But I would also like to see more discussion, I guess, at our fall meeting if that can be put on our agenda.

But, you know, in attending the lower Tanana -- upper Tanana, I don't know, lower Tanana, upper Tanana meetings, yeah, Rampart/Manley and Tanana opposed the wood bison. And, you know, I don't like the way that, you know, the current Administration of the State is mandating that these be put in the Interior and, you know, my comments at the first -just observing the first meeting that I went to last year, and I've been involved with wood bison, you know, since the inception around Stevens Village in the early -- or late '90s. I know they were all supportive of that. But, you know, in the process, you know, we have, I think -- I think in my perspective anyway, you know, the Safari Club was there and they donated money to the project and that was very concerning, you know, they wanted -- they want sporthunting and, you know, all the village corporations and people in these -- in this area, you know, we have -- you know we are inundated with trespassers and this is just going to cause more because, you know, in the Delta -- with the Delta Herd they get, I think they said like 40,000 permits, or requests for -- or, is that, yeah, they buy lottery permits or something. And so, you know, it is huge as far as sportshunting. Sporthunting. And so we just don't want anymore.

And then the other thing is because of the Constitution, they cannot guarantee that this would be food -- food security. None. I mean they cannot. And so, you know, when they say, well, this is food security, that's -- that's not the case at all.

So, thank you.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Madame Chair. Our AC had a meeting, I think it was the 20th or 27th of February and our Chairman asked for Tom Seaton to come and speak to us more about the wood bison but from discussions I've had with Carma, the AC Chairman, it seems to be that the wood bison is going towards a high dollar hunt and that does not include local residents,

obviously. And I think it's just another revenue source for the State. But as our testimony of our friend, anything is better than nothing.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: I have a little bit more information on this too. So right now I need to figure out -- because we're the people -- we're the people of the lower Tanana, I am lower Tanana Dena' so is Dorothy, and Linda is Koyukuk I'm pretty sure, but so am I.

MS. SHOCKLEY: (No microphone)

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Yeah, we're all -we're everything. But those are our lands and the villages of Minto had a meeting and I need to figure out if their Council decided to support or oppose this but I can tell you that Nenana Native Village does not support the bison so, we in our AC, to respect Minto tribes, did not vote on whether or not to support bison, we're going to do that later. So given -- I --I even initially supported bison but because I need to respect the wishes of the communities and the people that live in this area, the people that are actually indigenous to the lower Tanana, I think that -- I don't know if this Council should support that, the letter anymore, because it's -- the people have spoken and their opinions have changed. So I personally am uncomfortable supporting bison at this time.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. A lot of new information for me. When I remember hearing about wood bison it had to be accepted in the communities and they had to want it, it wasn't jammed down their throat, so maybe things have changed and if it is an agenda item in the fall we can maybe educate ourselves a little bit more and make a more informed recommendation. So that would be my recommendation.

Madame Chair.

 MS. MCDAVID: I have it noted as an agenda item for the fall meeting. There's no guarantee that Fish and Game would come and give a presentation or anything but it doesn't mean that we can't discuss it with our Federal partners that might be land owners and managers.

MR. BASSICH: Just ask one question, in

these follow -- in these last items here, are these all things that we want to address right now or are there some that we just want to pull out given the time and effort that we have tonight, the important ones.

MS. MCDAVID: Madame Chair. I could just give real, like bullet points, for each of these and not go into real deep discussion. If you guys wanted to go into discussion based on anything I say you could.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Let's do that, Brooke. Because I think we've already heard a little bit about some.

 $\operatorname{MS.}$ MCDAVID: Yeah. So we already did A under other business.

B. WIRAC is submitting a statewide Board of Game proposal to remove the counting of the rings -- I always forget to pronounce the -- annuli or whatever they're called -- I'm not a wildlife biologist, but as a way to age sheep in the field when you're hunting, they want to solely rely on the fullcurl. And they basically wanted us to know that they're submitting that proposal. You could sign on as a co-proponent or at the fall meeting you could probably submit a comment if you support or don't.

Next item, charter changes. You heard from Katya during the joint session that the non-voting young leaders seat was added to each Council's charter but actually implementing and getting someone in that seat, there still needs to be a process worked out for that and we don't know if that's going to involve, you know, going through the Secretary like we do for you all to sit on this Council which would make it a lot more complicated.

Hunter ethics. I let you guys know we did have a working group meeting, we're planning on having more. I'll reach out to you guys when we're able to set up a date for that next one and anyone's welcome to participate.

It sounds like Andy wants to.....

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ BASSICH: Yeah, I just wanted to forward a few thoughts by Charlie -- Charlie Jagow,

```
0142
 1
     who's not here. Before he left he said that -- and I
     don't always necessarily agree with Charlie but on this
 2
     one I'm going to -- I'm just joking.
 4
 5
                     He said that -- actually we're alike
 6
     this.
 7
 8
                     He said that he thought that it was
 9
     really important for the Council to have some positive
10
    messaging from time to time. That it seems like
11
     everything's always doom and gloom and everything.
12
13
                     And so when it came to hunter ethics, I
14
    wanted -- I told him that I would bring this up and
15
     that I would jokingly disagree with him, but I would
16
     say that I think hunter ethics is a positive thing in
17
    our process and I think we're going to begin to see
18
    some progress in this, some movement, we got delayed by
19
    Covid a lot but I think there's a lot of potential.
20
    And then listening to a lot of the discussion on the
21
    Western Herd and other areas it's very plain to me that
22
    hunter ethics is very much needed around the state so
23
     I'm hoping that we can set the tone and develop that.
24
25
                     So anyway I just wanted to pass that on
26
     and jokingly let people know that Charlie made that
27
     comment to me, I guaranteed to him that I would get
28
     that on the record.
29
30
                     MS. MCDAVID: That's the longest
31
     comment that Charlie's ever made at a Council meeting
32
     and he didn't even say it.
33
34
                     MR. BASSICH: That's because it came
35
     out of my mouth.
36
37
                     (Laughter)
38
39
                     MR. BASSICH: And we all know how that
40
     goes.
41
42
                     (Laughter)
43
44
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Thank you, keep going.
45
46
                     MS. MCDAVID:
                                   Thanks Andy for jumping
47
     in there.
48
49
                     You already heard about OSM is working
50
```

with the ISC to review the comment policy for Board of Fish proposals, what you all requested, but also other comment policies.

And Council correspondence. I include copies of all the letters that we sent after the last meeting in your meeting books. If you guys have any questions about those I tried to give updates on whether or not we had responses when we went through our annual report and for the most part we don't have responses yet, which, hopefully that will change before the next time we meet. And as a reminder the Board will go over your annual report topics at their work session this summer and that would be an opportunity to provide any additional feedback to them or information at that time.

Thanks.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: So we don't need to take any actions on any of these that I can see, right.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Okay. So do we need to do agency and organization reports, I see all the written things so we're good on that, I'm thinking.

MS. MCDAVID: Yeah, for this meeting due to the shortened agenda we just requested written reports if any agencies wanted to give you updates. That's -- they're in your book and if you want to hear oral reports at your fall meeting on any of these you can request that when we develop the agenda for the fall.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: All right. So I'm going to ask -- I think we made it guys, just two hours late, that's all. We really wanted to do that annual report.

(Laughter)

 $\,$ ACTING CHAIR BURK: Is there any more closing comments this evening.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ BASSICH: Yeah, thanks. First of all, always thanks to OSM and the Staff for all the dedication that they put into this and all the work. I

2

know that sometimes the Eastern RAC kind of gets you wrapped around the axle and you guys get us wrapped around the axle but it all ends well usually and that's part of the process.

4 5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

I really wanted to make the comment on the record that I think the time spent over the past few days and the All-RAC process is, I think one of the most beneficial things that I've seen happen in a long time. It is vitally important on, especially these fisheries issues, to have complete statewide efforts and all people at the table. We may not have consensus on everything but that is the process that we are going to need to have happen to get through this. And I know that OSM had to do a little bit of rearranging of schedules, but I really appreciate that they allowed that to happen. I think that was time well spent, and it set -- hopefully set a precedent into the future to where we can have more discussions. I've met a lot of people in the hallway and had a lot of really great discussions and it's building bridges like that that's going to solve the problem and I really appreciate the effort that went into putting this together. I realize it's not going to happen a lot but I think it is important for it to happen occasionally. I also wanted to throw in that I think, from my perspective being on the Council for 22 years now, Tri-RAC meetings for us on the Yukon are very beneficial because basically when you can get user -- when you can get all user groups from a region in Alaska to work on an issue, you usually make a lot of progress. And in Alaska what I've noticed is we -- it's a big state and we do have regions that have an awful lot in common with each other in certain species, whether it be fish or wildlife, and the one problem -- or the one solution that might fit our region may not quite work but through working with other RACs that have the same concerns or the same problems I think we get to solutions a lot quicker. So I do encourage that into the future. I know that's also complicated and expensive but I think it's very productive to do occasionally. So I just wanted to get that on the record.

43 44 45

46

47

48

I think the letters that we were able to formulate as an All-RAC was probably, in my opinion, one of the nicest and most effective things and kind of, for me, heartwarming, to see that we were able to do that since I've been on the RAC. I think that was

incredibly valuable. I think that will become even more apparent as we move forward working on these issues but I wanted to get it on the record that that was a very unique thing to happen and a really positive thing to happen. And I appreciate the effort by everybody that went in to doing that. And I especially want to thank Brooke and Eva and Charlie because they drove the cart on this, they drove the horse on this, they made it happen and, Eva, you are an absolutely incredible person when it come to these policies and you're so focused and I really appreciate how you can streamline things and make them happen like that. You, and I know you both worked on those letters together and I know a lot of this came also from Charlie, so I really wanted to just acknowledge that that was an incredible thing to have happen and you need to be commended for that, so thank you.

(Applause)

MR. VICKERS: I just wanted to say we didn't have a real OSM support, so I just want to, on behalf of the Office of Subsistence Management, thank you all, Council, and Council Coordinator, but Council members this is just a -- if I could go to your Council meetings every time, I would. You're an absolute pleasure to work with, you're very thoughtful, very intelligent and good people. So thank you for all your efforts and for being here this week, it's great. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Any other comments.

MS. SHOCKLEY: Yes. Well, thank you. Thank you, Brooke. Thank you everyone. Our new Chair -- Co-Chair, and Secretary, right, thank you, really appreciate this. I know it gets very discouraging, it seems like, when we're giving our reports, the energy in the room goes really low and that, you know, is just the way it is. And I know that, you know, it is very discouraging at times but, you know, we just got to continue pushing through.

But I would like to add to our fall agenda, I guess, wood bison, I mentioned that, d(1) lands, and the Magnuson-Stevens Act. And it seems like there was something else but I can't remember.

Thank you.

1 MS. MCDAVID: Madame Chair, I -- oh, 2 sorry.

MS. EVANS: I'd like to thank everyone who did the hard work putting this meeting together. I especially enjoyed the All-Council session where we were able to hear from other people from around Alaska and it's very eye-opening to hear the different issues that people are dealing with but also the common -- the common core issues we all deal with, especially on fisheries and our subsistence resources.

I'm very happy that I was able to attend and give my input. I think there's lots — there's so much knowledgeable people on our Eastern RAC here, it just kind of amazes me how they're able to just drum up all this information from since they've been here from 20 years, you know, I think that's pretty neat.

I do have concerns, just like everybody else, and I think instead of just talking about the issues we need to set some plans in place and coordinate our efforts with other agencies who are working with these same issues. It's very important that we figure out a plan to -- how to get our fish back on the table, you know. Just not having our salmon, king salmon, chinook salmon is -- that's a hard one. That's really hard to take especially when you're grown up and raised on it, you know, and it is for me and I just think of all the other people around the state of Alaska who have resources that are also being depleted by various, you know, natural things that are going on with like climate change and stuff. But I know as we work together and we continue to strive to provide or to support each other in our subsistence uses of our resources in Alaska, I mean I just think that we'll get to the -- we'll get to where we can see salmon back on the table again.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: Any more comments.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Madame Chair. One of the things that I think really prompted us to move for these two letters that we formed in the Joint Council and one of them was Brooke asked us on our pre-Council meeting, roundtable lobby, she asked us how can

we be more effective, and I think Eva and Charlie took that to heart, and they stepped up to the plate and Katya supported us, which was really welcomed and so we got it done. I mean it was two big steps forward for us and I appreciate everybody's effort to make that happen.

And thank you to the OSM Staff that are still here holding on and all the support they give us. I really welcome them to Eagle when the chance comes.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR BURK: I just really want to thank everybody for the kind words. This is only my second meeting on the EIRAC and then to have been in this space yesterday and I think I haven't been here long enough to appreciate what a moment it was and so I'm really grateful that I got to be part of that, extremely grateful, and that I also appreciated the Staff -- I can really tell that a lot of work and thought has been put into this meeting -- this meeting space and it shows and so I really thank all of you for that hard work. And then just going with the flow and allowing us to do our thing and then actually coming up and saying that was great -- to hear that from Staff did -- it did feel really good and also, you know, when it comes to these big issues and just thinking about future meetings and, you know, the need to do more All-RAC meetings, to just know that sometimes to not cram the agenda and to think of people who have been sitting in here watching presentations for years and they're not just watching your presentations because we're often presented this information in a different format in other meetings and so we do need more time for discussion and we need to just make more room in the agenda for that discussion and the magic will happen. You put the right people in the room and the magic will happen.

So I just wanted to end with that.

And then did you have anymore -- and Brooke, you are amazing, like it was such an honor and awesome to have the chum letter done last night and to know that, you know, you had put all of that work into it to make it so that we had something really meat -- like some real meat to go through, that was a real huge lift on your part, so thank you for that.

```
0148
 1
                     (Applause)
 2
 3
                    MS. MCDAVID: Oh, thank you. Thank you
 4
    guys so much. I do really love working with all of
 5
     you. I take pride in doing my best to help you advance
    the things that you're trying to advance and I'm always
 7
     looking for feedback if you think there's things we can
     improve and do better and I think the rest of OSM is
 9
     also open to that feedback so when you bring up these
10
    things, we hear you, and, yeah, great meeting guys.
11
    Awesome. It's been a long week, so thank you all.
12
13
                     MR. BASSICH: (No microphone)
14
15
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: I was just going to
16
    ask for that.
17
18
                     MS. EVANS: I make a motion to adjourn.
19
20
                     MR. BASSICH: Double second it.
21
22
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Thank you very much
23
     everybody. Very much appreciate it.
24
25
                     MS. SHOCKLEY: All in favor.
26
27
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: Oh, I was just
28
    going to say we're done. Oh, all in favor say aye.
29
30
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
31
32
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: All right. All
33
     opposed same sign.
34
35
                     (No opposing votes)
36
37
                     ACTING CHAIR BURK: All right, we're
38
     done, thank you.
39
40
                     (Off record)
41
42
                       (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0149	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
4) SS.
5	STATE OF ALASKA)
6	
7	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
8	state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
9 10	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
11	THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through
12	contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
13	EASTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY
14	COUNCIL MEETING taken electronically on the 7th day of
15	March 2024;
16	
17 18	THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
19	thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
20	reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
21	ability;
22	
23 24	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
25	party interested in any way in this action.
26	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 27th
27	day of March 2024.
28	
29	
30 31	Salena A. Hile
32	Notary Public, State of Alaska
33	My Commission Expires: 09/16/26
34	
35	
36 37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43 44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49 50	
50	